scientific reports

OPEN



Risk of type 2 diabetes and KCNJ11 gene polymorphisms: a nested case-control study and meta-analysis

Maryam Moazzam-Jazi¹, Leila Najd-Hassan-Bonab¹, Sajedeh Masjoudi¹, Maryam Tohidi², Mehdi Hedayati³, Fereidoun Azizi³ & Maryam S. Daneshpour¹

Due to the central role in insulin secretion, the potassium inwardly-rectifying channel subfamily J member 11 (KCNJ11) gene is one of the essential genes for type 2 diabetes (T2D) predisposition. However, the relevance of this gene to T2D development is not consistent among diverse populations. In the current study, we aim to capture the possible association of common KCNJ11 variants across Iranian adults, followed by a meta-analysis. We found that the tested variants of KCNJ11 have not contributed to T2D incidence in Iranian adults, consistent with similar insulin secretion levels among individuals with different genotypes. The integration of our results with 72 eligible published casecontrol studies (41,372 cases and 47,570 controls) as a meta-analysis demonstrated rs5219 and rs5215 are significantly associated with the increased T2D susceptibility under different genetic models. Nevertheless, the stratified analysis according to ethnicity showed rs5219 is involved in the T2D risk among disparate populations, including American, East Asian, European, and Greater Middle Eastern, but not South Asian. Additionally, the meta-regression analysis demonstrated that the sample size of both case and control groups was significantly associated with the magnitude of pooled genetic effect size. The present study can expand our knowledge about the KCNJ11 common variant's contributions to T2D incidence, which is valuable for designing SNP-based panels for potential clinical applications in precision medicine. It also highlights the importance of similar sample sizes for avoiding high heterogeneity and conducting a more precise meta-analysis.

Type 2 diabetes (T2D), the most common form of diabetes, is one of the leading life-threatening diseases worldwide, with 4.2 million deaths globally, as reported in 2019 by International Diabetes Federation (IDF) consortium¹. In recent years, urbanization and a sedentary lifestyle have been significantly increasing the T2D incidence. It is estimated the number of affected individuals with this metabolic disorder may enhance up to about 642 million by 2040².

Type 2 diabetes is raised by genetic, non-genetic (environmental) factors, and interaction between them^{3,4}. The genetic architecture of the disease is driven by multiple causal genetic variants with small to modest effect sizes, which influence either sufficient insulin secretion by pancreatic beta cells or proper insulin response⁵. Genome-wide association studies have identified many genetic variants associated with T2D and fasting glucose levels⁶. In recent years, several studies revealed the association of different genetic variants with the risk of T2D development among Iranians^{7,8}. Sadeghi et al. (2021) deciphered the positive association of rs28514894 and rs2303044 belonging to the *NR1H2* gene with the risk of T2D development. They also showed a significant difference in the blood urea nitrogen levels among diabetic carriers of these polymorphisms⁹. It has been reported that polymorphisms at the 3'UTR of *SLC30A8* (rs2466293 and rs2466294) probably increased the Iranian susceptibility to T2D by affecting the binding site of some miRNAs and reducing the stability of *SLC30A8* mRNA transcripts¹⁰. In addition to the coding genes, genetic variants on the non-coding genes contribute to type 2 diabetes development, pointing to the crucial role of gene expression regulation in the disease incidence. According to Jahantigh

¹Cellular, and Molecular Endocrine Research Center, Research Institute for Endocrine Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ²Prevention of Metabolic Disorder Research Center, Research Institute for Endocrine Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ³Endocrine Research Center, Research Institute for Endocrine Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ^{II} email: daneshpour@sbmu.ac.ir

et al., some polymorphisms at the promoter region of the miR-143/145 cluster could significantly influence the T2D development in the Iranian population. The involvement of target genes of these miRNAs in the glucose and lipid metabolism pathways may explain their contribution to the T2D predisposition¹¹. HOTAIR, one of the well-documented long non-coding RNAs, has a prominent role in glucose metabolism regulation. Sargazi et al. figured out that several SNPs belonging to this gene can affect the risk of type 2 development through modulating various biological pathways¹². Among the numerous T2D-related genes, the adenosine triphosphate-sensitive potassium channel (KATP) plays a major role in regulating glucose-stimulated insulin secretion by beta cells through coupling cell membrane potential with cell metabolism. The KATP is a homo-tetramer of potassium inward channel (Kir6.2) and regulatory sulfonylurea receptor SUR1 subunits (ABCC8). The Kir6.2 subunit is coded by potassium inwardly-rectifying channel subfamily J member 11 (KCNJ11) gene with high expression in the pancreas. Mutation in both genes, KCNJ11 and ABCC8, can result in neonatal diabetes and congenital hyperinsulinemia in humans^{4,5}. In 2002, Schwanstecher et al. reported that a non-synonymous polymorphism in KCNJ11 (rs5219) that substitutes glutamate for lysine at position 23 (E23K). It could change protein function via inducing pancreatic beta-cell over-activity, leading to defective insulin secretion¹⁵. A recent simulation study by computational approaches demonstrated the normal coupling between the open and close states of the KATP channel, resulting in normal insulin secretion, unlike the mutant Kir6.2¹⁶. Hence, this polymorphism plays a key role in developing T2D as also represented by various association studies in different populations⁸⁻¹⁰. However, the effect of rs5219 on T2D susceptibility is not consistent among diverse ethnicities. For instance, the lack of association of this polymorphism with T2D was reported in Iranian¹⁹, Emirati²⁰, Moroccan²¹, and Asian Indian¹³ populations. rs5210 and rs5215 are other KCNJ11 well-known polymorphisms. The rs5210 and rs5215 are located at the 3' untranslated region (UTR) and the coding region of the KCNJ11 gene, respectively, which the later polymorphism alters the amino acid of valine to isoleucine at residue 250 of the corresponding protein¹³. Although researchers have less surveyed these polymorphisms of KCNJ11, their inconsistent contribution to T2D development was also reported across populations. In 2007, Koo et al. claimed that both rs5210 and rs5215 were significantly associated with type 2 diabetes incidence in the Korean population²², but they have not been confirmed in the Mexican population²³. Due to the potentially broad clinical applications of single genetic polymorphisms in precision medicine for early diagnosis and treatment, it is critical to recognize their explicit relevance to disease incidence. However, different sample sizes and ethnic groups used by various researchers limited our ability to realize the clear effect of the genetic polymorphisms on complex disease development, such as type 2 diabetes. A meta-analysis of the genetic association studies is an efficient approach to gain a better understanding of genetic variants' impact on the disease incidence. Despite the importance of KCNJ11 polymorphisms, the lack of a comprehensive genetic association study among Iranians, a population with an unknown genetic makeup, motivated us to discover the possible involvement of the KCNJ11 polymorphisms in type 2 diabetes development across Iranians. The current study aims to (1) survey the association of common KCNJ11 polymorphisms (rs5210, rs5215, and rs5219) with T2D risk in a large sample size of the Iranian population; (2) examine pancreatic-cell function in individuals with different rs5219 genotypes; and (3) integrate our findings with all previous case-control studies and present the most comprehensive and up-to-date meta-analysis to precisely uncover the impact of common KCNJ11 polymorphisms on type 2 diabetes predisposition; (4) identify the probable sources of heterogeneity among studies used for the meta-analysis.

Results

Nested case–control study. *Population description.* The nested case–control study was performed on 1326 diabetic and 1594 non-diabetic unrelated adults who participated in the ongoing TCGS cohort project; the average age of the case and control groups were 50.41 and 37.5 years, respectively. Demographic and biochemical characteristics of participants at the baseline are available in Supplementary Table S1. The power of our analysis was 83% with the above sample size.

Association of KCNJ11 with type 2 diabetes. The genotype distribution of three well-known *KCNJ11* SNPs, rs5210, rs5215, and rs5219 complied with the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (p-value > 0.05). According to our association results under six genetic models (recessive, dominant, additive, over-dominant, codominant heterozygous, and codominant homozygous), none of the *KCNJ11* SNPs could significantly influence T2D development in Iranian adults. The same finding was obtained in the model adjusted for age, sex, and BMI as covariates (Supplementary Table S2).

Pancreatic β -*cell function among participants with different rs5219 genotypes.* We surveyed the insulin secretion level by estimating β -cell function and comparing it among non-diabetic individuals with zero, one, and two risk alleles of rs5219. The current analysis was done on 808 participants of interest whose fasting insulin data was available. Our results indicated that the difference in insulin secretion was not statistically significant among various rs5219 genotypes (p-value = 0.69, F (2,805) = 0.37) (Supplementary Table S3), proposing the lack of involvement of this polymorphism in altered insulin secretion.

Meta-analysis study. *Characteristics of eligible studies.* We obtained 7100 articles from our comprehensive initial literature search. After excluding the improper and duplicate papers, 72 articles were found to be qualified for meta-analysis. The study selection process is illustrated in Fig. 1. A total of 41,372 cases and 47,570 controls from Africa, America, Europe, Eastern Asia, Southern Asia, and Greater Middle Eastern were covered with the 72 included case-control studies. The main features of the eligible studies for the present meta-analysis are summarized in Table 1.



Figure 1. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) flow chart for study selection process.

