scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Neuroprotective metabolites via fungal biotransformation of a novel sapogenin, cyclocephagenol

Melis Küçüksolak¹, Göklem Üner¹, Petek Ballar Kırmızıbayrak^{2⊠} & Erdal Bedir^{1⊠}

Cyclocephagenol (1), a novel cycloartane-type sapogenin with tetrahydropyran unit, is only encountered in Astragalus species. This rare sapogenin has never been a topic of biological activity or modification studies. The objectives of this study were; (i) to perform microbial transformation studies on cyclocephagenol (1) using Astragalus endophyte, Alternaria eureka 1E1BL1, followed by isolation and structural characterization of the metabolites; (ii) to investigate neuroprotective activities of the metabolites; (iii) to understand structure-activity relationships towards neuroprotection. The microbial transformation of cyclocephagenol (1) using Alternaria eureka resulted in the production of twenty-one (2–22) previously undescribed metabolites. Oxidation, monooxygenation, dehydration, methyl migration, epoxidation, and ring expansion reactions were observed on the triterpenoid skeleton. Structures of the compounds were established by 1D-, 2D-NMR, and HR-MS analyses. The neuroprotective activities of metabolites and parent compound (1) were evaluated against H₂O₂induced cell injury. The structure-activity relationship (SAR) was established, and the results revealed that 1 and several other metabolites had potent neuroprotective activity. Further studies revealed that selected compounds reduced the amount of ROS and preserved the integrity of the mitochondrial membrane. This is the first report of microbial transformation of cyclocephagenol (1).

Biotransformation is the biochemical reactions performed by living systems or their components (enzymes) to alter molecules. Significant advantages of this methodology are; (i) stereo-, regio- and enantioselective catalysis; (ii) transformation at non-reactive sites of the substrates; (iii) mild condition requirements¹⁻⁶. In the pharmaceutical industry, microbial biotransformation has been utilized in the enzymatic transformation to synthesize chiral intermediates and end products. Production of cortisone (Rhizopus nigricans), hydrocortisone (Curvularia sp.) and compactine (Mucor hiemalis) are examples of industrial applications of biotransformation where mainly P450 monooxygenases are involved. Additionally, biotransformation of natural products can provide a wide range of structural diversity and improved biological activity⁷⁻¹².

Research groups have engaged in the discovery of new microorganisms and enzymes to be developed as novel biocatalysts. In this regard, endophytes are powerful organisms because of their capability to produce enzymes necessary for their colonization, and they have been proven to be potent biotransformation systems^{3,13-15}.

Neurodegeneration refers to the loss of structure/function of neurons leading to neurological diseases including Alzheimer's and Parkinson's. The discovery of novel therapeutics against neurodegenerative diseases has been an area of intense research as neurodegenerative diseases are a huge burden on society and the economy¹⁶. Numerous studies reported that natural products have the potential for the prevention and treatment of neurodegeneration. Astragaloside IV (AST-IV), a cycloartane-type saponin from Astragalus species, efficiently attenuated hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂)-induced neuronal cell death¹⁷. Moreover, aglycone of AST-IV, viz. cycloastragenol, diminished amyloid-beta mediated neurogenic disfunction¹⁸.

Herein, based on the potential of cycloartane-type saponins, we also focused on the neuroprotective activity of cyclocephagenol (1), a novel cycloartane-type sapogenin from Astragalus microcephalus. As 1 demonstrated significant protection, we further performed a modification study on 1 utilizing microbial transformation and examined the neuroprotective potential of metabolites in H₂O₂-induced injury in SH-SY5Y cells. As a result, the

¹Department of Bioengineering, Faculty of Engineering, İzmir Institute of Technology, Urla, 35430 İzmir, Türkiye. ²Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ege University, Bornova 35100 İzmir, Türkiye. [⊠]email: petek.ballar@ege.edu.tr; erdalbedir@iyte.edu.tr





endophytic fungus *Alternaria eureka* 1E1BL1, an endophyte isolated from *Astragalus* plant, provided twenty-one new biotransformation products (2–22) with potent neuroprotective activities.

Results

The neuroprotective activities of cyclocephagenol (1) and cycloastragenol were determined against H_2O_2 -induced SH-SY5Y cell death. Results showed that both cycloastragenol and 1 provided dose-dependent protection against H_2O_2 -induced cell death (Fig. 1). However, the protective activity of 1 started at lower concentrations compared to cycloastragenol (Fig. 1). Based on the potent activity of 1, a biotransformation study on 1 was carried out to develop a molecule library and to investigate structure-activity relationships by *A. eureka* affording notable chemical diversity¹⁹⁻²³. Biotransformation of 1 using the endophytic fungus *A. eureka* for 13 days afforded twenty-one metabolites (2-22). The structures of the metabolites are shown in Fig. 2.

Compound 1 is a deglycosylated product of a known cycloartane diglycoside, namely cyclocephaloside I²⁴. Since it has not been previously reported as a new sapogenin, herein, its structural elucidation is discussed. The molecular formula of 1 was determined as $C_{30}H_{50}O_5$ due to the sodium adduct ion peak at m/z 513.35607 [M+Na]⁺ by HR-ESI-MS. The ¹H-NMR spectrum showed characteristic signals of cyclopropane-methylene protons as an AX system at δ_H 0.30 and 0.58 (each d, J_{AX} = 4.1 Hz, H-19a and J_{AX} = 3.1 Hz H-19b) and seven tertiary methyl groups. Hence, compound 1 was a cycloartane-type triterpenoid, and this inspection was supported by the ¹³C-NMR spectral data. The resonances for low-field carbon atoms indicated the presence of four oxymethine carbons (δ_C 78.1, C-3; δ_C 68.3, C-6; δ_C 73.8, C-16; δ_C 68.5, C-24) and two oxygenated singlet carbons (δ_C 78.8, C-20; δ_{C} 75.1, C-25) substantiated by HSQC. The ¹³C and ¹H-NMR data substantiated with 1D- and 2D-NMR spectra showed that resonances arising from the triterpenoid skeleton were almost superimposable with those of cycloastragenol²⁵, which is one of the major aglycone constituents of Astragalus sp., except for the side chain signals. The HMBC experiment suggested that the 24-hydroxy-20,25-epoxy structure was intact in the side chain of 1, as in cyclocephaloside I. The carbon resonances ascribed to the side chain consisting of a doublet ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 68.5, C-24), two triplets (δ_{C} 26.4, C-22; 23.8, C-23), two singlets (δ_{C} 78.8, C-20; 75.1, C-25) and three quartets (δ_{C} 28.5, C-21; 28.4, C-26; 27.8; C-27). The HMBC spectrum displayed cross-peaks from H₃-18 and H₃-21 to C-17, H-17 and H₃-21 to C-20, H-17 and H₃-21 to C-22, H₃-26 and H₃-27 to C-24, and H₃-26 and H₃-27 to C-25 confirm this proposal. Thus, the structure of 1 was elucidated as 20,25-epoxy- $3\beta,6\alpha,16\beta,24\alpha$ -tetrahydroxycycloartane, which is the aglycone of cyclocephaloside I^{24} . Hence, **1** was named as cyclocephagenol.

