



OPEN

Laboratory simulation of the swampy forest system for the passive treatment of acid mine drainage in coal mine reclamation areas

Ihsan Noor^{1,2,3✉}, Yudi Firmanul Arifin^{3,4,5}, Bambang Joko Priatmadi^{2,6} & Akhmad Rizalli Saidy^{2,6}

Coal mining that applies the open pit method has the potential to generate acid mine drainage. Acid mine drainage (AMD) treatments must include processes to mitigate significant challenges; these treatments include active treatment with high costs and process uncertainty and passive treatment with its limitations. The new concept of the swampy forest system involves the development of passive treatment for AMD, which lowers costs, increases capacity, and provides a natural process to mitigate the AMD that has been generated. A laboratory simulation experiment was carried out to obtain the basic data required for the swampy forest system treatment. The basic reference data determined in this study, including the total volume of water, the water debt flows into the swampy forest scale laboratory system and the retention time, were obtained to bring the parameter values that failed to meet the quality standards into compliance, according to the applicable regulations. The AMD swampy forest treatment design in the pilot project at the treatment field can apply a scaled-up version of the basic data from the simulation laboratory experiment results.

Coal mining in Indonesia mostly applies the open pit mining method. Coal mining with the open pit mining method has the potential to cause several environmental impacts in the form of water, soil, and air if it is not managed correctly based on applicable regulations. During mining operations, land clearing and overburden (OB) removal cause the oxidation of pyrite minerals which can lead to the formation of AMD¹. The AMD that has already occurred must be managed properly, especially drainages that are accommodated in sumps (during operation) or in voids (during mine closure stage) before the wastewater is released into public water bodies^{8,27}. AMD may be formed by potential acid forming (PAF) material factors and can interfere with the growth of the revegetation during the reclamation process^{11,21}.

There are two techniques in AMD management; prevention techniques by controlling the source of generated AMD and remediation techniques as a mitigation process for previously produced AMD²⁴. In terms of AMD remediation, active treatments are generally considered more costly than passive treatments, especially when mining operations have ceased or mines have been abandoned³.

Gautama et al.⁷, have conducted a study on the use of lime for the neutralization process at pit lakes namely M23E in a coal mine in South Kalimantan. The amount of acidic wastewater in this pit lake was about 8.2 million m³. This study reviewed the implementation of active treatment in the form of in-pit treatment methods that have been carried out in the pit lake. Field-scale experimental processing uses lime to neutralize around 460,000 m³

¹Mining Engineering Study Program, Faculty of Engineering, Lambung Mangkurat University, Jalan Jenderal Ahmad Yani KM-34, Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia 70714. ²Mine Closure Research Center, Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia 70714. ³Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) Center, Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia 70714. ⁴Forestry Science Study Program, Faculty of Forestry, Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia 70714. ⁵Center of Excellence for Innovation, Technology, Commercialization, Management: Forest and Wetland of Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia 70714. ⁶Soil Science Study Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia 70714. ✉email: ihsan.noor@ulm.ac.id

of liquid waste which has a pH of 2.8 to be pH > 7 in three months before being released into public waters. The costs incurred are around USD 0.04 per m³ of acid water to meet quality standards. The coal mine has another about 85 million acid water that must be managed so it will be very expensive if it is still using active treatment.

Passive treatment systems can be a component of an AMD treatment strategy. They can function as either stand-alone treatment strategies or as pretreatment to reduce the cost of active treatment. Passive treatment system performance varies significantly among constructed systems, due to differences in site conditions²⁶. Passive treatment methods generally achieve precipitation of metal sulfide by creating reducing conditions and utilizing organic substances as alkaline agents, including aerobic constructed wetlands and compost reactors¹⁴. Constructed wetlands are a promising passive treatment option because they are relatively self-sustaining once established and are deemed to be cost effective^{17,24}. Passive treatment systems generally require longer retention times and greater space but markedly decrease long-term costs.

AMD must be managed better refers to the permit given to the coal mining that the wastewater must be treated to meet the environmental quality standards that have been set before release to the public rivers. Management of AMD must be carried out both prevention and treatment when the AMD has been formed. Companies that dispose of their wastewater without comply with the threshold quality standards will receive the administrative sanctions up to the suspension of the permits and criminal sanctions referring to existing regulations but most of active treatment more expensive than the passive treatment. As the passive treatment need more time and space.

