



OPEN

GlobalUsefulNativeTrees, a database documenting 14,014 tree species, supports synergies between biodiversity recovery and local livelihoods in landscape restoration

Roeland Kindt¹✉, Lars Graudal^{1,2}, Jens-Peter B. Lillesø², Fabio Pedercini², Paul Smith³ & Ramni Jamnadass¹

Tree planting has the potential to improve the livelihoods of millions of people as well as to support environmental services such as biodiversity conservation. Planting however needs to be executed wisely if benefits are to be achieved. We have developed the GlobalUsefulNativeTrees (GlobUNT) database to directly support the principles advocated by the ‘golden rules for reforestation’, including planting tree mixtures that maximize the benefits to local livelihoods and the diversity of native trees. Developed primarily by combining data from GlobalTreeSearch with the World Checklist of Useful Plant Species (WCUPS), GlobUNT includes 14,014 tree species that can be filtered for ten major use categories, across 242 countries and territories. The 14,014 species represent roughly a quarter of the tree species from GlobalTreeSearch and a third of the plant species from WCUPS. GlobUNT includes over 8000 species used as materials (9261 species; 68.4% of the total in WCUPS for that use category) or medicines (8283; 31.1%), over 2000 species with environmental uses (3317; 36.9%), used as human food (3310; 47.0%) or fuel (2162; 85.5%), over 1000 species used as gene sources (1552; 29.8%), animal food (1494; 33.7%), social uses (1396; 53.8%) or poisons (1109; 36.8%), and 712 species (68.4%) as insect food.

Native trees are the building blocks of many terrestrial ecosystems where they protect biodiversity and are important carbon sequesters that mitigate climate change^{1–3}. They also provide a wide range of socio-economic benefits to billions of people⁴ that include being important sources of nutrient-rich foods that support healthy diets^{5–7}. Agroforestry systems – integration of trees in farming systems⁸—are recognised as an important and relatively low-cost restoration mechanism which can help meet massive current forest landscape restoration targets (<https://www.bonnchallenge.org>) and benefit hundreds of millions of people^{9,10}.

However, large-scale tree planting initiatives are prone to fail if not planned and executed wisely^{8,11,12}. Tree species to be planted should be selected carefully, for example to avoid the biosafety risks associated with promoting invasive species^{13,14}. At the same time, trees planted should contribute to local livelihoods and biodiversity—not just carbon sequestration, as has often been the dominant consideration of the past¹⁵.

A fundamental principle to selecting and delivering tree portfolios essential for successful forest landscape restoration is to consider more specifically the uses of tree species. Significant win–win opportunities exist for driving forest landscape restoration adoption and improving local communities’ livelihoods if proper consideration is given to the uses of the trees to be planted. Careful reference to tree uses that meet specific local needs is an important incentive for community involvement in restoration activities^{5,16,17}. What is needed especially is a knowledge of the uses of native tree species, whose planting and management in restoration activities supports

¹Trees and Forest Genetic Resources, and Biodiversity, World Agroforestry, CIFOR-ICRAF, Nairobi, Kenya. ²Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. ³Botanic Gardens Conservation International, Richmond, UK. ✉email: R.Kindt@cifor-icraf.org

the twin goals of biodiversity conservation and livelihood improvement, and avoids some of the detrimental impacts of focusing on better-known exotic trees.

Concerns related to the failure of current tree-planting initiatives to deliver diverse benefits to local communities while maximizing rates of biodiversity recovery have recently led to the formulation of the '10 golden rules for reforestation'¹⁸. These principles guide the formulation of a Global Biodiversity Standard (<https://www.biodiversitystandard.org/>), which aims to (a) assess impacts of tree planting programmes on biodiversity, and (b) provide mentoring and support to tree-planting practitioners for better livelihood and biodiversity outcomes. Among these principles, listed as Rule #6, is to 'Select species to maximize biodiversity' specifying that monocultures should be avoided wherever possible. Furthermore, the same principle states that the planting mixtures should (a) maximize the number of native tree species, and (b) exclude invasive species.

Here, we describe the development of the GlobalUsefulNativeTrees (GlobUNT) database to provide diverse mixtures of useful native trees in support of their use in forest landscape restoration. This new database is based primarily on combining information from two sources, the GlobalTreeSearch database¹⁹ and the World Checklist of Useful Plant Species (WCUPS)²⁰. GlobalTreeSearch documents the native country or territory of distribution of all known tree species globally, whereas WCUPS lists over 40,000 plants with their different documented human uses. The resulting GlobUNT database, which includes data for over 14,000 tree species, constitutes the largest available dataset on trees, their uses and their distributions.

Our new database allows users to select diverse assemblages of tree species native to chosen countries and territories that are useful for the provision of ranges of specific products and services. GlobUNT also has a range of extra functionalities, including the ability to generate summary tables of differences in tree species, genus and family richness at subcontinental and continental levels. This information is important for communicating the potential livelihood benefits provided by native tree species to policymakers and practitioners involved in the regional planning that is essential for effective forest landscape restoration. To further explore the potential of GlobUNT for contributing to biodiversity conservation along with livelihood provision, we analysed the subcontinental distributions of endemic and threatened useful tree species, based on data on threat status taken from the Global Tree Assessment^{21,22} (GTA; <https://www.globaltreeassessment.org/>).

