# ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-020-00545-4

OPEN

Check for updates

# Assessment of monthly economic losses in Wuhan under the lockdown against COVID-19

Shibing You<sup>1⊠</sup>, Hengli Wang<sup>1</sup>, Miao Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Haitao Song <sup>2⊠</sup>, Xiaoting Xu<sup>1</sup> & Yongzeng Lai<sup>3⊠</sup>

With the outbreak of COVID-19 in Wuhan, aggressive countermeasures have been taken, including the implementation of the unprecedented lockdown of the city, which will necessarily cause huge economic losses for the city of Wuhan. In this paper, we attempt to uncover the interactions between epidemic prevention and control measures and economic-social development by estimating the health loss and meso-economic loss from a human-oriented perspective. We implemented a compartmental model for the transmission dynamics and health burden assessment to evaluate the health losses, then estimated the direct and indirect economic losses of industries using the Input-Output model. Based on these estimates, the first monthly health losses and meso-economic losses caused by the lockdown was assessed. The overall policy effect of the lockdown policy in Wuhan was also investigated. The health loss and meso-economic losses are used to evaluate the health burden and loss of residents' mental health, the direct economic loss of several worst-hit industries, and the indirect economic loss of all industries, respectively. Our findings reveal that the health burden caused by this pandemic is estimated to be 4.4899 billion yuan (CNY), and the loss of residents' mental health is evaluated to be 114.545 billion yuan, the direct economic losses in transport, logistics, and warehousing, postal service, food, and beverage service industries reach 21.6094 billion yuan, and the monthly indirect economic losses of all industries are 36.39661994 billion yuan caused by the lockdown. The total monthly economic losses during the lockdown reach 177.0413 billion yuan. However, the lockdown policy has been considered to reduce COVID-19 infections by >180 thousand, which saves about 20 thousand lives, as well as nearly 30 billion yuan on medical costs. Therefore, the lockdown policy in Wuhan has obvious long-term benefits on the society and the total economic losses will be at a controllable level if effective measures are taken to combat COVID-19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wuhan University, Wuhan, China. <sup>2</sup>Shanxi University, Taiyuan, China. <sup>3</sup>Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Canada. <sup>⊠</sup>email: 00001839@whu.edu.cn; htsongmath@gmail.com; ylai@wlu.ca

# Introduction

he COVID-19 occurred in Wuhan, China at the end of 2019 and then it rapidly spread to all provinces in China and other countries in the world, and now it became a global pandemic as claimed by WHO. To prevent the spread of COVID-19, the central government of China and Wuhan launched an extraordinary national emergency response to lockdown the megacity. The isolation of the city that ranked the 42nd of the world, naturally caused a series of chain reactions and an enormous impact on almost all the aspects of the city, a metropolis with a population of over 10 million and a complete industrial system (Roosa et al. 2020). The economic impacts and losses caused by the lockdown must be evaluated scientifically, which is of unique and referential significance to the prevention and control of outbreaks of major emerging infectious diseases like COVID-19.

The current studies of COVID-19 focus on two folds, one is to understand the transmission mechanisms for prevention and control, and the other is the assessment of economic losses and public health burden due to the endemic of COVID-19 (Phillips 2003; Kaufmann et al. 1997; Xu et al. 2020). Based on the study about the ratio of cost and efficiency for COVID-19, combining with transmission models (Xu et al. 2020; Tang et al. 2020a; Tang et al. 2020b), the effectiveness of antiviral drugs is assessed and the economic losses caused by epidemics are estimated (Schmitt and Zacchia 2010; Lee et al. 2008). The interview survey was also carried out to assess the losses of absence from work due to the epidemic (Chen et al. 2011). Factories and industries, services departments, schools are also closed during the lockdown of the city (Lempel et al. 2009; Sadique et al. 2008). As such, CGE (Computable General Equilibrium) model is used regularly to assess the economic losses (Mckibbin, 2006; Knobler et al. 2004; Rose 2009; Giesecke et al. 2012).

The policy to lockdown a megacity for controlling the endemic of COVID-19 is unprecedented, and the assessment of the economic losses is challenging due to the complexity of the problem. In this paper, we will study the impact of fighting the virus on the city during the first month of the lockdown from January 23 to February 23, 2020, to evaluate the economic losses from the health and the meso-economic perspectives (Agüero and Beleche 2017). The health losses are estimated using the physical and mental health losses from the infection of the COVID-19, and the meso-economic losses emphasize on the direct and indirect economic losses of industries because of the lockdown (Keogh-Brown and Smith 2008).

# Methods

**Data and materials.** This is a data-driven modeling study. We use three types of data: the first is the reported cases of different health endpoints to assess the health burden, the second is related to business data of transport, logistics and warehousing, postal service, accommodation, food, and beverage service industries to assess the meso-economic losses of industries, and the last is the supporting data to evaluate the health losses and the meso-economic losses. This research was solely based on the analysis of anonymized data, after taking several precautions to ensure appropriate protection of users' privacy and address any associated risks.

The health burden assessment is used to evaluate the economic losses in a month of different health endpoints under the lockdown against COVID-19 in Wuhan. The supporting data include a cumulative number of mild cases, severe cases, and deaths.

The data are from the web sites of the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2020), reported cases of COVID-19 are from the World Health Organization (WHO) (2020a). COVID-19 Situation Report and reported cases of Hubei Province from the Health Commission of the People's Republic of China and Hubei Province (2020b). Also, values of parameters of the transmission dynamical model are chosen from available published papers (Xu et al. 2020; Tang et al. 2020a; Tang et al. 2020b; Zhou et al. 2020; Guan et al. 2020; Lu et al. 2020; Newall et al. 2010) and the Handbook of Prevention and Treatment of the Pneumonia Caused by the Novel Coronavirus from Health Commission of China (2020a).

The data used for assessment of economic losses in a month of meso-economic industries under the lockdown against COVID-19 in Wuhan include the monthly business data of airline, railway, expressway, public transportation, logistics and warehousing, postal services, accommodation, food, and beverage services. The key indicators include the number of flight cancellations, the number of seats per departure, the average flight load, the fixed cost of an aircraft and regular annual or monthly business income of railway, highway, metro, taxi and buses system of the city, logistics and warehousing, postal services, accommodation, food, and beverage services.

The data on meso-economic loss are collected from the following sources: website of Variflight (2020), the standards of International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) (2020), the annual revenue of Wuhan railway transportation (Shanghai Clearing House 2018b), Wuhan Expressway Toll Station's daily revenue (East Money database 2019), the annual revenue of Wuhan Metro Group (2019), annual report of Wuhan Bus Group (2018), annual report of Hubei Traffic Investment Group (2019), the website of Ministry of Transport of the People's Republic of China (2018), annual report of logistics and warehousing revenue in Wuhan (Wuhan Bureau of transportation 2018) and Wuhan statistical yearbook of 2018 (2018).

The health loss consists of the economic loss of patients' health and residents' mental health. The economic loss of patients' health is evaluated from treatment cost, recovery time, cost of lost time of different health endpoints for three categories of patients. The proxy variables of the economic loss of residents' mental health include monthly revenue of cultural entertainment services and state compensation standard for violating personal freedom. The industry linkage (calculated by the Input-Output table) is used to evaluate the meso-economic losses.

