scientific reports

OPEN



MiRNA expression profiles of the perilesional skin of atopic dermatitis and psoriasis patients are highly similar

Gemma Carreras-Badosa^{1,2}, Julia Maslovskaja¹, Helen Vaher¹, Laura Pajusaar¹, Tarmo Annilo³, Freddy Lättekivi¹, Matthias Hübenthal⁴, Elke Rodriguez⁴, Stephan Weidinger⁴, Külli Kingo^{5,6} & Ana Rebane^{1⊠}

Atopic dermatitis (AD) and *psoriasis vulgaris* (PV) are chronic inflammatory skin diseases with heterogeneous molecular backgrounds. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) contribute to either development or regulation of many immune system related diseases. Only few miRNA profiling studies are available for AD and no comparisons between AD and PV skin miRNA profiles have been performed recently. We conducted a miRNA profiling analysis of skin, as well as serum, from adult AD and PV patients and control individuals. 130 miRNAs were differentially expressed in AD skin, of which 77 were common differentially expressed in AD and PV. No differentially expressed miRNAs were detected in serum. Pathway analyses revealed differentially expressed miRNAs to potentially target immune-system related pathways, including TNF- α , IL-2/STAT4 and IL-6/JAK/STAT3. Additional genetic analysis of published AD GWAS dataset detected association of several target genes of differentially expressed miRNAs in skin. Moreover, miR-28-5p, miR-31-5p, miR-378a-3p and miR-203a were validated as upregulated in the skin of AD and PV patients. All validated miRNAs were reliable predictive markers for AD or PV. In conclusion, miRNA expression pattern in the skin of adult AD patients is highly similar to that of PV with multiple differentially expressed miRNAs potentially involved in the regulation of immune responses in AD and PV.

Atopic dermatitis (AD) and *psoriasis vulgaris* (PV) are two most common chronic systemic inflammatory skin diseases^{1,2}. AD is characterised by pruritus and eczema, while PV is characterised by sharply demarcated ery-thematous plaques covered by micaceous silvery-white scale. In developed countries, AD affects up to $5\%^1$ and PV up to 3% of adults². Complex and multifactorial pathogenesis comprise these two diseases³⁴ that present also altered adaptive immune responses. In AD, more strong Th2 responses occur and are considered to play triggering roles^{1,3}. Accordingly, monoclonal antibodies binding to the IL-4 receptor- α subunit, and several JAK1 inhibitors, inhibiting both IL-4 and IL-13 signalling, have been demonstrated to be efficient in a large subset of patients with AD^{5,6}. As for PV, enhanced Th17 responses are characteristic^{2,4}; and monoclonal antibodies targeting interleukin (IL)-23, IL-17, and IL-17 receptor (IL-17RA), as well as TNF inhibitors, have been shown excellent efficacy^{7,8}. Concordantly, several transcriptomic studies using lesional skin samples from AD and PV patients have confirmed more strong Th2 component in AD skin and enhanced Th17 cell-responses in PV, with still a remarkable overlap in differentially expressed genes in these two diseases^{9,10}.

microRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNA molecules able to post-transcriptionally modulate gene expression, and to contribute to the development or regulation of several diseases, including skin inflammation¹¹. Only few studies have aimed to describe miRNA expression profiles in the skin of AD patients^{12,13}, while multiple studies have been performed for PV¹⁴⁻¹⁶. Moreover, to our knowledge, only the earliest study from 2007 compared miRNA profiles of AD and PV skin, and indicated both similarities and differences between these

¹Institute of Biomedicine and Translational Medicine, University of Tartu, Ravila 14B, 50411 Tartu, Estonia. ²Endocrinology, Girona Biomedical Research Institute, Girona, Spain. ³Institute of Genomics, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia. ⁴Department of Dermatology, University Medical Center Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany. ⁵Department of Dermatology, University of Tartu, Estonia. ⁶Dermatology Clinic, Tartu University Hospital, Tartu, Estonia. ^{Semanl}: ana.rebane@ut.ee

two diseases¹⁷. Several miRNAs have been shown to modulate immune and/or cellular responses either in AD or PV as for example miR-31¹⁸ and miR-146a^{19,20}.

In addition to tissues, miRNAs have also been found in body fluids, such as serum or plasma, where their identification is of remarkable value as minimally invasive circulating markers of diseases²¹. Nevertheless, only a few studies from others describe miRNA expression profiles either in plasma or serum of children with AD²², or circulating miRNAs in serum samples of AD adult patients²³ and in PV²⁴.

Hereafter, although several miRNAs have been demonstrated to function in the regulation of disease related processes involved in AD and PV, there is no recent miRNA profiling data of AD skin, no serum miRNA profiling of adult European AD patients has been published to date, and comparison of AD and PV miRNA profiles and genetic analyses are scarce.

Our aim was to analyse the miRNA profile of skin and serum samples in AD and PV, as well as in control individuals, in order to assess the differentially expressed miRNAs in AD and PV vs controls. Additionally, we also wanted to assess differentially expressed miRNAs in AD as compared to PV. Finally, we aimed to perform genetic association analysis for miRNAs differentially expressed in skin of AD patients.

For that aim, we conducted a miRNA profiling analysis of both skin and serum samples from adult AD and PV patients and control individuals using a miRNA array, as well as further validation of selected miRNAs by RT-qPCR. Moreover, we performed genetic association analysis for the observed differentially expressed miRNAs in the skin of AD patients using an available GWAS AD dataset.

Results

Skin differential miRNA expression profiles of AD and PV are highly similar. We conducted a miRNA profiling of skin samples from adult AD and PV patients and control individuals with comparable demographic characteristics (Table 1) using Affymetrix[™] microarrays containing probes for 4604 human mature microRNAs, of which 2668 were detected to be expressed in skin. The principal component (PC) analysis of the miRNA expression of the samples showed an overlap on the AD and PV populations as compared to the controls (Fig. 1A). 130 miRNAs were identified as differentially expressed miRNAs in AD and 81 miRNAs as differentially expressed miRNAs in PV as compared to controls at differential FDR adjusted p-value < 0.05, and log2 fold change > 2.0 or < - 2.0 (Fig. 1C). From all differentially expressed miRNAs, 127 were up-regulated and 3 down-regulated in AD and 81 up-regulated in PV as compared to controls (Supplementary Tables S1, S2).