Oxygenation at C-7, C-11 and C-12. The HR-ESI-MS data of compounds **2**, **6** and **13** supported a molecular formula of $C_{30}H_{50}O_6$ implying a monooxygenation of **1** due to a + 16 amu difference, while compounds **16** and **18** displayed a 32 amu increase over **1**, suggesting dihydroxy analogs.

The ¹H-NMR spectra of **2** and **6** displayed a new oxymethine signal ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.24 and 4.27, respectively). The ¹³C-NMR spectra of both compounds exhibited a significant down-field shift for neighbor carbon signals when compared to that of **1**, proposing a monooxygenation at the C-12 position: C-11 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 36.4) and C-13 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 52.3) signals underwent a significant down-field shift (ca. 10.2 and 5.7 ppm, respectively) for **2** and a down-field shift







Figure 2. Biotransformation products of cyclocephagenol (1) by Alternaria eureka 1E1BL1.

.....

for C-17 and C-18 signals (ca. 9.2 and 7 ppm, respectively) for **6**. In the COSY spectra of both metabolites, the correlations between H-12 and H₂-11 were readily noted. In addition, H-12 of **6** coupled with the exchangeable hydroxy proton $[\delta_{\rm H} 5.38, \text{C-12}(O\underline{H})]$ to give a doublet of doublets of doublets type resonance (ddd, *J*=9.1, 5.9, 2.6 Hz). This statement was verified with the HMBC experiment. The hydroxy group at C-12 was determined to be β -oriented for **2** and α -oriented for **6** based on NOESY correlations²⁶. Based on this data, the structure of **2** and **6** were identified as 20,25-epoxy-3 β ,6 α ,12 α ,16 β ,24 α -pentahydroxycycloartane and 20,25-epoxy-3 β ,6 α ,12 α ,16 β ,24 α -pentahydroxycycloartane, respectively.

For metabolite **13**, as in the case of **2** and **6**, an additional oxymethine signal at δ_H 3.87 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.5 Hz) was observed, which correlated with a resonance at δ_C 65.4 in the HSQC spectrum. Furthermore, the ¹H-NMR spectrum of **13** revealed that one of the 9,19-cyclopropane ring signals (δ_H 1.10, H-19a) underwent a significant downfield shift compared to **1**, indicating hydroxylation at the C-11 position as described previously^{23,26,27}. The orientation of C-11(OH) was found to be β based on NOESY cross-peak between H-11 (δ_H 3.87) and α -oriented H₃-30 (δ_H 0.84). Hence, the structure of **13** was established as 20,25-epoxy-3 β_1 ,6 α_1 1 β_1 ,16 β_2 ,24 α -pentahydroxycycloartane.

When ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of **16** were inspected in detail, two additional low-field protons ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.35 and 3.93) were observed in the low-field region and two extra down-field carbon signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 81.0 and 83.4 were also noted. The 2D-NMR spectra were examined in detail to deduce hydroxylation locations. Key long-range correlations from H₃-18 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.35) and H-17 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.32) to 83.4 ppm substantiated that one of the hydroxy groups was located at C-12 based on the HMBC spectrum. The second hydroxy group was located at C-11 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 81.0) based on the COSY correlation of H-11 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.35) with H-12 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.93) together with the ³J_{H-C} correlations from H₂-19 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.57 and 0.83) and H-12 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.93) to C-11. The relative configurations at C-11 and C-12 were determined based on the 2D-NOESY data. The orientation of C-12(OH) was found to be β based on NOESY cross-peak between H-12 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.93) and α -oriented H₃-30. The strong correlation of H-11 with one of the β -oriented C-19 protons ($\delta_{\rm H-19a}$ 0.83) revealed that the hydroxy group at C-11 was α -oriented. Based on this evidence, the structure of compound **16** was elucidated as 20,25-epoxy-3 β , 6α ,11 α ,12 β ,16 β ,24 α -hexahydroxycycloartane.

Compound **18** was the dihydroxylated derivative of **1**, and the first hydroxylation was located at C-12 based on the ${}^{3}J_{H-C}$ correlations from the characteristic H-17 (δ_{H} 2.53) and H₃-18 (δ_{H} 1.88) resonances to the new signal at δ_{C} 71.4 (C-12) in the HMBC spectrum. The 13 C-NMR spectrum of **18** displayed that C-6 (δ_{C} 73.2) and C-8 (δ_{C} 52.4) signals underwent a significant down-field shift (ca. 4.9 and 5.3 ppm, respectively) when compared to **1**. Together with the spin system starting from H-5 [H-5 (δ_{H} 1.80 d, J = 9.3 Hz) \rightarrow H-6 (δ_{H} 3.74) \rightarrow H-7 (δ_{H} 3.71 s) \rightarrow H-8 (δ_{H} 2.40 d, J = 6.9 Hz)] in the COSY spectrum, the location of the second hydroxylation was deduced to be C-7. Long-range correlations from H-6 and H-8 to C-7 (δ_{C} 75.7) in the HMBC spectrum, the correlations of H-12 with α -oriented H₃-30 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.03)/H-17 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.53) and cross-peaks between H-7 and α -oriented H₃-30/H-5 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.80) disclosed the configurations of hydroxy groups. Consequently, metabolite **18** was elucidated as 20,25-epoxy-3 β , 6α , 7β , 12β , 16β , 24α -hexahydroxycycloartane.

Additional oxidation at C-3, C-12 and C-16. All keto products isolated within the scope of this study also had oxygenations as in the abovementioned compounds. ¹H-, ¹³C-NMR and HMBC correlations were inspected to assign locations of oxidation and oxygenation. In the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of oxidation products, the absence of low-field oxymethine signals and the observation of keto carbonyl carbons around 210–220 ppm suggested the oxidation of secondary alcohols. In the HMBC spectrum, H₃-28, H₃-29, H₂-1 and H₂-2 displayed cross-peaks with the carbonyl signal at 210–220 ppm region substantiating the existence of the carbonyl group at C-3 while the long-range correlations from H-17 and H₂-15 to a carbon resonance at ca. 210–220 ppm confirmed the location of the carbonyl group to be at C-16^{20,23,26}.

Thus, the assignment of oxidation locations for compounds **3** (3-oxo), **4** (16-oxo) and **5** (3,16-dioxo) was readily inferred based on the abovementioned evidence. Additionally, a new proton signal (δ_H 4.18 for 3; δ_H 4.16 for 4; δ_H 4.14 for 5) in the ¹H-NMR spectra of **3**–5 and a new carbon resonance (δ_C 71.6 for 3; δ_C 71.5 for 4; δ_C 71.6 for 5) in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum suggested a new hydroxy group in the structure. Examination of 2D-NMR spectra of **3**–5 verified monooxygenation at C-12 and the relative configuration was established as β -oriented. Thus, metabolites **3**, **4** and **5** were determined as 20,25-epoxy-6 α ,12 β ,16 β ,24 α -tertahydroxycycloartan-3-one, 20,25-epoxy-3 β ,6 α ,12 β ,24 α -tertahydroxycycloartan-36, 16-dione, respectively.

