scientific reports

OPEN



A comparison of pediatric inflammatory multisystem syndrome temporarily-associated with SARS-CoV-2 and Kawasaki disease

Markus Hufnagel¹, Jakob Armann², André Jakob³, Maren Doenhardt², Natalie Diffloth², Anton Hospach⁴, Dominik T. Schneider⁵, Andreas Trotter⁶, Martin Roessler⁷, Jochen Schmitt⁷, Reinhard Berner² & The PIMS-DGPI Working Group^{*}

The connection between Pediatric Inflammatory Multisystem Syndrome (PIMS) and Kawasaki Disease (KD) is not yet fully understood. Using the same national registry, clinical features and outcome of children hospitalized in Germany, and Innsbruck (Austria) were compared. Reported to the registry were 395 PIMS and 69 KD hospitalized patients. Patient age in PIMS cases was higher than in KD cases (median 7 [IQR 4–11] vs. 3 [IQR 1–4] years). A majority of both PIMS and KD patients were male and without comorbidities. PIMS patients more frequently presented with organ dysfunction, with the gastrointestinal (80%), cardiovascular (74%), and respiratory (52%) systems being most commonly affected. By contrast, KD patients more often displayed dermatological (99% vs. 68%) and mucosal changes (94% vs. 64%), plus cervical lymph node swelling (51% vs. 34%). Intensive care admission (48% vs. 19%), pulmonary support (32% vs. 10%), and use of inotropes/vasodilators (28% vs. 3%) were higher among PIMS cases. No patients died. Upon patient discharge, potentially irreversible sequelae—mainly cardiovascular—were reported (7% PIMS vs. 12% KD). Despite differences in age distribution and disease severity, PIMS and KD cases shared many common clinical and prognostic characteristics. This supports the hypothesis that the two entities represent a syndrome continuum.

Abbreviations

ACR	American College of Rheumatology
AHA	American Heart Association
ASA	Acetylsalicylic Acid
CAA	Coronary Artery Aneurysm(s)
CDC	Centers of Disease Control
CI	Confidence Interval
COVID-19	Coronavirus Disease 2019
CPAP	Continuous Positive Airway Pressure
CRP	C-Reactive Protein
DGPI	Deutsche Gesellschaft für Pädiatrische Infektiologie (German Society for Pediatric Infec-
	tious Diseases)
FUO	Fever of Unknown Origin

¹Division of Pediatric Infectious Diseases and Rheumatology, Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, University Medical Center, Medical Faculty, University of Freiburg, Mathildenstr. 1, 79106 Freiburg, Germany. ²Department of Pediatrics, University Hospital, Medical Faculty Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany. ³Department of Pediatric Cardiology and Pediatric Intensive Care, Ludwig Maximilians University of Munich, Munich, Germany. ⁴Olga-Hospital, Stuttgart, Germany. ⁵Clinic of Pediatrics, Municipal Hospital Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany. ⁶Children's Hospital and Center for Perinatal Medicine, Singen, Germany. ⁷Center for Evidence-Based Healthcare, Medical Faculty Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany. ^{*}A list of authors and their affiliations appears at the end of the paper. [⊠]email: markus.hufnagel@uniklinik-freiburg.de

ICU	Intensive Care Unit
IQR	Interquartile Range
IVIG	Immunoglobulin G
KD	Kawasaki Disease
MIS-C	Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children
NT-proBNP	N-terminal pro Brain Natriuretic Protein
pARDS	Pediatric Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PID	Primary Immunodeficiency
PIMS	Pediatric Inflammatory Multisystem Syndrome
PIMS-KD	Pediatric Inflammatory Multisystem Syndrome with Kawasaki Disease features
PIMS-non-KD	Pediatric Inflammatory Multisystem Syndrome without Kawasaki Disease features
PIMS-TS	Pediatric Inflammatory Multisystem Syndrome temporarily-associated with SARS-CoV-2
SARS-CoV-2	Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus type 2
s/p	Status post
TSS	Toxic Shock Syndrome
vs.	Versus
WHO	World Health Organization

With its start in December 2019, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) has emerged as a global pandemic¹. In contrast to its course in adults, among children and adolescents, Coronavirus Disease-19 (COVID-19) usually is mild and has a low hospitalization rate^{2–4}. In April 2020, a multisystem inflammatory syndrome associated with SARS-CoV-2 first was observed among children in Europe and North America. The World Health Organization (WHO) named this syndrome Pediatric Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome Temporarily associated with SARS-CoV-2 (PIMS-TS)⁵. Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C) is a synonymous term proposed by the Centers of Disease Control⁶.

PIMS, the term we have adopted for use with our survey, is a clinical, heterogenous syndrome that partially overlaps with both Kawasaki Disease (KD) and Toxic Shock Syndrome⁷⁻⁹. Most comparisons between PIMS and KD have been drawn from historical, rather than from concurrent, cohorts^{10,11}. As a result, it has been difficult to determine whether PIMS and KD are different diseases or whether they instead may be part and parcel of the same syndrome—with the two on a spectrum ranging from less severe (KD) to more severe (PIMS)^{12,13}. To address this question, the ability to investigate the emergence of PIMS and KD cases simultaneously and in parallel is critical.

Beginning on March 18, 2020, the German Society for Pediatric Infectious Diseases (DGPI), with the support of several other German professional pediatric societies, has collected nationwide data on children and adolescents hospitalized with PIMS in Germany, as well as in the neighboring city of Innsbruck, Austria. As a comparison group, Kawasaki disease (KD) cases *not* associated with SARS-CoV-2 were collected through the same survey. With a combined dataset of 395 PIMS and 69 KD cases, we compared detailed information on clinical characteristics, disease course and outcome parameters.

Results

Study population and demographics. Between March 18, 2020 and August, 31, 2021, 154 institutions reported 517 patients to the registry. The first recorded admission was on January 7, 2020. Fifty-three patients (10%) were excluded from the analysis, either because they did not meet the PIMS criteria, or because their dataset was incomplete (Supplementary Table 1). The final dataset included 464 patients. Of these, 395 patients were classified as PIMS (PIMS-all, 85%), 242 patients as PIMS with Kawasaki disease features (PIMS-KD, 52%), 153 patients as PIMS without Kawasaki disease features (PIMS-non-KD, 33%), and 69 as Kawasaki disease without association with SARS-CoV-2 infection (KD, 15%). The ratio of PIMS-all as compared to KD was 5.7:1. In comparison to PIMS-KD, more KD cases were complete (54% [37/69] vs. 33% [79/242]).

The number of PIMS-all patients hospitalized per week (between 0 and 20 cases) peaked at three timepoints during our study period: first in May 2020, then from December 2020 to February 2021, and then again in May–June 2021 (Fig. 1A). PIMS-all cases began to rise 5–7 weeks following a spike of COVID-19 hospitalizations (Fig. 1A). When the number of SARS-CoV-2 infections went down, PIMS-all cases also decreased 5–7 weeks later. By contrast, KD cases were more evenly distributed (0–5 cases per week over the 18-month period; Fig. 1B). Interestingly, however, after May 2021, the number of KD cases reported decreased.

An important difference between PIMS-all and KD cases emerged in connection with patient age. In comparison with KD, PIMS-all patients were significantly older (Table 1, Fig. 2). While the median age of PIMS-all was 7 years, as compared to 3 years in KD cases, PIMS-KD were younger than PIMS-non-KD patients (Fig. 2). Incidence by age group in PIMS patients was highest among 7- to 15-year-old children. Male patients more commonly were affected by both PIMS and KD than were female patients (1.8:1 ratio in PIMS vs. 1.7:1 ratio in KD; Table 1). In addition, it was more common (p = 0.025) for KD patients to be Caucasian than it was for PIMS-all patients (Table 1). By contrast, no difference in ethnicity distribution was observed when comparing PIMS-non-KD and PIMS-KD cases. Preexisting comorbidities, most often respiratory and/or cardiovascular-related, were present in 21–29% of both KD and PIMS cases (Table 1).

Clinical characteristics. Among PIMS-all patients, the most common organ involvements were gastrointestinal (80%), cardiovascular (74%), dermatological (68%) and mucosal membranes (64%) (Table 2). Compared to KD, PIMS-all patients more commonly presented with symptoms relating to the gastrointestinal (includ-



Figure 1. Weekly numbers of hospital-admitted cases of (**A**) pediatric COVID-19 and PIMS-all, as well as of cases with (**B**) PIMS-all and KD. Graphics created by using the software from www.datawrapper.de.

ing ascites), cardiovascular, respiratory, hematological, neurological and renal organ systems. By contrast, KD patients more commonly presented with dermatological, mucosal membrane (including conjunctivitis) and earnose-throat symptoms, along with cervical lymphadenopathy, arthralgias and arthritis. Unlike PIMS-non-KD, PIMS-KD patients more commonly presented with dermatological, mucosal membrane (including conjunctivitis) and earnose-throat symptoms, along with cervical lymphadenopathy, vomiting, hepatosplenomegaly and anemia. PIMS-non-KD patients more commonly had tachy-/dyspnea, pneumonia, pediatric acute respiratory distress syndrome (pARDS), thickening of enteric walls, and nephritis. Between PIMS-all and PIMS-KD patients, there were no differences in cardiovascular presentation.

In 38% and 39% of cases, respectively, PIMS-all and KD were correctly diagnosed upon admission (Supplementary Table 2). Among PIMS-all cases, the most important differential diagnoses upon admission were gastroenteritis, fever of unknown origin, sepsis, acute appendicitis and KD. Among KD patients, gastroenteritis and acute appendicitis were less commonly considered as potential diagnoses.

Laboratory characteristics. No specific laboratory marker exists for either PIMS or KD. As compared to KD, however, PIMS-all patients had higher values for neutrophils, CRP, ferritin, creatinine, NT-proBNP, troponin T, and d-dimers as well as lower values for hemoglobin, and thrombocytes (Table 2). By contrast, PIMS-KD showed higher titers for NT-proBNP and d-dimers than did PIMS-non-KD patients.

