# scientific reports

# OPEN



# Detection of *NTRK* fusions by RNA-based nCounter is a feasible diagnostic methodology in a real-world scenario for non-small cell lung cancer assessment

Rodrigo de Oliveira Cavagna<sup>1</sup>, Edilene Santos de Andrade<sup>1,2</sup>, Monise Tadin Reis<sup>3</sup>, Flávia Escremim de Paula<sup>2</sup>, Gustavo Noriz Berardinelli<sup>2</sup>, Murilo Bonatelli<sup>2</sup>, Gustavo Ramos Teixeira<sup>3,4</sup>, Beatriz Garbe Zaniolo<sup>1,4</sup>, Josiane Mourão Dias<sup>5</sup>, Flávio Augusto Ferreira da Silva<sup>5</sup>, Carlos Eduardo Baston Silva<sup>5</sup>, Marina Xavier Reis<sup>5</sup>, Erika Lopes Maia<sup>5</sup>, Thainara Santos de Alencar<sup>5</sup>, Alexandre Arthur Jacinto<sup>6</sup>, Rachid Eduardo Noleto da Nóbrega Oliveira<sup>7</sup>, Miguel A. Molina-Vila<sup>8</sup>, Letícia Ferro Leal<sup>1,4</sup> & Rui Manuel Reis<sup>1,2,9,10</sup>

*NTRK1*, 2, and 3 fusions are important therapeutic targets for NSCLC patients, but their prevalence in South American admixed populations needs to be better explored. *NTRK* fusion detection in small biopsies is a challenge, and distinct methodologies are used, such as RNA-based next-generation sequencing (NGS), immunohistochemistry, and RNA-based nCounter. This study aimed to evaluate the frequency and concordance of positive samples for *NTRK* fusions using a custom nCounter assay in a real-world scenario of a single institution in Brazil. Out of 147 NSCLC patients, 12 (8.2%) cases depicted pan-NTRK positivity by IHC. Due to the absence of biological material, RNA-based NGS and/or nCounter could be performed in six of the 12 IHC-positive cases (50%). We found one case exhibiting an *NTRK1* fusion and another an *NTRK3* gene fusion by both RNA-based NGS and nCounter techniques. Both *NTRK* fusions were detected in patients diagnosed with lung adenocarcinoma, with no history of tobacco consumption. Moreover, no concomitant *EGFR*, *KRAS*, and *ALK* gene alterations were detected in *NTRK*-positive patients. The concordance rate between IHC and RNA-based NGS was 33.4%, and between immunohistochemistry and nCounter was 40%. Our findings indicate that *NTRK* fusions in Brazilian NSCLC patients are relatively rare (1.3%), and RNA-based nCounter methodology is a suitable approach for *NRTK* fusion identification in small biopsies.

Lung cancer remains the most deadly cancer worldwide and in Brazil<sup>1,2</sup>. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the most common histologic type of lung cancer, representing about 85% of cases. NSCLC is a heterogeneous disease, and its molecular profiling has shown the presence of molecular alterations in several oncogenes that could be therapeutically targeted, which have revolutionized the treatment of patients with NSCLC over the last years<sup>3,4</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Molecular Oncology Research Center, Barretos Cancer Hospital, 1331, Antenor Duarte Villela, Barretos, São Paulo 14784-400, Brazil. <sup>2</sup>Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory, Barretos Cancer Hospital, Barretos, Brazil. <sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, Barretos Cancer Hospital, Barretos, Brazil. <sup>4</sup>Barretos School of Health Sciences Dr. Paulo Prata–FACISB, Barretos, Brazil. <sup>5</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Barretos Cancer Hospital, Barretos, Brazil. <sup>6</sup>Deparment of Radiology, Barretos Cancer Hospital, Barretos, Brazil. <sup>7</sup>Deparment of Thoracic Surgery, Barretos Cancer Hospital, Barretos, Brazil. <sup>8</sup>Laboratory of Oncology/Pangaea Oncology, Dexeus University Hospital, Barcelona, Spain. <sup>9</sup>Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS), School of Medicine, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal. <sup>10</sup>ICVS/3B's–PT Government Associate Laboratory, Braga/Guimarães, Portugal. <sup>\infermatilemateria</sup> The NTRK1 (Neurotrophic Receptor Tyrosine Kinase 1), NTRK2 (Neurotrophic Receptor Tyrosine Kinase 2), and NTRK3 (Neurotrophic Receptor Tyrosine Kinase 3) genes are members of the TRK (tropomyosin-receptor kinase) family, playing crucial roles in cell growth, proliferation, neuronal differentiation, survival, and metabolism in central nervous system cells<sup>5</sup>. The NTRK fusion arises as a result of genomic rearrangements (intra-chromosomal or inter-chromosomal) that juxtapose the 3' region of *the NTRK* gene with the 5' sequencing of the partner gene, leading to the aberrant expression of the gene and constitutive activation of the kinase domain<sup>6</sup>. Nevertheless, screening for NTRK fusions may be complex due to the diversity of both partners and breaking points locals. Larotectinib and Entrectinib are Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved targeted therapies that inhibit TRK fusion proteins and benefit patients with solid tumors harboring NTRK rearrangements<sup>7</sup>.

