scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Non-specific uptake of ¹⁸F-FAPI-04 in the pancreas and its related factors: a post-hoc analysis of an ongoing prospective clinical trial

Yan Li, Jungang Gao, Yang Li, Xiaoyi Duan & Cong Shen 🕑 🖂

This study aimed to analyze the characteristics of the non-specific uptake (NSU) of ¹⁸F-labeled fibroblast activation protein inhibitor (¹⁸F-FAPI) of the pancreas and investigate the related factors. Totally, 78 patients who underwent both ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) and ¹⁸F-FAPI PET/CT examinations were divided into normal (n = 53) and NSU (n = 25) groups. The differences in general information, medical history, laboratory indexes and uptake were compared. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to analyze the optimal cut-off values. The correlations between ¹⁸F-FAPI-SUVmax and blood cell analysis, liver function indexes, tumor markers, and inflammatory indices were analyzed. The logistic regression model was used to estimate the independent factors. Both ¹⁸F-FAPI (4.48 ± 0.98 vs. 2.01 ± 0.53, t = 11.718, P < 0.05) and ¹⁸F-FDG (2.23 ± 0.42 vs. 2.02 ± 0.44, t = 2.036, P = 0.045) showed significantly higher in NSU group. Patients in the NSU group tended to be complicated with a history of drinking (P = 0.034), chronic liver diseases (P = 0.006), and surgery of gastrectomy (P = 0.004). ROC analysis showed cutoff values of 3.25 and 2.05 for ¹⁸F-FAPI and ¹⁸F-FDG in identifying the NSU. Patients in the NSU group showed less platelet count, higher platelet volume, higher total bilirubin, direct or indirect bilirubin (P < 0.05). Platelet count, platelet crit, large platelet ratio, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), α-L-fucosidase, and total, direct or indirect bilirubin were correlated with ¹⁸F-FAPI-SUVmax (P < 0.05). AST [1.099 (1.014, 1.192), P = 0.021] and total bilirubin [1.137 (1.035, 1.249), P = 0.007] were two independent factors in the step forward logistic regression, and platelet/% [1.079 (1.004, 1.160), P = 0.039] and total bilirubin [1.459 (1.016, 2.095), P = 0.041] were two independent factors in the step backward logistic regression for the prediction of pancreatic uptake of ¹⁸F-FAPI. ¹⁸F-FAPI-PET/CT was better than ¹⁸F-FDG in predicting the pancreatic NSU, and NSU is related to a history of drinking, chronic liver diseases, gastrectomy, heteromorphic platelet, and impaired liver function.

Keywords ¹⁸F-FAPI, ¹⁸F-FDG, PET/CT, Non-specific uptake; pancreas

Abbreviations

- AFP Alpha-fetoprotein AIP Autoimmune pancreatitis
- AIP Autoimmune pancreatitis
- ALT Alanine aminotransferase
- AST Aspartate aminotransferase
- AUC Area under the curve
- BMI Body mass index
- CA Carbohydrate antigen
- CAFs Cancer-associated fibroblasts
- CEA Carcinoembryonic antigen

Department of PET/CT, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, 277 Yanta West Road, Xi'an 710061, Shaanxi, China. [⊠]email: 1187385519@qq.com

CRP	C reactive protein
CV	Coefficients of variation
CYFRA 19	Cytokeratin fragment 19
DBIL	Direct bilirubin
FAP	Fibroblast activation protein
FAPI	Fibroblast activation protein inhibitor
FDG	Fluorodeoxyglucose
IDBIL	Indirect bilirubin
IL-6	Interleukin 6
NLR	Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio
NSE	Neuron-specific enolase
NSU	Non-specific uptake
PC	Pancreatic cancer
PET/CT	Positron emission tomography/computed tomography
ROC	Receiver operating characteristic
ROI	Region of interest
SCC	Squamous cell carcinoma
SD	Standard deviation
SUVmax	Maximum standardized uptake value
SUVmax	Maximum standardized uptake value
TBIL	Total bilirubin

Fibroblast activation protein (FAP), a type II transmembrane glycoprotein, is an antigenic molecule on the surface of cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs)^{1,2}. FAP is often highly expressed in tumors of epithelial origin³, and FAP inhibitors (FAPIs) are small molecule compounds synthesized based on the structure of quinoline, which can bind specifically to FAP on the surface of CAFs. Thus, FAPI positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) is commonly used in the clinical imaging of malignancies. Besides, FAP can also be found during the remodeling of the extracellular matrix, such as chronic inflammation, arthritis, fibrosis, and ischemic heart tissue after a myocardial infarction⁴. Thus, non-oncological FAPI uptake can be seen in liver fibrosis, cirrhosis, arthritis, cardiovascular disease, IgG4-related disease, and benign tumors⁵.

The pancreas, a vital digestive organ, is surrounded by a fibrous capsule from which connective tissue septa extend into the gland. FAPI is highly expressed in pancreatic cancers due to the abundant extracellular matrix and stromal cells, including CAFs. Although mesenchyme accounts for approximately 15–25% of total pancreas volume⁶, FAPI uptake was low in the normal tissues of the pancreas due to the inactivated mesenchyme fibroblast, with a maximum standardized uptake value (SUVmax) of 1.04–3.0, even lower than the FDG uptake^{7–9}.