Results

Characteristics of the GlobalUsefulNativeTrees database. The GlobalUsefulNativeTrees (GlobUNT) can be accessed via <https://worldagroforestry.org/output/globalusefulnativetrees>. GlobUNT is managed and has been designed to be updated regularly (see discussion) among a wider set of tools available from the CIFOR-ICRAF Global Tree Knowledge Platform (<https://www.worldagroforestry.org/tree-knowledge>). This wider set of tools includes the Agroforestry Species Switchboard²³, an online database that was designed to assist users in finding information for a particular plant species across 50+ information sources and to which each species in GlobUNT has been hyperlinked. GlobUNT is managed also as part of a stepwise methodology named "Climate Appropriate Portfolios of Tree Diversity" that is deployed and field-tested by CIFOR-ICRAF²⁴. GlobUNT is hyperlinked with the GlobalTree Portal²², thereby enabling users to check on the conservation status and actions for filtered species.

At the global level, the richness of useful native tree species (S_u) was 14,014, representing roughly one third (33.7%) of all plant species with documented uses in the World Checklist of Useful Plant Species (WCUPS) and one quarter (24.2%) of all tree species documented by GlobalTreeSearch (Table 1). Only one use category had a global S_u lower than 1000 (Invertebrate Food with 712); this was also the category in the WCUPS with lowest richness overall. Among the 14,014 species in the database, 64 species (0.5%) were listed for all ten use categories. These species were from 56 genera and with five genera that included more than one species (*Cordia*, *Prosopis*, *Tarchonanthus*, *Vachellia* and *Ziziphus*). GlobUNT lists 118 species (0.8%) for nine use categories and

Geography	Area	ALL	AF	EU	FU	GS	HF	IF	MA	ME	PO	SU	GTS % (E1%)
Indonesia	1878	2724	425	553	701	129	833	257	2291	1273	280	571	45.9 (16.3)
Malaysia	329	2115	207	364	356	128	609	121	1765	1074	208	273	39.0 (8.3)
Brazil	8358	1772	107	202	96	100	249	31	1059	1143	70	74	20.2 (9.3)
China	9425	1594	146	551	217	215	381	78	975	1105	156	141	34.5 (12.6)
India	2973	1591	232	409	293	143	466	106	1147	1290	211	229	60.7 (25.3)
Thailand	511	1478	183	338	288	99	464	91	1165	1030	193	214	57.1 (3.7)
Papua New Guinea	453	1361	395	398	634	40	452	242	1136	603	160	540	47.6 (22.4)
Colombia	1110	1342	105	212	98	86	250	39	743	930	75	87	22.6 (2.4)
Congo (DRC)	2267	1228	284	477	466	367	632	123	942	978	255	277	60.0 (7.8)
Myanmar	653	1226	162	322	232	100	369	71	926	932	170	177	60.5 (6.6)
Global	120,731	14,014	1494	3317	2162	1552	3310	712	9261	8283	1109	1396	24.2 (12.6)
WCUPS %	–	34.8	33.7	36.9	85.5	29.8	47.0	68.4	67.8	31.1	36.8	53.8	–

Table 1. Species richness in GlobalUsefulNativeTrees (GlobUNT) for the ten countries with highest richness overall. Area indicates the area in 1000 km². ALL indicates species richness for all species. AF–SU: See Fig. 1 and main text. GTS % (E1%) shows the percentages of species and country-endemics from the total number of (endemic) species listed in GlobalTreeSearch, see also Fig. 2.

209 (1.5%) for eight. The database contains 6776 species (48.3%) that had only one use category and 2871 species (20.5%) that had two.

At a plant family level, the total number in GlobUNT was 234 (GlobalTreeSearch includes 261 families). The total number of plant genera was 2599. The twenty genera with the highest S_u were *Ficus* (287), *Syzygium* (189), *Diospyros* (184), *Eucalyptus* (155), *Quercus* (117), *Terminalia* (99), *Acacia* (98), *Elaeocarpus* (96), *Garcinia* (96), *Croton* (94), *Prunus* (93), *Coffea* (90), *Pinus* (87), *Salix* (82), *Macaranga* (75), *Dombeya* (74), *Shorea* (74), *Commiphora* (73), *Magnolia* (69) and *Ilex* (67).

The global native distribution of useful tree species by major use categories. At a continental level, tropical Asia had the highest S_u overall with 5177 species (Supplementary Table 1), followed by Africa (3413), Southern America (3158) and temperate Asia (2118). Two continents had S_u below 1000, the Pacific (530) and Europe (299).