Of note, previously identified deregulated miRNAs in AD and PV lesional skin have been identified here as well: including miR-31, miR-21, miR-203, miR-146a, miR-24, miR-27, miR-193 and miR-221^{25,26} (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2).

Moreover, among differentially expressed miRNAs, 77 were common in AD and PV as compared to healthy controls (Fig. 1B).

An additional analysis between AD and PV samples showed that no differentially expressed miRNAs could be found at differential FDR adjusted p-value < 0.05, and log2 fold change > 2.0 or < -2.0 (Supplementary Table S3). This demonstrates a striking similarity in miRNA expression profiles of skin biopsies from AD and PV patients.

We next performed the pathway analysis for the predicted targets of differentially expressed miRNAs. For AD vs controls, we detected among others very high enrichment for TNF- α via NF- κ B, IL-2/STAT5 and IL-6/JAK/STAT3 signalling and; for PV vs controls we detected among others high enrichment for TNF- α via NF- κ B and PI3K/AKT/mTOR signalling (Fig. 1D).

The enrichment analyses revealed that target genes of miRNA differentially expressed in AD and PV vs controls are expressed in monocytes and B cells, as well as in CD8 + cells in case of AD vs control (Fig. 1E).

Genetic association analysis indicates no genetic association of differentially expressed miR-NAs with AD but several polymorphisms are located in close vicinity to the target genes of skin AD differentially expressed miRNAs. Additionally, we screened the EAGLE eczema consortium GWAS dataset²⁷ to assess whether polymorphisms known to be associated with AD fall within or close to the genes encoding differentially expressed miRNAs in order to identify miRNAs that may potentially have a genetic association with AD. This approach could help in identifying novel miRNAs that could be involved in AD pathophysiology.

We screened, for genetic associations (1) within and close to (\pm 30 Mb) the coding regions of the differentially expressed miRNAs and (2) within and close to (\pm 30 Mb) the coding regions of target genes of the differentially expressed miRNAs.

Being stringent to the significance threshold of the screening done between the previously mentioned available GWAS dataset related to AD and the list of genes encoding our differentially expressed miRNAs in skin of AD patients, results were as follows: , (1) we did not find a single signal in the coding region of the differentially expressed miRNAs, however, (2) we did find several polymorphisms within the coding regions or close vicinity of the target genes, including hsa-let-7f.-5p, -7 g-5p, -7i-5p target *IL13*, miRNA hsa-miR-130b-3p target *IRF1* and miRNA hsa-miR-28-5p target *STAT5B* (significance threshold $p < 0.05/10^{-6}$; output result shown in Supplementary Table S4 and Supplementary Fig. S1).

Out of the differentially expressed miRNAs, hsa-let-7f.-5p, -7 g-5p, -7i-5p, hsa-miR-130b-3p and hsa-miR-28-5p (Supplementary Table S1), and its respective target genes, IL13, IRF1 and STAT5B, were related to several polymorphisms involved in AD in the genetic association analysis (Supplementary Fig. S1). These results that observed polymorphisms within the coding regions or in close vicinity of the target genes of the differentially expressed miRNAs could suggest implications such as alterations on the binding capacity of these differentially expressed miRNAs to their target genes and/or affections in the promoter regions of their target genes, although further studies should be made in order to confirm such mechanisms.

	Profiling anal	ysis			Validation analysis				
	All subjects	AD	PV	Controls	All subjects	AD	PV	Controls	
	N=31	N=11	N=11	N=9	N=33	N=12	N=12	N=9	
Age, years ^A	39.32±13.92	36.27±18.10	43.82±12.53	37.56±8.79	40.52 ± 14.44	37.50 ± 17.77	45.75±13.69	37.56 ± 8.79	
Sex, female, n (female %) ^B	14 (45.2%)	7 (63.6%)	3 (27.3%)	4 (44.4%)	14 (45.2%)	7 (58.3%)	3 (25.0%)	4 (44.4%)	
Age of onset, years ^A			< 40				< 40		
Severity of AD									
moderate (IGA=3), n (%) ^B		6 (54.5%)				7 (58.3%)			
severe (IGA = 4), n (%) ^B		5 (45.5%)				5 (41.7%)			
Severity of PV, PASI score ^A			10.23 ± 4.53				11.01±5.09		
Affected joints, n (%)			7 (63.6%)				8 (66.7%)		
Affected nails, n (%)			5 (45.5%)				6 (50.0%)		
Affected head, n (%)			6 (54.5%)				7 (58.3%)		
Asthma, yes n (yes %) ^B	1 (3.2%)	1 (9.1%) #	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (3.0%)	1 (8.3%) #	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
hsa-miR- 31-5p relative signal, log2 or 2 ^{-ΔCt}	4.83±3.12	6.20±0.92^	7.25±0.63*^	0.19±0.13	32.26±28.10	21.19±19.89	66.35±38.46*^	1.58±1.25	
hsa-miR- 378a-3p rela- tive signal, log2 or $2^{-\Delta-Ct}$	7.01±0.69	7.29±0.52^	7.40±0.37^	6.18±0.41	4.54±2.92	4.97±2.67^	6.63±1.73^	1.16±0.72	
hsa-miR- 28-5p relative signal, log2 or 2 ^{-Δ-Ct}	2.88±1.95	3.71±1.79^	3.73±1.47^	0.83±0.71	3.53±2.21	4.95±2.10^	3.91±1.59^	1.15±0.68	
hsa-miR- 203a relative signal, log2 or $2^{-\Delta-Ct}$	8.75±0.67	8.96±0.47^	9.21±0.27^	7.92±0.46	1.57 ± 0.90	1.27±0.39*	2.27±1.14^	1.03±0.23	

Table 1. Characteristics of recruited AD and PV patients and age matched controls for the study of skin tissuesamples. AD atopic dermatitis, PV psoriasis vulgaris, IGA investigator's global assessment score for overallseverity of AD signs, PASI psoriasis area severity index for overall severity of psoriasis signs. ^AData are shownas mean ± standard deviation (SD). ANOVA (post hoc test) *p<0.05 comparing AD vs PV, ^ p<0.05 compared</td>to controls. ^BData are shown as absolute numbers and percentages in brackets. Chi Square test, *p<0.05.</td>

Skin expression of miR-31-5p, miR-28-5p, miR-378a-3p, miR-203a and miR-146a. Among the skin differentially expressed miRNAs, miR-31-5p, and miR-28-5p, miR-378a-3p, miR-203a and miR-146a were selected as candidates for validation. These miRNAs were selected because: (1) they were all differentially expressed miRNAs based on array data (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2) and (2) these miRNAs had been previously or in this study related to PV or AD More particularly, miR-31-5p was the top differentially expressed miRNA in both PV and AD samples in our study, and also extensively studied in PV previously²⁸; miR-28-5p was identified as one of the differentially expressed genes in our array and in our genetic association analysis as miRNA targeting genes linked to AD; miR-378a-3p was selected because it is differentially expressed in AD and PV as well as because a recent study has described its relation to PV²⁹; miR-203a was chosen as differentially expressed in AD and PV³⁰ and PV²⁸; and finally, miR-146a was selected as differentially expressed in the array data and it is known to inhibit inflammatory responses in both AD and PV^{19,20,31}.

