scientific reports

OPEN



Preoperatively predicting the lymph node metastasis and prognosis for gastric cancer patients

Danfang Wang¹, Yaxin Wang¹, Lin Dong¹, Xin Zhang² & Jianfei Du^{1⊠}

The preoperative distinguishment of lymph nodes (LN) with metastasis plays a pivotal role in guiding the surgical extension for gastric cancer (GC). We aim to identify the preparative risk factors for LN metastasis in GC patients. We retrospectively reviewed 424 patients who underwent radical GC resection in our medical center between Jan 2011 and Dec 2018. Multivariate logistic regression was employed to identify risk factors for LN metastasis, while multivariate COX regression was utilized to evaluate prognostic factors. The median overall survival of patients with or without LN metastases was 31 and 58 months, respectively. In multivariate analysis, lower albumin (OR = 0.512; P = 0.004) and prealbumin (OR = 0.367, P = 0.001) and higher CEA (OR = 3.178, P < 0.001), CA199 (OR = 2.278, P = 0.002) and platelets (OR = 1.697, P = 0.017) were found to be significantly associated with LN metastasis. In survival analysis, older age (HR = 1.712), larger tumors (HR = 1.082), higher D-dimer (HR = 1.561) and CA199 (HR = 1.553), advanced staging (stage II, HR = 3.446; stage III-IV, HR = 11.089), lower prealbumin levels (lower level for reference, HR = 0.63), and absence of adjuvant chemotherapy (HR = 0.396) was discovered to be associated with poorer overall survival (all P < 0.05). In conclusion, our results demonstrated that preoperative prealbumin-bound tumor markers can effectively predict LN metastasis. Additionally, prealbumin was found to possess prognostic value as well.

Although global morbidity and mortality have declined over the past few decades, gastric cancer (GC) remains the fifth most commonly diagnosed disease and the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death¹. 679,100 new cases of gastric cancer are diagnosed in China every year².

The decision to require perioperative chemotherapy is primarily based on TNM staging. Given that preoperative clinical TNM staging is primarily determined by imaging methods with limited sensitivity³, it would be beneficial to use available laboratory biomarkers to assess preoperative LN involvement. Malnutrition is common in cancer patients and is associated with a patient's response to chemotherapy. Nutritional assessment in patients with cancer may improve patient quality of life⁴. As a nutritional marker, albumin has been reported to be implicated in lymph node metastasis^{5,6}. Prealbumin is the earliest laboratory indicator of nutritional status and has emerged as the preferred marker for malnutrition⁷. However, evidence regarding the relationship of prealbumin and LN metastasis is very limited. Our study retrospectively sought to evaluate the predictive role of common blood markers especially albumin and prealbumin in lymph node metastasis and their respective prognostic value.

Results

Clinicopathological features of patients

A total of 424 patients were enrolled in the present study, of which 311 (73.3%) were males and 113 (26.7%) were females. The number of patients with LN negative and LN positive (N1, 71; N2, 69; N3, 105) was 179 and 245, respectively. Patient characteristics, grouped according to LN metastasis status, are presented in Table 1. It was found that LN metastasis occurred more frequently in patients with larger tumor size (P < 0.001), advanced TNM stage (P < 0.001), poor differentiation (P = 0.008), positive cancer embolus (P < 0.001), and total GC distribution (P < 0.001). Furthermore, patients with LN metastasis demonstrated significantly higher levels of FIB (P = 0.015),

¹Department of Oncology, Xi'an Gaoxin Hospital, 16 Tuanjie South Road, Xi'an 710075, Shaanxi, People's Republic of China. ²Department of Oncology Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China. ^{Semail:} 38603287@gq.com