For the treatment cost of different health endpoints of infected individuals, we obtain the treatment cost value from China Public Health Statistical Yearbook. We also designed and carried out interview surveys of healthcare personnel associated with combating COVID-19. The treatment time and the average age of deaths due to infection are from the literature (Huang et al. 2020) and Handbook of Prevention and Treatment of the Pneumonia Caused by the Novel Coronavirus from the Health Commission of China (2020a). The time cost in hospital is computed by the daily average GDP per capita of Wuhan in 2018 from the Hubei Statistical Yearbook on Hubei Provincial Bureau of Statistics (2018). The monthly revenue of cultural entertainment services is computed by the annual revenue of this industry from the Wuhan Statistical Yearbook of 2018 (2018). The new state compensation standard for violating personal freedom was unveiled by China's Supreme People's Court and Supreme People's Procuratorate from its website (2020) and Wuhan's permanent and floating population at the end of the year is also got from Wuhan Statistical Yearbook of 2018 (2018). The industry linkage is calculated by the 149 sectors in the Input and Output table of nationwide in 2017 from the National Bureau of Statistics (2020).

## Model

Constructing an evaluation system of monthly economic losses for the city. We base on our analysis of the concept of people-



Fig. 1 The flow diagram of COVID-19 for our SIR model. Modified the original SIR-equation to account for a Susceptible (S) population state by introducing the mobile cabin hospitals (F) and designated hospitals (H) parameters.

oriented to find the balance between epidemic prevention and economic-social development. This paper constructs a comparative evaluation system of monthly economic loss of "Wuhan Lockdown" Policy from both health loss and industrial economic loss.

Monthly health loss evaluation: SIR model and health burden assessment. As an emerging and highly infectious disease (Xu et al. 2020), COVID-19 is causing losses in both the physical and mental health of the public at large (Huang et al., 2020). Although this study addresses only the 1-month residents' health losses, including physical health losses (health burden), and the mental health losses during the lockdown (Tang et al. 2020a), the approach can be applied to other situations with a longer period.

According to the Handbook, the diagnosed people are divided into three categories: mild, severe, and death (2020). There is a significant difference between direct and indirect economic losses, including time loss and the treatment of the three types of patients. However, there is no accurate official data on these three categories yet. To obtain the cumulative number of confirmed cases and the number of the three categories of confirmed cases, we established a SIR (Susceptible-Infected-Recovered) model and simulated the transmission of COVID-19 in the city (Harko et al. 2014; Hu et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2016).

Due to the lack of medical resources and sufficient beds in hospitals, designated hospitals only received severe patients. To relieve the massive shortage of doctors and medical resources, Huoshenshan Hospital and Leishenshan Hospital was built and observation wards were put into service on February 5. After that, mild patients were received into observation wards. Therefore, we consider the designated s and observation wards bed ratio over two different stages of the epidemic. The first stage is from the date when the lockdown started on January 23 to the date when the first bed in observation wards opened to quarantine individuals on February 5, and the second stage is from February 5 to February 23. Also, we considered the effect of designated hospitals and mobile cabin hospital beds capacity on the transmission rate, the transfer rate from mild to severe patients, and death rate. Then the general population is divided into susceptible people (S), mild patients (I), severe patients ( $I_1$ ), mild patients in mobile cabin hospitals (F), severe patients in designated hospitals (H), Dead patients (D), and recovered patients (R) (Xu et al. 2020; Huang et al. 2020). Here, we assume that only mild patients can infect healthy people, while severe patients, who are quarantined, cannot infect susceptible people. The flow diagram is shown in Fig. 1.

Then the transmission dynamics of COVID-19 is determined by the following equations:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}S(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \frac{-\beta(b_1,I)S(t)I(t)}{S(t)+I(t)+R(t)}, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}I(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \frac{\beta(b_1,I)S(t)I(t)}{S(t)+I(t)+R(t)} - \alpha_{11}(b_1,I(t))I(t) \\ &- \alpha_{12}(b_1,I(t))I(t) - \gamma I(t), \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}F(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \alpha_{11}(b_1,I(t))I(t) - \alpha_{21}(b_2,F(t))F(t) - \gamma_f F(t), \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}I_1(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \alpha_{12}(b_1,I(t))I(t) - \alpha_{31}(b_2,I_1(t))I_1(t) \\ &- d_1(b_2,I_1(t))I_1(t), \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}H(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \alpha_{21}(b_2,F(t))F(t) + \alpha_{31}(b_2,I_1(t))I_1(t) \\ &- d_h(b_2,H(t))H(t) - \gamma_h H(t), \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}R(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \gamma I(t) + \gamma_f F(t) + \gamma_h H(t), \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}D(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= d_1(b_2,I_1(t))I_1(t) + d_h(b_2,H(t))H(t), \\ \end{split}$$
where  $b_1 = \begin{cases} 0, t < 14 \\ b_{10}, t \ge 14, b_2 \end{cases} \begin{cases} b_{21}, t < 14 \\ b_{22}, t \ge 14, \gamma_f = \begin{cases} 0, t < 14 \\ \gamma_{f0}, t \ge 14 \end{cases} \end{cases}$ 

here 
$$b_1 = \begin{cases} 0, t < 14 \\ b_{10}, t \ge 14 \end{cases}$$
,  $b_2 = \begin{cases} b_{21}, t < 14 \\ b_{22}, t \ge 14 \end{cases}$ ,  $\gamma_f = \begin{cases} 0, t < 14 \\ \gamma_{f0}, t \ge 14 \end{cases}$ ,  
 $\beta(b_1, I(t)) = \frac{\beta_0 I(t)}{b_1 + I(t)}$ ,  $\alpha_{11}(b_1, I(t)) = \frac{\alpha_{110} I(t)}{b_1 + I(t)}$ ,  
 $\alpha_{12}(b_1, I(t)) = \frac{\alpha_{120} I(t)}{b_1 + I(t)}$ ,  $\alpha_{21}(b_2, F(t)) = \frac{\alpha_{210} F(t)}{b_2 + F(t)}$ ,  
 $\alpha_{31}(b_2, I_1(t)) = \frac{\alpha_{110} I_1(t)}{b_2 + I_1(t)}$ ,  $d_1(b_2, I_1(t)) = \frac{d_{10} I_1(t)}{b_2 + I_1(t)}$ ,  
 $d_h(b_2, H(t)) = \frac{d_{h0} H(t)}{b_2 + H(t)}$ ,  
 $\alpha_{110} = \begin{cases} 0, t < 14 \\ \alpha_{110}, t \ge 14 \end{cases}$ ,  $\alpha_{210} = \begin{cases} 0, t < 14 \\ \alpha_{210}, t \ge 14 \end{cases}$ 

The effects of designated hospitals and mobile cabin hospital beds capacity on the transmission rate, the transfer rate from mild to severe patients, and death rate were considered as the parameters. The effect of mobile cabin hospitals beds capacity on the transmission rate, transfer rate from mild to severe patients and occupancy rate of mild patients in mobile cabin hospitals beds ratio  $b_1$  corresponding to the mild patients. The effect of designated hospitals beds capacity on the hospitalization rate and death rate of severe patients were denoted by the mobile cabin hospitals ratio  $b_2$  corresponding to severe patients (Shan and Zhu 2014; WHO 2020b).