Like metabolites **3**–**5**, after positions of oxidation were determined unambiguously (C-16 for 7; C-3 and C-16 for **8**), ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of 7 and **8** were further examined. A new proton signal (δ_H 4.19 for 7; δ_H 4.15 for **8**) and a new carbon signal (δ_C 72.7 for 7; δ_C 73.7 for **8**) suggested that a monooxygenation reaction took place. The 2D-NMR spectra of 7 and **8** implied the location of monooxygenation at C-12 as in metabolites **3**–**5**; however, 2D-NOESY data revealed that the hydroxy group at C-12 was α -oriented in 7 and **8**. Therefore, metabolites 7 and **8** were deduced to be 20,25-epoxy-3 β ,6 α ,12 α ,24 α -tetrahydroxycycloartan-16-one and 20,25-epoxy-6 α ,12 α ,24 α -trihydroxycycloartan-3,16-dione, respectively.

Compound **9** gave a major ion peak at m/z 527.33662 ($[M + Na]^+$, calcd for $C_{30}H_{48}NaO_6$, 527.33486). When the ¹H-NMR spectrum of **9** was inspected, the characteristic signals belonging to H-3, H-6, H-16 and H-24 oxymethine protons were observed readily, suggesting that oxidation occurred in a new oxymethine carbon. In the HMBC spectrum, H₂-11 (δ_H 1.97 and 2.61), H₃-18 (δ_H 1.72) and H-17 (δ_H 2.36) showed cross-peaks with a carbon resonating at δ_C 212.0, confirming the location of the carbonyl group to be at C-12. Based on these results, the structure of **9** was elucidated as 20,25-epoxy-3 β , 6α ,16 β ,24 α -tetrahydroxycycloartan-12-one.

When the 13 C-NMR and HMBC spectra of **10** and **11** were examined, signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 210–220 range suggested two keto carbonyl groups in the structure of both compounds. The HMBC correlations from H₂-11 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.96 and 2.64) and H₃-18 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.73) to $\delta_{\rm C}$ 211.3 for **10** and H₂-11 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.09 and 2.83) and H₃-18 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.06) to $\delta_{\rm C}$ 210.2 for **11** confirmed the location of the first ketone group to be at C-12. Moreover, the low-field signal of H-3 in **10** was absent in the ¹H-NMR spectrum, whereas the H-16 signal disappeared in that of **11**. The carbon signal $\delta_{\rm C}$ 216.1 had long-range correlations with H₃-28 and H₃-29, readily assigned to C-3 in the HMBC spectrum of **10**, while the HMBC correlations of H-17 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.08) and H₂-15 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.18 and 2.52) with the carbonyl carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 214.4 confirmed the oxidation at C-16 to establish the structure of **11**. Hence, the structure of **10** was deduced as 20,25-epoxy-6\alpha,16\beta,24\alpha-trihydroxycycloartan-3,12-dione, and the structure of **11** was established as 20,25-epoxy-3\beta,6\alpha,24\alpha-trihydroxycycloartan-12,16-dione.

The metabolite **12** gave a molecular formula of $C_{30}H_{44}O_6$ based on the HR-ESI–MS data (*m/z* 523.30530 ([M+Na]⁺, calcd for $C_{30}H_{44}NaO_6$, 523.30356). The absence of low-field oxymethine signals due to H-3 and H-16 in the ¹H-NMR spectrum and observation of two keto carbonyl carbons in the ¹³C-NMR and HMBC spectra suggested that C-3 (δ_C 215.7) and C-16 (δ_C 214.1) secondary alcohols had been oxidized, as in **5**. Also, the signal at δ_C 209.6 suggested an additional keto carbonyl group in structure, which showed cross-peaks with H₂-11 (δ_H 2.05 and 2.83), H-17 (δ_H 3.07) and H-18 (δ_H 2.06) in the HMBC spectrum, revealing the oxidation at C-12. Based on these results, the structure of **12** was elucidated as 20,25-epoxy-6\alpha,24\alpha-dihydroxycycloartan-3,12,16-trione.

Compounds 14 and 15 possessing similar oxidation patterns with metabolites 3 and 5, respectively, were determined as 11 β -hydroxycyclocephagenol derivatives by comparing 1D- and 2D-NMR spectra of 14 and 15 with 13. Consequently, the structures of 14 and 15 were determined as 20,25-epoxy-6 α ,11 β ,16 β ,24 α -tetrahydroxycycloartan-3-one and 20,25-epoxy-6 α ,11 β ,24 α -trihydroxycycloartan-3,16-dione, respectively.

The HR-ESI–MS spectrum of 17 showed a major ion peak at m/z 541.31528 $[M + Na]^+$ ($C_{30}H_{46}NaO_7$). The oxymethine proton at C-3 was lost in the ¹H-NMR spectrum. A detailed inspection of ¹³C-NMR and HMBC spectra suggested that the C-3 (δ_C 216.3) secondary alcohol had been oxidized and the signal at δ_C 211.4 suggested an additional keto carbonyl group in 17, as in 10. Unlike metabolite 10, a broad singlet observed at δ_H 3.71 displaying a long-distance correlation with the C-12 (δ_C 211.4) suggested an additional oxymethine group. With these findings, a monooxygenation at C-11 was suggested. The configuration of C-11(OH) was deduced based on the 2D-NOESY data. The correlation of H-11 with α -oriented H₃-30 (δ_H 0.66) revealed that the configuration of the OH group at C-11 was β -oriented. Thus, the structure of 17 was determined to be 20,25-epoxy-6 α ,11 β ,16 β ,24 α -tetrahydroxycycloartan-3,12-dione.

Ring cleavage and ring expansion products. In the ¹H-NMR spectra of metabolites **19**, **20**, **21** and **22**, the characteristic 9,19-cyclopropane ring signals were absent, suggesting a ring cleavage reaction in the triterpenoid skeleton.

The metabolite **19** gave a molecular formula of $C_{30}H_{50}O_6$ based on the HR-ESI–MS data (*m/z* 529.35144 $[M + Na]^+$, calcd for $C_{30}H_{50}NaO_6$, 529.35051) indicating a 16 amu increase over **1**, suggesting being a monohydroxy derivative. An additional oxymethylene group at δ_C 68.5 and two olefinic carbon signals (δ_C 134.5, 132.7) were observed in the low field of the ¹³C-NMR spectrum. In the HSQC spectrum, double bond carbons showed no correlation with any proton, denoted a tetrasubstituted olefinic system. Based on these findings, the structure of **19** was proposed to have a C-9(10) double bond with a primary alcohol substitution at C-11 based on our previous biotransformation studies, and this assumption was confirmed by the HMBC experiment^{20,23,27,28}. In conclusion, metabolite **19** was determined to be 20,25-epoxy-3 β , 6α , 16β ,19, 24α -pentahydroxy-ranunculan-9(10)-ene.

