scientific reports

OPEN



Sulphate-reducing bacteria-mediated pyrite formation in the Dachang Tongkeng tin polymetallic deposit, Guangxi, China

Fuju Jia¹, Xiangtong Lei^{2 \boxtimes}, Yongfeng Yan¹, Yaru Su³, Hongjun Zhou³, Honglian Wei⁴, Yuan Yuan³, Chao Zou¹, Xianwen Shi¹ & Ceting Yang¹

Mediation by sulphate-reducing bacteria (SRB) is responsible for pyrite (FeS₂) formation. The origin of the Dachang tin polymetallic ore field is related to the mineralisation of submarine hydrothermal vent sediments. Here, we investigated SRB in these ores via morphological, chemical, and isotopic analyses. Polarised and scanning electron microscopy indicated that trace SRB fossils in the metal sulphide ore were present in the form of tubular, beaded, and coccoidal bodies comprising FeS₂ and were enclosed within a pyrrhotite (FeS) matrix in the vicinity of micro-hydrothermal vents. The carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and oxygen (O) contents in the FeS₂ synthesised by SRB were high, and a clear biological Raman signal was detected. No such signals were discerned in the peripheral FeS. This co-occurrence of FeS, FeS₂, and the remains of bacteria (probably chemoautotrophic bacteria) was interpreted as the coprecipitation process of SRB-mediated FeS₂ formation, which has, to the best of our knowledge, not been reported before. Our study also illustrates that combined energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy, and isotopic analysis can be used as a novel methodology to document microbial-mediated processes of mineral deposition in submarine hydrothermal vent ecology on geological time scales.

Submarine hydrothermal vents are characterised by original materials and environmental conditions hypothesised to be required for abiogenesis and are considered a potential location for the origin of life on Earth¹⁻³. Modern submarine hydrothermal vents often exhibit vibrant biological assemblies^{4–6}. Microbes (e.g., chemoautotrophic bacteria) can acquire the materials and energy needed for biotic activities from hydrothermal vent fluids; other organisms (e.g., tubular worms, bivalves, and arthropods) directly feed on, or co-exist with, microbes, and together constitute submarine hydrothermal vent ecosystems⁵. Submarine hydrothermal vent systems, in addition to their lush animal communities⁶, are also sites for the enrichment of polymetallic sulphide deposits^{7,8}. Because submarine vents are often connected to the deep part of the ocean crust through faults, hot water circulation constantly extracts metal substances from the crust, and metal ions migrate with the hydrothermal fluid to the seabed where they are precipitated. Magnetite, pyrite (FeS₂), chalcopyrite, galena, sphalerite, and other minerals are commonly found in the deposits of submarine hydrothermal vents^{9,10}.

FeS₂ has stable chemical properties in reduced sediments and represents the most abundant sulphide in submarine hydrothermal vent systems^{11,12}. Although the mechanism of sedimentary FeS₂ formation is still a matter of debate, experiments have demonstrated that microorganisms in sediments play a crucial role in the process of sedimentary FeS₂ formation¹³. To clarify the mechanism of FeS₂ synthesis by microbes, numerous scientific experiments have attempted to simulate in-vivo conditions in a laboratory environment to microbially synthesise FeS₂. In previous experiments on biomineralisation by sulphate (SO₄^{2–})-reducing bacteria (SRB), the final product yielded only mackinawite and greigite, not FeS₂, even with the addition of aqueous iron (Fe) or Fe

¹Department of Earth Sciences, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming 650093, Yunnan, China. ²Yunnan Key Laboratory for Paleobiology & MEC International Joint Laboratory for Paleobiology and Paleoenvironment, Institute of Paleontology, Yunnan University, Kunming 650500, China. ³Guangxi 215 Geological Team Co., Ltd., Liuzhou 545006, Guangxi, China. ⁴Guangxi China-Tin Group Tongkeng Co., Ltd., Nandan 547205, Guangxi, China. ^{Sem}email: leixt@mail.ynu.edu.cn

minerals^{14–20}. A breakthrough was not achieved until recent experiments succeeded in forming FeS₂ spheroids in FeS-containing biofilms produced by SRB in the presence of organic compounds^{21–24}. Accordingly, FeS₂ is widely suspected to contain traces of biological activity²¹; however, only a few examples of the preservation of microfossils^{25–30} have been noted, with no documents on the SRB-mediated formation of FeS₂.

The origin of the Dachang tin polymetallic ore field in Guangxi is considered to be related to the mineralisation of submarine vent sediments in the late Devonian^{31–33}. In addition, recent research has shown that the ore-bearing rocks are reef limestones rich in fossils and other organic components³³. This tentatively indicates the presence of microbial traces in the Dachang tin polymetallic sulphide ore. In this study, suspected traces of SRB in polymetallic sulphide samples and compositional data were analysed using a polarising microscope and scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM–EDX). We also used Raman spectroscopy to detect biological spectral signals and analyse the stable isotope compositions of Fe, S, C, and O in SRB-related minerals. We thus infer the living environment of SRB and the potential processes of SRB-mediated FeS₂ formation in Devonian submarine hydrothermal vents systems.

Geological setting

The Dachang tin polymetallic field is a world-renowned non-ferrous metal production area, with large proven resources of tin (Sn; 1.47 million tonnes), Zn (6.80 million tonnes), Pb (1.76 million tonnes), Sb (1.38 million tonnes), and Cu (0.37 million tonnes), as well as associated economically viable and rare elements such as indium (In), cadmium (Cd), and gallium (Ga)^{9,10}. The ore-bearing strata of the deposit are Devonian limestone, reefal limestone, siliceous rock, and shale; exposed Late Cretaceous granite porphyry and diorite porphyrite veins exist in the mining area as well. It has been suggested that the deposit is of hydrothermal or composite origin, derived from Late Cretaceous granite^{34–36}; however, other researchers reported that the formation of the deposit was related to the mineralisation of submarine vent sediments^{31–33}.

