# scientific reports



## **OPEN** Global dose distributions of neutrons and gamma-rays on the Moon

Masayuki Naito<sup>1,2</sup>, Hiroki Kusano<sup>1,2</sup> & Satoshi Kodaira<sup>1</sup>

Dose assessment on the lunar surface is important for future long-term crewed activity. In addition to the major radiation of energetic charged particles from galactic cosmic rays (GCRs), neutrons and gamma-rays are generated by nuclear interactions of space radiation with the Moon's surface materials, as well as natural radioactive nuclides. We obtained neutron and gamma-ray ambient dose distributions on the Moon using Geant4 Monte Carlo simulations combined with the Kaguya gammaray spectrometer measurement dataset from February 10 to May 28, 2009. The neutron and gammaray dose rates varied in the ranges of 58.7–71.5 mSv/year and 3.33–3.76 mSv/year, respectively, depending on the lunar geological features. The lunar neutron dose was high in the basalt-rich mare, where the iron- and titanium-rich regions are present, due to their large average atomic mass. As expected, the lunar gamma-ray dose map was similar to the distribution of natural radioactive elements (<sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K), although the GCR-induced secondary gamma-ray dose was significant at ~ 3.4 mSv/year. The lunar secondary dose contribution resulted in an additional dose of 12–15% to the primary GCR particles. Global dose distributions on the lunar surface will help identify better locations for long-term stays and suggest radiation protection strategies for future crewed missions.

Human space activity is expected to extend to the Moon, Mars, and deep space in the next few decades. One of the major concerns of living in space is the exposure to radiation. The major radiation sources are galactic cosmic rays (GCRs), which consist of various energetic charged particles produced by high-energy explosion phenomena occurring in the galaxy, and solar energetic particles (SEPs), which consist mainly of protons resulting from a giant explosion in the Sun. Low-Earth orbits (LEO), where current space activities in the International Space Station (ISS) are ongoing, are partially protected from space radiation by geomagnetic fields. The lunar atmosphere is tenuous of ~  $10^{-9}$  bar<sup>1</sup> and typical magnetic fields in the lunar environment is  $10^{-4}$  G<sup>2</sup>, the order of  $10^{-3}$  in comparison to the geomagnetic fields. The nearly non-existing lunar atmosphere and magnetic field allow space radiation to reach its surface. The effective dose equivalent was estimated at 420 mSv/year on the lunar surface by calculating the worst-case scenario during the minimum solar period<sup>3</sup>. The lunar radiation environment includes not only primary charged particles, but also secondary neutrons and gamma-rays, which are generated by the nuclear interactions of primary particles with the Moon's surface materials, as well as natural radioactive nuclides such as <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K.

Space radiation dosimetry has been performed using various space programs<sup>4-9</sup>. Dose-equivalent rates of up to ~200 mSv/year in LEO and ~660 mSv/year in deep space have been reported. Numerical calculations have also been conducted to estimate the space radiation environment on the Moon, Mars, and deep space<sup>10-13</sup>. Although the dose measurement at the lunar surface was first conducted with a dosimeter on the Chang'E-4 lander<sup>14</sup>, measurements of the space radiation dose around/on the Moon and Mars are still very limited compared to those in LEO<sup>14-16</sup>.

While a large part of the exposure rate on the lunar surface is due to the primary charged GCR particles, secondary radiation of neutrons and gamma-rays produced by their nuclear interactions with surface materials, such as soil and rocks, also contributes partially to the radiation dose. Although some previous lunar exploration missions have measured neutrons and gamma-rays using remote sensing in planetary sciences based on lunar geology, dosimetry has yet to become a focus.

In this study, we estimated the dose distributions of neutrons and gamma-rays on the entire lunar surface from the Geant4 Monte Carlo simulations and the measurement dataset of Kaguya gamma-ray spectrometer (KGRS)<sup>17,18</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>National Institutes for Quantum Science and Technology (QST), 4-9-1 Anagawa, Inage, Chiba 263-8555, Japan. <sup>2</sup>These authors contributed equally: Masayuki Naito and Hiroki Kusano. <sup>22</sup>email: kodaira.satoshi@gst.go.jp

