scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Metabolomic signatures of ideal cardiovascular health in black adults

Shabatun J. Islam¹, Chang Liu^{1,2}, Appesh N. Mohandas¹, Kimberly Rooney¹, Aditi Nayak¹, Anurag Mehta¹, Yi-An Ko³, Jeong Hwan Kim¹, Yan V. Sun^{2,4,5}, Sandra B. Dunbar^{1,6}, Tené T. Lewis², Herman A. Taylor⁷, Karan Uppal⁸, Dean P. Jones⁸, Arshed A. Quyyumi¹ & Charles D. Searles^{1,5}

Plasma metabolomics profiling is an emerging methodology to identify metabolic pathways underlying cardiovascular health (CVH). The objective of this study was to define metabolomic profiles underlying CVH in a cohort of Black adults, a population that is understudied but suffers from disparate levels of CVD risk factors. The Morehouse-Emory Cardiovascular (MECA) Center for Health Equity study cohort consisted of 375 Black adults (age 53 ± 10, 39% male) without known CVD. CVH was determined by the AHA Life's Simple 7 (LS7) score, calculated from measured blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), fasting blood glucose and total cholesterol, and self-reported physical activity, diet, and smoking. Plasma metabolites were assessed using untargeted highresolution metabolomics profiling. A metabolome wide association study (MWAS) identified metabolites associated with LS7 score after adjusting for age and sex. Using Mummichog software, metabolic pathways that were significantly enriched in metabolites associated with LS7 score were identified. Metabolites representative of these pathways were compared across clinical domains of LS7 score and then developed into a metabolomics risk score for prediction of CVH. We identified novel metabolomic signatures and pathways associated with CVH in a cohort of Black adults without known CVD. Representative and highly prevalent metabolites from these pathways included glutamine, glutamate, urate, tyrosine and alanine, the concentrations of which varied with BMI, fasting glucose, and blood pressure levels. When assessed in conjunction, these metabolites were independent predictors of CVH. One SD increase in the novel metabolomics risk score was associated with a 0.88 higher LS7 score, which translates to a 10.4% lower incident CVD risk. We identified novel metabolomic signatures of ideal CVH in a cohort of Black Americans, showing that a core group of metabolites central to nitrogen balance, bioenergetics, gluconeogenesis, and nucleotide synthesis were associated with CVH in this population.

Abbreviations

- BMI Body Mass Index CI Confidence interval
- CV Cardiovascular
- CVD Cardiovascular disease
- CVH Cardiovascular health
- MECA Morehouse-Emory Cardiovascular Center for Health Equity
- LS7 Life's Simple 7
- SD Standard deviation

¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA. ²Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA. ³Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA. ⁴Department of Biomedical Informatics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA. ⁵Atlanta VA Health Care System, Decatur, GA, USA. 6Nell Hodgson Woodruff School of Nursing, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA. ⁷Department of Medicine, Morehouse School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA. ⁸Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA. [™]email: csearle@emory.edu

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death in the United States, and there are marked disparities in CVD prevalence and outcomes between Black Americans and other racial groups^{1,2}. High resolution metabolomic profiling is an emerging technology that has the potential to identify pathways underlying cardiovascular health (CVH). The metabolome consists of endogenous, pharmaceutical, and chemical metabolites that fall downstream of genomic, transcriptomic, and proteomic variations³. Metabolomic profiling has shown great promise in elucidating novel mechanisms underlying cardiometabolic and subclinical or clinically apparent CVD^{4–6}. Although Black adults suffer from a greater burden of cardiometabolic risk factors¹, the metabolic signatures associated with CVH remain understudied in this vulnerable population^{7,8}.

The purpose of this study was to determine the metabolomic signatures for CVH in Black adults without known CVD and living in the greater metropolitan area of Atlanta, GA⁹. For this study, CVH was defined by the American Heart Association's Life's Simple 7 (LS7) metric (score of 0 to 14 with 14 being ideal CVH), which encompasses a set of seven CVH clinical domains (four health behaviors—smoking, weight, physical activity, and diet; three health factors—blood pressure, total cholesterol, and glucose), and has been shown to predict CVD and all-cause mortality¹⁰⁻¹³.

Methods

Study design

We studied metabolomic profiles in plasma samples from participants of the Morehouse-Emory Cardiovascular (MECA) Center for Health Equity study. Platelet-free plasma samples were obtained from 375 adults living in the greater Atlanta region, aged 30 to 70, who self-identified as Black or African American. Details on study design and recruitment strategy have been described previously⁹. In brief, exclusion criteria included known CVD (e.g., myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular accident, coronary artery disease, peripheral arterial disease, atrial fibrillation, and cardiomyopathies), concomitant chronic diseases (e.g., human immunodeficiency virus, lupus, or cancer), substance abuse (alcohol or illicit drug), psychiatric illness, pregnant or lactating females, and immobility such that individuals could not increase physical activity.

Enrolled participants visited either Emory University School of Medicine or Morehouse School of Medicine where they completed a physical examination, blood draw, and questionnaires. Vital signs and anthropometric measures were recorded. All blood draws were performed after > 6 h of fasting, and cholesterol and glucose levels were measured. The presence of hypertension was verified by any of the following: current use of anti-hypertensive medications, systolic blood pressure \geq 130 mmHg, or diastolic blood pressure \geq 80 mmHg. The presence of diabetes mellitus was determined by either current use of diabetes medications or fasting glucose \geq 126 mg/dL. Finally, the presence of hyperlipidemia was defined by either current use of lipid-lowering medications or fasting total cholesterol \geq 240 mg/dL. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at Morehouse School of Medicine and Emory University and all participants provided written informed consent. The study was conducted in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations of these respective institutions.

Life's Simple 7 score

Life's Simple 7 (LS7) score¹⁰, developed by the American Heart Association, was calculated for the participants as their metric of clinical CVH. Seven clinical domains of CVH (physical activity, diet, smoking history, blood pressure, glucose, cholesterol, and BMI) were scored as 0 (poor), 1 (intermediate), or 2 (ideal), using the previously published scoring algorithm¹⁰. The summary score was computed by the summation of the 7 sub-scores with the range of 0 to 14, with higher scores indicating greater CVH (Table S1).

High-resolution metabolomics (HRM)

Untargeted low molecular weight metabolic profiles (85-1250 daltons) were obtained from platelet free plasma (PFP) samples using the HRM platform described previously¹⁴⁻¹⁶. Blood was drawn into sodium citrate tubes and PFP was collected by centrifugation at $2,500 \times g$ for 15 min followed a second centrifugation at $2,500 \times g$ for 15 min before storage at - 80 °C. For metabolomics profiling, PFP samples were thawed and treated with acetonitrile (2:1, v/v), spiked with internal standard mix, and centrifuged at $14,000 \times g$ for 5 min at 4 °C to remove proteins. Samples were maintained at 4 °C in an autosampler until injection and were randomized to minimize effects of instrumental drift during analysis. Three technical 10 µL aliquot replicates were analyzed on a Thermo Scientific Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid Mass Spectrometer using a Thermo Dionex Ultimate 3000 liquid chromatography system with HILIC (hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography; ThermoFisher Scientific, Accucore, 50×2.1 mm, 2.6 µm) separation and electrospray ionization operated in positive mode. The flow rate of the HILIC column was maintained at 0.35 mL/min until 1.5 min, increased to 0.4 mL/min at 4 min and held for 1 min, resulting in a total analytical run time of 5 min. Mobile Phases A and B were LCMS grade water and acetonitrile, respectively. Mobile phase C was composed of 2% formic acid (v/v) in water. Mobile phase conditions consisted of 22.5% A, 75% B, 2.5% C which was held for 1.5 min, with a linear gradient to 77.5% A, 20% B, 2.5% C at 4 min, and held for 1 min. The HILIC column was then flushed for 5 min with a wash solution of 77.5% A, 20% B, 2.5% C before another injection. Mass spectral detection was completed at 120,000 resolution over a mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) range of 85–1275. A quality control pooled reference plasma sample (Q-Std3) was included at the beginning and end of each batch of 20 samples for quality control and quality assurance¹⁷. Raw data files were extracted using apLCMSv6.3.3¹⁸ with xMSanalyzer v2.0.7¹⁹, followed by batch correction with ComBat⁶. Uniquely detected ions consisting of m/z and retention time (RT) are referred to as metabolic features or respective metabolites, as appropriate. Metabolic feature annotation was performed using xMSannotator²⁰ based on the Human Metabolome Database²¹, with only medium to high confidence (score 2 or 3) considered. Further, annotations were also conducted by matching to an in-house library of previously confirmed metabolites, allowing m/z difference of 10 ppm and retention time difference of 60 s, which can be considered Level 1 (highest degree of confidence in metabolite identification) per criteria of Schymanski et al.²².