We have developed the swampy forest system as a new natural method of passive AMD treatment with lower costs and greater environmental sustainability. The swampy forest system consists of selecting organic matter and combining it with the planting of selected grass and tree species in the form of a forest constructed wetland¹⁷. As a preliminary step in the swampy forest system, a laboratory experiments were carried out to combine the three materials that were selected before application to the pilot project. The aim of the present study was to rapidly decide the basic reference data for application to the next stage of the pilot project, which will be accomplished via a field study for a larger area with higher capacity¹⁷. The basic reference data that will be determined in this study, including the total volume of water, the water debt flows into the swampy forest scale laboratory system and the retention time, are obtained to change the parameter values that do not meet the quality standards to those that do meet them, according to the applicable regulations¹⁷.

Materials and methods

Experimental design. The role of each individual material used as the main ingredient for the swampy forest system was determined by batch reactor experiments carried out in a previous study. In the experiment of organic matter selection, the waste of oil palm (empty fruit bunches) was determined to increase the pH value from < 4, which did not meet the quality standard, to pH 6 – 9, which does meet the quality standard¹⁸. Grass species selection experiments determined the types of local grasses, namely, *Eleocharis dulcis* (purun grass) and *Cyperus rotundus* (batibati grass), while the nonlocal grass species are *Typha angustifolia* (typha grass) and *Vetiveria zizanioides* (vetiver grass)¹⁹. Tree species selection experiments determined the type of local tree species, namely, *Melaleuca leucadendra* (galam tree) and *Nauclea subdita* (bangkal tree), while nonlocal tree species are *Nauclea orientalis* (longkida tree) and *Melaleuca cajuputi* (kayuputih tree)²⁰. The experiment design mentioned in Table 1.

The results of the material selections noted above were used for the next combination experiment, namely, a laboratory simulation experiment intended to develop a passive treatment with a swampy forest system using the concept of a forest construction wetland¹⁷. Laboratory simulation experiments were performed by preparing an experimental reactor with a length 200 cm x width of 100 cm and height of 60 cm. This experiment was carried out in the reclamation land ex pit of a coal mining company (JBG) in South Kalimantan, Indonesia by preparing three experimental boxes connected in series. The experimental design for planting grass and tree species in each box is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Experimental procedure. The treatment protocol was to place a layer of OB (250 kg) in the bottom layer in each reactor, then plant the grass (18 clumps each species) and the tree selected (three seeds each species), based on the lay-out mentioned in Fig. 1, then continue treatment by adding cow manure fertilizer (CMF) as an organic fertilizer to support grass and tree plantings, and finally adding empty fruit bunches (EFB) on the second layer¹⁷. Each reactor was then incubated for four weeks. The flow chart of experimental procedure as mentioned in Fig. 2.

After the incubation process was completed, the experiment was continued by slowly filling the reactor with surface water (collecting rainwater until the water level of each reactor had an average height of 15 cm from the top OB layer) and allowing it to acclimate for seven days. Then, 25% of the remaining water in each reactor was drained via a tap at the bottom and replaced with AMD for the second acclimation of another seven-day process; this step was repeated for a third time by draining 50% of the remaining water and for the fourth acclimation process, by draining 75% of the remaining water. When the total acclimatization period was completed, all (100%) the water in the reactor was replaced again with full AMD to start the treatment period¹⁷. The laboratory simulation experiment is illustrated in Fig. 3.

After an incubation period of four weeks, the AMD continued to flow into the experimental box and entered the inlet via the surface system, flowing to box-1, then box-2, then box-3, and finally, out of the outlet (as a simulation of public water bodies). The measurement of pH water each reactor started on the day 1 (the first day of treatment) and continued until day 30. The pH measurement was carried out in the reactor box with a pH meter. Another parameters measurement was total suspended solid (TSS) and heavy metal content in the form




Table 1. Experimental design for laboratory simulation.