The HMBC spectra of **20**, **21** and **22** displayed a cross-peak between H-3 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.82) and C-10 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 88.7), indicative of an oxygen bridge between C-3, which correlated with H₃-28 and H₃-29, and C-10. Based on this evidence and previous biotransformation studies^{20,29}, ring B was inferred as a seven-membered ring system. Also, olefinic carbon resonances were detected in the ¹³C-NMR spectra. The nature of double bonds was determined by examining the correlation of olefinic carbons with protons in the HSQC spectra.

The molecular formula of **20** was established as $C_{30}H_{48}O_6$ by HR-ESI-MS analysis (m/z 527.33874 [M + Na]⁺, calcd for $C_{30}H_{48}NaO_6$, 527.33486). In the ¹³C-NMR spectrum, two additional oxymethine carbons at δ_C 80.2 and 88.7 and two olefinic carbon resonances at δ_C 126.7 and 139.5 were detected. From the HSQC spectrum, the nature of the double bond was determined as a tetra-substituted olefinic system. The δ_C 126.7 and 139.5 resonances were assigned to C-9 and C-8, respectively, based on the long-distance correlations in the HMBC spectrum (C-9 to H₂-12; C-8 to H₂-12 and H₃-30). The resonance observed at δ_H 4.39 suggested an additional oxymethine group corresponding to carbon at δ_C 80.2 in the HSQC spectrum. A detailed inspection of the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra showed down-field shifts for H-6 and C-6 signals (ca. 0.64 and 8.6 ppm, respectively) when compared to that of 1; therefore, a hydroxylation at C-7 was suggested. The COSY and HSQC spectra revealed a spin system of H-5 (δ_H 1.47) \rightarrow H-6 (δ_H 4.42) \rightarrow H-7 (δ_H 4.39), justifying this assignment. In the 2D-NOESY spectrum, the orientation of C-7(OH) was found to be β based on NOESY cross-peaks between H-7 (δ_H 4.39) and the α -oriented H₃-30 and H-5. Consequently, the structure of **20** was established as 3 β ,10 β ;20,25-diepoxy-6 α ,7 β ,16 β ,24 α -tetrahydroxy-9,10-seco-cycloartan-8-ene.

The HR-ESI–MS data of metabolite **21** displayed a sodium adduct ion at m/z 509.32640 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd. 509.32429 for C₃₀H₄₆NaO₅). The oxymethine proton at C-6 was absent in the ¹H-NMR spectrum. Also, an additional hydroxymethine signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.45 was observed corresponding to carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 70.1 in the HSQC spectrum. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum of **21** exhibited four olefinic carbon resonances ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 128.7, 131.8, 132.1 and 138.5). Locations of the olefinic double bonds were assigned from the correlations in the HMBC spectrum and with the combined use of COSY, HSQC and HSQC-TOCSY spectra. The long-distance correlation from H-5 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.96) to the olefinic methine carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 128.7 confirmed the location of the double bond between C-6 and C-7. The other tetrasubstituted double bond was positioned between C-8 and C-9 based on the HMBC correlations from H₂-11a to the olefinic carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 131.8 (C-9), and H₂-11a and H₃-30 to the second double bond carbon at 138.5 ppm (C-8). The ³*J*-HMBC correlations of the oxymethine proton at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.45 with the C-18 signal revealed oxygenation at C-12. The hydroxy group at C-12 was deduced to be β -oriented based on the NOESY correlation of H-12 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.45) with the α -oriented H₃-30 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.94). As a result, metabolite **21** was established as 3 β_1 10 β_2 20,25-diepoxy-12 β_1 16 β_2 4 α -trihydroxy-9,10-seco-cycloartan-6,8-diene.

Compound **22** gave a major ion peak at m/z 525.3166 ($[M + Na]^+$, calcd for $C_{30}H_{46}NaO_{65}$, 525.31921). Its NMR spectra were very similar to those of **21**, except for the resonances corresponding to ring C. A new low-field proton (δ_H 4.73) was observed in the ¹H-NMR spectrum. Besides, in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum, an extra down-field carbon signal at δ_C 70.8 was noted. In the COSY and HSQC-TOCSY spectra, the δ_H 4.73 proton coupled with an oxymethine proton at C-12 (δ_H 4.36) had a long-range correlation with C-18 (δ_H 16.1) in the HMBC spectrum. Based on these data, a new hydroxy group was undeniably located at C-11. The NOE correlation between H-11 and α -oriented H-12 indicated the β -configuration of 11-OH. Consequently, the structure of **22** was determined to be 3 β ,10 β ;20,25-diepoxy-11 β ,12 β ,16 β ,24 α -tetrahydroxy-3(10) β -epoxy-9,10-seco-cycloartan-6,8-diene.

Neuroprotective activity of biotransformation products. The neuroprotective activities of isolated metabolites (except for 22 due to its scarce amount) were determined against H_2O_2 -induced SH-SY5Y cell death. Compared to the control group, the compounds except 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, 17, and 20 did not exhibit promising neuroprotective activity (Table 1).

In addition to their protective effect against oxidative injury in SH-SY5Y, the selected compounds were also screened for their effect against 6-OHDA induced neurotoxicity in in vitro Parkinson's disease model. Despite the lower potency of compounds in this model compared to the H_2O_2 -induced neurotoxicity model, the tested metabolites still showed a statistically significant protective effect, suggesting that they may act as general protective agents against neurotoxicity mediated by a different mechanism of action (Fig. S 213).

Selected compounds decreased H₂O₂-mediated oxidative stress. Excessive ROS was reported to cause severe cell damage and induce cell death³⁰. Since H₂O₂ is well known to increase the level of ROS, we aimed to determine the effect of compounds on H₂O₂-mediated increased ROS levels. Six molecules, including parent compound (1), were selected to detect their potential in rescuing H₂O₂-induced oxidative stress, considering their cell viability results, structure, and available quantity. Results showed that treatment with H₂O₂ significantly increased ROS levels compared to control. In line with the cell viability assay, all selected compounds reduced H₂O₂-induced ROS levels in cells (Fig. 3). Parent 1 was the least potent, while 6 and 13 were the most effective compounds reducing ROS at all concentrations (Fig. 3). Interestingly, 2 enhanced ROS production via H₂O₂ at 10 nM, but higher concentrations significantly decreased ROS level (Fig. 3).