Where  $\beta$  is the contact transmission rate,  $\alpha_{11}$  is the occupancy rate of mild patients in mobile cabin hospitals,  $\alpha_{12}$  is the transfer probability from mild to severe. $\alpha_{21}$  is the transfer probability from mild to severe in mobile cabin hospitals,  $\alpha_{31}$  is the hospitalization rate of severe patients. And y is the recovery rate of mild patients,  $y_1$ is the recovery rate of severe patients,  $y_h$  is the recovery rate of severe patients in the hospital,  $d_1$  is the mortality rate of severe patients, and  $d_{\rm h}$  is the mortality rate of severe patients in the hospital. Here, the time unit t in the equation is defined as "day" of the event. Based on relevant epidemic data in Wuhan (2020), and the initial values on January 23, 2020 are chosen as follows: S  $(0) = 55439, I(0) = 269, F(0) = 1000, I_1(0) = 129, H(0) = 494, D$ (0) = 23, and R(0) = 31. From the available literature on COVID-19 (Tang et al. 2020b; Zhou et al. 2020; Guan et al. 2020) we assume that  $\beta = 0.813$ ,  $b_{21} = 800$ ,  $b_{10} = 1500$ ,  $b_{22} = 1000$ ,  $\alpha_{110} = 0.7$ ,  $\alpha_{210} = 0.01$ ,  $\alpha_{120} = 0.04$ ,  $\alpha_{310} = 0.6$ . Since the average incubation period is 7 days and the treatment time for mild patients is 10 days, so  $\gamma = 1/17$  and  $\gamma_{f0} = 1/17$ . The average treatment time for severe patients is 20 days, hence  $y_h = 1/20$  (Lu et al. 2020). The mortality rates of severe patients are  $d_{10} = 0.016$  and  $d_{h0} = 0.016$ , respectively (Newall et al. 2010).

We then estimate the health burden attributable to patients' infection with the virus. The precondition of health burden assessment determines suitable health endpoints and gaining the number of infections in different health endpoints. The health endpoint is the final level of health risk caused by hazardous substances, and the final level can be classified based on the severity of diseases (Yao et al. 2020). A large number of domestic and foreign literature applied the health burden assessment to estimate the economic loss of health attributable to exposure to multiple air pollutants where the health endpoints were defined by the exposure-response function (Yao et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2017; Maji et al. 2018). This method is used to estimate the economic v44 vloss of health due to this pandemic. Since the pathogenic mechanism of this pandemic is different from that of exposure to pollution and the SIR model built above would simulate to give the estimation of the numbers of the three categories of confirmed cases, the health endpoints in this paper are defined as the different levels of pulmonary infection caused by the COVID-19 and are divided into mild, severe, and death based on the computational results from the SIR model simulation. Thus,

$$\begin{split} L_{\mathbf{p}_i} &= C_{\mathbf{p}_i} + \mathrm{GDP}_P \times T_{L_i}, \\ L_i &= P_i \times L_{\mathbf{p}_i}, \\ L &= \sum_{i=1}^n L_i, \end{split}$$

where *i* is the health endpoints,  $L_i$  is the total cost of COVID-19 at health endpoint *i*,  $C_{p_i}$  is the unit cost of treatment cost at health endpoint *i*, GDP<sub>p</sub> is the daily average GDP per capita in Wuhan Unit: Yuan/(person\**d*),  $T_{L_i}$  is the cost of lost time due to disease at health endpoint *i*,  $P_i$  is the number of people diagnosed at health

endpoint *i*, and the sum of the economic losses at each health endpoint  $(L_i)$  is the total attributable economic losses of the population (L) under the outbreak (Lu et al. 2016). Then, we calculate the total economic loss of health (L), which is the health burden in a month of lockdown against COVID-19 in Wuhan.

To match the data of different health endpoints of COVID-19 with the data during the lockdown, we computed the cumulative cases using the SIR model, which would give the numbers of cumulative cases. Then, the monthly health burden can be estimated using the average treatment cost and the cost of lost time of different health endpoints.

Apart from physical health loss, the loss caused and associated with mental health due to that strict social distancing and home quarantine policy for combating COVID-19 prevented their public entertainment and cultural activities. Since the utility of consumption is hard to quantify, the revenues of cultural services were used to approximate and to evaluate the loss of mental health. Furthermore, the strict social distancing policy objectively restricted the personal freedom, mental loss caused by lockdown was evaluated based on the new state compensation standard for violating personal freedom unveiled by the Supreme People's Procuratorate of the People's Republic of China. Meanwhile, patients with acute or severe chronic diseases are unable to receive timely treatment, which leads to health loss by clinical exacerbation and increased mortality due to the lockdown policy. The lockdown also brought restrictions to transportation, social activities, and various constructions and could reduce mortality caused by traffic accidents, excessive drinking, cardiovascular diseases, industrial injury, etc. As these two aspects show opposite effects on the health of people and data availability limitation, these two indirect effects were ignored in our current studies.

**Meso-economic loss evaluation: constructing the Input-Output** (**IO**) **model**. Owing to the rapid spread of COVID-19, Wuhan city has been put under lockdown since January 23, 2020, and public transportation has been closed. The transportation industry is closely related to various industries, such as warehousing and retail, vacation tourism, accommodation and catering, cultural and entertainment industries, etc. Non-related upstream and downstream industries, such as the real estate industry, the financial industry, the construction industry, and other industries, seemed to be spared from COVID-19, but these industries also suffered direct or indirect losses. The effect of the lockdown in Wuhan on meso-economic industries is not limited to one industry. Therefore, we estimated the losses of directly affected industries, and then evaluated the indirect losses of other industries using the IO model (Li et al. 2018).

Based on the IO table, we established the IO model incorporating the linkage of production and consumption to assess the economy of Wuhan. Since the 1970s, the IO model has been used to assess the effect of disasters, such as earthquakes and hurricanes on the economy. The results show that the IO model effectively solves the impact of a disaster on certain departments of the economic system and the assessment of related losses (Crowther et al. 2007; Tan et al. 2019). In this paper, the static IO model is used to evaluate the indirect economic losses among the industries (Crowther et al. 2007; Tan et al. 2019).

The correlations among the industries in the IO table can be expressed as:

$$AX + Y = X.$$

That is,  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}X_j + Y_i = X_i$  (*i*, *j* = 1, 2, ..., *n*), where  $a_{ij}$  is the direct consumption coefficient,  $X_i$  is the total output of sector *i*, and  $Y_i$  is the final demand for sector *i*. Then the above formula

can be transformed as:

$$X = (I - A)^{-1}Y$$

where I is the identity matrix and  $(I - A)^{-1}$  is the inverse matrix of Leontief.

Taking the sectional direct economic losses as losses in final products,  $\Delta Y = (\Delta Y_1, \Delta Y_2, ..., \Delta Y_n)^T$ . Then the total product loss is:

$$\Delta X = (I - A)^{-1} \Delta Y.$$

The loss of indirect input is expressed by the reduction of intermediate input as  $\Delta X - \Delta Y$ .

