scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN UV filters and high refractive index materials based on carboxymethyl cellulose sodium and CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles

Rania Badry¹, Mahmoud M. El-Nahass², Nadra Nada¹, Hanan Elhaes¹ & Medhat A. Ibrahim^{3,4}

Nanoparticles have substantially contributed to the field of skincare products with ultraviolet (UV) filters to preserve human skin from sun damage. Thus, the current study aims to develop new polymer nanocomposites for the efficient block of UV light that results from the stratospheric ozone layer loss. Co-precipitation method was used to successfully synthesis CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs with a wellcrystalline monoclinic CuO core and wurzite ZnO shell. Using the casting method, core/shell NPs were successfully introduced to carboxymethyl cellulose sodium (CMC). The CMC nanocomposites displayed considerably broader optical response extending from near-ultraviolet to visible light, which was likely due to heterojunction between the p-CuO core and n-ZnO shell and defects originating from the synthetic process. The transmittance of pure CMC in the UV, visible, and near IR regions is significantly reduced with the addition of 2 and 4 wt% of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs to CMC. 99% of UV light is absorbed when 4 wt% of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs are added. The addition of different concentrations of CMC nanocomposite to one of the sunblock in Egyptian market were studied and showing the highest Sun Protection Factor of 22. Moreover, optical dispersion parameters and refractive index were improved strongly with core/shell NPs addition.

There is a high demand for biodegradable and renewable UV protection films to satisfy the increasing sustainable demands of the environment. Nowadays, the world is witnessing a crisis in preventing the harmful effects of UV radiation due to the hole in the ozone layer^{1,2}. As the hole in the stratospheric ozone layer is mainly caused by the human activities without taking environmental considerations into account; where scientists discovered that there is a group of very inert chemicals that are the main cause of the depletion of the ozone layer, when these materials reach the stratosphere, chloride, fluoride and bromide atoms are released under the effect of UV radiation, and begins the process of decomposing ozone gas^{3,4}. In recent decades, the depletion of the stratospheric ozone layer has led to a gradual increase in UV radiation, which increases harmful side effects to human health, such as skin burns, premature aging, immune system damage, and skin cancer⁵. UV radiation is classified into three regions: ultraviolet-A (UVA: 320-400 nm), ultraviolet-B (UVB: 280-320 nm), and ultraviolet-C (UVC: 200-280 nm). UVA accounts for nearly 90-99% of UVR that reaches the earth's surface, while UVB accounts for only 1-10%. However, UVC rays are completely filtered out by the oxygen atoms in our atmosphere. As a result, the number of skin care products that contain UV filters has increased rapidly, which helps protect human skin from sun damage⁶.

However, there are concerns about the active compounds in commercially available sunscreens. Sunscreens are made up of organic materials, inorganic materials, or a combination of the two. Organic compounds like avobenzone and octocrylene have been linked to endocrine disruption and skin irritation. Furthermore, UV rays easily decompose these organic compounds, resulting in a decrease in UV-absorbing efficiency over time^{7,8}.

Because of their biocompatibility, inorganic ingredients such as titanium dioxide (TiO₂) and zinc oxide (ZnO) are generally regarded as safer than their organic counterparts, but they also have drawbacks such as being opaque and photocatalytic^{9,10}. Because of its physical stability and non-toxicity, ZnO is typically regarded as one

¹Physics Department, Faculty of Women for Arts, Science and Education, Ain Shams University, Cairo 11757, Eqypt. ²Physics Department, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, Roxy, Cairo, Egypt. ³Spectroscopy Department, National Research Centre, 33 El-Bohouth St., Dokki, Giza 12622, Egypt. ⁴Molecular Modeling and Spectroscopy Laboratory, Centre of Excellence for Advanced Science, National Research Centre, 33 El-Bohouth St., Dokki, Giza 12622, Egypt. [⊠]email: medahmed6@yahoo.com

of these semiconductors' most promising photo-catalytic material. Its high oxidant power and hydrophobicity are responsible for its strong antibacterial action¹¹. ZnO-NPs have been shown to absorb some UV light and convert it to harmless infrared light¹². Because of their high transparency in the visible region of the electromagnetic spectrum and excellent UV absorption, these metal oxide nanoparticles are commonly used in UV-protection sunscreen and coating applications^{13,14}. MONP's global market is expected to grow rapidly in the coming years as its numerous benefits are recognized.

Electrons are stimulated to the conduction band (CB) of ZnO when exposed to solar radiation with energy greater than its band gap, leaving holes in the valence band (VB). Once on the ZnO surface, the photo-generated electrons and holes produce highly reactive oxygen species (ROS), like the peroxide anion ($\cdot O_2^{--}$) and the hydroxyl radical ($\cdot OH$) that causes the photo-degradation of pollutants. However, because of its large band gap, ZnO is only active when exposed to UV light. Due to ZnO photocatalysts' poor solar energy conversion efficiency and the fact that solar light only contains 5–7% UV radiation, their wide-scale application is severely constrained. Additionally, the high rate of photogenerated e^-/h^+ pair recombination in ZnO, results in low quantum efficiency which significantly lowers photocatalytic activity. Therefore, it is critically necessary to increase the separation efficiency of ZnO's e^-/h^+ pairs and to extend visible light responsiveness. It is a suitable way to demonstrate the photocatalytic activity of ZnO by adding other transition metal ions because the dopant metals provide new energy levels within the band gap that largely enhance the photocatalytic activity¹⁵.

Although inorganic filters have solved the opaqueness problem by reducing particle size to the nanoscale, the photocatalytic issue persists when inorganic ingredients are used in sunscreens. Under UV illumination, photocatalytic reactions produce highly reactive oxygen species (ROS), which harm healthy skin cells. To avoid potential harm to individuals as a result of sunscreen toxicity or phototoxicity, non-toxic and photostable UV-absorbing agents must be developed¹⁶⁻¹⁸.

By appropriately doping specific elements, it is possible to improve ZnO performance and increase its light absorption across the visible and ultraviolet spectrum by decreasing its bandgap. The use of non-metal elements as dopants^{19,20}, the noble metal nanoparticle's deposition (Au, Pt, Ag), or the use of other semiconductors are some of the methods that have been suggested. The fabrication of a distinct and well-defined core/shell nanoparticle by combining CuO and ZnO in such manner that either ZnO is deposited onto CuO (CuO@ZnO) or reversely by depositing CuO onto ZnO (ZnO@CuO) has shown to be a successful process that has been tested numerous times^{21–25}.

Because they have more potential uses than separate components, core/shell NPs have recently attracted a lot of attention²⁶. Due to the attractive p-type material's semiconducting properties and high absorption coefficient, the coating or shell creation of ZnO to CuO nanoparticles offers a strong opportunity^{27,28}. CuO/ZnO heterojunctions are being viewed as effective and promising building blocks in the recent times for sensors, storage devices, water treatment and solar-based photocatalytic reduction²⁹.

Because of their high contact area at the p-n junction interface and high surface area to volume ratio, which permit more electron-hole formation, the core/shell nanoparticles are anticipated to have better properties. As a result, there is a lot of interest in the development of core/shell nanoparticles, that improved charge collection efficiency, for applications in optoelectronic and nanoelectronics devices. Furthermore, p-CuO@n-ZnO core/shell heterojunction at the nanostructure interface may develop advantageous radial p-n junctions, which could improve charge collection, lower interface defect states, and ultimately lead to better performance in nanoelectronics devices. Although both homogeneous ZnO and CuO nanostructures have been extensively investigated, the exploration of the CuO/ZnO related heterogeneous nanostructures is still inadequate. Furthermore, most of the core/shell structured CuO/ZnO nanomaterials employ the ZnO^{30,31} as the core rather than the CuO³².

Synthetic polymers are the primary substrate of UV blocking. Because of the drawbacks of petroleum-based polymers, developing a green and sustainable generation of transparent UV filters that are self-protective and made from natural materials is critical^{33–36}. UV absorber-containing cellulose-based films have been reported to have potential applications as outdoor UV-sensitive polymers, car windscreens, clean windows, contact lenses, special biological test containers, and as an alternative to synthetic polymers^{37–40}.

Because of its strong inter- and intramolecular hydrogen bonds and partially crystalline structure, cellulose is difficult to melt and dissolve in water³⁷. As a result, cellulose-based films continue to have limitations such as brittleness, poor mechanical behavior, and water sensitivity^{41,42}. The cellulose conversion into carboxymethyl cellulose sodium (CMC) clearly improves its film-forming characteristics as a hydrophilic polysaccharide. The attached carboxymethyl group disrupts the hydrogen bonding between the cellulose chains and thus can form hydrogen bonds with water molecules⁴³.

Carboxymethyl cellulose sodium is one of cellulose derivatives and a water-soluble lignocellulosic material with carboxy methyl groups attached to some of the hydroxyl groups of the cellulose backbone's glucopyranose monomers⁴⁴. CMC has attracted scientific interest because of its polyelectrolyte properties. It is discovered to be effective for applications such as packaging material (for coatings and films), thickening agent, flocculating agent, emulsifier, medicine, water-retaining agent, chelating agent, and sizing agent due to its good film-forming property, high transparency, biodegradability, and non-toxicity^{45,46}. Because of its low cost and high stability, this anionic polymer has been used in a variety of applications, including cosmetics, food, paint, oil drilling, textiles, detergents, and pharmaceuticals^{46–48}. CMC has been frequently used in the synthesis of gold, silver, and platinum NPs as a supportive material or a reductant. Few researches have recently investigated the usage of CMC composites as hydrogen, humidity, and liquid petroleum gas sensors⁴⁸. Because CMC has a high viscosity at a low concentration, it is used as a food additive to improve food stability and thickness. CMC's biodegradability and biocompatibility have attracted the attention of biomedical researchers, and it has primarily been used in the form of hydrogels in areas such as tissue engineering, drug delivery, and wound dressing^{49,50}. The UV protection of lignin in paint and varnish has been reported as a more environmentally friendly alternative to synthetic UV blockers. Finally, it has been reported that lignin can be used as a UV shield to protect microorganism³⁴.