Characteristic	Overall (N=424)	LN negative (N=179)	LN positive (N=245)	P value
Gender		,		0.948
Male	311 (73.3)	131 (73.2)	180 (73.5)	
Female	113 (26.7)	48 (26.8)	65 (26.5)	
Age, years	1			0.318
< 60	192 (45.3)	76 (42.5)	116 (47.3)	
≥60	232 (54.7)	103 (57.5)	129 (52.7)	
Tumor size, cm	4 (2.5-6)	3 (2-4.5)	4.5 (3-6.63)	< 0.001
рТ	1		1	< 0.001
1	92 (21.7)	74 (41.3)	18 (7.3)	
2	36 (8.5)	24 (13.4)	12 (4.9)	
3	45 (10.6)	21 (11.7)	24 (9.8)	
4	251 (59.2)	60 (33.5)	191 (78)	
TNM stage	1			< 0.001
Ι	108 (25.5)	96 (53.6)	12 (4.9)	
II	57 (13.4)	34 (19)	23 (9.4)	
III-IV	259 (61)	49 (27.4)	195 (79.6)	
Adjuvant chemotherapy	τ			< 0.001
No	171 (40.3)	111 (62)	60 (24.5)	
Yes	253 (59.7)	68 (38)	185 (75.5)	
Differentiation	1			0.008
Poorly	297 (70)	113 (63.1)	184 (75.1)	
Moderately-Well	127 (30)	66 (36.9)	61 (24.9)	
Cancer embolus	1	1	1	< 0.001
No	350 (82.5)	175 (97.8)	175 (71.4)	
Yes	74 (17.5)	4 (2.2)	70 (28.6)	
Tumor location	1			< 0.001
Proximal	111 (26.2)	102 (57)	124 (50.6)	
Distal	226(53.3)	56 (31.3)	55 (22.4)	
Total	87 (20.5)	21 (11.7)	66 (26.9)	
Albumin, g/L	38.8 (35.6-41.8)	39.8 (36.5-42.6)	38.41(34.9-40.7)	0.001
Prealbumin, mg/L	208±63.8	219.8±60	199.3±65.29	0.001
Fibrinogen, g/L	3.2 (2.65-3.88)	3.1 (2.5-3.65)	3.23 (2.71-4.09)	0.015
D-dimer, mg/L	0.5 (0.1-0.8)	0.4 (0-0.6)	0.5 (0.2–0.96)	0.001
FDP, mg/L	1 (0.6–1.7)	0.9 (0.5-1.5)	1.1 (0.7–1.9)	< 0.001
CEA, ng/mL	2.295 (1.33-4.36)	2.09 (1.21-3.1)	2.57 (1.43-6.51)	< 0.001
CA199, U/mL	10.24 (6.16-20.06)	9.56 (5.98-16.05)	11.5 (6.29-27.26)	0.02
WBC, 10^9/L	5.41 (4.26-6.62)	5.11 (4.15-6.58)	5.5 (4.4-6.66)	0.197
Hb, g/L	129 (108.25–143)	132 (113.5–144)	127 (105–142)	0.03
Neutrophil, 10^9/L	3.09 (2.33-4.19)	2.98 (2.28-4.15)	3.26 (2.43-4.21)	0.112
Lymphocyte, 10^9/L	1.56 (1.24–1.92)	1.58 (1.26-1.92)	1.54 (1.21–1.92)	0.297
Monocyte, 10^9/L	0.385 (0.27-0.5)	0.36 (0.27-0.52)	0.4 (0.29-0.49)	0.382
Platelet, 10^9/L	205 (160-255)	194.5 (154.5-248.25)	212 (166.5–257)	0.049
OS	38 (22.25-62)	58 (34-67)	31 (14-44)	< 0.001
DFS	38 (20.25-62)	58 (34-67)	30 (13-44)	< 0.001

Table 1. Demographic and baseline characteristics of patients grouped by lymph node (LN) status. Values in parentheses are percent or interquartile ranges. *FDP* fibrin degradation products, *CEA* carcinoembryonic antigen, *CA199* carbohydrate antigen 199, *WBC* white blood cell, *Hb* hemoglobin, *OS* overall survival, *DFS* disease free survival.

D-dimer (P = 0.001), FDP (P < 0.001), CEA (P < 0.001), CA199 (P = 0.02), and platelets (P = 0.049), as well as significantly lower levels of albumin (P = 0.001), prealbumin (P = 0.001), and hemoglobin (P = 0.03) (Table 1).

Risk factors for LN metastasis

The cutoff values for CEA, CA199, albumin, prealbumin, FIB, D-dimer, FDP, hemoglobin, platelets, leukocytes, lymphocytes, monocytes, and neutrophils were 4.42 ng/mL, 19.07 U/mL, 39.75 g/L, 159.1 mg/L, 3.78 g/L, 0.965 mg/L, 0.65 mg/L, 113.5 g/L, 188.5 10^9/L, 4.915 10^9/L, 1.745 10^9/L, 0.366 10^9/L, and 3.12 10^9/L, respectively.

Univariate logistic regression analysis showed that lower levels of albumin, prealbumin, and hemoglobin, as well as higher levels of FIB, D-dimer, CEA, CA199, white blood cell (WBC) count, neutrophils, monocytes, and platelets, were identified as risk factors for LN metastasis.

In multivariate logistic regression analysis, higher levels of CEA (odds ratio [OR]: 3.718, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.077–6.657), CA199 (OR: 2.278, 95% CI: 1.352–3.838), and platelets (OR: 1.697, 95% CI: 1.098–2.624) were found to be independent risk factors for LN metastasis. Conversely, higher levels of albumin (OR: 0.512, 95% CI: 0.327–0.803) and prealbumin (OR: 0.367, 95% CI: 0.202–0.667) were associated with a lower risk of LN metastasis (Table 2). Using the N0 stage as a control, we performed multiple logistic regression analysis of the above independent risk factors. It was found that lower levels of prealbumin were associated with an increased risk of N1 (OR: 2.674, 95% CI: 1.273–5.617), N2 (OR: 2.852, 95% CI: 1.341–6.064), and N3 (OR: 2.675, 95% CI: 1.342–5.336) stage compared with elevated levels, while platelets were not significantly associated with any three stages (Table 3).