Except for the oncological uptake, FAPI accumulation has also been confirmed in acute pancreatitis (average SUVmax: 7.5 ± 3.5 , n = 8)¹⁰ and IgG4-related pancreatitis (average SUVmax: 15.2 ± 9.0 , n = 19)¹¹. Zhang¹² has reported 7 cases of focally elevated uptake of ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI-04 in the pancreas, and lesions were identified, including pancreatic pseudocysts, sites of prior pancreatitis, and IgG 4-related disease. However, in our clinical observation, we noticed that some cases without pancreatic cancer and acute or IgG4-related pancreatitis also showed an accumulation of ¹⁸F-FAPI in the pancreas. Rare studies have mentioned this non-specific uptake (NSU) of FAPI in the pancreas and investigate the potential independent factors.

Materials and Methods

Materials

This was a post-hoc analysis of a single-center, ongoing prospective clinical trial conducted at the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University (approval number: XJTU1AF-CRF-2022-021). The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xi'an Jiaotong University (XJTU1AF2022LSL-021). This study was registered at ClinicalTrials Gov (number NCT05788874). All participants signed an informed consent form following the national regulations of the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice.

This retrospective study included 86 patients who underwent both ¹⁸F-FDG and ¹⁸F-FAPI PET/CT examinations at an interval of 1–43 days. One patient with a history of pancreatic resection was excluded, and all patients were followed up for the final diagnosis. 4 cases of autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) and 3 cases of pancreatic cancer (PC) confirmed by imaging manifestations, or histopathological evidence, were excluded. None of the women included in this study were pregnant. The study flow is displayed in Fig. 1.

Methods

PET/CT image acquisition

<u>Preparation of ¹⁸F-FDG and ¹⁸F-FAPI-04</u>. ¹⁸F-FDG and ¹⁸F-FAPI-04 were synthesized using a GE MINItrace cyclotron and Tracerlab FX-FN multifunctional synthesizer. The synthetic precursor kits, FDG and FAPI, were purchased from ABX (Germany) and Jiangyuan (China). The radio-chemical purity of the synthesized drugs exceeded 95%. Further physical and biological quality controls were performed in compliance with current pharmacopeias.

<u>PET/CT imaging.</u> All patients underwent PET/CT on the same scanner (Gemini TF PET/CT, Philips, Netherlands). For ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT scans, the patients fasted for ≥ 6 h, and the blood glucose levels were ≤ 12 mmol/L



Figure 1. Study workflow. *FAPI* fibroblast activation protein inhibitor, *FDG* fluorodeoxyglucose, *AIP* autoimmune pancreatitis, *PET/CT* positron emission tomography/computed tomography, *NSU* non-specific uptake, *PC* pancreatic cancer.

before the injection (370 MBq/kg). There was no special preparation for patients on the day of ¹⁸F-FAPI PET/CT scanning, and ¹⁸F-FAPI PET/CT scan was initiated 60 min after injection (370 MBq/kg).

Images encompassing the head to the mid-thigh were obtained for both scans. PET scans (1.5 min/bed position, 6–10 bed/per person) were acquired with an interval of 68.5 ± 12.1 min (range, $47 \sim 90$ min) in three-dimensional mode after the injection. CT scans were performed (tube voltage 120 kV, tube current 50–100 mAs, layer thickness, 5 mm; 512×512 matrix) for attenuation correction and anatomical reference. The images were then reconstructed using an iterative method and time of flight, and the image data were transferred to an Extended Brilliance Workstation for image interpretation.

PET/CT image evaluation

The ¹⁸F-FDG and ¹⁸F-FAPI PET/CT images were interpreted by two > 10 years of experienced PET/CT physicians (Y.L.) and (C.S.), and a consensus was performed. Any disagreements were resolved by a more experienced PET/CT physician. The NSU group was defined as the increased radioactivity uptake of FAPI in the pancreas compared to the background, without confirming pancreatic cancer, acute or IgG4-related pancreatitis by other imaging methods, serology, or pathological results (if available).

For the normal group, the criteria were: (1) without ¹⁸F-FAPI uptake compared with the background; (2) without elevated serum tumor biomarkers or pancreatitis biomarkers; (3) without a history of pancreatic disease; or (4) without pancreatic-related symptoms or body signs.

The same nuclear medicine physician (C.S.) measured the pancreas' SUVmax values using circular regions of interest (ROI) placed on axial slices. The CT image features of NSU patients, including pancreatic swelling, calcification, and irregular dilatation of the pancreatic ducts, were also evaluated.

Clinical indexes

General clinical indices, including sex (male/female), age (years), primary diagnosis (specific name), height (cm), weight (kg), and BMI (kg*m⁻²), were recorded. The medical history, including smoking (no/yes), drinking (no/yes), hypertension (no/yes), diabetes (no/yes), chronic liver diseases (no/yes), active tumor (no/yes), chemotherapy (no/yes), gastrectomy (no/yes), intestine enterectomy (no/yes) and intrahepatic metastasis (no/ yes) were recorded.

Laboratory test indexes

Laboratory tests at an interval of 3 days with the ¹⁸F-FAPI-PET/CT scan were recorded (if available), including the following parameters:

Blood cell indicators: red blood cell count $(10^{12*}L^{-1})$, hemoglobin (g^*L^{-1}) , hematocrit (%), mean erythrocyte volume (fL), average hemoglobin (pg), average hemoglobin concentration (g^*L^{-1}) , erythrocyte width-CV (%), erythrocyte width SD (fL), platelet count $(10^{9*}L^{-1})$, platelet distribution width (fL), mean platelet volume (fL), large platelet ratio (%), platelet accumulation (%), white blood cell count $(10^{9*}L^{-1})$, lymphocyte count $(10^{9*}L^{-1})$, monocyte count $(10^{9*}L^{-1})$, neutrophil count $(10^{9*}L^{-1})$, eosinophilic cell count $(10^{9*}L^{-1})$, basophilic cell count $(10^{9*}L^{-1})$.

