scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Predictive factors for postoperative visual function in eyes with epiretinal membrane

Misa Miyazato¹, Yume Iwashita¹, Kazushi Hirono¹, Jared Ching², Kentaro Nakamura¹, Tatsuya Inoue¹, Ryo Asaoka^{3,4}, Yasuo Yanagi¹, Maiko Maruyama-Inoue¹ & Kazuaki Kadonosono¹

Our current study aimed to investigate the association of preoperative OCT parameters with visual function after vitrectomy surgery in eyes with epiretinal membrane (ERM). This study enrolled 33 eyes with ERM that underwent vitrectomy surgery. In addition to visual acuity (VA), metamorphopsia was measured pre- and postoperatively for each eye. Using the preoperative horizontal and vertical OCT images, SUKIMA (the gap area between the ERM and retinal surface) was measured respectively and the average of horizontal SUKIMA and vertical SUKIMA was used for the analysis. The associations of baseline parameters (age, axial length, preoperative central retinal thickness [CRT], inner nuclear layer [INL] thickness, ectopic inner foveal layer [EIFL] and SUKIMA) with postoperative VA, the change in VA, postoperative metamorphopsia and the improvement in metamorphopsia were investigated using multivariate regression analysis followed by the model selection. The result suggested that age and INL thickness were related to the postoperative VA, whereas age and preoperative CRT were significantly associated with the change in VA. In contrast, only SUKIMA was correlated with the postoperative metamorphopsia, whilst age, EIFL and SUKIMA were associated with the improvement in metamorphopsia. Measuring SUKIMA might be useful for predicting postoperative metamorphopsia and the improvement in metamorphopsia in ERM eyes.

Epiretinal Membrane (ERM) is one of the most common vitreoretinal diseases in the elderly population. The major symptoms of ERM patients are decreased visual acuity and metamorphopsia¹⁻³. With the recent advances in optical coherence tomography (OCT) imaging, several studies have investigated the structure-function relationships in eyes with ERM. For instance, significant associations between metamorphopsia and the inner nuclear layer (INL) thickness, central retinal thickness (CRT), ectopic inner foveal layer (EIFL) and the maximum depth of the retinal fold were previously reported in ERM⁴⁻⁸. Furthermore, measurement of these parameters preoperatively may be useful for predicting visual outcomes in eyes with ERM.

We recently identified SUKIMA, the area of gap between the ERM and the retinal surface, as a novel OCT parameter that associates with metamorphopsia in eyes with ERM⁹. However, our previous report was crosssectional and did not investigate the correlation between SUKIMA and postoperative visual function (visual acuity and metamorphopsia). It is possible that SUKIMA might be useful for predicting visual function after ERM surgery in addition to other OCT parameters. Therefore, the current study aimed to investigate the correlation between preoperative OCT parameters and visual function after vitrectomy surgery and to evaluate the usefulness of SUKIMA measurement for predicting the visual outcomes in eyes with ERM.

Methods

This retrospective study enrolled patients with idiopathic ERM who had undergone vitrectomy surgery at our institution. The exclusion criteria included eyes with (i) other retinal diseases such as age-related macular degeneration or diabetic retinopathy, (ii) a previous history of vitreoretinal surgery. Moreover, eyes with ERM foveoschisis, lamellar macular hole and macular pseudohole were also excluded from this study. The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Yokohama City University and written informed consent was obtained from each of the participant. All the procedures were performed according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

¹Department of Ophthalmology and Micro-Technology, Yokohama City University, 4-57 Urafune, Minami-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa 232-0024, Japan. ²Department of Ophthalmology, Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge, UK. ³Department of Ophthalmology, Seirei Hamamatsu General Hospital, Shizuoka, Japan. ⁴Seirei Christopher University, Shizuoka, Japan.[™]email: inouet-tky@umin.ac.jp

All the patients underwent comprehensive ophthalmologic examinations, including visual acuity (VA) measurement. Additionally, the degree of metamorphopsia was quantified by M-CHARTS (Inami, Tokyo, Japan), and the axial length (AL) was measured using the IOLMaster 700 (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany). The M-CHARTS examination was performed in vertical and horizontal directions and measured as MV and MH, respectively. Then the average of MV and MH scores was calculated as Mave for each eye and used for the statistical analysis. VA and M-CHARTS measurements were performed at the final visit and used as postoperative VA and postoperative Mave, respectively.