To evaluate lymph node metastasis more efficiently, we conducted a combined ROC curve analysis. The analysis, which combined CEA and CA199 (referred to as Combined P1), yielded an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.664, a sensitivity of 0.531, and a specificity of 0.765. Additionally, the ROC analysis that combined CEA, CA199, albumin, prealbumin, and platelets (referred to as Combined P2), indicated an AUC of 0.736, a sensitivity of 0.59, and a specificity of 0.803 (Fig. 1a). Importantly, according to Table 4, the diagnostic efficacy of Combined P2 was significantly higher than that of Combined P1 (P < 0.001).

	Univariate analysis N			Multivariate analysis			
	Odds ratio	95% CI	P value	Odds ratio	95% CI	P value	
Gender	1.015	0.656-1.569	0.948				
Age	0.821	0.557-1.21	0.318				
Albumin	0.451	0.302-0.675	< 0.001	0.512	0.327-0.803	0.004	
Prealbumin	0.258	0.148-0.452	< 0.001	0.367	0.202-0.667	0.001	
Fibrinogen	1.936	1.241-3.021	0.004			0.222	
D-dimer	2.636	1.524-4.558	0.001			0.176	
FDP	1.97	1.284-3.021	0.002			0.071	
CEA	4.667	2.682-8.121	< 0.001	3.718	2.077-6.657	< 0.001	
CA199	2.555	1.583-4.122	< 0.001	2.278	1.352-3.838	0.002	
WBC	1.641	1.105-2.438	0.014			0.22	
Hb	0.626	0.407-0.964	0.033			0.73	
Neutrophil	1.644	1.112-2.431	0.013			0.267	
Lymphocyte	0.679	0.453-1.019	0.061				
Monocyte	1.522	1.013-2.25	0.035			0.927	
Platelet	1.726	1.161-2.566	0.007	1.697	1.098-2.624	0.017	

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate analyses of variables for lymph node metastasis. The optimal cut-off value was 39.75 g/L for albumin, 159.1 mg/L for prealbumin, 3.78 g/L for fibrinogen, 0.965 mg/L for D-dimer, 0.65 mg/L for FDP, 4.42 ng/mL for CEA, 19.07 U/mL for CA199, 4.915 *10^9/L for WBC, 113.5 *10^9/L for Hb, 3.12 *10^9/L for neutrophil, 1.745 *10^9/L for lymphocyte, 0.366 *10^9/L for monocyte and 188.5 *10^9/L for platelet. The reference for gender, age and peripheral blood indexes were female, age <60 years and the lower level of peripheral blood indexes according to their cutoff values. *CI* confidence interval.

	N1 stage			N2 stage			N3 stage		
	Odds ratio	95% CI	P value	Odds ratio	95% CI	P value	Odds ratio	95% CI	P value
Albumin	2.065	1.099-3.879	0.024	1.708	0.905-3.222	0.098	2.12	1.199-3.749	0.01
Prealbumin	2.674	1.273-5.617	0.009	2.852	1.341-6.064	0.006	2.675	1.342-5.336	0.005
CEA	0.449	0.209-0.967	0.041	0.243	0.118-0.498	< 0.001	0.207	0.108-0.397	< 0.001
CA199	0.533	0.268-1.06	0.073	0.386	0.198-0.754	0.005	0.407	0.221-0.751	0.004
Platelet	0.552	0.303-1.006	0.052	0.635	0.345-1.167	0.143	0.595	0.346-1.023	0.06

Table 3. Multivariate multiple logistic regression analyses of variables for LN metastasis. For multiple logistic regression analyses the reference category is N0 stage. The reference of parameters was albumin \geq 39.75, prealbumin \geq 159.1, CEA \geq 4.42, CA199 \geq 19.07 and platelet \geq 188.5.



Figure 1. ROC curves and Kaplan–Meier survival curves. (a) ROC curve analysis for predicting lymph node metastasis. Pre-markers only represent ROC curve combining CEA and CA199; pre-combined represent ROC curve combining CEA, CA199, albumin, prealbumin and platelet. (b) Kaplan–Meier survival curves of prealbumin for overall survival (OS); the 5 year survival rate of low prealbumin group was 29.8%, of high prealbumin group was 62.6%. (c) Kaplan–Meier survival curves of prealbumin for disease-free survival (DFS); the 5 year survival rate of low prealbumin group was 60.1%.