To improve the accuracy of the indirect loss assessment of various departments, this paper uses the complete consumption coefficient for analysis. Let *B* be a complete consumption coefficient matrix obtained by transforming the direct consumption coefficient matrix A, then  $B = (I - A)^{-1} - I$ . Therefore, the total loss of the product can be further expressed as:

$$\Delta X = (B+I)\Delta Y$$

Assume that  $\Delta Y_i$  is the economic loss in the sector *I* caused by COVID-19 and the final use of other sectors has no change. Then the total output of the entire economic system becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta X_1 \\ \Delta X_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \Delta \dot{X}n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1i} \Delta Y_i \\ b_{2i} \Delta Y_i \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ b_{ni} \Delta Y_i \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ \Delta Y_i \\ \cdot \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $b_{ij}(i, j = 1, 2, ..., n)$  are the complete consumption coefficients. Then the total output loss of sector *i* is:

$$\Delta X_i = b_{ii} \Delta Y_i + \Delta Y_i,$$

where  $\Delta Y_i$  is the direct economic loss of sector *i* and  $b_{ii}\Delta Y_i$  is the indirect economic loss of sector *i*. The total product losses of

other sectors are:

$$\Delta X_n = b_{ni} \Delta Y_i, n \neq i.$$

Results

# Empirical analysis of economic losses

The empirical analysis of health burden assessment and loss of residents' mental health evaluation in Wuhan on the lockdown against COVID-19. The SIR model was constructed to simulate the transmission of COVID-19 in Wuhan. The prediction results are shown in Fig. 2, where Cum I is the number of cumulative mild patients, Cum I<sub>1</sub> is the number of cumulative severe patients and Cum D is the counts of cumulative dead patients.

The simulation results show that the cumulative number of confirmed patients is 55,616 after the lockdown in Wuhan, among which the cumulative number of mild patients is 41,430, the cumulative number of severe patients is 12,100 and the cumulative number of deaths is 2086 in the first month since January 23 to February 23, 2020.

Then health burden assessment was constructed to estimate the health losses of confirmed patients combining with the results from the SIR model. The cost of treatment in health burden assessment includes direct and indirect costs related to the patient during hospitalization, including the cost of diagnosis and treatment, medical costs, bed charges, nursing costs, time costs for suspension of work, transportation costs, accompanying costs, and so on. Due to the availability of data, we calculated the direct and indirect costs, including the cost of diagnosis and treatment during hospitalization, examination costs, bed charges, treatment costs, medical costs, and opportunity costs during hospitalization. Some hospitals survey the cost of treatment to obtain a reference average treatment cost for different symptoms of this new coronavirus infection. According to the China Health Statistics Yearbook in the past years and surveys on the treatment cost of some hospitals, we obtained the reference average treatment cost of different symptoms of COVID-19 (Sander et al. 2009; Barber et al. 2010; Christina et al. 2017; Hu and Chen 2019). According to the "Handbook of Prevention and Treatment of the



Fig. 2 Simulation results for the epidemics of COVID-19 in Wuhan in the first month after the lockdown of the city. The predicted results of estimated cumulative number of mild patients, severe patients and deaths are shown using the SIR model.

Table 1 Number of confirmed cases and cost unit loss parameters at each health endpoint.	
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Health endpoints	Cumulative quantity <i>P</i> ;	The average cost of treatment C <sub>p,</sub> (thousand yuan/per person)	Average time spent T <sub>L;</sub> (day/per person)	Quarantine time spent T <sub>q</sub> (day/per person)	The average value of the time (yuan/per person*day)
Mild	41,430	15	10	14	370.236
Severe	12,100	91	20		
Death	2086	300	1825	$\setminus$	

Table 2 Economic loss in health of Wuhan against COVID-19in a month.				
Health endpoints	Costs per patient L <sub>p,</sub> (yuan)	Total loss L <sub>i</sub> (yuan)		
A 411 1	22 005 444	000 503 050 5		

Mild	23,885.664	989,583,059.5
Severe	103,588.024	1,253,415,090
Death	1,077,131.87	2,246,897,081
The total loss L(yuan)		4,489,895,231

Pneumonia Caused by the Novel Coronavirus" issued jointly by the General Office of the National Health Commission of China and the Office of the State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine, we obtained the incubation period of the virus, the average treatment time and the average time cost for different health endpoints using the average age of death (Sadique et al. 2008). In reality, discharged patients would then be under quarantine at the expense of the government for 14 days before considered "fully recovered". Therefore, the time cost of 14 days' quarantine for patients should be counted. Where the value of time cost was measured by the average per capita GDP of Wuhan in 2018, which was 135,136 yuan and it grows at an annual rate of 7% (2018). The average medical cost of different health endpoints was estimated using the disease cost method (Table 2). The above data is compiled and presented in Table 1.

Using the above data to calculate the health burden of confirmed patients' health in Wuhan, we obtain the results as shown in Table 2 and Fig. 3.

Table 2 and Fig. 3 shows that under the lockdown in Wuhan, the health burden is about 4.49 billion yuan in a month, where the economic loss caused by death is the largest part and it accounts for >1/2 of the total losses, which is consistent with the actual conditions. Although the relatively low cost of treatment occurs, the total cost of patients with mild pneumonia exceeds 989 million yuan due to the large scale of confirmed cases. The total costs of treatment for severe patients are about 1.253 billion yuan. Although the cumulative quantity of severe patients is much smaller than that of mild patients, the total amount of severe patients is higher because of its higher costs per patient.

According to the Statistical Yearbook of Hubei in 2019, the revenues of cultural services in Wuhan in 2018 reached 126.24497 billion yuan, equivalent to a monthly revenue of 10.5204142 billion yuan. We use this data as the proxy of mental distress loss caused by limiting culture and entertainment activities from January 23 to February 23, 2020.

According to China's State Compensation Law, Supreme People's Court (SPC) and Supreme People's Procuratorate set a new state compensation standard for violating personal freedom to be 346.75 yuan (about 49 U.S. dollars) per day (2020). The permanent residential population of Wuhan in 2019 was 11.212 million and the floating population was about 4 million. With 5 million people left Wuhan before the lockdown, about 10 million people were quarantined during the lockdown and the monthly mental loss of violating personal freedom was about 104.025 billion yuan. Therefore, the residents' health burden reached 4.4899 billion yuan and the economic loss of residents' mental health was about 114.5454142 billion yuan.

The empirical analysis of meso-economic loss assessment in Wuhan on lockdown against COVID-19. The IO model was used to estimate the direct and indirect economic losses in the transport, logistics and warehousing, postal service, accommodation, food, and beverage service industries and all industries in a month in Wuhan on lockdown.

The economic loss data of sectors affected directly is the precondition applied in the input-output model. In this paper, we took the transport, logistics and warehousing, postal services, accommodation, food and beverage services industries as the directly affected sectors in Wuhan under the lockdown, calculated the losses of the directly affected sectors, and estimated the indirect losses of all industries using the industry linkage calculated through the IO table (Wang et al., 2016).

Evaluation of direct economic losses in the transport, logistics and warehousing, postal service, accommodation, food, and beverage service industries. The economic losses in the transport, logistics and warehousing, postal service, accommodation, food, and beverage service industries, including economic losses caused by the suspension of flight, highway, public transportation, logistics and warehousing, postal service and all business in Wuhan were taken as the direct economic losses in the industries.

(1) Economic losses caused by flight cancellation:

In 2017, there were 99,300 departures, with a capacity of 17,773,200 seats in Wuhan Tianhe Airport, which means 179 seats per departure. With an average flight load of 81%, the actual capacity is 145 seats per shift (2019).