Figure 2A demonstrates that differentially expression of these selected miRNAs in AD and PV was confirmed in most cases by RT-qPCR. Moreover, the studied differentially expressed miRNAs were reliable predictive markers for AD or PV with a good or excellent discriminatory accuracy (good discriminatory accuracy with area under the curve (AUC) 0.899° AUC $^{\circ}$ 0.700, excellent discriminatory accuracy with 1.000° AUC $^{\circ}$ 0.900; Supplementary Fig. S2). Moreover, high levels of miR-31-5p (OR = 2.43; 95% confidence interval 1.04-5.64; p < 0.05) and high levels of miR-378a-3p (OR = 3.97; 95% confidence interval 1.22-12.87; p < 0.05) predicted the manifestation of AD (Fig. 2B). On the other hand, high levels of miR-28-5p (OR = 4.69; 95% confidence interval



Figure 1. Differentially expressed miRNAs in skin tissue of AD and PV patients. (**A**) Principal component (PC) analysis of the miRNA profiling of the skin samples. Unit variance scaling is applied to rows; SVD with imputation is used to calculate principal components. X and Y axis show principal component 1 and principal component 2 that explain 64.2% and 6.3% of the total variance, respectively. Prediction ellipses are such that with probability 0.95, a new observation from the same group will fall inside the ellipse. N = 31 data points. (**B**) Venn diagram comparing the number of differentially expressed miRNAs from AD vs controls with PV vs controls analyses. (**C**) Hierarchical clustering analysis: heat map showing the differentially expressed miRNAs by studied groups plotted using ClustVis (correlation distance measure and average linkage function). Score values of principal component analysis (PCA) calculated from log2 values from the array miRNA expression signals were used. Clustered up- and down-regulated genes in AD and/or PV vs controls and AD vs PV from the profiling cohort. (**D**) Enrichment analysis of skin tissue samples for biological pathways performed with Enrichr. (**E**) Sites of expression of differentially expressed miRNAs-gene targets of skin tissue samples performed with Target Scan and Enrichr.



Figure 2. Skin miRNAs as predictive markers of AD and PV. (**A**) miR-31-5p, miR-28-5p, miR-378a-3p, miR-203a and miR-146a relative expression of control, PV and AD serum samples from the validation cohort assessed by RT-qPCR analysis. Data are represented as mean with SD and ANOVA (post hoc test) * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 and **** p < 0.0001. (**B**) Forest plot showing Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of miR-31-5p, miR-28-5p, miR-378a-3p, miR-203a and miR-146a for AD and PV assessed by logistic binary regression.

1.35-16.33; p < 0.05) and high levels of miR-203a (OR=13.93; 95% confidence interval 1.15-38.45; p < 0.05) were indicators of PV (Fig. 2B). Finally, levels of miR-146a did not predict the manifestation of AD or PV (Fig. 2B).

In conclusion, miRNA profiling results of skin biopsies from AD and PV patients revealed highly similar expression pattern between the two diseases as compared to controls.

miRNA profiling of serum samples did not reveal any potentially differentially expressed miR-NAs in AD and PV as compared to healthy controls. We next conducted a miRNA profiling of serum samples from adult AD and PV patients and control individuals with comparable demographic characteristics (Table 2) using the same Affymetrix microarrays as for the skin samples.

The principal component (PC) analysis of the miRNA expression of the samples showed an overlap on the AD and PV populations as compared to the healthy controls (Supplementary Fig. S3A). We did not identify any differentially expressed miRNA in AD or PV as compared to controls, nor any differentially expressed miRNA in AD or PV as compared to controls, nor any differentially expressed miRNA in AD as compared to PV, for FDR adjusted p-value < 0.05 and log2 fold change > 1.4 or < - 1.4 (Supplementary Tables S5, S6 and S7).

Despite previous studies have shown differentially regulated miRNAs in both plasma and whole blood from PV patients³², we did not find FDR adjusted p-value differences in serum samples between PV and healthy control samples of our cohort.

Nevertheless, unadjusted p-value < 0.05 and log2 fold change > 1.4 or < -1.4 showed potential differences: 9 miRNAs tended to be up-or-down-regulated in AD as compared to controls (Supplementary Table S5); 2 miRNAs tended to be down-regulated in PV as compared to controls (Supplementary Table S6); and finally 8 miRNAs tended to be up-or-down-regulated in AD patients as compared to PV patients (Supplementary Table S7).

To assess whether these potential deregulated miRNAs may be relevant to the disease, we performed bioinformatics analysis of their target genes. As a result, enrichment for PI3K/Akt/mTOR, IL-2/STAT5, TGF- β and TNF- α signalling, among other pathways was detected (Supplementary Fig. S3B). The enrichment analyses revealed those target genes to be expressed in blood vessels and skin among the top predicted tissues, and in B cells, NK cells, CD8 + cells, monocytes and CD4 + cells (Supplementary Fig. S3C).

Additionally, and as we had a serum validation cohort, we checked the potential deregulated miRNAs in serum by RT-qPCR and found that miR-122-5p was reduced in serum of adult AD patients as compared to PV and control subjects (Supplementary Fig. S4A) and confirmed to be a reliable predictive marker for AD outcome with a good discriminatory accuracy (Supplementary Fig. S4B). Moreover, low levels of circulating miR-122-5p predicted the manifestation of AD (OR = 1.79; 95% confidence interval 1.01–3.19; p < 0.05; Supplementary Fig. S4C). Finally, AD patients, showing reduced miR-122-5p levels, also showed increased IgE levels in serum as compared to PV and control subjects while no differences were observed in the circulating levels of IL-12p40 among groups (Supplementary Fig. S4D).