Association meta-analysis of KCNJ11 polymorphisms with type 2 diabetes. rs5219. There were 53 eligible studies for the rs5219 polymorphism; four studies were excluded due to deviation from the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. A total of 49 studies composed of 31,345 cases and 37,627 controls were used for the meta-analysis (Table 1). In the overall analysis, we detected the significant high heterogeneity with I² value of 49–61% among the included studies (p-value <0.05) under all four genetic models. Therefore, the random-effects model was applied for the analysis, representing a significant positive association of rs5219 with type 2 diabetes development under all genetic models except for the over-dominant model (Fig. 2). The largest effect size (OR) of this polymorphism was achieved under recessive model (combined OR=1.2, CI 1.12–1.29, p-value=5.51E–07) (Table 2). Considering the cutoff value of 0.2 suggested by the FPRP test developer, the calculated FPRP value was less the specified threshold for all the significant associations, confirming our obtained positive results. However, the stratified analysis according to ethnicity was returned slightly different results for various populations. Here, based on the p-value cutoff of 0.05 for the heterogeneity test, we opted for the random-effects or the fixed-effect model in each population. Interestingly, rs5219 is significantly associated with T2D incidence in all ethnicities under at least one genetic model, except for Southern Asian where we detected no association

First author et al	Publication year	Population	Ancestry category	Genotyping method	Sample size case	Sample size control	p-value HWE	References
rs5219		1	1					
Sakura et al	1996	United Kingdom	European	PCR-SSCP	100	82	0 365	49
Inoue et al	1997	United Kingdom	European	PCR-SSCP	172	95	0.1466	50
Hani et al	1998	France	European	PCR-SSCP	191	114	0.9689	51
Glovn et al	2003	United Kingdom	European	PCR-SSCP	854	1182	0.7025	52
Nielsen et al	2003	Denmark	European	PCR	803	862	0.9434	53
Dam et al	2005	Netherland	European	DCD DELD	192	296	0.7083	54
Hansen et al	2005	Denmark	European	DCD DELD	1187	1454	0.7035	24
Vokoj et al	2005	Japanese	European East Asian	Mass array	1590	1244	0.7545	55
Coilcorré at al	2000	Grach	European		172	112	0.7343	56
Cejkova et al	2007		American	TaaMan	692	1078	0.5524	57
Salvamoto et al	2007	Japapaga	East Asian	TaqMan	006	1078	0.0014	58
Sakainoto et al	2007	Japanese	East Asian	TaqMan	900 758	639	0.82	22
Noo et al	2007	Lananaaa	East Asian	TaqMan	758	1422	0.2236	59
Doret al	2007	Japanese	East Asian	TaqMan	550	1455	0.73	13
Sangnera et al	2008	Asian Indian Sikns	South Asian	Taqivian	532	3/4	0.6824	60
willer et al	2008	France	European	Mass array	287	2684	0.7891	
Alsmadi et al	2008	Saudi Arab	East	TaqMan	550	335	0.6614	61
Chistiakov et al	2008	Russian	Other	PCR-RFLP	129	117	0.0716	62
Cauchi et al	2008	French	European	TaqMan	2734	4234	0.79	63
Thorsby et al	2009	Norway	European	PCR-RFLP	750	1879	0.4551	64
Cornelis et al	2009	USA	American	OpenArray SNP Genotyping	2709	3344	0.9804	65
Zhou et al	2009	Chinese	East Asian	TaqMan	1848	1910	0.0716	66
Ezzidi et al	2009	Tunisia	Greater Middle East	TaqMan	805	521	0.4551	67
Wang et al	2009	Chinese	East Asian	SNaPshot multiplex system	396	387	0.7	68
Tabara et al	2009	Japanese	East Asian	TaqMan	484	397	0.65	69
Yu et al	2010	Chinese	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	295	188	0.73	70
Wen et al	2010	Chinese	East Asian	Mass array	1165	1135	0.3363	71
Neuman et al	2010	Ashkenazi Jewish	Other	Pyrosequencing	573	843	0.5146	72
Boodram et al	2011	Indo-Trinidadians	North American	PCR	168	61	0.2348	73
Cheung et al	2011	Hong Kong	East Asian	TaqMan	198	1185	0.6614	74
Gonen et al	2012	Turkish	Greater Middle East	PCR-SSCP	162	79	0.0017	75
lwata et al	2012	Japanese	East Asian	TaqMan SNP Genotyping	724	763	0.2348	76
Abdelhamid et al	2013	Mauritanian	African	pcr	135	135	0.2723	77
			Greater Middle	F				21
Benrahma et al	2014	Moroccan	East	TaqMan	248	248	0.7025	21
Keshavarz et al	2014	Iranian	East	TaqMan	400	420	0.9434	19
Lasram et al	2014	Tunisians and Arabs	Greater Middle East	TaqMan	250	267	0.7025	78
Phani et al	2014	South Indian	South Asian	TETRA-ARMS	399	400	0.379	79
Sokolova et al	2015	Russian	Other	TaqMan	1384	414	0.5324	33
Zhuang et al	2015	Chinese	East Asian	PCR-direct sequencing	175	182	0.8395	80
Rastegari et al	2015	Iranian	Greater Middle East	PCR-RFLP	20	20	0.0533	81
Qian et al	2015	Chinese Han	East Asian	TaqMan	1192	1192	0.7025	82
Nikitin et al	2015	Russian	Other	Real-time PCR	440	265	0.36	17
Nikitin et al	2017	Russian	Other	TaqMan	862	443	0.0533	17
Souza et al	2017	Euro-Brazilian	South American	PCR-RFLP	141	217	0.5146	83
Rizvi et al	2018	Indian	South Asian	PCR-RFLP	200	200	0.0065	84
Engwa et al	2018	Nigerian	African	PCR-RFLP	73	75	0.01	85
Makhzoom et al	2019	Syria	Greater Middle East	PCR-RFLP	75	63	0.0104	86
Sarkar et al	2019	North East Indian	South Asian	PCR-RFLP	155	100	0.7545	87
Continued								l

First author et al	Publication year	Population	Ancestry category	Genotyping method	Sample size case	Sample size control	p-value HWE	References
Ali et al	2019	Emirati	Greater Middle East	TaqMan	153	264	0.5324	20
Muftin et al	2019	Iraqian	Greater Middle East	PCR-RFLP	40	20	0.6776	88
Isakova et al	2019	Kyrgyz	Other	PCR-RFLP	114	109	0.3865	89
Aswathi et al	2020	South Indian	South Asian	ARMS-PCR	218	214	0.4551	90
li et al	2020	Chinese	East Asian	Mass array	1194	1292	0.5793	91
Moazzam-Jazi et al	2022	Iranian	Greater Middle East	Illumina chip	1321	1596	0.8293	Present study
rs5215								
Inoue et al	1997	Caucasian	European	PCR-SSCP	203	96	0.002	50
Hani et al	1998	France	European	PCR-SSCP	187	113	0.8509	51
Koo et al	2007	Korean	East Asian	TaqMan	761	611	0.078	22
Boodram et al	2011	Indo-Trinidadians	North American	sequencing	66	59	0.8509	73
Gonen et al	2012	Turkish	Greater Middle East	PCR-SSCP	133	112	0.8509	75
Phani et al	2014	South Indian	South Asian	TETRA-ARMS	400	400	0.8509	79
Qian et al	2015	Chinese Han	East Asian	TaqMan	1192	1185	0.8509	82
Sikhayeva et al	2017	Kazakh	East Asian	TaqMan	375	829	0.9662	92
Althwanay et al	2020	Saudi Arab	Greater Middle East	TaqMan	49	39	0.6177	93
Moazzam-Jazi et al	2022	Iranian	South Asian	Illumina chip	1324	1595	0.9662	Present study
rs5210		•		·		·		
Koo et al	2007	Korean	East Asian	TaqMan	758	163	0.0792	22
Sakamoto et al	2007	Japanese	East Asian	TaqMan	897	188	0.7671	58
Khan et al	2015	India	South Asian	PCR-RFLP	250	136	0.0045	94
Khan et al	2019	India	South Asian	PCR-RFLP	300	58	0.1383	95
Khan et al	2020	India	South Asian	PCR-RFLP	300	50	0.1383	96
Yiping et al	2020	Chinese	East Asian	Mass array	1194	368	0.5794	91
Malekizadeh et al	2021	Iranian	Greater Middle East	Sanger sequencing	111	5	0.5794	97
Moazzam-Jazi et al	2022	Iranian	Greater Middle East	Illumina chip	1287	777	0.1215	Present study
Alqadri	2022	Saudi Arab	Greater Middle East	PCR-RFLP	102	13	0.0225	89

 Table 1. Characteristics of the studies included in the present meta-analysis (n = 72).