The frequency of *NTRK* fusions varies according to the tumor type, reported in 2–17% of thyroid cancers, 5–15% of salivary gland tumors, and ~ 1% of NSCLC<sup>8–11</sup>. Because of the low frequency and incompletely characterized partners in tumors like NSCLC, assays allowing the detection of several fusions or a two-step screening by immunohistochemistry (IHC) followed by confirmation by RNA-based next-generation sequencing (NGS) have been recommended<sup>12–15</sup>. However, due to the large number of driver alterations and the scarcity of tumor tissue usually available in NSCLC patients, multiplexed assays may improve *NTRK* fusion detection<sup>16</sup>. The nCounter assay is a robust semi-automatized platform, particularly for degraded biological material, such as formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue, that offers a cost-effective solution with high specificity and sensitivity for detecting *NTRK* and other therapy-targeted fusions, with a reduced rate of false positive and false negative when using a custom panel with multiplex capabilities<sup>16–19</sup>.

Here, we aimed to evaluate the frequency of *NTRK* fusions in a real-world scenario of a routine molecular profile of NSCLC and assess the feasibility of a nCounter custom assay for rearrangement alterations in a Brazilian single center.

### Results

#### Characterization of patients' clinicopathological and molecular features

The clinicopathological and molecular features of the consecutive cohort of 147 formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) lung tumors, which were evaluated for pan-TRK, are summarized in Table 1 and Fig. 1. Molecularly, 24.5% (n = 36/147) of patients harbored *KRAS* (*Kirsten Rat Sarcoma Virus*) mutations, 16.3% (n = 24/147) *EGFR* (*Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor*) mutations, and 4.8% (n = 7/147) *ALK* (*Anaplastic Lymphoma Kinase*) fusions.

We observed 8.2% (n = 12/147) of cases with pan-TRK positive immunostaining (Fig. 2). The most frequent histology of IHC-positive patients was adenocarcinoma in 66.7% (n = 8/12) of patients, the median age of patients at diagnosis was 61.0 years, 58.3% (n = 7/12) were male, and 83.3% (n = 10/12) were former or current smokers (Table 2). Clinically, 58.3% (n = 7/12) of patients were diagnosed in an advanced stage of disease, 25.0% (n = 4/12) presented weight loss 6 months prior to diagnosis, and most patients presented a good performance status (Table 2). Molecularly, one patient exhibited an *EGFR* mutation p.(Leu858Arg), three patients contained the *KRAS* mutation, the p.(Gly12Cys) present in two, and a p.(Gly12Val) in one patient.

#### Detection of NTRK fusions by RNA-based NGS and RNA-based nCounter assays

Next, we tested the 12 IHC-positive cases for *NTRK* fusions using two molecular methods: NGS panel Archer FusionPlex solid tumor and our custom fusion panel nCounter Elements XT (Fig. 1). Due to the absence of biological material in the FFPE biopsies, we were able to perform the NGS test on 50.0% (n = 6/12) of the positive pan-TRK (Table 2).

Out of the six samples tested by NGS, two samples were positive for the presence of *NTRK* fusions (*EML4-Echinoderm microtubule-associated protein-like* 4)-*NTRK3* and (*PRKAR1A-Protein Kinase CAMP-Dependent Type I Regulatory Subunit Alpha*)-*NTRK1*), two were negative, and two were inconclusive (Table 2 and Fig. 3). Simultaneously, we performed our custom nCounter Elements XT fusion panel in 41.7% (n = 5/12) of the positive pan-TRK samples (Table 2). From five tested samples, two were positive for the presence of *NTRK* fusions (*NTRK1* and *NTRK3*) detected by 3'-5' imbalance, and three samples were negative (Fig. 4). To corroborate the 3'-5' imbalance results, we included the two positive *NTRK* fusion non-lung cancer samples in Fig. 4. Since our assay does not use specific breakpoint probes for *NTRK* genes, the fusion partners are not reported.

We further evaluated the concordance rate between the results obtained from NGS, IHC, and nCounter assays (Table 2). Three of the six samples tested using the NGS assay were also analyzed by the nCounter assay, with a concordance rate of 100% (n = 3/3; two positive and one negative samples). When comparing the results obtained from the NGS assay with the pan-TRK IHC assay, we observed a concordance rate of 33.4% (n = 2/6; two positive samples). Similarly, when comparing the nCounter assay with the pan-TRK IHC assay, we observed a concordance rate of 40% (n = 2/5; two positive samples). Additionally, when comparing only positive pan-TRK samples with IHC stain intensity defined as 2+ or 3+ with the NGS assay and nCounter assay (Table 3), we observed a concordance rate of 40.0% (n = 2/5) and 66.7% (n = 2/3), respectively.

Overall, the frequency of NTRK fusions in NSCLC patients is 1.36% (n = 2/147).