	Profiling analysi	s			Validation analysis			
	All subjects	AD	PV	Controls	All subjects	AD	PV	Controls
	N=49	N=16	N=16	N=17	N=70	N=33	N=19	N=18
Age, years ^A	35.38 ± 9.75	34.62 ± 10.82	32.81±9.62	38.52 ± 8.41	34.55 ± 10.99	32.78 ± 12.18	33.00 ± 9.46	39.44 ± 9.04
Sex female, n (female %) ^B	34 (69.4%)	11 (68.8%)	11 (68.8%)	12 (70.6%)	47 (67.1%)	21 (63.6%)	13 (68.4%)	13 (72.2%)
Age of onset, years ^A		$9.68 \pm 16.64^{*}$	20.18 ± 7.75			$7.48 \pm 12.42^{*}$	20.47 ± 7.79	
Disease duration, years ^A		$23.66 \pm 13.81^*$	11.06 ± 10.54			$24.37 \pm 14.03^*$	10.84 ± 9.81	
Severity of AD								
Moderate (IGA = 3), n (%) ^B		5 (31.3%)				10 (30.3%)		
Severe (IGA = 4), n (%) ^B		11 (68.8%)				23 (69.7%)		
Severity of PV, PASI score ^A			16.31 ± 6.14				15.66 ± 5.85	
Asthma, yes n (yes %) ^B	5 (10.2%)	5 (31.3%) #	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12 (17.1%)	11 (33.3%) #	0 (0%)	1 (5.6%)
Allegic rhinitis, yes n (yes %) ^B	8 (16.3%)	8 (50%) #	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14 (20%)	14 (42.4%) #	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hypertension, yes n (yes %) ^B	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (4.3%)	2 (6.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.6%)
Anaemia, yes n (yes %) ^B	3 (6.1%)	1 (6.3%)	1 (6.3%)	1 (5.9%)	5 (7.1%)	3 (9.1%)	1 (5.3%)	1 (5.6%)
Impetigo, yes n (yes %) ^B	1 (2.0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.9%)	2 (2.9%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.6%)
Acne, yes n (yes %) ^B	1 (2.0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6.3%)	0 (0%)	4 (5.7%)	2 (6.1%)	2 (10.5%)	0 (0%)
IgE, ng/ml ^A	364.48 ± 233.78	609.72±559.02^	213.31 ± 117.58	149.49 ± 86.86	376.87±246.97	526.37±506.89*^	200.98 ± 182.92	149.49 ± 86.86
IL-12p40, pg/ml ^A	72.41 ± 34.14	64.30 ± 36.78	81.26±33.70	71.72 ± 31.91	71.63±32.90	68.91±34.66	77.36±32.19	70.56±31,34
hsa-miR-122-5p relative signal, log2 or $2^{-\Delta-Ct}$	1.17 ± 1.12	0.49±0.35*	1.68 ± 1.09	1.32 ± 1.12	1.35 ± 1.38	0.97±0.70*^	1.62 ± 1.28	1.78 ± 1.14

Table 2. Characteristics of recruited AD and PV patients and age matched controls for the study of serum samples. AD atopic dermatitis, PV psoriasis vulgaris, IGA investigator's global assessment score for overall severity of AD signs, PASI psoriasis area severity index for overall severity of psoriasis signs. ^AData are shown as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). ANOVA (post hoc test) *p<0.05 comparing AD vs PV, ^ p<0.05 comparing AD vs controls. ^BData are shown as absolute numbers and percentages in brackets. Chi Square test, *p<0.05.

Discussion

Skin differential miRNA expression profiles of AD and PV in the skin. We identified a highly similar differentially expressed miRNA profile in the skin of AD and PV patients, with 77 common differentially expressed miRNAs in AD and PV as compared to controls.

Both diseases, despite having clear different pathological and environmental triggers, are systemic inflammatory skin disorders that share some clinical characteristics (rashes or patches of red, raised, itchy skin) and are driven by T-cells³³.

In AD, multiple phenotypes have been described, such as intrinsic AD, Asian AD, pediatric AD and European-American AD, however Th2 and Th22 cells are activated across all phenotypes³⁴.

In PV, IL-12 and IL-23 drive T-cell axes Th1, Th17 and Th22 and activate transcription factors in keratinocytes to drive the immune responses³⁵. The role of Th17, thought to be more related to PV, has been shown to be essential in concomitant AD and PV occurring at the same time in the same individuals³⁶. Of note, the IL-23/ Th17 axis is regulated by JAK/STAT3 pathway³⁷, a pathway which also appeared in our enrichment analyses. Interestingly, this pathway is targeted in treatment of both PV³⁸ and AD³⁹.

Some speculations on the dashingly similar expression profile in the skin could be because of (1) similarities in skin cell responses and (2) due to the nature of our sampling, as all patient samples were collected at exacerbation stage from relatively severe patients prior to hospitalization. Interestingly, a recent study combining skin transcriptome and microbiome results reports that IL-1 family cytokines, including IL36G, which is considered PV specific gene¹⁰, to be upregulated in lesional skin of AD patients with more severe disease and high colonization levels of *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*)⁴⁰. In our study, we do not have information about *S. aureus* colonization rate, however, all recruited patients belonged to more sever disease groups with IGA 3 and 4 and we did not find any relationship between disease severity and the expression levels of miRNAs.

Genetic association analysis showed that the differentially expressed miRNAs were not genetically associated with AD. Thus we could speculate that the differential miRNA expression may be caused by the tissue responses during the disease course. However, we detected several polymorphisms to be significantly associated with the coding regions or close vicinity of the differentially expressed miRNA target genes, including hsa-let-7f.-5p, -7 g-5p, -7i-5p target gene IL13 known to be involved in processes such as recruitment of inflammatory cells, alteration of skin microbiome and impairment of epidermal barrier function⁴¹.

Among the selected miRNAs for validation, miR-31-5p, already reported to be upregulated in PV^{42} , was validated in our study as upregulated in PV skin samples and to a lesser extent in AD. Similarly, miR-203a as upregulated in skin of PV patients. And the other two chosen miRNAs, miR-28-5p and miR-378a-3p were newly identified as upregulated in skin of both AD and PV patients.