.....

under any genetic models. However, the FPRP value obtained for the Greater Middle Eastern ancestry was 0.5 (Table 3). Since the ethnic groups of African and Central Asian encompassed only one study, these groups were not considered for the stratified analysis.

rs5210. For the rs5210 polymorphism, we found that in nine eligible studies, two studies were not followed the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium and were removed from the analysis. Therefore, a meta-analysis was performed on seven included studies containing 4847 cases and 4642 controls from Eastern and Southern Asian populations (Table 1). As the heterogeneity was significant across the included studies with all genetic models (I^2 =47–83%, p-value<0.05), we applied the random-effects model for the meta-analysis. According to our results, rs5210 appears not to be associated with T2D risk under any genetic models (Table 2). The Forest plot is also available in Supplementary Fig. S1.

rs5215. For the rs5215 polymorphism, we recognized ten eligible studies, one of which deviated from the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. Hence, the meta-analysis was conducted on the nine included studies that covered 4487 cases and 4943 controls, as presented in Table 1. Considering the significant heterogeneity across the studies under all four genetic models (I^2 =92–98%, p-value <0.05), the meta-analysis was performed using the random-effects model. As Table 2 indicates, rs5215 can significantly increase the risk of T2D development only in the recessive model in the general population (combined OR=2.07, CI 1.17–3.69, p-value=0.013). However, the computed FPRP value (0.2) suggested that the observed association is moderate (Table 2). The forest plot can be also found in Supplementary Fig. S2.

Sensitivity analysis. The sensitivity analysis was conducted to assess each study's weight on the combined OR, via sequential elimination of each study under four genetic models (allelic, recessive, dominant, and over-dominant). The meta-analysis results of all three polymorphisms remained constant (Supplementary Fig. S3), sug-

۸

						D							
Study	Experimental Events Total E	Control vents Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-Cl Weight	Study	Experimenta Events Tota	al Co al Events	ontrol Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight
Sakura et al. 1996 Inova et al. 1997 Hani et al. 1997 Hani et al. 2003 Nielsen et al. 2003 Nielsen et al. 2005 Dam et al. 2005 Cejková et al. 2007 Gakamóto et al. 2007 Gakamóto et al. 2007 Alsmadi et al. 2008 Cauchi et al. 2009 Herra et al. 2009 Mang et al. 2009 Wang et al. 2009 Neuman et al. 2010 Yu et al. 2009 Neuman et al. 2010 Yu et al. 2009 Neuman et al. 2011 Cheung et al. 2019 Neuman et al. 2011 Cheung et al. 2014 Nickini, 1 et al. 2013 Benrahma et al. 2014 Nickini, 1 et al. 2015 Rastegari et al. 2015 Rastegari et al. 2015 Rastegari et al. 2017 Nickini et al. 2019 Nickini et al. 2017 Aswati et al. 2019 Aswati et al. 2019 Aswati et al. 2019 Aswati et al. 2019 Aswati et al. 2019		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u>+</u> + + + + + + + + + + + + +		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sakura et al. 1996 Inoue et al. 1997 Hani et al. 1998 Gloyn et al. 2003 Nielsen et al. 2003 Vales et al. 2003 Orikoi et al. 2007 Cejková et al. 2007 Sakamoto et al. 2007 Alsmadi et al. 2007 Alsmadi et al. 2007 Chistakov et al. 2007 Sakamoto et al. 2007 Alsmadi et al. 2008 Chistakov et al. 2008 Cornelis et al. 2009 Thorsby et al. 2009 Bodram et al. 2010 Bodram et al. 2010 Bodram et al. 2011 Iwata et al. 2011 Keshavarz et al. 2014 Abdelhamid et al. 2013 Benrahma et al. 2014 Hikitin 1 et al. 2015 Sokolova et al. 2015 Nikkitin et al. 2015 Nikkitin et al. 2017 Ali et al. 2019 Bisakova et al. 2019 Sarkar et al. 2020 It et al. 2020 Present study	62 100 100 17 138 19 546 85 516 80 754 111 156 190 166 17 514 75 209 155 209 155 209 155 209 155 209 155 209 155 209 155 201 155 202 131 166 2273 1652 270 315 48 346 228 315 48 345 770 113 16 109 10 100 19 610 72 49 138 125 349 345 77 117 688 86 14 78 15 <td>$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>82 95 114 8664 1182 1182 1182 1182 1187 889 333 333 333 333 333 333 333 333 117 1433 3443 344</td> <td></td> <td>$\begin{array}{c} 1.899\\ -8.96\\ -8$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c} [1.04; 3.42] \\ (0.53; 1.48] \\ (1.04; 2.78] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.52] \\$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c} 0.796\\ 0.796\\ 0.996\\ 0.$</td>	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	82 95 114 8664 1182 1182 1182 1182 1187 889 333 333 333 333 333 333 333 333 117 1433 3443 344		$\begin{array}{c} 1.899\\ -8.96\\ -8$	$\begin{array}{c} [1.04; 3.42] \\ (0.53; 1.48] \\ (1.04; 2.78] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.51] \\ (1.05; 1.52] \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.796\\ 0.796\\ 0.996\\ 0.$
Present study Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 50\%$, τ^2	162 1321 1 31345 $2^{2} = 0.0251 p < 0.01$	187 1596 37627		1.05 [0.8 1.20 [1.1	34; 1.32] 3.5% 2; 1.29] 100.0%	Random effects mode Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 57\%$, n	3134 t ² = 0.0182, p < 0	5 : 0.01	37627		1.16	[1.10; 1.23] 1	L00.0%
			0.01 0.1 1 10 100							0.1 0.5 1 2 1	.0		
C						D							
С						D							
C Study	Experimental Events Total E	Control vents Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI Weight	D Study	Experimenta Events Tota	al C al Events	Control Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight
C Study Sacura et al 1996 Inoue et al 1997 Inoue et al 1997 Inoue et al 1997 Inoue et al 2007 Cejková et al 2007 Cejková et al 2007 Ol et al 2008 Cauchi et al 2009 Ezzid et al 2009 Ezzid et al 2009 Datos et al 2009 Neuman et al 2010 Yu et al 2010 Yu et al 2010 Sacharni et al 2014 Niktin 1 et al 2015 Rastegari et al 2015 Zhuang et al 2015 Satos et al 2019 Mutfin et al 2019 Mutfin et al 2019 Mutfin et al 2019 Sarkar et al 2019 Mutfin et al 2019 Sarkar et al 2019 Aswath et al 2020 Presenti study	Experimental Events Total E 17 100 22 172 131 131 144 84 246 1590 21 172 246 1590 21 172 246 1590 21 172 246 1590 25 550 05 550 05 25 500 127 99 532 28 805 27 295 28 805 27 295 28 805 27 295 28 805 27 295 23 184 8 800 19 257 19 257 23 198 8 800 19 257 24 19 257 23 198 23 198 23 198 23 198 24 805 27 295 23 198 23 198 23 198 23 198 24 805 27 295 23 198 23 198 23 198 24 805 27 295 23 198 23 198 24 198 24 198 24 198 24 198 24 198	Control 11 82 6 95 15 1182 15 1182 15 1182 15 1182 16 114 17 124 18 113 10 6 13 17 124 18 113 10 6 13 10 6 13 10 6 13 10 6 13 10 7 889 10 13 10 6 13 10 7 889 10 143 10 6 13 10 7 889 10 143 10 7 889 10 143 10 7 889 10 143 10 7 889 10 143 10 143 14	Odds Ratio	OR 1.32 [0.5] 2.18 [0.6] 2.23 [1.2] 1.22 [0.5] 1.23 [1.2] 1.23 [1.2] 1.23 [1.2] 1.23 [1.2] 1.24 [0.5] 1.25 [0.6] 1.25 [0.6] 1.25 [0.6] 1.25 [0.6] 1.26 [0.6] 1.26 [0.6] 1.26 [0.6] 1.26 [0.6] 1.26 [0.6] 1.27 [0.6] 0.92 [0.5] 1.22 [0.6] 1.24 [0.6] 1.24 [0.6] 1.24 [0.6] 1.24 [0.6] 1.25 [0.6] 1.24 [0.6] 1.25 [0.6] 1.24 [0.6] 1.25 [0.6] 1.	95%-CI Weight 58: 3.01] 0.7% 55: 5.57] 0.5% 56: 0.4.14] 1.1% 57: 1.56] 3.2% 77: 1.45] 0.5% 77: 1.45] 0.9% 77: 1.45] 0.9% 77: 1.45] 0.9% 77: 1.45] 0.9% 77: 1.45] 0.9% 77: 1.45] 0.9% 77: 1.45] 0.9% 77: 1.45] 0.1% 77: 1.45] 0.1% 77: 1.45] 0.1% 77: 1.45] 0.1% 77: 1.45] 0.1% 77: 1.45] 0.1% 77: 1.45] 0.2% 77: 1.45] 0.2% 77: 1.45] 0.2% 77: 1.45] 0.2% 77: 1.45] 0.4\% 77: 1.45] 0.4\% 77: 1	D study Sakura et al. 1996 Hani et al. 1998 Hansen et al. 2003 Nielsen et al. 2003 Nielsen et al. 2003 Cejková et al. 2007 Color et al. 2008 Concelis et al. 2009 Existi et al. 2009 Existi et al. 2009 Existi et al. 2009 Concelis et al. 2009 Existi et al. 2009 Existi et al. 2009 Existi et al. 2009 Mang et al. 2009 Mang et al. 2009 Konor et al. 2010 Bodram et al. 2011 Cheung et al. 2014 Abdelhamid et al. 2013 Bornahma et al. 2014 Kashavarz et al. 2014 Niktin 1 et al. 2015 Saktegari et al. 2015 Sukova et al. 2019 Niktin et al. 2015 Sikova et al. 2019 Niktin et al. 2019 Sikova et al. 2020 Present study	Experimenti Events Toti 45 100 78 17 87 19 4122 80 568 188 568 188 568 188 568 188 568 188 573 322 68 446 90 263 55 189 734 159 85 17 324 68 189 734 127 21 72 72 73 322 68 127 21 73 32 127 52 770 350 75 863 184 189 32 127 21 75 26 56 57 116 57 16 58 18 199 33 127 52 16 38 12 22 19 338 72 39 11 39 12 39 12 30 12	C C al Events B b0 27 52 52 11 534 13 468 14 534 15 534 12 534 13 468 141 100 12 477 182 273 169 152 169 153 17 1287 13 4046 15 517 163 144 308 199 155 517 163 144 318 149 15 517 163 118 155 517 163 11 164 328 199 1688 101 145 142 2044 142 214 15 17 163 110	Control Total 82 95 1142 11424 1234 1454 1454 1454 1454 1454 1454 1454 14	Odds Ratio	ORR 1.67 0.666 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0	95%-Cl [0.91; 3.06] (0.41; 1.14] (0.62; 1.53) (0.03; 1.252; (0.07; 1.18] (0.37; 1.18] (0.47; 1.18] (0.48; 1.23] (0.48; 1.23] (0.49; 1.16] (0.41; 1.63] (0.41; 1.53] (0.41; 1.54] (0.41;	Weight 0.6% 3.2% 3.2% 2.9% 2.2% 2.2% 2.2% 4.3% 2.2% 4.3% 2.2% 4.3% 5.2% 2.1% 5.3% 2.2% 4.3% 5.2% 2.2% 4.3% 5.2% 2.2% 5.2% 5.2% 5.2% 5.3% 5.3% 5.3% 5.3% 5.3% 5.3% 5.3% 5.3