OCT measurement

We obtained OCT data using the Spectralis OCT (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). The preoperative OCT images were used to measure central retinal thickness (CRT), inner nuclear layer (INL) thickness, ectopic inner foveal layer (EIFL) and SUKIMA. In addition to CRT, INL thickness and EIFL were measured as previously reported^{7,8}. As shown in Fig. 1A,B, the INL thickness was measured for 9 points (fovea, 0.25 mm, 0.50 mm superior, temporal, inferior and nasal from the fovea). The INL thickness was calculated as the average of 9 points for each eye. The EIFL was defined as continuous hypo- or hyper-reflective band extending from the INL and inner plexiform layer across the foveal region and the thickness was measured between the inner border of the outer nuclear layer and the internal limiting membrane (ILM) at the foveal center (Fig. 2A). SUKIMA was measured in both vertical and horizontal OCT images with scan angle 20° and the average value was used for the analyses (Fig. 2A,B)⁹.

Magnification correction

Several reports have suggested that the differences of AL affect the lateral magnification in OCT images¹⁰. To correct ocular magnification in OCT images, we used the Littmann formula, as previously described^{11,12}.

t = p * q * s

In this formula, *t* is the actual fundus dimension, factor *p* is the magnification factor for the camera of the imaging system, 3.382 in the Spectralis OCT, *q* is the magnification factor for the individual eye, 0.01306 * (AL [mm] – 1.82), and *s* is the average of horizontal and vertical SUKIMA without magnification correction^{13,14}. The value of t was used for the statistical analysis as SUKIMA with magnification correction.

Surgical technique

All the eyes underwent 25-gauge (25G) pars plana vitrectomy by a single surgeon (KK). Vitrectomy was conducted using Constellation Vision System (Alcon laboratories, Fort Worth, TX). ERM was treated with 25G GRIESHABER MAXGrip Forceps (Alcon Grieshaber, Schaffhausen, Switzerland). After the ERM peeling, indocyanine green dye was used to enhance visualization during ILM peeling. Sulfur-hexafluoride gas or air tamponade was performed at the discretion of the surgeon.





Figure 1. The measurement of the INL thickness in an eye with ERM. A 62-year-old women demonstrated idiopathic ERM in her right eye. Using horizontal (**A**) and vertical (**B**) OCT images, the INL thickness was calculated as the average of 9 points for each eye (fovea, 0.25 mm, 0.50 mm superior, temporal, inferior and nasal from the fovea). ILM: inner nuclear layer, ERM: epiretinal membrane.

Scientific Reports | (2023) 13:22198 |









Figure 2. The measurement of SUKIMA, CRT and EIFL in an eye with ERM. (**A**) The CRT (yellow arrow) and EIFL (blue arrow) were measured (422 μ m and 22 μ m, respectively). In addition, using both horizontal (**A**) and vertical (**B**) OCT scans, SUKIMA was measured with ImageJ software (green area). The average of horizontal and vertical SUKIMA was calculated without magnification correction (0.040 mm²) and the value of SUKIMA was corrected to 0.037 mm² using Littmann formula and used for the statistical analysis. CRT: central retinal thickness, EIFL: ectopic inner foveal layer.

Statistical analysis

Wilcoxon signed rank test was conducted to compare pre- and postoperative logMAR VA or Mave. Using linear regression analyses, we investigated the relationships (i) between SUKIMA and preoperative logMAR VA, (ii) between SUKIMA and postoperative logMAR VA, and (iii) between SUKIMA and the change in logMAR VA after vitrectomy. Moreover, the correlations (i) between SUKIMA and preoperative Mave, (ii) between SUKIMA and preoperative Mave, (ii) between SUKIMA and postoperative Mave, and (iii) between SUKIMA and the improvement in Mave were analyzed. Then, multivariate analyses followed by the model selection was performed to identify the optimal model for visual function using the second-order bias-corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) index. Specifically, among age, AL, preoperative CRT, INL thickness, EIFL and SUKIMA, the optimal models for postoperative logMAR VA, the change in logMAR VA, postoperative Mave and the improvement in Mave were identified, respectively.