According to the standards of the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO), we took the medium-sized aircraft Boeing 737-300 as an example and calculated the annual fixed cost of an aircraft, including leasing fees, taxes, parking fees, aircraft maintenance costs, and corresponding aviation materials costs. The cost was 25 million yuan or about 70 thousand yuan per day. The economic loss of each flight cancellation is estimated to be 70 + 0.05 \* 145 = 77.25 thousand yuan in terms of 50 yuan/(person\*flight) of economic loss of passengers due to flight cancellation.

Throughout the Spring Festival season between January 23 to February 22, 2020, the Spring Festival Travel Rush takes up about half the time, which is 15 days. There are 600 flights per day during the rush period and 340 flights per day at other times during the season. With the lockdown of Wuhan, the economic loss of all flight cancellations is (340 \* 15 + 600 \* 15) \*; 77.25 = 1.089225 billion yuan.

(2) Economic losses caused by the shutdown of railways, expressways and public transportation like subways, taxis, buses:



Fig. 3 Health burden of Wuhan against COVID-19 in a month. Health burden of different health endpoints (including mild, severe, and dead patients) are shown in the figure.

According to the annual revenue of 12.05679 billion yuan for Wuhan Metro Group in 2017, the estimated economic loss in Wuhan under the lockdown is 1.00473 billion yuan in 1 month.

By the 12.81 million yuan of average daily revenue of Wuhan railway transportation, 61.89 million yuan of Wuhan Expressway Toll Station's daily revenue, 9.3 million yuan of daily economic loss of taxi and to the statistics of Wuhan Public Group in 2018, we found that the estimated economic losses from railway transportation, expressway, public transportation like taxis and buses are 384.3 million yuan, 1.8567 billion yuan, 279 million yuan, and 811 million yuan, respectively. Therefore, the economic losses caused by the shutdown of railways, expressways, and public transportation are 384.3 million yuan and 3.95143 billion yuan, respectively.

(3) Economic losses of logistics and warehousing and postal service industries.

Since the annual revenues of logistics and storage were 153.209 billion yuan in 2018 in Wuhan and accounting for the 2-week closure of logistics during Spring Festival, the estimated economic loss in logistics and warehousing industry in Wuhan under the lockdown was about 6.56610 billion yuan in 1 month. According to the annual revenues of postal services in 2017 in Wuhan of 15.028 billion yuan, we estimated that the monthly economic loss of the postal services industry was about 1.25233 billion yuan. Therefore, the total economic losses in the two industries in Wuhan under the lockdown was about 7.818433 billion yuan in 1 month.

(4) Economic losses of accommodation, food and beverage service industries.

According to the revenues of accommodation, food, and beverage services industries in 2018 in Wuhan of 12.771 and 87.625 billion yuan, then the estimated economic loss in accommodation, food, and beverage services industries in Wuhan under the lockdown were 1.064 and 7.302 billion yuan in 1 month, respectively. Based on the above evaluation of loss, the economic losses of the transport, logistics and warehousing, postal service, accommodation, food, and beverage service industries were about 21.60939 billion yuan during the 1-month Wuhan lockdown.

Evaluation of indirect economic losses in all industries due to economic losses in the transport, logistics and warehousing, postal service, accommodation, food, and beverage service industries. Based on the monthly economic loss of directly affected sectors in Wuhan under the lockdown and the 149 sectors in the IO table of nationwide in 2017, we assumed that the input-output relationships among various sectors of the national economy are stable and there is no significant difference between Wuhan and nationwide. Using the IO model, we estimated the monthly indirect economic losses of other industries caused by the monthly economic losses of transport, logistics and warehousing, postal service, accommodation, food, and beverage service industries during the lockdown in Wuhan. Considering the analysis method of the input-output relationship from direct and indirect economic losses, we chose the direct economic loss of the directly affected sectors as the final product loss, where  $\Delta Y$  and  $\Delta X - \Delta Y$  are defined the same as above. The complete consumption coefficient is introduced to obtain an accurate assessment of the indirect input loss of various sectors. The complete consumption coefficient is the quantity of the products in sector *i* that needs to be consumed directly and indirectly for the production of final products per unit of sector *j*. As defined above, *B* was used to represent the complete consumption coefficient matrix. Then  $B = (I-A)^{-1} - I$  is the relationship between the complete consumption coefficient and the direct consumption coefficient. Thus, B is obtained by the direct consumption coefficient matrix of each department given by the 149 sectors in the IO table of nationwide in 2017.

In this paper, we investigated the above hardest-hit industries, which have an indirect effect on all industries. Here, we only provided the complete-consuming coefficient of hardest-hit logistics and warehousing on all industries since that the complete-consuming coefficient of transport, postal service, accommodation, food, and beverage services industries on all



Fig. 4 Proportion coefficients of various industries affected by logistics and warehousing industry. Ranked by level of impact, top 52 most affected industries' complete consumption coefficients out of 149 industries are shown in the figure.

industries could be obtained similarly. We only demonstrated the top 52 most affected industries' complete consumption coefficients out of 149 industries as shown in Fig. 4.

Figure 4 shows that the most affected industry by the logistics and warehousing sector is the heavy chemical industry (in the secondary industry), followed by finance, business service, real estate, retail trade, and some service industries (tertiary industry).

On one hand, the total economic output value of Wuhan is still mainly derived from the secondary industry. On the other hand, tertiary industries should flourish during the Spring Festival, but the lockdown in Wuhan blocked all circulation. Based on the complete-consuming coefficient of logistics and warehousing on all industries, we obtained the indirect economic loss of this industry in 149 industries using the direct economic loss of this industry. Similarly, the indirect economic loss of transport, postal service, accommodation, food, and beverage service industries in 149 industries were evaluated using the same method. Taking the computation of indirect economic loss of logistics and warehousing industry on the manufacture of refined petroleum products and processing of nuclear fuel as an example, we obtained the indirect economic loss of 1.091486843 billion yuan of manufacture of refined petroleum products and processing of nuclear fuel caused by the economic loss of logistics and warehousing by the product of the direct economic loss and complete-consuming coefficient. Similarly, the indirect economic loss caused by transport via railway, public transport, air transport, postal, accommodation, food and beverage services on this industry were in turn 9.420318683 million yuan, 246.4772665 million yuan, 226.0048913 million yuan, million yuan, 27.8101924 million 63.80720719 yuan, 147.5145717 million yuan, respectively. Then the total indirect economic loss of manufacture of refined petroleum products and processing of nuclear fuel caused by the economic losses of the directly affected sectors was 1812.52129 million yuan (Table 3).

The total indirect economic loss of 149 sectors was calculated as shown in Table 3.

It follows from the above-estimated loss that the monthly indirect economic loss of 149 sectors caused by directly affected industries during the lockdown against COVID-19 in Wuhan was 36.39661994 billion yuan. The top ten of the most affected industries by transportation, logistics, and storage, post, accommodation, food, and beverage services were the manufacture of refined petroleum products and processing of nuclear fuel, monetary intermediation and other financial service industries, farming, business services, transport via road, extraction of crude petroleum and natural gas, production and supply of electricity and steam, manufacture of parts and accessories for motor vehicles, retail trade, and real estate. The indirect economic loss of each of these industries caused by lockdown exceeded 1 billion yuan. The economic loss of the secondary industry affected by the epidemic is relatively greater than that of the tertiary industry, possibly because the secondary industry still plays a main role in the economic system. In general, the economic loss of all sectors in the economic system is enormous during the lockdown in Wuhan.

