scientific reports

OPEN

Check for updates

Metagenomic sequencing detects human respiratory and enteric viruses in air samples collected from congregate settings

Nicholas R. Minor^{1,5}, Mitchell D. Ramuta^{2,5}, Miranda R. Stauss¹, Olivia E. Harwood², Savannah F. Brakefield², Alexandra Alberts², William C. Vuyk², Max J. Bobholz², Jenna R. Rosinski², Sydney Wolf², Madelyn Lund², Madison Mussa², Lucas J. Beversdorf³, Matthew T. Aliota⁴, Shelby L. O'Connor^{1,2} & David H. O'Connor^{1,2}

Innovative methods for evaluating virus risk and spread, independent of test-seeking behavior, are needed to improve routine public health surveillance, outbreak response, and pandemic preparedness. Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, environmental surveillance strategies, including wastewater and air sampling, have been used alongside widespread individual-based SARS-CoV-2 testing programs to provide population-level data. These environmental surveillance strategies have predominantly relied on pathogen-specific detection methods to monitor viruses through space and time. However, this provides a limited picture of the virome present in an environmental sample, leaving us blind to most circulating viruses. In this study, we explore whether pathogen-agnostic deep sequencing can expand the utility of air sampling to detect many human viruses. We show that sequence-independent single-primer amplification sequencing of nucleic acids from air samples can detect common and unexpected human respiratory and enteric viruses, including influenza virus type A and C, respiratory syncytial virus, human coronaviruses, rhinovirus, SARS-CoV-2, rotavirus, mamastrovirus, and astrovirus.

As of September 23rd, 2023, over 70 million SARS-CoV-2 diagnostic tests have been performed in the United States¹. Deploying individual testing programs at this scale is extraordinarily expensive and resource-intensive, and has become increasingly unsustainable in most jurisdictions. New strategies are needed for monitoring the spread and evolution of pathogens without relying on this widespread individual testing.

Environmental surveillance, be it through wastewater or air, shows promise for meeting this need. Without relying on individual testing, environmental surveillance has already enabled public health officials to rapidly assess infection risk in congregate settings and in communities writ large^{2–5}. In the COVID-19 pandemic, the majority of environmental sampling for surveillance purposes has been through wastewater. However, air sampling has several advantages that make it complementary or even preferable to wastewater in certain settings. For example, air samplers are portable and intrinsically hyperlocal; they can be moved between individual rooms, installed into HVAC systems, and deployed densely in more open areas like airport terminals. Additionally, wastewater sampling may be unfeasible in some areas, such as rural areas where wastewater is disposed of in septic systems. Perhaps most importantly, many human viruses transmit predominantly through aerosols or respiratory droplets, which is exactly what air samplers are designed to collect. Air sampling makes it possible to identify a wide variety of aerosolized viruses while they are in the process of potentially spreading between hosts^{6–9}.

However, few studies or real-world air sampler deployments have taken advantage of this bioaerosol diversity. Doing so would require the development of virus-agnostic, metagenomic detection meth-ods, which, when combined with air sampling, could expand surveillance to any airborne virus. Early contributions in this area, e.g.¹⁰⁻¹⁴, demonstrate that it is possible to detect human viruses in various settings. However, this detection comes

with a variety of technical challenges¹⁵. First, the relative abundance of aerosolized human viruses in the above studies' air samplers was extremely low. For example, Prussin et al.¹¹ characterized airborne viral communities in a daycare center's HVAC system over one year. Over that year, commonly circulating human viruses accounted for less than0.005% of the total genetic material, with the majority of total virus sequences coming from bacteriophages and plant-associated viruses. A second challenge is defining which portion of each viral genome to use for classification. Bacteria and fungi have universal genetic marker regions (16S and internal transcribed spacer (ITS) ribosomal RNA, respectively) that are used for sequencing and classification. In contrast, there is no single genetic marker shared across the many viruses that could be present in the air. This leaves unbiased amplification of human virus genetic material as the best option for detecting many, potentially underappreciated viruses in the air¹⁶. One especially promising method is sequence-independent single-primer amplification (SISPA)¹⁷, which has been used to detect a wide range of viruses in clinical samples¹⁸.

In 2021, we reported on the characterization of SARS-CoV-2 and other respiratory viruses in air samples collected from congregate settings¹⁹. We also used a semi-quantitative PCR assay in that study to detect 40 other pathogens, demonstrating that air samples could be used to monitor the variety of pathogens that may be present in the spaces around us. Here, we use SISPA to detect an even broader array of human RNA viruses from air collected in congregate settings. Understanding human viruses in built environments' air may help elucidate illness trends in communities over time. This approach could enhance air sampling as a tool for public health virus surveillance and preparedness against many emerging and re-emerging viruses.

Results

Study design

From July 2021 to December 2022, we deployed active air samplers in several community settings in the Upper Midwestern states of Wisconsin and Minnesota for routine pathogen monitoring. Thermo Fisher AerosolSense Samplers were used to collect air samples from high-traffic areas in several different congregate settings, including a preschool, campus athletic facility, emergency housing facility, brewery taproom, household, and five K-12 schools. Air samples were collected at weekly and twice-weekly intervals as previously described¹⁹. To demonstrate the feasibility of pathogen-agnostic sequencing to detect human viruses captured in air samples in real-world settings, we analyzed a total of 22 air samples across the 10 congregate settings (Table 1). We also processed three air sample filter substrates from unused AerosolSense cartridges, as no-template controls. Viral RNA was extracted from air samples, and complementary DNA (cDNA) was prepared using sequence-independent single primer amplification (SISPA) for Oxford Nanopore deep sequencing and metagenomic analysis. Sequencing reads were filtered for host and reagent contaminants and mapped to 835 human-associated viral reference sequences from NCBI to look for common circulating RNA and DNA viruses (available on GitHub at https://github.com/dholab/air-metagenomics/blob/main/resources/ncbi_human_virus_refseq_20221011.masked.fasta).