#### Materials and methods

The ambient doses of neutrons and gamma-rays were calculated for selected lunar surface compositions. Subsequently, the global distribution of the ambient dose was derived using the KGRS observation data on the fast neutron flux and gamma-ray energy deposition rate. Here, direct dose measurement was not conducted due to restrictions in energy ranges of neutron and gamma-ray measurements, which substantially underestimates the measured doses. Therefore, we employed their relative variations normalized by the average of entire Moon to obtain the global dose distribution from the limited calculation points. The measured relative neutron fluxes and gamma-ray energy deposits at the Apollo and Luna sampling sites and the feldspathic highland terrain (FHT) were compared to the calculated neutron and gamma-ray ambient doses to derive the correlation between them. The measured values for the FHT were obtained by averaging over the northern far-side quarter of the lunar surface.

**Ambient dose on the lunar surface.** The ambient doses on the lunar surface were calculated using the Geant4 toolkit<sup>19–21</sup> version 10.5.1. The physics list of Shielding was employed by default. In addition, four other physics lists, FTFP\_BERT\_HP, QGSP\_BERT\_HP, QGSP\_BIC\_HP, and QGSP\_INCLXX\_HP, were used to estimate the uncertainty based on the Geant4 physics model.

 $20 \text{ m} \times 20 \text{ m} \times 10 \text{ m}$  cuboids were modeled as the lunar materials with six different compositions, which were defined based on returned regolith samples from the Apollo and Luna missions and the feldspathic lunar meteorites in Table 1<sup>22,23</sup>. These regolith samples, which were mixed soils, were selected because they represented the average composition around the sampling site<sup>24</sup>. Feldspathic lunar meteorites were included as a representative composition of feldspathic highland terrain (FHT) for which there were no returned samples. The density of the modeled lunar surface was set to 1.6 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

The modeled lunar surface was isotropically irradiated by GCR particles in the energy range of 10 MeV/ n-100 GeV/n. GCR energy spectra were obtained using the DLR model<sup>25</sup>. The solar modulation parameter *W* was set to 7.45, which is the average value during the KGRS observation period. The neutron and gamma-ray fluxes emitted from a central 14 m × 14 m area were collected and converted to ambient doses using the conversion coefficients from ICRU report 95 (Fig. 1)<sup>26</sup>. The contributions of natural radioactive elements and their progeny nuclei were calculated separately, assuming that the nuclides <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>238</sup>U were uniformly distributed in the top 1.5 m of the lunar surface model. The natural gamma-ray flux and ambient dose were determined as described above. Figure 2 shows the neutron and gamma-ray spectra calculated using the composition of the Apollo 16 returned sample.

Table 2 shows the calculated ambient doses of neutrons and gamma-rays induced by the GCR H, He, and heavier ions (Li–Ni), based on the reference Apollo 16 sample composition. The GCR H and He contributed  $\sim$  94% of the total ambient dose for both neutrons and gamma-rays. Thus, we derived the total ambient dose due to GCR particles by multiplying the ambient dose due to GCR H and He by a factor of 1.06 to consider heavy ion contributions for the other sample compositions.

	Weight fraction					
Element	A11	A12	A14	A16	L20	FHT
0	$4.21 \times 10^{-1}$	$4.26 \times 10^{-1}$	$4.43 \times 10^{-1}$	$4.51 \times 10^{-1}$	$4.42 \times 10^{-1}$	$4.53 \times 10^{-1}$
Na	$3.26 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.41 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.19 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.41 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.60 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.60 \times 10^{-3}$
Mg	$4.76 \times 10^{-2}$	$6.15 \times 10^{-2}$	$5.67 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.62 \times 10^{-2}$	$5.73 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.26 \times 10^{-2}$
Al	$7.14 \times 10^{-2}$	$6.67 \times 10^{-2}$	$9.21 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.41 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.21 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.49 \times 10^{-1}$
Si	$1.96 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.15 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.23 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.10 \times 10^{1}$	$2.11 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.09 \times 10^{-1}$
Р	$4.36 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.31 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.14 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.24 \times 10^{-4}$	$5.24 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.18 \times 10^{4}$
S	$1.10 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.00 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.00 \times 10^{3}$	$7.00 \times 10^{-4}$	-	-
К	$1.16 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.99 \times 10^{-3}$	$4.32 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.00 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.81 \times 10^{4}$	$2.24 \times 10^{-4}$
Ca	$8.58 \times 10^{-2}$	$7.36 \times 10^{-2}$	$7.79 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.09 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.03 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.16 \times 10^{-1}$
Ti	$4.50 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.62 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.02 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.54 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.94 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.32 \times 10^{-3}$
Cr	$2.05 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.60 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.37 \times 10^{-3}$	$7.59 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.30 \times 10^{-3}$	$6.57 \times 10^{-4}$
Mn	$1.63 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.63 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.08 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.42 \times 10^{-4}$	$8.52 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.88 \times 10^{-4}$
Fe	$1.23 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.28 \times 10^{-1}$	$8.16 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.23 \times 10^{-2}$	$5.67 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.42 \times 10^{-2}$
Sm	$1.30 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.60 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.00 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.00 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.10 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.10 \times 10^{-6}$
Eu	$1.77 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.75 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.50 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.20 \times 10^{-6}$	$9.10 \times 10^{-7}$	$7.80 \times 10^{-7}$
Gd	$1.70 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.00 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.50 \times 10^{-5}$	$8.00 \times 10^{-6}$	$4.00 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.30 \times 10^{-6}$
Th	$2.00 \times 10^{-6}$	$5.80 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.30 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.20 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.30 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.70 \times 10^{-7}$
U	$5.10 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.50 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.50 \times 10^{-6}$	$6.20 \times 10^{-7}$	$3.30 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.60 \times 10^{-7}$