D



gesting that none of the included studies could significantly influence the combined OR and that our findings are stable and robust.

Publication bias. We applied the Egger's test and funnel plot to evaluate the publication bias across the studies. Although we observed no publication bias for rs5210 and rs5215 in all four genetic models, it was detected for rs5219 under allele contrast, recessive, and dominant models in the general population as represented by p-value <0.05 for the Egger's test (Table 2). Therefore, Duval and Tweedie test was applied to correct the bias

	Allele contrast					Recessive				Dominant				Over-dominant					
SNP	Sample size (case/ control)	OR (95% CI)	p-value ¹	p-value ²	FPRP	Sample size (case/control)	OR (95% CI)	p-value ¹	p-value ²	FPRP	Sample size (case/control)	OR (95% CI)	p-value ¹	p-value ²	FPRP	Sample size (case/control)	OR (95% CI)	p-value ¹	p-value ²
rs5210	9694/9284	1.04 (0.9-1.23)	0.6	0.268	-	4847/4642	0.92 (0.7-1.14)	0.438	0.434	-	4847/4642	1.12 (0.9-1.41)	0.345	0.387	-	4847/4642	1.12 (0.99-1.27)	0.074	0.185
rs5215	8974/9886	1.5 (0.87-2.5)	0.14	0.85	-	4487/4943	2.07 (1.17-3.6)	0.013	0.224	0.24	4487/4943	1.41 (0.7-2.83)	0.33	0.756	-	4487/4943	1.17 (0.7-1.98)	0.54	0.69
rs5219	6260/7524	1.14 (1.09-1.19)	9.00E-10	1.00E-04	<.0001	31,345/37,627	1.2 (1.12-1.29)	5.51E-07	3.80E-03	<.0001	31,345/37,627	1.16 (1.1-1.23)	8.29E-08	2.00E-04	<.0001	31,345/37,627	1.05 (0.9-1.1)	0.07	0.091

Table 2. Association meta-analysis of *KCNJ11* polymorphisms in the general population. The FPRP analysis was done for the significant associations. The FPRP level of noteworthiness is 0.2 *OR* odds ratio, *CI* confidence interval, *FPRP* false-positive report probability. ¹p-value of association test. ²p-value of Egger's test.

	Allele contrast				Recessive				Dominant				Over-dominant			
Ancestry	Sample size (case/control)	OR (95% CI)	p-value	FPRP	Sample size (case/control)	OR (95% CI)	p-value	FPRP	Sample size (case/control)	OR (95% CI)	p-value	FPRP	Sample size (case/control)	OR (95% CI)	p-value	FPRP
American	7400/9400	1.17 (1.01-1.26)	3.37E-02	1E-03	3700/4700	1.33 (0.97-1.8)	0.07	-	3700/4700	1.13 (1.04-1.21)	4.04E-03	1.7E-02	3700/4700	1.03 (0.94-1.12)	0.45	-
East Asian	23,406/25,654	1.11 (1.05-1.17)	1.54E-04	4E-03	11,475/12,827	1.2 (1.02-1.3)	0.023	0.0001	11,475/12,827	1.17 (1.08-1.26)	5.62E-05	1E-03	11,475/12,827	1.06 (1.01-1.1)	0.011	0.07
European	19,936/29,268	1.12 (1.04-1.2)	1.50E-03	4E-02	9968/14,634	1.14 (1.05-1.22)	0.00054	0.006	9968/14,634	1.13 (1.02-1.25)	0.013	0.4	10,390/14,812	0.98 (0.93-1.04)	0.62	-
Greater Middle Eastern	7574/7382	1.2 (1.02-1.41)	2.13E-02	5E-01	3747/3671	1.14(0.97-1.34)	0.1	-	3787/3691	1.23(1.009-1.5)	0.04	0.6	3787/3691	1.14 (0.93-1.39)	0.19	-
South Asian	2608/2176	1.04 (0.8-1.3)	6.80E-01	-	1149/988	1.34 (0.58-2.9)	0.48	-	1149/988	0.87 (0.73-1.04)	0.146	-	1149/988	0.71 (0.45-1.13)	0.15	-

Table 3. Association meta-analysis of rs5219 in the subgroup analysis. The FPRP analysis was done for the significant associations. The FPRP level of noteworthiness is 0.2 *OR* odds ratio, *CI* confidence interval, *FPRP* false-positive report probability.

	Allele contrast		Recessive		Dominant			
Variable	Effect size	p-value	Effect size	p-value	Effect size	p-value		
Mean BMI case	- 0.0096 (- 0.03 to 0.013)	0.42	- 0.003 (- 0.04 to 0.03)	0.8	- 0.015 (- 0.04 to 0.016)	0.33		
Mean age case	0.0036 (- 0.006 to 0.013)	0.46	0.0029 (- 0.017 to 0.023)	0.78	0.005 (- 0.009 to 0.018)	0.48		
Sample size case	- 0.1145 (- 0.19 to - 0.03)	0.0035	- 0.15 (- 0.28 to - 0.007)	0.038	– 0.14 (– 0.2 to – 0.03)	0.0064		
Mean BMI control	0.0041 (- 0.025 to 0.034)	0.78	0.008 (- 0.04 to 0.06)	0.75	0.002 (- 0.03 to 0.043)	0.9		
Mean age control	0.0025 (- 0.003 to 0.0083)	0.4	- 0.001 (- 0.013 to 0.011)	0.86	0.0048 (- 0.003 to 0.012)	0.22		
Sample size control	- 0.1 (- 0.17 to - 0.023)	0.01	- 0.14 (- 0.2 to 0.003)	0.05	- 0.12 (- 0.21 to - 0.014)	0.025		

Table 4. Meta-regression results for rs5219. BMI and age are quantitative traits. Sample size was considered a binary trait. Studies with fewer than 1000 samples and those with more than or equal to 1000 samples were categorized as small and large sample sizes, respectively.

and calculate the adjusted effect size. As a result, the adjusted odds ratio with all genetic models has only slightly changed (Supplementary Fig. S4). The funnel plot for rs5210 and rs5215 with all tested genetic models was also available in Supplementary Fig. S5.

Meta-regression analysis. Since we have observed the significant heterogeneity among the included studies for rs5219, the meta-regression analysis was conducted to find the probable source of heterogeneity. According to our results, the pooled effect size was not significantly associated with the ethnicity, the mean age of case, the mean age of control, the mean BMI of case, and the mean BMI of control (Table 4). However, interestingly, we detected a significant association of combined effect size with the sample size of both case and control groups. As Table 4 demonstrates, the mean effect size in studies with more than 1000 cases is 0.115, 0.142, and 0.147 lower than in studies with less than 1000 cases, which explained 23%, 26%, and 8% of the observed heterogeneity in the allele contrast, dominant, and recessive genetic models, respectively. Similarly, the mean effect size of studies with more than 1000 control individuals was 0.1, 0.117, and 0.135 lower than studies with less than 1000 controls in the allele contrast and dominant models but only 5% in the recessive model (Table 4). Here, we did not consider the over-dominant model as the rs5219 association meta-analysis did not produce significant results under this model in the overall analysis.