#### Characterization of NTRK-positive patients (nCounter and NGS)

Molecularly, none of the patients had other genetic alterations in the *EGFR*, *KRAS*, and *ALK* genes (Table 2). Both male and female patients had no history of tobacco consumption, were diagnosed with lung adenocarcinoma, and presented no weight loss prior to 6 months of diagnosis (Table 2). The female patient was diagnosed at 38 with a stage IVA disease, which had metastasized to the lung and pleura, and received carboplatin with pemetrexed and pembrolizumab as first-line treatment, followed by carboplatin with paclitaxel as second-line treatment after disease progression. The other patient was male, diagnosed at 71 with a disease staged as IIIB, and was submitted

Variable	Parameter	n	%
	Mean (min–max)	64.0 (32.0-94.0)	
	≤64	77	52.4
Age (year)	>64	70	47.6
-	Male	83	56.5
Sex	Female	64	43.5
	Never	37	25.2
o 1:	Quitter	49	33.3
Smoking	Current	57	38.8
	No information	4	2.7
	No	77	52.4
T C 1.14	≤10%	23	15.6
Loss of weight"	>10%	29	19.8
	No information	18	12.2
	0	27	18.4
	1	79	53.7
ECOG PS at diagnosis	2	22	15.0
	3	10	6.8
	No information	9	6.1
	Adenocarcinoma	109	74.1
Histology	Squamous cell	7	4.8
	NSCLC <sup>b</sup>	31	21.1
	I/II	28	19.0
Stage at diagnosis <sup>c</sup>	III	26	17.7
Stage at magnosis	IV	83	56.5
	No information	10	6.8
	No	54	36.7
Metastasis at diagnosis	Yes, CNS	24	16.3
ivictastasis at diagnosis	Yes, Others	59	40.2
	No information	10	6.8
nan-TRK IHC	Negative	135	91.8
	Positive	12	8.2
	Wild-type	119	81.0
EGFR mutations	Mutated	24	16.3
	No information	4	2.7
	Wild-type	99	67.3
KRAS mutations	Mutated	36	24.5
	No information	12	8.2
	Wild-type	130	88.4
ALK fusions	Mutated	7	4.8
	No information	10	6.8
	Alive	92	62.6
Vital status	Deceased	54	36.7
	No information	1	0.7

**Table 1.** Clinicopathological and molecular features of NSCLC consecutively evaluated for pan-TRK (n = 147). n, number of patients; ECOG PS (Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status); NSCLC, Non-small cell lung cancer; <sup>a</sup>prior to 6 months to diagnosis; <sup>b</sup>including the following histologies: NSCLC NOS (not otherwise specified), neuroendocrine large cell carcinoma, adenosquamous carcinoma, <sup>c</sup>according to AJCC 8th edition; <sup>d</sup>pan-TRK IHC (immunohistochemistry).

to surgery (lobectomy) with adjuvant chemotherapy (cisplatin with pemetrexed) as curative treatment. None of the patients received anti-NTRK inhibitors, such as Larotrectinib or Entrectinib.

# Discussion

In the present study, we evaluated the feasibility of assessing *NTRK* fusions in a real-world scenario of routine molecular profiling of consecutive 147 NSCLC, using a custom fusion panel of nCounter assay from a single Brazilian Center.



\* NTRK fusions detected in the same samples by both techniques

**Figure 1.** Flow chart of the study design. We selected 147 FFPE cases diagnosed with NSCLC at Barretos Cancer Hospital that were routinely evaluated for molecular diagnosis.



**Figure 2.** Microscopy figure of the pan-TRK immunohistochemistry. (A) pan-TRK-negative immunohistochemistry (B) pan-TRK-positive cytoplasmic 1+(C) pan-TRK-positive cytoplasmic 2+(D) pan-TRK-positive cytoplasmic 3+. Brown color indicates pan-TRK positivity by DAB staining.

We observed the presence of *NTRK* fusions (*NTRK1* and *NTRK3*) in 1.36% (n = 2/147) of patients. Previous studies reported that the frequency of *NTRK* fusions ranges from 0.1 to 3.3% in NSCLC patients worldwide, with fusions in *NTRK1* and *NTRK3* being more common than *NTRK2*<sup>7,10,15,20-27</sup>. In Hispanic/Latin patients with lung cancer, a recent meta-analysis reported *NTRK* fusions in 1% of patients<sup>20</sup>. A real-world study reported 3.5% (n = 10/289) of samples with pan-TRK expression<sup>27</sup>. The authors, due to insufficient material, were able to confirm the presence of *NTRK* fusion (*EML4-NTRK3*) in only one patient by NGS, rendering an *NTRK* fusion frequency of 0.35% (n = 1/289)<sup>27</sup>. *NTRK* fusions are reported predominantly in patients with no smoking history and diagnosed with metastatic disease<sup>7,27</sup>. Likewise, our patients with *NTRK* fusion were never-smokers and diagnosed with advanced disease (IVA and IIIB). Molecularly, the presence of *NTRK* fusions in our series was mutually exclusive with other driver mutations and fusions, as previously described<sup>7,27</sup>.