Treatment. Median length of hospitalization was similar between PIMS-all (10d [IQR 8–12]) and KD cases (8d [IQR 6–12]), without there being any difference between PIMS-non-KD and PIMS-KD patients (Table 3). Over 90% of PIMS-all patients received a PIMS-directed therapy during their hospitalizations. Most commonly, this included immunomodulatory medication (89%), followed by systemic antibiotics (71%), hemostaseological medication (51%), pulmonary support (32%), and inotropes/vasodilators (28%).

Overall, PIMS-all were more severely ill than KD patients, as indicated by a higher rate of ICU admission (48% vs. 19%), longer ICU stays (1 day [IQR 0–5] vs. <0.5 day [IQR 0–<0.5]), pulmonary support (32% vs. 10%), including invasive ventilation (12% and 1%, respectively), and inotropes/vasodilators (28% vs. 3%) (Table 3).

		PIMS-non-KD	PIMS-KD	<i>p</i> -value ^a	KD	<i>p</i> -value ^b
	PIMS-all (n = 395)	(n=153)	(n=242)	(95% CI)	(n=69)	(95% CI)
Age, median in years (IQR)	7 (4–11)	9 (5–13)	7 (4–10)		3 (1-4)	
<1 y, n (%)	13 (3.3)	5 (3.3)	8 (3.3)		13 (18.8)	
1–6 y, n (%)	156 (39.5)	47 (30.7)	109 (45.0)	<0.001 (n.d.)	45 (65.2)	< 0.001 (n.d.)
7–15 y, n (%)	199 (50.4)	80 (52.3)	119 (49.2)		11 (15.9)	
16–19 y, n (%)	27 (6.8)	21 (13.7)	6 (2.5)		0	
Sex						
Female, n (%)	141 (35.7)	55 (35.9)	86 (35.5)		26 (37.7)	. 0.786 (n.d.)
Male, n (%)	254 (64.3)	98 (64.1)	156 (64.5)	1 000 (n d)	43 (62.3)	
Male-to-female ratio	1:08	1:08	1:08	1.000 (1.d.)	1:07	
Ethnicity						
Caucasian, n (%)	226 (57.2)	89 (58.2)	137 (56.6)		47 (68.1)	
African, n (%)	26 (6.6)	9 (5.9)	17 (7.0)		2 (2.9)	
Arabic, n (%)	64 (16.2)	25 (16.3)	39 (16.1)	0.631 (n.d.)	6 (8.7)	0.025 (n.d.)
Asian, n (%)	18 (4.6)	4 (2.6)	14 (5.8)		8 (11.6)	
Other, n (%)	61 (15.4)	26 (17.0)	35 (14.5)		6 (8.7)	
Concomitant diseases	94 (23.8)	44 (28.8)	50 (20.7)	0.430 (-10.2 to 4.4)	15 (21.7)	0.440 (-13.0 to 5.7)
Respiratory, n (%)	18 (4.6)	7 (4.6)	11 (4.5)	0.990 (-4.3 to 4.2)	1 (1.4)	0.230 (-2.0 to 8.2)
Cardiovascular, n (%)	13 (3.3)	4 (2.6)	9 (3.7)	0.550 (-2.5 to 4.7)	3 (4.3)	0.660 (- 5.7 to 3.6)
Gastrointestinal, n (%)	9 (2.3)	4 (2.6)	5 (2.1)	0.720 (- 3.6 to 2.5)	0	0.210 (-1.3 to 5.8)
Hepatic, n (%)	2 (0.5)	2 (1.3)	0	0.070 (-2.7 to 0.1)	0	0.550 (-1.2 to 2.2)
Renal, n (%)	4 (1.0)	2 (1.3)	2 (0.8)	0.640 (-2.5 to 1.6)	2 (2.9)	0.200 (-4.8 to 1.0)
Neurological, n (%)	7 (1.8)	4 (2.6)	3 (1.2)	0.310 (-4.1 to 1.3)	1 (1.4)	0.850 (-3.0 to 3.7)
Psychiatric, n (%)	4 (1.0)	2 (1.3)	2 (0.8)	0.640 (-2.5 to 1.6)	0	0.400 (-1.4 to 3.4)
Hematological, n (%)	8 (2.0)	4 (2.6)	4 (1.7)	0.510 (- 3.8 to 1.9)	1 (1.4)	0.750 (-3.0 to 4.1)
Oncological, n (%)	1 (0.3)	0	1 (0.4)	0.430 (-0.6 to 1.4)	0	0.680 (-0.9 to 1.4)
Organ/Bone mar- row transplant, n (%)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.7)	0	0.210 (-1.7 to 0.4)	0	0.680 (-0.9 to 1.4)
Autoimmune, n (%)	8 (2.0)	4 (2.6)	4 (1.7)	0.510 (-3.8 to 1.9)	3 (4.3)	0.240 (-6.2 to 1.6)
Immunodeficiency, n (%)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.7)	0	0.210 (-1.7 to 0.4)	0	0.680 (-0.9 to 1.4)
Immunosuppressive drug, n (%)	2 (0.5)	1 (0.7)	1 (0.4)	0.740 (-1.7 to 1.2)	0	0.550 (-1.2 to 2.2)
Others, n (%)	16 (4.1)	8 (5.2)	8 (3.3)	0.350 (-5.9 to 2.1)	4 (5.8)	0.510 (-7.0 to 3.5)

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients with PIMS-all, PIMS-non-KD, PIMS-KD and KD. PIMS, pediatric multisystem inflammatory syndrome; KD, Kawasaki disease. ^acomparison between PIMS-non-KD and PIMS-KD. ^bcomparison between PIMS-all and KD; 95% CI, 95%-confidence interval; n; number of cases; IQR, interquartile range; y, years; n.d.; not determined. *P* values in bold are considered statistically significant.

.....

Similarly, PIMS-non-KD were more severely affected than were PIMS-KD patients, (longer duration of ICU stay, and higher rates of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), ventilation and transfusion). PIMS-KD patients more often received IVIG and acetylsalicylic acid (ASA), and less commonly were prescribed heparin and corticosteroids.

Outcome. Among both PIMS-all and KD patients, the overall outcomes were comparably positive (Table 3). Significantly, no patient died. At discharge, 40% of patients, (both PIMS-all and KD), continued to have symptoms considered reversible by the reporting physician. Sequelae reported—almost exclusively cardiovascular—were present in 7% of PIMS-all and 12% of KD patients. The most common cardiovascular sequelae were cardiac insufficiency (n=3 in PIMS-all vs. n=0 in KD) and coronary artery dilatation or coronary artery aneurysms (CAA) (n=15 in PIMS-all vs. n=5 in KD). PIMS-KD more frequently were affected by coronary artery dilatation or CAA than were PIMS-non-KD patients (n=13 vs. n=2).



Figure 2. Age distribution of patients with (**A**) PIMS-all and KD, as well as of patients with (**B**) PIMS-non-KD and PIMS-KD.

Discussion

Our national registry is one of the largest collections of PIMS cases worldwide. It also is the only dataset collecting data in parallel from both SARS-CoV-2-associated PIMS and non-SARS-CoV-2 KD cases. By contrast, other studies comparing PIMS and KD patients have used historical controls^{10,11,16}. In our analysis of the PIMS and KD cases in this cohort, clinical and epidemiological similarities, as well as differences, became clear. There were more PIMS-all than KD cases reported to the registry (5.7:1 ratio). Reporting of PIMS-all cases climaxed five to seven weeks following a peak in hospitalized COVID-19 cases (Fig. 1). This pattern is well-known in PIMS and typically occurs two to eight weeks following a COVID-19 surge^{12,17-19}. Beginning in May 2021, the reporting of KD cases to our registry decreased; reporting of KD cases has continued to remain low since then, although the reason for this lower-level reporting is not fully understood. Given that reporting to this national PIMS and KD registry is voluntarily, a reporting bias cannot be excluded. The overall numbers of KD cases reported is lower than that reported in a population-based epidemiological study from Germany in 2011–2012²⁰. During 2011–2012, 315 KD cases were submitted within a 24-month period²⁰, whereas during an 18-month period in 2020–2021, only 69 cases were documented in the registry.

The male-to-female ratio among PIMS-all and KD cases was comparable, with a higher number of male cases (1.8:1 vs. 1.7:1; Table 1). This also has been reported in other cohorts from other countries^{7-11,16,18}. In our cohort, only one in four PIMS cases and one in five KD cases had a concomitant disease. No singular comorbidity stood out. By contrast, in the United States, a larger proportion of PIMS cases (38%) had underlying conditions, most commonly obesity and chronic lung disease¹⁹. Coincidentally, among KD cases in a US cohort, the rate of concomitant diseases was lower than in PIMS cases¹⁶.

In our cohort, PIMS and KD patients displayed several key epidemiological and clinical differences. PIMS-KD cases were more commonly incomplete (67%) than KD cases (46%). Similar findings have not been previously reported. In KD, incomplete cases more commonly affected younger patients—a factor often leading to delays in diagnosis and a poorer cardiac prognosis²¹. PIMS-all patients generally were older than KD patients (median of 7 vs. 3 years); among PIMS-all, PIMS-KD patients were younger than PIMS-non-KD patients (median of 7 vs. 9 years). This PIMS vs. KD age difference has been well-documented in the literature^{7–11,16,22}.

The clinical presentation of PIMS and KD differed as well. Whereas PIMS-all patients more commonly experienced gastrointestinal (including ascites), cardiovascular, respiratory, renal and neurological symptoms, KD patients more commonly presented with signs and symptoms of cutaneous, mucosal, cervical lymphadenopathy, and ear-nose-throat systems, as well as arthritis and arthralgia. A logistic regression analysis demonstrated the differences between PIMS and KD regarding gastrointestinal symptoms, hematological symptoms and arthritis remained significant (Supplementary Table 3). We observed the same preferential organ involvement in PIMS-KD as compared to PIMS-non-KD. The differences in cutaneous involvement, mucosal involvement and cervical lymphadenopathy are due to a difference in case definitions. Among PIMS-non-KD cases, dyspnea/tachypnea, pneumonia, pARDS on X-ray, thickening of enteric walls on ultrasound and nephritis were symptoms/signs that allowed us to distinguish it from PIMS-KD. By contrast, PIMS-KD cases more commonly presented with vomiting and hepatosplenomegaly.