Regional geology. The Youjiang Basin, located on the southwest edge of the Yangtze Craton in southwest China, was formed by composite parts of several tectonic units, including the Yangtze, North Vietnam, and Simao blocks. The Dachang Sn polymetallic ore field in Guangxi is located in the far northeast of the Youjiang Basin (Fig. 1a). The Youjiang Basin evolved in two stages: the Hercynian (Devonian–Permian) saw a passive continental margin rift stage, and the Indosinian (Early–Middle Triassic) saw a back-arc rift basin stage. In the Devonian, a NW–SE faulted sub-basin was formed inside the Youjiang Basin, in which the southwest margin of the Yangtze Craton underwent extensional faulting³⁷. In the early Middle Devonian (Nabiao Formation period), reefs developed in the Dachang area with local underwater uplifts. Synsedimentary faults developed in the Dachang area from the Late Devonian Liujiang to Wuzhishan periods, and siliceous rocks and banded limestone were widely deposited³¹. Some studies have shown that there were two stages of submarine hydrothermal vent sedimentation and mineralisation in the Late Devonian Dachang Sn polymetallic ore field. In the first stage, the Yanjiang Formation strata (D3l; Fig. 1b), dominated by banded siliceous rocks, were formed, and the No. 92 cassiterite-sulphide type orebody was produced. In the second stage, the Wuzhishan Formation strata (D3w; Fig. 1b), primarily composed of banded marble and siliceous rocks, was formed, and the No. 91 cassiterite-sulphide type orebody was produced^{31,38,39}.

Ore deposit geology. The ore-bearing strata are dominated by sandstone, shale, and carbonate rocks, with local carbonaceous mudstone and siliceous rocks. The ore field is primarily composed of five Sn polymetallic deposits, namely Tongkeng (Sn–Zn–Pb), Gaofeng (Sn–Zn–Pb), Dafulou (Sn–Zn), Kangma (Sn–Zn), and Huile (Sn–Zn). It is a non-ferrous metal ore field with one of the largest Sn polymetallic reserves in the world⁹. The Tongkeng Sn polymetallic deposit is located in the western part of the Dachang ore field and has the largest non-ferrous metal reserves in this field. The central part of the Tongkeng deposit is interspersed with granitic porphyry dikes (eastern dikes). Zircon U–Pb dating of the eastern dikes gives an age of 91 ± 1 Ma (i.e., Late Cretaceous⁴⁰). The No. 92, No. 91, veinlet zone, and large vein zone orebodies are located to the west of the eastern dikes, whereas the No. 96, No. 95, and No. 94 orebodies are located to the eastern dikes (Fig. 1b).

The No. 92 orebody is characterised by laminar-banded, network-vein, nodular, and a small amount of interlayer vein mineralisation. The main ore minerals are sphalerite and FeS₂; secondary ore minerals are cassiterite, arsenopyrite, and FeS; gangue minerals are primarily quartz, followed by calcite (CaCO₃), tourmaline, and plagioclase.

The No. 91 orebody is primarily composed of laminar-banded and NE-trending jointed vein-like mineralisation. The main ore minerals are cassiterite, marmatite, arsenopyrite, and FeS, followed by FeS₂. The main gangue minerals are quartz and tourmaline, followed by CaCO₃ and potassium feldspar.

The orebody of the veinlet zone primarily consists of veinlet mineralisation with local laminar-banded mineralisation. The main ore minerals are marmatite, FeS_2 , and jamesonite, followed by cassiterite, arsenopyrite, FeS, and franckeite. The main gangue minerals are CaCO₃, quartz, and tourmaline.

The orebody in the large vein zone is mineralised primarily by joint veins. The main ore minerals are marmatite, FeS₂, jamesonite, and franckeite, followed by cassiterite and arsenopyrite.

Results

Mineralogical and geochemical signatures of hydrothermal vent sediments. Polarised microscopy and scanning electron microscopy of well-polished surfaces of the hydrothermal vent sediments showed that FeS_2 formed tubular (Fig. 2a–d,f–g), beaded (Figs. 2h and 3a–b), and coccoidal structures (Figs. 2e and 3c). Hydrothermal vent sediments also exhibited multi-stage mineralisation, in which the FeS_2 -containing filaments and tubes were metasomatised by minerals, including arsenopyrite, sphalerite, and cassiterite, to form



Figure 1. Geotectonic location and ore deposit profile. (a) Geotectonic location of the Dachang Sn polymetallic ore field in Guangxi (Modified from ref.⁴⁰). (b) Geological profile of the Tongkeng Sn polymetallic deposit (Modified from ref.⁴¹). This map was created using Adobe Illustrator 2020.

remnants (Fig. 2c–d). This multi-stage mineralisation indicates that FeS was formed in the earliest metallogenic process and that the formation environment of FeS₂ was related to the Late Devonian mineralisation of submarine hydrothermal vent sediments. Numerous pores were filled with carbonate minerals in both samples (Fig. 2a and c), which may have constituted micro-hydrothermal vents. A similar phenomenon, known as the 'ghosts' of bacterial cells, has been observed in other submarine hydrothermal vent sediments as well as in modern vent settings and is believed to have resulted from bacterial iron accumulation on vestimentiferan tubes^{41–43}.

Microscopic backscatter imaging and elemental analysis (Fig. 3) were performed in areas typical for the presence of SRB. An evident contrast was present in the mineral contents of FeS, FeS₂, and non-metallic minerals in the backscattered electron images (Fig. 3b and c). In the EDX elemental images, areas of FeS showed high Fe and low S values, whereas those of FeS₂ showed low Fe and high S values (Fig. 3d and e). C, N, and O were less present in FeS areas, all of which instead showed high values in areas of FeS₂ and non-metallic minerals (Fig. 3f–h).



Figure 2. Microtextural characteristics of pyrite (FeS₂) and related mineralogy. Tubular, beaded, and spherical SRB traces composed of FeS₂ were distributed within pyrrhotite (FeS). (**a**) Micro-hydrothermal vents, filamentous bodies, and FeS. (**b**) Tubular and spherical bodies, and FeS. The FeS₂-containing filamentous and tubular SRB in (**c**) and (**d**) were metasomatised with arsenopyrite, sphalerite, and cassiterite to form a residual structure. (**e**–**h**) Backscattered electron (BSE) image of FeS₂ and related mineralogy. po = pyrrhotite (FeS); py = pyrite (FeS₂); apy = arsenopyrite; sp = sphalerite; cst = cassiterite. The white arrows in (**a**) and (**c**) indicate micro-hydrothermal vents.



Figure 3. Plane polarised light photomicrograph, SEM–EDX backscatter images, and EDX elemental maps of SRB traces. The analysed elements included S, Fe, C, N, and O. (a) Plane polarised light photomicrograph. (b) and (c) SEM–EDX backscatter images. (d–h) EDX elemental maps of Fe, S, C, N, and O, respectively.