This paper discussed the preparation of CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles using co-precipitation method and then characterize them structurally by X-ray diffraction (XRD), high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM), and Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer (FTIR) was utilized to determine the functional groups of the CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles. However, the major aim of this work is to improve the optical properties of CMC by incorporating different weight percentages of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs to improve its UV blocking properties. To the best of our knowledge, no research has been conducted on how the concentration of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs affects the optical properties and UV blocking capacity of CMC.

Experimental section

Chemicals

The reagents in the following list were all used without further purification. El Nasr Pharmaceutical Chemicals Co., Cairo, Egypt provided the sodium hydroxide pellets and absolute ethanol (analytical reagent, 99.9%). While copper chloride (laboratory reagent, 99%) was acquired from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany. Carboxymethyl cellulose sodium (CMC) with $Mw = 2.5 \times 10^5$ g/mol was purchased from K. Patel Chemo pharma PVT, India. The glassware was completely washed with distilled water (D.W.) after being properly cleaned with soap solution. Distilled water was used to prepare all solutions. In particular, the creation of the ZnO shell onto the core CuO NPs and the synthesis of the CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles are two sequential stages.

CuO preparation

CuO NPs were prepared using the same procedures reported in reference⁵¹. In 100 mL of (D.W.), 0.2 M copper chloride was dissolved and stirred using a magnetic stirrer. This solution was supplemented with 0.4 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH) pellets, and the combination was heated to roughly 50 °C for four hours while maintaining a pH of eight. After a while, black precipitates will begin to collect at the beaker's bottom. The particles were collected, centrifuged for 10 min at 8000 rpm, and then washed three times with D.W. and once with ethanol. Now the residue collected was carefully moved to an oven and heated to about 80 °C for drying (for 2 h) and then calcined at 500 °C for 2 h to produce CuO nanoparticles. The method was repeated in order to obtain CuO-NPs, which were required for the following step.

CuO@ZnO core/shell preparation

The Co-precipitation method was used to create the CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles. After adding 100 mL of D.W., 2.19 g of zinc acetate aqueous solution was added in one beaker until zinc acetate dissolves, the mixture was left at 50 °C on a magnetic stirrer. 0.2 g of the previously produced CuO-NPs were mixed with 40 mL of D.W. in a different beaker. The CuO solution was exposed to ultra-sonication for 30 min to achieve good dispersion of the CuO-NPs. The zinc acetate beaker was filled with CuO solution and agitated for approximately 15 min. To the previously heated solution, approximately 0.2 M of NaOH is added until the pH becomes between 10 and 11 (approximately stirred for 6 h). The considerable volume of grey precipitate is created instantly. It is centrifuged and cleaned with D.W. three to four times. The precipitate was produced, dried in a dryer for two hours at 70°°C, and then calcined for a further two hours at 500°C.

CMC/CuO@ZnO core/shell nanocomposite preparation

The production of virgin CMC and CMC/ CuO@ZnO nanocomposite films was carried out using the solution casting method, the same method used in our previous work to prepare CMC/CuO nanocomposite. To create a homogenous CMC solution, 0.5 g of carboxyl methyl cellulose was first immersed in 100 mL of distilled water while being continuously stirred at 40 °C for 4 h. The film solution was cast into two glass petri dishes after cooling it to room temperature and removing any air bubbles. The solution was dried for 24 h at 40 °C in an air-circulating oven. The dry films were removed and kept until the test in a tight bag. The film was given the CMC code using this command.

CMC/ CuO@ZnO nanocomposite films were produced by mixing various amounts of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs (2 and 4 wt%) into the previously prepared CMC solution, as listed in Table 1. When the mixture turned grey after another 15 min of sonication at 50 °C, it was put into glass petri dishes and dried for 24 h in a 40 °C oven. Finally, the films were carefully taken out of the petri plates. The mean values of the thickness of three randomly chosen positions for each sample were calculated and are shown in Table 1, which were measured with a digital micrometer.

Structure	CMC (g)	CuO (g)	D.W. (mL)	Thickness (mm)
СМС	0.250	0.000		0.032
CS-1	0.245	0.005	50	0.024
CS-2	0.240	0.010		0.039

 Table 1.
 Sample coding according to CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs concentration and the thickness of the nanocomposite films.

Characterization techniques

XRD spectra in the range 25° -80° were obtained using a Philips X-ray diffractometer with a step size of 0.026° and a Cu-K1-2 source of = 1.54 A°, 45 kV, and 40 mA to analyze the crystalline structures of the generated nanocomposite samples of CMC and CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles. Powdered XRD samples are obtained by hand grinding with a mortar and pestle (CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles). Samples were typically crushed in a liquid medium such as ethanol to minimize sample loss during grinding and to mitigate structural damage to the phases in the sample caused by grinding. To learn more about the size and morphology of the produced CuO@ ZnO core/shell nanoparticles, the nanoparticles was examined using high resolution—transmission electron microscopy (JEOL, JEM-2010-F) at a 200 kV accelerating voltage. The particles were dissolved in ethanol using a vortex mixer before being observed on a gold grid (300 mesh, TED PELLA, INC). The molecular structure of CMC and CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles was investigated using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (Bruker vertex 70) in the wavenumber range (4000–400 cm⁻¹). The diamond ATR accessory has a penetration depth of 2 m when used with a type II alpha diamond crystal. The spectra were recorded at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. Each spectrum received 35 scans in total. With a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹, the same settings were used to test the background versus air. The samples were used without preparation.

Ethical approval

This work is not applicable for both human and/or animal studies.

Results and discussion

CuO@ZnO core/shell characterization

XRD characterization

The spectrum of XRD diffractogram of the prepared CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs was investigated as shown in Fig. 1. Eight peaks with high intensity were attributed to ZnO-NPs (code: 01-079-5604, space group P63mc (number 186), Hexagonal) as shown in the figure. From the figure, it is obvious that the prepared core/shell is crystal-line in nature. As there were a number of remarkable peaks in the XRD pattern that appeared at 2theta = 31.70° , 34.35° , 35.44° , 36.18° , 38.67° , 47.47° , 48.68° , 56.54° , 61.54° , 62.80° , 66.21° , 67.89° , 69.02° , 72.44° , 75.12° and 76.84° which is indexed to the planes of (1 0 0), (0 0 2), (002), (1 0 1), (1 1 1), (1 0 2), (-2 0 2), (1 1 0), (-1 1 3), (1 0 3), (2 0 1), (0 0 4) and (2 0 2). Where, the planes (1 0 0), (0 0 2), (1 0 1), (1 0 2), (1 0 3), (2 0 0), (1 1 2), (2 0 1), and (2 0 2) corresponds to ZnO-NPs while, the planes of (0 0 2), (1 1 1), (-2 0 2), and (0 0 4) corresponds to CuO-NPs.

However, the three positions of 2theta = 66.21° , 67.89° , and 72.44° corresponds to the planes of both CuO and ZnO which are superimposed on each other (planes (2 0 0), (1 1 2) and (0 0 4) for ZnO-NPs and (- 3 1 1), (1 1 3) and (3 1 1) for CuO-NPs). The strong diffraction peaks of ZnO, suggested that many ZnO-NPs were loaded on the CuO-NPs during the precipitation method. Moreover, the intensity of the CuO peaks in the XRD pattern of CuO@ZnO core/shell is lower than that of pristine CuO, which is due to the coating of ZnO-NPs on the surface of CuO-NPs. The peaks' sharpness indicates that the produced substance is crystalline⁵².

According to published research, there is a strong (002) diffraction peak with monoclinic structure at 35.44 in the XRD pattern of uncoated CuO nanoparticles (JCPDS 00-045-0937). This CuO structure, in which the oxygen and copper atoms are arranged in monoclinic orientations, is the most thermodynamically stable. As a result, following the development of the ZnO shell, CuO maintains its monoclinic unit cell-containing tenorite structure, as shown by the presence of the (002) diffraction peak in Fig. 1. The figure makes it abundantly clear that every conspicuous XRD peak agrees well with the monoclinic crystal structure of CuO and the wurtzite structure of ZnO. It is evident that the CuO@ZnO core/shell nanostructure is a two-phase substance made up



Figure 1. XRD pattern of synthesized CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles.

of well-crystalline CuO and ZnO. Table 2 shows the lattice parameters of monoclinic CuO-NPs and hexagonal ZnO-NPs unit cell. The lack of additional peaks in the core/shell's XRD pattern that could be attributed to secondary phases demonstrates the purity of the produced material.

For hexagonal and monoclinic unit cells, the d_{hkl} spacing is related to the miller indices by the following two equations:

$$\frac{1}{d^2} = \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{h^2 + hk + k^2}{a^2} \right) + \frac{l^2}{c}$$
(1)

$$\frac{1}{d^2} = \frac{1}{\sin^2\beta} \left(\frac{h^2}{a^2} + \frac{k^2 \sin^2\beta}{b^2} + \frac{l^2}{c^2} - \frac{2hl\cos\beta}{ac} \right)$$
(2)

The Debye–Scherrer's formula which describes the link between crystal grain size and X-ray line broadening, has been used to quantify the average crystallite size of CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles.

$$D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta Cos\theta} \tag{3}$$

where, K is a parameter related to crystallite shape (K=1), λ , is the wavelength of the Cu-K_a radiation used in X-ray imaging (0.154 nm), β , is the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the peaks, θ is the Bragg's angle, and, D is the average crystalline size in nm. The calculated average crystallite size of the CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles was thus determined to be 30.42 nm, using Scherrer's formula. Micro strain (ϵ), dislocation density (δ) and number of crystallites (N_c) were also determined for the fundamental peak using the following equations.