Although no single laboratory biomarker specifically allows us to distinguish between PIMS-all and KD, inflammatory markers (such as the acute phase proteins CRP and ferritin), the pro-coagulant d-dimer, and cardiac function markers (such as proBNP and troponin T/I) are elevated in both PIMS and KD^{12,23}. In our cohort, the serum concentration of these markers was higher in PIMS-all than it was in KD. A linear regression analysis showed that the differences between PIMS and KD regarding proBNP levels remained significant (Supplementary Table 4). Surprisingly however, d-dimer and proBNP values were higher in PIMS-KD than in PIMS-non-KD, indicating a higher degree of inflammation.

	PIMS-all (n=395)	PIMS-non-KD (n=153)	PIMS-KD (n = 242)	<i>p</i> -value ^a (95% CI)	KD (n=69)	<i>p</i> -value ^b (95% CI)	
Ear-nose-throat (ENT), n (%)	119 (30.1)	n.sh	n.sh	n.sh	32 (46.4)	0.010 (-28.2 to 4.3)	
Respiratory, n (%)	204 (51.6)	80 (52.3)	124 (51.2)	0.840 (-11.2 to 9.1)	23 (33.3)	< 0.001 (5.6–31.0)	
Dyspnea, n (%)	44 (11.1)	26 (17.0)	18 (7.4)	<0.001 (-15.9 to 3.2)	4 (5.8)	0.180 (-2.5 to 13.2)	
Tachypnea, n (%)	85 (21.5)	47 (30.7)	38 (15.7)	<0.001 (-23.2 to 6.8)	7 (10.1)	0.030 (1.2–21.6)	
Pleural effusion, n (%)	116 (29.4)	40 (26.1)	76 (31.4)	0.260 (-4.0 to 14.5)	8 (11.6)	<0.001 (6.5-29.0)	
Pneumonia, n (%)	63 (15.9)	34 (22.2)	29 (12.0)	0.010 (- 17.6 to 2.9)	5 (7.2)	0.060 (-0.3 to 17.8)	
Pediatric acute res- piratory distress syndrome (pARDS), n (%)	32 (8.1)	21 (13.7)	11 (4.5)	< 0.001 (- 14.7 to 3.7)	1 (1.4)	0.050 (0.1 to 13.2)	
Cardiovascular, n (%)	294 (74.4)	108 (70.6)	186 (76.9)	0.160 (-2.6 to 15.1)	42 (60.9)	0.020 (2.1–25.0)	
Heart failure, n (%)	110 (27.8)	41 (26.8)	69 (28.5)	0.710 (-7.4 to 10.8)	4 (5.8)	< 0.001 (11.2–32.9)	
Arterial hypotension, n (%)	84 (21.3)	30 (19.6)	54 (22.3)	0.520 (- 5.6 to 11.0)	4 (5.8)	< 0.001 (5.5–25.4)	
Pericardial effusion, n (%)	134 (33.9)	50 (32.7)	84 (34.7)	0.680 (-7.6 to 11.7)	15 (21.7)	0.050 (0.2-24.1)	
Myocardial dysfunction, n (%)	161 (40.8)	62 (40.5)	99 (40.9)	0.940 (-9.6 to 10.4)	8 (11.6)	< 0.001 (17.1–41.2)	
Peri-/Myocarditis, n (%)	101 (25.6)	41 (26.8)	60 (24.8)	0.660 (-10.9 to 6.9)	5 (7.2)	< 0.001 (7.7–29.0)	
Coronary artery dilatation, n (%)	44 (11.1)	13 (8.5)	31 (12.8)	0.190 (-2.1 to 10.7)	18 (26.1)	< 0.001 (-23.6 to 6.3)	
Coronary aneurysm, n (%)	37 (9.4)	11 (7.2)	26 (10.7)	0.430 (-2.4 to 9.5)	13 (18.8)	0.020 (-17.4 to 1.6)	
Gastrointestinal, n (%)	316 (80.0)	116 (75.8)	200 (82.6)	0.100 (-1.3 to 14.9)	40 (58.0)	< 0.001 (11.4–32.7)	
Abdominal pain, n (%)	165 (41.8)	67 (43.8)	98 (40.5)	0.520 (-13.3 to 6.7)	20 (29.0)	0.050 (0.3-25.3)	
Vomiting, n (%)	151 (38.2)	49 (32.0)	102 (42.1)	0.040 (0.3-20.0)	26 (37.7)	0.930 (-11.9 to 13.0)	
Diarrhea, n (%)	174 (44.1)	61 (39.9)	113 (46.7)	0.180 (-3.3 to 16.9)	27 (39.1)	0.450 (-7.8 to 17.6)	
Ascites, n (%)	126 (31.9)	44 (28.8)	82 (33.9)	0.290 (-4.3 to 14.6)	12 (17.4)	0.010 (2.8–26.2)	
Gastroenteritis	75 (19.0)	22 (14.4)	53 (21.9)	0.060 (-0.4 to 15.5)	9 (13.0)	0.240 (-3.9 to 15.8)	
Appendicitis, n (%)	27 (6.8)	15 (9.8)	12 (5.0)	0.060 (-10.0 to 0.3)	3 (4.3)	0.440 (-3.8 to 8.8)	
Peritonitis, n (%)	22 (5.6)	11 (7.2)	11 (4.5)	0.270 (-7.3 to 2.0)	1 (1.4)	0.150 (-1.4 to 9.7)	
Thickening of enteric wall, n (%)	76 (19.2)	40 (26.1)	36 (14.9)	0.010 (- 19.2 to 3.3)	2 (2.9)	<0.001 (6.8–25.8)	
Splenomegaly, n (%)	68 (17.2)	19 (12.4)	49 (20.2)	0.040 (0.2-15.5)	9 (13.0)	0.390 (-5.4 to 13.7)	
Hepatic. (%)	82 (20.8)	26 (17.0)	56 (23.1)	0.140 (-2.1 to 14.4)	13 (18.8)	0.720 (-8.4 to 12.3)	
Hepatomegaly, n (%)	64 (16.2)	15 (9.8)	49 (20.2)	0.010 (3.0–17.9)	11 (15.9)	0.960 (-9.2 to 9.7)	
Renal , n (%)	82 (20.8)	34 (22.2)	48 (19.8)	0.570 (- 10.6 to 5.9)	7 (10.1)	0.040 (0.5–20.7)	
Impaired renal function, n (%)	48 (12.2)	21 (13.7)	27 (11.2)	0.450 (-9.2 to 4.1)	2 (2.9)	0.020 (1.3–17.2)	
Nephritis, n (%)	5 (1.3)	5 (3.3)	0	<0.001 (-5.5 to 1.0)	2 (2.9)	0.310 (-4.8 to 1.5)	
Neurological, n (%)	90 (22.8)	35 (22.9)	55 (22.7)	0.970 (-8.7 to 8.4)	8 (11.6)	0.040 (0.8–21.6)	
Headache, n (%)	43 (10.9)	16 (10.5)	27 (11.2)	0.830 (-5.6 to 7.0)	4 (5.8)	0.800 (-2.6 to 12.8)	
Meningitis, n (%)	17 (4.3)	7 (4.6)	10 (4.1)	0.830 (-4.6 to 3.7)	1 (1.4)	0.260 (-2.1 to 7.8)	
Psychiatric, n (%)	15 (3.8)	5 (3.3)	10 (4.1)	0.660 (- 3.0 to 4.8)	1 (1.4)	0.330 (-2.3 to 7.0)	
Musculoskeletal, n (%)	40 (10.1)	14 (9.2)	26 (10.7)	0.610 (-4.5 to 7.7)	10 (14.5)	0.280 (-12.3 to 3.6)	
Arthralgia, n (%)	17 (4.3)	7 (4.6)	10 (4.1)	0.830 (-4.6 to 3.7)	7 (10.1)	0.040 (-11.5 to 0.2)	
Arthritis, n (%)	7 (1.8)	3 (2.0)	4 (1.7)	0.820 (- 3.0 to 2.4)	4 (5.8)	0.040 (-7.9 to 0.1)	
Myalgia, n (%)	27 (6.8)	8 (5.2)	19 (7.9)	0.320 (-2.5 to 7.8)	3 (4.3)	0.440 (-3.8 to 8.8)	
Myositis, n (%)	4 (1.0)	2 (1.3)	2 (0.8)	0.640 (-2.5 to 1.6)	0	0.400 (-1.4 to 3.4)	
Hematological, n (%)	152 (38.5)	54 (35.3)	98 (40.5)	0.300 (-4.7 to 15.1)	15 (21.7)	0.010 (4.5–29.0)	
Anemia, n (%)	80 (20.3)	21 (13.7)	59 (24.4)	0.010 (2.5–18.8)	9 (13.0)	0.160 (-2.9 to 17.3)	
Thrombocytopenia, n (%)	62 (15.7)	18 (11.8)	44 (18.2)	0.090 (-1.0 to 13.8)	3 (4.3)	0.010 (2.5–20.2)	
Disseminated intravascular coagulation, n (%)	39 (9.9)	18 (11.8)	21 (8.7)	0.320 (-9.2 to 3.0)	0	0.010 (2.8–16.9)	
Cutaneous, n (%)	269 (68.1)	n.sh	n.sh	n.sh	68 (98.6)	<0.001 (-41.6 to 19.3)	
Rash, n (%)	229 (58.0)	n.sh	n.sh	n.sh	59 (85.5)	< 0.001 (-29.9 to 12.6)	
Desquamation, n (%)	35 (8.9)	n.sh	n.sh	n.sh	17 (24.6)	<0.001 (-23.8 to 7.8)	
Swelling of hand/feet, n (%)	117 (29.6)	n.sh	n.sh	n.sh	37 (53.6)	< 0.001 (- 35.9 to 12.1)	
Mucosal, n (%)	254 (64.3)	n.sh	n.sh	n.sh	65 (94.2)	<0.001 (-41.5 to 18.3)	
Enanthema, n (%)	151 (38.2)	n.sh	n.sh	n.sh	47 (68.1)	< 0.001 (-42.3 to 17.5)	
Conjunctivitis, n (%)	226 (57.2)	n.sh	n.sh	n.sh	60 (87.0)	<0.001 (-41.9 to 17.5)	
Continued	1	1	1	1	1	· · · ·	