SRB occurrence and morphologies. As observed using microscopy, FeS₂ containing SRB was typically distributed within FeS, with miniature hydrothermal vents serving as the main channel for SRB dispersion. FeS₂ exhibited various morphologies, including tubular (Fig. 2f and g), beaded (Fig. 2h), and coccoidal forms (Figs. 2 and 4a). Among these, a substantial portion of tubular FeS₂ had relatively similar widths of approximately 25 μ m (Fig. 2a–e and g), whereas a small proportion of this type of FeS₂ had narrower widths of approximately 1 μ m (Fig. 4f–h). Tubular FeS₂ structures often connect multiple hydrothermal vents and occasionally display nodular structures at the edges (Fig. 2g and h). Some tubular FeS₂ formations symmetrically develop hemispherical structures on both sides, with radii ranging from 10 to 25 μ m, creating a beaded overall morphology (Fig. 2h). Additionally, some FeS₂ existed as individual spherical particles, with diameters varying greatly from 20 to 50 μ m (Fig. 2e). This variation in size may be attributed to spatial differences in the three-dimensional morphology of FeS₂. Coccoidal and beaded FeS₂ often exhibit distinctive layered structures at the edges (Fig. 4b).

In this study, a 70% nitric acid solution was used to etch the potential FeS₂ regions containing SRB to characterise SRB microstructure. Etched interiors uncovered a substantial presence of spherical and filamentous residues, which were predominantly organic in nature due to the strong corrosive properties of concentrated nitric acid (Fig. 4c-h). Moreover, in the backscattered electron images, these residues exhibited increased contrast in comparison to the FeS₂ particles, further substantiating their association with SRB (Fig. 4c-h). The embedding of spherical SRB fossils (ranging in size from 250 to 450 nm) within the FeS₂ matrix indicates that these spheres were unadulterated by contaminants (Fig. 4d,e). Similar spherical microorganisms have also been found in modern hydrothermal vent environments from the eastern Manus Basin, where they have been observed at the layered periphery of FeS₂, which aligns with the locations in which SRB were found in the Roman Ruins black smokers⁴⁴. Additionally, filamentous SRB fossils exhibiting distinct branching patterns were preserved within a small number of tubular FeS₂ structures after acid etching (Fig. 4f). The diameter of individual filaments averaged approximately 120 nm, and a pronounced curvature could be observed at the endpoints of the filamentous fossils, suggesting that they froze in a phase of outward growth (Fig. 4p).

Raman spectroscopy. Because of being non-destructive, rapid, and convenient, Raman spectroscopy has been extensively used to identify valuable biological remains in sedimentary and metamorphic rocks^{45–49}. The method has also been used as an effective tool for determining the microstructure of suspected biological specimens, potential microfossils, or other substances from various geological periods, especially when assessing their carbonaceous composition^{50–52}.

In this study, Raman spectroscopy analyses were performed on FeS, spheroidal FeS_2 edges, tubular FeS_2 walls, and the inner carbonate minerals of tubular FeS_2 (Fig. 5; Supplementary information). The locations of



Figure 4. Electron images of SRB microstructure. (a) and (b) No nitric acid (HNO₃)–etched FeS₂. (**c**–**h**) 70% HNO₃ etched FeS₂. (**a**) Micro-hydrothermal vents. (**b**) Layered structures at the edges of the FeS₂. (**c**) HNO₃- etched layered structures of the FeS₂ edges. (**d**) and (**e**) Backscattered electron image of spheroidal SRB fossils. (**f**) and (**h**) Backscattered electron image of filamentous SRB.





the analysis points are shown in Fig. 3b and c. The laser Raman spectral characteristics of the different types of minerals clearly differed. Peaks of FeS and FeS₂ primarily occurred in the range of $100-700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Fig. 5a and b), whereas for FeS₂, several peaks were also observed in the range of $1000-1700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, including the D1 and G peaks of carbonaceous material located near 1300 cm^{-1} and 1590 cm^{-1} , respectively (Fig. 5c–g). Among these, the D1 peak is attributed to the presence of incorporated aromatic or benzene clusters, and the G peak is composed of the E2g2 mode of graphite or sp² C=C stretching vibrations⁵³. No discernible D2 peak was observed in the carbon materials associated with SRB, indicating a limited degree of graphitisation⁵⁴. The absence of a D2 peak is directly influenced by the maximum environmental temperature experienced by the carbon materials investigated in this study closely resembled the Raman signals documented in previous studies on kerogen^{55,56}. In the case of these kerogens with relatively low maturity, the D2 peak was frequently insignificant or could not distinctively be separated from the G peak (Fig. 5d and e). This similarity supports the organic origin of the carbon signal detected in the FeS₂ region using EDX analysis. These findings thus further corroborate the identification of the previously observed microstructures as bacterial microfossils⁵⁷.

		δ ⁵⁶ Fe (‰)		δ ³⁴ S (‰)		$\delta^{13}C_{-PDB}$	$\delta^{18}O_{\text{-PDB}}$
Sample	Composition	Pyrrhotite	Pyrite	Pyrrhotite	Pyrite	(‰)	(‰)
TK-53-1	Fe-sulphides	0.03	0.11	-4.49	-4.17		
TK-53-2	Fe-sulphides	-0.43	0.77	-4.80	-4.30		
TK-53-3	Fe-sulphides	0.71	0.78	-4.91	-4.82		
Mean		0.10	0.55	-4.73	-4.43		
TK-53-organic 1	Organic carbon					- 35.67	
TK-53-organic 2	Organic carbon					- 33.63	
TK-53-organic 3	Organic carbon					-35.15	
Mean						- 34.82	
TK-53-carbon 1	Calcite					-0.11	- 15.73
TK-53-carbon 2	Calcite					-0.60	- 16.72
TK-53-carbon 3	Calcite					-0.50	- 18.92
Mean						-0.40	- 17.12

Table 1. Fe-S-C-O stable isotope composition.

Stable isotope composition. Our stable isotope analyses showed that the δ^{56} Fe values of the six Fe-sulphide samples were dominated by weak positive excursions (Table 1). The mean δ^{56} Fe value of FeS was 0.10‰, and that of FeS₂ was 0.55‰. The δ^{56} Fe values of FeS₂ isolated from each sample were higher than those of the corresponding FeS. In addition, the $\delta^{13}C_{.PDB}$ of organic C in the ore showed a strong negative anomaly, with a mean value of – 34.82‰, and the $\delta^{13}C_{.PDB}$ of CaCO₃ exhibited a weak negative excursion, with a mean value of – 0.40‰ (Table 1).