Metabolome wide association study (MWAS)

Prior to data analysis, feature intensities in triplicates were median summarized based on the nonzero readings, and metabolic features that had > 20% zero readings were removed. Feature intensities were log2 transformed, mean centered and scaled by standard deviation²³. Feature intensities were regressed on the LS7 score, adjusting for age and sex or age, sex, and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR)²⁴. Benjamini–Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) method was used for correction of multiple hypothesis testing. Associations were considered significant at FDR < 0.2 threshold²⁵.

Pathway enrichment analysis

After MWAS, metabolites associated with LS7 score at FDR < 0.2 were characterized for pathway enrichment using *Mummichog v2.0.6* software²⁶. Pathways including a minimum of 3 matched metabolites were selected and annotated using the criteria described above. Five confirmed (Level 1) metabolites (glutamine, glutamate, urate, tyrosine and alanine), selected based on significant association with LS7, enrichment in pathways identified by *Mummichog*, and central to the metabolite activity network (Figure S1), were converted into concentrations as previously described²⁷.

Statistical analysis

Demographic and clinical characteristics were presented by the poor (≤ 6), intermediate (7–9) and ideal (>10) LS7 scores for descriptive purposes only²⁸. Continuous variables were reported as means (\pm standard deviation [SD]) and compared using ANOVA across the three categories. Categorical variables were reported as proportions (%) and compared using Chi-square tests. Concentrations of select metabolites were compared across the three scores (0 = poor health, 1 = intermediate health, 2 = ideal health) of each of the seven clinical domains of the LS7 using ANOVA. Then, using linear regression models adjusted for age and sex, concentrations of these select metabolites were compared across the three levels of each clinical domain based on the least squares means. Finally, multivariable models were built including these select metabolites adjusting for age and sex or age, sex, and eGFR, with the dependent variable being the LS7 score. Using the beta coefficient for each metabolite derived from the linear regression as weights, a metabolomics score was created summing over the weighted select metabolites. The score was standardized to a mean of 0, with a standard deviation of 1. It was then analyzed in linear regression of dependent variable LS7 score to explore the composite association of metabolites, adjusting for age and sex.

We performed all statistical analyses using R version 4.0.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). FDR corrected q value < 0.2 was considered statistically significant for the MWAS²⁹. For the other analyses, *p*-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results Baseline characteristics

The mean age of the 375 of participants was 53.2 ± 10.2 years with 38.9% male. Mean LS7 score was 8.0 ± 2.2 (Table 1). Lower BMI, younger age and nonsmoking status were observed in participants with higher LS7 scores. In the overall cohort, the prevalence of hypertension was 51.2%, hyperlipidemia was 30.5%, diabetes was 20.6%, and smoking was 24.3%. The mean BMI was 32.9 kg/m^2 .

Metabolome-wide association study (MWAS)

Untargeted, high resolution plasma metabolomics profiling of 375 MECA participants was performed using liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry. A total of 14,501 metabolic features were detected; metabolic features that had > 20% zero readings were removed and 8,211 underwent further analysis. After adjusting for age and sex, MWAS identified 301 metabolic features that were associated with the LS7 score at FDR cutoff < 0.2; 232 metabolic features were lower and 69 metabolic features were higher with higher LS7 (Fig. 1, Table 2, Table S2).

Metabolic pathways analysis

Using *Mummichog*, pathway enrichment analysis of the 301 metabolic features associated with LS7 identified 10 metabolic pathways (*p*-value < 0.05), including those involved in metabolism of glutathione, several amino acids, vitamin A, and purine (Fig. 2). Several metabolites identified by MWAS and listed in Table 2 matched to multiple pathways (Table S3). For example, alanine, glutamine, and glutamate matched to the glutathione, glutamate, alanine and aspartate, and tyrosine metabolism pathways. Visualization of the metabolite activity network, which combined analyses of identified pathways with metabolite modules showed that the amino acids glutamate, glutamine, alanine, and tyrosine were central nodes connecting different metabolic pathways (Figure S1).

Association of metabolite concentrations with clinical domains of LS7

To further examine the relationship between plasma metabolite levels and CVH, we compared concentrations of five confirmed (Level 1) metabolites (alanine, glutamine, glutamate, tyrosine, and urate) across clinical domains of LS7. All five metabolites were identified by MWAS to be associated with LS7 score when adjusted for age and sex (Table 2) or adjusted for age, sex, and eGFR (Table S5), were enriched in the metabolic pathways identified by *Mumnichog* (Fig. 2, Table S3), and were central nodes of the metabolite activity network (Figure S1). Furthermore, the levels of these metabolites were stable across the samples as indicated by relatively low coefficients of

	Low (n=97)	Intermediate (n = 182)	High (n = 96)	Total (n = 375)	<i>p</i> value
Demographics n(%) or mean (SD)					
Age, years	55.5 (8.1)	54.4 (9.5)	48.6 (12.0)	53.2 (10.2)	< 0.001
Male	36 (37.1)	65 (35.7)	45 (46.9)	146 (38.9)	0.176
Objective/Clinical Measures n(%) or mean (SD)					
Hypertension	81 (83.5)	95 (52.2)	16 (16.7)	192 (51.2)	< 0.001
Hyperlipidemia	45 (46.4)	60 (33.0)	9 (9.5)	114 (30.5)	< 0.001
Diabetes Mellitus	46 (47.9)	28 (15.4)	3 (3.1)	77 (20.6)	< 0.001
Current Smoker	40 (41.2)	42 (23.1)	9 (9.4)	91 (24.3)	< 0.001
Body Mass Index (BMI)	36.4 (7.5)	34.0 (8.3)	27.2 (5.7)	32.9 (8.2)	< 0.001
Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	140.2 (19.5)	131.5 (18.4)	119.7 (15.5)	130.7 (19.4)	< 0.001
Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	85.1 (12.2)	81.4 (11.0)	73.3 (9.4)	80.3 (11.8)	< 0.001
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	200.9 (43.9)	196.8 (37.9)	172.9 (30.4)	191.7 (39.4)	< 0.001
HDL (mg/dL)	54.1 (16.8)	56.49 (16.6)	61.5 (17.9)	57.1 (17.1)	0.008
LDL (mg/dL)	121.7 (38.1)	119.5 (34.5)	95.3 (25.2)	113.8 (35.0)	< 0.001
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	129.0 (83.4)	104.9 (46.9)	75.5 (37.7)	103.6 (59.9)	< 0.001
Fasting Glucose (mg/dL)	121.4 (43.7)	98.9 (31.9)	90.0 (23.8)	102.4 (35.6)	< 0.001
Total LS7 score	5.2 (0.82)	7.9 (0.82)	10.8 (0.92)	7.97 (2.20)	< 0.001