Discussion

The sufficient sample size and the consequent statistical power are important prerequisites for acquiring reliable genetic association results and decoding the genetic architecture of complex diseases, such as type 2 diabetes. To achieve this goal, combining various genetic association studies in the form of meta-analysis is an appropriate approach. Here, we examined the association of common variants of *KCNJ11* with type 2 diabetes incidence in the Iranian cohort, followed by carrying out the most comprehensive meta-analysis to assess the potential role of *KCNJ11* polymorphisms in T2D susceptibility. Since rs5210, rs5215, and rs5219, had adequate published association studies with T2D worldwide, the current study focused on these *KCNJ11* polymorphisms. Previous studies reported that the association between rs5219 and increased type 2 diabetes risk could be partly explained by a reduced insulin secretion resulting from rs5219^{23,24}. However, in the present study, we figured out that the insulin secretion level is similar in non-diabetic participants with different rs5219 genotypes, which is in line with the lack of association of this polymorphism with type 2 diabetes development across Iranian adults.

Based on our meta-analysis, the role of rs5219 is varied among different ethnicities. It can raise the risk of T2D development in all tested ethnicities, except for South Asia, where this polymorphism is not apparently involved in T2D incidence. Based on FPRP value, the detected genetic association can be categorized as strong (FPRP < 0.05), moderate ($0.05 \le$ FPRP ≤ 0.2), or weak (FPRP > 0.2)²⁶. Here, the FPRP analysis suggested the weak association of this polymorphism with T2D in the Great Middle East population, which is in agreement with our findings in the Iranian population. However, we did not observe any association of rs5219 with T2D in the South Asian subgroup. Generally, the genetic association results are not consistent among different ethnicities. The Ensembl database reported the risk allele frequency (RAF) of rs5219 from 0.02 in African to 0.4 in South Asia²⁷. Our findings can also be attributed to the distinct linkage disequilibrium (LD) structure among rs5219 and adjacent probable causal variants in different ethnic groups. Both RAF and LD patterns have become different across ethnicities during evolutionary processes²⁸. Additionally, differences in non-genetic factors, including the disease age of onset and lifestyle, can lead to the discrepancy. Between-study heterogeneity is the common issue in the meta-analysis, which can refer to differences in age, BMI, and genetic background of participants in each study, over-estimation of genetic effect, differences in LD structure among the population, and sample size used for each study^{27,28}. For instance, Peng et al. suggested that differences in mean BMI in the control group is one of the heterogeneity sources of association meta-analysis of rs9939609 FTO with obesity risk²⁸. Despite detecting significant heterogeneity for all three polymorphisms in the present study, we could perform the meta-regression analysis for rs5219 but not for rs510 and rs5215 due to insufficient information. Among the tested variables, the sample size of both case and control groups had significantly influenced the combined effect size under all tested genetic models. We indicated that studies more than 1000 cases or 1000 controls have been generated a lower pooled odds ratio as compared to studies with less than 1000 subjects. It is highlighted the importance of adequate case and control sample size in genetic association studies to achieve the accurate results, especially when the expected effect size is low. Similarly, the previous studies recommended the higher samples size for conducting the genetic association analysis with rare variants or common variants with low effect size^{29,30}. In a prior meta-analysis of rs5219³³, the authors hypothesized that heterogeneity might have resulted from the younger mean age of the control group as T2D is a disease with a relatively late-onset. But our meta-regression results demonstrated that the mean age and the mean BMI of case and control groups were not associated with the pooled effect size and could not be the source of heterogeneity. rs5210 can positively affect T2D susceptibility, which is in line with a previous meta-analysis conducted on only two studies³⁴. Although we performed an extensive meta-analysis association of this polymorphism with nine eligible studies for the first time, all of them belonged to East and South Asia, which may introduce a potential bias to the result. Consequently, further studies from diverse ethnicities are required to generalize the findings.

From the potential clinical usage perspective, molecular biomarkers like SNPs have broad clinical applications in disease screening, early diagnosis, and treatment efficiency, which are the primary goals of precision medicine. Hence, genetic association studies are critical for recognizing risk variants associated with complex diseases, including type 2 diabetes. The identified risk variants can be utilized to detect genetically susceptible individuals at a very young age before appearing T2D symptoms. However, on the one hand, the associated genetic variants display diverse impacts among different ethnic groups. On the other hand, single genetic association studies are usually not robust enough to detect effective variants due to the small sample size. But association meta-analysis studies can overcome this issue by combining all eligible studies, generating more precise results. Alongside other risk SNPs, the present meta-analysis results could guide us to consider the *KCNJ11* rs5219 and rs5215, but not rs5210, in designing an efficient SNP-based panel for potential clinical usage in the near future. Consequently, the individuals with the highest genetic risk can be detected early and subjected to lifestyle improvement and other interventions to prevent or delay T2D. Eventually, it will reduce the type 2 diabetes rate and its complications, gradually increase life quality and decrease the economic cost of the disease.

There are some strengths and weaknesses in our study that merit discussion. Firstly, compared with previously published meta-analyses³¹⁻³³, the present study comprised up-to-date and more literature reviews with the homogenous study design (case-control or nested case-control). Secondly, unlike the previous meta-analyses, we classified different ethnicities according to genetic information, not geographical area, for performing subgroup analysis based on ethnicity. Thirdly, we made an effort to find the potential source of between-study heterogeneity by doing an extensive meta-regression analysis with all genetic models and obtaining the consistent results. However, type 2 diabetes has a complicated genetic architecture that numerous genetic variants shape it, while we considered only essential variants of the *KCNJ11* gene in the current study. Additionally, due to the lack of accessibility to the appropriate covariates, such as age, gender, and BMI, in the various studies, we could not adjust the pooled genetic effect size for those variables. Hence, the meta-analysis results should be interpreted with caution. Publication bias is a usual event in any meta-analysis study. Here, we found it only for rs5219 and adjusted it using the trim and fill method, however, the lack of publication bias cannot be a certainty sign of meta-analysis since the studies with significant association results usually have more chance of being published than studies with insignificant association results. Therefore, we should note that even if the publication bias is not detected in the meta-analysis, it cannot be completely ruled out.

To conclude, the current study can be considered the most comprehensive association meta-analysis of *KCNJ11* polymorphisms with type 2 diabetes incidence, which can expand our knowledge about the role of this gene in T2D incidence. However, more investigations are required to dissect the impact of *KCNJ11* polymorphisms on insulin secretion levels. Furthermore, we recommend that researchers consider collecting studies with similar sample sizes to reduce the between-study heterogeneity in the meta-analysis studies.

Methods

Nested case-control study. Subjects and measurements. In the current study, Iranian subjects were opted from an ongoing TCGS project that is a branch of a Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study (TLGS) longitudinal project, in which participants have been genotyped and followed up for cardio-metabolic risk factors every three years since 1999 (1999–2017)³⁶. The ethics committee approved all procedures performed in this study on human subject research at Research Institute for Endocrine Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences (code of "IR.SBMU.ENDOCRINE.REC.1395.366"), which were following the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. At each visit, written consent was obtained from each subject and referred to trained physicians and laboratories for clinical examinations and blood sampling. Considering the attendance of individuals from the main Iranian ethnic groups living in Iran, including Persians, Azeris, Kurds, Lors, Arabs, Baluchs, Turkmans, Mazanis, and Gilaks at the TCGS cohort, this cohort could represent the Iranian population. In summary, weight and height were recorded using the standard protocols. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in square meters. Fasting plasma glucose (FPG), 2-h plasma glucose, and fasting insulin concentration was measured using the standard protocols³⁷. Type 2 diabetes was diagnosed based on the fasting plasma glucose \geq 126 mg/dL or 2-h plasma glucose \geq 200 mg/ dL during an oral glucose tolerance test or usage of anti-diabetic drugs. The first occurrence of type 2 diabetes in individuals during the follow-up period was considered as diabetic condition³⁸.

Genotyping and genetic association analysis. Genomic DNA of TCGS participants was extracted from the white blood cells via an alkaline boiling method, and its quantity and quality were evaluated by electrophoresis and spectrophotometry. DNA samples of 13,693 TCGS participants were genotyped using Illumina Human OmniExpress-24-v1-0 bead chip at the deCODE genetics company (Iceland) according to manufacturer's specifications (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA)³⁹. Three common *KCNJ11* polymorphisms (rs5210, rs5215, and rs5219) were chosen for this study. Before running the analysis and for making sure that our sample size is adequate, we estimated the statistical power using GAS power calculator⁴⁰. For power calculation, we considered the given sample size, disease prevalence of 11.4% in Iran⁴¹, the minor allele frequency of 0.34, the average odds ratio of 1.2 for three SNPs of interest obtained from previous studies, and the significance level of 0.01.

After relevant quality control of genotyped SNPs and individuals using the PLINK program (V. 1.9), we investigated their association with type 2 diabetes incidence through the logistic regression analysis under six genetic models (allele contrast, recessive, dominant, over-dominant, codominant heterozygous, and codominant homozygous) adjusted for the BMI, sex, and age. The false discovery rate (FDR) at the 5% significance level was considered for correcting multiple testing. For this study, we considered 2991 (1326 diabetic and 1593 non-diabetic) unrelated adults (\geq 20 years old). Participants less than 20 years old, related adults, and pre-diabetic adults were excluded from the analysis.

Pancreatic β -cell function measurement. The β -cell function was estimated using the Homeostatic Model Assessment of β -cell function (HOMA- β) formula: HOMA- β =20×fasting insulin (μ U/mL)/fasting glucose (mmol/L) – 3.5)⁴². We used an analysis of variance (ANOVA) test to compare the β -cell function mean among non-diabetic participants with various rs5219 genotypes possessing zero, one, and two risk alleles. p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Meta-analysis. The current meta-analysis study was done based on the criteria of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA)⁴³. A PRISMA checklist is provided as supplementary file S2. The present study was not registered. The review protocol can be accessed on reasonable request.