Discussion

Role of SRB in isotopic fractionation. The reaction between H_2S generated by the activity of SRB and early-stage FeS to form FeS₂ is a matter of debate¹³. Views on whether S is gained (Fe–S bond is not broken) or Fe is lost (Fe–S bond is broken) in the reaction process have been conflicting^{58,59}. However, more recent studies reported that the process involves both loss of Fe and gain of S^{60–62}. Our Fe-isotope analyses show that the δ^{56} Fe values of FeS₂ isolated from each sample were higher than those of the corresponding FeS (0.45‰ higher on average). We therefore speculate that some ⁵⁴Fe isotopes were lost during the SRB-mediated conversion of FeS to FeS₂, resulting in an increase in the proportion of ⁵⁶Fe in the synthesised FeS₂ compared with that of the original FeS.

The S-isotope composition of FeS and FeS₂ is related to the environmental S-isotope composition. The supply of H₂S in hydrothermal vents derives from both inorganic and organic processes^{63–65}. Thermochemical sulphate reduction (TSR) occurs at high temperatures before the SO₄^{2–}-containing hydrothermal fluid is ejected from the seabed, resulting in S-isotope fractionation^{66,67}. The generated H₂S gas is enriched in light S isotopes, whereas the ejected hydrothermal SO₄^{2–}-rich solution is enriched in heavy S isotopes. Bacterial sulphate reduction (BSR) also causes S-isotope fractionation; the S-isotope composition of the resulting H₂S gas depends on the S-isotope composition of SO₄^{2–} in the environment and the extent of selective light S-isotope enrichment during cell-specific sulphate reduction^{68,69}.

The S-isotope analysis showed that the δ^{34} S values of FeS and FeS₂ ranged from – 4.91 to – 4.17‰, with a mean of – 4.58‰ and a standard deviation of 0.28‰ (Table 1). We infer these abnormal negative ³⁴S values to be related to TSR and BSR. The δ^{34} S values of FeS₂ isolated from the samples were higher than those of the corresponding FeS, which is probably related to the utilisation of SO₄²⁻ with a high ³⁴S content in SRB-mediated FeS₂ synthesis. Researchers have analysed the S-isotope composition of barite (BaSO₄) and various metal sulphides in other submarine hydrothermal vent systems⁷⁰, showing that BaSO₄ has the heaviest S-isotope composition. BaSO₄ is precipitated by the combination of Ba²⁺ and SO₄²⁻ in hydrothermal vents, and its S-isotope composition is similar to that of SO₄²⁻ in the vent environment, indicating that SO₄²⁻ has a relatively heavy S-isotope composition in vent systems. In addition, the strong negative anomaly of $\delta^{13}C_{-PDB}$ reflected the selective absorption of light C isotopes by SRB.

Precipitation of metallic minerals in hydrothermal vents. Submarine hydrothermal vent fluids are often rich in Fe²⁺, Pb²⁺, Zn²⁺, and other metal cations^{2,71}. With drastic changes in the external physical and chemical environment, metal cations in hydrothermal fluids can easily combine with S, resulting in metal sulphide precipitation and accumulation². Hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) is an important factor that induces Fe ion precipitation; Fe ions can react with H₂S to form FeS, as shown in Eq. (1)¹³:

$$Fe^{2+} + H_2S = FeS + 2H^+$$
 (1)

 H_2S can be formed in submarine hydrothermal vents by both inorganic and organic processes. SO_4^{2-} pyrolysis in vent hydrothermal fluids can release H_2S , which exhausts the vents^{63,64}. The organic production of H_2S in submarine hydrothermal vents is related to SRB, the primary producers of the vent ecosystem, which are both chemoautotrophic and organo-heterotrophic. H_2 or organic matter can be used as an electron donor and SO_4^{2-} as

an electron acceptor to reduce SO_4^{2-} to H_2S and obtain energy in the reaction process. These reactions are given in Eqs. (2) and (3), respectively⁷²:

$$4H_2 + 2H^+ + SO_4^{2-} = H_2S + 4H_2O$$
⁽²⁾

$$2CH_2O + SO_4^{2-} = H_2S + 2HCO_3^{-}$$
(3)

 H_2S generated by organic and inorganic processes near hydrothermal vents rapidly combines with metal cations to form metal sulphides. Fe is first precipitated in the form of unstable mackinawite, greigite, or FeS; Zn and Pb form sphalerite (ZnFeS₂), galena (PbS₂), and other metal sulphides. The HCO₃⁻ generated by the reaction in Eq. (3) easily combines with Ca²⁺ and/or Mg²⁺ ions in the ocean and is deposited in the form of CaCO₃ or dolomite.

At present, two main FeS₂ formation pathways are known: polysulfide (S_n^{2-}) Eq. (4) and H₂S Eq. (5)^{60,73}:

$$FeS + S_n^{2-} = FeS_2 + S_{n-1}^{2-}$$
(4)

$$FeS + H_2S = FeS_2 + H_2 \tag{5}$$

 S_n^{2-} and H_2S can be produced in marine environments by inorganic processes or by processes involving microbes. In the S_n^{2-} pathway, FeS_{aq} is attacked by nucleophilic polysulphides to form FeS_2 (Eq. 4). In the H_2S pathway, FeS_2 is formed by electron transfer via the inner sphere complex between FeS and H_2S (Eq. 5)^{60,73}. The O₂-deficient and sulphur-rich environment of the early Earth can satisfy both reactions, and the conversion of FeS to FeS_2 is considered to be the key energy transfer reaction for the emergence of life^{74,75}. SRB can efficiently synthesise S_n^{2-} and H_2S and promote FeS_2 formation.

We infer that the precipitation of FeS is caused by Fe^{2+} and H_2S reactions (a mixture of H_2S generated by inorganic and organic processes) in hydrothermal vents, whereas the formation of tubular and spherical FeS_2 is related to the presence and metabolic activities of SRB in the two massive sulphide ores within the Tongken Sn polymetallic deposit.