**Table 1.** The elemental compositions of Apollo (A) and Luna (L) returned samples, and FHT<sup>22,23</sup>. The numbers following the A or L denote their mission numbers, e.g., A11 means the Apollo 11 sample. The same numbering was employed in the following figures and tables.



Figure 1. The ambient dose conversion coefficients for neutrons and gamma-rays<sup>26</sup>.



**Figure 2.** The calculated neutron and gamma-ray energy spectra for the Apollo 16 returned sample composition.

	Calculated ambient dose (mSv/year)		
	Neutrons	Gamma-rays	
GCR H	48.7	2.70	
GCR He	10.9	0.52	
GCR Li-Ni	3.81	0.19	
Total	63.4	3.42	



**Kaguya gamma-ray spectrometer measurement.** Kaguya (SELENE) is a Japanese lunar orbiter<sup>27</sup>, and the KGRS was observed for approximately 180 days between December 2007 and May 2009, acquiring gamma-rays from the lunar surface. The KGRS consisted of high-purity germanium (HPGe) as the main detector and a BGO anticoincidence scintillator. We employed the KGRS dataset obtained from low-altitude observations at 50 km from February 10 to May 28,  $2009^{28}$ . At this altitude, the spatial resolution of KGRS was 67 km × 67 km on the lunar surface. Figure 3 shows a gamma-ray energy spectrum measured by the KGRS in the energy range between 0.15 and 13 MeV for the whole surface. To obtain the regional variation in the gamma-ray energy spectrum, gamma-ray counts were accumulated every  $2^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$  in latitude and longitude using the moving average method in a window radius of 150 km.

Although Kaguya was not equipped with a neutron spectrometer, Hareyama et al.<sup>29</sup> successfully obtained the global distribution of the fast neutron flux using specific gamma-ray peaks of KGRS, which were induced



**Figure 3.** The gamma-ray energy spectrum observed by the KGRS for the entire Moon<sup>28</sup>. Some major gamma-ray peaks are labeled.

by inelastic scattering between fast neutrons and germanium nuclei. We employed the reported distribution of the fast neutron flux.

The relative gamma-ray energy deposit distribution was derived by integrating the product of the count rates  $C_i$  and energy  $E_i$  at each channel *i*:

$$\sum C_i \times E_i.$$
 (1)

#### Results

The calculated ambient doses, KGRS-measured relative fast neutron flux and gamma-ray energy deposition rate for the selected sampling sites are summarized in Table 3. Figure 4 show the relationship between the calculated ambient doses and the KGRS-measured relative fast neutron flux and gamma-ray energy deposition rate. The following linear correlations were obtained using least squares fitting:

$$y (mSv/year) = 35.3x + 28.1$$
(Neutron) (2)

$$y (mSv/year) = 1.89x + 1.55, (Gamma-ray)$$
 (3)

Although some data points did not fall on the linear correlations, this is likely due to differences between the calculations and KGRS measurements, such as energy ranges, uncertainty in the calculation physics model, and relatively large KGRS spatial resolution (67 km × 67 km). Nevertheless, good correlations were observed between the calculations and KGRS measurements for neutrons and gamma-rays, with linear correlation coefficients of 0.90 for neutrons and 0.87 for gamma-rays. The global ambient dose distributions of neutrons and gamma-rays on the lunar surface (Fig. 5) were derived using Eqs. (2) and (3).