Interestingly, miR-28-5p has been shown to target STAT5B, which has been related to the regulation of memory T cells⁴³ and to the activation of mast cells in skin inflammation⁴⁴. As STAT5B has shown to be required for an optimal IL-22 production under inflammatory conditions⁴⁵, as well as it has been related to severity of

eczema⁴⁶, it may be implicated both in AD or PV. Furthermore, IL-34, related to Th1/Th17 cytokine production⁴⁷, has been identified as miR-28-5p direct target.

miR-378a-3p was shown to be upregulated in PV⁴⁸, by IL-17A in keratinocytes⁴⁹, and has been shown to regulate keratinocyte responsiveness in PV⁴⁹. We show here that miR-378a-3p is upregulated also in AD in similar extent as in the skin of PV patients. The role of miR-378a-3p in AD could be the regulation of IL-33 as miR-378a-3p has been described to exert a regulatory mechanism on IL-33 upon an inflammatory environment⁵⁰, while IL-33 has been shown to be essential for inducing the immune responses to *S. aureus* in vivo and drive AD development⁵¹.

miR-203a has been described to promote cell proliferation through the activation of the PI3K/Akt signalling pathway⁵². Only Few publications have reported miR-203 to be involved in AD^{22} , and our study suggests that miR-203a levels in the skin are significantly linked to PV⁴⁸. In addition, a recent in vitro keratinocyte study revealed a regulation of miR-203a-3p in a PV-like manner by IL-24¹⁵.

Finally, miRNA 146a has been shown to act as anti-inflammatory miRNA in both PV and AD^{19,20,31}. Here we detected the increased expression of miR-146a both in AD and PV using the array analysis, which was not confirmed by RT-qPCR in case of AD. As the fold change of miR-146a (PV versus control) was much higher in the array analysis as compared RT-qPCR, it is possible that miR-146a RT-qPCR probes are less sensitive.

Taken together, our comparative analysis of AD and PV skin samples shows that the expression of majority of miRNA are changed in both diseases, indicating that they may have a function in the regulation of skin responses of both AD and PV. Further studies are needed to delineate the functions of these miRNAs especially in association of AD which has been less studied.

Serum miRNA expression in AD and PV. Although initially half of the study was focused on analysis of miRNA expression in the serum samples, we did not find significant differentially expressed miRNA profiles in AD or PV.

However, as previously other authors have described up-regulated serum miRNAs in AD in children's cohorts²², we tested miR-122-5p and found it to be reduced in serum of AD patients. Changes in circulating miR-122-5p have been previously detected in many pathological conditions; it is known to induce proliferation and relieve lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammatory damage in keratinocytes⁵³ and its downregulation has been related to pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines⁵⁴. As previously high levels of miR-122-5p have been shown to promote Th1-associated M1 polarization⁵⁵, the decrease in miR-122-5p in serum of AD patients with reduced miR-122-5p in serum presented increased IgE levels as compared to PV and control subjects.

Study strengths and limitations. Our study summarizes miRNA profiling results of both skin and serum samples of adult Caucasian AD and PV patients. This exploratory approach might provide useful information for future mechanistic studies to investigate on the role that these newly described miRNAs may have in AD or PV pathophysiology. As miRNA profiles in AD and PV from previous published studies have involved different age-groups, different methods and/or relatively small sample sizes; the replication and validation of miRNA profiles in other cohorts are of great interest and would be needed. Finally, data from miRNA profiling in serum has limitations: lower number of differentially expressed miRNA in the serum of AD and PV patients when compared to the skin, may be due larger individual variabilities of serum miRNA levels as well as a lower number of circulating miRNAs present in the serum samples as compared to tissue samples. The serum data was not significant after FDR adjusted p-value was used and other studies are needed with larger sample size and/ or other techniques, such as small RNA sequencing. Another limitation is that we had available two separate cohorts, one for serum samples and another for the skin samples, so that data from and the skin and serum cannot be compared. In addition, we would like to point out that the current study is miRNA profiling study and all indications on miRNA functions are based on computer analysis and should be therefore further confirmed experimentally before conclusions about the roles of particular miRNAs can be made.

Conclusion. To summarize, we report miRNA profiling analysis of skin and serum samples of adult AD and PV patients. Our results provide evidence of differentially expressed miRNAs in the skin, with a strikingly similarity of the two diseases according to miRNA expression changes. Moreover, we identified miR-378a-3p, and miR-28-5p and miR-203a as good candidates involved in the regulation of skin responses in AD and PV, respectively.

Materials and methods

Patient recruitment and samples. The study was approved by the Ethics Review Committee on Human Research of the University of Tartu and performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki Principles. All of the study participants were recruited among those seen Dermatology clinic in Tartu University Hospital (Estonia). Informed written consent was obtained from all of the patients.

The study population for skin sample donors consisted of thirty-three Caucasian patients, of which twelve subjects were diagnosed with AD and twelve with PV, and nine subjects were matched controls presenting non-inflammatory skin conditions (Table 1). The study population for serum samples consisted of seventy Caucasian patients, of which thirty-three subjects were diagnosed with AD, nineteen with PV, and eighteen subjects were matched controls presenting non-inflammatory skin conditions (Table 2). The profiling and validation samples were partially overlapping.

Clinical examination was performed according to the protocol followed by skin tissue punch extraction or venous blood sampling. Age, sex, age of onset, disease duration (years of ongoing disease), degree of severity

and information on comorbidities (asthma, allergic rhinitis, hypertension, anaemia, impetigo and acne) were recorded. Subjects with comorbidities, such as diabetes, arthritis or neoplasms were excluded. Overall assessment of the skin lesions in terms of the Investigator's Global Assessment (IGA) ranging from clear (=0) to severe (=4) and focusing on signs of erythema, induration/papulation, lichenification and oozing/crusting was used to define the level of severity for AD⁵⁶. Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI)⁵⁷ was used to define the level of severity of PV and varied from 0 to 72.0 with a threshold of PASI score \leq 10 defined as mild PV and PASI score \geq 10 as moderate-to-severe PV⁵⁷. All skin and serum samples were collected at exacerbation stage. None of the

patients used neither topical nor systemic medications for at least two weeks prior to the time of skin or serum sample collection. None of the patients had been treated with any biologicals before recruitment into the study.

For skin, one punch biopsy skin sample (3–4 mm in diameter) was taken from the marginal zone of lesional skin on the upper limb (mainly around the shoulder area) from the patients with AD or PV, and from a non-sun-exposed site on the upper limb (mainly around the shoulder area) from the control subjects presenting non-inflammatory skin conditions. The serum samples were obtained by standard venepuncture. Separation of serum was performed by centrifugation at 1500*g* for 15 min at 4 °C after 60 min clotting process at room temperature. All skin and serum samples were kept frozen at – 80 °C until assay.