Metabolite	Concentration	Cell viability (%)	Metabolite	Concentration	Cell viability (%)
	1 nM	56.2±1.8****		1 nM	36.2±1.9
2	10 nM	61.4±3.7****		10 nM	46.2±1.8*
2	100 nM	61.1±0.7****	12	100 nM	49.0±6.2**
	1000 nM	58.1±5.9****	1	1000 nM	42.4±2.0
	1 nM	46.8 ± 6.0		1 nM	51.5±7.9
3	10 nM	46.7±2.1		10 nM	56.4±3.0*
	100 nM	50.9±0.2**	13	100 nM	58.1±12.1*
	1000 nM	65.9±2.3****	1	1000 nM	66.4±9.3**
	1 nM	34.1 ± 0.4		1 nM	$60.8 \pm 4.4^{****}$
4	10 nM	59.7 ± 3.5****		10 nM	56.5±4.2****
	100 nM	56.3 ± 3.3****	14	100 nM	39.9±2.7
	1000 nM	53.6±5.9****	1	1000 nM	34.1±1.3
	1 nM	26.9±2.5		1 nM	37.0±3.0
5	10 nM	25.1±3.6	1.5	10 nM	41.2±2.6
	100 nM	43.8±1.3	15	100 nM	43.5±5.3*
	1000 nM	42.5±5.7	1	1000 nM	37.0±0.5
6	1 nM	45.7 ± 2.4		1 nM	42.0±2.3
	10 nM	46.0±5.9	16	10 nM	46.5±7.2
	100 nM	54.8±5.8***	10	100 nM	42.7±3.1
	1000 nM	52.1±1.3*	1	1000 nM	41.4±6.6
7	1 nM	42.6±3.9		1 nM	41.9±1.4
	10 nM	45.9±5.6	17	10 nM	42.7±2.3
	100 nM	55.6±13.3*	17	100 nM	62.8±7.9***
	1000 nM	49.0±3.9]	1000 nM	72.5±13.3****
8	1 nM	36.6±11.0		1 nM	44.0 ± 0.7
	10 nM	40.3 ± 1.5	10	10 nM	42.0 ± 0.8
0	100 nM	36.6±2.7	10	100 nM	44.4 ± 0.9
	1000 nM	40.2±12.9	1	1000 nM	38.1±4.8
	1 nM	44.2±2.3		1 nM	38.0±1.9
0	10 nM	46.2±3.2	10	10 nM	40.5 ± 7.1
9	100 nM	$51.4 \pm 4.8^{*}$	19	100 nM	39.9±4.7
	1000 nM	70.5±0.8****	1	1000 nM	49.1±3.6
	1 nM	$51.1 \pm 8.5^{*}$		1 nM	56.8±1.9**
10	10 nM	58.5±3.4***	20	10 nM	58.3±6.3**
10	100 nM	74.7±3.3****	20	100 nM	70.6±6.9****
	1000 nM	68.1±4.8****]	1000 nM	64.8±6.0****
	1 nM	38.2±0.7		1 nM	47.0±1.6**
11	10 nM	43.9±6.2	21	10 nM	44.7±2.4*
11	100 nM	46.9±9.5	21	100 nM	38.7±3.1
	1000 nM	54.3±3.7**	1	1000 nM	35.7±1.4
H ₂ O ₂	70 µM	37.9±2.0		•	

Table 1. Neuroprotective activity of metabolites against H_2O_2 toxicity. Data are presented as means \pm S.D(n=3). *p<0.05, **p<0.05, **p<0.001, ****p<0.001 significant difference from H_2O_2 -treated cells.

Selected metabolites prevent H_2O_2-induced mitochondria damage. Mitochondrial dysfunction is one of the most emerging pathological processes in neurodegenerative diseases³¹. Since mitochondrial membrane potential was reported as an indicator to detect mitochondrial dysfunction, we next evaluated the effect of 1 and four selected compounds on mitochondrial membrane potential by using Mitotracker Red. As expected, the fluorescence intensity significantly decreased after treatment with H_2O_2 treatment, which suggested that H_2O_2 could induce mitochondrial dysfunction. All selected compounds efficiently protected cells from H_2O_2 -mediated mitochondrial damage (Fig. 4a,b).

Discussion

In recent years, endophytic fungi have received great attention as a whole-cell catalyst because of their capability to produce enzymes necessary for their colonization. It is anticipated that the endophytic biocatalysts will match chemical reactions even as powerful as conventional chemical methods in near future^{3,13–15}. Additionally, studies on fungal biotransformation of plant secondary metabolites with the plant's own endophytes are very





Figure 3. Selected metabolites abbreviated H_2O_2 -induced oxidative stress in SH-SY5Y cells. Data are presented as means \pm S.D. (n = 3).

limited. Our previous studies demonstrated that *Alternaria eureka* expresses P450 monooxygenase enzymes and effectively catalyzes various modifications on triterpenoid and steroid structures^{19–23}. In this study, the biocatalysis of cyclocephagenol (1) by *A. eureka* yielded twenty-one metabolites. *A. eureka* was found to be capable of modifications including monooxygenation, dehydration, methyl migration, epoxidation, and ring expansion resulted in the formation of metabolites that would be difficult or impossible to prepare by conventional synthetic methods. Although fungal biotransformation has been used in the modification of natural products for a long time, demonstration of endophytic fungi's utilization in biotransformation is essential for the field not only for utilizing endophytes as potent catalysis systems but also for proving the potential of the plant's own microbiota for transformation studies.

The regioselective hydroxylation at C-12 position was the most prevalent reaction in the cycloartane skeleton. We suggest that *A. eureka* first catalyzes α - or β -hydroxylation at C-12, then performs further modifications. The other monooxygenation locations were identified as C-7 and C-11. Although hydroxylation at C-7 has been encountered with steroidal sapogenins^{19,21-23}, this modification is reported for the first time on the triterpenoid framework by *A. eureka*.

One of the most interesting biotransformation was the dihydroxylation at C-11 and C-12. Previously, 11 α -hydroxy,12 β -acetoxy steroids were obtained from the gorgonian *Isis hippuris*³². This is the first report of C-11 and C-12 dihydroxylated products obtained via microbial biotransformation. Additionally, 3(10)-epoxy formation and ring expansion modifications have been reported in the previous studies^{20,29,33}, whereas the 6,8-diene system is being reported for the first time in triterpenoid chemistry.







Figure 4. Selected metabolites protect SH-SY5Y cells from H_2O_2 -induced mitochondrial damage. (a) Representative microscopy images of cells stained with MitoTracker Red. (b) Quantification of MitoTracker Red fluorescence intensities per cell volume (n = 30 cells). Data are presented as means ± S.E. (n = 30).

.....

Natural products have the potential for the prevention and treatment of neurodegeneration. In recent years, cycloartane-type saponins, especially astragaloside IV and cycloastragenol, have been reported as a new class of neuroprotective agents^{17,18}. Based on the promising bioactivity of cycloartane-types saponins, we first evaluated the neuroprotective activity of cyclocephagenol (1) against H_2O_2 -induced SH-SY5Y cell death. Compared to cycloastragenol, the neuroprotective activity of 1 started at lower concentrations. Since the neuroprotective activity of cyclocephagenol study on 1 was carried out to develop a molecule library and to investigate structure–activity relationships by *A. eureka*.

Among the oxygenated metabolites, **2** and **6** possessing hydroxy group at position 12 (12 β and 12 α , respectively) showed potent neuroprotective activity. While the most active concentration of **2** was 10 nM, the neuroprotection by **6** was observed at a higher concentration (100 nM). Also, the metabolite **13** possessing hydroxy group at position 11 demonstrated potent neuroprotective activity at higher concentrations (100 and 1000 nM). On the other hand, a dihydroxy group in ring C (**16**; 11 α , 12 β -dihydroxy) led to a loss of neuroprotection suggesting that the hydroxylation pattern was critical for the activity.