Figure 1. Grass and tree planting design for each box experiment (G1 = purun grass, G2 = typha grass, G3 = batibati grass, G4 = vetiver grass, T1 = galam tree, T2 = longkida tree, T3 = bangkal tree, T4 = kayuputih tree).

of Fe and Mn on the day 5, 10, 15, 30 of the treatment periods. The all methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Data analysis. The parameter monitoring of the laboratory simulation experiment conformed to the threshold parameter values of the environmental quality standard according to regulations (South Kalimantan Governor Regulation Number 036, year 2008 concerning Wastewater Quality Standards for Mining Activities) of pH 6–9, total suspended solid (TSS) < 200 mg L⁻¹, total iron content (Fe) < 7.00 mg L⁻¹, total manganese content (Mn) < 4.00 mg L⁻¹ and total cadmium content (Cd) < 0.05 mg L⁻¹²³. The compliance parameter values are summarized below in Table 2. The removal efficiency of each parameter was calculated to determine the potential of pH, TSS, Fe, Mn and Cd improve to meet the threshold value based on the following equation³²:




Figure 2. Flow chart of experimental procedure.




Figure 3. The AMD flows into the inlet, then box-1 discharges into box-2, then into box-3 and the outlet. The pH was monitored in each box from day 1 until day 5 and other threshold parameters were monitored at the inlet and the outlet on day 5, 10, 15, 30 only.

Compliance		Threshold maximum value	
Parameters	Unit	National-1	Regional-2
pH	-	6–9	6–9
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg L ⁻¹	400	200
Iron (Fe)	mg L ⁻¹	7.00	7.00
Manganese (Mn)	mg L ⁻¹	4.00	4.00
Cadmium (Cd)	mg L ⁻¹	-	0.05

Table 2. Threshold value compliance parameters. National-1 = Decree of Environmental Ministry of Republic Indonesia, Number 113, year 2003. Regional-2 = Regulation of Governor of South Kalimantan, Indonesia, Number 038, year 2008.

$$\% \text{ Removal efficiency} = \frac{(C_{ini} - C_{fin})}{C_{ini}} \times 100$$

where C_{ini} represents the initial concentration of metal content, while C_{fin} signifies the final concentration of metal content.

Results and discussion

pH water monitoring results. The swampy forest laboratory simulation experiment aims to obtain basic data for the development of the passive treatment for its application in the field as illustrated in Fig. 4. Observation of water pH was carried out on the fifth day of the experiments, as presented in Table 3. The AMD flowed in through the inlet and continued to overflow to box-1, then box-2, then box-3 until it flowed out (discharge) via the outlet. The average pH water observation showed that at the inlet, the pH was 3.48, which is the value that did not meet the quality standard, but the pH value continued to increase in box-1 to 4.46, box-2 to 5.75, and box-3 to 6.10 until it flowed out (discharge) via the outlet, at which point the pH was 6.24. This pH value met the quality standard value (pH 6 – 9) and was obtained with an average water flow of $1.43 \text{ m}^3 \text{ hour}^{-1}$, a total water volume of 5.76 m^3 and a retention time of 4.04 h. The pH monitoring data at inlet and outlet on day 1 until day 30 mentioned in Fig. 5. The pH of water increases and met with the compliance parameter.

Inlet vs. outlet comparison of compliance parameter data. The AMD that flowed into the inlet did not meet the water quality standard parameters, especially the pH and total Fe and Mn contents of the water. The average TSS and Cd data met the quality standards, as presented in Table 4. The AMD entering the inlet on day 5 showed a pH value of 3.51 or $\text{pH} < 6$ and after going through the swampy forest system, it increased to 6.41 or the values were in the compliance value of pH 6–9 with an increase efficiency of 82.62%. The AMD that flowed into the inlet had a low TSS value and then increased to 180 mg L^{-1} but still met the quality standard value.

For the metal content in AMD, the inlet showed that the total Fe and Mn did not meet the quality standard values of 10.09 and 12.20 mg L^{-1} , respectively, and then at the outlet, they decreased and met the quality standard value; the Fe content was 6.43 mg L^{-1} with a reduction efficiency of 36.27%, and the Mn content was 3.67 mg L^{-1} with a reduction efficiency of 69.92%. Observations of Cd do not show problems considering that it was very low below the quality standard value. Continued observing on day 10, 15, and 30, the system was able to change the not comply value to be comply.