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\beta \cos\theta}{4} \tag{4}$$

$$\delta = \frac{1}{D^2} \tag{5}$$

$$N_c = \frac{t}{D^3} \tag{6}$$

where, t is the thickness of the sample. The calculated values of Micro strain (ϵ), dislocation density (δ) and number of crystallites (N_c) of the CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles were 3.67×10^{-3} , 1.08×10^{-3} nm⁻², and 355.08, respectively. The insignificant changes in the peak positions suggest that ZnO can modify CuO and alter its structure. The lattice deformation brought on by the Cu⁺² and Zn⁺² ions' different radii reduces the crystallite size. The strain field or stress that disrupts the process of grain formation is brought on by the varied sizes of radii. Smaller ionic radii increase the agglomeration and thus increase the crystallite size. and the ionic radius of Zn⁺² is larger than that of Cu^{+2 53}.

HR-TEM characterization

Figure 2a depicts the HR-TEM images of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs. The figure shows that the particles have a spherical shape and a narrow size distribution. The dark region reflects the CuO core and the surrounding gray layer reflects the ZnO shell. Thus, HR-TEM images confirm the formation of core/shell structure in the nanoscale. The presence of several small hexagonal nanoparticles formed above the CuO core structure confirm the XRD results that large number of ZnO NPs are coated on CuO during the Co-precipitation method. Meanwhile, Fig. 2b presents the histograms of particle size distribution of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs. The figure show the size distribution of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs, which is reasonably described by the Gaussian function, revealing a tight size distribution with average diameters of approximately 5.22 nm and a standard deviation of 0.18 nm.

FTIR characterization

By using the FTIR approach, the functional groups included in the prepared CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles have been identified. FTIR spectrum of the CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles in the range of 4000–400 cm⁻¹ is shown in Fig. 3. According to earlier FTIR spectroscopy results, interatomic vibrations cause the absorption bands in the fingerprint region, or below 1000 cm⁻¹, that are present in metal–oxygen bonds⁵².

The combined impact of CuO and ZnO vibrations is what causes the strong absorption bands to appear in the region 400–600 cm⁻¹. ZnO-NPs reportedly has an infrared absorption band at a wavelength of about 464 cm⁻¹⁵⁴.

	Calculated values				JCPDS			
CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs	a (A°)	b (A°)	c (A°)	β (°)	a (A°)	b (A°)	c (A°)	β (°)′
Hexagonal ZnO-NPs	3.256	3.253	5.216	-	3.253	3.253	5.210	90
Monoclinic CuO-NPs	4.6965	3.4252	5.0613	100.113	4.685	3.425	5.130	99.549

Table 2. Comparison between calculated and JCPDS card's lattice parameters for CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs.



Figure 2. (a) HR-TEM image and (b) histogram of particle size distribution of synthesized CuO@ZnO core/ shell nanoparticles.



Figure 3. FTIR absorption spectrum of CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles.

However, this value is not constant and is determined by the material's chemical composition, crystal structure, and particle morphology⁵⁵. The FTIR absorption spectra of the CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles consists of four absorption bands located at 584, 522, 464 and 424 cm⁻¹ refer to the formation of metal–oxygen bonding. The ZnO-NPs absorption peak, which is associated with the stretching vibration of Zn–O, is visible in the current CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles at 424 and 464 cm⁻¹ which is in good agreement with that reported in the literature. The absorption band of ZnO-NPs that can be seen at about 464 cm⁻¹ indicates that Zn (OH)₂ had fully converted to ZnO-NPs. The presence of CuO, which represents Cu–O stretching, is indicated by the absorption bands at 584 and 522 cm⁻¹⁵⁶. As a result, FTIR data are consistent with those obtained from XRD and HR-TEM techniques, demonstrating the combination of CuO and ZnO in the synthesized core/shell with good stoichiometric composition. Moreover, the absence of absorption bands in the region of 4000 to 1000 cm⁻¹ reflects the purity of the synthesized CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles and that the calcination temperature was enough to obtain the NPs. Additionally, the absence of the OH group confirmed that all Cu(OH)₂ and Zn(OH)₂ were converted completely to CuO and ZnO⁵⁶. This result confirms the XRD results, which confirmed the purity of synthesized CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles due to the lack of additional peaks that could be attributed to secondary phases of CuO or ZnO NPs.

Optical results

UV–Vis absorption measurements were performed on the produced nanoparticles to investigate the electronic interactions caused by the shelling of ZnO onto CuO nanoparticles. The absorption spectra of CuO@ZnO core/ shell nanoparticles at room temperature in the wavelength range 200–1000 nm are shown in Fig. 4a. For nano-crystalline CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles, the absorption spectra reveal two absorption peaks (located in the ultraviolet and visible regions), confirming the existence of CuO-NPs and ZnO-NPs.



Figure 4. UV–Vis (**a**) absorption spectra of CuO, ZnO, and CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs, (**b**) transmittance spectra of CuO, ZnO, and CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs (**c**) variation of ln (α hv) with ln ($h\nu$ –E_g) to determine the type of transition, and (**d**) Direct allowed optical bandgap.

Around 332 nm, the first notable peak that belonged to ZnO shell could be seen. Around 436 nm, a second broad peak appeared and was linked to the excitation of the surface Plasmon resonance of CuO nanoparticles (see Fig. 4a). The figure shows that the absorbance of pure CuO and ZnO NPs increased due to the formation of the ZnO shell for the same concentrations this means that the mechanism of CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles filtration is the absorption of UV radiation. The figure showed that the band structure of CuO and ZnO NPs dramatically changed and differed when those two species successfully created a CuO@ZnO core/shell hybrid nanocomposite system via the formation of heterojunction. In comparison to pure CuO and ZnO NPs, CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs exhibited broad absorption extended from the UV region to both visible and infrared region. Additionally, Fig. 4b confirmed the highest capability of the synthesized core/shell NPs as a blocking material for UV light. It is clear that, for the same concentration, that the transmittance of CuO and ZnO NPs decreased due to the formation of the heterojunction between CuO and ZnO and that the core/shell NPs blocks nearly all the incident UV and visible wavelengths and extends to the near IR region.

Studying and learning more about the absorption spectra of the samples is vital in order to fully understand and gather data regarding the band structure of the materials. It is well known that the bandgap of a substance can be obtained using the fundamental absorption, that correlates to the electron transition from the valance band to the conduction band. Based on the Lambert–Beer law, absorbance, Abs, is related to the absorption coefficient, α , by the equation:

$$\alpha = 2.303 * \text{Abs} / \text{d} \tag{7}$$

where, d is the sample thickness. Additionally, Tauc equation can be solved for the determination of the transition type by dividing it by the product of the differentiation, as shown by the following equation⁵¹:

$$(\alpha h\nu) = B(h\nu - E_g)^r \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{d[ln(\alpha h\upsilon)]}{d[h\upsilon]} = \frac{r}{(h\upsilon - E_g)}$$
(9)

According to Tauc's law, the electron transitions of the materials normally rely on the energy of the incident photon. The formula was used to compute the connection between $(\alpha h \nu)$ and the energy of the incident photon $(h\nu)$ in the region of strong absorption. B is the energy-independent band tail parameter and depends on the probability of electronic transition between the valance and conduction bands. r is the power parameter of transition type determined from the material's nature, i.e., crystalline or amorphous. It can be 1/2, 2, 2/3, and 1/3 for direct allowed, indirect allowed, direct prohibited, and indirect prohibited transitions, respectively.

To determine the optical bandgap of CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles we need to determine the type of transition firstly. Accordingly, the dependence of ln (α hv) on ln ($h\nu$ -Eg) for CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs is determined as presented in Fig. 4c. Plotting ln (α hv) against ln($h\nu$ -Eg) will reveal the value of r. As a result, the slope of the figure gives the value of r almost equaling 0.5 in Eq. (9). According to this presumption, the direct transitions that are permitted form the fundamental shoulder of absorption for CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs.

The band structure of CuO and ZnO NPs dramatically changed and differed when those two species successfully created a CuO@ZnO core/shell hybrid nanocomposite system via the formation of heterojunction. In comparison to pure CuO, CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs had an estimated band gap of 1.77 and 1.97 eV corresponds to the two transitions and exhibited broad absorption extended from the visible region to the infrared range (see Fig. 4d). Simultaneously, after ZnO coating, the composites improved absorption strength in all regions of the electromagnetic spectrum which was most likely due to hetero-junction between the p-CuO core and the n-ZnO shell, as well as defects introduced during the synthetic process⁵⁷.

The following section discusses the high activity of the produced CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs. The ZnO shell might be permeable to sunlight, allowing the CuO core to be directly driven by it. When exposed to sunshine, ZnO and CuO produced electrons (e^-) and holes (h^+) (Fig. 5), respectively. The produced e^- in the ZnO shell's conduction band (CB) is transferred to the CuO core's CB. This is because the CB of ZnO is higher than that of CuO, allowing Cu²⁺ to take electrons from Zn⁺² and create Zn⁺. Furthermore, the CuO valence band (VB) is more negative than that of ZnO, indicating that holes are transferred from CuO to ZnO⁵⁸.

CMC/CuO@ZnO core/shell nanocomposite films characterization

XRD results

Figure 6 presents the XRD pattern of the virgin CMC and CMC doped with 2 and 4 wt% of CuO@ZnO core/ shell NPs over the 2θ range of 5° to 90°. Based on the obtained XRD pattern of virgin CMC a broad peak is noticed at 20°, reflecting CMC's amorphous nature. The addition of 2 wt% of CuO@ZnO core /shell (denoted by CS-1) results in several peaks centered at 31.77°, 34.36°, 35.55°, 36.25° and 56.62°. The peak at 35.55° refers to the Miller indices of the reflecting plane (002) of CuO core NPs. Meanwhile, the peaks observed at 31.77°, 34.36°, 36.25° and 56.62° refers to the planes: (100), (002), (101), and (110) for ZnO shell. These peaks are corresponded to the standard JCPDS cards no. 01-078-3315 and 01-078-3315 for CuO and ZnO, respectively. Similar results are observed in the XRD pattern of the film containing 4 wt% of CuO@ZnO core /shell NPs (denoted by CS-2) with the appearance of additional peaks. The XRD peaks observed for the sample abbreviated as CS-2 are 31.93°, 34.61°, 35.64°, 36.37°, 38.87°, and 56.81° corresponds to the planes (1 0 0), (0 0 2), (0 0 2), (1 0 1), (1 1 1), and (1 1 0). No additional XRD peaks related to other phases of CuO or any other impurities are detected in the XRD pattern compared with that of the prepared CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs. This result confirms the success of synthesizing hybrid nanocomposite films based on CMC and CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs.