SRB growth pattern. Microscopic observations show that SRB extended and expanded in peripheral FeS in the form of filaments, tubes, and spheroids (Fig. 2). As this is difficult to achieve in consolidated FeS, the most likely explanation is that precipitation of FeS and the activities of SRB took place concurrently. Specifically, as Fe^{2+} and H_2S react to form FeS precipitates in hydrothermal vents, SRB migrate from the micro-hydrothermal vent to colonise the surrounding area and synthesise FeS₂. Subsequently, both FeS and FeS₂ precipitate with the co-occurrence of small amounts of SRB.

The EDX elemental maps revealed that the contents of C, N, and O in FeS were extremely low (Fig. 3f–h). Furthermore, in FeS, no distinct peak was observed within the 1000–1700 cm⁻¹ range, which is where organic matter peaks typically manifest in the laser Raman spectrum (Fig. 5a and b). Consequently, organic matter was nearly absent in FeS, suggesting minimal external organic matter input into the studied micro-hydrothermal vents. Therefore, the observed SRB may represent chemoautotrophic bacteria^{76,77}, which rely on H₂ supplied by the vent hydrothermal fluid as an electron donor and on SO₄²⁻ as an electron acceptor to synthesise adenosine 5'-triphosphate in the cell to store energy needed for life, release H₂S, and synthesise FeS₂. Similar to the role of atmospheric CO₂ in photosynthesis, CO₂ derived from hydrothermal vent fluids can serve as the exclusive carbon source for cell synthesis during the growth of SRB⁷⁸. The necessary N for SRB may come from N-containing ions such as NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, or NO₂⁻ in the vent hydrothermal fluids. These ions can be obtained via processes such as nitrification, dissimilatory NO₃⁻ oxidation, or NO₂⁻ reduction⁷⁹⁻⁸¹.

Based on the morphological data of SRB traces obtained using polarised light microscopy and SEM, combined with the EDX analysis, isotopic analysis, and Raman spectroscopy, we inferred the SRB-mediated FeS₂ formation process in FeS under the theoretic framework of hydrothermal vent mineralisation. Microbes preserved in FeS₂ are chemoautotrophic SRB growing in hydrothermal vents on the seabed, and hydrothermal fluids provide the materials and energy needed for their growth. Such bacteria live near micro-hydrothermal vents and rely on H₂ as an electron donor and SO₄²⁻ as an electron acceptor in the hydrothermal fluid to produce energy and H₂S. H₂S combines with unconsolidated FeS around SRB to form FeS₂. After ore consolidation, these tubular, beaded, and spherical FeS₂ structures are preserved within FeS, thus recording the morphological characteristics of SRB activity. SRB grow from micro-hydrothermal vents to their peripheries; tubular bodies may branch and thicken, sometimes spheroids may develop, and some spheroids may grow and proliferate directly from the micro-vents (Fig. 6). Tubular bodies are often interconnected between adjacent micro-vents (Fig. 2a–e). FeS₂ synthesised by SRB via sulphate reduction presents the above microbial trace characteristics enclosed within the FeS matrix. Although H₂S generated by microbial metabolic processes may promote the precipitation and mineralisation of galena, sphalerite, chalcopyrite, and other metal sulphides, no biomorphs of SRB have been found in these metal sulphides; this is worth further exploration in future studies.

Conclusions

The genesis of the Tongkeng Sn polymetallic deposit is related to the mineralisation of Devonian submarine hydrothermal vent sediments. We investigated ores that contained both morphological and chemical evidence of SRB. Tubular, beaded, and spherical FeS₂ structures were discovered in close proximity to micro-hydrothermal vents, displaying morphological characteristics typical of SRB flora. By analysing the elemental composition, employing Raman spectroscopy, and conducting isotopic analysis, we confirmed that the formation of FeS₂ is a result of the metabolic activities of SRB, which involves reduction of SO₄^{2–} and production of H₂S. We also



Figure 6. Sulphate-reducing bacteria growth modes. Sulphate-reducing bacteria (green dots) growing at a micro-hydrothermal vent (grey); chemoautotrophic SRB proliferate, branch, and expand around the micro-hydrothermal vent in tubular and spherical shapes. Yellow represents pyrite (FeS₂), orange represents pyrrhotite (FeS), and blue represents seawater.

describe the presence of coccoidal and filamentous biomorphs, which we interpreted as fossil remains of SRB. The trace fossils of filamentous, tubular, and spherical FeS_2 enclosed within FeS, along with their elemental and isotopic composition, provide a foundation for understanding microbial activities in sulphur-rich anoxic environments. Moreover, our study also presents a novel observational method for studying submarine vent hydrothermal ecosystems and exploring the potential for life in extreme environments.

Materials and methods

Sample collection. We performed a systematic geological survey and sampling work in the Tongkeng Sn polymetallic deposit. A total of 70 massive sulphide ore samples were collected and transformed into polished thin sections for microscopic identification and chemical composition analysis. Using a polarising microscope, we found SRB trace fossils in two samples. These two samples were numbered TK-51 and TK-53 and were taken from the No. 92 ore body within the ore-bearing Liujiang Formation (D3L).

SEM–EDX. Our SEM–EDX analysis was performed using an FEI Quanta 650 FEG scanning electron microscope equipped with an EDAX EDX detector under low vacuum. Backscattered images were captured under the following microscope setup: 15–20 kV accelerating voltage, 5.5 spot size, 10.2 mm working distance, and 100 Pa chamber pressure. Elemental maps were collected with a count rate exceeding 10,000 cps and a dead-time of approximately 15%.

Raman microspectroscopy. Micro-Raman spectra were collected using an inVia Qontor confocal Raman instrument (Renishaw Plc, UK). The laser beam was focused on the sample through a $50 \times$ objective lens with 532 nm radiation provided by a solid-state laser. The laser power was set at 10 mW on the sample surface. Baseline correction and spectral peak fitting of the Raman spectra of all specimens were performed using Origin Pro-2021 (Learning Edition) and PeakFit (v. 4.12).

Isotope ratio mass spectroscopy. Three sub-samples for stable isotope analysis were obtained from sample TK-53; phases analysed included FeS, FeS₂, CaCO₃, and organic C, and the elements analysed were Fe, S, C, and O. The three ore samples were crushed and sieved until the particle diameters were <0.425 mm; FeS was first adsorbed with a magnet and then screened with a binocular microscope ($20 \times$ magnification) to obtain FeS without impurities. FeS₂, CaCO₃, and non-metallic particles with high carbonaceous content were selected from non-magnetic mineral particles by screening using a binocular microscope.