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the cohort stratified by high (≥ 10), intermediate (7–9) and low (≤ 6) categories of LS7 Scores.





variation (CV)—glutamine, 1.24%; glutamate—3.06%; Urate—3.39%; tyrosine—1.58%; alanine—1.89%. Metabolite concentrations were determined as described by Liu et al.²⁷ When we compared metabolite concentrations across the three scores (0 = poor health, 1 = intermediate health, or 2 ideal health) for each clinical domain of the LS7, we found that glutamate concentrations were higher with poor blood pressure, glucose and BMI scores, while glutamine concentrations were lower with poor glucose score. Urate concentrations were higher with poor BMI score, and tyrosine concentrations were higher with poor cholesterol and BMI scores. Alanine concentrations were higher with poor cholesterol, glucose, and BMI scores and lower with ideal physical activity (Fig. 3, Table 3). Analysis of concentrations across LS7 clinical domains adjusted for age and sex demonstrated showed similar results (Table S4). These data suggest that plasma metabolite levels were influenced by individual clinical domains of LS7, indicating that the expression profile of these five metabolites can reflect individual CVH.

Metabolite risk score and cardiovascular health in black adults

A multivariable model using the concentrations of the five metabolites demonstrated that all five were associated with LS7 score, independent of each other (Tables 4, S7). After adjusting for age and sex, the model demonstrated that one standard deviation increase in the respective metabolite concentration was associated with a 0.45 unit higher LS7 score for glutamine, and 0.28 to 0.54 unit lower LS7 scores for the other metabolites (Table 4). Similar

Name	m/z_RT(sec)	Beta	Standard Error	p value	FDR Q value	HMDB ID	
Lower expression with higher LS7							
5-Deoxyadenosine	mz252.1078_t72.7	-0.131	0.023	3.68E-08	7.56E-05	HMDB00101	
Alanine	mz90.055_t55.2	-0.124	0.024	2.22E-07	0.00026	HMDB00056	
Glutamate	mz148.0605_t61.2	-0.117	0.023	8.51E-07	0.00070	HMDB00148	
Urate	mz169.0359_t50.8	-0.087	0.023	0.00018	0.023	HMDB00289	
N-amidino-L-aspartate	mz176.0659_t38.7	-0.086	0.024	0.00037	0.039	HMDB03157	
Ferulate	mz195.0655_t59.4	-0.082	0.024	0.00072	0.061	HMDB00954	
Leucine/Isoleucine	mz132.1019_t39.1	-0.078	0.023	0.00086	0.064	HMDB00172	
Indole-3-acetate	mz176.0705_t24.9	-0.076	0.024	0.0017	0.097	HMDB00197	
Proline	mz116.0707_t60.4	-0.075	0.024	0.0020	0.102	HMDB00162	
Tyrosine	mz182.0811_t49.6	-0.073	0.024	0.0027	0.119	HMDB00158	
3-Hydroxybenzaldehyde	mz123.0441_t49.6	-0.073	0.024	0.0026	0.119	HMDB01870	
Phenylpuruvate	mz165.0546_t49.3	-0.071	0.024	0.0036	0.138	HMDB00205	
1-Methyladenosine	mz282.1189_t54.1	-0.066	0.024	0.0060	0.183	HMDB03331	
Higher expression with higher LS7							
Maleamate	mz116.0343_t74.3	0.067	0.024	0.0057	0.179	NA	
Oxoproline	mz130.05_t65.3	0.068	0.024	0.0050	0.165	HMDB00267	
Glutamine	mz147.0766_t70.5	0.071	0.024	0.0033	0.133	HMDB00267	
Homocysteine	mz136.0427_t53.5	0.102	0.024	2.00E-05	0.0064	HMDB00742	
Methyladenine	mz150.0766_t62.1	0.110	0.023	4.08E-06	0.0026	HMDB02099	

Table 2. Select metabolites from the metabolome wide association study (MWAS) that were significantly associated with ideal cardiovascular health as defined by AHA Life's Simple 7 (LS7). For list of other metabolites, please refer to Table S2. HMDB ID- Human Metabolome Database Identification; m/z- mass-to-charge ratio; RT- Retention time, expressed in seconds; NA- Not Annotated in HMDB;

.....



Figure 2. Metabolic pathways enriched for metabolites associated with LS7. Pathway analysis was performed using *Mummichog* software (version 2.0.6). The 301 metabolic features associated with LS7 by MWAS were entered into the analysis. Significantly enriched pathways (p < 0.05) are shown.

.....

results were observed for a multivariable model that adjusted for age, sex, and eGFR (Table S7). The weighted metabolite risk score comprised of these five metabolites continued to be associated with total LS7 score, where one standard deviation increase in the score corresponded to a 0.88 unit higher LS7 score (p < 0.001, Fig. 4), which translates to a 10.4% lower incidence of lifetime CVD³⁰. A similar relationship between metabolite risk score and LS7 score was observed when the metabolite risk score was adjusted for age, sex, and eGFR—estimate 0.88 unit higher LS7 score for one standard deviation increase in metabolite risk score (95% CI 0.69, 1.08; p = 5.69E-17). These findings emphasize the importance of non-essential amino acid and purine metabolism in CVH and demonstrate the ability of plasma concentrations of glutamate, glutamine, alanine, tyrosine, and urate to predict CVH.

Discussion

Herein, we used high resolution metabolomics profiling, advanced data extraction algorithms, and pathways analysis to examine metabolites and pathways underlying CVH in a cohort of Black participants without known CVD and living in the greater metro area of Atlanta, GA. CVH was assessed by AHA LS7 score, which incorporates key clinical risk factors for CVD and has been previously demonstrated to be a surrogate for CVH³⁰. We identified novel metabolomic signatures that included five key metabolites (glutamine, glutamate, urate, tyrosine, and alanine) linked to energy production, nitrogen balance, gluconeogenesis, and the metabolic syndrome (Fig. 5)^{5,31-40}. Subsequently, we created a novel metabolomics risk score to predict CVH in Black adults.



Figure 3. LS7 clinical domains associated with metabolite concentrations. Concentrations were compared across three scores (0, 1, 2) of the seven clinical domains of the LS7 using ANOVA. Upward arrows indicate that metabolite concentration increased with respective increase in clinical domain score, while downward arrows indicate metabolite concentration decreased with respective increase in LS7 score.