Additionally, we evaluated the concordance rate between pan-TRK immunohistochemistry, RNA-based NGS, and our custom nCounter assay. Since the majority of the cases were routine small biopsies, and a panel of IHC markers initially diagnosed the cases, then were further evaluated for molecular alterations, namely *EGFR*, *KRAS*, *ALK*, and PD-L1, no more biological material with tumor content was available for molecular validation in half of the pan-TRK-positive cases. We observed that 33.4% (n = 2/6) of tested samples using NGS were positive for *NTRK* fusion, and 40.0% (n = 2/5) of tested samples using nCounter were positive for *NTRK* fusion. We observed

	Patients w	vith positive	NTRK (pan-7	(RK)												
	Age (year)	Sex	Tobacco Use	ECOGPS	Histology	Stage at diagnosis <sup>a</sup>	Metastasis at diagnosis	Sample specimen	Vital status	OS (months) <sup>b</sup>	EGFR mutation	<i>KRAS</i> mutation	ALK fusion	IHC pan- TRK	NGS <sup>c</sup>	nCounter <sup>d</sup>
ID-2068	71	Male	Never	0	LUAD	IIIB	No	Surgical	Alive	22.0	TW	ΤW	TW	Cytoplas- mic 3+	EML4-NTRK3	NTRK3
ID-2649	54	Male	Former	2	sqc	IIIB	No	Biopsy	Alive	5.8	Missing	Missing	Inconclu- sive	Cytoplas- mic 2+	Inconclusive	NTA
ID-2143	67	Male	Former	1	LUAD	IIIC	No	Biopsy	Deceased	4.8	WT	ΤW	WT	Cytoplas- mic 1+	NTA	NTA
ID-2386	54	Female	Former	2	LUAD	IVB	Bone, liver, CNS	Biopsy	Deceased	1.0	TW	TW	ΤW	Cytoplas- mic 2+	NTA	NTA
ID-2393	60	Male	Former	1	NSCLC	IVB	Bone, liver	Biopsy	Alive	6.3	TW	p.(Gly12Cys)	ΤW	Cytoplas- mic 2+	NTA	NTA
ID-2443	74	Male	Former	7	NSCLC	IVA	Kidney	Biopsy	Alive	1.6	WT	TW	TW	Cyto- plasmic 2+ and membra- nous 1+	NTA	Negative
ID-2406	58	Female	Current	1	LUAD	IVB	Lung/pleura, adrenal, sub- cutaneous	Biopsy	Deceased	3.7	WT	p.(Gly12Cys)	TW	Cytoplas- mic 1+	NTA	NTA
ID-381	62	Female	Former	0	LUAD	IIIA	No	Biopsy	Alive	45.9	TW	WT	ΓM	Cytoplas- mic 2+	Inconclusive	NTA
ID-2183	67	Male	Current	1	NSCLC	IVB	Bone, liver	Biopsy	Deceased	11.7	WT	TW	WΤ	Cyto- plasmic 2+ and membra- nous 1+	Negative	NTA
ID-2642	94	Male	Former	2	LUAD	IA3	No	Biopsy	Alive	2.8	TW	p.(Gly12Val)	ΤW	Cytoplas- mic 1+	Negative	Negative
ID-2644	59	Female	Current	1	LUAD	IVA	Lung/pleura	Biopsy	Deceased	7.5	p.(Leu858Arg)	TW	WT	Cytoplas- mic 1+	NTA	Negative
ID-426	38	Female	Never	1	LUAD	IVA	Lung/pleura	Biopsy	Deceased	19.3	TW	WT	WT	Cytoplas- mic 2+	PRKAR1A- NTRK1	NTRKI
<b>Table 2</b> . (Next-g. adenoca	Clinicc eneration rcinoma	pathologi 1 sequenci ), NSCLC	ical and mo ng)–Arche (Non-smal	ılecular fea r FusionPlı ll cell lung	tures of posi ex solid tum cancer), SQ	itive pan-TRI lor panel. <sup>d</sup> nC C (Squamous	K NSCLC cas Jounter Elem S Cell Carcino	es (n = 12). ] ents XT par ma), OS (C	Positive case nel. ECOG F Vverall Survi	ss are in bold. Ss (Eastern C ival), IHC (In	<sup>a</sup> According to ooperative On amunohistoch	AJCC 8th ∈ cology Grou emistry), N <sup>*</sup>	:dition. <sup>b</sup> S ıp Perforn IA (Not T	ince the c nance Sta 'issue Ava	late of diagnos tus), LUAD (L ilable).	iis. °NGS ung



**Figure 3.** NGS analysis showing sequenced reads using Archer VR FusionPlex VR (JBrowse 1.11.6) of *NTRK* genes fusion. (**A**) Visualization of *EML4* and *NTRK3* genes. (**B**) Visualization of *PRKAR1A* and *NTRK1* genes.



nCounter analysis of NTRK 1, 2, and 3 gene fusion by 3'/5' expression ratio



a concordance rate of 100% between the RNA-based NGS assay and our custom nCounter assay for *NTRK* fusion detection. Similarly, previous studies reported discordances between immunohistochemistry assays and more robust techniques (RNA-based NGS and nCounter) for *NTRK* fusion detection<sup>15,18,28</sup>. This may be due to methodology limitations since the pan-TRK immunohistochemistry assay detects wild-type and aberrant TRK proteins. In contrast, the RNA-based NGS and nCounter assays detect only the fusions<sup>29</sup>.