Table 4 presents the differences in neutron and gamma-ray ambient doses among several physics models. While the gamma-ray contributions by the GCR protons were similar among the physics models, the other particle contributions varied by 27–45% depending on the models. The variation in the neutron dose caused by the GCR protons was the most significant. The Shielding physics list employed to obtain the above correlation

	Calculated			Measured			
		Gamma-ray (mSv/year)			Relative gamma-ray energy		
Sampling site	Neutron (mSv/year)	Primary GCR	Natural	Sum	Relative fast neutron flux	deposition rate	
A11	69.4	3.41	0.074	3.48	1.14	1.04	
A12	67.8	3.38	0.202	3.58	1.10	1.14	
A14	64.8	3.41	0.460	3.87	1.10	1.16	
A16	63.4	3.42	0.082	3.50	1.00	1.01	
L20	63.6	3.40	0.048	3.45	1.01	1.02	
FHT	63.0	3.41	0.017	3.43	0.98	0.98	

**Table 3.** The calculated ambient doses, measured relative neutron  $flux^{29}$ , and gamma-ray energy deposition rate at the sampling sites. The calculated gamma-ray ambient doses were divided into two components, the secondary gamma-rays induced by the GCR interactions and those emitted from the natural radioactive decays.



**Figure 4.** Relationship between the calculated ambient doses and the KGRS-measured (**a**) relative fast neutron flux and (**b**) relative gamma-ray energy deposition rate.



Figure 5. The (a) neutron and (b) gamma-ray ambient dose distributions on the entire lunar surface.

provided the most significant ambient dose of GCR H and a small ambient dose of GCR He. This dependence may provide additional uncertainty to the results depending on the calculation models.

#### Discussion

The neutron and gamma-ray ambient doses varied in the ranges of 58.7-71.5 mSv/year and 3.33-3.76 mSv/year, respectively. The variations through the entire Moon were ~ 18% for neutron and ~ 12% for gamma-ray while the total errors in the relative neutron fluxes and gamma-ray energy deposition measured by KGRS were ~  $3\%^{29}$  and < 1%, respectively. Thus, the dose variations depending on the lunar surface geological aspects are significantly

	Calculated ambient dose (mSv/year)					
	Neutrons			Gamma-rays		
Physics lists	GCR H	GCR He	Sum	GCR H	GCR He	Sum
Shielding	48.7	10.9	59.6	2.70	0.52	3.22
FTFP_BERT_HP	47.9	13.4	61.3	2.68	0.71	3.39
QGSP_BERT_HP	46.6	13.3	59.9	2.69	0.72	3.41
QGSP_BIC_HP	34.7	11.0	45.8	2.66	0.71	3.36
QGSP_INCLXX_HP	33.5	10.5	44.0	2.71	0.68	3.39
Max/Min	1.45	1.27	1.39	1.02	1.39	1.06

**Table 4.** The calculated ambient doses of neutrons and gamma-rays induced by GCR H, He, and their sum with various Geant4 physics models.

large. Note that our calculations assumed a KGRS observation period during the minimum phase of solar activity. Therefore, these dose rates represented the worst cases in the solar cycle. Figure 5 a and b provide the specific dose distributions depending on the geological features, whereas the previous measurements and calculations were limited to a specific location or an average on the Moon<sup>3,10,14,30</sup>. The mean neutron dose rates were 20–30% higher than those in previous calculations<sup>3,10</sup>. This was attributed to differences in the dosimetric definitions employed. The ambient dose was newly defined by ICRP and ICRU as the maximum conversion coefficient of the effective dose under various irradiation conditions<sup>26</sup>.