ParameterPor412 ± 12135 ± 1915 ± 7771 ± 2026 ± 31Harmediae405 ± 14025 ± 1315 ± 1361 ± 315 ± 1361 ± 3Harmediae417 ± 14028 ± 1312 ± 1312 ± 1312 ± 1312 ± 1312 ± 13Por43 ± 10234 ± 1415 ± 1779 ± 1379 ± 1312 ± 1312 ± 1312 ± 1312 ± 13Harmediae19 ± 14331 ± 10213 ± 1313 ± 1313 ± 1312 ± 1312 ± 1312 ± 1312 ± 13Por12 ± 1231 ± 1313 ± 13 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>Glutamine</th><th>Glutamate</th><th>Urate</th><th>Tyrosine</th><th>Alanine</th></t<>			Glutamine	Glutamate	Urate	Tyrosine	Alanine
Blood PressureIntermediate406 ± 14629 ± 1415 ± 7369 ± 2326 ± 34Ideal417 ± 14028 ± 1314 ± 6070 ± 2224 ± 64 <i>p</i> value0.870.010.370.770.9Por43 ± 10234 ± 1415 ± 7279 ± 21279 ± 71Intermediate399 ± 14333 ± 19015 ± 8268 ± 2320 ± 8 ± 32 <i>p</i> value0.270.130.880.020.140.14 <i>p</i> value0.270.130.880.030.14 ± 107 <i>p</i> value0.270.130.880.040.14 ± 107 <i>p</i> value0.270.130.880.390.14 ± 107 <i>p</i> value0.270.130.880.390.14 ± 107 <i>p</i> value0.49 ± 13229 ± 15164 ± 6070 ± 2320 ± 107 <i>p</i> value0.045<0.001	Blood Pressure	Poor	412 ± 121	35 ± 19	154 ± 77	71 ± 22	269 ± 81
Inder PressureIdeal417 ± 14028 ± 13142 ± 6070 ± 22246 ± 64 p value0.870.0010.370.770.9 P value31 ± 10234 ± 14155 ± 7279 ± 21279 ± 97 P or431 ± 10234 ± 14155 ± 7279 ± 21279 ± 97 P or37 ± 12930 ± 15149 ± 6420 ± 220 ± 7 P value0.270.130.880.030.02 P value0.7737 ± 20157 ± 7473 ± 23277 ± 7 P value417 ± 12736 ± 17157 ± 7473 ± 23277 ± 7 P value419 ± 13229 ± 15146 ± 6670 ± 23247 ± 7 P value0.045<0.01		Intermediate	406 ± 146	29 ± 14	155 ± 73	69 ± 23	261 ± 89
pail pailpail <b< td=""><td>Ideal</td><td>417 ± 140</td><td>28 ± 13</td><td>142 ± 60</td><td>70 ± 22</td><td>246 ± 64</td></b<>		Ideal	417 ± 140	28 ± 13	142 ± 60	70 ± 22	246 ± 64
Poor431±10234±14155±7279±21279±97Intermediate399±14333±19153±8268±23270±85Ideal417±12930±15149±6470±22250±70palue0.270.130.880.030.02Boor373±13737±20167±9168±22301±110Intermediate417±12736±17157±7473±23277±70Ideal419±13229±15146±6670±23247±70palue0.045<0.001		<i>p</i> value	0.87	0.001	0.37	0.77	0.09
Intermediate399±14333±19153±8268±23270±85Ideal417±12930±15149±6470±22250±70palue0.270.130.880.030.02palue373±13737±20167±9168±22301±110Intermediate417±12736±17157±7473±23277±70Ideal419±13229±15146±6670±23247±70palue0.045<0.001	Chalastard	Poor	431 ± 102	34 ± 14	155 ± 72	79 ± 21	279 ± 97
Ideal417 ± 12930 ± 15149 ± 6470 ± 22250 ± 70 p value0.270.130.880.030.02 p value373 ± 13737 ± 20167 ± 9168 ± 2230 ± 110Intermediate417 ± 12736 ± 17157 ± 7473 ± 23277 ± 70Ideal419 ± 13229 ± 15146 ± 6670 ± 23247 ± 70 p value0.045<0.001		Intermediate	399 ± 143	33 ± 19	153 ± 82	68 ± 23	270 ± 85
p valuep.270.130.880.030.02Bor373±13737±20167±9168±2230±110Buenee10±11036±17157±747±2327±70Bor419±13229±15146±6670±23247±70p value0.045<0.001	Cholesteroi	Ideal	417 ± 129	30 ± 15	149 ± 64	70 ± 22	250 ± 70
Por973±13797±20167±9168±22901±10Intermediate417±12736±17157±7473±23277±70Ideal419±13229±15146±6670±23247±70palae0.045<0.001		<i>p</i> value	0.27	0.13	0.88	0.03	0.02
Intermediate417 ± 12736 ± 17157 ± 7473 ± 23277 ± 70Ideal419 ± 13229 ± 15146 ± 6670 ± 23247 ± 70 <i>p</i> value0.045<0.001		Poor	373 ± 137	37 ± 20	167 ± 91	68 ± 22	301 ± 110
Indust IdealIdeal 419 ± 132 29 ± 15 146 ± 66 70 ± 23 247 ± 70 p value 0.045 <0.001 0.10 0.39 <0.001 p value 0.045 <0.001 159 ± 75 74 ± 23 276 ± 85 Intermediate 415 ± 129 29 ± 15 143 ± 63 65 ± 19 248 ± 71 $Poor$ 410 ± 131 29 ± 21 136 ± 72 65 ± 21 228 ± 64 p value 0.96 0.05 0.038 0.001 <0.001 p value 0.96 0.5 0.388 70 ± 22 266 ± 57 Por 386 ± 136 36 ± 15 158 ± 88 70 ± 22 279 ± 89 Por 145 ± 123 33 ± 19 146 ± 71 72 ± 22 279 ± 89 Por 412 ± 138 30 ± 16 154 ± 71 70 ± 23 250 ± 76 p value 0.54 0.068 0.52 0.75 0.05 p value 0.54 29 ± 14 154 ± 71 72 ± 20 271 ± 83 Por 424 ± 126 29 ± 14 154 ± 71 72 ± 20 271 ± 83 Por 405 ± 132 32 ± 18 149 ± 74 69 ± 23 266 ± 57 Por 405 ± 132 32 ± 18 149 ± 74 69 ± 23 256 ± 80 Por 405 ± 168 36 ± 19 161 ± 61 76 ± 26 262 ± 71 Por 405 ± 168 36 ± 19 161 ± 61 76 ± 24 255 ± 80 Por 409 ± 129 33 ± 20	Chucana	Intermediate	417 ± 127	36 ± 17	157 ± 74	73 ± 23	277 ± 70
p value0.045<0.0010.100.39<0.001Por410 ± 13133 ± 16159 ± 7574 ± 23276 ± 85Intermediate415 ± 12929 ± 15143 ± 6365 ± 19248 ± 71Idal411 ± 14629 ± 21136 ± 7265 ± 21228 ± 64p value0.960.050.0380.001<0.001	Giucose	Ideal	419 ±132	29 ± 15	146 ± 66	70 ± 23	247 ± 70
Poor410±13133±16159±7574±23276±85Intermediate415±12929±15143±6365±19248±71Ideal411±14629±21136±7265±21228±64p value0.960.050.0380.001<0.011		<i>p</i> value	0.045	< 0.001	0.10	0.39	< 0.001
Body Mass Index (BM)Intermediate 415 ± 129 29 ± 15 143 ± 63 65 ± 19 248 ± 71 Ideal 411 ± 146 29 ± 21 136 ± 72 65 ± 21 228 ± 64 p value 0.96 0.05 0.038 0.001 <0.001 $Porlame$ 386 ± 136 36 ± 15 158 ± 88 70 ± 22 266 ± 57 Intermediate 415 ± 123 33 ± 19 146 ± 71 72 ± 22 279 ± 89 Ideal 412 ± 138 30 ± 16 154 ± 71 70 ± 23 250 ± 76 p value 0.54 0.068 0.52 0.75 0.005 p value 0.54 29 ± 14 154 ± 71 72 ± 20 271 ± 38 p value 405 ± 132 32 ± 18 149 ± 74 69 ± 23 256 ± 80 p value 0.45 0.12 0.68 0.19 0.26 p value 0.45 0.12 0.68 0.19 0.26 p value 409 ± 129 33 ± 20 156 ± 81 745 ± 24 255 ± 80 p value 416 ± 133 31 ± 16 150 ± 68 69 ± 21 263 ± 18		Poor	410 ± 131	33 ± 16	159 ± 75	74 ± 23	276 ± 85