The three groups of FeS and FeS₂ samples were powdered for Fe and S stable isotopic analysis. Fe stable isotope analysis of FeS and FeS₂ was performed by Guangzhou ALS Chemex (China). S stable isotope analyses were performed in the stable isotope analysis laboratory of the Kunming University of Science and Technology (China). S in FeS and FeS₂ was converted to SO₂ by a high-temperature combustion method using an elemental analyser (Vario isotope cube, Elementar, Germany), which was then transferred into a gas-phase isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Isoprime-100, Elementar) to analyse its S-isotope composition.

The C and O isotopic components of $CaCO_3$ were converted to CO_2 by the phosphoric acid method using Isoprime multiflow equipment in the stable isotope analysis laboratory of Kunming University of Science and Technology, which was then transferred into a gas-phase isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Isoprime-100) to analyse its C and O stable isotope composition.

Non-metallic particles with a high C content were soaked in dilute hydrochloric acid, washed, and dried twice to remove carbonate minerals. In the stable isotope analysis laboratory of the Kunming University of Science and Technology, the organic C present in ores was converted into CO₂ using a high-temperature combustion method, which was then transferred into a gas isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Isoprime-100) to analyse its C stable isotope composition.

Data availability

All data that support the findings of this study are available in the paper. Source data are provided with this paper.

Received: 13 November 2022; Accepted: 15 July 2023 Published online: 19 July 2023

References

- 1. Martin, W., Baross, J., Kelley, D. & Russell, M. J. Hydrothermal vents and the origin of life. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 6, 805-814 (2008).
 - 2. Orcutt, B. N., Sylvan, J. B., Knab, N. J. & Edwards, K. J. Microbial ecology of the dark ocean above, at, and below the seafloor. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 75, 361-422 (2011).
 - 3. Dodd, M. S. et al. Evidence for early life in earth's oldest hydrothermal vent precipitates. Nature 543, 60-64 (2017).
 - 4. Kelley, D. S. et al. A serpentinite-hosted ecosystem: The Lost City hydrothermal field. Science 307, 1428-1434 (2005).
 - 5. Fisher, C. R., Takai, K. & Le Bris, N. Hydrothermal vent ecosystems. Oceanography 20, 14-23 (2007).
 - 6. Huber, J. A. et al. Microbial population structures in the deep marine biosphere. Science 318, 97-100 (2007).
 - 7. Beaulieu, S. E., Baker, E. T., German, C. R. & Maffei, A. An authoritative global database for active submarine hydrothermal vent fields. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 14(11), 4892-4905 (2013).
 - 8. Hannington, M. D., De Ronde, C. E., & Petersen, S. Sea-floor tectonics and submarine hydrothermal systems. In Economic Geology 100th Anniversary, 11-141 (2005).
 - 9. Cheng, Y. S. Ore-controlling characteristics of Devonian stratum in the Dachang Sn ore-field, Guangxi (South China). Procedia Earth Planet. Sci. 2, 28-33 (2011).
 - 10. Huang, W. H., Fan, S. K., Chen, C. W. & Bi, Z. M. Application of metallogenic regularity to study of skarn zinc-copper deposits in Dachang orefield: A case study of Heishuigou-Dashujiao and Yangjiaojian skarn zinc-copper deposits. Miner. Deposits. 31, 535-544 (2012) (in Chinese).
 - 11. Fouquet, Y., Henry, K., Knott, R. & Cambon, P. Geochemical section of the TAG hydrothermal mound. Proc. ODP Sci. Results 158(9), 363-387 (1998).
 - 12. Keith, M. et al. Trace element systematics of pyrite from submarine hydrothermal vents. Ore Geol. Rev. 72, 728-745 (2016).
 - 13. Thiel, J., Byrne, J. M., Kappler, A., Schink, B. & Pester, M. Pyrite formation from FeS and H₂S is mediated through microbial redox activity. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 116, 6897-6902 (2019).
 - 14. Ivarson, K. C. & Hallberg, R. O. Formation of mackinawite by the microbial reduction of jarosite and its application to tidal sediments. Geoderma 16, 1-7 (1976).
 - Fortin, D., Southam, G. & Beveridge, T. J. Nickel sulfide, iron-nickel sulfide and iron sulfide precipitation by a newly isolated 15. Desulfotomaculum species and its relation to nickel resistance. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 14, 121-132 (1994).
 - 16. Neal, A. L. et al. Iron sulfides and sulfur species produced at hematite surfaces in the presence of sulfate-reducing bacteria. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 65, 223-235 (2001).