Liver function indicators: aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (U^*L^{-1}) , alanine transaminase (ALT) (U^*L^{-1}) , AST/ALT, alkaline phosphatase (U^*L^{-1}) , γ -glutamyl transpeptidase (U^*L^{-1}) , total bilirubin (TBIL) (μ mol $^*L^{-1}$), direct bilirubin (DBIL) (μ mol $^*L^{-1}$), indirect bilirubin (IDBIL) (μ mol $^*L^{-1}$), total protein (g^*L^{-1}), albumin (g^*L^{-1}), globulin (g^*L^{-1}), albumin-globulin ratio (%), total bile acids (μ mol $^*L^{-1}$), blood glucose (mmol $^*L^{-1}$), and α -L-fucosidase (U^*L^{-1}).

Tumor markers: squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) (ng^*mL^{-1}), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) (ng^*mL^{-1}), alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) (ng^*mL^{-1}), carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA-125) (U^*mL^{-1}), CA-199 (U^*mL^{-1}), CA-724 (U^*mL^{-1}), cytokeratin fragment 19 (CYFRA-19) (ng^*mL^{-1}), and neuron-specific enolase (NSE) (ng^*mL^{-1}).

Inflammatory indices: C-reactive protein (CRP) (mg^*L^{-1}), interleukin 6 (IL-6) (pg^*mL^{-1}), IL-10 (pg^*mL^{-1}), IL-17 (pg^*mL^{-1}).

Statistical analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for the statistical analyses. Continuous variables with normal distribution and variance uniformity were represented as means ± standard deviation and analyzed by independent-sample *t*-tests, and continuous variables without normal distribution were expressed as median [25%, 75%] and were tested using the Mann–Whitney U test. Categorical variables were defined as several cases and analyzed by χ^2 or Fisher exact tests. *Kappa* test was used for consistency evaluation between the two readers.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to analyze the optimal cut-off value of SUVmax for predicting NSU of the pancreas [determined by Youden index = sensitivity + specificity – 1]. The DeLong test was used to compare the performance between the ¹⁸F-FAPI and ¹⁸F-FDG using Medcalc (v22.009, MedCalc Software Ltd, Belgium).

The correlations between FAPI-SUVmax and blood cell analyzed indicators, liver function indicators, tumor markers, and inflammatory indices were analyzed by Pearson correlation (only continuous variables with normal distribution and variance uniformity) or Spearman correlation. The logistic regression model was used to estimate the factors related to pancreatic NSU. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

Results

Clinical characteristics

Seventy-eight patients (45 males, 33 females) were analyzed with a median age of 60 years old (25% ~75%, 52 ~ 68 years old). The primary malignancy or the primary diagnosis was shown in Supplementary Table 1.

Among the 78 patients included, 25 (32.05%) showed NSU in the pancreas with a pattern of diffused uptake. For the 25 cases in the NSU group, the agreement rate of the two readers was 84.00% (21/25), and 4 cases (with the SUVmax of 2.57, 3.33, 3.41 and 3.41, respectively) were judged by the 3rd reader. For the 53 cases in the normal group, the agreement rate of the two readers was 98.11% (52/53), and 1 cases (with the SUVmax of 3.17) were judged by the 3rd reader. The Kappa of the two readers was 0.848 (P<0.001). None of the patients in the NSU patients showed pancreatic swelling and calcification, and only one patient (4%) showed irregular dilatation of the pancreatic ducts. The tracer uptake of ¹⁸F-FAPI showed significantly higher in the NSU group than in the normal group (4.48 ± 0.98 vs. 2.01 ± 0.53, t = 11.718, P<0.001). Meantime, although with the slight difference of SUVmax, the tracer uptake of FDG also showed significantly higher in the NSU group than that of in the normal group (2.23 ± 0.42 vs. 2.02 ± 0.44, t = 2.036, P = 0.045), see as Table 1.

Items	Normal (n=53)	NSU (n=25)	$T/Z/\chi^2$	Р				
Genaral information								
Age/year	60 (51, 68)	58.40 ± 10.80	- 0.182 ^a	0.940				
Sex (male/female)	29/24	16/9	0.600 ^b	0.439				
Height/cm	165.36 ± 7.608	166.16 ± 8.035	- 0.426	0.671				
Weight/kg	60 (55, 69)	60.21 ± 12.38	- 0.182ª	0.855				
BMI/kg*m ⁻²	22.16±3.18	21.76 ± 3.71	0.482	0.631				
Medical history	Medical history							
Smoking (no/yes)	45/8	19/6	0.915 ^b	0.339				
Drinking (no/yes)	52/1	21/4	NA	0.034				
Hypertension (no/yes)	41/12	18/7	0.265 ^b	0.607				
Diabetes (no/yes)	46/7	21/4	0.109 ^b	0.741				
Chronic liver diseases (no/yes)	50/3	18/7	7.585 ^b	0.006				
Active tumor (no/yes)	10/43	5/20	0.014 ^b	0.906				
Chemotherapy (no/yes)	35/18	15/10	0.269 ^b	0.604				
Gastrectomy (no/yes)	48/5	16/9	NA	0.004				
Intestine enterectomy (no/yes)	49/4	22/3	NA	0.674				
Intrahepatic metastasis (no/yes)	44/9	22/3	NA	0.742				
Uptake of tracers								
SUVmax_FAPI	2.01 ± 0.53	4.48 ± 0.98	11.718	< 0.001				
SUVmax_FDG	2.02 ± 0.44	2.23 ± 0.42	2.036	0.045				
Interval time of two scans (day)	2 (1, 4)	2 (1, 6)	- 0.734	0.463				

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the patients in two groups. *NSU* non-specific uptake, *BMI* body mass index, *FAPI* fibroblast activation protein, *FDG* fluoro-deoxyglucose. ^aZ test: ^b x^2 test; NA: Fisher test.