Body Mass Index (BM)Ideal 411 ± 146 29 ± 21 136 ± 72 65 ± 21 228 ± 64 p value 0.96 0.05 0.038 0.001 <0.001 P or 386 ± 136 36 ± 15 158 ± 88 70 ± 22 266 ± 57 $Exercise$ Poor 386 ± 136 36 ± 15 158 ± 88 70 ± 22 279 ± 89 $Ideal$ 412 ± 138 30 ± 16 154 ± 71 72 ± 22 279 ± 89 $Ideal$ 412 ± 138 30 ± 16 154 ± 71 70 ± 23 250 ± 76 p value 0.54 0.068 0.52 0.75 0.005 $Diet$ Poor 424 ± 126 29 ± 14 154 ± 71 72 ± 20 271 ± 83 $Diet$ Poor 425 ± 132 32 ± 18 149 ± 74 69 ± 23 256 ± 80 $Diet$ Poor 405 ± 132 32 ± 18 149 ± 74 69 ± 23 256 ± 80 $Diet$ Poor 405 ± 168 36 ± 19 161 ± 61 76 ± 26 262 ± 71 p value 0.45 0.12 0.68 0.19 0.26 P or 409 ± 129 33 ± 20 156 ± 81 745 ± 24 255 ± 80 $Intermediate$ 341 ± 141 33 ± 13 158 ± 89 71 ± 28 277 ± 71 $Ideal$ 416 ± 133 31 ± 16 150 ± 68 69 ± 21 263 ± 81		Intermediate	415 ± 129	29 ± 15	143 ± 63	65 ± 19	248 ± 71
p value0.960.050.0380.001<0.001Por386 ± 13636 ± 15158 ± 8870 ± 22266 ± 57Intermediate415 ± 12333 ± 19146 ± 7172 ± 22279 ± 89Ideal412 ± 13830 ± 16154 ± 7170 ± 23250 ± 76 p value0.540.0680.520.750.005 p value0.5429 ± 14154 ± 7172 ± 20271 ± 83Intermediate405 ± 13232 ± 18149 ± 7469 ± 23256 ± 80Ideal405 ± 16836 ± 19161 ± 6176 ± 26262 ± 71 p value0.450.120.680.190.26Morine409 ± 12933 ± 20156 ± 81745 ± 24255 ± 80Intermediate341 ± 14133 ± 13158 ± 8971 ± 28277 ± 71Ideal416 ± 13331 ± 16150 ± 6869 ± 21263 ± 81 p value0.100.410.750.090.55	body Mass maex (BMI)	Ideal	411 ± 146	29 ± 21	136 ± 72	65 ± 21	228 ± 64
Poor 386 ± 136 36 ± 15 158 ± 88 70 ± 22 266 ± 57 Intermediate 415 ± 123 33 ± 19 146 ± 71 72 ± 22 279 ± 89 Ideal 412 ± 138 30 ± 16 154 ± 71 70 ± 23 250 ± 76 p value 0.54 0.068 0.52 0.75 0.005 Poor 424 ± 126 29 ± 14 154 ± 71 72 ± 20 271 ± 33 Intermediate 405 ± 132 32 ± 18 149 ± 74 69 ± 23 256 ± 80 Ideal 405 ± 168 36 ± 19 161 ± 61 76 ± 26 262 ± 71 p value 0.45 0.12 0.68 0.19 0.26 Intermediate 30 ± 129 33 ± 20 156 ± 81 745 ± 24 255 ± 80 Intermediate 411 ± 141 33 ± 13 158 ± 89 71 ± 28 277 ± 71 Ideal 416 ± 133 11 ± 16 150 ± 68 69 ± 21 263 ± 81 p value 0.10 0.41 0.75 0.09 0.55		<i>p</i> value	0.96	0.05	0.038	0.001	< 0.001
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } & Intermediate & 415 \pm 123 & 33 \pm 19 & 146 \pm 71 & 72 \pm 22 & 279 \pm 89 \\ \hline Ideal & 412 \pm 138 & 30 \pm 16 & 154 \pm 71 & 70 \pm 23 & 250 \pm 76 \\ \hline p \ value & 0.54 & 0.068 & 0.52 & 0.75 & 0.005 \\ \hline p \ value & 0.54 & 29 \pm 14 & 154 \pm 71 & 72 \pm 20 & 271 \pm 83 \\ \hline Intermediate & 405 \pm 132 & 32 \pm 18 & 149 \pm 74 & 69 \pm 23 & 256 \pm 80 \\ \hline Ideal & 405 \pm 168 & 36 \pm 19 & 161 \pm 61 & 76 \pm 26 & 262 \pm 71 \\ \hline p \ value & 0.45 & 0.12 & 0.68 & 0.19 & 0.26 \\ \hline p \ value & 0.45 & 0.12 & 0.68 & 745 \pm 2 & 255 \pm 80 \\ \hline Intermediate & 341 \pm 141 & 33 \pm 13 & 158 \pm 89 & 71 \pm 28 & 277 \pm 71 \\ \hline Ideal & 416 \pm 133 & 31 \pm 16 & 150 \pm 68 & 69 \pm 21 & 263 \pm 81 \\ \hline p \ value & 0.10 & 0.41 & 0.75 & 0.09 & 0.55 \\ \hline \end{array} $	Evenies	Poor	386 ± 136	36 ± 15	158 ± 88	70 ± 22	266 ± 57
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c } \hline \mbox{HARME} & \mbox{Ideal} & Id$		Intermediate	415 ± 123	33 ± 19	146 ± 71	72 ± 22	279 ± 89
p value 0.54 0.068 0.52 0.75 0.005 Poor 424 ± 126 29 ± 14 154 ± 71 72 ± 20 271 ± 83 Intermediate 405 ± 132 32 ± 18 149 ± 74 69 ± 23 26 ± 83 Ideal 405 ± 168 36 ± 19 161 ± 61 76 ± 26 262 ± 71 p value 0.45 0.12 0.68 0.19 0.26 Poor 409 ± 129 33 ± 20 156 ± 81 745 ± 24 255 ± 80 Intermediate 341 ± 141 33 ± 13 158 ± 89 71 ± 28 277 ± 71 Ideal 416 ± 133 31 ± 16 150 ± 68 69 ± 21 263 ± 81	Exercise	Ideal	412 ± 138	30 ± 16	154 ± 71	70 ± 23	250 ± 76
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		<i>p</i> value	0.54	0.068	0.52	0.75	0.005
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Diet	Poor	424 ± 126	29 ± 14	154 ± 71	72 ± 20	271 ± 83
Ideal 405 ± 168 36 ± 19 161 ± 61 76 ± 26 262 ± 71 p value 0.45 0.12 0.68 0.19 0.26 Poor 409 ± 129 33 ± 20 156 ± 81 745 ± 24 255 ± 80 Intermediate 341 ± 141 33 ± 13 158 ± 89 71 ± 28 277 ± 71 Ideal 416 ± 133 31 ± 16 150 ± 68 69 ± 21 263 ± 81 p value 0.10 0.41 0.75 0.09 0.55		Intermediate	405 ± 132	32 ± 18	149 ± 74	69 ± 23	256 ± 80
p value 0.45 0.12 0.68 0.19 0.26 Poor 409±129 33±20 156±81 745±24 255±80 Intermediate 341±141 33±13 158±89 71±28 277±71 Ideal 416±133 31±16 150±68 69±21 263±81 p value 0.10 0.41 0.75 0.09 0.55		Ideal	405 ± 168	36 ± 19	161 ± 61	76 ± 26	262 ± 71
Poor 409 ± 129 33 ± 20 156 ± 81 745 ± 24 255 ± 80 Intermediate 341 ± 141 33 ± 13 158 ± 89 71 ± 28 277 ± 71 Ideal 416 ± 133 31 ± 16 150 ± 68 69 ± 21 263 ± 81 p value 0.10 0.41 0.75 0.09 0.55		<i>p</i> value	0.45	0.12	0.68	0.19	0.26
Intermediate 341 ± 141 33 ± 13 158 ± 89 71 ± 28 277 ± 71 Ideal 416 ± 133 31 ± 16 150 ± 68 69 ± 21 263 ± 81 p value 0.10 0.41 0.75 0.09 0.55		Poor	409 ± 129	33 ± 20	156 ± 81	745 ± 24	255 ± 80
Ideal 416 ± 133 31 ± 16 150 ± 68 69 ± 21 263 ± 81 p value 0.10 0.41 0.75 0.09 0.55	Smolring	Intermediate	341 ± 141	33 ± 13	158 ± 89	71 ± 28	277 ± 71
p value 0.10 0.41 0.75 0.09 0.55	Smoking	Ideal	416 ± 133	31 ± 16	150 ± 68	69 ± 21	263 ± 81
		<i>p</i> value	0.10	0.41	0.75	0.09	0.55