 - 17. Williams, K. H. et al. Geophysical imaging of stimulated microbial biomineralization. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 7592-7600 (2005). 18. Gramp, J. P., Bigham, J. M., Jones, F. S. & Tuovinen, O. H. Formation of Fe-sulfides in cultures of sulfate-reducing bacteria. J. Hazard, Mater. 175, 1062-1067 (2010).
 - 19. Ikogou, M. et al. Long-term sequestration of nickel in mackinawite formed by Desulfovibrio capillatus upon Fe (III)-citrate reduction in the presence of thiosulfate. Appl. Geochem. 80, 143-154 (2017).
 - 20. Picard, A., Gartman, A., Clarke, D. R. & Girguis, P. R. Sulfate-reducing bacteria influence the nucleation and growth of mackinawite and greigite. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 220, 367-384 (2018).
 - 21. Duverger, A., Bernard, S., Viennet, J., Miot, J. & Busigny, V. Formation of pyrite spherules from mixtures of biogenic FeS and organic compounds during experimental diagenesis. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 22, e2021GC010056 (2021).
 - 22. Gorlas, A. et al. Greigite nanocrystals produced by hyperthermophilic archaea of Thermococcales order. PLoS ONE 13(8), e0201549. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201549 (2018).
- 23. Berg, J. S. et al. Rapid pyritization in the presence of a sulfur/sulfate-reducing bacterial consortium. Sci. Rep. 10, 8264 (2020).
- 24. Duverger, A. et al. Mechanisms of pyrite formation promoted by sulfate-reducing bacteria in pure culture. Front. Earth Sci. 8, 588310 (2020).
- 25. Southam, G., Donald, R., Röstad, A. & Brock, C. Pyrite discs in coal: Evidence for fossilized bacterial colonies. Geology 29, 47-50 (2001).
- 26. Shen, Y. A., Buick, R. & Canfield, D. E. Isotopic evidence for microbial sulphate reduction in the early Archaean era. Nature 410, 77-81 (2001).
- 27. Shen, Y., Farquhar, J., Masterson, A., Kaufman, A. J. & Buick, R. Evaluating the role of microbial sulfate reduction in the early Archean using quadruple isotope systematics. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 279, 383-391 (2009).
- 28. Ueno, Y., Ono, S., Rumble, D. & Maruyama, S. Quadruple sulfur isotope analysis of ca. 3.5 Ga Dresser Formation: New evidence for microbial sulfate reduction in the early Archean. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 72, 5675-5691 (2008).
- 29. Georgieva, M. N., Little, C. T. S., Ball, A. D. & Glover, A. G. Mineralization of Alvinella polychaete tubes at hydrothermal vents. Geobiology 13, 152-169 (2015).
- 30. Georgieva, M. N., Little, C. T. S., Bailey, R. J., Ball, A. D. & Glover, A. G. Microbial-tubeworm associations in a 440 million year old hydrothermal vent community. Proc. Biol. Sci. 285, 20182004 (2018).
- 31. Han, F. et al. Geology and Origin of Ores in the Dachang Tin-Polymetallic Ore Field 23–157 (Geological Publishing House, 1997).
- 32. Jiang, S.-Y. Boron isotope geochemistry of hydrothermal ore deposits in China: A preliminary study. Phys. Chem. Earth A 26, 851-858 (2001).
- 33. Yu, C. M., Li, Y. & Liang, K. Devonian reef development and strata-bound ore deposits in South China. J. Palaeogeogr. 10, 571-583 (2021).
- 34. Fu, M., Kwak, T. A. P. & Mernagh, T. P. Fluid inclusion studies of zoning in the Dachang tin-polymetallic ore field, People's Republic of China. Econ. Geol. 88, 283-300 (1993).
- 35. Jiang, S. Y., Han, F., Shen, J. Z. & Palmer, M. R. Chemical and Rb-Sr, Sm-Nd isotopic systematics of tourmaline from the Dachang Sn-polymetallic ore deposit, Guangxi Province, P. R. China. Chem. Geol. 157, 49-67 (1999)
- 36. Guo, J. et al. Genesis of tin-dominant polymetallic deposits in the Dachang district, South China: Insights from cassiterite U-Pb ages and trace element compositions. Ore Geol. Rev. 95, 863-879 (2018).
- 37. Gu, X. X. et al. Hydrocarbon- and ore-bearing basinal fluids: A possible link between gold mineralization and hydrocarbon accumulation in the Youjiang basin, South China. Miner. Deposita 47, 663-682 (2012).
- 38. Zhao, K. D. & Jiang, S. Y. Rare earth element and yttrium analyses of sulfides from the Dachang Sn-polymetallic ore field, Guangxi Province, China: Implication for ore genesis. Geochem. J. 41, 121-134 (2007).
- Yan, Y. F. et al. Sn-Zn-Pb mineralization regularity and its geological significance of Tongkeng tin-indium polymetallic deposit based on big data analysis. Acta Petrol. Sin. 35, 3398-3406 (2019).
- 40. Cai, M. H., He, L. Q., Liu, G. Q., Wu, D. C. & Huang, H. M. SHRIMP zircon U-Pb dating of the intrusive rocks in the dachang tinpolymetallic ore field, Guangxi and their geological significance. Geol. Rev. 52, 409-414 (2006).