The AIC is a common statistical measure in model selection, and the corrected form, AICc, gives an accurate estimation even when the sample size is small^{15,16}. The selected variables are regarded as being statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using R 3.4.3 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

The baseline demographic data are shown in Table 1. The present study enrolled 33 eyes of 33 patients (13 males and 20 females) with idiopathic ERM. Of these, 29 eyes underwent vitrectomy combined with phacoemulsification and aspiration (PEA) and intraocular lens (IOL) implantation, and 4 eyes vitrectomy alone. The mean age of the participants was 66.3 ± 10.6 years. The logMAR VA significantly improved from 0.19 ± 0.28 to -0.005 ± 0.11 (P < 0.001, Wilcoxon signed rank test). In addition, the Mave was significantly improved from 0.61 ± 0.35 to 0.38 ± 0.28 (P < 0.001; Wilcoxon signed rank test).

Univariate analyses suggested that there was no significant correlation between SUKIMA and pre- and postoperative logMAR VA and the change in logMAR VA (p=0.415, p=0.57, p=0.52, respectively, linear regression analysis). Multivariate analysis followed by AICc model selection suggested the optimal model for postoperative logMAR VA included age and the INL thickness. On the other hand, the optimal model for the change in logMAR VA included age and preoperative CRT. The optimal models for the postoperative logMAR VA and the change in logMAR VA were as follows:

Postoperative logMAR VA = $-0.34 + 0.0039(\pm 0.0017) \times \text{Age} + 0.00097(\pm 0.00045) \times \text{INL thickness (AICc} = -50.7).$

Change in logMAR VA = $0.64 - 0.0083(\pm 0.0038) \times \text{Age}$ - $0.00070(\pm 0.00027) \times \text{preoperative CRT (AICc = 1.2)}$

	Preoperative	Postoperative	P value
Number of eyes	33	·	
Male: female	13:20		
Age (years)	66.3 ± 10.6		
Duration (months)	8.1±6.0		
AL (mm)	24.1 ± 1.6		
SUKIMA (mm ²)	0.14 ± 0.08		
INL thickness (µm)	74.5 ± 40.4		
EIFL (µm)	60.8 ± 99.8		
CRT (µm)	411 ± 146	363 ± 82	0.007
LogMAR VA	0.19 ± 0.28	-0.005 ± 0.11	< 0.001
MV	0.68 ± 0.48	0.45 ± 0.40	0.0011
МН	0.55 ± 0.33	0.31 ± 0.27	< 0.001
Mave	0.61 ± 0.35	0.38 ± 0.28	< 0.001



Univariate analyses suggested that SUKIMA was significantly associated with preoperative Mave (r = 0.613, p = 0.00015) and the improvement of Mave (r = 0.470, P = 0.0058), while a tendency toward significance was observed between SUKIMA and postoperative Mave (r = 0.296, P = 0.094; linear regression analysis, Fig. 3). As a result of the AICc model selection, the optimal model for postoperative Mave included only SUKIMA. Furthermore, the optimal model for the improvement of Mave included age, EIFL and SUKIMA, as follows (Table 2).





	Preoperatove Mave		Postoperative Mave		Improvement o	Improvement of Mave	
Variable	Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE	
Age	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.		0.0039	
AL	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.		N.S.	
Preoperative CRT	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.		N.S.	
INL thickness	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.		N.S.	
EIFL	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.		0.00041	
SUKIMA	2.54	0.59	0.97	0.56	1.71	0.48	

Table 2. The optimal model for pre- and postoperative Mave and the improvement of Mave. Mave: averagemetamorphopsia score, SE: standard error, N.S.: not selected, AL: axial length, CRT: central retinal thickness,INL: inner nuclear layer, EIFL: ectopic inner foveal layer.