The neutron and gamma-ray dose distributions were consistent with the regional variation of the surface elements obtained from previous lunar gamma-ray measurements<sup>31–38</sup>. Iron and titanium<sup>31–33</sup>, which are rich in basaltic mare materials, distribute similar to neutron doses. Generally, nuclear fragmentation between the GCR particles and lunar surface materials is the major reaction that produces neutrons on the Moon. The fragmentation cross-section increases by a factor of  $A^{2/3}$ , where *A* is the atomic mass of the material<sup>39</sup>. In addition, particle interactions with heavier nuclei produce larger numbers of secondary particles. Thus, the fast neutron distribution depends on the average atomic mass<sup>40</sup>. Since iron and titanium are major heavy elements in lunar materials, the neutron dose distribution was similar to their ones. Geological maps of the natural radioactive elements potassium, thorium, and uranium<sup>31,34–38</sup> are similar to those of the gamma-ray dose map. While the dose due to GCR secondary gamma-rays was almost constant at ~ 3.4 mSv/year among the lunar samples, the natural radioactive gamma-ray doses on the lunar surface. The contribution of GCR secondary gamma-rays sufficiently occupies the lunar gamma-ray ambient dose, whereas regional variation is attributed to natural radioactive elements.

A dose rate of ~ 500 mSv/year due to primary GCR particles was reported in the solar minimum by a lunar orbiter and lander<sup>14,30</sup>. Our results imply that lunar secondary doses provide an additional dose of 12–15% of the primary GCR dose, depending on the lunar region. The absorbed dose rate due to neutral particles (including gamma-rays) was measured to be ~ 27 mGy/year in silicon (corresponding to 36 mGy/year in water with a conversion factor of 1.33)<sup>14</sup> without any dosimetric weighting factors. The mean radiation weighting factor for our calculated neutron doses was 8.2–8.8 for the selected sampling sites. When we simply divide the ambient dose rate by the mean radiation weighting factor, the absorbed dose rate is estimated as 6.90–8.41 mGy/year for neutrons. Even though there are contributions from the gamma-ray absorbed dose, this value is much smaller than the measurement by a factor of ~ 4–5. Comparison of neutron doses between our evaluation and the Chang'E-4 measurement is summarized in Table 5. This may imply that there is still a disparity in the neutron measurements and calculations owing to the differences in detection media and evaluation methods, because the previous lunar neutron calculations also provided smaller neutron dose levels<sup>3,10</sup>. The dose contribution of GCR secondary particles should be considered for the space crew's career dose limit. Strategic radiation protection for secondary particles, in addition to primary GCR particles, is required for future crewed lunar and planetary missions.

The mean annual dose by natural terrestrial sources on the Earth is ~0.48 mSv/year with a typical range of 0.3–1 mSv/year<sup>41</sup>. The mean natural source exposure on the Moon (~0.037 mSv/year) is much lower than that on Earth by a factor of 10. In fact, previous lunar missions have reported differences in the abundance of natural radioactive nuclides on the Earth and Moon surfaces<sup>31,36,37,41</sup> despite the belief that the Moon originates from Earth<sup>42</sup>. One possible explanation is that the igneous activity of the Earth transports incompatible natural radioactive nuclides to the surface, whereas lunar activity is already inactive. The lunar terrestrial dose level is

	Chang'E-4	This work	
Materials	In silicon	In water (conversion factor; 1.33)	In human tissue
Radiation weighting factor	n.d	n.d	8.2-8.8
Absorbed dose (mGy/year)	~ 27	~ 36	6.90-8.41
Ambient dose	-	-	58.7-71.5

Table 5. Comparison of lunar neutron doses between our evaluation and the Chang'E-4 measurement<sup>14</sup>.

.....

very low and not significantly different from that on Earth. If the GCR exposure can be sufficiently relieved, a similar safe radiation environment can be achieved on the Moon.

#### Conclusion

Global lunar dose distributions of neutrons and gamma-rays were evaluated by combining Monte Carlo simulations with the Kaguya gamma-ray spectrometer dataset. The neutron and gamma-ray doses varied in the range of 58.7–71.5 and 3.33–3.76, respectively, having distributions relating to lunar geologic features. The neutron dose distribution was similar to that of lunar mare materials, which have a large average atomic mass owing to their fast neutron production rates. The gamma-ray dose variation depended on lunar natural radioactive elements, whereas the GCR secondary gamma-ray dose was significant. Although the GCR secondary dose rates were smaller than the primary GCR dose rates, they contributed an additional 12–15% dose depending on the lunar region. These rates will not be negligible for future human space activities. Radiation protection against these secondary particles is also important, as is that against the primary GCR particles. Lunar global dose maps will help identify better locations for long-term stay and suggest radiation protection strategies for future crewed missions.