Scientific Reports | (2024) 14:11141 |

The tracer uptake in the normal group between the ¹⁸F-FAPI and ¹⁸F-FDG showed no difference (P=0.890), while the tracer uptake in the NSU group between ¹⁸F-FAPI and ¹⁸F-FDG showed a significant difference (P<0.001), see as Fig. 2.

In the Chi-Square test, patients in the NSU group were tended to complicate with a history of drinking (P=0.034), chronic liver diseases (P=0.006), and surgery of gastrectomy (P=0.004), see Table 1, Figs. 3 and 4.

ROC analysis of SUVmax value of two tracers

ROC analysis showed that the cutoff value for FAPI-SUVmax to identify the NSU from normal was 3.25, with an area under the curve (AUC), sensitivity, and specificity of 0.992, 0.960, and 1.000, respectively, see Fig. 5. The cutoff value for FDG-SUVmax to identify the NSU from normal was 2.05, with an AUC an area under the curve (AUC), sensitivity, and specificity of 0.630, 0.720, and 0.509, respectively, see Table 2. The Delong test showed that the two ROC curves differed significantly (Z = 5.348, P < 0.001).

Comparisons of blood cell analysis, liver function indicators, tumor biomarkers, and inflammatory indices between two groups

Patients in the NSU group showed a lower level of platelet count (NSU *vs.* Normal, 182.26 ± 76.70 *vs.* 229.30 ± 74.17 , t = 2.490, P = 0.015), higher level of mean platelet volume (NSU *vs.* Normal, 10.93 ± 1.34 *vs.*



Figure 2. Comparison of SUVmax values. FAPI, fibroblast activation protein inhibitor; FDG, fluorodeoxyglucose; NSU, non-specific uptake; **P<0.01.



Figure 3. NSU in the ¹⁸F-FAPI after the surgery of gastrectomy and negative in the ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT. A male patient, 55 years old, after surgery of gastrectomy for gastric cancer. ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT (**A**) showed no FDG concentration in the pancreas, and ¹⁸F-FAPI PET/CT imaging (**B**) showed a high concentration of diffuse FAPI in the pancreas, with an SUVmax of 5.3. *FAPI* fibroblast activation protein, *FDG* fluorodeoxyglucose, *PET/CT* positron emission tomography/computed tomography. *SUVmax* maximum standardized uptake value.



Figure 4. NSU in the ¹⁸F-FAPI of the cirrhosis of the liver and negative in the ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT. A male patient, 42 years of age, with chronic cirrhosis of the liver. ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT (**A**) showed no FDG concentration in the pancreas, and ¹⁸F-FAPI PET/CT imaging (**B**) showed a high concentration in the pancreas, with an SUVmax of 6.3. *FAPI* fibroblast activation protein, *FDG* fluorodeoxyglucose, *PET/CT* positron emission tomography/ computed tomography, *SUVmax* maximum standardized uptake value.



Figure 5. ROC analysis of SUVmax for ¹⁸F-FAPI and ¹⁸F-FDG in predicting pancreatic NSU.

Variable	AUC (95%CI)	Sensitivity	Specificity	Cutoff value	Youden index
SUVmax_FAPI	0.992 (0.975 ~ 1.000)	0.960	1.000	3.25	0.960
SUVmax_FDG	0.630 (0.499~0.761)	0.720	0.509	2.05	0.229

 Table 2.
 SUVmax cutoff values. FAPI fibroblast activation protein inhibitor, FDG fluorodeoxyglucose, NSU non-specific uptake.

 10.26 ± 1.23 , t = -2.108, P = 0.039), and large platelet ratio (NSU *vs.* Normal, 32.65 ± 10.05 *vs.* 27.47 ± 8.40 , t = -2.298, P = 0.025) for the complete blood cells analysis, and patients in the NSU group showed a higher level of total bilirubin (NSU *vs.* Normal, 21.37 ± 15.84 *vs.* 9.55[6.62, 13.05], Z = -3.848, P < 0.001), direct bilirubin (NSU *vs.* Normal, 7.41 ± 6.96 *vs.* 2.75(1.87, 3.90), Z = -3.290, P = 0.001), and indirect bilirubin (NSU *vs.* Normal, 13.95 ± 9.67 *vs.* 7.97 ± 4.56 , t = -2.725, P = 0.011) in the serum, see as Table 3. The tumor biomarkers and the inflammatory indices didn't statistically differ in the two groups (P > 0.05), see Table 4.

Correlation analysis of laboratory indicators with the SUVmax value of ¹⁸F-FAPI

In the correlation analysis, blood platelet count and platelet crit showed significantly negative correlation with SUVmax (r = -0.296, P = 0.011; r = 0.252, P = 0.031, respectively), and large platelet ratio, TBIL, DBIL, IDBIL, AST, and α -K-fucosidase showed positive correlation with SUVmax (r ranged 0.239 \sim 0.472, all P value < 0.05), see as Fig. 6. Other correlations without statistical significance were shown in the Supplementary Table.