	PIMS-all (n = 395)	PIMS-non-KD (n = 153)	PIMS-KD (n=242)	<i>p</i> -value ^a (95% CI)	KD (n=69)	<i>p</i> -value ^b (95% CI)
Cervical lymphadenopathy, n (%)	136 (34.4)	n.sh	n.sh	n.sh	35 (50.7)	0.010 (-28.6 to 4.0)
Hemoglobin, median in mmol/L, (IQR)	6.2 (5.6–7.2)	6.3 (5.6–7.3)	6.2 (5.5–7.1)	0.498 (-0.2 to 0.5)	6.6 (6.0-7.3)	0.006 (-0.4 to -0.7)
Missing values, n (%)	65 (16.5)	31 (20.2)	34 (14.0)		2 (2.9)	
Thrombocytes , median in per 10 ⁹ /L, (IQR)	141.0 (95.0-372.0)	150.5 (91.5–455.2)	140.0 (95.5–317.5)	0.556 (-18.7 to 34.7)	464.0 (258.0-642.0)	<0.001 (-415.8 to -230.2)
Missing values, n (%)	69 (17.5)	32 (20.9)	37 (15.3)		5 (7.2)	
Leukocytes , median in per 10 ⁹ /L, (IQR)	12.5 (5.3–19.4)	12.8 (5.1–19.6)	12.3 (5.4–19.0)	0.762 (-2.7 to 3.7)	14.8 (6.9–18.0)	0.202 (-5.3 to 1.1)
Missing values, n (%)	83 (21.0)	32 (20.9)	51 (21.1)		4 (5.8)	
Neutrophils , median in per 10 ⁹ /L, (IQR)	6.7 (3.1–12.1)	7.5 (2.5–12.8)	6.4 (3.4–11.4)	0.419 (-1.5 to 3.7)	0.91 (0.001-9.8)	0.002 (2.1–9.0)
Missing values, n (%)	265 (67.1)	101 (66.0)	164 (67.8)		32 (46.4)	
Lymphocytes , median in per 10 ⁹ /L, (IQR)	0.80 (0.42-2.1)	0.78 (0.48–1.5)	0.90 (0.40-2.5)	0.512 (-0.5 to 3.7)	0.50 (0.18–2.5)	0.564 (-0.7 to 1.3)
Missing values, n (%)	264 (66.8)	101 (66.0)	163 (67.4)		35 (50.7)	
C-reactive protein , median in mg/L, (IQR)	187.8 (118.1–253.4)	174 (119.7–258.5)	196.5 (116.5–251.8)	0.075 (-1.5 to 54.1)	122 (63.7–176.0)	< 0.001 (35.3–97.7)
Missing values, n (%)	27 (6.8)	11 (7.2)	16 (6.6)		2 (2.9)	
Ferritin , median in ng/ mL, (IQR)	508 (296.8-883.5)	537 (297.0-839.3)	485 (295.0-883.5)	0.322 (-170.6 to 57.3)	211.5 (155.9–318.8)	< 0.001 (236.7–379.8)
Missing values, n (%)	115 (29.1)	45 (29.4)	70 (28.9)		29 (42.0)	
NT-proBNP , median in pmol/L, (IQR)	556 (130.4–1436.5)	435.1 (101.3–1431.5)	585.7 (151.9–1434.5)	0.015 (-78.4 to 424.7)	151.4 (52.9–331.8)	< 0.001 (287.5–575.4)
Missing values, n (%)	99 (25.1)	38 (24.8)	61 (25.2)		27 (39.1)	
Troponin T , median in μg/L, (IQR)	44.8 (16.6–175.1)	77.2 (20.6–268.5)	38.7 (16-0-144.5)	0.011 (-73.8 to 8.9)	8.0 (4.9–29.0)	< 0.001 (25.1–49.9)
Missing values, n (%)	104 (26.3)	42 (27.5)	62 (25.6)		30 (43.5)	
D dimer , median in mg/ FEU, (IQR)	4.3 (2.4–8.5)	4.0 (2.2–7.6)	4.4 (2.6-8.6)	0.079 (-0.6 to 1.1)	2.0 (1.3-4.2)	0.014 (1.3–3.8)
Missing values, n (%)	95 (24.1)	34 (22.2)	61 (25.2)		29 (42.0)	
GOT, median in U/L, (IQR)	45.0 (30.0-77.2)	48.0 (31.5-88.9)	43.0 (29.0–72.0)	0.417 (-7.1 to 17.1)	39.0 (25.0–79.5)	0.388 (-7.7 to 19.7)
Missing values, n (%)	128 (32.4)	57 (37.3)	71 (29.3)		11 (15.9)	
GPT , median in U/L, (IQR)	42.0 (22.0-67.0)	48.0 (21.0-87.0)	38.7 (23.2–59.0)	0.264 (-6.1 to 22.1)	42.0 (20.5–138.2)	1.000 (-20.5 to 20.5)
Missing values, n (%)	108 (27.3)	46 (30.1)	62 (25.6)		10 (14.5)	
Bilirubin, total , median in μmol/L, (IQR)	8.6 (5.1–15.4)	9.1 (5.3–15.4)	8.6 (5.1–15.4)	0.571 (-2.6 to 4.7)	6.8 (3.4–20.3)	0.531 (-3.7 to 7.1)
Missing values, n (%)	221 (55.9)	94 (61.4)	127 (52.5)		39 (56.5)	
Albumin , median in g/ dL, (IQR)	2.7 (2.2–3.3)	2.7 (2.2–3.2)	2.7 (2.3–3.3)	1.000 (-0.2 to 0.2)	2.9 (2.5–3.7)	0.145 (-0.5 to 0.1)
Missing values, n (%)	138 (34.9)	64 (41.8)	74 (30.6)		17 (24.6)	
Creatinine , median in μmol /L, (IQR)	46.9 (34.5-70.7)	49.5 (33.6–79.6)	46.0 (35.4-64.9)	0.434 (- 5.3 to 12.3)	26.5 (23.4–35.4)	< 0.001 (16.4–25.3)
Missing values, n (%)	121 (30.6)	53 (34.6)	68 (28.1)		11 (15.9)	
SARS-CoV-2 PCR posi- tive, n (%)	54 (13.7)	28 (18.3)	26 (10.7)	0.030 (- 14.5 to 0.6)	0	< 0.001 (5.5–21.8)
SARS-CoV-2 Antigen positive, n (%)	13 (3.3)	6 (3.9)	7 (2.9)	0.580 (-4.7 to 2.6)	0	0.130 (-0.9 to 7.5)
SARS-CoV-2 S1 antibody positive, n (%)	338 (85.6)	125 (81.7)	213 (88.0)	0.080 (-0.8 to 13.4)	0	< 0.001 (77.2–93.9)
SARS-CoV-2 contacts positive, n (%)	3 (0.8)	0	3 (1.2)	n.d	0	n.d

Table 2. Clinical symptoms and significant laboratory values in patients with PIMS-all, PIMS-non-KD, PIMS-KD and KD. PIMS, pediatric multisystem inflammatory syndrome; KD, Kawasaki disease. ^acomparison between PIMS-non-KD and PIMS-KD. ^bcomparison between PIMS-all and KD; 95% CI, 95%-confidence interval; n; number of cases; n.sh., not shown due to expected differences in case definitions; pARDS, pediatric acute respiratory distress syndrome; IQR, interquartile range; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; n.d.; not determined. *P* values in bold are considered statistically significant.