	AUC	95% CI	Cut-off	Sen	Spe	Youden index	PPV	NPV	P value
CEA	0.608	0.555-0.661	4.42	0.343	0.899	0.242	0.824	0.500	0.008
CA199	0.566	0.512-0.62	19.07	0.331	0.838	0.169	0.736	0.478	< 0.001
Albumin	0.597	0.542-0.652	39.75	0.508	0.678	0.186	0.455	0.351	0.057
Prealbumin	0.594	0.54-0.648	159.1	0.899	0.302	0.201	0.515	0.196	0.04
Platelet	0.556	0.5-0.612	188.5	0.664	0.466	0.13	0.630	0.503	0.003
Combined P1	0.664	0.613-0.715	0.554	0.531	0.765	0.296	0.756	0.544	Reference
Combined P2	0.736	0.689-0.783	0.591	0.59	0.803	0.393	0.804	0.588	< 0.001

Table 4. The diagnostic efficacy of indicators for lymph node metastasis. *AUC* area under receiver operating characteristics, *CI* confidence interval, *Sen* sensitivity, *Spe* specificity, *PPV* positive predictive value, *NPV* negative predictive value. P, P value for comparison of AUC of reference with other indicators using DeLong's test; Combined P1, ROC analysis combining CEA and CA199; Combined P2, ROC analysis combining CEA, CA199, albumin, prealbumin and platelet.

Independent prognostic factor for OS and DFS

The Kaplan–Meier survival curves revealed that patients with high prealbumin had significantly longer overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) compared to those with low prealbumin levels (Fig. 1b-c). Furthermore, the univariate analysis demonstrated that older age, larger tumor size, TNM stage II and TNM stage III, positive tumor thrombus, proximal and total gastric cancer, higher levels of fibrinogen, D-dimer, FDP, CEA, CA199, neutrophils, and monocytes were identified as poor prognostic factors. Conversely, higher levels of albumin, prealbumin, hemoglobin, and the receipt of adjuvant chemotherapy were associated with prolonged survival.

Multivariate COX regression analysis identified age ≥ 60 years as a significant risk factor for overall survival (OS) (Hazard ratio (HR): 1.712, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.197–2.4, P = 0.003). Additionally, larger tumor size (HR: 1.082, 95% CI: 1.023–1.1, P = 0.006), advanced TNM stage (TNM stage II, HR: 3.446, 95% CI: 1.323–8.9, P = 0.011; TNM stage III-IV, HR: 11.089, 95% CI: 5.039–24.405, P < 0.001), total gastric cancer (GC) (HR: 1.695, 95% CI: 1.086–2.644, P = 0.02), higher D-dimer (HR: 1.561, 95% CI: 1.071–2.276, P = 0.021), and higher CA199 (HR: 1.553, 95% CI: 1.09–2.214, P = 0.015) were also identified as independent risk factors for OS. Conversely, higher levels of prealbumin (HR: 0.63, 95% CI: 0.433–0.918, P = 0.016) and utilization of adjuvant chemotherapy (HR: 0.396, 95% CI: 0.26–0.603, P < 0.001) were found to be independent protective factors (Table 5). Moreover, we further confirmed the independent prognostic effect of prealbumin on disease-free survival (DFS) (HR: 0.653, 95% CI: 0.452–0.944, P = 0.023), as well as age, tumor size, TNM stage, tumor location, D-dimer, and CEA (Table 6).

	Univar	iate analysis		Multivariate analysis			
Parameters	HR	95% CI	P value	HR	95% CI	P value	
Gender	1.137	0.811-1.594	0.456				
Age, years	1.623	1.198-2.199	0.002	1.712	1.197-2.447	0.003	
Tumor size	1.14	1.095-1.187	< 0.001	1.082	1.023-1.144	0.006	
TNM stage							
Ι	1			1			
II	1.771	0.781-4.013	0.171	3.446	1.323-8.974	0.011	
III-IV	8.095	4.489-14.598	< 0.001	11.089	5.039-24.405	< 0.001	
Adjuvant chemotherapy	1.669	1.21-2.303	0.002	0.396	0.26-0.603	< 0.001	
Differentiation	0.748	0.537-1.041	0.085				
Cancer embolus	1.864	1.312-2.648	0.001			0.303	
Tumor location							
Distal	1			1			
Proximal	0.671	0.466-0.964	0.031	0.917	0.603-1.395	0.685	
Total	2.281	1.552-3.352	< 0.001	1.695	1.086-2.644	0.02	
Albumin	0.503	0.36-0.704	< 0.001			0.688	
Prealbumin	0.357	0.263-0.485	< 0.001	0.63	0.433-0.918	0.016	
Fibrinogen	2.104	1.563-2.833	< 0.001			0.221	
D-dimer	2.529	1.836-3.484	< 0.001	1.561	1.071-2.276	0.021	
FDP	1.767	1.234-2.53	0.002			0.738	
CEA	2.061	1.51-2.814	< 0.001			0.122	
CA199	1.848	1.362-2.507	< 0.001	1.553	1.09-2.214	0.015	
WBC	1.276	0.938-1.735	0.12				
Hb	0.657	0.484-0.891	0.007			0.452	
Neutrophil	1.422	1.058-1.912	0.02			0.142	
Lymphocyte	0.748	0.544-1.028	0.074				
Monocyte	1.563	1.147-2.128	0.005			0.224	
Platelet	1.075	0.794-1.455	0.642				