 $\label{eq:second} \textbf{Table 3.} \quad Concentrations in \ \mu M \ of select \ metabolites \ compared \ across \ LS7 \ clinical \ domains \ (unadjusted).$

Scientific Reports | (2024) 14:1794 |

Name	m/z_RT(sec)	Beta*	Standard Error	<i>p</i> value
Glutamine	mz147.0766_t70.5	0.45	0.12	< 0.001
Glutamate	mz148.0605_t61.2	-0.32	0.11	< 0.001
Urate	mz169.0359_t50.8	-0.38	0.11	< 0.001
Tyrosine	mz182.0811_t49.6	-0.28	0.12	0.02
Alanine	mz90.055_t55.2	-0.54	0.12	< 0.001

Table 4. Multivariable linear regression demonstrated that in a model adjusting for age and sex, all five of the metabolites were independently associated with LS7. *Beta: increase in LS7 per one standard deviation increase in respective metabolite concentration.



Figure 4. Relationship between metabolite risk score and LS7. One standard deviation increase in the score corresponded to 0.88 higher LS7 score.



Figure 5. Metabolites predictive of CVH in MECA are central to essential metabolic pathways. Five metabolites (red rectangles) that were associated with CVH and were the focus of current study are central components of key metabolic processes.

We found that the concentrations of these five metabolites in the plasma were specifically associated with poor, intermediate, or ideal scores in the cardiometabolic domains of the LS7 score. Prior studies have demonstrated similar association between levels of non-essential amino acids (e.g. glutamate, glutamine, alanine, etc.) and cardiometabolic risk or disease, such as diabetes, in multiethnic populations not enriched for Black adults^{8,31–34}. Cheng et al. corroborated a metabolomic signature involving non-essential amino acids, such as alanine, with metabolic syndrome within a mainly white cohort enrolled in the Framingham Heart Study³¹.

They also demonstrated an association of high glutamine to glutamate ratio with lower risk of incident diabetes. Similar associations between non-essential amino acid levels and cardiometabolic risk were noted in Asian^{32,33} and Mediterranean³⁴ cohorts. To our knowledge, only two other studies, both conducted within the Jackson Heart Study cohort, have assessed metabolomic signatures in Black adults but in the context of incident coronary heart disease⁷ and heart failure⁸. Thus, the current study is the first to demonstrate that CVH (or CV risk factors) in Black Americans is associated with metabolomic signatures similar to those reported for other racial/ethnic cohorts. In particular, the current study demonstrated the importance of glutamate and glutamine metabolism in this cohort. In addition, other novel metabolic pathways associated with CVH were identified. Whether these other pathways are only relevant to CVH in Black adults require further study in multi-ethnic cohorts.

We found that concentrations of both glutamine and glutamate varied with glucose levels, while glutamate concentrations also correlated with blood pressure and BMI. Glutamine is one of the most abundant amino acids in the body, classified as a conditionally essential amino acid, and has a critical role in nitrogen balance, providing intermediates to the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle (anapleurosis), immunity, and pH homestasis^{5,35–40}. Glutamine is mainly synthesized from glutamate and ammonia by the enzyme glutamine synthetase (Fig. 5)⁷. The pathophysiology of cardiometabolic disease is complex and disturbances in glutamine/glutamate metabolism have been implicated in the development of metabolic risk in multiethnic communities^{5,31–34}. The role of glutamine/glutamate in CVH is likely mediated through multiple mechanisms. First, glutamine has potent antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anti-apoptotic effects by stimulating glutathione, heat shock proteins, and heme oxygenase-1^{5,41}. Glutamine also stimulates nitric oxide bioavailability by increasing arginine synthesis that may maintain normal blood pressure⁴². We note here that in the current study glutamate levels were higher with higher blood pressure.

Glutamine can improve glucose homeostasis by stimulating release of glucagon-like peptide-1, externalization of glucose transporters, insulin release by pancreatic β -cells, transcription of insulin-dependent genes, and increased insulin disposition⁴³. Glutamine also increases the transamination of pyruvate to alanine, which is directly involved with the TCA cycle and is involved in modulating obesity by being a strong promoter of gluconeogenesis⁴⁴. Dysregulation in glutamate/glutamine metabolism can lead to higher levels of alanine⁴⁵, which has been shown to be associated with increased Type 2 diabetes risk⁴⁶. In our study, we noted that lower levels of alanine were associated with ideal glucose, BMI, cholesterol scores, as well as the modifiable component of physical activity. Based on these data, we hypothesize that the well-recognized beneficial effects of physical activity on CVH are mediated through changes in the metabolome, including changes in alanine metabolism, but future studies are required to determine whether health interventions that involve increased physical activity can modify alanine levels.

Tyrosine was another one of the select metabolites that was associated with BMI, as well as the cholesterol subcomponent of LS7 score. Previously, elevated levels of tyrosine have shown positive associations with insulin resistance and Type 2 diabetes in multiple non-Black cohorts^{31,47-50}. Catecholamines (dopamine, norepinephrine, epinephrine) are neurotransmitters synthesized from tyrosine^{51,52}. We speculate that changes in tyrosine can likely lead to changes in physiological and behavioral functions that can impact CVH in Black adults but future studies are required.

Our final metabolite of interest was urate or uric acid, the concentration of which was associated with BMI. Urate has long been established as associated with cardiovascular disease⁵³. Urate is a major product of purine metabolism and has been found to impair nitric oxide synthesis and promote endothelial dysfunction, which is pro-thrombotic, pro-inflammatory, pro-vasoconstrictive, and increases risk of incident CVD^{54,55}. While we did not find an association between urate levels and risk factors directly linked to endothelial dysfunction such as hypertension, we did note, in accordance with prior studies, that high urate levels were associated with obesity and as such, remain an important marker for CVH⁵⁶.