Importantly, detecting NSCLC patients harboring *NTRK* fusions is critical since the patients may benefit from targeted therapies, such as Larotrectinib and Entrectinib<sup>7,11</sup>. However, none of our patients were treated with Larotectinib or Entrectinib. Also, *NTRK* fusions are associated with resistance to EGFR-TKIs (Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors) in NSCLC patients<sup>7</sup>. Thus, *NTRK* fusions have emerged as a pivotal biomarker for NSCLC patients.

	Elements XT nCounter panel genes and Housekeeper (HK)								
	Probes for specific genes								
	ALK-fusion <sup>a</sup>	ROS1-fusion <sup>a</sup>	RET-fusion <sup>a</sup>	MET∆ex14 <sup>b</sup>	NTRK1-fusion	NTRK2-fusion	NTRK3-fusion		
	ALK_ex1	ROS1_ex1_(5'_UTR)	RET_ex1-2		NTRK1_ex1	NTRK2_ex1	NTRK3_ex20b		
	ALK_ex5	ROS1_ex18-19	RET_ex2-3		NTRK1_ex2-3-4	NTRK2_ex3	NTRK3_ex20		
	ALK_ex8-9	ROS1_ex24	RET_ex6-7		NTRK1_ex5	NTRK2_ex4	NTRK3_ex19		
Probes 5' and 3' for	ALK_ex18	ROS1_ex29-30	RET_ex11		NTRK1_ex7	NTRK2_ex5-6	NTRK3_ex17		
imbalance fusion detec-	ALK_ex22-23	ROS1_ex37	RET_ex14-15		NTRK1_ex14	NTRK2_ex8	NTRK3_ex9		
tion	ALK_ex26-27	ROS1_ex40	RET_ex15-16-17		NTRK1_ex15	NTRK2_ex18	NTRK3_ex7		
	ALK_ex29	ROS1_ex41/42	RET_ex18		NTRK1_ex17	NTRK2_ex19	NTRK3_ex4-5		
	ALK_ex29_(3'_UTR)	ROS1_ex43_(3'_UTR)	RET_ex19_(3'_UTR)		NTRK1_ex17b	NTRK2_ex20	NTRK3_ex3		
						NTRK2_ex21			
	EML4_ex13-ALK_ex20	CD74_ex6-ROS1_ex32	KIFB5_ex16-RET_ex12	MET_ex13-14					
	EML4_ex20-ALK_ex20	SDC4_ex2-ROS1_ex32	KIFB5_ex22-RET_ex12	MET_ex13-15					
	EML4_ex6-ALK_ex20	SLC34A2_ex13- ROS1_ex32	KIFB5_ex23-RET_ex12						
Probes for specific genes	EML4_ex18-ALK_ex20	SLC34A2_ex4- ROS1_ex32	CCDC6_ex1-RET_ex12						
ribbes for specific genes	KIF5B_ex24-ALK_ex20	EZR_ex10-ROS1_ex34							
	KIF5B_ex17-ALK_ex20	SDC4_ex4-ROS1_ex34							
	TFG_ex5-ALK_ex20	GOPC_ex8-ROS1_ex35							
		GOPC_ex4-ROS1_ex36							
		LRIG3_ex16-ROS1_ex34							
Housekeeper genes (HK)		SYMPK	HPRT1	GAPDH	GUSB	OAZ1	POLR2A		

**Table 3.** Probes of the custom NSCLC gene fusion panel of Barretos Cancer Hospital in the Elements XT nCounter. <sup>a</sup>Previously published by Novaes et al.<sup>16</sup>. <sup>b</sup>Previously published by Aguado et al.<sup>35</sup>.

.....

Since *NTRK* fusions occur in a wide range of partners, with most of them in a low frequency, assays that identify the specific breakpoint are not ideal<sup>11</sup>. Our results showed high efficacy in avoiding false positive cases for *NTRK* fusions when using our custom nCounter methodology, with complete concordance with the RNA-based NGS approach. Furthermore, the nCounter technology is highly robust, with multiplex capabilities, high sensitivity, easy to execute, faster, and more cost-effective compared to NGS assays, and shows a high success rate in samples with poor quality, such as FFPE samples<sup>19,30</sup>. Nevertheless, one area for improvement is the absence of knowledge of the fusion partner, in addition to the high cost of the equipment. Overall, these results suggest that our custom nCounter methodology could serve as a standard approach for routine biomarker testing gene fusions (*NTRK1,2,3, ALK, RET (Rearranged During Transfection), ROS1 (c-ros Oncogene 1)*, and *MET*[] *(Mesenchymal Epithelial Transition exon 14 skipping*] in NSCLC patients.

These findings indicate that a custom RNA-based nCounter methodology is feasible for routine *NTRK* fusion detection and that the frequency of these alterations in Brazilian NSCLC patients is rare (1.3%).

