scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN

EDITORIAL

Maura McGrail¹², Tetsushi Sakuma² & Leonidas Bleris³

Genome editing

Recent advances in genome editing technologies have redefined our ability to probe and precisely edit the human genome and epigenome in vitro and in vivo. More specifically, RNA-guided CRISPR/ Cas systems have revolutionized the field due to their simplicity in design and adaptability across biological systems. This Collection highlights results in CRISPR/Cas technology that increase the efficiency of precision genome editing, and allow genetic manipulation in model systems traditionally intractable to site-directed gene modification.

The development of custom Cas9 endonucleases for CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing has revolutionized the field of genetics and functional genomics¹⁻³. CRISPR/Cas9 targeting of a DNA double strand break provides a template for cellular repair mechanisms that introduce mutations through error-prone Non-Homologous End Joining, or allow precision knock-in of exogenous sequences by Homology Directed Repair⁴⁻¹¹. The utility of CRISPR/Cas9 editing has been greatly expanded by engineering of Cas9 variants with single strand nicking activity to reduce off-target genome edits⁶, altered protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) recognition sequences to broaden the targetable genome¹²⁻¹⁵, and inactivated enzymes which lack endonuclease activity (dCas9)¹⁶. dCas9 variants create a platform for generation of fusion proteins with novel gene editing function, including epigenome editing^{22,23}, and reverse transcriptase which introduces genome edits from an RNA template through prime editing^{22,23}, and reverse transcriptase which introduces genome edits from an RNA template through prime editing²⁴. These powerful Cas9-based genome editing tools allow targeted modification of nearly any gene of interest across most biological systems, enabling molecular genetic studies in organisms in which gene manipulation and transgenesis has traditionally been difficult^{25,26}. Key considerations in the application of this technology are optimal delivery and expression of CRISPR/Cas9 reagents.

This Collection gathers 17 contributions describing new methods to improve CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing efficiency in a variety of animal and plant systems^{27–34}, and its application to develop new genetic tools and models^{35–38} and to gain novel insight into mechanisms regulating organismal physiology, cell behavior, and gene expression^{39–43}.

The relatively low efficiency of CRISPR/Cas9 targeted integration of exogenous DNA via HDR has been a limiting factor in precision knock-in in mammalian cells and in vivo systems in which the predominant DNA repair pathway is Non-Homologous End Joining (NHEJ). Optimization of each parameter in CRISPR knock-in experimental design, including the specific Cas endonuclease, single or double-stranded DNA template, linear or circular templates, and homology arm length increases the chances of recovering precision HDR edits. For gene editing in mammalian cells using single-stranded oligonucleotide templates, guide RNA selection, donor strand preference, and introduction of blocking mutations in the template to prevent cleavage, together lead to significantly higher frequencies of on target precision integration²⁷. Experimental manipulation to enhance HDR knock-ins involve altering the relative activity of different DNA repair pathways by inhibition of the NHEJ DNA repair enzymes DNA Polymerase θ and DNA-PK, in combination with in vivo template liberation²⁸.

An important consideration in optimizing gene editing experimental design is the need for efficient delivery and robust expression of CRISPR/Cas9 editing reagents in individual systems. Replacement of *Drosophila* gene promoters in CRISPR/Cas9 targeting plasmids with *Aedes aegypti* counterparts lead to high level Cas9 and gRNA gene expression, and the efficient recovery of knock-in edited mosquito cell lines expressing Flag-tagged *AGO1* for future functional studies³⁰. Use of an endogenous RPS5a promoter for expression of deaminase base editors in *Arabidopsis thaliana* increased base editing efficiency > 30% in comparison to the heterologous CaMV35S viral promoter³⁴. These studies underscore species-specific gene regulatory elements may be required for optimal gene editing in some systems. Generating genetically modified mice by gene editing was shown to be facilitated by an Integrated Automated Embryo Manipulation System, that positions mouse embryos for reproducible pronuclear microinjection³¹. Optimal injection conditions allowed efficient introduction of gene edits and increased embryo survival, enhancing the recovery of genome edited adult mice. In difficult to transfect cells such as human iPSCs, a piggyBac transposon system provided sustained expression of Cas9 prime editors, a critical factor when combined with optimal pegRNA template design, for efficient gene editing²⁹. Recovery of patient