- Little, C. T. S., Herrington, R. J., Maslennikov, V. V., Morris, N. J. & Zaykov, V. V. Silurian hydrothermal-vent community from the southern Urals, Russia. Nature 385, 146–148 (1997).
- Little, C. T. S., Herrington, R. J., Maslennikov, V. V. & Zaykov, V. V. The fossil record of hydrothermal vent communities. *Geol. Soc. Lond. Special. Publ.* 148, 259–270 (1998).
- Fouquet, Y. et al. Volcanic and hydrothermal processes in submarine calderas: The Kulo Lasi example (SW Pacific). Ore Geol. Rev. 99, 314–343 (2018).
- Baumgartner, R. J. et al. Taphonomy of microorganisms and microbial microtextures at sulfidic hydrothermal vents: A case study from the Roman Ruins black smokers, Eastern Manus Basin. Geobiology 20(4), 479–497 (2022).
- Allwood, A. C., Walter, M. R. & Marshall, C. P. Raman spectroscopy reveals thermal palaeoenvironments of c. 3.5 billion year-old organic matter. Vib. Spectrosc. 41, 190–197 (2006).
- 46. Tashiro, T. et al. Early trace of life from 3.95 Ga sedimentary rocks in Labrador, Canada. Nature 549(7673), 516-518 (2017).
- 47. Hassenkam, T. et al. Elements of Eoarchean life trapped in mineral inclusions. Nature 548(7665), 78-81 (2017).
- Olcott Marshall, A. & Marshall, C. P. Comment on ^{'B}iogenicity of Earth's earliest fossils: A resolution of the controversy' by J. W. Schopf and A. B. Kudryavtsev, Gondwana Research 22 (2012) 761–771. *Gondwana Res.* 23, 1654–1655 (2013).
- Javaux, E. J., Marshall, C. P. & Bekker, A. Organic-walled microfossils in 3.2-billion-year-old shallow-marine siliciclastic deposits. *Nature* 463, 934–938 (2010).
- 50. Beny-Bassez, C. & Rouzaud, J.-N. Characterization of carbonaceous materials by correlated electron and optical microscopy and Raman microspectroscopy. *Scan. Electron. Microsc.* **1**, 119–132 (1985).
- Beyssac, O., Goffé, B., Chopin, C. & Rouzaud, J.-N. Raman spectra of carbonaceous material in metasediments: A new geothermometer. J. Metamorph. Geol. 20, 859–871 (2002).
- 52. Bernard, S. & Papineau, D. Graphitic carbons and biosignatures. Elements 10, 435-440 (2014).
- 53. Schwan, J., Ulrich, S., Batori, V., Ehrhardt, H. & Silva, S. R. P. Raman spectroscopy on amorphous carbon films. J. Appl. Phys. 80, 440-447 (1996).
- 54. Buseck, P. R. & Beyssac, O. From organic matter to graphite: Graphitization. Elements 10(6), 421-426 (2014).
- Marshall, C. P. et al. Structural characterization of kerogen in 3.4 Ga Archaean cherts from the Pilbara Craton, Western Australia. Precambrian Res. 155(1-2), 1–23 (2007).
- Topper, T. P. et al. Characterization of kerogenous films and taphonomic modes of the Sirius Passet Lagerstätte, Greenland. Geology 46(4), 359–362 (2018).
- Ferralis, N. *et al.* Rapid, direct and non-destructive assessment of fossil organic matter via microRaman spectroscopy. *Carbon* 108, 440–449 (2016).
- 58. Berner, R. A. Sedimentary pyrite formation. Am. J. 268, 1-23 (1970).
- Wilkin, R. T. & Barnes, H. L. Pyrite formation by reactions of iron monosulfides with dissolved inorganic and organic sulfur species. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.* 60, 4167–4179 (1996).
- 60. Rickard, D. & Luther, G. W. III. Chemistry of iron sulfides. Chem. Rev. 107, 514-562 (2007).
- 61. Butler, I. B., Böttcher, M. E., Rickard, D. & Oldroyd, A. Sulfur isotope partitioning during experimental formation of pyrite via the polysulfide and hydrogen sulfide pathways: Implications for the interpretation of sedimentary and hydrothermal pyrite isotope records. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **228**, 495–509 (2004).
- Fowler, A. P. G. et al. Pyrite δ³⁴S and δ³³S constraints on sulfur cycling at sublacustrine hydrothermal vents in Yellowstone Lake, Wyoming, USA. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 265, 148–162 (2019).
- Shanks, W. C. III., Bischoff, J. L. & Rosenbauer, R. J. Seawater sulfate reduction and sulfur isotope fractionation in basaltic systems: Interaction of seawater with fayalite and magnetite at 200–350°C. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*. 45, 1977–1995 (1981).
- Shanks, W. C. III. & Seyfried, W. E. Jr. Stable isotope studies of vent fluids and chimney minerals, southern Juan de Fuca Ridge: Sodium metasomatism and seawater sulfate reduction. J. Geophys. Res. 92, 11387–11399 (1987).
- Machel, H. G., Krouse, H. R. & Sassen, R. Products and distinguishing criteria of bacterial and thermochemical sulfate reduction. *Appl. Geochem.* 10(4), 373–389 (1995).
- 66. Xue, C. J. et al. Geology, geochemistry and genesis of the Cretaceous and Paleocene sandstone- and conglomerate-hosted Uragen Zn-Pb deposit, Xinjiang, China: A review. Ore Geol. Rev. 63, 328–342 (2014).
- Liao, S. L. *et al.* Bulk geochemistry, sulfur isotope characteristics of the Yuhuang-1 hydrothermal field on the ultraslow-spreading Southwest Indian Ridge. Ore Geol. Rev. 96, 13–27 (2018).
- Ono, S., Shanks, W. C. III., Rouxel, O. J. & Rumble, D. S-33 constraints on the seawater sulfate contribution in modern seafloor hydrothermal vent sulfides. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 71(5), 1170–1182 (2007).
- Farquhar, J., Johnston, D. T. & Wing, B. A. Implications of conservation of mass effects on mass-dependent isotope fractionations: Influence of network structure on sulfur isotope phase space of dissimilatory sulfate reduction. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.* 71(24), 5862–5875 (2007).
- 70. Baumgartner, R. J. *et al.* Sulfidization of 3.48 billion-year-old stromatolites of the Dresser Formation, Pilbara Craton: Constraints from in-situ sulfur isotope analysis of pyrite. *Chem. Geol.* **538**, 119488 (2020).
- Breier, J. Sulfur, sulfides, oxides and organic matter aggregated in submarine hydrothermal plumes at 9°50'N East Pacific Rise. Geochim. Acta 88, 216–236 (2012).
- 72. Tuttle, J. H., Dugan, P. R. & Randles, C. I. Microbial sulfate reduction and its potential utility as an acid mine water pollution abatement procedure. *Appl. Microbiol.* **17**, 297–302 (1969).
- 73. Rickard, D. Kinetics of pyrite formation by the H₂S oxidation of iron (II) monosulfide in aqueous solutions between 25 and 125 °C: The mechanism. *Geochim. Acta* **61**, 135–147 (1997).
- 74. Wächtershäuser, G. Before enzymes and templates: Theory of surface metabolism. *Microbiol. Rev.* 52, 452–484 (1988).
- Martin, W. & Russell, M. J. On the origins of cells: A hypothesis for the evolutionary transitions from abiotic geochemistry to chemoautotrophic prokaryotes, and from Prokaryotes to nucleated cells. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 358, 59–83 (2003) (discussion 83–85).
- Moussard, H. et al. Thermodesulfatator indicus gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel thermophilic chemolithoautotrophic sulfate-reducing bacterium isolated from the Central Indian Ridge. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 54, 227–233 (2004).
- 77. Alain, K. *et al. Thermodesulfatator atlanticus* sp. nov., a thermophilic, chemolithoautotrophic, sulfate-reducing bacterium isolated from a mid-Atlantic Ridge hydrothermal vent. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **60**, 33–38 (2010).
- 78. Rabus, R., Hansen, T. A. & Widdel, F. Dissimilatory sulfate- and sulfur-reducing prokaryotes. Prokaryotes. 2, 659–768 (2006).
- 79. Könneke, M. et al. Isolation of an autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing marine archaeon. Nature 437, 543–546 (2005).
- 80. Brandes, J. A., Devol, A. H. & Deutsch, C. New developments in the marine nitrogen cycle. Chem. Rev. 107, 577-589 (2007).
- Schmid, M. C. et al. Anaerobic ammonium-oxidizing bacteria in marine environments: Widespread occurrence but low diversity. Environ. Microbiol. 9, 1476–1484 (2007).

Acknowledgements

We appreciate the facilities and scientific assistance of the Yunnan Key Laboratory for Paleobiology and MEC International Joint Laboratory for Paleobiology and Paleoenvironment. We thank Dr. Peiyun Cong (Yunnan Key Laboratory for Palaeobiology, Kunming University, China) for manuscript revision and invaluable comments.

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 41762008, 42072019 and 42062001) and Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB26000000).

Author contributions

FJ. and X.L. conceived and designed the research. FJ. and X.L. secured funding. FJ., Y.Y., Y.S., H.Z., H.W., Y.Y., C.Z., X.S. and C.Y. collected all the material. FJ. and X.L. wrote the paper with input from all other authors. All authors participated in the interpretation of the material and the discussions.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-023-38827-x.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to X.L.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023