#### Data availability

The data sets generated in this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. There are no restrictions on data availability.

Received: 12 June 2023; Accepted: 9 August 2023 Published online: 15 August 2023

#### References

- Alan Stern, S. The lunar atmosphere: History, status, current problems, and context. *Rev. Geophys.* 37, 453–491. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/1999RG900005 (1999).
- Dyal, P., Parkin, C. W. & Daily, W. D. Magnetism and the interior of the Moon. *Rev. Geophys.* 12, 568–591. https://doi.org/10.1029/ RG012I004P00568 (1974).
- Naito, M. et al. Radiation dose and its protection in the Moon from galactic cosmic rays and solar energetic particles: At the lunar surface and in a lava tube. J. Radiol. Prot. 40, 947–961. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6498/abb120 (2020).
- Badhwar, G. D. et al. Intercomparison of radiation measurements on STS-63. Radiat. Meas. 26, 901–916. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1350-4487(96)00082-0 (1996).
- Benton, E. R., Benton, E. V. & Frank, A. L. Passive dosimetry aboard the Mir Orbital Station: Internal measurements. *Radiat. Meas.* 35, 439–455. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1350-4487(02)00075-6 (2002).
- Reitz, G. et al. Astronaut's organ doses inferred from measurements in a human phantom outside the international space station. Radiat. Res. 171, 225–235. https://doi.org/10.1667/RR1559.1 (2009).
- Kodaira, S. *et al.* Analysis of radiation dose variations measured by passive dosimeters onboard the International Space Station during the solar quiet period (2007–2008). *Radiat. Meas.* 49, 95–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radmeas.2012.11.020 (2013).
- Berger, T. et al. DOSIS & DOSIS 3D: Long-term dose monitoring onboard the Columbus Laboratory of the International Space Station (ISS). J. Space Weather Space Clim. 6, A39. https://doi.org/10.1051/swsc/2016034 (2016).
- 9. Kodaira, S. *et al.* Space radiation dosimetry at the exposure facility of the international space station for the Tanpopo Mission. *Astrobiology* 21, 12. https://doi.org/10.1089/AST.2020.2427 (2021).
- Slaba, T. C., Blattnig, S. R. & Clowdsley, M. S. Variation in lunar neutron dose estimates. *Radiat. Res.* 176, 827–841. https://doi. org/10.1667/RR2616.1 (2011).
- 11. Slaba, T. C., Mertens, C. J. & Blattnig, S. R. Radiation Shielding Optimization on Mars. NASA/TP 2013-217983 (2013).
- 12. Durante, M. Space radiation protection: Destination Mars. Life Sci. Space Res. 1, 2–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lssr.2014.01.002 (2014).
- Naito, M. et al. Applicability of composite materials for space radiation shielding of spacecraft. Life Sci. Space Res. 31, 71–79. https:// doi.org/10.1016/J.LSSR.2021.08.004 (2021).
- 14. Zhang, S. et al. First measurements of the radiation dose on the lunar surface. Sci. Adv. 6, eaaz1334. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv. aaz1334 (2020).
- Mazur, J. E. et al. New measurements of total ionizing dose in the lunar environment. Space Weather 9, S07002. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2010SW000641 (2011).
- Hassler, D. M. et al. Mars' surface radiation environment measured with the Mars science laboratory's curiosity rover. Science 343, 1244797. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1244797 (2014).
- Hasebe, N. et al. Gamma-ray spectrometer (GRS) for lunar polar orbiter SELENE. Earth Planets Space 60, 299–312. https://doi. org/10.1186/BF03352795 (2008).
- Hasebe, N. et al. First results of high performance ge gamma-ray spectrometer onboard lunar orbiter SELENE (KAGUYA). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 18–25. https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJS.78SA.18 (2009).
- Agostinelli, S. et al. Geant4—A simulation toolkit. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A 506, 250–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0168-9002(03)01368-8 (2003).
- Allison, J. et al. Geant4 developments and applications. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 53, 270–278. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2006. 869826 (2006).
- Allison, J. et al. Recent developments in Geant4. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A 835, 186–225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. nima.2016.06.125 (2016).
- Lucey, P. et al. Understanding the lunar surface and space–Moon interactions. Rev. Mineral. Geochem. 60, 83–219. https://doi.org/ 10.2138/rmg.2006.60.2 (2006).
- Korotev, R. L., Jolliff, B. L., Zeigler, R. A., Gillis, J. J. & Haskin, L. A. Feldspathic lunar meteorites and their implications for compositional remote sensing of the lunar surface and the composition of the lunar crust. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 67, 4895–4923. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2003.08.001 (2003).
- Gillis, J. J., Jolliff, B. L. & Korotev, R. L. Lunar surface geochemistry: Global concentrations of Th, K, and FeO as derived from lunar prospector and Clementine data. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 68, 3791–3805. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2004.03.024 (2004).
- Matthiä, D., Berger, T., Mrigakshi, A. I. & Reitz, G. A ready-to-use galactic cosmic ray model. Adv. Space Res. 51, 329–338. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2012.09.022 (2013).
- 26. ICRU. ICRU Report 95: Operational quantities for external radiation exposure. J. ICRU 20 (2020).