	PIMS-all (n=395)	PIMS-non-KD (n = 153)	PIMS-KD (n = 242)	<i>p</i> -value ^a (95% CI)	KD (n=69)	<i>p</i> -value ^b (95% CI)
Any therapy, n (%)	364 (92.2)	139 (90.8)	225 (93.0)	0.450 (-3.3 to 7.6)	57 (82.6)	0.010 (2.1–16.9)
Duration of hospitalization, median in days (IQR)	10 (8–12)	9 (7–13)	10 (8–12)	0.545 (0.2–2.4)	8 (6-12)	0.113 (0.7–3.6)
Missing values, n (%)	72 (18.2)	33 (21.6)	39 (16.1)		9 (13.0)	
ICU, n (%)	190 (48.1)	76 (49.7)	114 (47.1)	0.620 (-12.7 to 7.6)	13 (18.8)	<0.001 (16.8-41.7)
Duration of ICU, median in days (IQR)	1 (0-5)	2 (0-6)	0 (0-5)	0.008 (-5.6 to 0.0)	0 (0-0)	0.731 (-0.3 to 2.8)
Missing values, n (%)	37 (9.4)	18 (11.8)	19 (7.9)		6 (8.7)	
Pulmonary support, n (%)	126 (31.9)	56 (36.6)	70 (28.9)	0.110 (-17.1 to 1.8)	7 (10.1)	0.000 (10.3-33.2)
O ₂ -supplementation, n (%)	110 (27.8)	47 (30.7)	63 (26.0)	0.310 (-13.8 to 4.4)	7 (10.1)	<0.001 (6.7-28.7)
High-flow, n (%)	38 (9.6)	19 (12.4)	19 (7.9)	0.130 (-10.6 to 1.4)	1 (1.4)	0.020 (1.1–15.3)
Continuous pulmonary airway pressure, n (%)	13 (3.3)	11 (7.2)	2 (0.8)	< 0.001 (-9.9 to 2.8)	0	0.130 (-0.9 to 7.5)
Invasive ventilation, n (%)	48 (12.2)	27 (17.6)	21 (8.7)	0.010 (-15.6 to 2.4)	1 (1.4)	0.010 (2.9–18.5)
Inotropes/Vasodilators, n (%)	111 (28.1)	42 (27.5)	69 (28.5)	0.820 (-8.1 to 10.2)	2 (2.9)	<0.001 (14.4-36.0)
Hemo-/Peritoneal dialysis, n (%)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.7)	0	0.210 (-1.7 to 0.4)	0	0.680 (-0.9 to 1.4)
Antivirals, n (%)	3 (0.8)	2 (1.3)	1 (0.4)	0.320 (-2.7 to 0.9)	0	0.470 (-1.3 to 2.8)
Antibiotics, systemic, n (%)	280 (70.9)	103 (67.3)	177 (73.1)	0.220 (-3.4 to 15.1)	46 (66.7)	0.480 (-7.5 to 16.0)
Immunomodulators, n (%)	352 (89.1)	129 (84.3)	223 (92.1)	0.010 (1.5–14.1)	61 (88.4)	0.860 (-7.3 to 8.7)
IVIG, n (%)	322 (81.5)	114 (74.5)	208 (86.0)	<0.001 (3.6–19.3)	55 (79.7)	0.720 (-8.2 to 11.8)
IVIG-only, n (%)	52 (13.2)	20 (13.1)	32 (13.2)	0.970 (-6.7 to 7.0)	17 (24.6)	0.010 (-20.6 to 2.4)
Corticosteroids, n (%)	262 (66.3)	98 (64.1)	164 (67.8)	0.450 (-5.9 to 13.3)	36 (52.2)	0.020 (1.9-26.4)
Corticosteroids-only, n (%)	118 (29.9)	52 (34.0)	66 (27.3)	0.160 (-16.0 to 2.6)	16 (23.2)	0.260 (-4.9 to 18.3)
IVIG + corticosteroids, n (%)	234 (59.2)	83 (54.2)	151(62.4)	0.110 (-1.8 to 18.1)	32 (46.4)	0.050 (0.2-25.5)
Anti-IL-1 inhibitors, n (%)	7 (1.8)	3 (2.0)	4 (1.7)	0.820 (-3.0 to 2.4)	0	0.270 (-1.4 to 4.9)
Anti-IL-6 inhibitors, n (%)	3 (0.8)	1 (0.7)	2 (0.8)	0.850 (-1.6 to 1.9)	0	0.470 (-1.3 to 2.8)
Anti-TNFalpha inhibitors, n (%)	2 (0.5)	0	2 (0.8)	0.260 (-0.6 to 2.3)	1 (1.4)	0.370 (-3.0 to 1.1)
Hemostaseological, n (%)	200 (50.6)	73 (47.7)	127 (52.5)	0.360 (-5.4 to 14.9)	32 (46.4)	0.520 (-8.6 to 17.1)
Heparin, n (%)	85 (21.5)	40 (26.1)	45 (18.6)	0.080 (-15.9 to 0.8)	2 (2.9)	<0.001 (8.7-28.5)
Acetylsalicylic-acid, n (%)	169 (42.8)	53 (34.6)	116 (47.9)	0.010 (3.3–23.3)	30 (43.5)	0.910 (-13.4 to 12.0)
Transfusion, n (%)	25 (6.3)	15 (9.8)	10 (4.1)	0.020 (-10.6 to 0.7)	2 (2.9)	0.260 (-2.6 to 9.4)
Other, n (%)	61 (15.4)	31 (20.3)	30 (12.4)	0.040 (-15.2 to 0.5)	7 (10.1)	0.250 (- 3.8 to 14.4)
Outcome	1	1	1	<u>I</u>		<u></u>
Restitutio ad integrum, n (%)	210 (53.2)	88 (57.5)	122 (50.4)	0.170 (-17.2 to 3.0)	37 (53.6)	0.940 (-13.3 to 12.4)
Symptoms (potentially reversible) at discharge, n (%)	167 (42.3)	60 (39.2)	107 (44.2)	0.330 (-5.0 to 15.0)	27 (39.1)	0.630 (-9.5 to 15.8)
Respiratory tract symptoms, n (%)	15 (3.8)	10 (6.5)	5 (2.1)	0.020 (-8.3 to 0.6)	1 (1.4)	0.330 (-2.3 to 7.0)
Cardiovascular symptoms, n (%)	86 (21.8)	27 (17.6)	59 (24.4)	0.110 (-1.6 to 15.1)	18 (26.1)	0.430 (-15.0 to 6.4)
Gastrointestinal symptoms, n (%)	10 (2.5)	7 (4.6)	3 (1.2)	0.040 (-6.5 to 0.2)	0	0.180 (-1.2 to 6.3)
Hepatic symptoms, n (%)	6 (1.5)	4 (2.6)	2 (0.8)	0.160 (-4.3 to 0.7)	0	0.300 (-1.4 to 4.4)
Renal symptoms, n (%)	2 (0.5)	0	2 (0.8)	0.260 (-0.6 to 2.3)	0	0.550 (-1.2 to 2.2)
Neurological symptoms, n (%)	12 (3.0)	3 (2.0)	9 (3.7)	0.320 (-1.7 to 5.2)	0	0.140 (-1.0 to 7.1)
Hematological symptoms, n (%)	8 (2.0)	3 (2.0)	5 (2.1)	0.940 (-2.8 to 3.0)	0	0.230 (-1.3 to 5.4)
Skin changes, n (%)	19 (4.8)	5 (3.3)	14 (5.8)	0.260 (-1.8 to 6.9)	3 (4.8)	0.870 (- 5.0 to 5.9)
Mucosal changes, n (%)	11 (2.8)	2 (1.3)	9 (3.7)	0.160 (-0.9 to 5.8)	0	0.160 (-1.1 to 6.7)
Sequelae (potentially irreversible) at discharge, n (%)	28 (7.1)	5 (3.3)	23 (9.5)	0.020 (1.0–11.4)	8 (11.6)	0.200 (-11.4 to 2.4)
Cardiovascular sequelae, n (%)	27 (6.8)	5 (3.3)	22 (9.1)	0.030 (0.7–10.9)	8 (11.6)	0.170 (-11.5 to 2.0)
Cardiac failure, n (%)	3 (0.8)	0	3 (1.2)	0.170 (-0.5 to 3.0)	0	0.470 (-1.3 to 2.8)
Coronary artery aneurysm, n (%)	15 (3.8)	2 (1.3)	13 (5.4)	0.040 (0.2–7.9)	5 (7.2)	0.190 (-8.7 to 1.8)
Other sequelae, n (%)	1 (0.3)	0	1 (0.4)	0.430 (-0.6 to 1.4)	0	0.680 (-0.9 to 1.4)
Death, n (%)	0	0	0	n.a	0	n.a

Table 3. Therapy and outcome in patients with PIMS-all, PIMS-non-KD, PIMS-KD and KD. PIMS, Pediatric Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome; KD, Kawasaki disease. ^acomparison between PIMS-non-KD and PIMS-KD. ^bcomparison between PIMS-all and KD; 95% CI, 95%-confidence interval; n; number of cases; IQR, interquartile range; ICU, intensive care unit; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulins; n.a., not applicable. *P* values in bold are considered statistically significant.

Overall, PIMS patients displayed more severe symptoms than KD patients. PIMS-all cases were admitted to the ICU more frequently and needed greater respiratory and circulatory support than did KD patients. This finding was confirmed by logistic regression analysis (Supplementary Table 5). PIMS-all patients also more often were treated with steroids and heparin and less often with IVIG alone. A linear regression analysis proved that the differences between PIMS and KD regarding corticosteroid and heparin treatments stayed significant (Supplementary Table 5). PIMS-KD were admitted to the ICU less often and required less CPAP and invasive ventilation than did PIMS-all cases; they were given IVIG and ASA more frequently. What role the addition of corticosteroids to IVIG may play in PIMS or KD is open to debate. To date, no randomized clinical trials have been performed for pediatric PIMS^{23,24}. In patients with cardiovascular failure and shock, corticosteroid treatment is recommended^{22,23}. By contrast, in ACR's 2022 treatment guidelines, corticosteroid treatment in addition to IVIG is recommended for all hospitalized PIMS patients²⁵. The use of antibiotics in our cohort was high (71% in PIMS-all vs. 67% in KD). In a review of case series, rates between 67 and 100% were reported²⁶. This high prescription rate is not surprising, because at presentation, bacterial sepsis is an important differential diagnosis in both PIMS and KD patients and empirical antibiotic treatment is recommended in PIMS until the point when bacterial cultures come back negative²².

The overall outcome of PIMS and KD is favorable. Most patients in our cohort had completely recovered upon discharge. To date, we have had no reports of patients who died of PIMS-all or KD. Mortality in resourcerich countries is reported to be $< 1-2\%^{19,24,27}$. Sequelae considered irreversible by the reporting physician at time of patient discharge mainly were related to cardiovascular symptoms (in 7% in PIMS-all vs. 12% in KD). These numbers were similar between PIMS-all and KD both in bivariate and multiple regression analysis (Supplementary Table 6). It was only among PIMS-KD patients that the rate of developing CAA at discharge was higher than among PIMS-non-KD cases. CAAs in PIMS are usually small (z-score $< 5^{24}$) and the prognosis is considered more favorable than in KD^{13,23,24}. Most of the CAAs have resolved within six months following the acute PIMS phase^{28,29}. However, fatigue and exercise intolerance were reported among up to 50% of PIMS cases upon six-month-follow-up^{18,29}. This suggests that a structured follow-up is particularly warranted for PIMS and KD patients. In Germany, the register for acute PIMS cases will be supplemented by a follow-up register designed to collect data that will allow us to better understand the long-term prognosis of PIMS. In addition, we plan to analyze data collected during the fourth COVID-19 wave in late 2021 (one dominated by the Delta variant) and the fifth wave in early 2022 (dominated by the Omicron variant), so as to determine whether these variants have altered the clinical picture of PIMS in Germany.