*Total economic losses calculation.* The estimations reveal that the assessment of health burden is 4.4899 billion yuan, the loss of residents' mental health is estimated to be approximately 114.545 billion yuan, and the monthly direct economic losses of transport, logistics, and warehousing, postal service, accommodation, food, and beverage service industries are about 21.60939 billion yuan, and the monthly indirect economic losses of all industries are 36.39661994 billion yuan caused by the lockdown. To sum up, the total monthly economic losses reached 177.0413174 billion yuan under the lockdown due to COVID-19 in Wuhan.

*Potential benefits of the lockdown policy in Wuhan against COVID-19.* To better reflect the effectiveness of the policy, three periods were classified by major policy implementation dates that

# ARTICLE

# Table 3 Total product loss of sectors.

Loss/million yuan	Sectors	Loss/million yuan
1812.52129	Food and beverage service	392.285631
1669.999383	Manufacture of paper and paper products	377.5854495
1575.99144	Manufacture of plastic products	374.0356118
1547.39021	Manufacture of other general-purpose machinery	372.6409802
1447.211474	Mining and washing of coal	367.586247
1376.061407	Logistics and warehousing	339.6439639
1196.198534	Manufacture of soft drinks and refined tea	327.0825538
	products	
1118.23097	Processing of steel rolling products	312.8820655
1103.381289	Manufacture of special chemical products	307.4403502
1088.923504	Water transport	302.2743938
901.5999004	Processing of other foods	301.3725889
870.1937226	Manufacture of rubber products	299.006964
728.9202493	Manufacture of prepared animal feeds	287.4780545
651.9167348	Air transport	286.9515311
649.803287	Manufacture of other food products n.e.c.	286.2442608
597.525887	Manufacture of synthetic materials	265.1430516
574.802015	Processing of non-ferrous metals rolling	257.2645898
526.8098945	Telecommunication	256.9645611
481.177	Manufacture of other electronic equipment	225.9475318
480.4121408	Cargo handling, transport agency	217.2261564
477.3311358	Manufacture of tobacco products	212.7696182
431.6198859	Manufacture of other transport equipment	210.8796123
427.1081555	Public transport	207.8337236
424.6285217	Renting and leasing	198.9865371
412.0942606	Postal service	198.6842798
408.0007918	Mining support activities and other mining and	193.7999882
	quarrying n.e.c.	
	Loss/million yuan 1812.52129 1669.999383 1575.99144 1547.39021 1447.211474 1376.061407 1196.198534 1118.23097 1103.381289 1088.923504 901.5999004 870.1937226 728.9202493 651.9167348 649.803287 597.525887 574.802015 526.8098945 481.177 480.4121408 477.3311358 431.6198859 427.1081555 424.6285217 412.0942606 408.0007918	Loss/million yuanSectors1812.52129Food and beverage service1669.999383Manufacture of paper and paper products1575.99144Manufacture of plastic products1547.39021Manufacture of other general-purpose machinery1447.211474Mining and washing of coal1376.061407Logistics and warehousing1196.198534Manufacture of soft drinks and refined tea products1118.23097Processing of steel rolling products1083.923504Water transport901.5999004Processing of other foods870.1937226Manufacture of prepared animal feeds619.1017348Air transport649.803287Manufacture of synthetic materials574.802015Processing of non-ferrous metals rolling526.8098945Telecommunication481.177Manufacture of other electronic equipment480.4121408Cargo handling, transport agency477.3311358Manufacture of other transport equipment424.6285217Renting and leasing412.0942606Postal service408.0007918Mining support activities and other mining and quarrying n.e.c.

Table 4 2020.1.23-2020.2.23	proportion of patients in the
three categories (%).	

Date	Light and normal	Critical	Death
Jan 23rd-Feb 4, 2020	67.24	27.80	4.96
Feb 5-Feb13, 2020	75.98	21.71	2.31
Feb 14-Feb 23, 2020	75.79	21.72	2.49
Total	81.34	23.76	4.10

could affect the virus transmission in Wuhan. The first period is from January 23 to February 4, when the local government blocked all outbound transportation from Wuhan and stopped public transportation and prohibited all vehicular traffic within the city. Additional social isolation and home isolation measures were also implemented. During the second period, between February 5 and February 13, the government implemented a policy of centralized quarantine and treatment of all confirmed and suspected cases in mobile cabin hospitals and 35 designated hospitals. The third period is between February 14 and February 23, when the government conducted door-to-door and individual-to-individual screening for all residents in Wuhan. The proportion of intensive, critical, and death cases decreased gradually in the three periods (Table 4 and Fig. 5). The policy benefits are obvious.

Simulations show that the effective reproduction number  $R_e(t)$  in Wuhan reached 1.38 before January 23, peaked at 1.791 on January 24, and declined thereafter. The  $R_e(t)$  was 0.0934 on February 4, 2020, then dropped to 0.03367 on February 6, 2020, and finally fell below 0.01651 by February 23. We plot the trend

of  $R_e(t)$  (Fig. 6), which clearly shows that after the implementation of the "Lockdown" policy, the effective reproduction number of  $R_e(t)$  decreased significantly and the outbreak was well controlled.

Besides, according to a previous study (Wang et al. 2020), the infected people in Wuhan would reach 227,989 in late February 2020 without lockdown or the specific measures in the above three periods, with an assumption of an epidemic spread of  $R_e(0) = 3.1$ . Based on the per capita cost of treatment (141,250 yuan) for the three categories of patients mentioned above, the cost of treatment for epidemic patients alone would amount to 32.204 billion, while the direct economic loss of health is about 2142.5 million yuan in a month, it would add up to >30.06 billion without the lockdown.

Moreover, if the policy had not been implemented, the number of deaths would have reached 22,799, based on the percent of deaths as 10% from a calculation of case fatality rate (CFR) at an early stage of the epidemic (Chen et al. 2020), which means about additional 20,713 innocent lives will be taken. It can be seen that the effect of Wuhan's Lockdown is worthy of recognition.

#### Conclusion and discussion

This is a preliminary study of the cost for the first month of a locked-down city. Due to a major public health emergency, the lockdown was implemented on a metropolis with over 10 million people, which is unprecedented. How to evaluate the economic losses in the economic system caused by such a lockdown is a new topic that needs to be studied at present and in the future. In this paper, we used the SIR model, health burden assessment, and the IO model to quantify the economic losses in Wuhan under the lockdown. We gave a fundamental framework of assessing the



Fig. 5 Change in the proportion of patients in the three categories in Wuhan over three periods. The clinical severity of three categories was defined according to the Handbook of Prevention and Treatment of the Pneumonia Caused by the Novel Coronavirus.



**Fig. 6 Change of the effective reproduction number**  $R_{e}(t)$  **of Wuhan over three periods.** The  $R_{e}(t)$  is defined as the mean number of people who can be infected by a typical primary case at time *t*. The disease is persist when  $R_{e}(t) > 1$ , and the disease dies out when  $R_{e}(t) < 1$ .

economic loss in Wuhan during the lockdown and established the reference benchmark for the evaluation of monthly health losses and meso-economic losses in a metropolis with a population of over 10 million on lockdown.