# Methods

From 2020 to 2022, we evaluated 147 FFPE consecutive cases diagnosed with NSCLC at Barretos Cancer Hospital that were routinely evaluated for their molecular profile, which included the mutation status of *EGFR*, *KRAS*, *BRAF* (*V-raf Murine Sarcoma Viral Oncogene Homolog B*), and *HER2* (*Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2*) by NGS, using the TruSight Tumor 15 panel (Illumina, USA)<sup>31,32</sup>, immunohistochemistry (IHC) of ALK and PD-L1 (Programmed death ligand 1)<sup>33</sup>, and evaluation of *NTRK1/2/3* fusions. The *NTRK* fusions triage was initially done by pan-TRK IHC, followed by molecular NGS validation (Fig. 1). The clinicopathological and molecular data were collected from the patient's medical records. The institutional review board-Barretos Cancer Hospital IRB-approved the study protocol (CAAE 05744712.3.0000.5437) and waived written informed consent due to the study's retrospective nature.

# NTRK1/2/3 fusion detection by Immunohistochemistry

Automated immunohistochemical for TRK A, B, and C (pan-TRK) expression was performed for all cases on an automated staining system (BenchMark Ventana Ultra<sup>55</sup>) as previously described<sup>34</sup>. The UltraView DAB IHC detection Kit was briefly used to visualize antibody reactions. The slides were counterstained with hematoxylin, and controls were used to verify appropriate staining. To perform the reticulum staining, we used the Reticulum/ Nuclear Fast Red Stain Kit (Artisan) on Artisan PRO, Dako Agilent Platform. Two pathologists reviewed the slides. We quantified the percentage of stained tumor cells in the subcellular compartments: cytoplasmic, membranous, and nuclear, as previously reported<sup>14</sup>. Additionally, the staining intensity for each compartment was defined on a 0 to 3 scale as follows: strong staining (3+), which was visible with the use of a 20× or 40× objective; moderate staining (2+), which required the use of a 10× or 20× objective; weak staining (1+), which involved the use of a  $40 \times$  objective; and negative staining (0), which was defined as complete absence of expression (Fig. 4). As previously reported, a positive cutoff of at least 1% of tumor cells was defined<sup>14</sup>.

#### **RNA** isolation

RNA isolation was performed from FFPE tumor samples, sectioned on slides with a thickness of  $10\mu$ m. One slide was stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and evaluated by a pathologist for identification, sample adequacy assessment, and selection of the tumor tissue area (minimum of 60% tumor area). RNA was isolated using the RNeasy FFPE Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Measurement of RNA quantity was done with TapeStation 4150 (Agilent Technologies).

#### Fusion detection by Archer FusionPlex solid tumor

Analysis of *NTRK* fusion was performed using the Archer FusionPlex Custom Solid Panel with Anchored Multiplex PCR (ArcherDX, Boulder, CO, USA) as previously described<sup>34</sup>. Briefly, the target-enriched cDNA library was prepared with the Archer FusionPlex solid tumor (ArcherDX, Boulder, CO, USA) using an amount of 100 ng of RNA as per the manufacturer's description. In short, the reverse transcription of RNA was followed by real-time quantitative PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) to determine the sample quality. Then, End-repair, adenylation, and universal half-functional adapter ligation of double-stranded cDNA fragments were followed by two rounds of PCR with universal primers and gene-specific primers, covering 53 target genes that rendered the library fully functional for clonal amplification and sequencing using the MiSeq (Illumina, USA). With the Archer Analysis software version 6.0 (ArcherDX, Boulder, CO, USA), the produced libraries were analyzed for relevant fusions.

#### Detection of NTRK fusions by nCounter Technology

Detection of *NTRK1,2,3* rearrangement was performed using the nCounter Elements XT (NanoString Technologies, Seattle, WA, USA) custom fusion panel developed at Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory, Barretos Cancer Hospital. The panel was previously designed to detect *ALK*, *RET*, and *ROS1*<sup>16</sup> and was now updated to detect *MET* $\Delta$ ex14<sup>35</sup> and *NTRK1/2/3* fusions. The specific probes are detailed in Table 3.

Briefly, 100 ng RNA was hybridized with specific probes for 21 h at 67 °C. Hybridized complexes were purified using the PrepStation (NanoString Technologies, Seattle, WA, USA) and then hybridized in the cartridge. Finally, the cartridge was scanned by the Digital Analyzer (NanoString Technologies, Seattle, WA, USA) for counting transcripts. Normalization of transcripts was performed by the nSolver Analysis Software v4.0 (NanoString Technologies, Seattle, WA, USA) using the ratio of geometric mean for each sample and arithmetic mean for all samples for positive assays controls and reference gene (housekeeper). Samples with counts lower than 300 counts for the *GAPDH* gene were considered inconclusive.

Detection of NTRKI, 2,3 rearrangement was based on 3'/5' probes imbalance, and no specific probes for breakpoints were used due to the large number of partners and breakpoints for the NTRK gene. The calculation of the imbalance probes was defined by the ratio between the geometric mean of 3' probes and the average of 5' probes, considering thresholds for positive NTRK1/2/3 rearrangement equal to 2. Two cases were included as controls: an infant-type hemispheric glioma<sup>34</sup> and an infantile fibrosarcoma harboring NTRK1 and NTRK3 fusions, initially detected by RNA-based NGS (Archer FusionPlex solid tumor). All analyses were performed in R environment v3.4.1.