Detection of human respiratory and enteric viruses

Deep sequencing allowed us to detect human viruses in 19 out of 22 (86%) air samples. No human viruses were detected in any of the no-template controls. We define a detection of a virus "hit" as two or more reads mapping to the viral reference sequence in two or more non-overlapping genomic regions. By this definition, we detected a total of 13 human RNA viruses in air samples (Table 1). Several of these viruses are associated with frequent and seasonal respiratory illnesses that cause a burden on the healthcare system, including influenza virus type A and C, respiratory syncytial virus subtypes A and B, human coronaviruses (NL63, HKU1, and 229E), rhinovirus, and SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 1). We also detected human viruses associated with enteric disease, including rotavirus, human astrovirus, and mamastrovirus, in ten of the 22 (45%) air samples in this study.

Characterizing influenza C virus lineage in a preschool air sample

A preschool air sample from the week of February 1st showed comprehensive coverage of influenza C virus (ICV), with reads mapping to all seven gene segments (supplementary data 1). This included hemagglutinin-esterase (HE), each of the genes encoding proteins for the polymerase complex (PB2, PB1, and P3), nucleoprotein (NP), matrix (M), and nonstructural protein (NS) (supplementary data 1). ICV is an understudied respiratory virus, with a total of 2475 ICV sequences available in NCBI Genbank (taxid:11552) and only 134 ICV sequences submitted from the United States in the 21st century. To contribute more data on this understudied virus, we used the remaining SISPA-prepared cDNA from the February 1st preschool sample to perform an additional sequencing run with the Oxford Nanopore GridION. This increased the sample's depth of coverage across the ICV genome compared to the initial Oxford Nanopore PromethION run, where the flow cell was shared with many samples. We then used the GridION reads to create consensus sequences for each gene segment, which enabled us to perform a Tamura-Nei neighbor-joining phylogenetic analysis that compared the February 1st preschool ICV with 45 other ICV viruses from GenBank (supplementary data 1). Our phylogeny supported six genetic lineages for the HE gene and two for all other gene segments (Fig. 2; supplementary figure 1). HE grouped with the C/Kanagawa/1/76 lineage. PB2, PB1, M, and NS grouped with the C/Yamagata/81 lineage. P3 and NP group with C/Mississippi/80 lineage. This particular reassortment clusters closely with the influenza C virus C/Scotland/7382/2007, which was previously identified by Smith et al. (Fig. 2; supplementary figure 1)²⁰.

Longitudinal detection of human viruses in a preschool

Metagenomic analysis of air samples longitudinally collected from congregate settings can provide insight into changes in the prevalence of pathogens over time. These data could provide public health authorities valuable information to improve routine pathogen surveillance programs and outbreak investigations. To track the prevalence of viral genetic material from ICV and other human viruses in a preschool, we analyzed four air samples