Table 5. Univariate and multivariate analyses for overall survival of GC patients. The cut-off value was 39.75 g/L for albumin, 159.1 mg/L for prealbumin, 3.78 g/L for fibrinogen, 0.965 mg/L for D-dimer, 0.65 mg/L for FDP, 4.42 ng/mL for CEA, 19.07 U/mL for CA199, 4.915 $10^9/L$ for WBC, 113.5 $10^9/L$ for Hb, 3.12 $10^9/L$ for neutrophil, 1.745 $10^9/L$ for lymphocyte, 0.366 $10^9/L$ for monocyte and 188.5 $10^9/L$ for platelet. The reference of gender, age, adjuvant chemotherapy, differentiation and cancer embolus were female, < 60 years, without chemotherapy, poorly differentiation and no cancer embolus. All peripheral blood indexes were divided into two groups according to the cutoff value, and low levels were used as the control group for survival analysis. *HR* hazard ratio, *CI* confidence interval.

Scientific Reports | (2024) 14:11213 | ht

	Univar	iate analysis		Multivariate analysis			
Parameters	HR	95% CI	P value	HR	95% CI	P value	
Gender	1.125	0.811-1.561	0.481				
Age	1.538	1.146-2.063	0.004	1.495	1.064-2.101	0.021	
Tumor size	1.141	1.097-1.186	< 0.001	1.06	1.002-1.12	0.041	
TNM stage							
Ι	1			1			
II	1.957	0.907-4.223	0.087	3.716	1.516-9.107	0.004	
III-IV	7.925	4.494-13.974	< 0.001	10.024	4.706-21.353	< 0.001	
Adjuvant chemotherapy	1.677	1.226-2.293	0.001	0.416	0.279-0.62	< 0.001	
Differentiation	0.754	0.547-1.04	0.085				
Cancer embolus	2	1.428-2.802	< 0.001			0.662	
Tumor location							
Distal	1			1			
Proximal	0.681	0.478-0.971	0.034	0.957	0.634-1.445	0.836	
Total	2.361	1.621-3.44	< 0.001	1.761	1.145-2.709	0.01	
Albumin	0.494	0.356-0.685	< 0.001			0.4	
Prealbumin	0.38	0.281-0.513	< 0.001	0.653	0.452-0.944	0.023	
Fibrinogen	1.978	1.479-2.645	< 0.001			0.365	
D-dimer	2.464	1.801-3.37	< 0.001	1.536	1.067-2.213	0.021	
FDP	1.796	1.266-2.548	0.001			0.714	
CEA	2.186	1.618-2.955	< 0.001	1.554	1.095-2.205	0.013	
CA199	1.744	1.293-2.363	< 0.001			0.081	
WBC	1.242	0.922-1.672	0.154				
Hb	0.653	0.486-0.879	0.005			0.64	
Neutrophil	1.421	1.066-1.895	0.017			0.117	
Lymphocyte	0.737	0.541-1.004	0.053				
Monocyte	1.401	1.042-1.885	0.025			0.672	
Platelet	1.059	0.789-1.423	0.701				

Table 6. Univariate and multivariate analyses for progression-free survival of GC patients. HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval. The cut-off value was 39.75 g/L for albumin, 159.1 mg/L for prealbumin, 3.78 g/L for fibrinogen, 0.965 mg/L for D-dimer, 0.65 mg/L for FDP, 4.42 ng/mL for CEA, 19.07 U/mL for CA199, 4.915 *10^9/L for WBC, 113.5 *10^9/L for Hb, 3.12 *10^9/L for neutrophil, 1.745 *10^9/L for lymphocyte, 0.366 *10^9/L for monocyte and 188.5 *10^9/L for platelet. The reference of gender, age, adjuvant chemotherapy, differentiation and cancer embolus were female, <60 years, without chemotherapy, poorly differentiation and no cancer embolus. All peripheral blood indexes were divided into two groups according to the cutoff value, and low levels were used as the control group for survival analysis.

Discussion

Our current study demonstrates the significance of albumin, prealbumin and platelets in preoperative peripheral blood for LN metastasis in GC. The ROC curve indicated that the utility of albumin, prealbumin and platelets as predictive markers for LN metastasis in GC was comparable to that of traditional GC markers, namely CEA and CA199. The combined application of these indicators improves the efficacy for predicting LN metastasis. Prealbumin was also an independent factor for patient survival in all analyzed blood parameters, as were as D-dimer and GC markers.