#### Statistical analysis

We described categorical variables using percentages and continuous variables using the medians for statistical analysis. To assess the concordance rate between all the techniques, we calculated the percentage of samples with concordant and discordant results between the techniques. Frequencies and medians were performed using IBM SSPS Statistics Version 25 (IBM, Armonk, Nova York, USA). Graphs were created using GraphPad Prism v5.01 (GraphPad Software Inc., Boston, Massachusetts USA).

#### Statement of ethics

The institutional review board-Barretos Cancer Hospital IRB-approved the study protocol (CAAE 05744712.3.0000.5437) and waived written informed consent due to the study's retrospective nature. All procedures were performed following the Helsinki Declaration.

#### Data availability

The data supporting this study's findings are available from Dr. Rui Manuel Reis. However, restrictions apply to the availability of patients'clinical data, which were used under ethics committee approval for the current study. Data are, however, available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of Dr. Rui Manuel Reis (corresponding author).

Received: 3 September 2023; Accepted: 28 November 2023 Published online: 01 December 2023

#### References

- 1. Sung, H. *et al.* Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA. Cancer J. Clin.* **71**, 209–249 (2021).
- Estimativa 2020: incidência de câncer no Brasil [INCA-Instituto Nacional de Câncer. https://www.inca.gov.br/publicacoes/livros/ estimativa-2020-incidencia-de-cancer-no-brasil.

- Herbst, R. S., Morgensztern, D. & Boshoff, C. The biology and management of non-small cell lung cancer. Nature 553, 446–454 (2018).
- 4. Ettinger, D. S. et al. NCCN guidelines insights: Non-small cell lung cancer, version 2.2021. J. Natl. Compr. Canc. Netw. 19, 254–266 (2021).
- 5. Vaishnavi, A., Le, A. T. & Doebele, R. C. TRKing down an old oncogene in a new era of targeted therapy. *Cancer Discov.* 5, 25–34 (2015).
- Schram, A. M., Chang, M. T., Jonsson, P. & Drilon, A. Fusions in solid tumours: Diagnostic strategies, targeted therapy, and acquired resistance. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 14, 735–748 (2017).
- 7. Liu, F. et al. NTRK fusion in non-small cell lung cancer: Diagnosis, therapy, and TRK inhibitor resistance. Front. Oncol. 12, 1–16 (2022).
- 8. Rosen, E. Y. et al. TRK fusions are enriched in cancers with uncommon histologies and the absence of canonical driver mutations. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 26, 1624–1632 (2020).
- Gouda, M. A., Nelson, B. E., Buschhorn, L., Wahida, A. & Subbiah, V. Tumor-agnostic precision medicine from the AACR GENIE database: Clinical implications. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 29, 2753–2760 (2023).
- 10. Solomon, J. P. et al. NTRK fusion detection across multiple assays and 33,997 cases: Diagnostic implications and pitfalls. Mod. Pathol. 33, 38-46 (2020).
- 11. Rudzinski, E. R. *et al.* Diagnostic testing approaches for the identification of patients with TRK fusion cancer prior to enrollment in clinical trials investigating larotrectinib. *Cancer Genet.* **260–261**, 46–52 (2022).
- 12. Marchiò, C. *et al.* ESMO recommendations on the standard methods to detect NTRK fusions in daily practice and clinical research. *Ann. Oncol.* **30**, 1417–1427 (2019).
- 13. Penault-Llorca, F., Rudzinski, E. R. & Sepulveda, A. R. Testing algorithm for identification of patients with TRK fusion cancer. J. Clin. Pathol. 72, 460–467 (2019).
- 14. Hernandez, S. et al. Efficient identification of patients with NTRK fusions using a supervised tumor-agnostic approach. Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med. https://doi.org/10.5858/arpa.2022-0443-OA (2023).
- 15. Overbeck, T. R. et al. NTRK gene fusions in non-small-cell lung cancer: Real-world screening data of 1068 unselected patients. *Cancers* 15, 2966 (2023).
- Novaes, L. A. C. et al. Simultaneous analysis of ALK, RET, and ROS1 gene fusions by NanoString in Brazilian lung adenocarcinoma patients. Transl. Lung Cancer Res. 10, 292–303 (2021).
- 7. Yamashiro, Y. et al. NTRK fusion in Japanese colorectal adenocarcinomas. Sci. Rep. 11, 5635 (2021).
- Song, W., Platteel, I., Suurmeijer, A. J. H. & van Kempen, L. C. Diagnostic yield of NanoString nCounter FusionPlex profiling in soft tissue tumors. *Genes Chromosom. Cancer* 59, 318–324 (2020).
- Evangelista, A. F. et al. Detection of ALK fusion transcripts in FFPE lung cancer samples by NanoString technology. BMC Pulm. Med. 17, 86 (2017).
- 20. Parra-Medina, R. *et al.* Prevalence of oncogenic driver mutations in Hispanics/Latin patients with lung cancer. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lung Cancer* 185, 107378 (2023).
- Farago, A. F. et al. Clinicopathologic features of non-small-cell lung cancer harboring an NTRK gene fusion. JCO Precis. Oncol. https://doi.org/10.1200/PO.18.00037 (2018).
- 22. Stransky, N., Cerami, E., Schalm, S., Kim, J. L. & Lengauer, C. The landscape of kinase fusions in cancer. Nat. Commun. 5, 4846 (2014).
- 23. Vaishnavi, A. et al. Oncogenic and drug-sensitive NTRK1 rearrangements in lung cancer. Nat. Med. 19, 1469–1472 (2013).
- 24. Farago, A. F. *et al.* Durable clinical response to entrectinib in NTRK1-rearranged non-small cell lung cancer. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* **10**, 1670–1674 (2015).
- Xia, H. et al. Evidence of NTRK1 fusion as resistance mechanism to EGFR TKI in EGFR+ NSCLC: Results from a large-scale survey of NTRK1 fusions in Chinese patients with lung cancer. Clin. Lung Cancer 21, 247–254 (2020).
- 26. Forsythe, A. et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of neurotrophic tyrosine receptor kinase gene fusion frequencies in solid tumors. Ther. Adv. Med. Oncol. 12, 175883592097561 (2020).
- Poh, A. et al. Real-world challenges in undertaking NTRK fusion testing in non-small cell lung cancer. J. Thorac. Dis. 15, 3811–3817 (2023).
- Elfving, H. et al. Evaluation of NTRK immunohistochemistry as a screening method for NTRK gene fusion detection in non-small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer 151, 53–59 (2021).
- 29. Capdevila, J., Awada, A., Führer-Sakel, D., Leboulleux, S. & Pauwels, P. Molecular diagnosis and targeted treatment of advanced follicular cell-derived thyroid cancer in the precision medicine era. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* **106**, 102380 (2022).
- 30. Rogers, T.-M. *et al.* Multiplexed transcriptome analysis to detect ALK, ROS1 and RET rearrangements in lung cancer. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 42259 (2017).
- Cavagna, R. et al. Frequency of KRAS p.Gly12Cys mutation in Brazilian patients with lung cancer. JCO Glob. Oncol. https://doi. org/10.1200/GO.20.00615 (2021).
- 32. de Oliveira Cavagna, R. *et al.* ERBB2 exon 20 insertions are rare in Brazilian non-small cell lung cancer. *Thorac. Cancer* 13, 3402–3407 (2022).
- De Marchi, P. et al. PD-L1 expression by Tumor Proportion Score (TPS) and Combined Positive Score (CPS) are similar in nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC). J. Clin. Pathol. 74, 735–740 (2021).
- Mançano, B. M. et al. A unique case report of infant-type hemispheric glioma (gliosarcoma subtype) with tpr-ntrk1 fusion treated with larotrectinib. Pathobiology 89, 178–185 (2022).
- Aguado, C. et al. Multiplex RNA-based detection of clinically relevant MET alterations in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Mol. Oncol. 15, 350–363 (2021).