Figure 4. The picture of experimental box (reactor) for laboratory simulation to treat the AMD to change not comply parameter at inlet to be comply at outlet (personal documentation).

Experiment Number	Water pH of flowing wastewater					Total water volume m^3	Retention time hour	Average water debt $\text{m}^3 \text{ hour}^{-1}$
	Inlet	Box-1	Box-2	Box-3	Outlet			
Day-1	3.4 ^x	3.6	5.7	5.9	6.2 ^y	14.40	10.0	1.44
Day-2	3.5 ^x	4.1	5.2	6.0	6.1 ^y	3.60	2.5	1.44
Day-3	3.6 ^x	4.6	5.8	6.3	6.4 ^y	3.6	3.0	1.20
Day-4	3.4 ^x	5.1	6.0	6.2	6.3 ^y	3.6	2.5	1.44
Day-5	3.5 ^x	4.9	6.0	6.2	6.2 ^y	3.6	2.2	1.64
Average	3.48	4.46	5.75	6.10	6.24	5.76	4.04	1.43

Table 3. Data of pH monitoring on inlet, box-1, box-2, box-3, and outlet on day 1 until day 5 of experiment. Note: ^x = is not comply and ^y = is comply with regulations.




Figure 5. Water pH monitoring at inlet and outlet during experimental on day 1 until day 30 of treatment.

Day monitoring	Parameter of compliance	Value at inlet	Value of compliance	Value at outlet	Improvement efficiency (%)
5th	pH	3.51 ^x	6 – 9	6.41 ^y	82.62
	TSS	15 ^y	<200 mg L ⁻¹	180 ^y	>200
	Fe	10.09 ^x	<7.0 mg L ⁻¹	6.43 ^y	36.27
	Mn	12.20 ^x	<4.0 mg L ⁻¹	3.67 ^y	69.92
	Cd	<0.001 ^y	<0.05 mg L ⁻¹	<0.001 ^y	-
10th	pH	3.46 ^x	6 – 9	6.42 ^y	85.55
	TSS	28 ^y	<200 mg L ⁻¹	160 ^y	>200
	Fe	11.18 ^x	<7.0 mg L ⁻¹	6.22 ^y	44.36
	Mn	10.85 ^x	<4.0 mg L ⁻¹	3.51 ^y	67.65
	Cd	<0.001 ^y	<0.05 mg L ⁻¹	<0.001 ^y	-
15th	pH	3.47 ^x	6 – 9	7.14 ^y	105.76
	TSS	35 ^y	<200 mg L ⁻¹	154 ^y	>200
	Fe	10.55 ^x	<7.0 mg L ⁻¹	6.33 ^y	40.00
	Mn	11.15 ^x	<4.0 mg L ⁻¹	3.42 ^y	69.33
	Cd	<0.001 ^y	<0.05 mg L ⁻¹	<0.001 ^y	-
30th	pH	3.53 ^x	6 – 9	7.27 ^y	105.95
	TSS	30 ^y	<200 mg L ⁻¹	127 ^y	>200
	Fe	11.53 ^x	<7.0 mg L ⁻¹	5.92 ^y	48.66
	Mn	10.55 ^x	<4.0 mg L ⁻¹	3.22 ^y	69.48
	Cd	<0.001 ^y	<0.05 mg L ⁻¹	<0.001 ^y	-

Table 4. Data of the compliance parameter of the threshold on the inlet compared with the outlet. Note: ^x = is not comply and ^y = is comply with regulations.

Discussion

Effect of Swampy Forest System on the Changes in Threshold Parameter Value. Voids are a source of AMD with a low pH value and high metal content; this wastewater is not allowed to be released into public waters. The AMD entering the treatment of swampy forest system sees an increase in its pH value and a decrease in its metal content. The presence of organic matter in the swampy forest systems can increase the absorption, deposition, and binding of metals²⁹. Most AMD has a low organic carbon content, so it requires an electron donor (hydrogen or organic compound). Oxidation reactions in the soil use inorganic carbon, while the reduction process is stimulated by organic carbon, which acts as a carbon source and electron donor^{22,25}. The effect of organic matter showed different results due to different conditions during the decomposition process and the environments that support it. In anaerobic conditions, the most important role is sulfate-reducing bacteria, which thrive in environments that lack oxygen, while the decomposition of organic matter occurs under aerobic conditions that require a large amount of oxygen¹⁰. Flooded conditions can reduce the sulfate concentration. Sulfate-reducing bacteria take up O in the environment lacking O₂ as a component of SO₄²⁻ for