At a sub-continental level, S_u varied from 3349 to 4 (Supplementary Table 1). The value was highest overall in Malesia (comprised of Brunei Darussalam, Christmas Island, Cocos Islands, Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore and Timor-Leste) and lowest in Subarctic America (Greenland). S_u was also largest in Malesia for Materials (MA, 2723), Medicines (ME, 1533), Human Food (HF, 958), Fuel (FU, 734), Environmental Uses (EU, 632), Social Uses (SU, 614), Animal Food (AF, 443), Poisons (PO, 322) and Invertebrate Food (IF, 266) (Fig. 1). Indo-China (Cambodia, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Thailand and Viet Nam) ranked second highest for MA (1488). West-Central Tropical Africa (Burundi, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Congo, The Democratic Republic of the Congo [DRC], Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Rwanda and Sao Tomé and Príncipe) ranked highest for S_u in Gene Sources (GS, 476; this category of reported uses includes wild relatives of major crops which may be valuable for breeding programs) and second highest for HF (819) and PO (314). South Tropical Africa (Angola, Malawi, Mozambique, Zambia and Zimbabwe) ranked second highest in S_u for EU (631), GS (438) and AF (405). Papuasia (Papua New Guinea and the Solomon Islands) had the second highest S_u for FU (640), SU (547) and IF (245). The Indian Subcontinent (Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan and Sri Lanka) ranked second for ME (1470). Western South America (the Plurinational State of Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador and Peru) that ranked third overall in S_u (1883) never ranked second or third for separate use categories.

At a country/territory level, the species richness of native trees documented to be useful in GlobUNT (S_u) ranged between 2724 and 1 (Supplementary Table 1). The Malesian countries of Indonesia and Malaysia ranked first and second in overall S_u with 2724 and 2115 species respectively. Indonesia also ranked first for MA (2291), HF (833), FU (701), SU (571), EU (553), AF (425), PO (280) and IF (257). Brazil, the most species-rich country in the GlobalTreeSearch database with 8791 species (Supplementary Table 1) ranked third overall in S_u (1772) and only had the same ranking for ME (1143) and otherwise ranked significantly lower for individual use categories with a highest sixth ranking for MA (1059). Colombia that ranked second in GlobalTreeSearch (5943 species) only ranked eighth for GlobUNT (1342). India ranked first overall in S_u for ME (1290). The DRC ranked first overall in S_u for GS (367) and second highest for HF (632). China ranked second overall in S_u for EU (551, only two species lower than the best-ranked Indonesia) and GS (215).

The global native distribution of endemism and threat status of useful tree species. To further explore the potential of GlobUNT for contributing to biodiversity conservation along with livelihood provision, we analysed the distributions of endemic and threatened useful trees.

When classifying endemism as being native to a single country or territory only, the richness of endemic species (S_{e1}) was largest in the subcontinents of Australia (557, Fig. 2, Supplementary Table 2) and the Western Indian Ocean (British Indian Ocean Territory, Comoros, Madagascar, Mauritius, Mayotte, Réunion and Seychelles, 515), followed by Malesia (513), Brazil (383) and the Indian Subcontinent (316). The two countries with highest S_{e1} were Australia (557) and Madagascar (432), followed by Brazil (383) and Papua New Guinea (287). There were 64 countries where S_u included none of the single-country endemics (the country with the highest number of endemics in GlobalTreeSearch among these was Haiti with 163 species).

When defining endemic species by those useful tree species that were native to one continent only, the species richness of endemic species was largest in Malesia (2502), West-Central Tropical Africa (1547) and Brazil (1541).

The most widely distributed useful tree species across subcontinents were *Dodonaea viscosa* (28 subcontinents, 7 continents), *Ximения americana* (24, 7), *Sophora tomentosa* (23, 7), *Hibiscus tiliaceus* (22, 7), *Pisonia aculeata* (22, 7), *Tephrosia purpurea* (20, 5), *Thespesia populnea* (20, 7), *Suriana maritima* (19, 7), *Avicennia marina* (18, 5), *Trema orientale* (18, 5) and *Vitex trifolia* (18, 6).

Defining useful threatened tree species as those with the IUCN Red List categories of Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN) or Vulnerable (VU) resulted in the subcontinent of Malesia hosting the highest number of threatened species ($S_{THR} = 382$; Fig. 3) with the Western Indian Ocean ranked second (245). These subcontinents showed the same ranking for CR (60 and 55 species, respectively) and EN (111 and 108, respectively). For VU, Malesia ranked first once again (211 species), but the Indian subcontinent ranked second (111).

The Malesian countries of Indonesia and Malaysia contained the highest numbers of threatened species (240 and 219 species, respectively; Supplementary Table 3). Madagascar had the highest number for EN (96). Sri Lanka ranked fourth overall in numbers of threatened species and also had more than twice the number of CR species than the fifth ranked India. Globally, percentages of threatened species were below 17% for the different categories for threatened species, whereas the percentage for LC was 40.4%.




Figure 1. Subcontinental species richness for GlobalUsefulNativeTrees across different use categories. AF, Animal Food. EU, Environmental Uses. FU, Fuel. GS, Gene Sources. HF, Human Food. IF, Invertebrate Food. MA, Materials. ME, Medicines. PO, Poisons. SU, Social Uses (See ²⁰ for definitions of reported uses). Supplementary Table 1 includes data for 242 countries and territories, 42 subcontinents and 8 continents for the 10 use categories. Supplementary Figure 1 provides country boundaries. Map created in R with Equal Earth projection.

Discussion

Many current tree-planting initiatives for forest landscape restoration fail because they do not sufficiently consider the needs of the local communities who plant and tend them. In these situations, the planted trees are neglected and consequently do not survive to maturity. The range of species selected for use in restoration is furthermore limited, with an emphasis on easy-to-propagate exotic species that do not support biodiversity. To help address these concerns, the '10 golden rules for reforestation' were recently developed¹⁸. Among these 'golden rules' there is an emphasis on maximising native tree biodiversity and addressing local community needs to support success.