The presence of ketone functionality at C-3 or C-16 (**3**: 3-oxo-12 β -hydroxy, **4**: 16-oxo-12 β -hydroxy, **7**: 16-oxo-12 α -hydroxy) had no detrimental effect on neuroprotection compared to metabolite **2** and **6**. However, the copresence of ketone group at C-3 and C-16 (**5**: 3,16-dioxo-12 β -hydroxy, **8**: 3,16-dioxo-12 α -hydroxy) diminished neuroprotective activity. Moreover, the oxidation at C-12 (**9**: 12-oxo, 1000 nM) improved neuroprotective activity whereas additional oxidation at C-16 (**11**: 12,16-dioxo) lessened neuroprotective activity. This finding suggested that the co-presence of ketone group at C-12 and C-16 affects the biological activity negatively. In contrast to

metabolite **3** (3-oxo-12 β -hydroxy), oxidation at position 3 improved neuroprotective activity in **14** (3-oxo,11 β -hydroxy) and **10** (3,12-dioxo). Although metabolite **16** (11 α ,12 β -dihydroxy) was not active, activity in **17** (3,12-dioxo, 11 β -hydroxy) indicated that oxidation in C-12 was important for biological activity.

Compound **20** was one of the most potent compounds, while neuroprotection was considerably decreased in **21**, a dehydration product of **20**, revealing that conformational flexibility in the B ring was also crucial.

As a result of SAR studies, we conclude that i) monooxygenation at positions 11 (13) and 12 (2 and 6) is significant bioactivity; ii) oxidation at C-12 (9, 10, 17) improves neuroprotective activity; iii) further increase of hydrophobicity (5, 8, 11, 12) and hydrophilicity (16, 18) diminishes bioactivity; iv) $3(10)\beta$ -epoxy-9,10-seco-cycloartane products formed by ring expansion and epoxidation reactions could be potential neuroprotective agents as long as they have conformational flexibility. Further studies revealed that selected compounds reduced the amount of ROS and preserved the integrity of the mitochondrial membrane.

Conclusion

Collectively, the biocatalyst potential of *A. eureka*, which plays an important role in expanding our cycloartane molecule library, has been demonstrated once again by producing 21 new metabolites. In addition to chemical diversity, biotransformation provided several novel compounds having potent protective activity against H_2O_2 - and 6-OHDA-induced neurotoxicity. Further studies are warranted to establish a mechanism of action of the bioactive metabolites.

Methods

General experimental procedures. The spectroscopic (NMR and HR-ESI–MS) and chromatographic procedures were described previously²⁶.

Microorganism and starting compound. Cyclocephagenol (1) and cycloastragenol were provided by Bionorm Natural Products, Ltd. (İzmir, Turkey). The fungal endophyte used in this study was isolated from leaves of *Astragalus angustifolius* and the original strain (Deposit number: 20131E1BL1) was banked at the Bedir Laboratory²⁰. Before biotransformation process, the stock culture of *A. eureka* was pre-cultivated on PDA in Petri dishes for 10 days at 25 °C.

Microbial biotransformation procedures. A one-stage microbial biotransformation process was carried out at a preparative scale using a biotransformation medium²⁶. A preparative scale biotransformation study was performed utilizing 1850 mg of 1 with *A. eureka* for 13 days (25 °C and 180 rpm).

Extraction and isolation. For termination biotransformation, the mycelia were filtered, and the broth was extracted with ethyl acetate (EtOAc) $(3\times)$. The EtOAc phase was evaporated by using a rotary evaporator. Compounds 2-22 were isolated from the EtOAc extract (3.05 g). The EtOAc extract was first applied on a reversed-phase column (RP-C18, 80 g) and eluted by MeOH:H₂O (25:75, 35:65, 45:55, 50:50, 55:45, 70:30, 80:20, 90:10, 100:0) to obtain 12 main fractions (A-L). Fraction B (19.3 mg) was submitted to silica gel column chromatography (10 g), eluting with CHCl₃:MeOH (90:10) to afford 2 mg of 18 (yield: 0.11%). Fraction D (175.3 mg) was applied to a silica gel column (52 g) using a CHCl₃:MeOH gradient (95:5, 93:7), to yield 17 (3.5 mg, yield: 0.19%) and 2 (120.5 mg, yield: 6.51%). Fraction E (301.2 mg) was subjected to a silica gel column (52 g) using a CHCl₃:MeOH gradient (93:7, 92:8, 90:10) to give 3 (18.2 mg, yield: 0.98%) and four fractions (E1-4). Fraction E1 (7.6 mg) was further purified on a silica gel column (10 g) and eluted with *n*-hexane:EtOAc:MeOH (10:10:1) to give 2.8 mg of 14 (yield: 0.15%). To isolate metabolite 9 (8 mg, yield: 0.43%), fraction E2 (27.8 mg) was further purified on a silica gel column (15 g) using n-hexane:EtOAc:MeOH (10:10:1). Fraction E3 (50.1 mg) was subjected to a silica gel column (15 g) using CHCl₃:MeOH (95:5) to afford 15 mg of 13 (yield: 0.81%). Fraction E4 (21.7 mg) was subjected to a silica gel column (15 g), using CHCl₃:MeOH (95:5) for elution, to give 16 (4 mg, yield: 0.22%). Fraction F (192.9 mg) was further purified on a silica gel column (50 g) using CHCl₃:MeOH (97:3, 95:5, 93:7) for elution, to give metabolites 10 (6.3 mg, yield: 0.34%), 19 (31.1 mg, yield: 1.68%) and one impure fraction (F1). Fraction F1 was further purified by a silica gel column (10 g) with the solvent system n-hexane:EtOAc:MeOH (10:10:1), to provide 3.6 mg of 15 (yield: 0.19%). Fraction G (98.7 mg) was submitted to a silica gel column (53 g) using mixtures of CHCl₃:MeOH (95:5, 94:6, 93:7) to give 12 (3.5 mg, yield: 0.19%) and two fractions (G1-2). To isolate metabolite 11 (1.4 mg, yield: 0.075%), fraction G1 (23.3 mg) was subjected to a silica gel column (10 g), using *n*-hexane:EtOAc:MeOH (10:10:1). Fraction G2 (9 mg) was further fractionated over a silica gel column (10 g) with the solvent system *n*-hexane:EtOAc:MeOH (10:10:1, 10:10:2), to provide 4.6 mg of 4 (yield: 0.25%). Fraction H (275.1 mg) was submitted to a silica gel column (50 g) and eluted with a CHCl₃:MeOH gradient (95:5, 93:7, 92:8, 90:10) to afford two fractions (H1-2). Fraction H1 (18.3 mg) was purified by a silica gel column (10 g) and eluted with *n*-hexane:EtOAc:MeOH (10:10:1), to give 5.4 mg of 5 (yield: 0.29%). Fraction H2 (138.2 mg) was applied to VLC packed with reversed-phase silica gel (RP-C18, 30 g), using ACN:H₂O gradient (25:75, 30:70, 40:60, 50:50), to afford 6 (95.4 mg, yield: 5.16%). Fraction I (86.9 mg) was subjected to a silica gel column (50 g) using CHCl₃:MeOH solvent system (95:5) to give 7 (16.9 mg, yield: 0.91%) and one impure fraction (I1). Fraction I1 (12.9 mg) was further purified on a silica gel column (10 g) and was eluted with a CHCl₃:MeOH gradient (99:1, 98:2) to give 1.1 mg of 22 (yield: 0.06%) and fraction I1a. To purify metabolite 8 (2.4 mg, yield: 0.13%), fraction I1a (7.4 mg) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (10 g) with the solvent system *n*-hexane:EtOAc:MeOH (10:10:1). Fraction J (126.5 mg) was submitted to a silica gel column (50 g), eluted with CHCl₃:MeOH (95:5) to give fraction J1 (5 mg). Fraction K (56.7 mg) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (10 g) to yield 20 (16.3 mg, yield: 0.88%) one impure fraction (K1) after elution with DCM:MeOH gradient (96:4, 95:5, 94:6). Fraction K1 (9.6 mg) was chromatographed on a silica