Additionally, strong reflections appeared in Fig. 6 along the (100) plane of ZnO-NPs and (200) plane of CuO-NPs. Moreover, the intensity of the XRD peaks along these planes decreases as the CuO@ZnO core/shell concentration in the host matrix increases. The decrease in the peak intensity refers to a decrease in the crystal-linity of the films.

Doping a large ionic radius element (Zn^{2+}) into the sites of a smaller one (CuO^{2+}) is thought to be the cause of the decrease in crystallinity, which is followed by a relative increase in defects and randomness. Furthermore, as shown in the figure for all peaks, there are noticeable shifts in the XRD peaks of the doped CMC films with increasing core/shell concentration. This behavior demonstrates a difference in the doped samples' crystalline structure parameters²⁷.



Figure 5. The supposed mechanism for charge carriers' transportation through CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs.

.....





Additionally, using the aforementioned related equations (Eq. (3) to Eq. (6)) and the obtained (0 0 1) Miller's plane data, the crystallite size (D), micro-strain (ϵ), dislocation density (δ) and the number of crystallites (Nc) of the prepared films have been estimated. These structures' parameters are listed in Table 3. The obtained crystallite size (D) decreased to 41.60 nm (sample CS-2). The primary causes of this variation in D are the lattice distortion and internal stress brought on by the ZnO shell⁵⁹. Moreover, the decrease in the intensity of the hybrid nanocomposite compared to the pure CMC, which was used to confirm the increases in defect density, may be a key factor in D variations. The micro strain and the dislocation density exhibit the opposite behavior, as presented in Table 3.

FTIR characterization

Hydrogen bond formation in the CMC hybrid nanocomposites can be explained by IR spectroscopy since hydrogen bonding changes the stretching vibration frequencies⁶⁰. The FTIR absorbance spectra of the virgin CMC and its nanocomposites are shown in Fig. 7. Many significant absorption bands have been found in the FTIR absorption spectrum of the pure CMC and CMC doped with 2 and 4 wt% of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs (denoted by CS-1 and CS-2, respectively), and they can be interpreted as follows: The characteristic absorption bands of pure CMC was similar to that observed in our previous work⁵¹. The CMC's vibration bands were successively centered at 3261, 2919, 1585, 1412, 1322, 1052, 1019, 912, and 657–534 cm⁻¹ for the vibrations of OH stretching, CH stretching, COO⁻ asymmetric stretching, CH₂ scissoring, OH bending, C–O–C bending, C–O bond of the CH₂ OH, C–O stretching with some CH₂ rocking motion, and ring stretching and deformation, respectively.

There are significant differences in the FTIR spectra of CMC/CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite films. The centers and intensities of the absorption bands are among the changes. Adding 2 and 4 wt% of CuO@ZnO core/ shell NPs results in a strong shift of the absorption band assigned to OH stretching to 3292 and 3238 cm⁻¹, respectively (see Table 4). This evidence supports the active interaction of the core/shell NPs with the host polymer. Furthermore, as the core/shell NPs concentration in the host polymer is increased to 4 wt%, the absorption peak shifts to shorter wavenumbers.

The presence of the bound OH group may cause the absorption band to shift to a lower frequency. As a result, the intensity will rise, and an asymmetrical band will be observed at 2892 cm⁻¹. Along with that, the band will be expanded. The strength and interactions of the hydrogen bond in the polymer matrix are measured by hydroxyl absorption⁶¹.

Also, Fig. 7 confirmed the existence of the characteristic absorption bands of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs. The absorption bands located at 538 and 516 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the stretching of Cu–O bond. Meanwhile, the ZnO characteristic bands are observed at 433 and 420 cm⁻¹. Increasing the core/shell concentration shifts these bands to the higher wavenumber region as presented in Table 4. These bands confirmed the combination of the CMC characteristics with that of the CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs inside the hybrid nanocomposite. In addition, reasonable shift in the CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs bands in the nanocomposite spectra is observed that is due to

Structure	D (nm)	$\delta (nm^{-2}) \times 10^{-3}$	$\epsilon \times 10^{-3}$	Nc
CS-1	47.46	0.44	2.68	22.45
CS-2	41.60	0.58	20.09	54.16

Table 3. Crystallite Size (D), dislocation density (δ), micro strain (ϵ) and the number of crystallites (Nc) of the most prominent peak [i.e., plane (100)] in CMC/CuO@ZnO core/shell hybrid nanocomposite films.

.....





Wavenu	mber (cı	n ⁻¹)	
СМС	CS-1	CS-2	Band assignment
3261	3292	3226	Stretching of OH group
2919	2922	2919	CH stretching of the CH ₂ groups
-	-	2892	CH asymmetric stretching
1585	1587	1585	Carboxylate group (COO ⁻) asymmetric stretching
1412	1413	1411	CH ₂ scissoring
1322	1321	1321	OH bending
1052	1051	1051	The C–O–C bending vibration
1019	1020	1018	C–O bond of the CH ₂ OH group
912	912	912	C–O stretching + CH ₂ rocking motion
-	538	570	O-Cu-O
-	516	518	O-Cu-O
-	433	474	O-Zn-O
-	420	420	O-Zn-O

Table 4. FTIR band assignment of virgin CMC and CMC filled with 2 and 4 wt% of CuO@ZnO core/shellNPs.

the interaction between CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs and the OH group of CMC. The absence of any secondary phases of CuO confirmed the XRD results.

Optical results

Determination of absorption parameters. Investigation of the optical properties of materials is a useful tool for examining the band structure and the density of the electronic state. When polymer mixtures are combined with filler, their optical properties change frequently³⁷. Figure 8 shows the normal optical absorption of pristine and hybrid samples in the 200–1200 nm spectral range. On the other hand, it was seen in the figure that the absorption edge of CMC was red shifted due to the addition of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs, moving to a longer wavelength (from 208 to 216 nm) which corresponds to the π - π * transition of CMC. This red shift behavior was predicted to result in a smaller optical bandgap (E_g) value. The aggregation in the samples may cause this redshift⁶³. The observed shift refers to the strong interaction between CMC functional groups and CuO@ZnO core/shell appeared with high intensity in the absorption spectra of CMC/CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite films at 388 nm for the samples containing 2 and 4 wt% of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs. This confirms the formation of the hybrid nanocomposite and the formation of hydrogen bonding between CMC and CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs.

The spectral variation of reflectance with wavelength for pure CMC and CMC/CuO@ZnO core/shell nanocomposite films are presented in Fig. 9. Furthermore, the reflectance of the pure CMC was increased as it filled with 2 and 4 wt% CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs, reaching its highest value as it loaded with 4 wt% CuO@ZnO core/ shell NPs. At λ = 750 nm, the reflectance of pure CMC is 7.7% and it increased to 11.9 and 13.5% as it loaded with 2 and 4 wt% CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs, respectively. This modification in the reflectance data upon filling



Figure 8. The optical absorbance for pure CMC and CMC doped with 2 and 4 wt% of CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles.



Figure 9. The optical reflectance $R(\lambda)$ for pure CMC and CMC doped with 2 and 4 wt% of CuO@ZnO core/ shell nanoparticles.

CMC with 2 and 4 wt% CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs may be caused by the alteration in the packing density of the host polymer⁶². The high reflectivity of CMC/CuO@ZnO nanocomposite films nominated it to use in the manufacture of marking paint, reflective tape, leather, cloth, and safety silk fabric.

The absorption coefficient is an important parameter for evaluating the fluctuation of the band structure of polymer materials. It provides important information regarding the nature of the forbidden photonic gap energy, which will be used in all future applications. For accurate results the absorption coefficient can be calculated using the following equation:

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{d} \ln[((1-R)^2/2T) + \sqrt{\left(\frac{(1-R)^4}{4T^2}\right) + R^2}]$$
(10)

This is due to the non-accurate measurements of the sample thickness⁶³. Figure 10 presents the variation of the absorption coefficient with the incident energy. It is clear from the figure that the value of α of pure CMC increases after the addition of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs. This confirms the important interactions between the CMC and CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs matrices. The value of the fundamental edge is obtained by extrapolating the linear component of the curve to the energy axis for all samples. Two absorption edges were observed in the figure indicating the presence of two optical band gaps. Additionally, it's clear that the absorption edge of pure CMC shifted to the lower energy region by increasing CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs concentration. This result matches the XRD and FTIR performance of the nanocomposite films because of the percentage of NPs on the microstructure of the CMC matrix. Figure 10 also shows that as photon energy increases, the absorption coefficient gradually increases, resulting in a plateau. Such an increase is common in indirect bandgap semiconductors⁶⁴. The shifts in the absorption edge towards lower photon energy for the nanocomposite samples indicate that the optical bandgap has shrunk. This large shift in the absorption edge can be explained by the development of intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) in the host CMC polymer, resulting in an increase in intermolecular stacking⁶⁵.



Figure 10. The optical absorption coefficient (α) for pure CMC and CMC doped with 2 and 4 wt% of CuO@ ZnO core/shell nanoparticles.