Figure 2. Subcontinental patterns of endemism for GlobalUsefulNativeTrees. ALL, All species in GlobUNT. E1, Species in GlobUNT native to one country only. NE1, Species in GlobUNT native to two countries or more. E2, Species in GlobUNT native to one continent only. NE2, Species in GlobUNT native to two continents or more. ALL %-NE %, Richness of left-hand panel expressed as percentages from the total number of species in GlobalTreeSearch in the same category. Supplementary Table 2 includes data on endemism for 242 countries and territories, 42 subcontinents and 8 continents. Supplementary Figure 2 provides country boundaries. Map created in R with Equal Earth projection.

Our compilation of data primarily from GlobalTreeSearch and WCUPS (see methods on selected species and sources of information on tree uses) indicates that almost a quarter of all known trees have been assigned specific uses and that nearly a third of all useful plants are trees. These statistics highlight both the potential and limitations of focusing on useful tree species within global tree conservation schemes. While underscoring the need for in situ conservation of tree species without known uses, the GlobUNT illustrates the scope for conservation-through-use of tree species with demonstrable value to humans.

Even if we focus solely on country endemics (12.6% of species represented in GlobUNT) or threatened species (12.9% listed by GlobUNT), the biodiversity conservation potential is not insignificant with over 4000 and




Figure 3. Subcontinental patterns of threatened useful tree species for GlobalUsefulNativeTrees. CR, Critically Endangered species. EN, Endangered species. VU, Vulnerable species. NT, Near Threatened species. LC, Species of Least Concern. CR %-LC %, Richness of left-hand panel expressed as percentages from the total number of species in GlobalTreeSearch in the same category. Supplementary Table 3 includes data on threats for 242 countries and territories, 42 subcontinents and 8 continents. Supplementary Figure 3 provides country boundaries. Map created in R with Equal Earth projection.

1700 species respectively representing win:win opportunities for human use and biodiversity conservation. It is possible to select planting mixtures from GlobUNT that satisfy the criteria of the 'golden rules' of favouring native species and also including endemic and threatened species (GlobUNT further includes hyperlinks to the GlobalTree Portal from where information is available on published IUCN Red List data and those of regional or national assessments as documented in the ThreatSearch database). At the same time, the selected assemblages will provide useful products and services to local communities. Furthermore, the database can allow for prioritizing based on desired services. However, a trade-off does exist between the number of species grown in landscape mosaics and their viable population sizes, and therefore tree densities should not drop below thresholds that prevent their long term survival or enable connectivity²⁵.

GlobUNT is not the only database that allows selections of useful trees (overviews are provided elsewhere^{26,27} and country-specific manuals and tools also exist (see for example the RELMA-ICRAF Useful Trees series available via <http://apps.worldagroforestry.org/usefultrees/index.php>). However, when comparing the database with a selection of 27 databases with species-specific details for tree species that are available from the Agroforestry Species Switchboard²³, GlobUNT was the database that included the highest number of useful tree species (Supplementary Table 4). When considering all species listed in GlobalTreeSearch, only the obvious WCUPS, the Useful Tropical Plants Database²⁸ (57.8%) and wood density data available from the BIOMASS package²⁹ (51.0%) included more than half of the species found in GlobUNT. In addition to these databases, only the World Economic Plants in GRIN³⁰ (27.1%) and the Plant Resources of South East Asia³¹ (24.6%) had close to or more than a quarter of species listed.

GlobUNT lists over 1000 species for potential use in 18 countries and over 300 species in 78 countries. For countries that have made pledges to bring degraded and deforested landscapes into restoration in response to the Bonn Challenge (<https://www.bonnchallenge.org/pledges>), GlobUNT provides 100 species or more for every country from Africa, tropical Asia, Northern America and Southern America except for Chile (Supplementary Table 5; combined, these 52 countries have pledged over 200 million ha).

Where GlobUNT lists 100 species or more, during the planning of tree planting projects it will be necessary to select subsets of species suitable for the planting sites and for the desired uses (conceptually such selection could be thought of as a local ecological filter selecting species from a regional species pool^{32,33}). Filtering species for uses can be done directly within GlobUNT by selecting the desired use categories. As described similarly in the 'golden rules' guidelines, country-specific checklists of native species can be used by local specialists to select a subset of species that is most suitable for a particular project site, for example by considering results from previous planting experiments³⁴. Since GlobUNT was developed in parallel with the previously mentioned *Switchboard*, specialists can readily access data from a large number of databases since this database provides verified hyperlinks to taxon-specific information across 45 information sources.

Malesia, Indonesia and Malaysia frequently obtained top rankings in the richness of useful species results. Brazil, the subcontinent and country of highest species richness in GlobalTreeSearch and the country of second-highest area coverage in Table 1, only got the third highest ranking overall and otherwise ranked lower except for the number of medicinal species and country-endemic species. The country did not feature among the countries with highest rankings for useful and threatened tree species. We can only speculate why this is the case, but possibly the inclusion of more regional sources from the continents of Africa and tropical Asia within WCUPS could have biased species composition in WCUPS and subsequently in GlobUNT away from Brazil and Southern America. As we aim to update GlobUNT regularly by incorporating future updates of the GlobalTreeSearch, WCUPS, Switchboard and taxonomic backbone databases, it is possible that some biases could be reduced via a newer version of WCUPS.