	1 ^a	2ª	3ª	4 ^a	5ª	6 ^a	7 ^a	
Position	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (<i>J</i> in Hz)	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	
1	1.20 m, 1.61 m	1.37 m, 1.65 m	1.40 m, 1.94 m	1.27 m, 1.58 m	1.29 m, 1.95 dt (14.7, 8.5)	1.32 m, 1.64 td (12.5, 4.5)	1.27 m, 1.63 m	
2	1.92 m, 2.00 m	1.94 m, 2.03 m	2.48 m, 2.60 m	1.88 m, 2.00 m	2.45 m, 2.62 m	1.94 m (2H)	1.98 m (2H)	
3	3.64 dd (8.6, 2.7)	3.66 dd (11.5, 4.5)		3.60 td (7.9, 1.7)		3.63 dt (10.7, 5.1)	3.64 m	
4								
5	1.70 m	1.74 d (9.0)	2.11 d (9.2)	1.69 d (9.2)	2.13 m	1.72 m	1.70 m	
6	3.78 t (8.7)	3.89 dp (11.7, 3.9, 3.3)	3.72 m	3.80 q (7.7)	3.68 m	3.76 tdd (9.5, 5.8, 3.0)	3.75 ddd (12.3, 8.9, 3.4)	
7	1.62 m, 1.79 m	1.67 m, 1.93 m	1.55 m, 1.77 m	1.54 m, 1.74 m	1.54 d (13.3), 1.66 dt (12.0, 3.6)	1.79 td (8.0, 3.5) (2H)	1.66 m (2H)	
8	1.94 m	2.25 m	2.05 dd (11.5, 4.8)	1.96 d (5.7)	1.85 m	1.86 m	1.76 m	
9								
10								
11	1.14 m, 1.97 m	1.72 m, 2.43 dd (15.2, 8.1)	1.46 dd (15.5, 4.3), 2.49 m	1.58 m, 2.49 dd (15.5, 8.6)	1.38 m, 2.56 dd (15.6, 9.0)	1.89 m, 2.37 dd (14.9, 5.8)	1.85 td (8.3, 6.9, 3.5), 2.33 m	
12	1.72 m, 1.87 m	4.24 t (7.2)	4.18 dd (6.7, 2.5)	4.16 dd (8.8, 4.3)	4.14 dd (9.1, 2.7)	4.27 ddd (9.1, 5.9, 2.6)	4.19 dt (9.5, 4.8)	
13								
14								
15	1.79 m, 2.11 m	1.98 m, 2.20 m	1.93 m, 2.11 m	2.10 d (17.6), 2.36 d (17.7)	2.11 d (17.6), 2.38 d (17.9)	1.86 m, 2.15 dd (12.6, 7.9)	2.09 d (17.4), 2.28 d (17.8)	
16	4.90 dtd (8.0, 5.7, 3.1)	4.87 tt (8.1, 4.4)	4.82 tt (8.3, 4.6)			4.88 tt (8.0, 5.0)		
17	2.10 d (5.9)	2.60 d (7.6)	2.49 m	3.06 s	3.02 s	2.93 d (8.0)	3.56 s	
18	1.70 s	1.84 s	1.80 s	1.47 s	1.49 s	1.71 s	1.37 s	
19	0.30 d (3.1), 0.58 d (4.1)	0.36 d (4.3), 0.71 d (4.4)	0.45 d (4.3), 0.76 d (4.4)	0.44 d (4.3), 0.67 d (4.4)	0.54 d (3.7), 0.76 d (4.1)	0.48 d (4.2), 0.59 d (4.2)	0.53 d (4.5), 0.58 d (4.2)	
20							1	
21	1.57 s	2.04 s	2.00 s	1.44 s	1.44 s	1.94 s	1.52 s	
22	1.24 m, 3.09 td (11.2, 4.3)	2.30 m, 2.66 m	2.22 d (16.4), 2.62 m	2.64 dd (12.8, 5.1), 2.27 dd (14.2, 3.2)	2.27 d (13.3), 2.62 m	2.08 dd (13.3, 3.5), 2.75 td (13.4, 4.1)	2.33 m (2H)	
23	1.88 m, 2.18 m	1.95 m, 2.32 m	1.89 m, 2.30 d (13.9)	1.82 m, 2.20 d (14.1)	1.84 m, 2.20 dd (13.9, 3.0)	1.89 m, 2.28 dt (13.8, 3.4)	1.81 m, 2.15 m	
24	3.67 brs	3.74 brs	3.69 m	3.64 brs	3.66 brs	3.69 brs	3.64 brs	
25								
26	1.45 s	1.41 s	1.36 s	1.57 s	1.58 s	1.52 s	1.47 s	
27	1.29 s	1.62 s	1.56 s	1.30 s	1.31 s	1.35 s	1.30 s	
28	1.88 s	1.86 s	1.72 s	1.84 s	1.74 s	1.91 s	1.92 s	
29	1.34 s	1.34 s	1.38 s	1.31 s	1.41 s	1.37 s	1.37 s	
30	0.97 s	1.04 s	0.87 s	1.00 s	0.92 s	1.26 s	1.32 s	

Table 2. ¹H NMR spectroscopic data of compounds 1–7 (400 MHz). ^aIn C₅D₅N.

gel column (10 g) using *n*-hexane:EtOAc:MeOH (10:10:0.5) to afford fraction K1a (2.1 mg). To isolate metabolite **21** (2.2 mg, yield: 0.12%), fractions K1a and J1 (7.1 mg) were combined and subjected to a preparative thin layer chromatography employed with EtOAc:IPA:H₂O (100:10:2.5).

Structural characterization. *Metabolite 1:* ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 2; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI–MS (positive ion mode): m/z 513.35607 ($C_{30}H_{50}NaO_5$, calcd. 513.35559).