Air sample ID	Location	Start	End	Total number of reads	Pathogen	Number of mapped reads	Percent genome coverage
HC211129	Brewery Taproom	11.22.21	11.29.21	4,113,547	Rotavirus A	276	57.2%
AE000010795B42	Emergency housing shelter	12.21.21	1.7.22	4,898,090	SARS-CoV-2	266	6.5 %
AE00001004492C	Campus athletic facility	12.22.21	12.23.21	4,566,986	Respiratory syncytial virus B	182	16.7 %
AE0000100A9F46	Preschool	1.5.22	1.19.22	7,037,819	Human astrovirus	70	10.6 %
		1.26.22	2.1.22	4,770,197	Human astrovirus	207	66.3 %
AE0000100A8839	Preschool				Respiratory syncytial virus A	220	68.9 %
					Mamastrovirus	41	26.2 %
					Influenza C virus	15	9.2 %
					Influenza C virus Influenza C virus SARS-CoV-2 Human rhinovirus Human astrovirus Mamastrovirus Human coronavirus NL63 Human astrovirus Human coronavirus NL63	1826	95.0 %
	Preschool	2.1.22	2.8.22	4,022,365	SARS-CoV-2	220	46.7 %
AE0000100A8B3C					Human rhinovirus	102	68.6 %
					Human astrovirus	48	40.2 %
					Mamastrovirus	47	36.8 %
AE0000100A9532	Preschool	2.23.22	3.1.22	8,252,402	Human coronavirus NL63	921	19.3 %
					Influenza C virus	884	38.83 %
AE0000100C3430	Elementary school 1	4.11.22	4.19.22	3,205,370	Human coronavirus 229E	8	13.2 %
AE0000100CA130	Elementary school 2	3.7.22	3.14.22	4,606,042	Human astrovirus	12	46.2 %
					Human Coronavirus NL63	7	11 %
					Human coronavirus 229E	4	6 %
AE0000100CD638	Elementary school 2	4.4.22	4.18.22	3,945,143	Rotavirus A	50	14.93 %
AE0000100CA232	Elementary school 2	4.18.22	5.2.22	3,212,852	Human coronavirus 229E	11	5.8 %
AE0000100C7A40	Elementary school 2	5.2.22	5.9.22	4,958,920	NA	NA	NA
AE0000100C702C	Elementary school 2	5.9.22	5.16.22	3,788,598	Rotavirus A	31	37.8 %
AE0000100CC536	Elementary school 2	5.16.22	5.23.22	3,920,258	Rotavirus A	105	40.7 %
A F0000100CC63D	Elementary school 2	5 23 22	5 31 22	1 836 345	Rotavirus A	40	7.2 %
ALUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU	Elementary school 2	5.25.22	5.51.22	4,000,040	Mamastrovirus Influenza C virus Influenza C virus SARS-CoV-2 Human rhinovirus Human astrovirus Mamastrovirus Human coronavirus NL63 Influenza C virus Human coronavirus NL63 Human coronavirus 229E Human coronavirus 229E Rotavirus A Human coronavirus 229E Rotavirus A Human coronavirus 229E NA Rotavirus A Human coronavirus HU Rotavirus A Simian Agent 10 Human coronavirus HKU1 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA <td< td=""><td>7</td><td>8.9 %</td></td<>	7	8.9 %
AE000010014930	Elementary school 2	11.10.22	11.14.22	8,999,110	Human coronavirus HKU1	5	2.2 %
AE000010011724	Elementary school 2	11.22.22	12.1.22	4,946,530	Rotavirus A	3	10.2 %
AE00001073172E	Elementary school 3	12.5.22	12.8.22	7,560,971	Human coronavirus HKU1	113	36.1 %
AE00000FEC714A	Middle school	10.31.22	11.3.22	7,421,046	NA	NA	NA
AE00000FEC2245	Middle school	12.5.22	12.8.22	9,380,984	NA	NA	NA
AE0000106DC33E	High school	11.28.22	12.1.22	6,669,497	Influenza A virus	30	10.7 %
AE0000100DE93E	Household	4.22.22	4.26.22	6,810,106	Human rhinovirus	17	17.8 %
NTC_27269_1	No template control	NA	NA	26,833	NA	NA	NA
NTC2_27269_2	No template control	NA	NA	5158	NA	NA	NA
NTC_28210	No template control	NA	NA	253,439	NA	NA	NA

Table 1. Human RNA viruses detected in air samples through deep sequencing with the Oxford Nanopore PromethION. Percent genome coverage was calculated by summing the total number of basepairs with any amount of read coverage divided by the total number of basepairs for the given virus target in the RefSeq file. Dates are listed as MM.DD.YY. *NA* not applicable.

that were longitudinally collected from January 5, 2022, to March 1, 2022. ICV was first detected in an air sample collected from January 26th to February 1st, 2022. Viral reads in this sample mapped to three out of the seven gene segments including HE, PB2, and NS. Two air samples collected after February 1st, 2022, also contained reads that mapped to several ICV gene segments. ICV genetic material was detected at the highest abundance in air sample AE0000100A8B3C collected from February 1st to the 8th, with reads mapping to all seven gene segments as described above (Table 1; supplementary data 1). Viral reads mapping to five of the seven gene segments, including PB2, PB1, P3, HE, and NP, were detected in an air sample collected from February 23rd to March 1st.

Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in RT-PCR-positive air samples

To explore whether metagenomic sequencing can detect a human virus that is known to be present in an air sample, we sequenced air samples with known SARS-CoV-2 status. Each AerosolSense cartridge comes with



Figure 1. Human respiratory and enteric viruses detected in air samples by SISPA amplification and Oxford Nanopore sequencing. Genome coverage plots showing read depth across eight human RNA viruses detected in air samples. The depth of coverage is shown on the y-axis, and the genome position is shown on the x-axis. The scale of the y-axis varies between plots. Coverage plots were created using ggplot2 (3.4.1) using a custom vR script (4.2.3) in RStudio (2023.03.0+386).

two filter substrates. One filter substrate from each air sample was tested by reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) to determine its SARS-CoV-2 status. The other substrate was eluted in 500ul of PBS and stored at – 80 °C until it was processed for sequencing. Several different RT-PCR assays were used on samples included in this study, depending on when and where they were collected, as previously described¹⁹. Cut-off values used for determining if an air sample was positive, inconclusive, or negative for SARS-CoV-2 are described in the "Methods" section.

SISPA sequencing was able to detect SARS-CoV-2 reads in two out of 15 (13.3%) air cartridges that were positive for SARS-CoV-2 by the more sensitive RT-PCR assays (Table 1; supplementary data 1). The percent of genome coverage varied between the two samples (6.5% and 46.7%). No SARS-CoV-2 reads were observed in any of the samples that were negative or inconclusive for SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR testing or with no template controls (supplementary data 1). An inconclusive result was defined as a sample with only amplification in one of the PCR targets. These data suggest that, unsurprisingly, SISPA sequencing is not as sensitive as RT-PCR for detecting viral genomic material captured in the air samples.