¹Department of Genetics, Development and Cell Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA. ²Division of Integrated Sciences for Life, Graduate School of Integrated Sciences for Life, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan. ³Bioengineering Department, The University of Texas at Dallas, Dallas, TX, USA. ^{\infermatcoremathtaremathfractoremathfract}

derived CRISPR-Cas9 knockout leukemia cells was significantly improved by the development of a fluorescent reporter system that provides a readout of cells harboring high levels of gene editing³⁸.

The Cas9 targetable genome has been significantly expanded with the development of near-PAM-less Cas9 variants that recognize NG, NA, NT and NC PAMs. In *Dictyostelium discoidium* cells near-PAM-less Cas9 variants were compared and shown to promote efficient knock-in of a double-stranded fluorescence reporter template or single-stranded oligos harboring single nucleotide changes³². Application of genome editing in *D. discoidium*, an in vivo system for investigating molecular mechanisms in the evolution of multi-cellular organisms, now allows investigators to examine the genetic requirements for multicellular communication and coordinated cell–cell interactions. As an alternative to Cas9-induced double strand breaks to stimulate HDR, tandem paired nicking using Cas9 D10A nickases, with long homology templates of 1700–2000 bp and an optimal 20 nucleo-tide gRNA length, drove efficient precise knock-in in HCT116 cells³³, suggesting the potential for applying TPN targeting in other cell types.

CRISPR-directed knock-in and knock-out have been widely applied to generate novel genetic tools and models to investigate gene function. The CRISPR/Cas9 GeneWeld short homology arm knock-in approach for generating targeted integrations⁴⁴ was used to integrate Cre recombinase into zebrafish proneural genes, placing Cre expression under the control of endogenous gene regulatory elements to increase the specificity and reproducibility of Cre recombinase activity for improved cell lineage labeling and conditional gene studies³⁵. Application of PITCh (Precise Integration into Target Chromosome)⁴⁵ to target the Ca2 + sensor GCaMP3 into the midge *Polypedilum vanderplanki* Pv11 cells suggests an important role for calcium signaling in anhydrobiosis in midge larvae⁴⁰. HDR has also been used to increase the robustness of PD-L1 gene knock-down in cultured glioblastoma cells, by introducing a template harboring a stop codon, revealing a critical role for PD-L1 in preventing proliferation, invasion and tumor associated macrophage polarization to M1 phenotype³⁹.

The high efficiency of CRISPR knockout with single or dual gRNAs targeting exons has generated multiple new genetic models including investigation of the role of exon skipping in frame-shift mutant alleles in mice³⁶, the role of HOL methyltransferases in methyl iodide emission from rice *Oryza sativa*⁴¹, and patient derived cultured myoblast models of Duchenne muscular dystrophy for chemical screens³⁷. CRISPR/Cas9-guided promoter deletion is also an effective method for gene knock-down as shown by H19 lncRNA knock-out impacting cell proliferation and genome stability⁴². Double strand and single strand break-free methods for silencing gene expression using epigenome editors allows direct demonstration of the relationship between H3K27Ac in gene promoter leading to H3K4me3 enrichment⁴³, providing an elegant, non-mutagenic approach to investigate crosstalk in epigenetic mechanisms regulating transcriptional activation.

The papers published in this Collection highlight how optimal experimental design and development of species specific reagents enhance the efficiency of CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. These advances illustrate the tremendous power of CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing to open new areas of investigation and allow genetic manipulation in model systems traditionally intractable to site-directed gene modification.