Items	Normal (n=53)	NSU (n = 25)	T/Z	Р
Blood analysis				
Red cell count/10 ¹² *L ⁻¹	4.07 ± 0.68	4.11 ± 0.85	- 0.212	0.833
Hemoglobin/ g*L ⁻¹	121.80±19.96	120.08 ± 14.389	0.376	0.708
Hematocrit/%	37.79±5.72	37.26 ± 4.97	0.389	0.698
Mean erythrocyte volume/fL	93.38±7.75	92.19±10.00	0.563	0.575
hemoglobin/pg	30.60 (29.05, 31.60)	29.88±3.96	- 0.393ª	0.695
Hemoglobin concentration/g*L ⁻¹	323.50(316.75,327.25)	324.33±14.77	- 0.732 ^a	0.470
Erythrocyte width-CV/%	13.20 (12.75, 15.47)	13.90 (13.20, 16.00)	- 1.854 ^a	0.064
Erythrocyte width SD/fL	46.55(42.15, 50.90)	47.00(42.20, 54.40)	- 0.618 ^a	0.537
Platelet count/109*L-1	229.30±74.17	182.26±76.70	2.490	0.015
Platelet distribution width/fL	15.70(11.12, 16.30)	15.90 (12.30, 16.80)	- 1.230ª	0.219
Mean platelet volume/fL	10.26±1.23	10.93 ± 1.34	- 2.108	0.039
Large platelet ratio/%	27.47 ± 8.40	32.65 ± 10.05	- 2.298	0.025
Platelet accumulation/%	0.23±0.07	0.19 ± 0.08	1.886	0.063
White cell count/109*L-1	5.52±1.83	5.49±2.25	0.071	0.944
Lymphocyte count/109*L-1	1.29±0.55	1.26 ± 0.69	0.183	0.856
Monocyte count/109*L-1	0.40±0.15	0.41(0.29, 0.56)	- 0.202ª	0.840
Neutrophil count/109*L-1	3.67±1.49	3.59 ± 2.05	0.182	0.856
Eosinophilic cell count/109*L-1	0.09(0.05, 0.18)	0.08(0.04,0.11)	- 1.371 ^a	0.170
Basophilic cell count/109*L-1	0.02(0.02, 0.03)	0.02(0.01, 0.03)	- 0.344ª	0.731
Liver function test				
AST/U*L ⁻¹	21.95±6.21	21.0(18.5, 37.5)	- 1.675 ^a	0.094
ALT/U*L ⁻¹	21.29±9.46	25.33±16.86	- 1.091	0.284
AST/ALT	1.21±0.53	1.43 ± 0.69	- 1.562	0.123
Alkaline phosphatase/U*L ⁻¹	82.5(66.5, 94.0)	107.71 ± 52.18	- 1.117 ^a	0.264
γ-glutamyl transpeptidase /U*L ⁻¹	25.5(17.2, 41.0)	27.0(18.0, 62.7)	- 0.167ª	0.867
TBIL/µmol*L ⁻¹	9.55(6.62, 13.05)	21.37 ± 15.84	- 3.848ª	< 0.001
DBIL/µmol*L ⁻¹	2.75(1.87, 3.90)	7.41 ± 6.96	- 3.290ª	0.001
IDBIL/µmol*L ⁻¹	7.97±4.56	13.95 ± 9.67	- 2.725	0.011
Total protein/g*L ⁻¹	67.5(64.37, 74.00)	67.81 ± 7.01	- 0.141 ^a	0.888
Albumin /g*L ⁻¹	39.25±5.19	39.01 ± 5.32	0.323	0.748
Globulin/g*L ⁻¹	27.65(25.02, 29.97)	28.80 ± 4.15	- 0.405ª	0.685
Albumin-globulin ratio/%	1.50(1.30, 1.60)	1.38 ± 0.23	- 0.503ª	0.615
Total bile acids/ μ mol*L ⁻¹	3.15(1.70, 3.90)	2.70(1.37, 9.17)	- 0.266ª	0.790
Blood glucose/mmol*L ⁻¹	4.93 (4.49, 5.36)	4.73(4.37, 6.72)	0.705 ^a	0.702

Table 3. Comparisons blood cells and liver function indexes in two groups. 4 patients in normal group and 1 patient in NSU group were missed in the blood cell analysis; 4 patients in normal group and 2 patients in NSU group were missed in the liver function analysis; 34 patients in normal group and 10 patients in NSU group were included in the total bile acids comparison; 48 patients in normal group and 21 patients in NSU group were included in the blood glucose comparison; *NSU* non-specific uptake, *FAPI* fibroblast activation protein, *FDG* fluoro-deoxyglucose, *CV* coefficients of variation, *SD* standard deviation, *AST* aspartate aminotransferase, *ALT* alanine transaminase, *TBIL* total bilirubin, *DBIL* direct bilirubin, *IDBIL* indirect bilirubin. ^aZ test.