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy has shown a survival benefit relative to surgery alone⁸, and the possibility of LN metastasis is a key consideration for such treatment. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy is recommended for patients with lymph node metastases in Japan⁹. It has been reported that neoadjuvant chemotherapy can effectively control LN metastasis in GC, thereby reducing tumor N stage and increasing complete resection¹⁰. Therefore, preoperative assessment of LN status provides a reference for choosing a reasonable treatment plan for patients.

Tumor markers are commonly used to predict prognosis, monitor a positive course, and detect recurrence^{11,12}. As traditional tumor markers, CEA and CA199 may help detect positive lymph nodes^{13,14}. In our current study, we assessed the predictive value of preoperative peripheral blood-derived clinical routine laboratory biomarkers for LN metastasis. Our data showed that the LN metastasis group had significantly elevated CEA and CA199. Multivariate regression analysis showed their clinical significance for predicting LN metastasis. Previous studies reported that CEA, CA199, CA724 and CA242 were all associated with LN metastasis, and their combination could improve diagnostic efficacy¹⁵. This is consistent with our conclusions, although we only analyzed CEA and CA199 without consideration of CA724 and other GC markers.

In addition to the aforementioned tumor markers, we also found that platelets and nutritional markers (albumin and prealbumin) may independently predict LN involvement. In fact, studies have reported that platelet

count may be a reliable biomarker of lymph node metastasis likelihood^{16,17}. Not only that, platelet-derived markers such as platelet-lymphocyte ratio are also useful biomarkers for predicting LN metastasis¹⁸. Platelets promote cell proliferation, angiogenesis, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition, and protect tumor cells from immune system attack by interacting with tumor cells to form microthrombi^{19,20}. Therefore, the correlation between platelets and LN metastasis is reasonable. Accumulating evidence suggests that tumor-associated inflammation is tumor-promoting²¹. In our study, we mainly focused on the role of a single blood marker and therefore did not consider various derived markers of systemic inflammation. Although we did not find an independent predictive role of inflammatory cells and lymphocytes on LN metastasis, platelets showed an advantage. By interacting with inflammatory cells and lymphocytes, platelets are important coordinators of inflammation as well as immune responses²².

Prealbumin, also known as transthyretin, has a shorter half-life than albumin, only 2-3 days, and its levels are mainly affected by liver function and inflammation²³. Notably, we found that both albumin and prealbumin were independent predictors of LN metastasis. It has been identified that perioperative prealbumin might be useful in predicting postoperative early recurrence of lung cancer and short-term postoperative outcomes after gastrectomy^{24,25}. Although there are few studies on the assessment of LN metastasis by albumin or prealbumin, the combination of prealbumin and inflammatory response index has been implicated in metastasis in GC patients²⁶. A study demonstrates the predictive value of platelet-to-albumin ratio for LN metastasis⁵. Given that both platelets and albumin are independent predictors of LN metastasis, our results are consistent with this report. In our study, we did not analyze the clinical significance of the variables calculated from peripheral blood parameters, and it is not difficult to infer that the ratio of platelets to albumin or the ratio of platelets to prealbumin would have a higher predictive value for LN metastasis. In order to more effectively assess the status of LN metastasis, we performed a combined ROC analysis and found that combining CEA, CA199, platelets, albumin, and prealbumin significantly improved the predictive power for LN metastasis compared to combining CEA and CA199 alone. This inspires clinicians that low prealbumin levels before treatment can not only guide nutritional support, but also reflect the status of LN metastasis. The risk of LN metastasis can be further evaluated according to the preoperative blood index in patients with N0 who have not been proved by imaging methods.

GC patients are often accompanied by elevated coagulation markers, such as fibrinogen and D-dimer. In fact, crosstalk between coagulation and inflammation makes them mutually reinforcing and is closely related to tumor progression and prognosis²⁷. Studies have shown that preoperative plasma fibrinogen is associated with LN metastasis. Although computed tomography (CT) was more valuable in predicting lymph node metastases, CT could not predict lymph node metastases in 20.6% of patients, and 53.8% of them had plasma fibrinogen above the cutoff value²⁸. The predictive value of D-dimer for LN metastasis has also been reported²⁹. However, multivariate logistic regression showed that coagulation related markers were not independent risk factors for LN metastasis. Our current study did not compare the predictive power between blood parameters and CT, but the combination of CT and pre-treatment blood parameters can provide evidence for evaluating LN metastasis and then formulating treatment strategies.