Published online: 28 November 2022

References

- Jinek, M. et al. A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. Science 337, 816–821. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1225829 (2012).
- Horvath, P. & Barrangou, R. CRISPR/Cas, the immune system of bacteria and archaea. Science 327, 167–170. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.1179555 (2010).
- Gasiunas, G., Barrangou, R., Horvath, P. & Siksnys, V. Cas9-crRNA ribonucleoprotein complex mediates specific DNA cleavage for adaptive immunity in bacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109, E2579-2586. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1208507109 (2012).
- 4. Chang, N. *et al.* Genome editing with RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease in zebrafish embryos. *Cell Res.* **23**, 465–472. https://doi.org/10. 1038/cr.2013.45 (2013).
- Cho, S. W., Kim, S., Kim, J. M. & Kim, J. S. Targeted genome engineering in human cells with the Cas9 RNA-guided endonuclease. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 230–232. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2507 (2013).
- Cong, L. et al. Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science 339, 819–823. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. 1231143 (2013).
- Friedland, A. E. et al. Heritable genome editing in C. elegans via a CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nat. Methods 10, 741–743. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2532 (2013).
- Gratz, S. J. et al. Genome engineering of Drosophila with the CRISPR RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease. Genetics 194, 1029–1035. https:// doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.152710 (2013).
- Mali, P. et al. RNA-guided human genome engineering via Cas9. Science 339, 823–826. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1232033 (2013).
- Wang, H. et al. One-step generation of mice carrying mutations in multiple genes by CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering. Cell 153, 910–918. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.04.025 (2013).
- Yang, H. et al. One-step generation of mice carrying reporter and conditional alleles by CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering. Cell 154, 1370–1379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.08.022 (2013).
- Kleinstiver, B. P. et al. Engineered CRISPR-Cas9 nucleases with altered PAM specificities. Nature 523, 481–485. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nature14592 (2015).
- Walton, R. T., Christie, K. A., Whittaker, M. N. & Kleinstiver, B. P. Unconstrained genome targeting with near-PAMless engineered CRISPR-Cas9 variants. Science 368, 290–296. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba8853 (2020).
- Hu, J. H. et al. Evolved Cas9 variants with broad PAM compatibility and high DNA specificity. Nature 556, 57–63. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nature26155 (2018).
- Nishimasu, H. et al. Engineered CRISPR-Cas9 nuclease with expanded targeting space. Science 361, 1259–1262. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.aas9129 (2018).
- Qi, L. S. et al. Repurposing CRISPR as an RNA-guided platform for sequence-specific control of gene expression. Cell 152, 1173–1183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.02.022 (2013).