Discussion

In this study, we used air samples and metagenomic sequencing to detect human RNA viruses in a variety of congregate settings. Specifically, our results show that active air sampling, SISPA library preparation, long-read Oxford Nanopore sequencing, and metagenomic bioinformatics can be used to detect both common and lesser-known human viruses. Because air samplers collect bioaerosols produced when infected individuals breathe, sneeze, cough, or talk, the majority of detected viruses were respiratory. However, we also detected enteric viruses that are transmitted through the fecal-oral route^{21,22}. Several studies have previously used air sampling to detect enteric viruses in congregate settings, including a daycare, a wastewater treatment facility, and a hospital^{11,14,23}. The detection of respiratory viruses in wastewater, and enteric viruses in air samples, creates future opportunities for integration of clinical, wastewater, and air sampler data from the same geographical location to obtain a more comprehensive understanding of viral spread within communities.

The most unexpected virus we detected was Influenza C Virus (ICV) in a preschool. SISPA and Oxford Nanopore sequencing allowed us to classify the viral lineages of all seven gene segments of ICV. ICV is a lesserstudied influenza virus that is often excluded from routine respiratory pathogen surveillance programs, which highlights one important limitation of virus-specific surveillance. Despite previous studies having shown a high seroprevalence of ICV in children increasing in age, it suggests that this is a common yet under-ascertained cause of respiratory illness^{24–26}, with an epidemiology that remains poorly known^{26,27}. Our ICV results highlight the potential of using air sample networks to detect viruses that previously had limited awareness. ICV was historically very difficult to detect with cell culture techniques because it causes weak cytopathic effects²⁴, which may lead to an underestimation of ICV prevalence. It will be interesting to see how much more often viruses like ICV are detected as virus-agnostic environmental surveillance becomes more prevalent.



Figure 2. Phylogenetic analysis of influenza C virus hemagglutinin-esterase gene. Phylogenetic trees for influenza C virus hemagglutinin-esterase (HE) gene segment. Nucleotide sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (5.1). The phylogenetic tree was constructed with the Geneious Tree Builder (2023.0.4) using the Neighbor-joining method and Tamura-Nei model with 100 bootstrapped replicates. Numbers above the branches indicate the bootstrap values with 100 replicates. ICV strain names are listed at the end of branches. ICV strains belonging to the C/Sao Paulo lineage are represented in dark blue, C/Aichi lineage in blue, C/ Mississippi lineage in light blue, C/Taylor lineage in yellow, C/Kanagwa lineage in orange, C/Yamagata lineage in red.

A potential strength of regular air sample collection, processing, and analysis is characterizing outbreaks longitudinally. For example, we detected the same ICV in the same preschool at four instances, with viral read count rising and falling through time. One possible explanation for this pattern is that it reflects airborne viral RNA load rising and falling over the course of the source infection(s), though we do not have the data to assess host viral load itself. We detect a similar pattern in longitudinal pre-school air sampling for astrovirus, which showed an increase and trailing of sequencing reads between samples. These results suggest that air sampling can be used to characterize outbreaks in real time. In extended outbreaks, it could also be used to provide early sequencing results, which could then be used to design primers for more sensitive amplification of viruses in the air.

All said, we caution that our study does not include a baseline clinical knowledge of all viruses that were present in the settings we sampled. More study will be needed to understand the relationship between air-sampler-derived read counts and airborne viral loads, to determine when a viral load is too low to be detected by our methods, and to rigorously assess the sensitivity and specificity of this approach to pathogen monitoring. However, our SARS-CoV-2 detection results suggest that improving sensitivity may be the best place to start optimizations: while we never detected SARS-CoV-2 in the absence of known infections (no false positives), we failed to detect it in 85% of the cases where an air sample tested positive by a more sensitive qPCR assay.

Improvements in bioaerosol collection, nucleic acid library preparation, sequencing technology, and pathogen-agnostic bioinformatics could all significantly improve the detection of human pathogens with air samplers. While SISPA and Oxford Nanopore sequencing enabled us to detect portions of a variety of viral genomes, we inevitably missed additional viruses that were present in the air. Air samples contain high amounts of human, animal, and microbial ribosomal RNA (rRNA), likely associated with airborne microbes and host cells transported on dust particles²⁸. Several studies have shown that rRNA depletion can improve the sensitivity of unbiased sequencing techniques for recovering human RNA viruses from different modalities²⁹, and should be considered for use with air samples. Alternatively, probe-based target capture methods, where nucleic acids eluted from the air cartridge are only retained if they are a reverse complement of a probe sequence³⁰, could be used to enrich viral target sequences. Of note, enriching for a predetermined panel of viruses would make this a multi-pathogen method, not a pathogen-agnostic method²⁹. Even still, the line between multi-pathogen and pathogen-agnostic is increasingly blurred; commercial kits are available that contain probes for more than 3000 different viruses, including those with ssRNA, dsRNA, dsDNA, and ssDNA genomes. Truly pathogen-agnostic methods, such as SMART-9N, are also becoming available³¹. These kits have been used with several different sample types to detect common and uncommon viruses in human and animal specimens (nasal swabs and plasma), mosquitoes, and wastewater³²⁻³⁵. Ribosomal RNA depletion and target enrichment both show great promise for enabling air sample networks to screen for pathogens with low abundance in the air.