Scientific Reports | (2024) 14:11141 |

Items	Normal (n=53)	NSU (n = 25)	Z	Р			
Tumor biomarkers							
SCC/ ng*mL ⁻¹	0.66(0.89, 1.36)	0.74(0.86, 0.96)	- 0.213	0.832			
CEA/ ng*mL ⁻¹	1.85(3.86, 13.45)	2.75(3.04, 5.61)	- 0.831	0.406			
AFP/ ng*mL ⁻¹	2.375(3.02, 4.65)	3.5(4.44, 7.21)	- 0.900	0.368			
CA-125/U*mL ⁻¹	9.45(24.30, 76.6)	13.8(60.60, 502)	- 1.597	0.110			
CA-199/U*mL ⁻¹	10.65(18.90, 32.5)	7.6(64.40, 94.60)	- 1.048	0.295			
CA-724/U*mL ⁻¹	1.135(1.84, 12.68)	1.91(3.42, 6.85)	- 0.597	0.551			
CYFRA-19/ ng*mL ⁻¹	1.86(2.70, 4.03)	2.88(3.32, 4.74)	- 1.364	0.173			
NSE/ ng*mL ⁻¹	12.335(14.87, 16.71)	13.63(15.58, 22.23)	- 0.304	0.761			
Inflammatory indices							
CRP/ mg*L ⁻¹	6.58(1.52, 40.50)	2.06 (0.86, 13.70)	0.606	0.856			
IL-6/pg*mL ⁻¹	2.53(1.75, 7.72)	6.92 (1.21, 11.67)	0.967	0.307			
IL-10/pg*mL ⁻¹	1.93(1.05, 2.49)	2.25(1.81, 3.57)	0.980	0.292			
IL-17/pg*mL ⁻¹	3.27(3.27, 4.49)	3.35(3.27, 12.42)	0.580	0.890			

Table 4. Comparisons tumor biomarkers and inflammatory indices indexes in two groups. 31, 40, 30, 34, 34, 32, 32, 31, 19, 17, 15, 15 patients in the normal group and 10, 20, 9, 10, 19, 14, 11, 10, 11, 11, 10, 9 in the NSU group were included for the comparisons of SCC, AFP, CA-125, CA-199, CA-724, CYFRA-19, NSE, CRP, IL-6, IL-10, IL-17, respectively. *NSU* non-specific uptake, *CA* carbohydrate antigen, *AFP* alpha-fetoprotein, *CEA* carcinoembryonic antigen, *SCC* squamous cell carcinoma antigen, *NSE* neuron-specific enolase, *CRP* C-reactive protein, *IL* interleukin, *CYFRA-19* cytokeratin fragment 19.

Logistic regression of all the factors related to pancreatic uptake of ¹⁸F-FAPI PET/CT images

In the logistic regression, AST/U^*L^{-1} [OR (95% CI), 1.099 (1.014, 1.192), P = 0.021] and $TBIL/\mu mol^*L^{-1}$ [OR (95%CI), 1.137 (1.035, 1.249), P = 0.007] were two independent factors for the pancreatic uptake of ¹⁸F-FAPI with the step forward for the selection of the factors. The ratio of large platelet/% [OR (95% CI), 1.079 (1.004, 1.160), P = 0.039] and TBIL/µmol*L⁻¹ [OR (95% CI), 1.459 (1.016, 2.095), P = 0.041] were two independent factors for the pancreatic uptake of ¹⁸F-FAPI with the step backward for the selection of the factors, see as Table 5.

Discussion

This study tried to analyze the characteristics of the NSU uptake of ¹⁸F-FAPI in the pancreas by comparing it with the ¹⁸F-FDG head-to head. In the previous studies, non-oncological FAPI uptake was mainly explained as the pancreatic pseudocysts, sites of prior pancreatitis, and IgG 4-related disease¹². However, in our clinical observations, approximately one-third of patients (25/78) without evidence of AIP and PC showed a kind of diffused NSU FAPI uptake in the pancreas, which means the fibrotic or chronic inflammatory changes of the pancreatic tissue. The difference in SUVmax in the two groups (NSU vs. normal, 4.48±0.98 vs. 2.01±0.53, *P*<0.05) was notable and can be identified by human eyes. Meantime, the difference of SUVmax for ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT scan in two groups (NSU vs. normal, 2.23±0.42 vs. 2.02±0.44, *P*=0.045), although statistically significant, was slight and was challenging to identify by human eyes. In the ROC analysis, SUVmax derived from ¹⁸F-FAPI PET/CT scan showed higher AUC, sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy in differentiating the pancreatic NSU than SUVmax derived from ¹⁸F-FDG (*P*<0.05), and the Delong test of two ROC curves showed statistically different (Z=5.348, *P*<0.001). These results indicated that ¹⁸F-FAPI can detect chronic or fibrotic pancreas lesions that were negative in ¹⁸F-FDG.

In our cohort, the cutoff value of the normal pancreas was 3.25 for ¹⁸F-FAPI and 2.05 for ¹⁸F-FDG. Previous studies reported ¹⁸F-FAPI-SUVmax for the normal pancreatic tissues varied from 1.04 to $3.0^{7,9}$. Frederik L et al. et al. 7 conducted a head-to-head intra-individual comparison of biodistribution of ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in cancer patients, and their results showed the pancreas uptake showed significantly lower for ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI compared with ¹⁸F-FDG (1.82 vs. 1.99; P = 0.027). However, in our cohort, pancreas uptake showed no difference for ¹⁸F-FAPI compared with ¹⁸F-FDG (2.01 ± 0.53 vs 2.02 ± 0.44, P = 0.900). Cihan Gündoğan analyzed the ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI-04 uptake in healthy tissues, and the pancreas showed a median SUVmax of 2.61 (minimal-maximal, $1.04 \sim 2.58$)¹³, which is very close to our results.