the metabolic process of organic matter decomposition, which then produces H_2S or becomes solid sulfate²⁹. The combination of the use of organic matter, grass species and tree species are an integrated process of utilizing artificial swamp forest conditions by enriching grass and tree species as an integrated process among AMD processing and revegetation processes potential a better growth. In terms of contaminants, it can be reduced, and the area prepared for the land reclamation process by utilizing the development of bacteria in the phytoremediation process⁹. Increasing the pH of the water to a neutral condition will also help the process of plant growth^{16,34}. Parameters of Eh and pH have proven very helpful in characterizing the stability of minerals in sedimentary environments that Eh–pH diagrams calculated from thermodynamic data (e.g.,^{5,30}), they have not proven to be useful, in a practical sense, to individuals working with modern un lithified sediments.

Observation of the TSS parameter in this experiment showed an increase during the treatment process due to the release of OB and EFB particles, which are a group of materials that are not easily soluble in water and consist of particles that are smaller in size and heavier in mass than sediment. TSS may consist of silt, clay, metal oxides, sulfides and other inorganic particles, which can contribute to increasing turbidity, which limits light penetration for photosynthesis and visibility in water⁶.

Observations of heavy metals in the form of Fe, Mn and Cd have confirmed several previous studies that certain types of grass and tree species can absorb metal content in water. These grass species also show better performance in a constructed wetland in a previous study³⁵. Types of grass such as purun grass, batibati grass, typha grass and vetiver grass can tolerate and adapt well by forming defensive colonies and are able to survive in flooded and acidic conditions^{12,29}. The grass species adapted as shown by their better biomass production and efficiency to reduce the Fe and Mn¹⁵, which can be indicated by the grass species accumulation potential¹³. The selected tree species are also tolerant species, as their growth was not significantly disturbed. Types of galam trees, bangkal trees, longkida trees and kayuputih trees are species that are able to survive and tolerate acidic and flooded conditions^{20,33}. The accumulation of certain metals in plants varies greatly among tree species, and the uptake of elements by plants mainly depends on the grass or tree species. Some types of grass and trees can limit the absorption of heavy metals and limit their movement to plant tissues through root cells, which act as the first defense at the extracellular level through root absorption and metal ion binding³⁴. The combination of planting grass and tree species with local and nonlocal specifications showed the optimum results. When higher heavy metal ions accumulate in the cytosol, plants must detoxify these contaminants and minimize their toxic effects² through the chelation of lignin with heavy metal ions³⁴. Phytoremediation is one of the processes that helps the absorption of metals by plants and depends on the ability of roots to limit the mobility of contaminants and their availability in the soil through binding, deposition, or reduction of complexity³¹. The grass and tree species selected can absorb heavy metals through their roots and shoots by reducing the content of water in the soil through a fairly high transpiration process in the rooting area, which ultimately limits the movement of heavy metals in the soil²⁸. Some root exudates can change the pH of rooting areas, which can help the deposition of heavy metals, limit their availability and reduce the level of poisoning⁴. When excess heavy metal ions accumulate in the cytosol, plants must detoxify these contaminants to minimize their toxic effects².

Scale-up the laboratory data to the field application pilot project. Laboratory simulation experiments based on combination treatments of organic matter, grass species and tree species have been determined as the basic data reference for scale-up applications in the field. The swampy forest system has demonstrated its ability to change the water quality that has not met the quality standard parameter values to water quality that is in compliance with applicable regulations¹⁷. The scale-up process of the basic data scale-up to the pilot project is shown in Table 5 and design for pilot project of swampy forest system as illustrated in Fig. 5.