Rapidly evolving sequencing technology could also play a role in improving the efficacy of air sampler networks. Sequencing workflows need to be optimized to be high-throughput, cost effective, and have rapid result turnaround for widespread use with air surveillance programs. In this study we ran two Oxford Nanopore sequencing runs on the PromethION 24. The runs multiplexed 16 and 9 samples and had an output of 85 and 67 Gigabases per flow cell, respectively. The manufacturer estimates a maximal output of 290 Gigabases per flow cell when using newer sequencing kit chemistries³⁶. Improving the sequencing yield to 200 Gigabases could allow for multiplexing up to 32 air samples, while maintaining an average of 6 million Gigabases per air sample. This could make sequencing more cost-effective while maintaining a similar per sample output obtained in this study. Additionally, Oxford Nanopore sequencing enables real-time processing of sequencing data. This could help decrease the turnaround time, as a stream of data will become available as soon as sequencing begins instead of after 72 h of sequencing has completed. This rapid result turnaround time could be beneficial during outbreak response, when real-time data is essential.

The COVID-19 pandemic sparked widespread interest in environmental surveillance strategies for improving pandemic preparedness and outbreak response. While wastewater surveillance has many benefits, air surveillance via active air samplers is more mobile, which makes it easy to quickly deploy air sampling networks in settings of interest such as health clinics, airplanes, ports of entry, public transit, farms, K-12 schools, long-term care facilities, emergency housing facilities, or any other setting where people from many places congregate^{37–39}. Highlighting this potential application, Mellon et al. recently deployed AerosolSense samplers in an outpatient clinic for patients suspected of mpox infection to look for mpox virus in the air during the 2022 mpox public health emergency of international concern⁴⁰. Given the portability and flexibility of deployment, air sampling and detection of viral nucleic acids from these samples could become a cornerstone of agile public health responses to viral outbreaks in the near future.

Recent advances in metagenomic sequencing technologies have increased efforts to study microbial communities in built environments. This study demonstrates that metagenomic sequencing approaches paired with air sampling can be used to detect human respiratory and enteric viruses of public health importance in realworld settings. With continual technological improvements and laboratory optimizations, the general framework put forth here could provide a rapid means of monitoring viruses without relying on test-seeking behavior or pathogen-specific assays.

Methods Ethics statement

Our study does not evaluate the effectiveness of air samplers for diagnosing individuals for COVID-19 or other illnesses, nor does it collect samples directly from individuals. Therefore, it does not constitute human subjects research.

Air sample collection and processing

AerosolSense instruments (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were installed in a variety of indoor congregate settings to collect bioaerosols for pathogen surveillance from December 2021 to December 2023. AerosolSense instruments were placed on flat surfaces 1–1.5 m off the ground in high-traffic areas of an athletics training facility, preschool, emergency housing facility, brewery taproom, and five K-12 schools in the Upper Midwestern States of Wisconsin and Minnesota. Air samples were collected using AerosolSense cartridges (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The iOS and Android Askidd mobile app was used to collect air cartridge metadata and upload it to a centralized Labkey database, as previously described in Ramuta et al.¹⁹. After the air samples were removed from the instruments, they were transferred to the lab for further processing. Two air sample substrates were removed from each of the AerosolSense cartridges using sterile forceps to place them in two separate 1.5 mL tubes containing 500 μ L of PBS. The tubes were vortexed for 20 s, centrifuged for 30 s, and stored at – 80 °C until RNA extraction and complementary DNA (cDNA) preparation.

Air sample total nucleic acid extraction and concentration

Total nucleic acids were extracted from air samples using the Maxwell 48 Viral Total Nucleic Acid Purification Kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Briefly, 300 μ L of air sample eluate was added to a 1.5 μ L tube containing 300 μ L of lysis buffer and 30 μ L of Proteinase K. An unused air cartridge was processed with each Maxwell run to be used as a no-template control. The reaction mix was vortexed for 10 s and incubated at 56 °C for 10 min. Following the incubation, the tubes were centrifuged for 1 min. Then, 630 μ L of the reaction mix was added to the Maxwell 48 cartridges, which were loaded into a Maxwell 48 instrument and processed with the Viral Total Nucleic Acid program. Nucleic acids were eluted in a final volume of 50 μ L of nuclease-free water. To clean and concentrate the viral RNA, 30 μ L of extracted total nucleic acids were treated with TURBO DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and concentrated to 10 μ L with the RNA Clean and Concentrator-5 kit (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer's protocols.

Air sample sequencing

A modified sequence-independent single primer amplification (SISPA) approach previously described by Kafetzopoulou et al. was used to generate cDNA from the air samples^{18,41}. First, 1 µL of Primer A (Table 2) was

Primer name	Sequence	Concentration (pmol/µL)
Primer A	5'-GTT TCC CAC TGG AGG ATA-(N9)-3'	40
Primer B	5'-GTT TCC CAC TGG AGG ATA-3'	100

Table 2. Sequence independent single primer amplification primers.