Optical band gap determination. Based on our previous work it is found that Pure CMC has an indirect optical bandgap⁵¹. Accordingly, Fig. 11a depicts the plot of $(\alpha h\nu)^{1/2}$ versus photon energy (hv) for CMC/CuO@ZnO core/shell nanocomposite films. It has been established that the top of the valance band and the bottom of the conduction band are located at the same zero crystal momentum (i.e., wave vector or k-vector) in direct bandgap materials and at different K values in indirect bandgap ones⁵¹. Because of the momentum conservation law, indirect band gap semiconductors are good candidates for UV-Blocking applications. In indirect semiconductors, the momenta of holes and electrons differ. Thus, in order to recombine and satisfy the momentum conservation equation, they must do something with the uncompensated momentum. In direct band gap semiconductors, electron and hole-pair momentum can be zero, allowing them to recombine. It is worth noting that the indirect optical bandgap (HOMO/LUMO optical bandgap) decreased from 5.27 eV for pure CMC to 3.43 eV for CMC doped with 4 wt% CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs. Additionally, the figure shows that the nanocomposites samples possess another absorption edge, located in the low energy region, that corresponds to the Onset band gap. The bandgap values for all nanocomposites are tabulated in Table 5. The optical bandgap (E_{α}) of the doped samples was found to be smaller. Such a decrease can be attributed to the formation of intramolecular charge transfer in the host polymer as a result of the incorporation of trace amounts of fillers and to the changes in the disordering degree in the CMC matrix. This behavior reflects the complexation between the oxygen atom of the CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs and the OH group of CMC. As a result, lower energy transitions will be enhanced, resulting in observable changes in optical bandgap. Shifting to the visible region and observing the additional absorption peaks of the doped films confirms this viewpoint. Previous research has established the importance of organic polymers, functional materials, and composites with small optical bandgaps for photonics, organic light-emitting diode (OLED), and optoelectronics device applications.

The absorption spectrum fitting (ASF) model was applied to confirm the optical bandgap energy determined using Tauc equation for the nanocomposites under study, utilizing the UV absorption spectra measured (see Fig. 11b)⁶⁶. The ability to calculate the optical gap energy (E_{Opt}^{ASF}) of the samples without having to assess their thickness distinguished this method. The calculation is solely based on the samples' absorbance data. According to Souri and Shomalian, the optical gap energy depends on the wavelength of the incident photon and is expressed as follows:

$$E_{Opt}^{ASF} = \frac{1240}{\lambda_{Opt}^{ASF}} \tag{11}$$

According to the ASF model, the $\rm E_g$ values of CMC/CuO@ZnO core/shell nanocomposites decreased with increasing CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs concentration strongly. These values are listed in Table 5. The results showed that the two models are consistent with each other.

Several solid-state devices (integrated optical circuits, emissive displays, optical sensors, etc.) can have their performance improved by adding a high refractive index coating to the sensing surface of the device (a regular change from the high refractive index of the active circuitry to the low index of air permits light to be coupled more efficiently). The refractive index of the materials changed when the energy gap changed, which is affected by localized states in the prohibited band. The absorption coefficient variation contributed to the band tail (Urbach energy). The tail energy (E_u) value denotes the presence of flaws and disorder in the polymer matrix. It is found near the valence and conduction bands' borders inside the forbidden bandgap.

The values of E_u are evaluated for all films using the following equation⁶⁶

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 \exp(h\upsilon/E_u) \tag{12}$$



(~)

Figure 11. Indirect allowed optical bandgap energy determination using: (**a**) Tauc model and (**b**) ASF model for pure CMC and CMC doped with 2 and 4 wt.% of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs.

Structure	E ⁱⁿ _{g1} (eV)	E ⁱⁿ _{g2} (eV)	E ^{ASF} _{Opt1} (eV)	E ^{ASF} _{Opt2} (eV)	Eu (eV)
Pure CMC	5.27	-	5.24	-	0.21
CS-1	4.78	1.13	4.72	1.23	1.82
CS-2	3.43	0.83	3.46	0.89	2.04

Table 5. Indirect allowed optical bandgap energy obtained by using Tauc and ASF models and Urbach energyfor pure CMC and CMC filled with 2 and 4 wt% CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs.

where, the normalized transition strength is α_o , and the Urbach energy is E_u . As shown in Fig. 12a, Urbach tail energy (E_u) was computed by graphing the logarithm values of the absorption coefficient (ln α) with the photon energy ($h\nu$). The E_u values are calculated by fitting the straight part of the graph to the inverse of its slope.

As described previously, the compositional differences of E_u are related to the nature and content of defects/ disorders formed in the prohibited gap. These findings are consistent with the findings of the structural study. As shown in Fig. 12b, the E_u values for the CMC/CuO@ZnO core/shell nanocomposite films; it ranges between 0.21 and 2.04 eV (see Table 5). This value is greater than that of CMC loaded with 4 wt% of CuO- NPs in our previous work⁵¹.



Figure 12. Variation of: (a) Ln α with photon energy, (b) Urbach energy with CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs concentration.

Determination of dispersion parameters of CMC and CMC /CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite films. The refractive index (n) and extinction coefficient (k) are calculated from the reflectance (R) of the film using the following two equations¹⁹:

$$\mathbf{n} = \left[\left(1 + \mathbf{R}^{1/2} \right) / \left(1 - \mathbf{R}^{1/2} \right) \right] \tag{13}$$

$$\kappa = \alpha \lambda / 4\pi \tag{14}$$

Figure 13 depicts the variation of refractive index and extinction coefficient of CMC/CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite films as a function of wavelength. It is important to note that the dispersion behavior of the nanocomposite samples varies with wavelength, whereas the pure CMC sample has an inverse relationship with wavelength. Because they are absent in the pure CMC refractive index spectra, the obvious peaks in the Near infrared region could be because of the CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs.

ŀ

The changes occurred in the electronic structure of the doped samples can be linked to the increase in refractive index from 1.83 to 2.96 and it's shifting. The inset of Fig. 13a depicts the samples' refractive index as a function of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs concentration. This improvement can be explained by polarizability and density. With the addition of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs, more charge carriers (dipole molecules) are introduced into the CMC matrix. As a result, there are more polarizable molecules, and higher densities result in a higher refractive index according to the well-known Lorentz–Lorenz formula.

The spectral behavior of the refractive index could also be divided into two distinct regions. The anomalous dispersion region lies between 250 and 400 nm. In this region, incident photons resonate at the same frequency



Figure 13. Variation of (**a**) refractive index n, and (**b**) extinction coefficient k as a function of wavelength for pure CMC and CMC doped with 2 and 4 wt% CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs.

with the film's surface plasma, revealing strong electron coupling in the nanocomposite matrix¹⁹. The normal dispersion region, on the other hand, is where $600 > \lambda > 400$ nm. The refractive index decreases continuously as the wavelength increases in this region. However, beyond 600 nm the refractive index increases sharply, and this can be attributed to the free carrier contribution.

The refractive index at a higher wavelength is linearly proportional to the filler concentration, as presented in the inset of Fig. 13a. This means that the nanofillers are well distributed throughout the CMC matrix. This particle distribution processing within the host CMC can be viewed as an alternative method for high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) investigations. From the preceding discussion, understanding the refractive index is critical for both particle distribution and optical device design.

The spectra of k at different CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs concentrations as a function of incident wavelength are shown in Fig. 13b. Significant increase in k values was observed indicates that the electromagnetic waves in the visible range pass through the films with significant decay or inhibition. Furthermore, as the concentration of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs in CMC matrix increases, the k value increased. As a result, when compared to the behavior of pure CMC films, CMC/CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite films have a significant ability to attenuate incident light. These findings offer valuable insights into the superior optical applications of the studied polymeric films based on CMC/CuO@ZnO core/shell hybrid nanocomposites.

Furthermore, the optical dispersion parameters are the most significant parameters in the area of optoelectronic applications. These parameters are computed using the Wemple-Di Domenico (WDD) single-oscillator model, which is denoted by:

$$\frac{1}{(n^2 - 1)} = \frac{E_o}{E_d} - \frac{E^2}{E_d E_o}$$
(15)

where, E_d , is the dispersion energy, and E_0 , is the single oscillator energy⁶⁷. To gain a better understanding of the physical properties of the samples being studied, further information about some parameters such as the lattice dielectric constant ε_l and carrier concentration N is required. The real component of the dielectric constant ε_l is related to λ^2 according to Eq. (16):

$$n^2 = \varepsilon_l - (e^2 N / 4\pi^2 \varepsilon_o m^* c^2) \lambda^2 \tag{16}$$

Figure 14a–c depicts the relationship between $(n^2 - 1)^{-1}$ and $(h\nu)^2$, n^2 with λ^{-2} , and $(n^2 - 1)^{-1}$ with λ^{-2} , respectively for wavelengths greater than 400 nm. This relationship is represented by a straight line. These lines intersect the $(n^2 - 1)^{-1}$ axis at (E_0/E_d) points and has a slope equal to $(1/E_0E_d)$. Table 6 displays the calculated values of all dispersion parameters. Table 6 shows that, the values of E_0 decrease and E_d , ε_o , ε_l , N/m^{*} and N increase as the



Figure 14. Optical dispersion parameters for pure CMC and CMC doped with 2 and 4 wt% CuO@ZnO core/ shell NPs.

	Absorption parameter		Dispersion pa	ispersion parameter						
CuO@ZnO wt%	Onset gap (eV)	HOMO-LUMO gap (eV)	E ₀ (eV)	E _d (eV)	ε_{∞}	ει	N/M* (g ⁻¹ cm ⁻³)	N (g ⁻¹ cm ³)		
0	-	5.27	5.00	9.32	3.01	3.16	4.41×10^{55}	4.02×10^{28}		
2	1.13	4.78	2.28	6.10	3.65	6.60	5.69×10 ⁵⁶	5.18×10 ²⁹		
4	0.83	3.43	2.08	8.50	5.13	15.65	1.56×10 ⁵⁷	1.42×10^{30}		

Table 6. Optical dispersion parameters of pure CMC and CMC with different concentration of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs.

concentration of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs in the CMC matrix increases. This indicates an increase in charge transfer between the polymer macromolecules and the core/shell NPs, as well as an increase in the degree of disordering in the polymer structure. The obtained results confirmed the structural investigation of XRD and FTIR.