# Acknowledgements

This study was partially supported by the Public Ministry of Labor Campinas (Research, Prevention, and Education of Occupational Cancer–15<sup>a</sup> zone, Campinas, Brazil), and Barretos Cancer Hospital. RMR was supported by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq, Brazil) as Research Productivity Scholarship–Level 1B. LFL was supported by the Public Ministry of Labor Campinas (Research, Prevention, and Education of Occupational Cancer–15<sup>a</sup> zone, Campinas, Brazil) and National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq, Brazil) as Research Productivity Scholarship–Level 2. ROC was supported by Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES, Brazil) with a Ph.D. scholarship, and BGZ was supported by The São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) with an undergraduate research project scholarship. Funding sources have no contribution to filling out authorship for the present study.

We thank all members of the GTOP group (Translational Group of Pulmonary Oncology-Barretos Cancer Hospital, Brazil) for their scientific discussions and suggestions.

# Author contributions

R.O.C., L.F.L., and R.M.R. wrote the main manuscript text; R.M.R. designed the study. F.E.P., G.N.B., and M.B. performed the molecular experiments. M.T.R. and G.R.T. organized and/or reviewed the histological samples. B.G.Z., J.M.D., F.A.F.S., C.E.B., A.A.J., M.X.R., E.L.M., T.S.A., and R.E.N.N.O. collected, organized, and reviewed the clinical data. E.S.A., F.E.P., G.N.B., M.B., R.O.C., M.T.R., and R.M.R. contributed to data analysis and interpretation. All authors have reviewed the manuscript.

# Funding

This study was partially supported by the Public Ministry of Labor Campinas (Research, Prevention, and Education of Occupational Cancer–15<sup>a</sup> zone, Campinas, Brazil), and Barretos Cancer Hospital. RMR was supported by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq, Brazil) as Research Productivity Scholarship-Level 1B. LFL was supported by the Public Ministry of Labor Campinas (Research, Prevention, and Education of Occupational Cancer–15<sup>a</sup> zone, Campinas, Brazil) and National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq, Brazil) as Research Productivity Scholarship–Level 2, ROC was supported by Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES, Brazil) with a Ph.D. scholarship, and BGZ was supported by The São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) with a undergraduate research project scholarship. Funding sources have no contribution to filling out authorship for the present study. This is a researcher initiative study and the financial support had no influence on the research design and result interpretation.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

# Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.M.R.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023