In large countries that include several ecoregions (e.g., according to the *Ecoregions 2017*³⁵), it will be important as well to select species that match species assemblages of the natural vegetation. Knowledge of native floras (many available online as for example via the World Flora Online website³⁶ or information from vegetation atlases (e.g., <http://www.vegetationmap4africa.org>) can be of help here. Similarly, where seed zonation maps have been developed (e.g.,³⁷), or habitat distribution maps are available for individual tree species (e.g.,^{38–41}) these would be directly relevant in selecting species that match the environmental conditions of planting sites and future climatic conditions. Recognizing the benefit of subnational levels for larger countries, in a first revision of GlobUNT (version 2023.01) we expanded the database with 188 subnational levels for 33 countries including the United States (52 levels), the Russian Federation (22), Canada (13), China (9), Australia (7), Mexico (7), India (6) and Brazil (5). In one of the next updates of GlobUNT, we plan to include environmental filters that employ known environmental ranges of tree species documented in the TreeGOER database⁴². As the environmental variables of TreeGOER include bioclimatic variables, users would be able to match species to future climatic conditions of the planting sites (see also^{38,43}).

We want to underscore that our vision about usage of GlobUNT is not that the database is primarily used from a remote office as the principal method of deciding which species should be planted. On the contrary, tree planting projects wanting to avoid failure should, in addition to the 'golden rules'¹⁸, also consider people-centred factors^{16,44}. Previous recommendations for participatory selection remain highly valid, as recently repeated by^{45–47}. However, for any country where a project aims to implement tree planting schemes that aspire to maximise native tree biodiversity while addressing local community needs, GlobUNT will be a user-friendly source for practical information.

Methods

Species selection and distribution. The GlobalUsefulNativeTrees (GlobUNT, version 2023.01) database includes 14,014 species (the complete species list is archived at <https://zenodo.org/record/7994433>). The identities of 13,947 species (99.5%) were obtained by matching species listed by GlobalTreeSearch^{19,48} (accessed on 8th May 2022 for individual countries) with those listed in the World Checklist of Useful Plant Species²⁰ (WCUPS) by protocols documented below. Also included in GlobUNT were 62 species that were included in the WCUPS but not in the GlobalTreeSearch, but that otherwise had been included among 830 tree species prioritized for planting in the tropics and subtropics⁴⁹ (Top-830), that were listed in the Agroforestry database⁵⁰ (AFD) or listed within a selection of tree, bamboo and rattan species that are most widely planted in the tropics and subtropics⁵¹. Details about these species are provided in Supplementary Table 6.

Four more species were added (*Acacia cincinnata*, *A. pachycarpa*, *Shorea javanica* and *Toona ciliata*) from GlobalTreeSearch and the Top-830 or AFD, which were species that were not listed in the WCUPS. Further added was the one species (*Cratylia argentea*) remaining from the Top-830, a species not listed either in the WCUPS or

GlobalTreeSearch. Uses for these five species were inferred from the AFD or the World Economic Plants from the USDA GRIN database³⁰ (<https://npgsweb.ars-grin.gov/gringlobal/taxon/taxonomysearchwep> accessed June 2022) and then matched with the use categories of the WCUPS.

Our reason for adding these 67 species was to offer a wider suite of useful woody species to users of GlobUNT, such as widely planted bamboo and rattan species. Users that wish to exclude the additional species and restrict to those included in GlobalTreeSearch can do so in GlobUNT via specific query options. The additional species were also given a different format in the species lists shown in the database. All the additional species have a taxonomic status of 'ACCEPTED' in World Flora Online³⁶ (WFO), except for the unchecked *Citrus bergamia* uniquely identified as wfo-0000748570 in WFO.

For the additional species not listed in GlobalTreeSearch, the native distribution was obtained from Plants of the World Online (<https://powo.science.kew.org>; accessed in May and June 2022). For all other 13,951 species, country distributions were obtained by combining the individual country lists from GlobalTreeSearch.

Species matching. Species matching between species listed in GlobalTreeSearch and the WCUPS was done after preparing standardized lists of species names for the 2022 version of the Agroforestry Species Switchboard²³. Standardization of nomenclatures for the *Switchboard* was achieved via the WorldFlora R package⁵² (version 1.10) by matching names to World Flora Online³⁶ (version 2021.12 downloaded from <http://www.worldfloraonline.org/downloadData>) and, for species that were not matched to WFO, to the World Checklist of Vascular Plants⁵³ (WCVP; version 8 downloaded from <http://sftp.kew.org/pub/data-repositories/WCVP/>).

Matching was thus done separately between GlobalTreeSearch and the *Switchboard* and between the WCUPS and the *Switchboard*, therefore using the master list of standardized names from the *Switchboard* as taxonomic backbone data. This allowed for a straightforward process of including hyperlinks for every species of GlobUNT to the *Switchboard*.

GlobUNT provides information on the type of taxonomic matches for each species, allowing users to verify the credibility of the matches, for example by visually inspecting the similarity in spellings and naming authorities. As hyperlinks are also provided to the matched species names in WFO or WCVP, users can further check for possible changes in taxonomy with the current online versions of WFO and WCVP.