<u>UV-blocking capacity of CMC/CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite films.</u> The UV-Vis. transmittance spectra of pure CMC film and CMC/CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite films with varying CuO@ZnO core/shell content are shown in Fig. 15a. The figure shows that the pure CMC film lacks UV-shielding properties. The transmittance of CMC/CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite films in the UV (200–400 nm) and visible regions (400–800 nm) decreases significantly when CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs are added. When the CuO@ZnO core/ shell NPs content increases to 4 wt%, approximately 99.9% of UV light is absorbed. These findings confirmed that CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs had a high UV absorption capacity. As the transmission in UVC nearly equal



Figure 15. CMC/CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite: (a) optical transmittance (T) as a function of wavelength (λ), and (b) opacity as a function of CuO@ZnO NPs concentration.

0, transmission in UVB remains constant at 0.1%, and transmission in UVA decreased to 0.05%. Accordingly, it can be concluded that the CMC/CuO@ZnO core/shell hybrid nanocomposite films can block approximately 100% of UVR.

Figure 15b shows the relationship between opacity and CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs concentration for pure CMC and nanocomposite films at 600 nm. The opacity of the films was calculated using Eq. (17)⁵¹:

$$Opacity = \frac{A_{600}}{d} \tag{17}$$

where, A_{600} is the film absorbance wavelength of 600 nm and d is the film thickness (mm).

The results showed that the sample CS-2 has the higher opacity value as presented in Fig. 15b. Table 7 presents the increased values of the UV blocking percentages with increasing CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs concentration. It's clear that adding 4 wt% of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs to the CMC matrix results in higher UV blocking percentage than that obtained by adding 8 wt% of CuO-NPs reported in the literature⁵¹. This means that the formation of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs reduces the cost and increased the efficiency. Thus, the CMC/CuO@ZnO core/ shell hybrid nanocomposites can be used as a blocking material in skin care products.

Table 8 compares previous work on the UV blocking capabilities of various transparent polymer films, including the current study. UV blocking without the use of nanoparticles such as ZnO or TiO_2 appears to be difficult, and only a few organic compatible systems exist, such as furan-based polyesters or modified dopamine-containing polymers.

Sunscreen performance of different CMC/ 4wt.% CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite samples. Sunblock and sunscreens are chemicals that block or absorb UV radiation and exhibit a range of immunosuppressive effects when exposed to sunlight. There are several UV-filtering agents available from both synthetic and natural sources. UV filters must be safe because they have the potential to cause significant human local and systemic exposure³⁴. Synthetic UV filters have been shown to have potential toxicity in humans and to interfere only in specific pathways of the multistage process of carcinogenesis. Despite the abundance of UV-protecting materials, there is still a significant need for a natural substance that can replace these synthetic ones in a safe, non-toxic, and cost-effective manner and to satisfy Egypt's vision 2030 towards sustainable development^{79,80}. Different CMC/4wt.% CuO@ZnO based sunscreens were prepared by mixing different concentration of the CMC nanocomposite solution with the commercial pure sunscreen cream. Figure 16a and b presents the variation of absorbance and transmittance with wavelength for pure Mash sunblock cream and sunblock mixed with different concentrations of CMC/4wt% CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite solution. Figure 16a shows that

	UV-blocking %				
CMC loaded with CuO@ZnO core/shell (wt%)	UVC	UVB	UVA		
0	34%	27-34%	23-27%		
2	94%	94%	94-97%		
4	100%	100%	100%		

Table 7. UV blocking percentages in the UVC, UVB, and UVA regions for pure CMC film and CMC doped with different concentrations of CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs.

		% Transmittance				Reference
Polymer	Additive	UVC	UVB	UVA	Comments (best performance)	Reference
PVA	Dopamine – Melanin NP	0	0	10-70%	5 vol% NP	68
PVA	Carbon quantum dots	0	10%	5-60%	1 wt.% Carbon	69
РММА	ZnO quantum dots	0	0	40-50%	0.05 wt.% ZnO	70
Waterborne acrylic	TiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ -POSS	0	0	60%	POSS	71
Bifuran polyester	Furan-based dicarboxylic acids	0	0	5%	Furan blocks UV	72
PVA	Wood nanofibers	0	5-10%	80%	10 wt% nanofibers	73
Fish gelatin	ZnO nanorods	0	0	3-15%	5 wt% ZnO	74
Carrageenan	ZnO NP	5%	5%	5%	5 wt% ZnO	75
Sunscreen cream	Lignin	15-45%	20-60%	25-80%	10 wt% Lignin	76
PPC	TiO ₂ /lignin	7-70%	13-70%	13-70%	5 wt% lignin-TiO ₂	77
PECA-PPC	Caffeic acid	0	0	17%	2% caffeic acid	78
СМС	CuO NP	0	1%	0.08-1%	8 wt% CuO	51
СМС	CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs	0	0	0	4 wt% CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs	Present work

Table 8. UV blocking properties of different polymeric systems reported in literature. *PVA* Polyvinyl alcohol,*PMMA* Polymethyl methacrylate.



Figure 16. Variation of: (**a**) absorbance and (**b**) transmittance % as a function of wavelength for pure sunblock and sunblock mixed with different concentration of CMC/CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite.

the absorbance of the sunblock cream increased with increasing the concentration of CMC/4 wt% CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite solution. The intensity of the characteristic absorption peaks of CMC and CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs increased with increasing CMC/4 wt% CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite concentration in the sunblock. The letters confirm the miscibility of the CMC/4 wt% CuO@ZnO Mash sunblock solution. Figure 16b confirms the suitability of CMC/4 wt% CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite as a blocking material in personal care products as the transmittance of pure sunblock cream decreased sharply until it reached to 1% (see Table 9).

Additionally, the figure shows that the UV blocking of the pure Mash sunblock was enhanced and extended to the visible and near IR regions with the addition of 6, 8, and 10 wt% of CMC/4 wt% CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite.

Sun protection factor (SPF) is the ratio of UV energy required to produce a minimal erythemal dose (MED) in protected skin versus unprotected skin. Screening the absorbance of the product between 290 and 320 nm at 5 nm intervals is a simple, quick, and reliable in vitro method of calculating the SPF. SPF can be calculated using the Mansur equation and the following formula⁸¹.

	UV blocking %		
Sunblock loaded with CMC/4 wt% core/shell	UVC	UVB	UVA
0	67-83%	67-72%	38-72%
2	84-96%	84%	78-84%
4	94-98%	94%	94%
6	98-99%	98%	98-99%
8	99%	99%	99%
10	99%	99%	99%

Table 9. UV blocking percentage of CMC/CuO@ZnO sunblock cream.

.....

		Absorban	Absorbance						
Wavelength (nm)	EExI	Pure SB	SB-2 wt% PNC	SB-4 wt% PNC	SB-6 wt% PNC	SB-8 wt% PNC	SB-10 wt% PNC		
290	0.015	0.553	0.825	1.222	1.806	2.163	2.208		
295	0.0817	0.553	0.831	1.230	1.815	2.168	2.216		
300	0.2874	0.546	0.827	1.228	1.817	2.170	2.216		
305	0.3278	0.543	0.820	1.224	1.814	2.168	2.209		
310	0.1864	0.547	0.815	1.219	1.809	2.165	2.206		
315	0.0837	0.552	0.809	1.213	1.803	2.160	2.197		
320	0.0180	0.550	0.798	1.201	1.792	2.144	2.184		
SPF		5.465	8.207	12.235	18.122	21.670	22.096		

Table 10. The SPF values and the absorbance of commercial sunscreen and CMC/4wt.% CuO@ZnO based sunscreens in the UVB region.

$SPF = CFx \sum_{290}^{320} EE(\lambda)xI(\lambda)xAbs(\lambda)$

where CF = correction factor (10), EE(λ) = erythmogenic effect of radiation with wavelength (λ), and Abs (λ) = spectrophotometric absorbance values at certain wavelength. The values of EE (λ) x I (λ) are fixed. The SPF of the pure cream was found to be 5.46, whereas the SPF of the creams containing various amounts of CMC/ CuO@ZnO polymer nanocomposite (PNC) increased to 8.21, 12.24, 18.12, 21.67, and 22.10 for 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10% of CMC/CuO@ZnO (Table 10). As the CMC/CuO@ZnO content increased to 10% results in a better sunscreen performance, as the SPF value increased to 22.10, an increase of 404% over the pure cream. This phenomenon was primarily related to the size of CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles, small size improved sunscreen performance. The significant sunscreen performance of CMC/4 wt% CuO@ZnO was possibly related to the conjugated system in CMC/4 wt%CuO@ZnO. Furthermore, the Zn=O, and Cu=O groups, can contribute significantly to the conjugated system in CMC (C=O). Furthermore, the presence of π - π * transitions between the aromatic rings in CMC and the sunscreen cream would benefit the sunscreen performance. However, compared to some earlier reports, this study's SPF values were lower this is due to the very small concentration of the sunscreen was used. Furthermore, the chemical sunscreen used in earlier studies has excellent sunscreen properties by nature. According to the annual sunscreen report published by World Health Organization, they recommended sunblock creams with SPF ranges from 15 to 5082. Accordingly, the Mash/CMC/4wt.% CuO@ZnO hybrid nanocomposite can be used as a sunblock.

Conclusion

Based on the obtained results it can be concluded that CuO@ZnO core/shell nanoparticles were successfully synthesized using the Co-precipitation method meanwhile, nanocomposite films based on CMC were successfully synthesized using the casting method. XRD analysis revealed an increase in the amorphous nature of the nanocomposite films with the addition of metal oxide NPs, confirming the formation of well-structured nano-composite films. HR-TEM analysis confirmed the formation of core/shell nanoparticles, while FTIR analysis indicated the presence of hydrogen bonding between the hydroxyl group of CMC and the oxygen atom of the prepared nano metal oxides, signifying the successful formation of nanocomposites. UV–Vis results demonstrated a redshift in the absorption edge as the NP content increased, indicating a decrease in the optical bandgap energy. The type of transition in CMC was identified as an indirect allowed transition.

The concentration of core/shell NPs in the CMC nanocomposites affected various material characteristics. An increase in the values of refractive index was observed, a decrease in oscillation energy and an increase in dispersion energy with increasing core/shell NPs concentration. The lattice dielectric constant was determined to have higher values than the infinite high-frequency dielectric constant, indicating the presence of free carrier contribution. The calculated Urbach energy, which measures disorder within the material, increased as the concentration of metal oxide NPs increased.