The particular strength of our data lies in its concomitant compilation of PIMS and KD cases in a nationwide registry. This gives us the ability to compare these two entities for the same time period without needing to employ historic controls. This data previously has not been available. The main limitation of our study lies in its voluntary reporting registry design—an approach that may lead to selection bias. Because, however, a COVID-19 registry collecting data from hospitalized acute COVID-19 cases accurately mirrored data trends shown in the statutory notification system³⁰, we nevertheless are confident that our data is representative of the PIMS epidemiological situation in Germany. PIMS is not a notifiable disease in Germany; therefore, no notification data for it exist as such. Due to the nature of PIMS and its severe symptoms, however, underreporting seems even less likely than it would be for acute hospitalized COVID-19 cases. Another factor limiting the interpretation of therapies on outcome is the fact that we did not gather information on the timing of therapy for either PIMS or KD patients.

Despite differences in age distribution and disease severity, widely-shared clinical characteristics and a similar prognosis suggest that PIMS and KD represent a syndrome continuum based upon hyperinflammation triggered by an infectious agent. Follow-on studies of SARS-CoV-2-induced hyperinflammation will help generating hypotheses regarding the etiology of KD.

Conclusion

In Germany, PIMS and KD cases appear to display more clinical and prognostic similarities than they do differences. This suggests that they represent points on a syndrome continuum rather than separate diseases per se.

Methods

On March 18, 2020, a prospective registry for children and adolescents hospitalized with PIMS in German pediatric hospitals was established. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Technische Universität Dresden (BO-EK-110032020) and was assigned clinical trial number DRKS00021506. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations (https://www.akek.de/sonstige-studien/). The need for informed consent was waived by the institutional review board of the Ethics Committee of the Technische Universität Dresden (BO-EK-110032020).

Patients and setting. All German pediatric hospitals were invited to participate by prospectively reporting PIMS and KD cases. For each patient, an electronic case report form was completed in a secure database, with the link accessible through the DGPI website (https://dgpi.de/pims-survey-anleitung/). Included were patients under 20 years old who had been hospitalized with PIMS/KD during the period March 18, 2020–August 31, 2021. Overall, 517 patients were reported to the registry between March 2020 and August 2021. Data collected included demographic characteristics, comorbidities, initial symptoms and clinical signs, laboratory and imaging tests, treatments, disease course during hospitalization, and outcome at hospital discharge. All PIMS and KD cases reported were reviewed by two of the study's authors (J.A., M.H.) in order to verify whether the cases fulfilled the WHO criteria for PIMS⁵ and/or the American Heart Association criteria for KD¹⁴. Organ involvement in PIMS cases was defined according to WHO criteria⁵. Whenever potential differences of opinion emerged,

additional information was collected from the reporting physician, discussed within the core team (J.A., R.B., M.H.) and subsequently categorized. PIMS cases were required either to have a positive SARS-CoV-2 serology or -PCR, or else be known to have had close contact with a SARS-CoV-2-infected person. KD cases were required to be either SARS-CoV-2 serology-negative or PCR-negative, without a known close contact with SARS-CoV-2 infection. PIMS patients (labeled PIMS-all) were categorized into two groups: (1) PIMS without features of KD (labeled PIMS-non-KD) and (2) PIMS with features of KD (labeled PIMS-KD), if the patient had at least two classical KD features. This differentiation was chosen based on an early report on an Italian PIMS cohort¹⁵ where the authors observed a high rate of intravenous immunoglobulin G (IVIG) resistance in PIMS patients without KD features and therefore suggested that glucocorticoids (in addition to IVIG) may play a role in this PIMS subgroup¹⁵. PIMS-KD and KD patients were additionally divided into the groups "complete KD" (with 4-5 KD features) or "incomplete KD" (with just 2-3 KD features). Information on the following comorbidity groupings was gathered: respiratory, cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, hepatic, renal, neurological/neuromuscular, psychiatric, hematological, oncological, s/p transplant (solid organ or bone marrow), autoimmune and immunodeficiency (including immunosuppressive treatment). The main outcome categories tracked upon patient discharge were: restitutio ad integrum (i.e., asymptomatic at discharge), persistent symptoms (potentially reversible symptoms at discharge), sequelae at discharge (potentially irreversible symptoms at discharge), and case fatality.

Statistical analysis. For statistical analysis, Microsoft Excel v.2010 and the software programs IBM SPSS v.25.0 and R v3.6. were employed.

Based upon the presence or absence of KD features, the following comparisons were conducted: (A) patients with PIMS and Kawasaki (PIMS-KD) vs. patients with PIMS without Kawasaki (PIMS-non-KD); and (B) patients with PIMS (both with and without Kawasaki [PIMS-all]) vs. patients with Kawasaki without SARS-CoV-2 infection (KD).

Sociodemographic characteristics were described by absolute and relative frequencies for categorical variables, while continuous variables were described by median and first/third quartile. Group differences among these variables were tested using Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and Wilcoxon rank-sum test for continuous variables. In addition, group differences were assessed with respect to preliminary diagnoses on admission, comorbidities, symptoms, diagnostic procedures, laboratory findings, therapies and outcomes. Differences regarding the probability of occurrence in the event of binary variables, as well as regarding median values in the event of continuous variables, were estimated. Confidence intervals and *p*-values were derived by the Wald method for differences in probabilities, as well as by the bootstrap method with 1000 replications for differences in median values. To adjust group differences between KD and PIMS-all patients on the basis age and sex, we used linear regression analysis for continuous outcomes and logistic regression analysis for binary outcomes. The significance and confidence levels were set to 0.05 and 0.95, respectively.

Data availability

The data presented in this study are available on reasonable request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to ethical and data privacy protection obligations.

Received: 10 July 2022; Accepted: 20 December 2022 Published online: 20 January 2023

References

- 1. Zhu, N. et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N. Engl. J. Med. 382, 727-733 (2020).
- Mehta, N. S. et al. SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19): what do we know about children? A systematic review. Clin. Infect. Dis. 71, 2469–2479 (2020).
- Viner, R. M. et al. Systematic review of reviews of symptoms and signs of COVID-19 in children and adolescents. Arch. Dis. Child. 106, 802–807 (2021).
- 4. Nikolopoulou, G. B. & Maltezou, H. C. COVID-19 in children: where do we stand?. Arch. Med. Res. 53, 1-8 (2021).
- World Health Organization (WHO). Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children and adolescents with COVID-19. https:// www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/multisystem-inflammatory-syndrome-in-children-and-adolescents-with-covid-19 (2020). Accessed 10 July 2022.
- Centers of Disease Control (CDC). Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C) associated with coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19). https://emergency.cdc.gov/han/2020/han00432.asp. (2020). Accessed 10 July 2022.
- Koné-Paut, I. & Cimaz, R. Is it Kawasaki shock syndrome, Kawasaki-like disease or pediatric inflammatory multisystem disease? The importance of semantic in the era of COVID-19 pandemic. RMD Open 6, e001333 (2020).
- Rowley, A. H. Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children and Kawasaki disease: two different illnesses with overlapping clinical features. J. Pediatr. 224, 129–132 (2020).
- 9. Yeung, R. S. & Ferguson, P. J. Is multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children on the Kawasaki syndrome spectrum?. J. Clin. Invest. 130, 5681–5684 (2020).
- Cattalini, M. *et al.* Defining Kawasaki disease and pediatric inflammatory multisystem syndrome-temporally associated to SARS-CoV-2 infection during SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in Italy: results from a national, multicenter survey. *Pediatr. Rheumatol. Online J.* 19, 29 (2021).
- Cherqaoui, B., Kone-Paut, I., Yager, H., Le Bourgeois, F. & Priam, M. Delineating phenotypes of Kawasaki disease and SARS-CoV-2-related inflammatory multisystem syndrome: a French study and literature review. *Rheumatology* 60, 4530–4537 (2021).
- 12. Matucci-Cerinic, C. et al. Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children: unique disease or part of the kawasaki disease spectrum?. Front. Pediatr. 9, 680813 (2021).
- Rowley, A. Diagnosing severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) related multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C): Focus on the gastrointestinal tract and myocardium. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 72, e402–e403 (2021).
- Mc Crindle, B. W. et al. Diagnosis, treatment, and long-term management of Kawasaki disease: a scientific statement for health professionals from the American heart Association. Circulation 135, e927–e999 (2017).
- Verdoni, L. et al. An outbreak of severe Kawasaki-like disease at the Italian epicentre of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic: an observational cohort study. Lancet 395, 1771–1778 (2020).

- 16. Godfred-Cato, S. *et al.* Distinguishing multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children from COVID-19, Kawasaki disease and toxic shock syndrome. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* **41**, 315–323 (2022).
- Hoste, L., van Paemel, R. & Haerynck, F. Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children related to COVID-19: a systematic review. Eur. J. Pediatr. 180, 2019–2034 (2021).
- Roarty, C. & Waterfield, T. Review and future directions for PIMS-TS (MIS-C). Arch. Dis. Child. https://doi.org/10.1136/archd ischild-2021-323143 (2022).
- Miller, A. D. et al. Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children-United States, February 2020–July 2021. Clin. Infect. Dis. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab1007 (2021).
- Jakob, A. et al. Kawasaki disease in Germany: a prospective, population-based study adjusted for underreporting. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J. 35, 129–134 (2016).
- 21. Mastrangelo, G. *et al.* Kawasaki disease in infants less than one year of age: an Italian cohort from a single center. *BMC Pediatr.* 19, 321 (2019).
- Freitas, J. et al. Fifteen-minute consultation: An approach to the management of PIMS-TS in a district hospital. Arch. Dis. Child. Educ. Pract. Ed. https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2021-321921 (2021).
- Sharma, C. et al. Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children and Kawasaki disease: a critical comparison. Nat. Rev. Rheumatol. 17, 731–748 (2021).
- Dionne, A., Son, M. B. F. & Randolph, A. G. An Update on multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children related to SARS-CoV-2. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J. 41, e6–e9 (2022).
- Henderson, L. A. et al. American College of Rheumatology clinical guidance for multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children associated with SARS-CoV-2 and hyperinflammation in pediatric COVID-19: version 3. Arthritis Rheumatol. 74, e1–e20 (2022).
- Bustos, R. B. et al. Pediatric inflammatory multisystem syndrome associated with SARS-CoV-2: a case series quantitative systematic review. Pediatr. Emer. Care 37, 44–47 (2021).
- 27. Vogel, T. P. *et al.* Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children and adults (MIS-C/A): case definition & guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation of immunization safety data. *Vaccine* **39**, 3037–3049 (2021).
- Feldstein, L. R. *et al.* Characteristics and outcomes of US children and adolescents with multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C) compared with severe acute COVID-19. *JAMA* 325, 1074–1087 (2021).
- 29. Penner, J. *et al.* 6-month multidisciplinary follow-up and outcomes of patients with paediatric inflammatory multisystem syndrome (PIMS-TS) at a UK tertiary paediatric hospital: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Child. Adolesc. Health* **5**, 473–482 (2021).
- Armann, J., et al. Risk factors for hospitalization, disease severity and mortality in children and adolescents with COVID-19: results from a nationwide German registry. *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.07.21258488 (2021).