In our cohort, heavy drinking, chronic liver diseases, and surgery of gastrectomy showed significant correlations with higher ¹⁸F-FAPI uptake. Previous study in a large-scale population shows that smoking, obesity, long-term drinking, diabetes, liver diseases, and long-term malnutrition are significantly associated with an increased risk of pancreatic disease¹⁴. Alcohol continues to be the an critical risk factor for chronic pancreatitis¹⁵. Therefore, alcoholism may have caused chronic pancreatitis. The surgery of gastrectomy, but not the intestine enterectomy, showed a correlation with pancreatic NSU, possibly due to the pancreatic fistula or biochemical leakage after gastrectomy¹⁶, which can lead to acute or chronic pancreatitis.

In our results, chronic liver disease, such as hepatitis or cirrhosis, but not liver metastasis, showed a correlation with pancreatic NSU. This can be explained. Hepatotropic viruses, such as hepatitis A, B, and E, were reported to affect of various extrahepatic tissues, such as the kidney, thyroid, pancreas, and bone marrow^{17,18}. The presence of these viruses in pancreatic tissue induces fibrotic or chronic inflammatory changes resulting from the excessive deposition of the extracellular matrix, with the possibility of progression to metaplasia and subsequently,



Figure 6. Scatter diagrams of the correlations of laboratory indicators and ¹⁸F-FAPI uptake. *AST* aspartate aminotransferase, *TBIL* total bilirubin, *DBIL* direct bilirubin, *IDBIL* indirect bilirubin, *SUVmax* maximum standardized uptake value.

to malignant transformation¹⁹. Ying Kou also found the higher uptake of ¹⁸F-FAPI in the pancreas, with the SUVmax \pm SD of (4.7 \pm 1.5)²⁰, which is very close to our results (SUVmax ¹⁸F-FAPI: 4.48 \pm 0.98). However, they attributed this kind of higher uptake to physiological uptake. Whether the NSU is of clinical importance is not

	Single factor Logistic regression		multivariate logistic regression (forward)		multivariate logistic regression (backward)	
	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
Drinking (no/yes)	9.905 (1.045, 93.893)	0.046				
Chronic liver diseases (no/yes)	6.481 (1.511, 27.794)	0.012				
Gastrectomy (no/yes)	16.421(1.854, 145.44)	0.012				
Platelet count/109*L-1	0.991 (0.984, 0.999)	0.020				
Mean platelet volume/fL	1.503 (1.007, 2.243)	0.046				
Large platelet ratio/%	1.065 (1.006, 1.128)	0.030			1.079 (1.004, 1.160)	0.039
AST/U*L ⁻¹	1.084 (1.018, 1.153)	0.011	1.099 (1.014, 1.192)	0.021		
TBIL/µmol*L ⁻¹	1.135 (1.041, 1.237)	0.004	1.137 (1.035, 1.249)	0.007	1.459 (1.016, 2.095)	0.041
DBIL/µmol*L ⁻¹	1.289 (1.053, 1.578)	0.014				
IDBIL/µmol*L ⁻¹	1.159 (1.043, 1.288)	0.006				
α -L-fucosidase/U*L ⁻¹	1.166 (0.990, 1.373)	0.066				

Table 5. Logistic regression of the all the factors related with pancreatic uptake of ¹⁸F-FAPI PET/CT images. *AST* aspartate aminotransferase, *ALT* alanine transaminase, *TBIL* total bilirubin, *DBIL* direct bilirubin, *IDBIL* indirect bilirubin, *OR* odds ratio, *CI* confidence interval.

a done deal, ¹⁸F-FAPI may be a new way to visible the potential fibrous changes in the pancreas, which is not shown by the traditional imaging modalities.

In the laboratory test, patients in the NSU group showed a lower platelet count, higher mean platelet volume, and large platelet ratio for the complete blood cells analysis (P < 0.05), which means worse platelet morphology. Previous studies showed that the increase of atypical platelets may predict worse clinical outcomes^{21,22} and poor prognosis in patients with pancreatic cancer²³. Also, patients in the NSU group showed higher levels of AST, total bilirubin, direct bilirubin, indirect bilirubin, and α -K-fucosidase in the serum (P < 0.05), which means impaired liver function or liver fibrosis in the patients with NSU of pancreas. In the logistic regression model, large platelet ratio, AST, and TBIL may be the independent factors for pancreatic ¹⁸F-FAPI uptake. This can be explained, as AST and the platelet are regarded as the important biomarkers in the evaluation of the liver fibrosis^{24,25}.

To our knowledge, this is the first reported set of pancreatic NSUs for the ¹⁸F-FAPI. Whether there were NSU or not, the physician should carefully evaluate pancreatic uptake of ¹⁸F-FAPI, integrate the medical history and laboratory tests, and follow up for the final diagnosis in clinical practice.

Our study has certain limitations. The first limitation is the limited sample size. Second, there was no histopathological confirmation due to no clear or clinical indication of puncture for the NSU FAPI uptake. Thus, the follow-up is necessary, including the abdominal symptoms, laboratory test, or histo-pathological evidence if available.