The economic losses in Wuhan under the lockdown has negative effects on economic development, while the total economic losses will be controllable if COVID-19 is effectively contained. The lockdown in Wuhan causes the economic loss of residents' physical health and mental health of 119.0353094 billion yuan, which is 7.437% of Wuhan's annual GDP in 2019 and 35.454% of Wuhan's first-quarter GDP in 2019 (Wuhan's annual GDP in 2019 is 1600.538 billion yuan, and that of the first-quarter is 335.748 billion yuan). The direct economic losses of the directly affected industries are 21.60939 billion yuan, which accounts for 1.35% of Wuhan's annual GDP in 2019, and 6.436% of Wuhan's first-quarter GDP in 2019. The total indirect economic losses of other industries are 36.39661994 billion yuan, constituting 2.274% of Wuhan's annual GDP in 2019, and 10.8405% of Wuhan's first-quarter GDP in 2019. The monthly total economic losses are 177.0413174 billion yuan, making up 11.0614% of Wuhan's annual GDP in 2019 and 52.7304% of Wuhan's firstquarter GDP in 2019. Although the economic loss of each industry under the lockdown could be estimated, there is a basic macro judgment on the losses, which means that the economic aggregate of Wuhan will not experience a significant decline due to the short-term lockdown if the epidemic can be effectively contained.

It is advisable to pay attention to the characteristics of the industries impacted by the outbreak of COVID-19 and the lockdown of such a megacity. With the acceleration of the process of economic integration and the specialization of the industrial division of labor, the links among industrial sectors have become increasingly close, and a complex network of associations has been reshaped. The loss or impact of any industry will experience systematic risks. The empirical analysis of the IO model shows that the lockdown of Wuhan has a greater impact on the manufacture of refined petroleum products and processing of nuclear fuel, monetary intermediation and other financial services, farming, business service, transport via road, extraction of crude petroleum and natural gas than on other industries. Therefore, it is important to pay more attention to these industrial sectors that are highly sensitive to COVID-19. We must take targeted measures according to the characteristics of different sectors and develop scientific and effective post-epidemic recovery and development strategies to reduce and recover the economic losses caused by COVID-19.

The Lockdown of Wuhan provided extra time to prepare for the arrival of COVID-19 in >130 cities across China (Tian et al. 2020). Within 1 month of the city's Lockdown, Wuhan has experienced a significant decrease in the critical condition and death rates, as well as in the effective reproduction number. As these policies prevented greater economic losses and more deaths, the Wuhan Lockdown is a policy with significant potential benefits.

This research has focused on the health loss due to the outbreak of COVID-19 and the meso-economic losses of the industries due to the lockdown in Wuhan. Meso-economically, we only evaluated the direct economic loss of the transport, logistics and warehousing, postal service, accommodation, food and beverage service industries, and the indirect economic losses of 149 industries. The limitations of this research are the fact that we have not evaluated the direct economic losses of all mesoeconomic industry sectors, nor have we done the assessment from a macroscopic perspective of consumption, exports, and investment due to lack of data.

Currently, the pandemic of COVID-19 has become a global problem, the approach we used in this study can be applied to assess the economic losses of other cities, which supplies a rough estimation of the cost due to the pandemic.

## Data availability

All data analyzed or generated during this study are publicly available.

Received: 27 March 2020; Accepted: 9 July 2020; Published online: 31 July 2020

#### References

- Agüero JM, Beleche T (2017) Health shocks and their long-lasting impact on health behaviors: evidence from the 2009 H1N1 pandemic in Mexico. J Health Econ 54:40–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhealeco.2017.03.008
- Baidu Xinyu (2018) Annual Report of Wuhan Bus Group Co., Ltd in 2018. https:// xin.baidu.com/annualreport?pid=29914674038286&year=2018. Accessed 23 Feb 2020
- Barber LM, Schleier JJ, Peterson RK (2010) Economic cost analysis of the West Nile virus outbreak, Sacramento County, California, USA, 2005. Emerg Infect Dis 16:480–486. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1603.090667

- Chen NS et al. (2020) Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet 395(10223):507–513. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7
- Chen WC, Huang AS, Chuang JH (2011) Social and economic impact of school closure resulted from pandemic influenza A/H1N1. J Infect 62:200–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2011.01.007
- China Bond (2019) Wuhan Metro Group Co., Ltd.'s semi-annual financial report for 2019. https://www.chinabond.com.cn/cb/cn/ywcz/fxyfxdf/zqzl/qyz/cwbg/ 20191029/152999491.shtml. Accessed 23 Feb 2020
- Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2020) Reported Cases of 2019nCoV http://2019ncov.chinacdc.cn/2019-nCoV/. Accessed 23 Feb 2020
- Christina XC, Judith RB, Michael BN (2017) Economic burden of illness among persons with hemophilia B from HUGS Vb: examining the association of severity and treatment regimens with costs and annual bleed rates. Value Health 20:1074–1082. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2017.04.017
- Crowther KG, Haimes YY, Taub G (2007) Systemic valuation of strategic preparedness through the application of the inoperability input-output model with lessons learned from Hurricane Katrina. Risk Anal 27:1345–1364. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2007.00965.x
- East Money database (2019) Business report of Hubei Chu Tian expressway co., ltd 2019 http://data.eastmoney.com/bbsj/600035.html. Accessed 24 Feb 2020
- Giesecke JA, Burns WJ, Barrett A et al. (2012) Assessment of the regional economic impacts of catastrophic events: CGE analysis of resource loss and behavioral effects of an RDD attack scenario. Risk Anal 32(4):583–600. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1539-6924.2010.01567.x
- Guan WJ et al. (2020) Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med 382:1708–1720. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2002032
- Harko T, Lobo FS, Mak M (2014) Exact analytical solutions of the Susceptible-Infected-Recovered (SIR) epidemic model and the SIR model with equal death and birth rates. Appl Math Comput 236:184–194. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.amc.2014.03.030
- Health Commission of Hubei Province (2020b) Report of the epidemic situation in Hubei province. http://wjw.hubei.gov.cn/bmdt/ztzl/fkxxgzbdgrfyyq/. Accessed 23 Feb 2020
- Hu M, Chen W (2019) Assessment of total economic burden of chronic hepatitis B (CHB)-related diseases in Beijing and Guangzhou, China. Value Health 12:589–592. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-4733.2009.00636.x
- Hu Z, Ma W, Ruan S (2012) Analysis of SIR epidemic models with nonlinear incidence rate and treatment. Math Biosci 238:12–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.mbs.2012.03.010
- Huang CL et al. (2020) Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 395:497–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(20)30183-5
- Hubei Provincial Bureau of Statistics (2018) Hubei Statistical Yearbook of 2018. http://tjj.hubei.gov.cn/tjsj/. Accessed 23 Feb 2020
- International Civil Aviation Organization (2020) JAN 2020: Air Transport Monthly Monitor. https://www.icao.int/sustainability/Documents/Monthly Monitor-2020/MonthlyMonitor\_January2020.pdf. Accessed 23 Feb 2020
- Kaufmann AF, Meltzer MI, Schmid GP (1997) The economic impact of a bioterrorist attack: are prevention and post-attack intervention programs justifiable? Emerg Infect Dis 3:83–94
- Keogh-Brown MR, Smith RD (2008) The economic impact of SARS: how does the reality match the predictions? Health Policy 88:110–120. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.healthpol.2008.03.003
- Knobler S et al. (2004) Learning from SARS: preparing for the next disease outbreak-workshop summary. National Academies Press, Washington DC
- Lee B et al. (2008) Simulating the economic impacts of a hypothetical bio-terrorist attack: a sports stadium case. J Homel Secur Emerg Manag 5(1):1–22. https:// doi.org/10.2202/1547-7355.1437
- Lempel H, Epstein JM, Hammond RA (2009) Economic cost and health care workforce effects of school closures in the U.S. PLoS Curr. http://currents. plos.org/influenza/article/economic-cost-and-health-care-workforce-effectsof-school-closures-in-the-u-s/
- Li L et al. (2018) Evaluation of future energy consumption on PM2.5 emissions and public health economic loss in Beijing. J Clean Prod 187:1115–1128. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.03.229
- Liu Q et al. (2016) Asymptotic behavior of a stochastic delayed SEIR epidemic model with nonlinear incidence. Physica A 462:870–882. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.physa.2016.06.095
- Lu XC et al. (2016) Estimation of health and economic costs of air pollution over the Pearl River Delta region in China. Sci Total Environ 566:134–143. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.060
- Lu R et al. (2020) Genomic characterization and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. Lancet Oncol 395:565–574. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30251-8
- Maji KJ et al. (2018) PM2.5-related health and economic loss assessment for 338 Chinese cities. Environ Int 121:392–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envint.2018.09.024