added to 4 μ L of concentrated viral RNA and incubated in a thermocycler at 65 °C for 5 min, followed by 4 °C for 5 min. To perform reverse transcription, 5 μ L of Superscript[™] IV (SSIV) First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen) master mix (1 μ L of dNTP (10 mM), 1 μ L of nuclease-free water, 0.5 μ L of DTT (0.1 M), 2 μ L of 5X RT buffer, and 0.5 μ L of SSIV RT) was added to the reaction mix and incubated in a thermocycler at 42 °C for 10 min. To perform second-strand cDNA synthesis, 5 μ L of Sequenase Version 2.0 DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) master mix (3.85 μ L of nuclease-free water, 1 μ L of 5X Sequenase reaction buffer, and 0.15 μ L of Sequence enzyme) was added to the reaction mix and incubated at 37 °C for 8 min. After the incubation, 0.45 μ L of the Sequenase dilution buffer and 0.15 μ L of Sequenase were added to the reaction mix and incubated at 37 °C for 8 min. To amplify the randomly primed cDNA, 5 μ L of the cDNA was added to 45 μ L of the Primer B reaction mix [5 μ L of AccuTaq LA 10 × buffer, 2.5 μ L of NTP (10 mM), 1 μ L of DMSO, 0.5 μ L of AccuTaq LA DNA polymerase, 35 μ L of nuclease-free water, and 1 μ L of Primer B (Table 2)]. The following thermocycler conditions were used to amplify the cDNA: 98 °C for 30 s, 30 cycles (94 °C for 15 s, 50 °C for 20 s, and 68 °C for 2 min), and 68 °C for 10 min. The amplified PCR product was purified using a 1:1 ratio of AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter) and eluted in 25 μ L of nuclease-free water. The purified PCR products were quantified with the Qubit dsDNA high-sensitivity kit (Invitrogen).

Oxford nanopore sequencing

SISPA-prepared cDNA were submitted to the University of Wisconsin-Madison Biotechnology Center for sequencing on the Oxford Nanopore PromethION. Upon arrival, the PCR product concentrations were confirmed with the Qubit dsDNA high-sensitivity kit (Invitrogen). Libraries were prepared with up to 100–200 fmol of cDNA according to the Oxford Nanopore ligation-based sequencing kit SQK-LSK109 and Native Barcoding kit EXP-NBD196. The quality of the finished libraries was assessed using an Agilent Tapestation (Agilent) and quantified again using the Qubit* dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen). Samples were pooled and sequenced with an FLO-PRO002 (R9.4.1) flow cell on the Oxford Nanopore PromethION 24 for 72 h. Data were basecalled using Oxford Nanopore's Guppy software package (6.4.6) with the high accuracy basecalling model (read filtering parameters: minimum length 200 bp, minimum Qscore = 9). Air sample AE0000100A8B3C was also sequenced on the Oxford Nanopore GridION to obtain a greater depth of coverage across all seven influenza C virus gene segments. A sequencing kit SQK-LSK110 instructions. The sample was sequenced with an FLO-MIN106 (R9.4) flow cell on the Oxford Nanopore GridION for 72 h. Data were basecalled using Oxford Nanopore's Guppy software package (6.4.6) with the high accuracy basecalling to the Oxford Nanopore Igation-based sequencing kit SQK-LSK110 instructions. The sample was sequenced with an FLO-MIN106 (R9.4) flow cell on the Oxford Nanopore GridION for 72 h. Data were basecalled using Oxford Nanopore's Guppy software package (6.4.6) with the high accuracy basecalling model (read filtering parameters: minimum length 20 bp, minimum Qscore = 9).

Sequencing analysis

Sequencing data generated from air samples were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under bioproject PRJNA950127. The removal of host reads was requested at the time of SRA submission using the Human Read Removal Tool (HRRT). The sequencing data were analyzed using a custom workflow. To ensure reproducibility and portability, we implemented the workflow in NextFlow and containerized all software dependencies with Docker. All workflow code and replication instructions are publicly available at (https://github.com/dholab/ air-metagenomics). Briefly, the workflow starts by automatically pulling the study fastq files from SRA, though it has the option of merging locally stored demultiplexed fastq files as well. Then, reads are filtered to a minimum length (200 bp) and quality score (Qscore=9), and adapters and barcodes are trimmed from the ends of the reads, all with the reformat.sh script in bbmap (39.01-0). The filtered fastq files for each air sample are then mapped to contaminant FASTA files containing common contaminants with minimap2 (v2.22). Reads that do not map to the contaminant FASTA files are retained and mapped to their sequencing run's negative control reads to further remove contaminants present from library preparation. The cleaned fastq files for each air sample are then mapped to a RefSeq file containing human viruses downloaded from NCBI Virus using minimap2 (v2.22). The human virus reference file contains 835 viral genome sequences and was processed using the bbmask.sh command in bbmap (39.01-0) with default parameters to prevent false-positive mapping to repetitive regions in viral genomes. SAM files for each sample are converted to BAM format, again with reformat.sh. The workflow then completes by generating a pivot table of pathogen "hits," which lists the number of reads supporting each mapped pathogen for each sample. For this study, we then imported the BAM alignments into Geneious Prime (2023.0.4) to inspect the mapping results visually. Genome coverage plots were created for several respiratory and enteric viruses detected in air samples using ggplot2 (3.4.1) with a custom R script (4.2.3) in RStudio (2023.03.0+386).

Phylogenetic analysis

To compare the influenza C virus detected in the preschool air sample AE0000100A8B3C we downloaded 45 influenza C virus genome sequences for each of the seven gene segments from Genbank (HE, PB2, PB1, P3, NP, M, and NS). Accession numbers for each segment can be found in supplementary data 1. Consensus sequences

were generated from AE0000100A8B3C with a minimum coverage of 20X. Sections with low coverage were masked with N and trimmed to the reference sequence length. Next, each set of influenza C virus gene segment sequences was aligned using MUSCLE (5.1) implemented in Geneious Prime (2023.0.4) with the PPP algorithm. We then used the Geneious Tree Builder (2023.0.4) to construct a phylogeny for each gene segment using the Neighbor-joining method and Tamura-Nei model with 100 bootstrapped replicates.

SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR

Air samples collected between December 2021 and May 2022 were tested for SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA using three different SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR assays depending on their collection location as previously described¹⁹. Air samples collected after May 2022 were tested for SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA using an RT-PCR protocol as previously described⁴². Briefly, viral RNA was isolated from the air sample substrate using 300 μ L of eluate and the Viral Total Nucleic Acid kit for the Maxwell 48 instrument (Promega), following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was eluted in 50 μ L of nuclease-free water. Reverse transcription qPCR was performed using primer and probes from an assay developed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to detect SARS-CoV-2 (N1 and N2 targets). The 20 μ L reaction mix contained 5 μ L of 4 × TaqMan Fast Virus 1-Step Master Mix, 1.5 μ L of N1 or N2 primer/probe mix (IDT), 5 μ L of sample RNA, and 8.5 μ L of nuclease-free water. The RT-PCR amplification was run on a LightCycler 96 at the following conditions: 37 °C for 2 min, 50 °C for 15 min, 95 °C for 2 min, 50 cycles of 95 °C for 3 s and 55 °C for 30 s, and final cool down at 37 °C for 30 s. The data were analyzed in the LightCycler 96 software 1.1 using absolute quantification analysis. Air samples were called positive when N1 and N2 targets both had cycle threshold (Ct) values <40, inconclusive when only one target had Ct <40, and negative if both targets had Ct >40.

Data availability

The air sample sequencing data generated in this study have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under bioproject PRJNA950127. The accession numbers for influenza C virus samples used in the phylogenetic analysis are provided in Supplementary Data 1.

Code availability

Code to replicate air sample sequencing analysis is available at https://github.com/dholab/air-metagenomics.

Received: 28 May 2023; Accepted: 25 November 2023 Published online: 04 December 2023

References

- 1. CDC. COVID data tracker. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/ (2020).
- 2. Polo, D. *et al.* Making waves: Wastewater-based epidemiology for COVID-19—approaches and challenges for surveillance and prediction. *Water Res.* **186**, 116404 (2020).
- Kirby, A. E. et al. Using wastewater surveillance data to support the COVID-19 response—United States, 2020–2021. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 70, 1242–1244 (2021).
- Kirby, A. E. et al. Notes from the field: Early evidence of the SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 (omicron) variant in community wastewater—United States, November–December 2021. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 71, 103–105 (2022).
- 5. McClary-Gutierrez, J. S. et al. SARS-CoV-2 wastewater surveillance for public health action. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 27, 1-8 (2021).
- 6. Yang, W., Elankumaran, S. & Marr, L. C. Concentrations and size distributions of airborne influenza A viruses measured indoors at a health centre, a day-care centre and on aeroplanes. J. R. Soc. Interface 8, 1176–1184 (2011).
- 7. Prussin, A. J. 2nd. & Marr, L. C. Sources of airborne microorganisms in the built environment. Microbiome 3, 78 (2015).
- 8. Wang, C. C. et al. Airborne transmission of respiratory viruses. Science 373, eabd9149 (2021).
- 9. Myatt, T. A. *et al.* Detection of airborne rhinovirus and its relation to outdoor air supply in office environments. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **169**, 1187–1190 (2004).
- Rosario, K., Fierer, N., Miller, S., Luongo, J. & Breitbart, M. Diversity of DNA and RNA viruses in indoor air as assessed via metagenomic sequencing. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52, 1014–1027 (2018).
- 11. Prussin, A. J. 2nd. *et al.* Seasonal dynamics of DNA and RNA viral bioaerosol communities in a daycare center. *Microbiome* 7, 53 (2019).
- 12. Be, N. A. et al. Metagenomic analysis of the airborne environment in urban spaces. Microb. Ecol. 69, 346-355 (2015).
- Hall, R. J. et al. Metagenomic detection of viruses in aerosol samples from workers in animal slaughterhouses. PLoS ONE 8, e72226 (2013).
- 14. Brisebois, E. et al. Human viral pathogens are pervasive in wastewater treatment center aero-sols. J. Environ. Sci. 67, 45-53 (2018).
- Prussin, A. J. 2nd., Marr, L. C. & Bibby, K. J. Challenges of studying viral aerosol metagenomics and communities in comparison with bacterial and fungal aerosols. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 357, 1–9 (2014).
- 16. Prussin, A. J. 2nd. et al. Viruses in the Built Environment (VIBE) meeting report. Microbiome 8, 1 (2020).
- Reyes, G. R. & Kim, J. P. Sequence-independent, single-primer amplification (SISPA) of complex DNA populations. *Mol. Cell.* Probes 5, 473–481 (1991).
- Kafetzopoulou, L. E. et al. Assessment of metagenomic Nanopore and Illumina sequencing for recovering whole genome sequences of chikungunya and dengue viruses directly from clinical samples. Euro Surveill. 23, 1800228 (2018).
- Ramuta, M. D. et al. SARS-CoV-2 and other respiratory pathogens are detected in continuous air samples from congregate settings. Nat. Commun. 13, 4717 (2022).
- 20. Smith, D. B., Gaunt, E. R., Digard, P., Templeton, K. & Simmonds, P. Detection of influenza C virus but not influenza D virus in Scottish respiratory samples. J. Clin. Virol. 74, 50–53 (2016).
- 21. Ghosh, S. et al. Enteric viruses replicate in salivary glands and infect through saliva. Nature 607, 345-350 (2022).
- 22. Zhuo, R. *et al.* Identification of enteric viruses in oral swabs from children with acute gastroenteritis. *J. Mol. Diagn.* **20**, 56–62 (2018).
- 23. Dennehy, P. H., Nelson, S. M., Crowley, B. A. & Saracen, C. L. Detection of rotavirus RNA in hospital air samples by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) · 828. *Pediatr. Res.* 43, 143–143 (1998).
- 24. Sederdahl, B. K. & Williams, J. V. Epidemiology and clinical characteristics of influenza C virus. Viruses 12, 89 (2020).
- 25. Atkinson, K. V. et al. Influenza C in lancaster, UK, in the winter of 2014–2015. Sci. Rep. 7, 46578 (2017).