Postoperative Mave = $0.24 + 0.97(\pm 0.56) \times SUKIMA(AICc = 11.6)$.

Improvement of Mave = $0.58 - 0.0081(\pm 0.0039) \times \text{Age}$ - $0.00076(\pm 0.00041) \times \text{EIFL} + 1.71(\pm 0.48) \times \text{SUKIMA}(\text{AICc} = 4.2).$

Discussion

In the present study, we aimed to examine the correlation between SUKIMA and visual function after vitrectomy surgery in eyes with ERM. Consistent with our previous work, SUKIMA with magnification correction was significantly related to preoperative matamorphopsia⁹. We also found that SUKIMA was associated with the postoperative Mave and the improvement of Mave, respectively. Taken together, it is possible that SUKIMA might be a good predictor of not only postoperative metamorphopsia but also the improvement in metamorphopsia.

Our result with the AICc model selection indicated age and INL thickness were significantly related to postoperative logMAR VA and age and preoperative CRT were correlated with the change in logMAR VA but SUKIMA was not. Our previous report suggested SUKIMA was related to VA in addition to metamorphopsia⁹. This discrepant result seems to be due to the difference in patients' background or the influence of cataract surgery. In our current study, 29 out of 33 eyes had undergone vitrectomy combined with PEA + IOL and there is a possibility that the removal of cataract might contribute largely to the improvement in VA. However, the cataract grading was not taken into consideration, and this is one of the limitations in the present study.

Interestingly, our current study indicated SUKIMA was associated with pre- and postoperative metamorphopsia, and at the same time, with the improvement of metamorphopsia. Thus, ERM patients with larger SUKIMA suffer from worse distortion pre- and postoperatively but benefit from greater overall improvement in metamorphopsia. The association between SUKIMA and postoperative metamorphopsia might be directly influenced by the association between SUKIMA and preoperative metamorphopsia. Indeed, Pearson's correlation coefficient was 0.613 between SUKIMA and preoperative Mave, and 0.296 between SUKIMA and postoperative Mave. Moreover, it is possible that greater improvement in metamorphopsia in eyes with larger SUKIMA might be associated with surgical difficulty. Kim et al. previously indicted a significant correlation between the difficulty of ERM removal and the extent of ERM-retinal adhesion in eyes with idiopathic ERM¹⁷. They speculated that the tissue-free space between the ERM and retinal surface may facilitate ERM peeling during vitrectomy surgery compared to ERM-retinal adhesion. Further studies would be needed to clarify the association between SUKIMA and surgical difficulty, however ERM with larger SUKIMA might be peeled more easily.

The present study included several limitations. Firstly, this study was retrospective in nature and the number of enrolled eyes was relatively small. Secondly, SUKIMA was measured with all scan length (20°, 5.8 mm) using the vertical and horizontal OCT images and the ILM thickness and EIFL were measured as previously described, therefore the range of measurement is different between SUKIMA and other OCT parameters. The difference in the measurement range might influence our result. In addition, the period of follow up was variable and relatively short in the present study. Kinoshita et al. reported horizontal and vertical metamorphopsia score improved up to 6 and 12 months after vitrectomy surgery in eyes with ERM¹⁸. Further investigation with longer follow-up is warranted in the future.

In summary, SUKIMA, a gap between the ERM and retinal surface, is a good predictor for postoperative metamorphopsia after vitrectomy surgery. Furthermore, greater improvement of metamorphopsia could be expected in ERM with larger SUKIMA, suggesting the possibility that the presence of SUKIMA facilitates ERM peeling during vitrectomy surgery.