Literature search and inclusion criteria. Manuscripts that investigated the genetic association between *KCNJ11* rs5210, res4215, and rs5219 with type 2 diabetes incidence were selected from PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar, and ProQuest based on publication date from 1990 to Jan. 2022. We used the following key words: "KCNJ11", "Kir 2.6 channel", "potassium inwardly-rectifying channel, subfamily J, member 11 protein, human", "Potassium Voltage-Gated Channel Subfamily J Member 11", and "Inwardly-Rectifying Potassium Channel Subfamily J Member 11", T2D", "type 2 diabetes", "Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus", "Ketosis-Resistant Diabetes Mellitus", "polymorphism", "SNP", "rs5210", "rs5215", and "rs5219". The search was restricted to human studies published in the English language.

In the current meta-analysis, we included the eligible studies with the following criteria: (1) published in peerreviewed journals with available full text. (2) Designed as the case–control, nested case–control, and genomewide association study. (3) Provided distributions of genotypes or alleles in case and control groups for calculating the odds ratio (OR) with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) and p-value. (4) Diagnosed T2D based on American Diabetes Association (ADA). In contrast, we excluded (1) case-only studies, (2) review, meta-analysis, editorial, and conference abstracts, (3) family-based association studies, and (4) studies without sufficient genotype frequency data that OR could not be calculated.

Data extraction and quality assessment. Two reviewers independently evaluated studies for relevance in a standardized manner. In case of disagreement, it was resolved by discussion and adjudication with the third reviewer. After removing duplicated records, the following information was extracted: first author's name and publication year, participants' ethnicity, the distribution of polymorphisms of interest in cases and controls, genotyping method, mean age, and BMI of cases and controls, and sample size of cases and controls. Newcastle–Ottawa scale (NOS) was applied to assess the methodological quality of eligible studies as previously described⁴⁴. The score on this scale ranged between zero and nine; studies with the at least score of seven were presumed to be a high-quality study.

Statistical analysis. For the present meta-analysis, the Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) was assessed in the control group of each study. The studies that have not obeyed the HWE rule (p-value > 0.05) were removed from further analysis. The effect of polymorphisms of interest (rs5210, rs5215, and rs5219) on type 2 diabetes development was evaluated under four genetic models, allele contrast, recessive, dominant, and over-dominant. Results were presented as the pooled odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI), and the related p-value. To detect the possible heterogeneity among the studies, we utilized the Cochrane Q-test and I² statistics. The random-effect model was applied if there was a significant heterogeneity (p-value < 0.05, I² > 50%). Otherwise, the fixed-effect model was used. Furthermore, we computed the false positive report probability (FPRP) to detect the potential false-positive results among the significant association meta-analysis findings. As proposed by Wacholder et al., the prior probability of 0.05 and the FPRP cutoff value of 0.2 were considered for this analysis⁴⁵. To survey the potential source of heterogeneity, we conducted meta-regression analysis using the ethnicity, mean age of control group, mean age of case group, mean BMI of case group, mean BMI of the control group, and sample size of case and control groups (N \ge 1000 or < 1000). BMI and age were considered quantitative traits while sample size was considered a binary trait. Studies with fewer than 1000 samples and those with more than or equal to 1000 samples were categorized as small and large sample sizes, respectively, studies with small sample size were considered as reference for doing the regression analysis. Ethnic groups were specified as American, African, Central Asian, European, Eastern Asian, Greater Middle Eastern, Southern Asian, and others based on Morales et al.'s genetic ancestry classifications⁴⁶. Additionally, we appraised the robustness of our meta-analysis results by leave-one-out method in the sensitivity analysis via consecutive excluding only one study each time to assess the possible impact of a single study on the final result (pooled OR). Besides, we examined the potential publication bias using Egger's test and visualized it by drawing a funnel plot. If the publication bias was detected, a Duval and Tweedie trim-and-fill method was applied to compute the adjusted effect size⁴⁷. All analysis was performed using STATA (version 11). We used the MetaGenyo tool for presenting the high-resolution figures⁴⁸.

Data availability

The data analyzed during the current study for the Iranian population are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Other data used for meta-analysis is available at Table 1.

Received: 20 June 2022; Accepted: 22 November 2022 Published online: 01 December 2022

References

- Moazzam-Jazi, M., Najd Hassan Bonab, L., Zahedi, A. S. & Daneshpour, M. S. High genetic burden of type 2 diabetes can promote the high prevalence of disease: a longitudinal cohort study in Iran. *Sci. Rep.* https://doi.org/10.1038/S41598-020-70725-4 (2020).
 Sun, P. *et al.* The polymorphism of rs266729 in adiponectin gene and type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)*
- https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000008745 (2017). 3. The Effect of Interactions of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms of APOA1/APOC3 with Food Group Intakes on the Risk of Meta-
- bolic Syndrome PubMed.
 4. Moazzam-Jazi, M. *et al.* Diverse effect of MC4R risk alleles on obesity-related traits over a lifetime: Evidence from a well-designed cohort study. *Gene* https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GENE.2021.145950 (2022).
- Vadde, R., Gupta, M. K. & Nagaraju, G. P. Is adipose tissue an immunological organ?. Crit. Rev. Immunol. 39, 481–490. https:// doi.org/10.1615/CRITREVIMMUNOL.2020033457 (2019).
- Ivarsdottir, E. V. et al. (2017) Effect of sequence variants on variance in glucose levels predicts type 2 diabetes risk and accounts for heritability. Nat. Genet. 499(49), 1398–1402. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3928 (2017).
- Sadeghi, M. B. *et al.* SIRT1 functional polymorphisms (rs12778366, rs3758391) as genetic biomarkers of susceptibility to type 2 diabetes mellitus in Iranians: A case-control study and computational analysis. *Int. J. Diabetes Dev. Ctries* 41, 447–455. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13410-020-00898-1 (2021).
- 8. Galavi, H. *et al.* Genetic polymorphism in ADRB-1 is associated with type 2 diabetes susceptibility in Iranian population. *Gene Rep.* **12**, 171–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genrep.2018.06.019 (2018).
- Sadeghi, M. B., Nakhaee, A., Saravani, R. & Sargazi, S. Significant association of LXRβ (NR1H2) polymorphisms (rs28514894, rs2303044) with type 2 diabetes mellitus and laboratory characteristics. J. Diabetes Metab. Disord. 20, 261–270. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s40200-021-00740-3 (2021).
- Sargazi, S. *et al.* SNPs in the 3'-untranslated region of SLC30A8 confer risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in a south-east Iranian population: Evidences from case-control and bioinformatics studies. *J. Diabetes Metab. Disord.* 19, 979–988. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s40200-020-00590-5 (2020).
- 11. Jahantigh, D. *et al.* Relationship between functional miR-143/145 cluster variants and susceptibility to type 2 diabetes mellitus: A preliminary case-control study and bioinformatics analyses. *Endocr. Res.* 46, 129–139. https://doi.org/10.1080/07435800.2021. 1914079 (2021).
- 12. Sargazi, S. *et al.* Association of polymorphisms within HOX transcript antisense RNA (HOTAIR) with Type 2 diabetes mellitus and laboratory characteristics: A preliminary case-control study. *Dis. Markers* https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4327342 (2022).