The use of laboratory simulation basic data for the construction of a swampy forest system in the field. The design of construction of a swampy forest system is very dependent on the conditions of the area where the swampy forest system will be applied in the field. Considering on field conditions, the basic data obtained from laboratory simulations will be scaled up by 1.000 times which will be the location of one hectare pilot project to consider a convenient calculation. Laboratory simulation reactors that have a volume of 1.2 m³ of wastewater per reactor will be converted into a compartment of 900 m³ with a length of 60 m and a width of 15 m with water depth of 1 m. The water discharge that will flow through the swampy forest system is converted to 1,000 m³ day⁻¹ or 41.67 m³ h⁻¹ by a correction factor of 0.03472223 while the retention time is 108.8 h for a volume of 3600 m³ which is divided into four compartments. The pilot project plan in the field is designed as illustrated in Fig. 6. Conversion of basic data from laboratory simulation results converted to the field will be tested and corrected in pilot project experiments.

Description	Laboratory simulation result data	Scale up	Pilot project design calculation on field
(Basic data)	(a)	(b)	(c = a x b)
Total volume of water	V_1		$V_2 = (V_1 \times T \times Cf_1)$
Debt of water flow in	D_1	T (times)	$D_2 = (D_1 \times T \times Cf_2)$
Retention time	R_1		$R_2 = (R_1 \times T \times Cf_3)$

Table 5. Calculation scales up process reference with the basic data of Simulation Laboratory Experiment. Note: Cf_1 = correction factor (CF) for water volume; Cf_2 = CF for water debt; Cf_3 = CF for retention time.




Figure 6. Layout design for construction swampy forest system referenced by basic data of laboratory simulation result.

Conclusion

The laboratory swampy forest system experiment showed the compliance performance for treating AMD, with an incompliance value changing to the compliance value of the threshold parameter. The basic data for scale-up reference comprises determining the capacity of the flow rate, the volume of water flowing into the swampy forest system and the retention time needed to obtain, at the end of the treatment process, a water quality that meets the quality standard parameter values before the wastewater is released to water public bodies. The swampy forest system in the data laboratory can be used as a reference when scaling up to a pilot project in which a swampy forest system is designed and constructed in the field. Compared to previous processing, the swampy forest system can reduce processing costs, increase the process of passive treatment capacity, naturally process, and more environmentally friendly because it utilizes organic waste and reduces the use of chemicals for wastewater treatment to meet the compliance threshold before release to public bodies.

Data availability

For data availability, all data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this manuscript.

Received: 16 January 2023; Accepted: 5 April 2023

Published online: 13 April 2023

References

- Blowes, D. W., Ptacek, C. J., Jambor, J. L., Weisener, C. G., Paktunc, D., Gould, W. D., & Johnson, D. B. (2013). The Geochemistry of acid mine drainage. In *Treatise on Geochemistry: Second edition*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-095975-7.00905-0>
- Bonanno, G. & Cirelli, G. L. Comparative analysis of element concentrations and translocation in three wetland congener plants: *Typha domingensis*, *Typha latifolia* and *Typha angustifolia*. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **143**(March), 92–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.05.021> (2017).
- Cherry, D. S., Currie, R. J., Soucek, D. J., Latimer, H. A. & Trent, G. C. An integrative assessment of a watershed impacted by abandoned mined land discharges. *Environ. Pollut.* **111**(3), 377–388. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491\(00\)00093-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(00)00093-2) (2001).
- Dhir, B. (2013). Phytoremediation: Role of aquatic plants in environmental clean-up. In *Phytoremediation: Role of Aquatic Plants in Environmental Clean-Up*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-1307-9>
- Garrells, R. M. and Christ, C. M. 1965. Solutions, minerals and equilibria. Harpers' geoscience series. Harper and Row, New York, NY.
- Gargallo, S., Martín, M., Oliver, N. & Hernández-Crespo, C. Sedimentation and resuspension modelling in free water surface constructed wetlands. *Ecol. Eng.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.09.014> (2017).
- Gautama, R. S., Novianti, Y. S. and Supringgo, E. 2014. Review on In-pit Treatment of Acidic Pit Lake in Jorong Coal Mine, South Kalimantan, Indonesia. An Interdisciplinary Response to Mine Water Challenges - Sui, Sun & Wang (Eds), China University of Mining and Technology Press, Xuzhou. ISBN 978-7-5646-2437-8 : 645–649
- Geller, W., Schultze, M., Kleinmann, R., & Wolkersdorfer, C. (2013). Acidic pit lakes: The legacy of coal and metal surface mines. *Environmental Science and Engineering (Subseries: Environmental Science)*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-29384-9>
- Glick, B. R. Phytoremediation: Synergistic use of plants and bacteria to clean up the environment. *Biotechnol. Adv.* **21**, 383–393. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0734-9750\(03\)00055-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0734-9750(03)00055-7) (2003).
- Gibert, O., de Pablo, J., Cortina, J. L. & Ayora, C. Treatment of acid mine drainage by sulphate-reducing bacteria using permeable reactive barriers: A review from laboratory to full-scale experiments. *Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol.* **1**, 327–333. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023227616422> (2002).
- Herniwanti, Priatmadi, J. B., Yanuwadi, B., & Soemarno. (2014). Characteristics of acid mine water. *International Journal of ChemTech Research*.
- Irhamni, Pandia, S., Purba, E., & Hasan, W. (2018). In Bahasa: Analisis Limbah Tumbuhan Fitoremediasi (*Typha latifolia*, Enceng gondok, kiambang) dalam menyerap logam berat (Analysis of Phytoremediation Plant Waste (*Typha latifolia*, Water Hyacinth,