Data availability

The datasets used and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 10 October 2023; Accepted: 11 December 2023 Published online: 14 December 2023

References

- 1. Mitchell, P., Smith, W., Chey, T., Wang, J. & Chang, A. Prevalence and associations of epiretinal membranes. The Blue Mountains Eye Study, Australia. *Ophthalmology.* **104**, 1033–1040 (1997).
- 2. Miyazaki, M. *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors for epiretinal membranes in a Japanese population: The Hisayama study. *Graefes* Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol. 241, 642–646 (2003).
- McCarty, D. J. et al. Prevalence and associations of epiretinal membranes in the visual impairment project. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 140, 288–294 (2005).
- Watanabe, A., Arimoto, S. & Nishi, O. Correlation between metamorphopsia and epiretinal membrane optical coherence tomography findings. *Ophthalmology.* 116(9), 1788–1793 (2009).
- Okamoto, F., Sugiura, Y., Okamoto, Y., Hiraoka, T. & Oshika, T. Associations between metamorphopsia and foveal microstructure in patients with epiretinal membrane. *Investig. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 53(11), 6770–6775 (2012).
- 6. Hirano, M. *et al.* En face image-based analysis of retinal traction caused by epiretinal membrane and its relationship with visual functions. *Retina.* **40**(7), 1262–1271 (2020).
- Okamoto, F., Sugiura, Y., Okamoto, Y., Hiraoka, T. & Oshika, T. Inner nuclear layer thickness as a prognostic factor for metamorphopsia after epiretinal membrane surgery. *Retina*. 35(10), 2107–2114 (2015).
- Yanagida, K. *et al.* Ectopic inner foveal layer as a factor associated with metamorphopsia after vitrectomy for epiretinal membrane. *Acta Ophthalmol.* 100(7), 775–780 (2022).

- 9. Murase, A. et al. Relationship between optical coherence tomography parameter and visual function in eyes with epiretinal membrane. Investig. Opthalmol. Vis. Sci. 62(6), 6 (2021).
- Llanas, S., Linderman, R. E., Chen, F. K. & Carroll, J. Assessing the use of incorrectly scaled optical coherence tomography angiography images in peer-reviewed studies: A systematic review. JAMA Ophthalmol. 138(1), 86–94 (2020).
- 11. Littmann, H. Determination of the true size of an object on the fundus of the living eye. By H. Littmann from the original article, "Zur Bestimmung der wahren Grosse eines Objektes auf dem Hintergrund des lebenden Auges," which originally appeared in Klinisches Monatsblatter fur Augenheilkunde 1982; 180:286–9. Translated by TD Williams. Optom. Vis. Sci. 69, 717–720 (1992).
- Bennett, A. G., Rudnicka, A. R. & Edgar, D. F. Improvements on Littmann's method of determining the size of retinal features by fundus photography. *Graefes Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* 232, 361–367 (1994).
- 13. Moghadas Sharif, N., Shoeibi, N., Elsaei, A. & Atchison, D. Structure versus function in high myopia using optical coherence tomography and automated perimetry. *Clin. Exp. Optom.* **102**(3), 335–340 (2019).
- 14. Hirasawa, K., Shoji, N., Yoshii, Y. & Haraguchi, S. Comparison of Kang's and Littmann's methods of correction for ocular magnification in circumpapillary retinal nerve fiber layer measurement. *Investig. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 55(12), 8353–8358 (2014).
- Nakagawa, S. & Schielzeth, H. A general and simple method for obtaining R2 from generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 4(2), 133–142 (2013).
- Burnham, K. P. & Anderson, D. R. Multimodel inference: Understanding AIC and BIC in model selection. Sociol. Methods Res. 33(2), 261–304 (2004).
- 17. Kim, J. S. *et al.* Retinal adherence and fibrillary surface changes correlate with surgical difficulty of epiretinal membrane removal. *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* **153**(4), 692–697, 697.e1–2 (2012).
- 18. Kinoshita, T. et al. Time course of changes in metamorphopsia, visual acuity, and OCT parameters after successful epiretinal membrane surgery. Investig. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 53(7), 3592–3597 (2012).

Author contributions

T.I. and R.A. designed this study. M.M., Y.I., K.H., K.N., Y.Y., M.M.-I., and K.K. collected and analysed the patients' data. M.M., J.C., and T.I. drafted the article. All authors reviewed the current manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.I.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023