- 13. Sanghera, D. K. et al. Impact of nine common type 2 diabetes risk polymorphisms in Asian Indian Sikhs: PPARG2 (Pro12Ala), IGF2BP2, TCF7L2 and FTO variants confer a significant risk. BMC Med. Genet. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2350-9-59 (2008).
- Smith, A. J., Taneja, T. K., Mankouri, J. & Sivaprasadarao, A. Molecular cell biology of KATP channels: Implications for neonatal diabetes. Expert Rev. Mol. Med. 9, 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1462399407000403 (2007).
- 15. Schwanstecher, C., Meyer, U. & Schwanstecher, M. K(IR)6.2 polymorphism predisposes to type 2 diabetes by inducing overactivity of pancreatic beta-cell ATP-sensitive K(+) channels. Diabetes 51, 875-879. https://doi.org/10.2337/DIABETES.51.3.875 (2002).
- Gupta, M. K., & Vadde, R. A computational structural biology study to understand the impact of mutation on structure-function 16 relationship of inward-rectifier potassium ion channel Kir6.2 in human. 39, 1447-1460. https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2020. 1733666 (2020).
- 17. Nikitin, A. G. et al. Association of polymorphic markers of genes FTO, KCNJ11, CDKAL1, SLC30A8, and CDKN2B with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the Russian population. Peerl https://doi.org/10.7717/PEERJ.3414 (2017).
- 18. Hu, C. et al. PPARG, KCNJ11, CDKAL1, CDKN2A-CDKN2B, IDE-KIF11-HHEX, IGF2BP2 and SLC30A8 are associated with type 2 diabetes in a Chinese population. PLoS ONE https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0007643 (2009).
- 19. Keshavarz, P. et al. Lack of genetic susceptibility of KCNJ11 E23K polymorphism with risk of type 2 diabetes in an Iranian population. Endocr. Res. 39, 120-125. https://doi.org/10.3109/07435800.2013.860607 (2014).
- 20. Al Ali, M. et al. Investigating the association of rs7903146 of TCF7L2 gene, rs5219 of KCNJ11 gene, rs10946398 of CDKAL1 gene, and rs9939609 of FTO gene with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Emirati population. Meta Gene 21, 100600. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. MGENE.2019.100600 (2019).
- 21. Benrahma, H. et al. Association analysis of IGF2BP2, KCNJ11, and CDKAL1 polymorphisms with type 2 diabetes mellitus in a Moroccan population: A case-control study and meta-analysis. Biochem. Genet. 52, 430-442. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10528-014-9658-5 (2014)
- 22. Koo, B. K. et al. Polymorphisms of KCNJ11 (Kir6.2 gene) are associated with Type 2 diabetes and hypertension in the Korean population. Diabet. Med. 24, 178-186. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1464-5491.2006.02050.X (2007).
- Cruz, M. et al. Candidate gene association study conditioning on individual ancestry in patients with type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndrome from Mexico City. Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev. 26, 261-270. https://doi.org/10.1002/DMRR.1082 (2010).
- 24. Hansen, S. K. et al. Analysis of separate and combined effects of common variation in KCNJ11 and PPARG on risk of type 2 diabetes. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 90, 3629-3637. https://doi.org/10.1210/JC.2004-1942 (2005).
- 25. Barroso, I. et al. Candidate gene association study in type 2 diabetes indicates a role for genes involved in beta-cell function as well as insulin action. PLoS Biol. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PBIO.0000020 (2003).
- 26. Liu, G. et al. Epidemiological evidence for associations between variants in microRNA or biosynthesis genes and lung cancer risk. Cancer Med. 9, 1937-1950. https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.2645 (2020).
- 27. Ensembl genome browser 106.
- 28. Peng, S. et al. FTO gene polymorphisms and obesity risk: A meta-analysis. BMC Med. 9, 71. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-9-71 (2011).
- Pei, Y. F. et al. On individual genome-wide association studies and their meta-analysis. Hum. Genet. 133, 265-279. https://doi.org/ 29. 10.1007/S00439-013-1366-4 (2014).
- 30. Nakaoka, H. & Inoue, I. Meta-analysis of genetic association studies: Methodologies, between-study heterogeneity and winner's curse. J. Hum. Genet. 54, 615-623 (2009).
- 31. Hong, E. P. & Park, J. W. Sample size and statistical power calculation in genetic association studies. Genomics Inform. 10, 117. https://doi.org/10.5808/GI.2012.10.2.117 (2012)
- 32. Zhang, Y., Qi, G. & Park, J. H. Chatterjee N (2018) Estimation of complex effect-size distributions using summary-level statistics from genome-wide association studies across 32 complex traits. Nat. Genet. 509(50), 1318-1326. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0193-x (2018).
- 33. Sokolova, E. A. et al. Replication of KCNJ11 (p.E23K) and ABCC8 (p.S1369A) association in Russian diabetes mellitus 2 type cohort and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE 10, 1. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0124662 (2015).
- Qin, L. J., Lv, Y. & Huang, Q. Y. Meta-analysis of association of common variants in the KCNJ11-ABCC8 region with type 2 diabetes. Genet. Mol. Res. 12, 2990-3002. https://doi.org/10.4238/2013.AUGUST.20.1 (2013).
- 35. Haghvirdizadeh, P. et al. KCNJ11: Genetic polymorphisms and risk of diabetes mellitus. J. Diabetes Res. https://doi.org/10.1155/ 2015/908152 (2015).
- 36. Azizi, F. et al. Prevention of non-communicable disease in a population in nutrition transition: Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study phase II. Trials 10, 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-6215-10-5 (2009).
- 37. Hajebrahimi, M. A., et al. Different combinations of glucose tolerance and blood pressure status and incident cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality events. J Hum Hypertens 31, 744-749 (2017).
- 38. Ramezankhani, A. & KGFAFH, Spousal metabolic risk factors and incident hypertension: A longitudinal cohort study in Iran. J. Clin. Hypertens 22, 95-102 (2019).
- Daneshpour, M. S. et al. Rationale and design of a genetic study on cardiometabolic risk factors: Protocol for the Tehran cardio-39. metabolic genetic study (TCGS). JMIR Res. Protoc. 6, e28. https://doi.org/10.2196/resprot.6050 (2017)
- 40. Li, J., & Abecasis, G.R. Genetics and population analysis GAS power calculator: Web-based power calculator for genetic association studies. https://doi.org/10.1101/164343.
- 41. Zhou, B. et al. Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: A pooled analysis of 751 population-based studies with 4.4 million participants. Lancet 387, 1513-1530. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00618-8 (2016).
- Moslehi, N. et al. Inflammatory properties of diet and glucose-insulin homeostasis in a cohort of Iranian adults. Nutrients https:// 42. doi.org/10.3390/NU8110735 (2016).
- 43. Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J. & Altman, D. G. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. Int. J. Surg. 8, 336-341. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJSU.2010.02.007 (2010).
- Stang, A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-44 analyses. Eur. J. Epidemiol. 25, 603-605. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10654-010-9491-Z (2010).
- Wacholder, S. et al. Assessing the probability that a positive report is false: An approach for molecular epidemiology studies. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 96, 434–442. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djh075 (2004).
 46. Morales, J. et al. A standardized framework for representation of ancestry data in genomics studies, with application to the NHGRI-
- EBI GWAS Catalog. Genome Biol. 19, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1186/S13059-018-1396-2/TABLES/2 (2018).
- Duval, S., & Tweedie, R. A nonparametric "trim and fill" method of accounting for publication bias in meta-analysis. 95:89-98. 47. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2000.10473905 (2012).
- Martorell-Marugan, J., Toro-Dominguez, D., Alarcon-Riquelme, M. E. & Carmona-Saez, P. MetaGenyo: A web tool for meta-48. analysis of genetic association studies. BMC Bioinf. 18, 563. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-017-1990-4 (2017).
- 49. Sakura, H. et al. Sequence variations in the human Kir6.2 gene, a subunit of the beta-cell ATP-sensitive K-channel: no association with NIDDM in while Caucasian subjects or evidence of abnormal function when expressed in vitro. Diabetologia 39, 1233-1236. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02658512 (1996).
- 50. Inoue, H. et al. Sequence variants in the pancreatic islet beta-cell inwardly rectifying K+ channel Kir6.2 (Bir) gene: Identification and lack of role in Caucasian patients with NIDDM. Diabetes 46, 502-507. https://doi.org/10.2337/DIAB.46.3.502 (1997).