ELISA. Serum IgE and IL-12p40 levels were measured as markers of allergy (Th2-related) and inflammation (Th17-related), respectively²³. They were analysed by ELISA using Human IgE Platinum ELISA-BMS2097 (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA) and IL-12/IL-23 ELISA MAX[™] Deluxe Human (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA). The detection limit of IgE was 0.5 ng/ml and the detection limit of IL-12p40 was 30 pg/ml. Both ELISA kits' intra-assay coefficients of variability were less than 8%.

RNA purification. Total RNA (including miRNAs) was isolated from a skin tissue punch (3–4 mm in diameter) or 150 μ l of serum samples using Qiazol (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) reagent and Total RNA Zol-out kit (A&A Biotechnology, Gdynia, Poland), respectively, according to the manufacturer's instructions with minor modifications. To maintain small RNA fraction in case of Total RNA Zol-out kit, a 5:4 ratio of isopropanol was added to the samples before loading onto kit columns.

Profiling of skin and circulating miRNAs. MiRNA profiling was performed by Affymetrix[™] highthroughput microarray plates (miRNA 4.1 array plate, probes for 4604 human mature miRNAs) using Gene Titan¹¹ multi-channel instrument. 300 ng or 150 ng of total extracted RNA was used per each skin or serum sample, respectively. Protocol included hybridization, staining and washing steps and was performed following manufacturer's instructions. Both quality control (QC) and differential expression analysis were performed using the TAC software (Applied). QC was performed to exclude any possible technical biases that could influence the results, signal background subtraction was applied, and intra and inter-sample variation was normalized using default control probes and RMA-DABG method, with a detection p-value < 0.05. QC also included PC analysis of samples (clustering for disease as expected) and correction by batch effects (such as sample plate and sex of the patients). In skin samples 2668 miRNAs (57.9%) were detected and in serum samples 707 miRNAs (15.3%) were detected. Processed data was fitted to a linear model. Differential miRNA expression analysis was performed using the eBayes moderated t-statistic and individual miRNAs p-values were derived using Limma package for R studio. Genes were considered to be differentially expressed for false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-value < 0.05 and log2 fold change > 2.0 or < - 2.0 in skin samples and log2 fold change > 1.4 or < - 1.4 in serum samples due to the individual variabilities of serum samples. Hierarchical clustering analysis was performed and heat map was plotted using ClustVis web tool⁵⁸.

Array data is available under GEO accession numbers GSE175438 for skin samples and GEO accession number GSE162926 for serum samples.

RT-qPCR. MiRNA expression was validated by real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) on ViiA[™] 7 Real-Time PCR system (Life Technologies, USA) and Taqman miRNA qPCR system. 10 ng of total RNA was reverse transcribed using TaqMan MiRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) and miRNA specific primers. Real time PCR was performed in duplicates using $5 \times \text{HOT FIREPol}^*$ Probe qPCR Mix Plus (ROX) (Solis BioDyne, Tartu, Estonia) and the following Taqman miRNA assays: hsa-miR-31-5p (ID-002279), hsa-miR-28-5p (ID-000411), hsa-miR-378a-3p (ID-002243), hsa-miR-203a-3p (ID-000507), has-miR-146a-5p (ID-000468), hsa-miR-122-5p (ID-002245), hsa-miR-638 (ID-001582), SNORD48 (ID-001006) and hsa-let-7a (ID-000377) (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA). SNORD48 and let-7a were used as housekeeping genes for tissue samples. Hsa-miR-638 was highly and stable expressed in serum and used as reference for serum samples. Relative miRNA levels were calculated according to the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method, and the average expression of healthy controls was set to one.

Pathway and genetic association analyses. Differentially expressed miRNA gene targets were screened using human conserved microRNA in Target Scan⁵⁹. Enrichment analyses for predicting biological pathways and predicted tissue expression was performed using Enrichr tools⁶⁰. To predict biological pathways, we used the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB)⁶¹ which is one of the most widely used and comprehensive databases of gene sets for performing gene set enrichment analysis. To predict tissues and cells influenced by differentially expressed miRNAs according to the target genes protein expression, we used the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project⁶² database containing data on gene expression on every potential human tissue but no data on potential cells, and Human Proteome Map⁶³ for several human tissues and cells, which contains specifically protein expression data on immune cells but lacks information on "skin" or "epithelium" tissues.

Robustness of the enrichment analyses was assessed using random subsets of differential miRNAs and their target genes and observing that the predicted biological pathways and predicted tissue expressions observed in this study were specific of our differential set of miRNAs (data not shown).

Genetic association analysis overlapping the coding regions of candidate differentially expressed miRNAs from our study (analysis of AD vs controls) as well as their target genes (based on miRTarBase-8.0) with the previously available genetic data from EAGLE eczema consortium²⁷ was performed with using a conservative significance threshold of p-value $< 0.05/10^6$ as previously described⁶⁴. EAGLE eczema consortium is a published multi-ethnic GWAS dataset of 21,000 cases and 9500 controls that identified loci associated with AD²⁷.

Statistical analysis. Patient characteristics, clinical and analytic parameters, are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or percentage (calculated from absolute numbers). Variables were compared between groups by analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni post hoc tests for quantitative variables or Chi-square test for qualitative categorical variables at confidence interval (CI) of 95%. To assess the diagnostic potential, the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and Odds Ratio (OR) values with confident interval (CI) 95% were calculated usinglogistic binary regression. In all cases, significance level was set to p-value < 0.05. Data analyses were performed with the SPSS (v.21) statistical software and Graph-Pad (v.6). All figures were assembled using Adobe Illustrator software.

Data availability

The array datasets generated for this study will be publicly found in the GEO repository under GEO accession numbers: GSE175438 for skin samples and GEO accession number GSE162926 for serum samples. When needed, please contact the corresponding author for additional data.