The tunable optical characteristics of CMC/CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs nanocomposites make them promise for various optoelectronic applications. The nanocomposite films containing different concentrations of CuO@ ZnO core/shell NPs showed a high blocking capacity for UV radiation, making them suitable candidates for UV-shielding applications. Optical experiments revealed that pure CMC films exhibited varying transmittance levels within the UVC, UVB, and UVA ranges. Increasing the concentration of core/shell NPs led to a reduction in transmittance within the UVC, UVB, and UVA ranges. The addition of 4 wt% CuO@ZnO core/shell NPs to the CMC matrix significantly decreased transmittance in both the UV and visible regions, with approximately 100% of UV light being absorbed. The CMC/CuO@ZnO core/shell hybrid nanocomposite exhibited a significantly higher sun protection factor (SPF) compared to pure sunblock cream, meeting the requirements set by the World Health Organization for preferred sunblock.

Data availability

The data will be available upon request. Contact Medhat A. Ibrahim, Email: medahmed6@yahoo.com.

(18)

Received: 19 October 2023; Accepted: 25 November 2023 Published online: 30 November 2023

References

- Matsumura, Y. & Ananthaswamy, H. N. Toxic effects of ultraviolet radiation on the skin. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 195(3), 298–308 (2004).
- Owens, D. M. & Watt, F. M. Contribution of stem cells and differentiated cells to epidermal tumours. Nat. Rev. Cancer 3(6), 444–451 (2003).
- 3. Astel, A., Stec, M. & Rykowska, I. Occurrence and distribution of UV filters in beach sediments of the Southern Baltic sea coast. *Water* **12**(11), 3024 (2020).
- 4. Gasparro, F. P., Mitchnick, M. & Nash, J. F. A review of sunscreen safety and efficacy. Photochem. Photobiol. 68(3), 243-256 (1998).
- 5. Pafili, A. *et al.* Development and validation of LC-MS/MS method for the determination of UV-filters across human skin in vitro. *J. Chromatogr. B* **1167**, 122561 (2021).
- Wang, A., Hu, L., Liu, J., Tian, M. & Yang, L. Polyaniline-coated core-shell silica microspheres-based dispersive-solid phase extraction for detection of benzophenone-type UV filters in environmental water samples. *Environ. Adv.* 3, 100037 (2021).
- 7. Fivenson, D. *et al.* Sunscreens: UV filters to protect us: Part 2-increasing awareness of UV filters and their potential toxicities to us and our environment. *Int. J. Women's Dermatol.* 7(1), 45–69 (2021).
- 8. Adler, B. L. & DeLeo, V. A. Sunscreen safety: A review of recent studies on humans and the environment. *Curr. Dermatol. Rep.* **9**(1), 1–9 (2020).
- Schneider, S. L. & Lim, H. W. A review of inorganic UV filters zinc oxide and titanium dioxide. *Photodermatol. Photoimmunol. Photomed.* 35(6), 442–446 (2019).
- Bai, Y., Li, Z., Cheng, B., Zhang, M. & Su, K. Higher UV-shielding ability and lower photocatalytic activity of TiO₂@SiO₂/APTES and its excellent performance in enhancing the photostability of poly (p-phenylene sulfide). RSC Adv. 7(35), 21758–21767 (2017).
- Badry, R., Fahmy, A., Ibrahim, A., Elhaes, H. & Ibrahim, M. Application of polyvinyl alcohol/polypropylene/zinc oxide nanocomposites as sensor: Modeling approach. *Opt. Quant. Electron.* 53, 1–12 (2021).
- 12. Qi, Z. et al. Detoxified and antimicrobial-enhanced olive mill wastewater phenols capping ZnO nanoparticles incorporated with carboxymethyl cellulose for fresh strawberry preservation. *Postharv. Biol. Technol.* **188**, 111891 (2022).
- Cole, C., Shyr, T. & Ou-Yang, H. Metal oxide sunscreens protect skin by absorption, not by reflection or scattering. *Photodermatol. Photoimmunol. Photomed.* 32(1), 5–10 (2016).
- Badry, R. et al. Modeling the effect of zinc oxide on the electronic properties of polyvinyl alcohol. Egypt. J. Chem. 63(12), 4789–4796 (2020).
- Ghafouri, T., Manavizadeh, N. & Nadimi, E. Biosensing properties of zinc oxide nanoribbons toward creatine: A first-principles study. *IEEE Sens. J.* 22(8), 7433–7441 (2022).
- Lee, S. Y., San Lim, H., Lee, N. E. & Cho, S. O. Biocompatible UV-absorbing polymer nanoparticles prepared by electron irradiation for application in sunscreen. RSC Adv. 10(1), 356–361 (2020).
- 17. Pawlowski, S. & Petersen-Thiery, M. Sustainable sunscreens: A challenge between performance, animal testing ban, and human and environmental safety. *Sunscreens Coast. Ecosyst.* **1**, 185–207 (2020).
- Wang, L. et al. Construction of ternary CuO/CuFe₂O₄/g-C₃N₄ composite and its enhanced photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline hydrochloride with persulfate under simulated sunlight. J. Environ. Sci. 112, 59–70 (2022).
- Hashim, A. & Hamad, Z. Lower cost and higher UV-absorption of polyvinyl alcohol/silica nanocomposites for potential applications. Egypt. J. Chem. 63(2), 461–470 (2020).
- 20. Badry, R. *et al.* Enhancing the optical properties of starch/ZnO nanocomposites using graphene oxide. *Egypt. J. Chem.* **65**(7), 335–342 (2022).
- Zhang, J., Ma, S., Wang, B. & Pei, S. Preparation of composite SnO₂/CuO nanotubes by electrospinning and excellent gas selectivity to ethanol. Sens. Actuators A 332, 113090 (2021).
- Xu, L., Zheng, G., Pei, S. & Wang, J. Investigation of optical bandgap variation and photoluminescence behavior in nanocrystalline CuO thin films. Optik 158, 382–390 (2018).
- Navale, Y. H., Navale, S. T., Chougule, M. A., Ramgir, N. S. & Patil, V. B. NO₂ gas sensing properties of heterostructural CuO nanoparticles/ZnO nanorods. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 32(13), 18178–18191 (2021).
- 24. Li, Z. et al. Metal-organic framework-derived ZnO decorated with CuO for ultra-high response and selectivity H2S gas sensor. Sens. Actuators B 366, 131995 (2022).
- Ramu, A. G. et al. A facile and green synthesis of CuO/NiO nanoparticles and their removal activity of toxic nitro compounds in aqueous medium. Chemosphere 271, 129475 (2021).
- Khatami, M., Alijani, H. Q., Nejad, M. S. & Varma, R. S. Core@ shell nanoparticles: Greener synthesis using natural plant products. Appl. Sci. 8(3), 411 (2018).
- Jenrette, E. A., Farrell, M. J., Flowers, J. A. & Pradhan, A. K. CdSe-ZnO core-shell quantum dots for protein detection: A potential sensing platform. *Nanomanufacturing* 1(1), 3–13 (2021).
- Wu, X., Xiong, S., Mao, Z., Hu, S. & Long, X. A designed ZnO@ ZIF-8 core-shell nanorod film as a gas sensor with excellent selectivity for H2 over CO. *Chemistry* 23(33), 7969–7975 (2017).
- Sk, M. S., Akram, W., Mia, R., Fang, J. & Kabir, S. M. M. Fabrication of UV-protective polyester fabric with polysorbate 20 incorporating fluorescent color. *Polymers* 14(20), 4366 (2022).
- Khan, M. A., Wahab, Y., Muhammad, R., Tahir, M. & Sakrani, S. Catalyst-free fabrication of novel ZnO/CuO core-Shell nanowires heterojunction: Controlled growth, structural and optoelectronic properties. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 435, 718–732 (2018).
- Vuong, N. M., Chinh, N. D., Huy, B. T. & Lee, Y. I. CuO-decorated ZnO hierarchical nanostructures as efficient and established sensing materials for H2S gas sensors. *Sci. Rep.* 6(1), 26736 (2016).
- Wang, W. N. et al. Surface engineered CuO nanowires with ZnO islands for CO₂ photoreduction. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 7(10), 5685–5692 (2015).
- Ao, J. et al. Organic UV filters in indoor dust and human urine: A study of characteristics, sources, associations and human exposure. Sci. Total Environ. 640, 1157–1164 (2018).
- 34. Sadeghifar, H. & Ragauskas, A. Lignin as a UV light blocker: A review. Polymers 12(5), 1134 (2020).
- Egambaram, O. P., Kesavan Pillai, S. & Ray, S. S. Materials science challenges in skin UV protection: A review. Photochem. Photobiol. 96(4), 779–797 (2020).
- Refaat, A. et al. Geometrical, vibrational and physical properties of polyvinyl chloride nanocomposites: Molecular modeling approach. J. Theor. Comput. Chem. 18(08), 1950037 (2019).
- Nasrallah, D. A. & Ibrahim, M. A. Enhancement of physico-chemical, optical, dielectric and antimicrobial properties of polyvinyl alcohol/carboxymethyl cellulose blend films by addition of silver doped hydroxyapatite nanoparticles. J. Polym. Res. 29(3), 1–20 (2022).
- Kanikireddy, V., Varaprasad, K., Jayaramudu, T., Karthikeyan, C. & Sadiku, R. Carboxymethyl cellulose-based materials for infection control and wound healing: A review. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 164, 963–975 (2020).