- Kiambang) in absorbing heavy metals). *Serambi Engineering*, III, 344–351. Retrieved from <https://ojs.serambimekkah.ac.id/index.php/jse/article/view/439>
13. Karathanasis, A. D. & Johnson, C. M. Metal removal potential by three Aquatic Plants in an acid mine drainage wetland. *Mine Water Environ.* **22**, 22–30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s102300300004> (2003).
 14. McCullough, C. D. Approaches to remediation of acid mine drainage water in pit lakes. *Int. J. Min. Reclam. Environ.* <https://doi.org/10.1080/17480930701350127> (2008).
 15. Munawar, A., Leitu, F. O. & Bustamam, H. Aquatic plants for acid mine drainage remediation in simulated wetland systems. *Natur Indonesia* **13**(3), 244–249 (2011).
 16. Naidu, G. *et al.* A critical review on remediation, reuse and resource recovery from acid mine drainage. *Environ. Pollut.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.01.085> (2019).
 17. Noor, I., Arifin, Y. F., Priatmadi, B. J. & Saïdy, A. R. Development of the Swampy forest system for passive treatment of acid mine drainage during post mining land reclamation : A new concept review. *Ecology, Environment & Conservation - EM International* **26**(2), 901–909 (2020).
 18. Noor, I., Arifin, Y. F., Priatmadi, B. J. & Saïdy, A. R. Oil palm empty fruit bunch as the selected organic matter in developing the Swampy forest system for passive treatment of acid mine drainage. *Ecology, Environment & Conservation* **26**(3), 1424–1431 (2020).
 19. Noor, I., Arifin, Y. F., Priatmadi, B. J., & Saïdy, A. R. (2020c). Role of the selected grass Species in developing of Swampy forest system for passive treatment of acid mine drainage. *Material Science Forum Journal*, 1014 (November 2020).
 20. Noor, I., Arifin, Y. F., Priatmadi, B. J., Saïdy, A. R., & Mansur, I. (2022). Role of the tree species selected in developing Swampy forest system for passive treatment of acid mine drainage. *Technium Sustainability*, 2(1), 46–53. <https://doi.org/10.47577/sustainability.v2i1.5889>
 21. Noor, I., Udiandyah, U., Priatmadi, B. J., & Winarni, E. (2019). Evaluation of degraded land management on soil physical properties of Coal post mining Revegetation Land. *EnviroScienteeae*, 15(3), 441. <https://doi.org/10.20527/es.v15i3.7438>
 22. Nordstrom, D. K., Blowes, D. W. & Ptacek, C. J. Hydrogeochemistry and microbiology of mine drainage: An update. *Appl. Geochem.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2015.02.008> (2015).
 23. Pergub Kalsel 036. (2008). In Bahasa: *Peraturan Gubernur Propinsi Kalimantan Selatan Nomor 036 Tahun 2008 Tentang Baku Mutu Limbah Cair (BMLC) Bagi Kegiatan Industri, Hotel, Restoran, Rumah Sakit, Domestik dan Pertambangan (Governor of South Kalimantan Province Regulation Number 036 of 2008 concerning Liquid Waste Quality Standards (BMLC) for Industrial, Hotel, Restaurant, Hospital, Domestic and Mining Activities)*
 24. RoyChowdhury, A., Sarkar, D. & Datta, R. Remediation of acid mine drainage-impacted water. *Current Pollution Reports* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40726-015-0011-3> (2015).
 25. Sánchez-Andrea, I., Sanz, J. L., Bijmans, M. F. M. & Stams, A. J. M. Sulfate reduction at low pH to remediate acid mine drainage. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **269**, 98–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.12.032> (2014).