- Kato, M., Sasaki, S. & Takizawa, Y. The Kaguya mission overview. Space Sci. Rev. 154, 3–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-010-9678-3 (2010).
- 28. Kaguya Gamma-Ray Spectrometer Corrected Spectra. PDS Geosci. Node.https://doi.org/10.17189/1517635 (2020).
- Hareyama, M. et al. Estimation method of planetary fast neutron flux by a Ge gamma-ray spectrometer. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A 828, 145–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2016.05.045 (2016).
- Schwadron, N. A. et al. Lunar radiation environment and space weathering from the Cosmic Ray Telescope for the Effects of Radiation (CRaTER). J. Geophys. Res. Planets 117, E00H13. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JE003978 (2012).
- Prettyman, T. H. et al. Elemental composition of the lunar surface: Analysis of gamma ray spectroscopy data from Lunar Prospector. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 111, E12007. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JE002656 (2006).
- Lawrence, D. J. et al. Iron abundances on the lunar surface as measured by the Lunar Prospector gamma-ray and neutron spectrometers. J. Geophys. Res. 107, E1213. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JE001530 (2002).
- 33. Naito, M. *et al.* Iron distribution of the Moon observed by the Kaguya gamma-ray spectrometer: Geological implications for the South Pole-Aitken basin, the Orientale basin, and the Tycho crater. *Icarus* **310**, 21–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2017.12. 005 (2018).
- Lawrence, D. J. et al. Thorium abundances on the lunar surface. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 105, 20307–20331. https://doi.org/10.1029/ 1999JE001177 (2000).
- Lawrence, D. J. et al. Small-area thorium features on the lunar surface. J. Geophys. Res. 108, 5102. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003J E002050 (2003).
- Kobayashi, S. *et al.* Determining the absolute abundances of natural radioactive elements on the lunar surface by the Kaguya gamma-ray spectrometer. Space Sci. Rev. 154, 193–218. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-010-9650-2 (2010).
- Yamashita, N. et al. Uranium on the Moon: Global distribution and U/Th ratio. Geophys. Res. Lett. 37, L10201. https://doi.org/10. 1029/2010GL043061 (2010).
- Naito, M. et al. Potassium and thorium abundances at the South Pole-Aitken Basin obtained by the Kaguya gamma-ray spectrometer. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 124, 2347–2358. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JE005935 (2019).
- Bradt, H. L. & Peters, B. The heavy nuclei of the primary cosmic radiation. *Phys. Rev.* 77, 54–70. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev. 77.54 (1950).
- Gasnault, O. et al. Composition from fast neutrons: Application to the Moon. Geophys. Res. Lett. 28, 3797–3800. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2001GL013072 (2001).
- 41. UNSCEAR. Sources and effects of ionizing radiation. UNSCEAR 2008 Report Vol. 1 (United Nations Publication, 2010).
- Warren, P. H. The magma ocean concept and lunar evolution. Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 13, 201–240. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.ea.13.050185.001221 (1985).

#### Author contributions

S.K. designed and organized the study. H.K. conducted the Monte Carlo calculations. M.N. analyzed the Kaguya dataset. All authors discussed the results and drafted and reviewed the manuscript. M.N. and H.K. contributed equally to this work.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

### Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023