Received: 25 August 2022; Accepted: 28 December 2022 Published online: 31 December 2022

References

- 1. Ständer, S. Atopic dermatitis. N. Engl. J. Med. 384(12), 1136-1143 (2021).
- Billi, A. C., Gudjonsson, J. E. & Voorhees, J. J. Psoriasis: Past, present, and future. J. Investig. Dermatol. 139(11), e133–e142 (2019).
 Akdis, C. A. Does the epithelial barrier hypothesis explain the increase in allergy, autoimmunity and other chronic conditions?. Nat. Rev. Immunol. Nat. Res. 1, 1 (2021).
- 4. Griffiths, C. E. M., Armstrong, A. W., Gudjonsson, J. E. & Barker, J. N. W. N. Psoriasis. The Lancet 397(10281), 1301–1315 (2021).
- Bieber, T. *et al.* Abrocitinib versus placebo or dupilumab for atopic dermatitis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 384(12), 1101–1112 (2021).
- Nogueira, M. & Torres, T. Janus Kinase inhibitors for the treatment of atopic dermatitis: Focus on abrocitinib, baricitinib, and upadacitinib. Dermatol. Pract. Concept. 11, 4 (2021).
- 7. Ghoreschi, K., Balato, A., Enerbäck, C. & Sabat, R. Therapeutics targeting the IL-23 and IL-17 pathway in psoriasis. *The Lancet* **397**(10275), 754–766 (2021).
- Oh, S., Choi, S. & Yoon, H.-S. Available alternative biologics and disease groups influence biologic drug survival in patients with psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. Ann. Dermatol. 34(5), 321 (2022).
- 9. He, H. et al. Tape strips detect distinct immune and barrier profiles in atopic dermatitis and psoriasis. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 147(1), 199–212 (2021).
- Reimann, E. et al. Multicomponent biomarker approach improves the accuracy of diagnostic biomarkers for psoriasis vulgaris. Acta Derm. Venereol. 99(13), 1258–1265 (2019).
- 11. Ruksha, T. G., Komina, A. V. & Palkina, N. V. MicroRNA in skin diseases. Eur. J. Dermatol. 27(4), 343-352 (2017).
- 12. Yu, X. et al. MicroRNAs in atopic dermatitis: A systematic review. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 24(11), 5966-5972 (2020).
- 13. Weidner, J. et al. Spotlight on microRNAs in allergy and asthma. Allergy 76(6), 1661-1678 (2021).
- 14. Solvin, Å. *et al.* MicroRNA profiling of psoriatic skin identifies 11 miRNAs associated with disease severity. *Exp. Dermatol.* **1**, 1 (2021).
- 15. Delić, D. et al. Integrated microRNA/mRNA expression profiling of the skin of psoriasis patients. J. Dermatol. Sci. 97(1), 9–20 (2020).
- Løvendorf, M. B. et al. Laser capture microdissection followed by next-generation sequencing identifies disease-related microRNAs in psoriatic skin that reflect systemic microRNA changes in psoriasis. Exp. Dermatol. 24(3), 187–193 (2015).
- 17. Sonkoly, E. et al. MicroRNAs: Novel regulators involved in the pathogenesis of psoriasis?. PLoS ONE 2, 7 (2007).
- Yan, S. *et al.* NF-κB-induced microRNA-31 promotes epidermal hyperplasia by repressing protein phosphatase 6 in psoriasis. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 1 (2015).
- Hermann, H. et al. miR-146b probably assists miRNA-146a in the suppression of keratinocyte proliferation and inflammatory responses in psoriasis. J. Invest. Dermatol. 137(9), 1945–1954 (2017).
- Rebane, A. et al. MicroRNA-146a alleviates chronic skin inflammation in atopic dermatitis through suppression of innate immune responses in keratinocytes. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 134(4), 836-847.e11 (2014).
- 21. Wu, Y. et al. Circulating microRNAs: Biomarkers of disease. Clin. Chim. Acta 516, 46-54 (2021).
- 22. Lv, Y. et al. Profiling of serum and urinary MicroRNAs in children with atopic dermatitis. PLoS ONE 9(12), e115448 (2014).
- Carreras-Badosa, G. et al. microRNA-146a is linked to the production of IgE in mice but not in atopic dermatitis patients. Allergy Eur. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 73(12), 2400–2403 (2018).
- 24. Chen, L. et al. Circulating microRNA profile unveils mechanisms of action of acitretin for psoriasis vulgaris. Bioengineered 12(1), 1838–1850 (2021).
- Domingo, S., Solé, C., Moliné, T., Ferrer, B. & Cortés-Hernández, J. MicroRNAs in several cutaneous autoimmune diseases: Psoriasis, cutaneous lupus erythematosus and atopic dermatitis. *Cells* 9, 12 (2020).
- Meng, Z., Qiu, J. & Zhang, H. MiR-221-3p as a potential biomarker for patients with psoriasis and its role in inflammatory responses in keratinocytes. *Skin Pharmacol. Physiol.* 34(5), 300–306 (2021).
- Paternoster, L. et al. Multi-ancestry genome-wide association study of 21,000 cases and 95,000 controls identifies new risk loci for atopic dermatitis. Nat. Genet. 47(12), 1449–1456 (2015).
- 28. Xiuli, Y. & Honglin, W. miRNAs flowing up and down: The concerto of psoriasis. Front. Med. 8, 1 (2021).
- 29. Soonthornchai, W. et al. MicroRNA-378a-3p is overexpressed in psoriasis and modulates cell cycle arrest in keratinocytes via targeting BMP2 gene. Sci. Rep. 11, 1 (2021).