Acknowledgements

Clinical trial registration: German Clinical Trials Register, DRKS00021506; https://www.drks.de/drks_web/setLo cale_EN.do; we share individual participant data if reasonable requests are received.

Author contributions

M.H., J.A., A.H., and R.B. designed the registry. M.H., J.A. M.D., and N.D. managed the database and validated the data. D.T.S., A.T., and R.B. coordinated resources. M.H., J.A., M.R., and J.S. analyzed the registry data. M.H., J.A., M.R., and R.B. wrote the original draft of the manuscript. All authors reviewed, edited, and approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. The study was partially supported by funding from the Federal State of Saxony, Germany. The Federal State of Saxony had no role in the design and conduct of the study.

Competing interests

Reinhard Berner received grants from the Federal State of Saxony, Germany. The study was partially supported by funding from the Federal State of Saxony, Germany. The Federal State of Saxony had no role in the design and conduct of the study. Jakob Armann received grants from the Federal State of Saxony, Germany. The study was partially supported by funding from the Federal State of Saxony, Germany. The Federal State of Saxony had no role in the design and conduct of the study. Jochen Schmitt received institutional funding for investigatorinitiated research from Novartis, Sanofi, Pfizer, ALK, and acted as a consultant for Novartis, Sanofi, and Lilly in a function unrelated to this study. The other authors have no competing interests to disclose.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-26832-5.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.H.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023

The PIMS-DGPI Working Group

Grazyna Adamiak-Brych⁸, Martina Aderhold⁹, Sara Aggar¹⁰, Mohammed-Ahmed Ahmed¹¹, Sandra Akanbi¹², Kristin Anders¹³, Stefan Arens¹⁴, Jakob Armann², Christoph Baßmann¹⁵, Lisa Baumbach¹⁶, Otto-Jonas Bayrhof¹⁷, Gerald Beier¹⁸, Ardua Berger¹⁹, Daniel Bernard²⁰, Reinhard Berner², Mario Berwald²¹, Adina Biering²², Ulrike Blümlein²³, Stefanie Blume²⁴, Kai Böckenholt²⁵, Carsten Bölke²⁶, Thomas Boesing²⁷, Robert Bonacker²⁸, Monika-Maria Borchers²⁹, Britta Brenner¹⁹, Folke Brinkmann³⁰, Jasmin Brühler³¹, Jürgen Brunner³², Laura Buchtala³³, Jörg Budde³⁴, Reinhard Bullmann³⁵, Marc Carré Schoppe³⁶, Gordana Cvetanovic³⁷, Alina Czwienzek³⁸, Metin Degirmenci³⁹, Fenja Dejas¹², Bergüzar Demirdelen⁴⁰, Anke Diederichs⁴¹, Maren Dittrich⁴², Katharina Döhring⁴³, Helena Donath⁴⁴, Franziska Ebert⁴⁵, Annemarie Eff¹⁷, Kerstin Ehrentraut⁴⁶, Fiene Eißler⁴⁷, Anne Eißner⁴⁸, Elisa Endres¹², Matthias Engler⁴⁹, Andreas Fiedler⁵⁰, Karin Fingerhut¹, Agnes Finster¹⁰, Doris Fischer⁵¹, Simon Flümann⁵², Svenja Foth⁵³, Christian Fremery⁵⁴, Holger Frenzke⁵⁵, Lukas Galow², Monika Gappa⁵⁶, Stephan Gerling⁵⁷, Stina Gitzinger⁵⁸, Nicola Glaser⁵⁹, Karoline Goj⁶⁰, Sarah Christina Goretzki²⁰, Katrin Gröger⁶¹, Tim Groteclaes⁶², Judith Grüner¹², Mike Grünwedel¹², Stephan Haag³⁷, Lisa Hacker⁶³, Nikolaus Halwas⁶⁴, Christof Hanke⁶⁵, Anne Haupt⁶⁶, Christina Heinrich⁴², Julia Heinrich⁶⁷, Lutz Hempel⁶⁸, Matthias Hermann³, Matthias Herzog⁶⁹, Georg Heubner⁷⁰, Georg Hillebrand⁷¹, Matthias Himpel⁷², Kai-Alexandra Hilker⁷³, Cara Hittmeyer⁷⁴, Alexander Höche¹², Mirjam Höfgen⁷⁵, Uwe Höpner⁷⁶, Katharina Holtkamp²⁰, Thomas Hoppen⁷⁷, Marita Horstkemper⁷³, Judith Horstmann⁷⁸, Anton Hospach⁴, Markus Hufnagel¹, Nora Ido⁷⁹, Vladimir Iliaev⁷⁴, Phryne Ioannou²⁷, André Jakob³, Dirk Jantzen⁸⁰, Söhnke Jenssen⁸¹, Claudia Jung⁸², Petra Kaiser-Labusch³³, Herrmann Kalhoff⁵, Johanna Keck¹⁵, Felicitas Kelch⁸³, Thomas Keller⁸⁴, Svetlana Kelzon⁸⁵, Jan Kern⁸⁶, Marie-Sophie Keßner¹⁵, Daniel Kever⁸⁷, Arni Kirchner⁸⁸, Martin Kirschstein⁸⁹, Richard Kitz⁹⁰, Dietrich Klauwer⁶, Christine Kleff⁴³, Christof Kluthe⁹¹, Jan Knechtel⁹², Lisanne Knop⁹³, Holger Köster⁹⁴, Malte Kohns Vasconcelos⁹⁵, Florian Konrad⁹⁶, Robert Kosteczka⁴⁴, Georgia Koukli⁹⁷, Sascha Kowski⁴², Mirco Kuhnigk⁹⁸, Marion Kuska⁹⁹, Sachicko Kwaschnowitz³⁸, Veit Lange¹⁰⁰, Gerrit Lautner¹⁰¹, Hanna Libuschewski¹⁰¹, Johannes Liese¹⁰², Linus Lindemann¹⁰¹, Norbert Lorenz⁷⁰, Niko Lorenzen¹⁰³, Daniela Lubitz¹⁰⁴, Heike Machata⁵⁴, Franziska Mader¹⁰⁵, Ingrid Malath¹⁰⁶, Cornelie Mampe¹⁰⁷, Andrea Markowsky¹⁰⁸, Maximilian Mauritz¹⁰⁹, Jochen Meister¹¹⁰, Melanie Menden¹¹¹, Felix Menzel¹¹², Michael Merker⁴⁴, Jens Meyer¹¹³, Lars Meyer-Dobkowitz¹¹⁴, Marko Mohorovicic⁶⁷, Laura Gabriela Moise⁵, Yvonne Morawski¹², Laura Motzkus⁵⁹, Bianca Müller¹¹⁵, Guido Müller⁵⁴, Mirja Müller²⁸, Meike Müller¹⁰⁰, Thomas Niehaus¹¹², Andre Oberthür¹¹⁶, Johanna Ohlendorf¹¹⁷, Florina Olar¹¹⁸, Bernd Opgen-Rhein¹¹⁹, Iris Östreicher¹², Kathlee Parthey¹²⁰, Falk Pentek²⁰, Simone Pötzsch¹²¹, Corinna Ponsa⁷⁸, Jenny Rambow¹⁵, Heike Reck¹²², Friedrich Reichert⁴, Annika Reil¹²³, Thomas Reinhardt⁹⁸, Carolin Richter¹²⁴, Jost Wigand Richter¹²⁵, Nikolaus Rieber¹²⁶, Hannelore Ringe¹¹⁹, Alexander Rühlmann⁷⁹, Anja Samol¹²⁷, Kristin Sauerbrey¹¹⁵, Miriam Schäfer²⁰, Nico Schaeffer¹²⁸, Miriam Scheffler¹²⁹, Christian Schlick⁸⁴, Caroline Schmitt¹³⁰, Dominik Schneider⁵, Hans-Christoph Schneider¹³¹, Alexander Schnelke¹³², Roland Schrewe⁴⁴, Lothar Schrod¹³³, Oliver Schroers⁸⁷, Katharina Schütz¹¹⁷, Leonie Schulteß¹³⁴, Isabel Schumacher⁷³, Sabrina Seidel¹⁰¹, Arne Simon¹³⁵, Volker Soditt¹³⁶, Ezgi Sönmez²⁷, Elena Spancken¹⁰, Lisa Spath¹²,