- 26. Matsuzaki, Y. *et al.* Genetic lineage and reassortment of influenza C viruses circulating between 1947 and 2014. J. Virol. **90**, 8251–8265 (2016).
- 27. Daniels, R. S. *et al.* Molecular characterization of influenza C viruses from outbreaks in Hong Kong SAR, China. J. Virol. 94, 10–1128 (2020).
- 28. Rai, S., Singh, D. K. & Kumar, A. Microbial, environmental and anthropogenic factors influencing the indoor microbiome of the built environment. *J. Basic Microbiol.* **61**, 267–292 (2021).
- 29. Fitzpatrick, A. H. *et al.* High throughput sequencing for the detection and characterization of RNA viruses. *Front. Microbiol.* **12**, 621719 (2021).
- Ballester, L. Y., Luthra, R., Kanagal-Shamanna, R. & Singh, R. R. Advances in clinical next-generation sequencing: Target enrichment and sequencing technologies. *Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn.* 16, 357–372 (2016).
- 31. Claro, I. M. *et al.* Rapid viral metagenomics using SMART-9N amplification and nanopore sequencing. *Wellcome Open Res.* **6**, 241 (2021).
- Terwilliger, A. *et al.* HIV detection in wastewater as a potential epidemiological bellwether (2022). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn. 4257909.
- Kim, K. W. et al. Respiratory viral co-infections among SARS-CoV-2 cases confirmed by virome capture sequencing. Sci. Rep. 11, 3934 (2021).
- 34. Wang, Y. et al. SARS-CoV-2 exposure in Norway rats (Rattus norvegicus) from New York City. MBio e0362122 (2023).
- 35. Yamaguchi, J. *et al.* Target enriched NGS reveals wide breadth of viruses causing acute undifferentiated Fever in Thailand. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* **9**, ofac492.601 (2022).
- PromethION 2 Solo Technical Specification. https://community.nanoporetech.com/requirements_documents/promethion-2s-spec. pdf (2022).
- Anderson, B. D. et al. Bioaerosol sampling in modern agriculture: A novel approach for emerging pathogen surveillance?. J. Infect. Dis. 214, 537–545 (2016).
- Bailey, E. S., Choi, J. Y., Zemke, J., Yondon, M. & Gray, G. C. Molecular surveillance of respiratory viruses with bioaerosol sampling in an airport. Trop. Dis. Travel Med. Vaccines 4, 11 (2018).
- 39. Coleman, K. K. *et al.* Bioaerosol sampling for respiratory viruses in Singapore's mass rapid transit network. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 17476 (2018).
- Mellon, G. *et al.* Air detection of monkeypox virus in a dedicated outpatient clinic room for monkeypox infection diagnosis. J. Infect. 86, 256–308 (2023).
- Moreno, G. K. *et al.* Revealing fine-scale spatiotemporal differences in SARS-CoV-2 introduction and spread. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 5558 (2020).
- 42. Newman, C. M. et al. Initial evaluation of a mobile SARS-CoV-2 RT-LAMP testing strategy. J. Biomol. Tech. 32, 137–147 (2021).

Acknowledgements

This work was made possible by financial support through the National Institutes of Health grant (AAL4371). M.D.R. is supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number T32AI55397. The author(s) thank the University of Wisconsin Biotechnology Center DNA Sequencing Facility (Research Resource Identifier—RRID:SCR_017759) for providing PromethION sequencing services. We would like to acknowledge Eli O'Connor's work in developing the iOS and Android Askidd mobile app to help streamline air sample metadata collection. We would like to thank all of the participating congregate settings for their partnership during this study.

Author contributions

N.R.M. contributed to the formal analysis, investigation, methodology, writing—original draft preparation and writing as well as revision—review and editing. M.D.R. contributed to the conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, visualization, writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing. D.H.O. and S.L.O. contributed to the conceptualization, project administration, writing—original draft preparation, and writing—review and editing. M.R.S., O.E.H., A.A., W.C.V., M.J.B., and J.R.R. contributed to data curation, logistics, organization, and writing—review and editing. L.J.B. and M.T.A. contributed to data curation, resources, project management, and writing—review and editing. S.F.B., S.W., M.L., and M.M. contributed to logistics, organization, and writing—review and editing.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-023-48352-6.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.H.O.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023, corrected publication 2024