As shown in Fig. 5, the five metabolites (glutamine, glutamate, alanine, tyrosine, and urate) that were the focus of this study are known to be central elements to key metabolic processes. Each of them has been previously shown to be associated with CVH. While Pearson correlation analysis revealed associations between expression of each metabolite and expression of other metabolites (Table S6), we uniquely demonstrate that when assessed together, these metabolites were independent predictors of CVH in Black adults, and the novel metabolomics risk score derived from the concentrations of these metabolites was similarly predictive. Prior risk scores have been developed from metabolomic signatures to predict incident coronary heart disease^{29,57,58}, but, to our knowl-edge, we are the first to incorporate the concentration of these metabolites into a risk prediction tool for CVH.

While major limitations of this study are that it is single center, and it examined a relatively small cohort, we are among the first to demonstrate the molecular basis of CVH within Black Americans, a population underrepresented in scientific literature but suffers from disparate levels of CVD. Other limitations of the study are the need for replication in a separate cohort, and, given the cross-sectional design, the inability to address causality, which would require longer term follow-up. This study used the LS7 score as a surrogate for CVH, so longer-term follow-up of participants' outcomes would also be needed to determine whether the five highlighted metabolites can predict CVH better than clinical risk factors. Furthermore, while we explored several metabolic pathways that were associated with CVH, we did not further explore others that were identified in our analysis, including pathways responsible for retinol and arginine metabolism, which require consideration in future studies. Lastly, while the concentrations of the metabolites examined in this study varied with cardiometabolic risk factors, none of them varied with diet or smoking, factors known to be important determinants of CVH. Future study involving a more detailed assessment of diet will be needed to determine its influence on the metabolomic signature associated with CVH in Black adults. Future studies will also need to examine the interaction of social and environmental factors with metabolomic signatures. Despite these limitations, we report several novel findings and present a new metabolomics risk score, which demonstrated that CVH was associated with concentrations of five metabolites, pointing to the importance of non-essential amino acid and purine metabolism in CVH and the potential of the five highlighted metabolites as therapeutic targets. Additional studies are required to validate this score in multiethnic populations and prospectively follow participants for development of cardiovascular disease.

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to sensitive nature of personalized healthcare data but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 22 February 2023; Accepted: 11 January 2024 Published online: 20 January 2024

References

- Carnethon, M. R. et al. Cardiovascular health in African Americans: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 136(21), e393–e423. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.00000000000534 (2017).
- Van Dyke, M., Greer, S. & Odom, E, et al. Heart disease death rates among blacks and whites aged ≥35 years—United States, 1968–2015. Morbidity and mortality weekly report Surveillance summaries (Washington, DC: 2002). 67(5):1–11 (2018). https:// doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.ss6705a1
- Sharon, D., Chen, R. & Snyder, M. Systems biology approaches to disease marker discovery. Dis. Mark. 28(4), 209–224. https:// doi.org/10.3233/DMA-2010-0707 (2010).
- Shah, S. H., Kraus, W. E. & Newgard, C. B. Metabolomic profiling for the identification of novel biomarkers and mechanisms related to common cardiovascular diseases: Form and function. *Circulation*. 126(9), 1110–1120. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCU LATIONAHA.111.060368 (2012).
- 5. Durante, W. The emerging role of l-glutamine in cardiovascular health and disease. *Nutrients.* 11, 2092. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11092092 (2019).
- Johnson, W. E., Li, C. & Rabinovic, A. Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods. Biostatistics. 8(1), 118–127 (2007).
- Cruz, D. E. *et al.* Metabolomic analysis of coronary heart disease in an African American cohort from the Jackson Heart Study. JAMA Cardiol. 7(2), 184–194. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamacardio.2021.4925 (2022).
- 8. Tahir, U. A. *et al.* Metabolomic profiles and heart failure risk in black adults: Insights from the Jackson Heart Study. *Circ. Heart Fail.* **14**(1), e007275. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.120.007275 (2021).
- 9. Islam, S. *et al.* Cardiovascular risk and resilience among blacks: Rationale and design of the MECA study. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 9, e015247. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.119.015247 (2020).
- Lloyd-Jones Donald, M. et al. Defining and setting national goals for cardiovascular health promotion and disease reduction. Circulation 121(4), 586–613. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.192703 (2010).
- Effoe, V. S. et al. The American Heart association ideal cardiovascular health and incident type 2 diabetes mellitus among blacks: The Jackson Heart Study. J. Am. Heart Assoc. 6(6), e005008. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.116.005008 (2017).
- Joseph, J. J. et al. The association of ideal cardiovascular health with incident type 2 diabetes mellitus: The multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. Diabetologia. 59(9), 1893–1903. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-016-4003-7 (2016).
- Fang, N., Jiang, M. & Fan, Y. Ideal cardiovascular health metrics and risk of cardiovascular disease or mortality: A meta-analysis (1874–1754 (Electronic)).
- Li, S. et al. Predicting network activity from high throughput metabolomics. PLoS Comput. Biol. 9(7), e1003123. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003123 (2013).
- Roede, J. R. et al. Serum metabolomics of slow vs. rapid motor progression Parkinson's disease: A pilot study. PLoS ONE. 8(10), e77629. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0077629 (2013).
- Hoffman, J. M. et al. Effects of age, sex, and genotype on high-sensitivity metabolomic profiles in the fruit fly, Drosophila melanogaster. Aging Cell. 13(4), 596–604. https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12215 (2014).
- 17. Go, Y.-M. *et al.* Reference standardization for mass spectrometry and high-resolution metabolomics applications to exposome research. *Toxicol. Sci.* **148**(2), 531–543 (2015).
- 18. Alves, G. & Yu, Y.-K. Improving peptide identification sensitivity in shotgun proteomics by stratification of search space. J. Proteome Res. 12(6), 2571–2581 (2013).
- Uppal, K., Ma, C., Go, Y. M., Jones, D. P. & Wren, J. xMWAS: A data-driven integration and differential network analysis tool. *Bioinformatics*. 34(4), 701–702. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx656 (2018).
- Uppal, K., Walker, D. I. & Jones, D. P. xMSannotator: An R Package for network-based annotation of high-resolution metabolomics data. Anal. Chem. 89(2), 1063–1067. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b01214 (2017).
- Wishart, D. S. et al. HMDB 4.0: The human metabolome database for 2018. Nucleic Acids Res. 46(D1), D608-d617. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkx1089 (2018).
- 22. Schymanski, E. L., Jeon, J. & Gulde, R., et al. Identifying small molecules via high resolution mass spectrometry: Communicating confidence. ACS Publications; (2014).
- Patel, R. M. et al. Metabolomics profile comparisons of irradiated and nonirradiated stored donor red blood cells. Transfusion. 55(3), 544–552 (2015).
- 24. Inker, L. A. *et al.* New creatinine- and cystatin C-based equations to estimate GFR without Race. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **385**(19), 1737–1749. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2102953 (2021).
- Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B (Methodol.). 57(1), 289–300 (1995).
- 26. Uppal, K. *et al.* Computational metabolomics: A framework for the million metabolome. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **29**(12), 1956–1975 (2016).
- Liu, K. H. *et al.* Reference standardization for quantification and harmonization of large-scale metabolomics. *Anal. Chem.* 92(13), 8836–8844. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c00338 (2020).
- Thacker EL, Gillett SR, Wadley VG, et al. The American Heart Association Life's Simple 7 and Incident Cognitive Impairment: The REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) Study. J. Am. Heart Assoc. 3(3), e000635. https://doi. org/10.1161/JAHA.113.000635
- Mehta, A. *et al.* Untargeted high-resolution plasma metabolomic profiling predicts outcomes in patients with coronary artery disease. *PLoS ONE.* 15(8), e0237579. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237579 (2020).
- Xanthakis, V. et al. Ideal cardiovascular health. Circulation. 130(19), 1676–1683. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA. 114.009273 (2014).
- Cheng, S. et al. Metabolite profiling identifies pathways associated with metabolic risk in humans. Circulation. 125(18), 2222–2231. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.067827 (2012).
- Wang, S. M. et al. Identification of serum metabolites associated with obesity and traditional risk factors for metabolic disease in Chinese adults. Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis. 28(2), 112–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2017.09.009 (2018).