Sebastian Spinner⁴⁷, Barbara Stastny¹³⁷, Michael Steidl¹³², Benedikt Steif¹³⁸, Ann-Kathrin Steimer⁴⁸, Frank Stemberg¹³⁹, Antje Stockmann¹⁴⁰, Thomas Stöhring⁴⁷, Daria Sumbadze⁵⁹, Axel Teichmann¹⁴¹, Lion Thiel¹⁰, Jan Tränkner¹⁵, Stefanie Trau¹²⁰, Tina Treichel¹⁵, Andreas Trotter⁶, Alijda van den Heuvel¹⁴², Kai Vehse¹⁴³, Lena Vischer⁵⁴, Tatjana Wahjudi⁴⁸, Karin Waldecker¹⁴⁴, Ulrike Walden⁹⁹, Laura Warneke¹⁴³, Sarah Weber¹⁴⁵, Götz Wehl¹⁴⁶, Falk Wehrhoff¹³⁰, Alexander Weigert¹⁴⁷, Sandra Wenzel⁴⁸, Annika Werner¹⁵, Clarissa Weste¹⁴⁸, Barbara Wichmann¹⁴⁹, Florian Wild⁶⁴, Denise Willmer¹⁵⁰, Felicitas Wolf¹²⁹, Nina Wrenger¹⁵¹, Donald Wurm⁸², Anne-Sophie Yussif¹²⁰, Yvonne Zeißig² & Ulrich Zügge¹⁰⁸

⁸Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Rodewisch, Germany. ⁹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Lüneburg, Germany. ¹⁰Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Karlsruhe, Germany. ¹¹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Schwelm, Germany. ¹²Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Berlin-Neukölln, Berlin, Germany. ¹³Klinik für Kinderund Jugendmedizin Leipzig-St. Georg, Leipzig, Germany. ¹⁴Kinder- und Jugendkrankenhaus, Hannover, Germany. ¹⁵Kinderklinik, Darmstadt, Germany. ¹⁶Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Universitätsklinikum, Ulm, Germany. ¹⁷Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendliche, Schweinfurt, Germany. ¹⁸Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Deggendorf, Germany. ¹⁹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendliche, Esslingen, Germany. ²⁰Klinik für Kinderheilkunde Universitätsklinikum Essen, Essen, Germany.²¹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Rüsselsheim, Germany. ²²Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Wolfsburg, Germany. ²³Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Cottbus, Germany. ²⁴Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Detmold, Germany. ²⁵Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Köln, Germany.²⁶Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendliche, Ravensburg, Germany.²⁷Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Bielefeld, Germany. ²⁸Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Universitätsklinikum, Lübeck, Germany. ²⁹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin München-Nymphenburg, München, Germany. ³⁰Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Universitätsklinikum, Bochum, Germany. ³¹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Freudenstadt, Germany. ³²Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendheilkunde Universitätsklinikum, Innsbruck, Austria. ³³Prof.-Hess Kinderklinik Bremen-Mitte, Bremen, Germany. ³⁴Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin RKK-Klinikum Freiburg, Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany. ³⁵Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Bad Kreuznach, Germany. ³⁶Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Ludwigshafen, Germany. ³⁷Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Johannes Wesling Klinikum Minden, Minden, Germany. ³⁸Haunersche Kinderklinik, München, Germany. ³⁹Kinderklinik, Duisburg, Germany. ⁴⁰Klinik für Kinderund Jugendmedizin, Pforzheim, Germany.⁴¹Kinderzentrum, Hildesheim, Germany.⁴²Kinderkrankenhaus Hamburg-Altona, Hamburg, Germany. ⁴³Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Dinslaken, Germany. ⁴⁴Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Universitätsklinikum, Frankfurt, Germany. 45Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Lichtenstein, Germany. ⁴⁶Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Altenburger Land, Germany. ⁴⁷Klinik für Kinderund Jugendmedizin Berlin-Lichtenberg, Berlin, Germany. ⁴⁸Kinderkrankenhaus Hamburg-Wilhemstift, Hamburg, Germany. ⁴⁹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Offenbach, Germany. ⁵⁰Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendliche St. Marien, Amberg, Germany. ⁵¹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Limburg, Germany. ⁵²Zentrum für Kinder- und Jugendliche, Wesel, Germany. 53 Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Universitätsklinikum, Marburg, Germany. ⁵⁴Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Wiesbaden, Germany. ⁵⁵Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendliche, Lüdenscheid, Germany. ⁵⁶Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendliche, Düsseldorf, Germany. ⁵⁷Klinik und Poliklinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Universitätsklinikum Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany. ⁵⁸Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Trier, Germany. 59Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Berlin-Westend, Berlin, Germany. 60Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Suhl, Germany. ⁶¹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Wurzen, Germany. ⁶²Klinik für Kinderheilkunde und Jugendmedizin und Kinderchirurgie, Villingen-Schwenningen, Germany. 63Klinik für Kinderund Jugendliche, Bamberg, Germany. 64Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Neuburg, Germany. 65Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendliche, Schwäbisch Hall, Germany. ⁶⁶Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Zwickau, Germany. ⁶⁷Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Speyer, Germany. ⁶⁸Waldklinikum Gera, Gera, Germany. ⁶⁹Klinik für Kinderund Jugendmedizin Aschaffenburg-Alzenau, Aschaffenburg, Germany. ⁷⁰Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Dresden-Neustadt, Dresden, Germany. ⁷¹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Itzehoe, Itzehoe, Germany. ⁷²Klinikum Eichsfeld, Eichsfeld, Germany. ⁷³Kinderklinik, Hagen, Germany. ⁷⁴Kinderklinik, Gummersbach, Germany. ⁷⁵Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendheilkunde, Landau, Germany. ⁷⁶Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Chemnitz, Germany. ⁷⁷Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Koblenz, Germany. ⁷⁸Kinderklinik Köln-Porz, Köln, Germany. ⁷⁹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Stollberg, Germany. ⁸⁰Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Bad Mergentheim, Germany. ⁸¹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Eberswalde, Germany. ⁸²Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Saarbrücken, Germany. ⁸³Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Berlin-Buch, Berlin, Germany. ⁸⁴Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendliche Josefinum, Augsburg, Germany. ⁸⁵Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Sankt Augustin, Germany. ⁸⁶Universitätsklinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany. ⁸⁷Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendliche, Mönchengladbach, Germany.⁸⁸Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Rosenheim, Germany. ⁸⁹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Celle, Germany. ⁹⁰Kinderhospital, Frankfurt, Germany. ⁹¹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendliche, Rothenburg ob der Tauber, Germany. ⁹²Kinder- und Jugendklinik, Witten, Germany. ⁹³Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin St. Franziskus-Hospital, Münster, Germany. ⁹⁴Zentrum für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Oldenburg, Germany. 95 Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Universitätsklinikum Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany. ⁹⁶Klinik für Kinderheilkunde und Jugendmedizin, Offenburg, Germany. ⁹⁷Klinik für Kinderund Jugendmedizin, Kaiserslautern, Germany. ⁹⁸Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Bottrop, Germany. ⁹⁹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Augsburg, Augsburg, Germany. ¹⁰⁰Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Winnenden, Germany. ¹⁰¹Kinder- und Jugendklinik Gelsenkirchen, Gelsenkirchen, Germany. ¹⁰²Kinder- und Poliklinik Universitätsklinikum Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany. ¹⁰³Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Kiel, Germany. ¹⁰⁴Klinik für Kinderheilkunde, Düsseldorf, Germany. ¹⁰⁵Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Berlin-Spandau, Berlin, Germany. ¹⁰⁶Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Herford, Germany. ¹⁰⁷Klinik für Kinder- und

Jugendliche, Neuss, Germany. ¹⁰⁸Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Freiberg, Germany. ¹⁰⁹Kinder- und Jugendklinik, Datteln, Germany. ¹¹⁰Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Aue, Germany. ¹¹¹Zentrum für Kinderund Jugendmedizin, Osnabrück, Germany. 112Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Berlin-Tempelhof, Berlin, Germany. ¹¹³Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Neuwied, Germany. ¹¹⁴Kinder- und Jugendklinik, Potsdam, Germany. ¹¹⁵Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Erfurt, Germany. ¹¹⁶Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Uniklinik Köln, Köln, Germany. ¹¹⁷Klinik für Pädiatrie Universitätsklinikum Hannover, Hanover, Germany. ¹¹⁸Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Wittlich, Germany. ¹¹⁹Klinik für Pädiatrie, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany. ¹²⁰Pädiatrie Universitätsklinikum Halle, Halle, Germany. ¹²¹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Plauen, Germany. ¹²²Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Zittau, Germany. ¹²³Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Krefeld, Germany, ¹²⁴Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Universitätsklinikum Jena, Jena, Germany, ¹²⁵Klinik für Kinderund Jugendmedizin, Braunschweig, Germany. ¹²⁶Klinik und Poliklinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Universitätsklinikum Müchen-Schwabing, München, Germany. ¹²⁷Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Chemnitz-Rabenstein, Chemnitz, Germany. ¹²⁸Klinikum Freising, Freising, Germany. ¹²⁹Cnopf'sche Kinderklinik, Nürnberg, Germany. 130Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Mariahilf-Klinik, Hamburg, Germany. 131Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Reutlingen, Germany. ¹³²Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Landsberg am Lech, Germany. ¹³³Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Frankfurt-Höchst, Frankfurt, Germany. ¹³⁴Fachabteilung für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany. ¹³⁵Klinik für Allgemeine Pädiatrie und Neonatologie Universitätsklinikum Homburg, Homburg, Germany. ¹³⁶Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendliche, Solingen, Germany. ¹³⁷Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Kassel, Germany. ¹³⁸Kinderklinik, Passau, Germany. ¹³⁹Klinik für Kinderund Jugendmedizin, Worms, Germany. 140Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendliche, Oberhausen, Germany. 141Klinik für Kinderheilkunde und Jugendmedizin, Neustadt am Rübenberge, Germany. 142 Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Universitätsklinikum Münster, Münster, Germany. ¹⁴³Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Stade, Germany. ¹⁴⁴Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Konstanz, Germany. ¹⁴⁵Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Böblingen, Germany. ¹⁴⁶Pädiatrie Klinikum Kaufbeuren, Kaufbeuren, Germany. ¹⁴⁷Zentrum für Kinderheilkunde Universitätsklinikum Bonn, Bonn, Germany. ¹⁴⁸Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Salzgitter, Germany. ¹⁴⁹Kinder- und Jugendklinik Universitätsklinikum Rostock, Rostock, Germany. ¹⁵⁰Kinder- und Jugendklinik, Coesfeld, Germany.¹⁵¹Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, Velbert, Germany.