- 30. Freire De Carvalho, J. & Machado Ribeiro, F. The potential role of microRNAs as biomarkers in atopic dermatitis: A systematic review. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 24(22), 11801–11803 (2020).
- Srivastava, A. et al. MicroRNA-146a suppresses IL-17-mediated skin inflammation and is genetically associated with psoriasis. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 139(2), 550–561 (2017).
- Løvendorf, M. B., Zibert, J. R., Gyldenløve, M., Røpke, M. A. & Skov, L. MicroRNA-223 and miR-143 are important systemic biomarkers for disease activity in psoriasis. J. Dermatol. Sci. 75(2), 133–139 (2014).
- Guttman-Yassky, E., Nograles, K. E. & Krueger, J. G. Contrasting pathogenesis of atopic dermatitis and psoriasis-part I: Clinical and pathologic concepts. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 127(5), 1110–1118 (2011).
- Guttman-Yassky, E. & Krueger, J. G. Atopic dermatitis and psoriasis: Two different immune diseases or one spectrum?. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 48, 68–73 (2017).
- 35. Engler, D., Chezuba, H. P. & Masuku, P. Psoriasis. SA Pharmaceut. J. 84(5), 38-42 (2017).
- 36. Bozek, A., Zajac, M. & Krupka, M. Atopic dermatitis and psoriasis as overlapping syndromes. *Mediat. Inflamm.* 1, 1 (2020).
- Zhang, M. et al. Rosmarinic acid protects mice from imiquimod induced psoriasis-like skin lesions by inhibiting the IL-23/Th17 axis via regulating Jak2/Stat3 signaling pathway. Phyther. Res. 71, 55 (2021).
- Du, Y., Jiang, S, & Cheng, L. JAK/STAT and VEGF/PAK1 signaling as emerging targets for topical treatment of psoriasis: A pilot study. Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol. Available: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33425111/. Accessed: 26-May-2021.
- Furue, M. Regulation of skin barrier function via competition between AHR Axis versus IL-13/IL-4-JAK-STAT6/STAT3 Axis: Pathogenic and therapeutic implications in atopic dermatitis. J. Clin. Med. 9(11), 3741 (2020).
- Martin, P., Goldstein, J. D., Mermoud, L., Diaz-Barreiro, A. & Palmer, G. IL-1 family antagonists in mouse and human skin inflammation. Front. Immunol. 12, 1 (2021).
- 41. Bieber, T. Interleukin-13: Targeting an underestimated cytokine in atopic dermatitis. Allergy 75(1), 54-62 (2020).
- 42. Domingo, S., Solé, C., Moliné, T., Ferrer, B., & Cortés-Hernández, J. Cells MicroRNAs in several cutaneous autoimmune diseases: Psoriasis, cutaneous lupus erythematosus and atopic dermatitis (2020).
- 43. Majri, S. S. *et al.* STAT5B: A differential regulator of the life and death of CD4 + effector memory T cells. *J. Immunol.* 200(1), 110–118 (2018).
- 44. Ando, T. et al. Critical role for mast cell Stat5 activity in skin inflammation. Cell Rep. 6(2), 366-376 (2014).
- Bauché, D. *et al.* IL-23 and IL-2 activation of STAT5 is required for optimal IL-22 production in ILC3s during colitis. *Sci. Immunol.* 5, 46 (2020).
- 46. Kanai, T., Jenks, J. & Nadeau, K. C. The STAT5b pathway defect and autoimmunity. Front. Immunol. 3, 1 (2012).
- 47. Truong, A. D. *et al.* Interleukin-34 regulates Th1 and Th17 cytokine production by activating multiple signaling pathways through CSF-1R in chicken cell lines. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **19**, 6 (2018).
- Joyce, C. E. et al. Deep sequencing of small RNAs from human skin reveals major alterations in the psoriasis miRNAome. Hum. Mol. Genet. 20(20), 4025–4040 (2011).
- 49. Xia, P., et al., miR-378a regulates keratinocyte responsiveness to IL-17A in psoriasis. Br. J. Dermatol. (2022).
- Dubois-Camacho, K. et al. Inhibition of miR-378a-3p by inflammation enhances IL-33 levels: A novel mechanism of alarmin modulation in ulcerative colitis. Front. Immunol. 10, 1 (2019).
- Al Kindi, A. et al. Staphylococcus aureus second immunoglobulin-binding protein drives atopic dermatitis via IL-33. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 147(4), 1354–1368 (2021).
- Zhang, Y., Zheng, Y. & Zhu, G. MiR-203a-3p targets PTEN to promote hepatocyte proliferation by regulating PI3K/Akt pathway in BRL-3A cells. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 84(4), 725–733 (2020).
- Wang, H. & Wei, S. Tanshinol relieves lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammatory injury of HaCaT cells via down-regulation of microRNA-122. *Phyther. Res.* 33(4), 910–918 (2019).
- 54. Hsu, K. H. et al. Úpregulation of RelB in the miR-122 knockout mice contributes to increased levels of proinflammatory chemokines/cytokines in the liver and macrophages. Immunol. Lett. 226, 22-30 (2020).
- Zhao, Z. et al. Cholesterol impairs hepatocyte lysosomal function causing M1 polarization of macrophages via exosomal miR-122–5p. Exp. Cell Res. 387, 1 (2020).
- Futamura, M. et al. A systematic review of Investigator Global Assessment (IGA) in atopic dermatitis (AD) trials: Many options, no standards. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 74(2), 288–294 (2016).
- 57. Oji, V. & Luger, T. A. The skin in psoriasis: Assessment and challenges. Clin. Exp. Rheumatol. 33, 14-19 (2015).
- Metsalu, T. & Vilo, J. ClustVis: A web tool for visualizing clustering of multivariate data using principal component analysis and heatmap. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43(W1), W566–W570 (2015).
- 59. Agarwal, V., Bell, G. W., Nam, J. W. & Bartel, D. P. Predicting effective microRNA target sites in mammalian mRNAs. *Elife* 4, 1 (2015).
- 60. Kuleshov, M. V. *et al.* Enrichr: A comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server 2016 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44(W1), W90–W97 (2016).
- 61. Liberzon, A. et al. The molecular signatures database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. Cell Syst. 1(6), 417–425 (2015).
- 62. Lonsdale, J. et al. The genotype-tissue expression (GTEx) project. Nat. Genet. 45(6), 580-585 (2013).
- 63. Kim, M. S. et al. A draft map of the human proteome. Nature 509(7502), 575-581 (2014).
- 64. Alles, J. et al. An estimate of the total number of true human miRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 47(7), 3353–3364 (2019).

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to all the patients and controls that took part in the study. This work was supported by European Regional Development Fund (Project No. 2014-2020.4.01.15-0012) and Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No 874627) from European Union, personal grants PRG555, PRG1259 and PRG1189 from Estonian Research Council, Leo Foundation grant (LF17040), the BIOMAP (Biomarkers in Atopic Dermatitis and Psoriasis), a project funded by the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking under grant agreement number 821511 and by a grant from SANOFI. G.C-B. was awarded a *Mobilitas Pluss* Postdoctoral Research grants MOBJD106 and MOBJD526 from Estonian Research Council and holds a Sara Borrell Postdoctoral Research grant CD19-00172 from Carlos III National Institute of Health.

Author contributions

G.C.-B. wrote the original draft of the, manuscript and was involved in the conceptualization of the project, performed the experimental investigation and formal analysis of the data; J.M., H.V. and L.P. performed the experimental investigation and participated in the data curation; T.A. performed formal analysis of the data; F.L. was involved in the methodology of the study; M.H., E.R. and S.W. performed formal analysis of the data; K.K. conceptualized and supervised the project; A.R. conceptualized and supervised the project, lead the funding acquisition and reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-022-27235-2.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.R.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022