In conclusion, we reported about 1/3 pancreatic NSU of ¹⁸F-FAPI, besides the AIP- or PC-related uptake. The NSU may be a result of a history of heavy drinking, chronic liver diseases, and surgery for gastrectomy. Patients with NSU showed lower levels of platelet count, larger platelet volume, and higher levels of AST, total bilirubin, direct bilirubin, indirect bilirubin, and α -L-fucosidase in the serum. Large platelet ratio, AST, and TBIL may be the independent factors for pancreatic ¹⁸F-FAPI uptake.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 3 February 2024; Accepted: 13 May 2024 Published online: 15 May 2024

References

- 1. Meyer, C. et al. Radiation dosimetry and biodistribution of (68)Ga-FAPI-46 PET imaging in cancer patients. J. Nucl. Med. 61, 1171–1177 (2020).
- Sharma, P., Singh, S. S. & Gayana, S. Fibroblast activation protein inhibitor PET/CT: A promising molecular imaging tool. *Clin. Nucl. Med.* 46, e141–e150 (2021).
- Çermik, T. F., Ergül, N., Yilmaz, B. & Mercanoglu, G. Tumor imaging with Ga-DOTA-FAPI-04 PET/CT comparison With 18F-FDG PET/CT in 22 different cancer types. *Clin. Nucl. Med.* 47, E333–E339 (2022).
- 4. Dendl, K. et al. FAP and FAPI-PET/CT in malignant and non-malignant diseases: A perfect symbiosis?. Cancers 13, 4946 (2021).
- 5. Mori, Y. *et al.* FAPI PET: Fibroblast activation protein inhibitor use in oncologic and nononcologic disease. *Radiology* **306**, e220749 (2023).
- 6. Dolensek, J., Rupnik, M. S. & Stozer, A. Structural similarities and differences between the human and the mouse pancreas. *Islets* 7, e1024405 (2015).
- Giesel, F. L. et al. Head-to-head intra-individual comparison of biodistribution and tumor uptake of Ga-FAPI and F-FDG PET/ CT in cancer patients. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 48, 4377–4385 (2021).
- Wei, Y. et al. [(18)F]AIF-NOTA-FAPI-04: FAP-targeting specificity, biodistribution, and PET/CT imaging of various cancers. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 49, 2761–2773 (2022).

- Dendl, K. et al. Ga-FAPI-PET/CT in patients with various gynecological malignancies. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 48, 4089– 4100 (2021).
- Rohrich, M. et al. Impact of Ga-68-FAPI PET/CT imaging on the therapeutic management of primary and recurrent pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas. J. Nucl. Med. 62, 779–786 (2021).
- 11. Luo, Y. *et al.* Fibroblast activation protein-targeted PET/CT with (68)Ga-FAPI for imaging IgG4-related disease: Comparison to (18)F-FDG PET/CT. *J. Nucl. Med.* **62**, 266–271 (2021).
- Zhang, X., Song, W. Y., Qin, C. X., Liu, F. & Lan, X. L. Non-malignant findings of focal Ga-FAPI-04 uptake in pancreas. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 48, 2635–2641 (2021).
- Gundogan, C., Guzel, Y., Can, C., Kaplan, I. & Komek, H. FAPI-04 uptake in healthy tissues of cancer patients in (68)Ga-FAPI-04 PET/CT imaging. Contrast Media Mol. Imaging. 2021, 9750080 (2021).
- Alsamarrai, A., Das, S. L., Windsor, J. A. & Petrov, M. S. Factors that affect risk for pancreatic disease in the general population: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 12, 1635–1644 (2014).
- 15. Yadav, D. & Lowenfels, A. B. The epidemiology of pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer. Gastroenterology 144, 1252-1261 (2013).
- 16. Wu, J. et al. Incidence and risk factors for postoperative pancreatic fistula in 2089 patients treated by radical gastrectomy: A prospective multicenter cohort study in China. Int. J. Surg. 98, 106219 (2022).
- 17. Jiang, H., Li, Y., Sheng, Q. & Dou, X. Relationship between Hepatitis B virus infection and platelet production and dysfunction. *Platelets* 33, 212–218 (2022).
- Fiorino, S., Cuppini, A., Castellani, G., Bacchi-Reggiani, M. L. & Jovine, E. HBV- and HCV-related infections and risk of pancreatic cancer. JOP 14, 603–609 (2013).
- 19. Ferdek, P. E. *et al.* When healing turns into killing: The pathophysiology of pancreatic and hepatic fibrosis. *J. Physiol.* **600**, 2579–2612 (2022).
- Kou, Y. et al. Physiological tracer distribution and benign lesion incidental uptake of Al18F-NOTA-FAPI-04 on PET/CT imaging. Nucl. Med. Commun. 43, 847–854 (2022).
- Zheng, Y. Y., Wang, L. & Shi, Q. Mean platelet volume (MPV) and platelet distribution width (PDW) predict clinical outcome of acute ischemic stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Clin. Neurosci. 101, 221–227 (2022).
- 22. Akin, H., Bilge, O., Yavuz, B., Ozkan, S. & Isik, F. The relationship between mean platelet volume and resistant hypertension. *Clin. Exp. Hypertens.* 44, 228–232 (2022).
- 23. Yagyu, T. et al. Decreased mean platelet volume predicts poor prognosis in patients with pancreatic cancer. BMC Surg. 21, 8 (2021).
- Hagström, H., Talbäck, M., Andreasson, A., Walldius, G. & Hammar, M. Ability of non-invasive scoring systems to identify individuals in the population at risk for severe liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 158, 200–214 (2020).
- Ginès, P. et al. Population screening for liver fibrosis: Toward early diagnosis and intervention for chronic liver diseases. Hepatology 75, 219–228 (2022).

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the study's conception and design. Jungang Gao and Yang Li prepared the data, and Cong Shen and Yan Li collected and analyzed them. Yan Li wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and all authors reviewed the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-024-62005-2.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024