- Hani, E. H. et al. Missense mutations in the pancreatic islet beta cell inwardly rectifying K+ channel gene (KIR6.2/BIR): a metaanalysis suggests a role in the polygenic basis of Type II diabetes mellitus in Caucasians. *Diabetologia* 41, 1511–1515. https://doi. org/10.1007/S001250051098 (1998).
- Gloyn, A. L. *et al.* Large-scale association studies of variants in genes encoding the pancreatic beta-cell KATP channel subunits Kir6.2 (KCNJ11) and SUR1 (ABCC8) confirm that the KCNJ11 E23K variant is associated with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 52, 568–572. https://doi.org/10.2337/DIABETES.52.2.568 (2003).
- Nielsen, E. M. D. et al. The E23K variant of Kir6.2 associates with impaired post-OGTT serum insulin response and increased risk of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 52, 573–577. https://doi.org/10.2337/DIABETES.52.2.573 (2003).
- Van Dam, R. M. et al. Common variants in the ATP-sensitive K+ channel genes KCNJ11 (Kir6.2) and ABCC8 (SUR1) in relation to glucose intolerance: Population-based studies and meta-analyses. *Diabet. Med.* 22, 590–598. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1464-5491. 2005.01465.X (2005).
- 55. Yokoi, N. *et al.* Association studies of variants in the genes involved in pancreatic beta-cell function in type 2 diabetes in Japanese subjects. *Diabetes* 55, 2379–2386. https://doi.org/10.2337/DB05-1203 (2006).
- 56. KCNJ11 E23K Polymorphism and Diabetes Mellitus with Adult Onset in Czech Patients | Masarykova univerzita.
- Qi, L., Van Dam, R. M., Asselbergs, F. W. & Hu, F. B. Gene-gene interactions between HNF4A and KCNJ11 in predicting Type 2 diabetes in women. *Diabet. Med.* 24, 1187–1191. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1464-5491.2007.02255.X (2007).
- Sakamoto, Y. et al. (2007) SNPs in the KCNJ11-ABCC8 gene locus are associated with type 2 diabetes and blood pressure levels in the Japanese population. J. Hum. Genet. 5210(52), 781–793. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10038-007-0190-x (2007).
- Doi, Y. *et al.* Impact of Kir6.2 E23K polymorphism on the development of type 2 diabetes in a general Japanese population: The Hisayama Study. *Diabetes* 56, 2829–2833. https://doi.org/10.2337/DB06-1709 (2007).
- Willer, C. J. et al. Screening of 134 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) previously associated with type 2 diabetes replicates association with 12 SNPs in nine genes. Diabetes 56, 256–264. https://doi.org/10.2337/DB06-0461 (2007).
- Alsmadi, O. *et al.* Genetic Study of Saudi Diabetes (GSSD): Significant association of the KCNJ11 E23K polymorphism with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev.* 24, 137–140. https://doi.org/10.1002/DMRR.777 (2008).
- Chistiakov, D. A. et al. Genetic variations in the pancreatic ATP-sensitive potassium channel, beta-cell dysfunction, and susceptibility to type 2 diabetes. Acta Diabetol. 46, 43–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00592-008-0056-5 (2009).
- Cauchi, S. et al. The genetic susceptibility to type 2 diabetes may be modulated by obesity status: Implications for association studies. BMC Med. Genet. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2350-9-45 (2008).
- Thorsby, P. M. *et al.* Comparison of genetic risk in three candidate genes (TCF7L2, PPARG, KCNJ11) with traditional risk factors for type 2 diabetes in a population-based study-the HUNT study. *Scand. J. Clin. Lab. Invest.* 69, 282–287. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00365510802538188 (2009).
- Cornelis, M. C. et al. Joint effects of common genetic variants on the risk for type 2 diabetes in U.S. men and women of European ancestry. Ann. Intern. Med. 150, 541–550. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-150-8-200904210-00008 (2009).
- Zhou, D. et al. The E23K variation in the KCNJ11 gene is associated with type 2 diabetes in Chinese and East Asian population. J. Hum. Genet. 54, 433–435. https://doi.org/10.1038/JHG.2009.54 (2009).
- Ezzidi, I. et al. Contribution of type 2 diabetes associated loci in the Arabic population from Tunisia: A case-control study. BMC Med. Genet. 10, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2350-10-33/TABLES/3 (2009).
- Wang, F. et al. Effect of genetic variants in KCNJ11, ABCC8, PPARG and HNF4A loci on the susceptibility of type 2 diabetes in Chinese Han population. Chin. Med. J. (Engl) 122, 2477–2482. https://doi.org/10.3760/CMA.J.ISSN.0366-6999.2009.20.015 (2009).
- Tabara, Y. *et al.* Replication study of candidate genes associated with type 2 diabetes based on genome-wide screening. *Diabetes* 58, 493–498. https://doi.org/10.2337/DB07-1785 (2009).
- Yu, M. *et al.* KCNJ11 Lys23Glu and TCF7L2 rs290487(C/T) polymorphisms affect therapeutic efficacy of repaglinide in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 87, 330–335. https://doi.org/10.1038/CLPT.2009.242 (2010).
- Wen, J. et al. Investigation of type 2 diabetes risk alleles support CDKN2A/B, CDKAL1, and TCF7L2 as susceptibility genes in a Han Chinese cohort. PLoS ONE 5, e9153. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0009153 (2010).
- Neuman, R. J. et al. Gene-gene interactions lead to higher risk for development of type 2 diabetes in an Ashkenazi Jewish population. PLoS ONE https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0009903 (2010).
- Association of the KCNJ11 variant E23K with type 2 diabetes in Indo-Trinidadians PubMed.
 Cheung, C. Y. Y. *et al.* The KCNJ11 E23K polymorphism and progression of glycaemia in Southern Chinese: A long-term prospec-
- tive study. *PLoS ONE* https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0028598 (2011). 75. Gonen, M. S. *et al.* Effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms in K(ATP) channel genes on type 2 diabetes in a Turkish population.
- Arch. Med. Res. 43, 317-323. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ARCMED.2012.06.001 (2012).
- 76. Iwata, M. et al. Genetic risk score constructed using 14 susceptibility alleles for type 2 diabetes is associated with the early onset of diabetes and may predict the future requirement of insulin injections among Japanese individuals. *Diabetes Care* 35, 1763–1770. https://doi.org/10.2337/DC11-2006 (2012).
- 77. Lasram, K. *et al.* Evidence for association of the E23K variant of KCNJ11 gene with type 2 diabetes in Tunisian population: Population-based study and meta-analysis. *Biomed. Res. Int.* https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/265274 (2014).
- Abdelhamid, I. et al. E23K variant in KCNJ11 gene is associated with susceptibility to type 2 diabetes in the Mauritanian population. Prim. Care Diabetes 8, 171–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PCD.2013.10.006 (2014).
- Phani, N. M. et al. Population specific impact of genetic variants in KCNJ11 gene to type 2 diabetes: A case-control and metaanalysis study. PLoS ONE https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0107021 (2014).
- Zhuang, L. *et al.* The E23K and A190A variations of the KCNJ11 gene are associated with early-onset type 2 diabetes and blood pressure in the Chinese population. *Mol. Cell Biochem.* 404, 133–141. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11010-015-2373-7 (2015).
- Rastegari, A. *et al.* Association of KCNJ11 (E23K) gene polymorphism with susceptibility to type 2 diabetes in Iranian patients. *Adv. Biomed. Res.* 4, 1. https://doi.org/10.4103/2277-9175.148256 (2015).
- Qian, Y. et al. Joint effect of CENTD2 and KCNQ1 polymorphisms on the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus among Chinese Han population. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 407, 46-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MCE.2015.02.026 (2015).
- Souza, S. W. et al. Polymorphism E23K (rs5219) in the KCNJ11 gene in Euro-Brazilian subjects with type 1 and 2 diabetes. Genet Mol. Res. https://doi.org/10.4238/GMR16029543 (2017).
- Rizvi, S. et al. Genetic polymorphisms in KCNJ11 (E23K, rs5219) and SDF-1β (G801A, rs1801157) genes are associated with the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Br. J. Biomed. Sci. 75, 139–144. https://doi.org/10.1080/09674845.2018.1473939 (2018).
- Engwa, G. A. et al. Predominance of the a allele but no association of the KCNJ11 rs5219 E23K polymorphism with type 2 diabetes in a Nigerian population. *Genet Mol. Res.* https://doi.org/10.4238/GMR16039889 (2018).
- Makhzoom, O., Kabalan, Y. & Al-Quobaili, F. Association of KCNJ11 rs5219 gene polymorphism with type 2 diabetes mellitus in a population of Syria: A case-control study. *BMC Med. Genet.* 20, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12881-019-0846-3/TABLES/3 (2019).
- Sarkar, P. et al. Determination of individual type 2 diabetes risk profile in the North East Indian population and its association with anthropometric parameters. Indian J. Med. Res. 150, 390–398. https://doi.org/10.4103/IJMR.IJMR_888_17 (2019).
- Muftin, N. Q. & Jubair, S. KCNJ11 polymorphism is associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Iraqi patients. Gene Rep. https:// doi.org/10.1016/J.GENREP.2019.100480 (2019).

- Isakova, J. et al. ADIPOQ, KCNJ11 and TCF7L2 polymorphisms in type 2 diabetes in Kyrgyz population: A case-control study. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 23, 1628–1631. https://doi.org/10.1111/JCMM.14061 (2019).
- Ouhtit, A. et al. Influence of KCNJ11 gene polymorphism in T2DM of south Indian population. Front. Biosci. (Elite Ed) 12, 223–236. https://doi.org/10.2741/E867 (2020).
- 91. Li, Y. *et al.* Identifying the association between single nucleotide polymorphisms in KCNQ1, ARAP1, and KCNJ11 and type 2 diabetes mellitus in a Chinese population. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* **17**, 2379. https://doi.org/10.7150/IJMS.48072 (2020).
- Sikhayeva, N. et al. Association between 28 single nucleotide polymorphisms and type 2 diabetes mellitus in the Kazakh population: A case-control study. BMC Med. Genet. 18, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12881-017-0443-2/TABLES/5 (2017).
- 93. Althwanay A, Turkistani L, Alarfaj B, et al (2020) A pilot study on the association of KCNJ11 genetic polymorphism (rs5215 Val250Ile) with Type 2 diabetes mellitus among the Saudi Arabian population (Eastern province). Int. J. Med. Dev. Ctries 1062–1069. https://doi.org/10.24911/IJMDC.51-1587353314.
- 94. Khan, I. A. et al. Correlation between KCNQ1 and KCNJ11 gene polymorphisms and type 2 and post-transplant diabetes mellitus in the Asian Indian population. Genes Dis. 2, 276. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GENDIS.2015.02.009 (2015).
- 95. Khan V, Bhatt D, Khan S, et al (2019) Association of KCNJ11 Genetic Variations with Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) in North Indian Population. https://doi.org/10.20944/PREPRINTS201907.0089.V1.
- 96. Khan, V. et al. Association of genetic variants of KCNJ11 and KCNQ1 genes with risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in the Indian population: A Case-control study. Int. J. Endocrinol. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5924756 (2020).
- Malekizadeh, A. et al. Association of common genetic variants of KCNJ11 gene with the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids 40, 530–541. https://doi.org/10.1080/15257770.2021.1905841 (2021).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the participants in the TCGS project. Also, special thanks for the scientific and partial financial support of the deCODE genetic company (Reykjavik, Ice-land). However, no funding was received for the meta-analysis work.

Author contributions

M.M.J., L.N.H., S.M., M.T., M.H., F.A., and M.S.D. conceived and designed the study. M.M.J. extracted data, analyzed data and wrote the manuscript. L.N.H. extracted data and analyzed data. S.M. extracted data. M.T. provided insulin data. M.S.D. supervised the project and edited the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-022-24931-x.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.S.D.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022