GlobUNT differentiates between six types of taxonomic matches, including (a) direct matches; (b) manual matches; (c) direct matches via WCVP; (d) manual matches via WCVP; (e) direct matches via POWO; and (f) manual matches via POWO.

A 'direct match' indicates that the exact name was matched between two species lists. For the 14,014 species listed in GlobUNT, 13,875 were directly matched with the *Switchboard*. A 'manual match' indicates that a fuzzy match (a match with Levenshtein Distance > 0; see⁵² for details) was accepted after visual inspection. There were 129 of such GlobUNT-*Switchboard* matches. Matches of 'direct via WCVP' or 'manual via WCVP' indicate that the species was first matched with a synonym in the WCVP, where the accepted name for the species identified by WCVP was also listed in WFO. There were ten GlobUNT-*Switchboard* matches of these types, including three manual ones.

For the 14,009 species in GlobUNT where information was obtained from WCUPS, there were 13,856 direct matches, 138 manual matches and one 'manual via WCVP' match.

Matches of 'direct via POWO' or 'manual via POWO' indicate that matching was done via a synonym listed in the Plants of the World Online; 14 of these matches were done, including only one 'manual via POWO' match. All 'matches via POWO' were done for the WCUPS and for 13 of these, these matches were for the additional species that were not listed in GlobalTreeSearch (additional Table 6; the one exception was *Cupressus lusitanica* matched with *Hesperocyparis lusitanica* in WCUPS).

Threat status. Information on threat status of individual tree species have been collated through the ongoing Global Tree Assessment²¹ (GTA; <https://www.globaltreeassessment.org/>) and were obtained from the GlobalTree Portal²² (<https://www.bgci.org/resources/bgci-databases/globaltree-portal/> accessed on 28th May 2022) by downloading lists for each country. The GTA assigned tree species to the IUCN Red List categories of Extinct, Critically Endangered, Endangered, Vulnerable, Near Threatened, Least Concern, Data Deficient and Not Evaluated. We verified that a unique Red List category had been assigned to species that occur in more than one country.

Mapping. Countries and territories were allocated to continents and 'subcontinents' based on their hierarchical structure within the second edition of the World Geographical Scheme for Recording Plant Distributions⁵⁴ (WGSRPD). This scheme was modified for GlobUNT by:

- (a) Cape Verde and Saint Helena, Ascension and Tristan da Cunha were assigned to a newly created subcontinental level for Africa of 'Atlantic Ocean';
- (b) Turkey was assigned to Western Asia only ('Turkey-in-Europe' was thus ignored); and
- (c) The Russian Federation was included as a subcontinental level both for Europe and temperate Asia.

Furthermore, the United States Minor Outlying Islands were assigned to different levels within the Pacific based on assignments of individual islands of Johnston I., Midway I., Palmyra I. and Wake I.

Maps were created via the ggplot2⁵⁵ (version 3.3.6) and sf⁵⁶ (version 1.0-8) R packages using R⁵⁷ (version 4.2.1). Country boundaries were obtained from a Natural Earth 'admin_0' vector layer at 1:110 million scale downloaded as a shapefile on 25th September 2022 from <https://www.naturalearthdata.com/downloads/110m-cultural-vecto>

rs/. The shapefile was processed in QGIS⁵⁸ (version 3.22.11) to split the multipolygon for France into separate polygons for France and French Guyana, and to split the multipolygon for Norway into separate polygons for Norway and Svalbard and Jan Mayen. Further processing was also done to include Kosovo into Serbia, to merge Somalia with Somaliland and to merge polygons for Cyprus. These splits and merges were required to match the country and territory distribution employed by GlobalTreeSearch.

Calculations of species richness at different levels of geographical aggregation. Species richness at global, continental, subcontinental and country levels were calculated via the *dplyr* R package⁵⁹ (version 1.0.10). Internally in the database, the same package is used to create summary tables of the distribution of species, genus and family richness at different geographical levels.

Data availability

GlobalUsefulNativeTrees can be accessed from <https://worldagroforestry.org/output/globalusefulnativetrees>. From the shinyapps webserver (<https://patspo.shinyapps.io/GlobalUsefulTrees/>), text files with filtered species can be downloaded that can be analysed further after importing these in spreadsheets or in statistical software such as R. The complete list of tree species included in GlobUNT (currently 14,014) together with taxonomic matching details is archived at Zenodo.org: <https://zenodo.org/record/7994433>.