- Mahmoudian, S., Wahit, M. U., Ismail, A. F. & Yussuf, A. A. Preparation of regenerated cellulose/montmorillonite nanocomposite films via ionic liquids. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 88(4), 1251–1257 (2012).
- Pang, J., Liu, X., Zhang, X., Wu, Y. & Sun, R. Fabrication of cellulose film with enhanced mechanical properties in ionic liquid 1-allyl-3-methylimidaxolium chloride (AmimCl). *Materials* 6(4), 1270–1284 (2013).
- 41. Kramar, A., Rodríguez Ortega, I., González-Gaitano, G. & González-Benito, J. Solution casting of cellulose acetate films: influence of surface substrate and humidity on wettability, morphology and optical properties. *Cellulose* 1, 1–16 (2023).
- Alharthi, A. F. *et al.* Cellulose-acetate-based films modified with Ag₂O and ZnS as nanocomposites for highly controlling biological behavior for wound healing applications. *Materials* 16(2), 777 (2023).
- Chua, K. Y. et al. Cellulose-based polymer electrolyte derived from waste coconut husk: Residual lignin as a natural plasticizer. J. Polym. Res. 27, 1–14 (2020).
- 44. Badry, R. *et al.* Spectroscopic and thermal analyses for the effect of acetic acid on the plasticized sodium carboxymethyl cellulose. *J. Mol. Struct.* **1224**, 129013 (2021).
- Badry, R., El-Khodary, S., Elhaes, H., Nada, N. & Ibrahim, M. Optical, conductivity and dielectric properties of plasticized solid polymer electrolytes based on blends of sodium carboxymethyl cellulose and polyethylene oxide. *Opt. Quant. Electron.* 53(1), 1–15 (2021).
- Badry, R., Nada, N., El-Nahass, M. M., Elhaes, H. & Ibrahim, M. A. The detection of NH₃, H₂S and HBr gases by carboxymethyl cellulose sodium/ZnO nanocomposites: A theoretical study. *Egypt. J. Chem.* 65(7), 281–292 (2022).
- Haqiqi, M. T. *et al.* Antioxidant and UV-blocking properties of a carboxymethyl cellulose–lignin composite film produced from oil palm empty fruit bunch. ACS Omega 6(14), 9653–9666 (2021).
- Omar, A. et al. Enhancing the optical properties of chitosan, carboxymethyl cellulose, sodium alginate modified with nano metal oxide and graphene oxide. Opt. Quant. Electron. 54(12), 806 (2022).
- Badry, R., El-Khodary, S., Elhaes, H., Nada, N. & Ibrahim, M. On the molecular modeling analyses of sodium carboxymethyl cellulose treated with acetic acid. *Lett. Appl. NanoBioSci.* 8, 553–557 (2019).
- Al-Harbi, L. M., Alsulami, Q. A., Farea, M. O. & Rajeh, A. Tuning optical, dielectric, and electrical properties of polyethylene oxide/ carboxymethyl cellulose doped with mixed metal oxide nanoparticles for flexible electronic devices. J. Mol. Struct. 1272, 134244 (2023).
- Badry, R., El-Nahass, M. M., Nada, N., Elhaes, H. & Ibrahim, M. A. Structural and UV-blocking properties of carboxymethyl cellulose sodium/CuO nanocomposite films. Sci. Rep. 13(1), 1123 (2023).
- 52. Saravanakkumar, D. et al. Synthesis and characterization of CuO/ZnO/CNTs thin films on copper substrate and its photocatalytic applications. OpenNano 4, 100025 (2019).
- Kumar, H. & Rani, R. Structural and optical characterization of ZnO nanoparticles synthesized by microemulsion route. Int. Lett. Chem. Phys. Astron. 14, 26–36 (2013).
- Vergés, M. A., Mifsud, A. & Serna, C. J. Formation of rod-like zinc oxide microcrystals in homogeneous solutions. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 86(6), 959–963 (1990).
- Sigoli, F. A., Davolos, M. R. & Jafelicci, M. Jr. Morphological evolution of zinc oxide originating from zinc hydroxide carbonate. J. Alloys Compd. 262, 292–295 (1997).
- 56. Das, S. & Srivastava, V. C. Hierarchical nanostructured ZnO-CuO nanocomposite and its photocatalytic activity. J. Nano Res. 35, 21–26 (2016).
- 57. Chen, L. et al. Optical properties of rubrene thin film prepared by thermal evaporation. Chin. Phys. B 24(4), 047801 (2015).
- Yousef, A. et al. Encapsulation of CdO/ZnO NPs in PU electrospun nanofibers as novel strategy for effective immobilization of the photocatalysts. Colloids Surf. A 401, 8–16 (2012).
- Siddiqui, H., Parra, M. R., Pandey, P., Qureshi, M. S. & Haque, F. Z. Utility of copper oxide nanoparticles (CuO-NPs) as efficient electron donor material in bulk-heterojunction solar cells with enhanced power conversion efficiency. J. Sci. Adv. Mater. Dev. 5(1), 104–110 (2020).
- 60. Aziz, S. B., Rasheed, M. A. & Ahmed, H. M. Synthesis of polymer nanocomposites based on [methyl cellulose] (1-x):(CuS) x (0.02 M≤ x≤ 0.08 M) with desired optical band gaps. *Polymers* **9**(6), 194 (2017).
- Turhan, K. N., Sahbaz, F. & Güner, A. A spectrophotometric study of hydrogen bonding in methylcellulose-based edible films plasticized by polyethylene glycol. J. Food Sci. 66(1), 59–62 (2001).
- Chantana, J., Kawano, Y., Nishimura, T., Mavlonov, A. & Minemoto, T. Impact of Urbach energy on open-circuit voltage deficit of thin-film solar cells. Solar Energy Mater. Solar Cells 210, 110502 (2020).
- 63. Bube, R. Electronic Properties of Crystalline Solids: An Introduction to Fundamentals (Elsevier, 2012).
- Mohammed, R. S., Aadim, K. A. & Ahmed, K. A. Synthesis of CuO/ZnO and MgO/ZnO core/shell nanoparticles with plasma gets and study of their structural and optical properties. J. Mod. Sci. 8(2), 9 (2022).
- Aziz, S. B. *et al.* Tuning the absorption of ultraviolet spectra and optical parameters of aluminum doped PVA based solid polymer composites. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 26, 8022–8028 (2015).
- 66. Escobar-Alarcón, L. *et al.* An alternative procedure for the determination of the optical band gap and thickness of amorphous carbon nitride thin films. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **254**(1), 412–415 (2007).
- 67. Naik, R., Aparimita, A., Sripan, C. & Ganesan, R. Structural, linear and non-linear optical properties of annealed and irradiated Ag/Se heterostructure films for optoelectronic applications. *Optik* **194**, 162894 (2019).
- Han, J. H. & Floros, J. D. Casting antimicrobial packaging films and measuring their physical properties and antimicrobial activity. J. Plast. Film Sheet. 13(4), 287–298 (1997).
- Wang, Y. et al. A novel UV-shielding and transparent polymer film: When bioinspired dopamine-melanin hollow nanoparticles join polymers. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 9(41), 36281–36289 (2017).
- Hess, S. C. et al. Direct synthesis of carbon quantum dots in aqueous polymer solution: One-pot reaction and preparation of transparent UV-blocking films. J. Mater. Chem. A 5(10), 5187–5194 (2017).
- 71. Zhang, Y., Zhuang, S., Xu, X. & Hu, J. Transparent and UV-shielding ZnO@ PMMA nanocomposite films. Opt. Mater. 36(2), 169-172 (2013).
- Godnjavec, J., Znoj, B., Veronovski, N. & Venturini, P. Polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxanes as titanium dioxide surface modifiers for transparent acrylic UV blocking hybrid coating. *Prog. Org. Coatings* 74(4), 654–659 (2012).
- Kainulainen, T. P., Sirviö, J. A., Sethi, J., Hukka, T. I. & Heiskanen, J. P. UV-blocking synthetic biopolymer from biomass-based bifuran diester and ethylene glycol. *Macromolecules* 51(5), 1822–1829 (2018).
- Sirviö, J. A. & Visanko, M. Highly transparent nanocomposites based on poly (vinyl alcohol) and sulfated UV-absorbing wood nanofibers. *Biomacromolecules* 20(6), 2413–2420 (2019).
- 75. Rouhi, J., Mahmud, S., Naderi, N., Ooi, C. H. & Mahmood, M. R. Physical properties of fish gelatin-based bio-nanocomposite films incorporated with ZnO nanorods. *Nanosc. Res. Lett.* **8**(1), 1–6 (2013).
- Khoirunnisa, A. R., Joni, I. M., Panatarani, C., Rochima, E. & Praseptiangga, D. UV-screening, transparency and water barrier properties of semi refined iota carrageenan packaging film incorporated with ZnO nanoparticles. *AIP Conf. Proc.* 1927(1), 030041 (2018).
- Qian, Y., Qiu, X. & Zhu, S. Lignin: A nature-inspired sun blocker for broad-spectrum sunscreens. Green Chem. 17(1), 320–324 (2015).

- Wu, W. et al. Ecofriendly UV-protective films based on poly (propylene carbonate) biocomposites filled with TiO₂ decorated lignin. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 126, 1030–1036 (2019).
- Nohynek, G. J., Antignac, E., Re, T. & Toutain, H. Safety assessment of personal care products/cosmetics and their ingredients. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 243(2), 239–259 (2010).
- Mouneer, T. A. Sustainable development importance in higher education for occupational health and safety using Egypt vision 2030 under COVID-19 pandemic. J. Geosci. Environ. Protect. 9(04), 74 (2021).
- Malsawmtluangi, C., Nath, D. K., Jamatia, I., Zarzoliana, E. & Pachuau, L. Determination of sun protection factor (SPF) number of some aqueous herbal extracts. J. Appl. Pharm. Sci. 3(9), 150–151 (2013).
- 82. World Health Organization. Compendium of WHO and other UN guidance on health and environment (No. WHO/HEP/ECH/ EHD/22.01) (World Health Organization, 2022).

Author contributions

Authors R.B.; M.M.E.; N.N.; H.E.; M.A.I. are equally contributed to this work.

Funding

Open access funding provided by The Science, Technology & Innovation Funding Authority (STDF) in cooperation with The Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB). No funds, grants, or other support was received for conducting this study.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.A.I.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023