- Wang, S. et al. Association of serum metabolites with impaired fasting glucose/diabetes and traditional risk factors for metabolic disease in Chinese adults. Clin. Chim. Acta 487, 60–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2018.09.028 (2018).
- Ntzouvani, A. et al. Amino acid profile and metabolic syndrome in a male Mediterranean population: A cross-sectional study. Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis. 27(11), 1021–1030. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2017.07.006 (2017).
- Bruce, M. et al. Glutamine supplementation promotes anaplerosis but not oxidative energy delivery in human skeletal muscle. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 280(4), E669–E675. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.2001.280.4.E669 (2001).
- 36. Roth, E. Nonnutritive effects of glutamine. J. Nutr. 138(10), 2025s-2031s. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/138.10.2025S (2008).
- Young, V. R. & Ajami, A. M. Glutamate: An amino acid of particular distinction. J. Nutr. 130(4S Suppl), 892s–900s. https://doi. org/10.1093/jn/130.4.892S (2000).
- Xi, P., Jiang, Z., Zheng, C., Lin, Y. & Wu, G. Regulation of protein metabolism by glutamine: Implications for nutrition and health. Front. Biosci. (Landmark Ed). 16, 578–597. https://doi.org/10.2741/3707 (2011).
- DeBerardinis, R. J. & Cheng, T. Q's next: The diverse functions of glutamine in metabolism, cell biology and cancer. Oncogene. 29(3), 313–324. https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2009.358 (2010).
- Cruzat, V., Macedo Rogero, M., Noel Keane, K., Curi, R. & Newsholme, P. Glutamine: Metabolism and immune function, supplementation and clinical translation. *Nutrients*. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10111564 (2018).
- Todorova, V. et al. Oral glutamine protects against cyclophosphamide-induced cardiotoxicity in experimental rats through increase of cardiac glutathione. Nutrition 25(7–8), 812–817. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2009.01.004 (2009).
- Boelens, P. G., van Leeuwen, P. A., Dejong, C. H. & Deutz, N. E. Intestinal renal metabolism of L-citrulline and L-arginine following enteral or parenteral infusion of L-alanyl-L-[2,15N]glutamine or L-[2,15N]glutamine in mice. Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointestinal Liver Physio. 289(4), G679–G685. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00026.2005 (2005).
- Greenfield, J. R. et al. Oral glutamine increases circulating glucagon-like peptide 1, glucagon, and insulin concentrations in lean, obese, and type 2 diabetic subjects. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 89(1), 106–113. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2008.26362 (2009).
- Newgard, C. B. et al. A branched-chain amino acid-related metabolic signature that differentiates obese and lean humans and contributes to insulin resistance. Cell Metab. 9(4), 311–326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2009.02.002 (2009).
- Stumvoll, M. et al. Glutamine and alanine metabolism in NIDDM. Diabetes. 45(7), 863–868. https://doi.org/10.2337/diab.45.7. 863 (1996).
- 46. Yang, S. J., Kwak, S.-Y., Jo, G., Song, T.-J. & Shin, M.-J. Serum metabolite profile associated with incident type 2 diabetes in Koreans: findings from the Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study. Sci. Rep. 8(1), 8207. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26320-9 (2018).
- Floegel, A. *et al.* Identification of serum metabolites associated with risk of type 2 diabetes using a targeted metabolomic approach. *Diabetes.* 62(2), 639–648 (2013).
- Stančáková, A. *et al.* Hyperglycemia and a common variant of GCKR are associated with the levels of eight amino acids in 9,369 Finnish men. *Diabetes.* 61(7), 1895–1902 (2012).
- Tillin, T. et al. Diabetes risk and amino acid profiles: Cross-sectional and prospective analyses of ethnicity, amino acids and diabetes in a South Asian and European cohort from the SABRE (Southall And Brent REvisited) Study. Diabetologia. 58(5), 968–979 (2015).
- 50. Würtz, P. *et al.* Branched-chain and aromatic amino acids are predictors of insulin resistance in young adults. *Diabetes Care.* **36**(3), 648–655 (2013).
- Nagatsu, T. The catecholamine system in health and disease—Relation to tyrosine 3-monooxygenase and other catecholaminesynthesizing enzymes. Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. B Phys. Biol. Sci. 82(10), 388–415. https://doi.org/10.2183/pjab.82.388 (2007).
- Fernstrom, J. D. & Fernstrom, M. H. Tyrosine, phenylalanine, and catecholamine synthesis and function in the brain. J. Nutr. 137(6), 1539S-1547S. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/137.6.1539S (2007).
- Muiesan, M. L., Agabiti-Rosei, C., Paini, A. & Salvetti, M. Uric acid and cardiovascular disease: An update. Eur. Cardiol. 11(1), 54–59. https://doi.org/10.15420/ecr.2016:4:2 (2016).
- Choi, Y. J. *et al.* Uric acid induces endothelial dysfunction by vascular insulin resistance associated with the impairment of nitric oxide synthesis. FASEB J. 28(7), 3197–3204 (2014).
- 55. Chang, C.-C. et al. Association between serum uric acid and cardiovascular risk in nonhypertensive and nondiabetic individuals: The Taiwan I-Lan Longitudinal Aging Study. Sci. Rep. 8(1), 5234–5234. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-22997-0 (2018).
- Masuo, K., Kawaguchi, H., Mikami, H., Ogihara, T. & Tuck, M. L. Serum uric acid and plasma norepinephrine concentrations predict subsequent weight gain and blood pressure elevation. *Hypertension*. 42(4), 474–480. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.HYP.00000 91371.53502.D3 (2003).
- Wang, Z. et al. Metabolomic pattern predicts incident coronary heart disease. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 39(7), 1475–1482. https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.118.312236 (2019).
- Vaarhorst, A. A. et al. A metabolomic profile is associated with the risk of incident coronary heart disease. Am. Heart J. 168(1), 45-52.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2014.01.019 (2014).

Author contributions

C.D.S, S.J.I., Y.V.S., S.B.D., T.T.L, H.A.T., D.P.J, and A.A.Q. designed and conceived the study. S.J.I., C.L., A.A.Q., D.P.J. and C.D.S. wrote the main manuscript text. K.R. and A.N.M. processed blood samples. S.J.I. and C.L. performed analyses. S.J.I., C.L., and C.D.S. prepared the figures and tables. All authors reviewed data and the manuscript.

Funding

The American Heart Association 15SFCRN23910003, Abraham J. & Phyllis Katz Foundation, Byron Williams Jr, MD Fellowship Fund and the National Institutes of Health T32 HL130025 & T32 HL007745-26A1. A.A.Q. has been supported by NIH grants 4R61HL138657-04, U54AG062334-01, 1P30DK111024-03S1, 15SFCRN23910003, 5P01HL086773-09, 1R01HL141205-01, 5P01HL101398-05, 1P20HL113451-04, 3RF1AG051633-01S2.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-024-51920-z.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.D.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024