- Kearns, N. A. et al. Functional annotation of native enhancers with a Cas9-histone demethylase fusion. Nat. Methods 12, 401–403. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3325 (2015).
- Hilton, I. B. *et al.* Epigenome editing by a CRISPR-Cas9-based acetyltransferase activates genes from promoters and enhancers. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33, 510–517. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3199 (2015).
- Kim, J. M. et al. Cooperation between SMYD3 and PC4 drives a distinct transcriptional program in cancer cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 43, 8868–8883. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv874 (2015).
- Cano-Rodriguez, D. et al. Writing of H3K4Me3 overcomes epigenetic silencing in a sustained but context-dependent manner. Nat. Commun. 7, 12284. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12284 (2016).
- Kwon, D. Y., Zhao, Y. T., Lamonica, J. M. & Zhou, Z. Locus-specific histone deacetylation using a synthetic CRISPR-Cas9-based HDAC. Nat. Commun. 8, 15315. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15315 (2017).
- Komor, A. C., Kim, Y. B., Packer, M. S., Zuris, J. A. & Liu, D. R. Programmable editing of a target base in genomic DNA without double-stranded DNA cleavage. *Nature* 533, 420–424. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17946 (2016).
- Nishida, K. et al. Targeted nucleotide editing using hybrid prokaryotic and vertebrate adaptive immune systems. Science. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf8729 (2016).
- 24. Anzalone, A. V. *et al.* Search-and-replace genome editing without double-strand breaks or donor DNA. *Nature* **576**, 149–157. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1711-4 (2019).
- Komor, A. C., Badran, A. H. & Liu, D. R. CRISPR-Based Technologies for the Manipulation of Eukaryotic Genomes. *Cell* 169, 559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.04.005 (2017).
- Doudna, J. A. & Charpentier, E. Genome editing. The new frontier of genome engineering with CRISPR-Cas9. Science 346, 1258096. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1258096 (2014).
- Schubert, M. S. et al. Optimized design parameters for CRISPR Cas9 and Cas12a homology-directed repair. Sci. Rep. 11, 19482. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-98965-y (2021).
- Arai, D. & Nakao, Y. Efficient biallelic knock-in in mouse embryonic stem cells by in vivo-linearization of donor and transient inhibition of DNA polymerase theta/DNA-PK. Sci. Rep. 11, 18132. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-97579-8 (2021).
- Eggenschwiler, R. *et al.* A selectable all-in-one CRISPR prime editing piggyBac transposon allows for highly efficient gene editing in human cell lines. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 22154. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-01689-2 (2021).
- 30. Rozen-Gagnon, K., Yi, S., Jacobson, E., Novack, S. & Rice, C. M. A selectable, plasmid-based system to generate CRISPR/Cas9 gene edited and knock-in mosquito cell lines. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 736. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-80436-5 (2021).
- Eto, T. *et al.* Establishment of an integrated automated embryonic manipulation system for producing genetically modified mice. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 11770. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-91148-9 (2021).
- Asano, Y. et al. Knock-in and precise nucleotide substitution using near-PAMless engineered Cas9 variants in Dictyostelium discoideum. Sci. Rep. 11, 11163. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-89546-0 (2021).
- Rahman, M. L. et al. Experimental strategies to achieve efficient targeted knock-in via tandem paired nicking. Sci. Rep. 11, 22627. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-01978-w (2021).
- Choi, M., Yun, J. Y., Kim, J. H., Kim, J. S. & Kim, S. T. The efficacy of CRISPR-mediated cytosine base editing with the RPS5a promoter in Arabidopsis thaliana. Sci. Rep. 11, 8087. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87669-y (2021).
- Almeida, M. P. et al. Endogenous zebrafish proneural Cre drivers generated by CRISPR/Cas9 short homology directed targeted integration. Sci. Rep. 11, 1732. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-81239-y (2021).
- Kishimoto, Y. et al. A novel tissue specific alternative splicing variant mitigates phenotypes in Ets2 frame-shift mutant models. Sci. Rep. 11, 8297. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87751-5 (2021).
- Soblechero-Martin, P. et al. Duchenne muscular dystrophy cell culture models created by CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing and their application in drug screening. Sci. Rep. 11, 18188. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-97730-5 (2021).
- Liu, W. H., Volse, K., Senft, D. & Jeremias, I. A reporter system for enriching CRISPR/Cas9 knockout cells in technically challenging settings like patient models. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 12649. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-91760-9 (2021).
- Fierro, J. Jr. et al. Dual-sgRNA CRISPR/Cas9 knockout of PD-L1 in human U87 glioblastoma tumor cells inhibits proliferation, invasion, and tumor-associated macrophage polarization. Sci. Rep. 12, 2417. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-06430-1 (2022).
- 40. Miyata, Y. *et al.* Cas9-mediated genome editing reveals a significant contribution of calcium signaling pathways to anhydrobiosis in Pv11 cells. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 19698. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-98905-w (2021).
- Carlessi, M. et al. Targeted knockout of the gene OsHOL1 removes methyl iodide emissions from rice plants. Sci. Rep. 11, 17010. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-95198-x (2021).
- da Silva Santos, R. et al. CRISPR/Cas9 small promoter deletion in H19 lncRNA is associated with altered cell morphology and proliferation. Sci. Rep. 11, 18380. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-97058-0 (2021).
- Zhao, W. et al. Investigating crosstalk between H3K27 acetylation and H3K4 trimethylation in CRISPR/dCas-based epigenome editing and gene activation. Sci. Rep. 11, 15912. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-95398-5 (2021).
- Wierson, W. A. et al. Efficient targeted integration directed by short homology in zebrafish and mammalian cells. Elife https://doi. org/10.7554/eLife.53968 (2020).
- Nakade, S. *et al.* Microhomology-mediated end-joining-dependent integration of donor DNA in cells and animals using TALENs and CRISPR/Cas9. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 5560. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6560 (2014).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.M.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022