Received: 6 March 2023; Accepted: 26 July 2023

Published online: 03 August 2023

References

- Zhu, L. *et al.* Regional scalable priorities for national biodiversity and carbon conservation planning in Asia. *Sci. Adv.* **7**, 4eabe4261 (2021).
- Strassburg, B. B. N. *et al.* Global priority areas for ecosystem restoration. *Nature* **586**, 724–729 (2020).
- Keppel, G. *et al.* Synthesizing tree biodiversity data to understand global patterns and processes of vegetation. *J. Veg. Sci.* **32**, e13021 (2021).
- Rivers, M., Newton, A. C., Oldfield, S., & Global Tree Assessment Contributors. Scientists' warning to humanity on tree extinctions. *Plants People Planet* **5**, 466–482 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp3.10314>.
- Ickowitz, A. *et al.* Transforming food systems with trees and forests. *Lancet Planet Health* **6**, e632–e639 (2022).
- Rasolofson, R. A., Hanauer, M. M., Pappinen, A., Fisher, B. & Ricketts, T. H. Impacts of forests on children's diet in rural areas across 27 developing countries. *Sci. Adv.* **4**, eaat2853 (2018).
- McMullin, S. *et al.* Developing fruit tree portfolios that link agriculture more effectively with nutrition and health: a new approach for providing year-round micronutrients to smallholder farmers. *Food Sec.* **11**, 1355–1372 (2019).
- Edwards, D. P. *et al.* Upscaling tropical restoration to deliver environmental benefits and socially equitable outcomes. *Curr. Biol.* **31**, R1326–R1341 (2021).
- Shyamsundar, P. *et al.* Scaling smallholder tree cover restoration across the tropics. *Glob. Environ. Chang.* **76**, 102591 (2022).
- Maginnis, S. *et al.* Restoration opportunities assessment methodology (ROAM). (2014).
- Brancalion, P. H. S. & Holl, K. D. Guidance for successful tree planting initiatives. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **57**, 2349–2361 (2020).
- Lillesø, J.-P. B., Dawson, I. K., Graudal, L. & Jamnadass, R. Quality seed for tree planting: Supporting more effective agroforestry and forest landscape restoration by learning from crop Integrated Seed System Development. *World Agroforestry | Transforming Lives and Landscapes with Trees* <https://www.worldagroforestry.org/publication/quality-seed-tree-planting-supporting-more-effective-agroforestry-and-forest-landscape> (2021).
- van Kleunen, M. *et al.* The global naturalized alien flora (GloNAF) database. *Ecology* **100**, 1 (2019).
- Weidlich, E. W. A., Flórido, F. G., Sorriani, T. B. & Brancalion, P. H. S. Controlling invasive plant species in ecological restoration: A global review. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **57**, 1806–1817 (2020).
- Rosenstock, T. S. *et al.* A planetary health perspective on agroforestry in Sub-Saharan Africa. *One Earth* **1**, 330–344 (2019).
- Elias, M. *et al.* Ten people-centered rules for socially sustainable ecosystem restoration. *Restoration Ecology* **30**, e13574 (2022).
- Holl, K. D. & Brancalion, P. H. S. Which of the plethora of tree-growing projects to support?. *One Earth* **5**, 452–455 (2022).
- Di Sacco, A. *et al.* Ten golden rules for reforestation to optimize carbon sequestration, biodiversity recovery and livelihood benefits. *Glob. Change Biol.* **27**, 1328–1348 (2021).
- Beech, E., Rivers, M., Oldfield, S. & Smith, P. P. GlobalTreeSearch: The first complete global database of tree species and country distributions. *J. Sustain. For.* **36**, 454–489 (2017).
- Diazgranados, M. *et al.* *World Checklist of Useful Plant Species*. <https://kew.royalbotanicgardens.org/conservation/datasets/7243d727-e28d-419d-a8f7-9ebef5b9e03e?locale=en> (2020).
- BGCI. *State of the World's Trees* (Botanic Gardens Conservation International, 2021).
- BGCI. GlobalTree Portal. *Botanic Gardens Conservation International* <https://www.bgci.org/resources/bgci-databases/globaltree-portal/> (2022).
- Kindt, R. *et al.* Agroforestry Species Switchboard: a synthesis of information sources to support tree research and development activities. Version 3.0. *World Agroforestry | Transforming Lives and Landscapes with Trees* <https://www.worldagroforestry.org/output/agroforestry-species-switchboard-30> (2022).
- Kindt, R. *et al.* Operationalizing Climate Appropriate Portfolios of Tree Diversity. (CIFOR-ICRAF Infobriefs No. 383, 2023).
- Dawson, I. K. *et al.* What is the relevance of smallholders' agroforestry systems for conserving tropical tree species and genetic diversity in *in situ* and *ex situ* settings? A review. *Biodivers. Conserv.* **22**, 301–324 (2013).
- Chazdon, R. L. & Guarigata, M. R. *Decision Support Tools for Forest Landscape Restoration: Current Status and Future Outlook* (Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), 2018).
- Fremout, T. *et al.* Diversity for restoration (D4R): Guiding the selection of tree species and seed sources for climate-resilient restoration of tropical forest landscapes. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **59**, 664–679 (2022).
- Fern, K. Useful tropical plants database. <https://tropical.theferns.info> (2022).
- Réjou-Méchain, M., Tanguy, A., Piponiot, C., Chave, J. & Hérault, B. BIOMASS: An R package for estimating above-ground biomass and its uncertainty in tropical forests. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **8**, 1163–1167 (2017).
- Wiersema, J. & Leon, B. World economic plants from USDA's GRIN database. *World Economic Plants from USDA's GRIN Database* <https://www.ars.usda.gov/research/publications/publication/?seqNo115=101060> (1999).
- PROSEA Foundation. Plant resources of South-East Asia. <https://www.prota4u.org/prosea> (2022).
- Algar, A. C., Kerr, J. T. & Currie, D. J. Quantifying the importance of regional and local filters for community trait structure in tropical and temperate zones. *Ecology* **92**, 903–914 (2011).