Nutrition-related indicators are most used to guide perioperative nutritional support and evaluate the prognosis of tumor patients^{30,31}. Prealbumin is more sensitive to changes in protein-energy status than albumin, therefore more suitable for nutritional monitoring³². In terms of survival of patients, albumin and prealbumin are well known as prognostic factors. But few studies compare prognostic superiority of albumin and prealbumin. After our analysis, we found that prealbumin, but not albumin, was an independent risk factor for OS and DFS in GC. Among other preoperative blood parameters, tumor markers (CA199 for OS and CEA for DFS) and D-dimer were independently associated with gastric cancer prognosis, which is consistent with previous studies.

This study has some limitations. First, it is a retrospective study with a small sample size. Second, we only focus on a subset of peripheral blood indicators before treatment, such as inflammatory status through blood cells. However, other indicators of this status, such as C-reactive protein, were not included in the analysis. Similarly, among gastric cancer markers, we only analyzed CEA and CA199. Third, we only considered the individual effects of each index, and did not analyze other markers calculated by these factors, such as NLR, SII, etc. However, we performed a joint ROC analysis by multivariate logistic regression. Fourth, as an effective method for detecting lymph node metastasis, imaging methods have not been compared with those of peripheral blood in their diagnostic performance.

Conclusions

In conclusion, preoperative prealbumin-bound tumor markers can effectively predict LN metastasis in GC. Additionally, prealbumin was found to possess prognostic value as well.

Methods

We retrospectively enrolled 424 patients with GC who underwent radical resection in the Department of Oncology, First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University from January 2011 to December 2018. All patients had undergone their initial diagnosis and had not received preoperative chemoradiotherapy. Patients were followed up by telephone, and the last follow-up was in December 2020. All patients underwent radical surgery (open or laparoscopic), and pathological staging was assessed according to the eighth edition of the American Joint Cancer Society (AJCC) tumor-node-metastases (TNM) classification. The involvement of LN was confirmed through a postoperative pathological examination. The patient's overall/disease-free survival was defined as the time from the date of surgery to the patient's death/relapse or last follow-up. Pretreatment laboratory measurements include whole blood cells, tumor markers (CEA, CA199), coagulation markers (fibrinogen, D-dimer and fibrin degradation products [FDP]), albumin and prealbumin. This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval was granted by the Ethics Committee of First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University (2015-046/8150826). Informed consent was obtained from all subjects and/or their legal guardian(s).

Statistical analysis

Normally distributed continuous variables were expressed as means and standard deviations, and then compared using the student's t-test. Non-normally distributed continuous variables were expressed as means and interquartile ranges, and compared using the log-rank test. Categorical variables were expressed as numbers and percentages, and were compared using the Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests. The cutoff values for laboratory parameters were obtained from ROC curves for LN metastasis. The optimal cutoff value was determined at the maximun of the Youden Index. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was utilized to identify the risk factors for LN metastasis. A combined ROC curve analysis was conducted based on the predicted probabilities acquired through multivariate logistic regression. The diagnostic efficacy of ROC curves was compared using DeLong's test. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were employed to identify independent prognostic factors for GC. Kaplan–Meier survival curves and log-rank tests were applied to compare the survival rates between different variable groups.

Statistical analysis and plotting were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics software (version 20.0, RRID:SCR_016479). DeLong's test was performed using MedCalc (version 19.4.1, RRID:SCR_015044). A two-sided P-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 7 August 2023; Accepted: 8 May 2024 Published online: 16 May 2024