 26. Sekarjannah, F. A., Wardoyo, S. S. & Ratih, Y. W. Management of mine acid drainage in a constructed wetland using hyacinth plants and addition of organic materials. *J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage.* **6**(4), 1847–1855. <https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2019.064.1847> (2019).
 27. Skousen, J., Ziemkiewicz, P. F. & McDonald, L. M. Acid mine drainage formation, control and treatment: Approaches and strategies. *Extractive Industries and Society* **6**(1), 241–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exis.2018.09.008> (2018).
 28. Skousen, J., Zipper, C. E., McDonald, L. M., Hubbart, J. A. & Ziemkiewicz, P. F. Sustainable reclamation and water management practices. In *Advances in Productive, Safe, and Responsible Coal Mining*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-101288-8.00015-8> (2019).
 29. Skousen, J. *et al.* Review of Passive Systems for Acid Mine Drainage Treatment. *Mine Water Environ.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10230-016-0417-1> (2017).
 30. Stumm, W., and Morgan, J.J. 1970, *Aquatic Chemistry*: New York, Wiley, 583 p.
 31. Srivastava, N. (2016). Role of phytochelatins in phytoremediation of heavy metals contaminated soils. In *Phytoremediation: Management of Environmental Contaminants, Volume 3*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-40148-5_13
 32. Takarina, N. D., & Pin, T. G. (2017). Bioconcentration Factor (BCF) and Translocation Factor (TF) of Heavy Metals in Mangrove Trees of Blanakan Fish Farm. *Makara Journal of Science*, 21(2). <https://doi.org/10.7454/mss.v21i2.7308>
 33. Tuheteru, F. D., Kusmana, C., Mansur, I., Iskandar, & Tuheteru, E. J. (2016). Potential of lonkida (*Naucea orientalis* L.) for phytoremediation of acid mined drainage at PT. bukit asam Tbk. (persero), Indonesia. *Research Journal of Botany*, 11(1–3), 9–17. <https://doi.org/10.3923/rjb.2016.9.17>
 34. Yan, A. *et al.* Phytoremediation: A Promising Approach for Revegetation of Heavy Metal-Polluted Land. *Front. Plant Sci.* **11**(April), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00359> (2020).
 35. Zubair, A., Abdullah, N. O., Ibrahim, R. & Rachma, A. R. D. Effectivity of constructed wetland using *Typha angustifolia* in analyzing the decrease of heavy metal (Fe) in acid mine drainage. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/419/1/012160> (2020).

Acknowledgements

This research is part of the swampy forest project led by the author, who gives thanks to the following: PT Jorong Barutama Greston (JBG), the coal mining company in South Kalimantan, Indonesia for the permission to use the research facility and Reclamation-Environmental Team of JBG for field work support (Catur, Yamani, Rizali, Mulyono, Fauzi, Eva, Aldiaka, Arriza, Fahri, Jodian, Elisa, Damali, Suwondo, Nada and Suriadi). The University of Newcastle, Australia for the oral presentation of Zero Quicklime by Swampy Forest in the 9th annual best practice ecological rehabilitation of mined land conference in Newcastle, Australia on 19–21 June 2019. Lead by Professor Tim Robert. Dr. Ir. Irdika Mansur M.For.Sc., Director of SEAMEO BIOTROP for valuable input during the project. This paper has been presented as a keynote address at the International Conference on Energy, Resources, Environment, and Sustainable Development on May 26–27, 2022, Xuzhou, China.

Author contributions

I.N. wrote the main manuscript text and other authors (Y.F.A., B.J.P., and A.R.S.) reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to I.N.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2023