33. Arenas-Navarro, M., García-Oliva, F., Torres-Miranda, A., Téllez-Valdés, O. & Oyama, K. Environmental filters determine the distribution of tree species in a threatened biodiversity hotspot in western Mexico. *Bot. Sci.* **98**, 219–237 (2020).
34. Lu, Y. *et al.* Selection of native tree species for subtropical forest restoration in Southwest China. *PLOS ONE* **12**, e0170418 (2017).
35. Dinerstein, E. *et al.* An ecoregion-based approach to protecting half the terrestrial realm. *Bioscience* **67**, 534–545 (2017).
36. Borsch, T. *et al.* World flora online: Placing taxonomists at the heart of a definitive and comprehensive global resource on the world's plants. *Taxon* **69**, 1311–1341 (2020).
37. Pike, C. *et al.* New seed-collection zones for the eastern United States: The eastern seed zone forum. *J. Forest.* **118**, 444–451 (2020).
38. Booth, T. H. Species distribution modelling tools and databases to assist managing forests under climate change. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **430**, 196–203 (2018).
39. de Sousa, K., van Zonneveld, M., Holmgren, M., Kindt, R. & Ordoñez, J. C. The future of coffee and cocoa agroforestry in a warmer Mesoamerica. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 8828 (2019).
40. Fremout, T. *et al.* Mapping tree species vulnerability to multiple threats as a guide to restoration and conservation of tropical dry forests. *Glob. Change Biol.* **26**, 3552–3568 (2020).
41. Kindt, R. *et al.* A climate change atlas for Africa of tree species prioritized for forest landscape restoration in Ethiopia. <http://atlas.worldagroforestry.org/> (2021).
42. Kindt, R. TreeGOER: A database with globally observed environmental ranges for 48,129 tree species. Preprint at <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.05.15.540790> (2023).
43. Booth, T. H. Estimating potential range and hence climatic adaptability in selected tree species. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **366**, 175–183 (2016).
44. Höhl, M. *et al.* Forest landscape restoration—What generates failure and success?. *Forests* **11**, 938 (2020).
45. Gassner, A. & Dobie, P. *Agroforestry: A Primer. Design and Management Principles for People and the Environment* (Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR) and Nairobi: World Agroforestry (ICRAF), 2022).
46. Hilbert, D. R. *et al.* Selecting and assessing underutilized trees for diverse urban forests: A participatory research approach. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* **10**, 759693 (2022).
47. Purnawan, E. I. *et al.* Selection of agroforestry tree-base participatory and ecological approach in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *IOP Conf. Ser: Earth Environ. Sci.* **1053**, 012020 (2022).
48. BGCI. GlobalTreeSearch online database (version 1.6). (2022). <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.34206.61761>.
49. Kindt, R. *et al.* *The One Hundred Tree Species Prioritized for Planting in the Tropics and Subtropics as Indicated by Database Mining*. (Working Paper No. 312, World Agroforestry, 2021).
50. Orwa, C., Mutua, A., Kindt, R., Jamnadass, R. & Simons, A. Agroforestree Database: a tree reference and selection guide version 4.0. <http://apps.worldagroforestry.org/treedb2/> (2009).
51. Pancel, L. Species files in tropical forestry. In *Tropical forestry handbook* (eds Pancel, L. & Köhl, M.) 1–157 (Springer, Berlin, 2014).
52. Kindt, R. WorldFlora: An R package for exact and fuzzy matching of plant names against the World Flora Online taxonomic backbone data. *Appl. Plant. Sci.* **8**, e11388 (2020).
53. Govaerts, R., Nic Lughadha, E., Black, N., Turner, R. & Paton, A. The world checklist of vascular plants, a continuously updated resource for exploring global plant diversity. *Sci. Data* **8**, 215 (2021).
54. Brummitt, R. K. *World geographical scheme for recording plant distributions*. (Hunt Institute for Botanical Documentation, 2001).
55. Wickham, H. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis* (Springer International Publishing, 2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24277-4>.
56. Pebesma, E. Simple features for R: Standardized support for spatial vector data. *R J.* **10**, 439 (2018).
57. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing (2022).
58. QGIS Development Team. QGIS geographic information system (2022).
59. Wickham, H., François, R., Henry, L. & Müller, K. dplyr: A grammar of data manipulation. (2022).

Acknowledgements

We greatly appreciate funding provided by the Darwin Initiative to project DAREX001 of *Developing a Global Biodiversity Standard certification for tree-planting and restoration* and by Norway's International Climate and Forest Initiative through the Royal Norwegian Embassy in Ethiopia to the PATSPO project on *Provision of Adequate Tree Seed Portfolio in Ethiopia*. We thank Ian Dawson for his comments on earlier drafts of the manuscript and we also thank Kirsty Shaw, Katharine Davies and Galena Woodhouse for their useful suggestions on improving earlier drafts of GlobUNT. We also like to thank Rhett Harrison and an anonymous reviewer for their constructive comments and suggestions.

Author contributions

R.K. wrote the main manuscript text while also preparing all the figures and tables. All authors reviewed the manuscript and provided inputs in earlier drafts.

Funding

Funding were provided by Darwin Plus (The Overseas Territories Environment and Climate Fund) (Grant No. DAREX001) and Norway's International Climate and Forest Initiative (Grant No. PATSPO II (Provision of Adequate Tree Seed Portfolios project, second phase)).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-39552-1>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2023