- Mckibbin WJ (2006) Global macroeconomic consequences of pandemic influenza. Paper available at http://www.lowyinstitute.org
- Ministry of Transport of the People's Republic of China (2018) Number of taxi vehicles in provinces and cities of China. http://xxgk.mot.gov.cn/jigou/zhghs/ 201904/t20190412\_3186720.html. Accessed 21 Feb 2020.
- National Bureau of Statistics (2020) Input and Output table of nationwide in 2017. http://data.stats.gov.cn/files/html/quickSearch/trcc/trcc01.html. Accessed 20 May 2020
- National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China (2020a) Handbook of Prevention and Treatment of the Pneumonia Caused by the Novel Coronavirus. http://www.nhc.gov.cn/jkj/s3577/202002/a5d6f7b8c48c451c87dba 14889b30147.shtml. Accessed 21 Feb 2020
- National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China (2020b) Report of epidemic situation. http://www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/xxgzbd/gzbd\_index.shtml. Accessed 23 Feb 2020
- Newall AT et al. (2010) Cost-effectiveness of pharmaceutical-based pandemic influenza mitigation strategies. Emerg Infect Dis 16:224–230. https://doi.org/ 10.3201/eid1602.090571
- Phillips KA (2003) Prevention effectiveness: a guide to decision analysis and economic evaluation, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, New York
- Roosa K, Luo R et al. (2020) Real-time forecasts of the COVID-19 epidemic in China from February 5th to February 24th, 2020. Infect Dis Model 5:256–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2020.02.002
- Rose AZ (2009) A framework for analyzing the total economic impacts of terrorist attacks and natural disasters. J Homeland Sec Emerg Manag 6(1):1–27. https://doi.org/10.2202/1547-7355.1399
- Sadique MZ, Adams EJ, Edmunds WJ (2008) Estimating the costs of school closure for mitigating an influenza pandemic. BMC Public Health 8(1):135–141
- Sander B, Nizam A, Garrison LP (2009) Economic evaluation of influenza pandemic mitigation strategies in the United States using a stochastic microsimulation transmission model. Value Health 12:226–233. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1524-4733.2008.00437.x
- Schmitt K, Zacchia NA (2010) Total decontamination cost of the anthrax letter attacks. Biosens Bioelectron 8:107–117. https://doi.org/10.1089/bsp.2010.0053
- Shan C, Zhu H (2014) Bifurcations and complex dynamics of a SIR model with the impact of the number of hospital beds. J Differ Equations 257:1662–1688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2014.05.030
- Shanghai Clearing House (2018a) Annual Report 2018 of Hubei Traffic Investment Group Co., Ltd. http://www.shclearing.com/xxpl/cwbg/nb/201904/ t20190430\_515236.html. Accessed 25 Feb 2020
- Shanghai Clearing House (2018b) Annual Report of Hubei Railway Construction Group Investment Co., Ltd., 2018.21–23. https://www.shclearing.com/xxpl/ cwbg/nb/201904/t20190425\_510350.html. Accessed 24 Feb 2020
- The Supreme People's Procuratorate of the People's Republic of China (2020) The Supreme People's Procuratorate unveiled new state compensation standard for violating personal freedom. https://www.spp.gov.cn/spp/xwfbh/wsfbt/ 202005/t20200518\_461183.shtml. Accessed 20 May 2020
- Tan L et al (2019) Comprehensive economic loss assessment of disaster based on the CGE model and IO model—a case study on Beijing "7.21 Rainstorm" Int J Disaster Risk Reduct 39:101246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2019.101246
- Tang B et al. (2020a) An updated estimation of the risk of transmission of the novel coronavirus (2019-nCov). Infect Dis Model 5:248–255. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.idm.2020.02.001
- Tang B et al. (2020b) Estimation of the transmission risk of 2019-nCov and its implication for public health interventions. J Clin Med 9:462. https://doi.org/ 10.2139/ssrn.3525558
- Tian HY et al. (2020) An investigation of transmission control measures during the first 50 days of the COVID-19 epidemic in China. Sci Am 368 (6491):638-642. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb6105
- Vari Flight (2020) Development Report on Civil Aviation Airport in China 2019. https://data.variflight.com/. Accessed 23 Feb 2020
- Wang HW et al (2020) Phase-adjusted estimation of the number of coronavirus disease 2019 cases in Wuhan, China Cell Discov 6(1):1–8. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41421-020-0148-0

- World Health Organization (2020a) Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report-34. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/ situation-reports/20200223-sitrep-34-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=44ff8fd3\_2/. Accessed 23 Feb 2020
- World Health Organization (2020b) World health statistics. https://www.who.int/ data. Accessed 23 February 2020
- Wuhan Bureau of Statistics (2018) Wuhan Statistical Yearbook—2018. http://tij. wuhan.gov.cn/tjfw/tjnj/202004/P020200426461240969401.pdf. Accessed 23 February 2020
- Wuhan Bureau of transportation (2018) Statistical Bulletin of Logistics in Wuhan in 2018. http://jtj.wuhan.gov.cn/Item/10003953.aspx. Accessed 23 Feb 2020
- Xu XT et al. (2020) Evolution of the novel coronavirus from the ongoing Wuhan outbreak and modeling of its spike protein for risk of human transmission. Sci China Life Sci 63:457–460. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-020-1637-5
- Yao MH et al. (2020) Estimating health burden and economic loss attributable to short-term exposure to multiple air pollutants in China. Environ Res 183:109184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109184
- Zhang X et al. (2017) Socioeconomic burden of air pollution in China: provincelevel analysis based on the energy-economic model. Energy Econ 68:478–489. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2017.10.013
- Zhou T et al. (2020) Preliminary prediction of the basic reproduction number of the novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV. J Evid Based Med 13:3–7. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/jebm.12376

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Prof. Huaiping Zhu from York University for his help to improve the paper.

#### Author contributions

SY designed this study, HW, MZ, HS, and XX analyzed and explained the data, SY, HS, and YL wrote the paper, SY, HW, MZ, and XX contribute to the data collection. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.Y., H.S. or Y.L.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020