References

- 1. Sung, H. *et al.* Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* **71**(3), 209–249 (2021).
- 2. Chen, W. et al. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J. Clin. 66(2), 115-132 (2016).
- Delpech, Y. & Barranger, E. Management of lymph nodes in endometrioid uterine cancer. *Curr. Opin. Oncol.* 22(5), 487–491 (2010).
 Esfahani, A. *et al.* Nutritional assessment of patients with acute leukemia during induction chemotherapy: Association with hospital outcomes. *Leuk. Lymphoma* 55(8), 1743–1750 (2014).
- Huang, C., Xia, Y., Xiao, L., Huang, J. & Zhu, Z. Combining the platelet-to-albumin ratio with serum and pathologic variables to establish a risk assessment model for lymph node metastasis of gastric cancer. J. biol Regul. Homeost. Agents 35(2), 811–817 (2021).
- Li, X. et al. Risk factors for lymph node metastasis in gastric neuroendocrine tumor: A retrospective study. BMC Surg. 21(1), 174 (2021).
- 7. Beck, F. & Rosenthal, T. Prealbumin: A marker for nutritional evaluation. Am. Fam. Physician 65(8), 1575–1578 (2002).
- Schirren, R., Reim, D. & Novotny, A. R. Adjuvant and/or neoadjuvant therapy for gastric cancer? A perspective review. *Ther. Adv. Med. Oncol.* 7(1), 39–48 (2015).
- Ando, N. *et al.* A randomized trial comparing postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil versus preoperative chemotherapy for localized advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the thoracic esophagus (JCOG9907)[J]. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 19(1), 68–74 (2012).
- Dong, S., Yu, J. R., Zhang, Q. & Liu, X. S. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in controlling lymph node metastasis for locally advanced gastric cancer in a Chinese population. J. Chemother. 28(1), 59–64 (2016).
- 11. Feng, F. *et al.* Diagnostic and prognostic value of CEA, CA19-9, AFP and CA125 for early gastric cancer[J]. *BMC Cancer* **17**(1), 737 (2017).
- Xu, W. Y. et al. Prognostic significance of combined preoperative fibrinogen and CA199 in gallbladder cancer patients. World J. Gastroenterol. 24(13), 1451–1463 (2018).
- Wang, K. et al. The significance of preoperative serum carcinoembryonic antigen levels in the prediction of lymph node metastasis and prognosis in locally advanced gastric cancer: A retrospective analysis. BMC Gastroenterol. 20(1), 100 (2020).
- 14. Ye, L. *et al.* Combining serum calcitonin, carcinoembryonic antigen, and neuron-specific enolase to predict lateral lymph node metastasis in medullary thyroid carcinoma. *J. Clin. Lab. Anal.* **34**(7), e23278 (2020).
- Li, F. et al. The correlation between pre-operative serum tumor markers and lymph node metastasis in gastric cancer patients undergoing curative treatment. Biomarkers 18(7), 632–637 (2013).
- Qu, C. H. et al. Platelet count is associated with the rate of lymph node metastasis in lung adenocarcinoma. Cancer Manag. Res. 12, 9765–9774 (2020).
- 17. Liu, H. B. *et al.* Preoperative platelet count in predicting lymph node metastasis and prognosis in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Neoplasma* **60**(2), 203–208 (2013).
- 18. Pang, W. *et al.* Combination of preoperative platelet/lymphocyte and neutrophil/lymphocyte rates and tumor-related factors to predict lymph node metastasis in patients with gastric cancer. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **28**(5), 493–502 (2016).
- 19. Bambace, N. M. & Holmes, C. E. The platelet contribution to cancer progression. J. Thromb. Haemost. 9(2), 237–249 (2011).
- Geranpayehvaghei, M. et al. Cancer-associated-platelet-inspired nanomedicines for cancer therapy. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol. 13(5), e1702 (2021).
- Diakos, C. I., Charles, K. A., McMillan, D. C. & Clarke, S. J. Cancer-related inflammation and treatment effectiveness. *Lancet Oncol.* 15(11), e493–e503 (2014).
- 22. Thomas, M. R. & Storey, R. F. The role of platelets in inflammation. Thromb. Haemost. 114(3), 449-458 (2015).
- 23. Li, B. et al. Impact of early enteral and parenteral nutrition on prealbumin and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein after gastric surgery. Genet. Mol. Res. 14(2), 7130–7135 (2015).
- Kawai, H. & Ota, H. Low perioperative serum prealbumin predicts early recurrence after curative pulmonary resection for nonsmall-cell lung cancer. World J. Surg. 36(12), 2853–2857 (2012).
- Zhou, J. et al. Role of prealbumin as a powerful and simple index for predicting postoperative complications after gastric cancer surgery. Ann. Surg. Oncol. 24(2), 510–517 (2017).
- Esfahani, A. et al. Prealbumin/CRP based prognostic score, a new tool for predicting metastasis in patients with inoperable gastric cancer. Gastroenterol. Res. Pract. 2016, 4686189 (2016).

- 27. Cantrell, R. & Palumbo, J. S. The thrombin-inflammation axis in cancer progression. Thromb. Res. 191, S117-S122 (2020).
- Wakatsuki, K. *et al.* Preoperative plasma fibrinogen is associated with lymph node metastasis and predicts prognosis in resectable esophageal cancer. *World J. Surg.* 41(8), 2068–2077 (2017).
- Gao, X. L., Wang, S. S., Cao, D. B. & Liu, W. The role of plasma D-dimer levels for predicting lymph node and mediastinal lymph node involvement in non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin. Respir. J.* 12(6), 2151–2156 (2018).
- Jia, R. R. et al. Correlation between serum prealbumin and prognosis of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatectomy. J. Surg. Oncol. 119(6), 794–800 (2019).
- Qiao, W. et al. Prognostic value of prealbumin in liver cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutr. Cancer 72(6), 909–916 (2020).
- 32. Ingenbleek, Y. & Young, V. Significance of transthyretin in protein metabolism. Clin. Chem. Lab. Med. 40(12), 1281–1291 (2002).

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by WDF, WYX, DL and ZX. The first draft of the manuscript was written by WDF and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.D.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024