Metabolite 2: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 2; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI–MS (positive ion mode): m/z 529.35165 ($C_{30}H_{50}NaO_6$, calcd. 529.35031).

Metabolite 3: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 2; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI–MS (positive ion mode): *m/z* 527.33685 ($C_{30}H_{48}NaO_6$, calcd. 527.33486).

Metabolite 4: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 2; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI–MS (positive ion mode): m/z 527.33596 ($C_{30}H_{48}NaO_6$, calcd. 527.33486).

Metabolite 5: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 2; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI–MS (positive ion mode): *m/z* 525.31989 ($C_{30}H_{46}NaO_6$, calcd. 525.31921).

Metabolite 6: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 2; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI–MS (positive ion mode): m/z 529.35093 ($C_{30}H_{50}NaO_6$, calcd. 529.35051).

Metabolite 7: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz) see Table 2; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI–MS (positive ion mode): m/z 527.33594 ($C_{30}H_{48}NaO_6$, calcd. 527.33486).

Metabolite 8: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 3; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI–MS (negative ion mode): m/z 547.3276 ($C_{31}H_{47}O_8$, calcd. 547.32709).

	8ª	9 ^b	10 ^b	11 ^a	12 ^a	13 ^c	14 ^a	
Position	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (<i>J</i> in Hz)	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (<i>J</i> in Hz)	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	
1	1.32 m, 2.04 m	1.00 dd (9.0, 3.1), 1.60 m	1.24 m, 2.08 m	0.99 d (13.5), 1.58 m	1.16 m, 1.97 m	1.32 m, 1.82 dt (12.7, 3.5)	2.02 dd (13.2, 5.1), 2.56 m	
2	2.38 m, 2.75 ddd (13.9, 9.2, 6.4)	1.60 m, 1.81 d (9.4)	2.49 m, 2.60 m	1.87-2.02 (2H)	2.49 m, 2.66 ddd (13.5, 7.9, 5.1)	1.58 dd (12.3, 3.8), 1.68 m	2.55 m, 2.75 dd (13.0, 4.7)	
3		3.29 m		3.60 td (12.6, 1.8)		3.22 dd (11.6, 4.6)		
4								
5	2.26 m	1.31 d (9.6)	1.87 d (9.9)	1.64 d (10.0)	2.14 d (9.8)	1.36 d (9.4)	2.30 d (9.9)	
6	3.65 m	3.56 t (10.8)	3.58 t (10.3)	3.83 t (11.0)	3.75 t (10.2)	3.54 td (9.5, 3.9)	3.81 td (10.3, 2.9)	
7	1.62 m, 1.73 m	1.47 m (2H)	1.32 m, 1.50 m	1.56 m, 1.70 d (11.5)	1.53 m, 1.67 m	1.36 m, 1.48 m	1.69 m, 1.81 m	
8	1.73 m	2.00 m	2.04 m	2.12 m	2.09 m	2.05 m	2.16 m	
9								
10								
11	1.66 m, 2.31 m	1.97 d (19.6), 2.61 d (19.8)	1.96 d (19.8), 2.64 d (19.9)	2.09 m, 2.83 d (20.1)	2.05 d (20.0), 2.83 d (20.0)	3.87 dd (8.7, 2.5)	4.34 dd (9.4, 3.4)	
12	4.15 ddd (9.7, 7.3, 2.6)					1.86 m, 2.31 dd (14.3, 8.6)	2.26 dd (10.8, 3.4), 2.67 dd (13.9, 9.4)	
13								
14								
15	2.09 d (17.5), 2.27 d (16.2)	1.68 m, 2.12 m	1.68 m, 2.12 m	2.18 d (18.1), 2.52 d (16.2)	2.19 d (16.6), 2.53 d (17.5)	1.49 m, 1.93 m	1.85 m, 2.10 m	
16		4.54 m	4.56 q (7.6)			4.59 td (7.7, 5.3)	4.91 m	
17	3.55 s	2.36 d (8.7)	2.37 d (8.7)	3.08 s	3.07 s	1.94 d (7.7)	2.10 d (7.6)	
18	1.33 s	1.72 s	1.73 s	2.06 s	2.06 s	1.44 s	1.80 s	
19	0.47 d (4.2), 0.65 d (4.2)	0.49 d (4.6), 0.73 d (4.7)	0.55 d (4.7), 0.89 d (4.8)	0.40 d (4.9), 0.76 d (4.5)	0.45 d (4.5), 0.87 d (4.7)	0.29 d (4.7), 1.10 d (4.7)	0.52 d (4.1), 1.70 d (4.1)	
20								
21	1.49 s	1.62 s	1.62 s	1.88 s	1.88 s	1.47 s	1.57 s	
22	1.84 m, 2.34 m	1.39 m, 2.07 m	1.41 m, 2.03 m	1.58 m, 2.47 m	1.59 m, 2.47 m	1.15 m, 2.63 dt (13.5, 6.9)	1.22 m, 3.09 td (13.6, 4.5)	
23	1.85 m, 2.21 m	1.70 m, 2.17 m	1.70 m, 2.17 m	1.83 m, 2.22 m	1.85 m, 2.24 m	1.67 m, 2.14 t (13.5)	1.82 m, 2.15 m	
24	3.64 brs	3.49 brs	3.50 brs	3.63 brs	3.61 brs	3.45 brs	3.64 brs	
25								
26	1.45 s	1.27 s	1.27 s	1.43 s	1.42 s	1.17 s	1.42 s	
27	1.28 s	1.18 s	1.18 s	1.26 s	1.26 s	1.23 s	1.26 s	
28	1.73 s	1.28 s	1.36 s	1.92 s	1.76 s	1.26 s	1.79 s	
29	1.45 s	0.97 s	1.22 s	1.37 s	1.44 s	0.96 s	1.50 s	
30	1.27 s	0.62 s	0.65 s	0.76 s	0.79 s	0.84 s	0.93 s	

Table 3. ¹H NMR spectroscopic data of compounds **8**–14 (400 MHz). ^aIn C_5D_5N . ^bIn CDCl₃. ^cIn CD₃OD and a drop of C_5D_5N .

Metabolite 9: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): see Table 3; ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI-MS (positive ion mode): m/z 527.33662 ($C_{30}H_{48}NaO_6$, calcd. 527.33486).

Metabolite 10: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): see Table 3; and ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI–MS (positive ion mode): m/z 525.31890 (C₃₀H₄₆NaO₆, calcd. 525.31921).

Metabolite 11: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 3; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI-MS (positive ion mode): *m/z* 525.32125 ($C_{30}H_{46}NaO_6$, calcd. 525.31921).

Metabolite 12: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 3; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI-MS (positive ion mode): *m/z* 523.30530 ($C_{30}H_{44}NaO_6$, calcd. 523.30556).

Metabolite 13: ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD and a drop of C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 3; ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD and a drop of C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI–MS (positive ion mode): m/z 529.35090 ($C_{30}H_{50}NaO_6$, calcd. 529.35051).

Metabolite 14: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 3; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI-MS (positive ion mode): *m/z* 527.33574 ($C_{30}H_{48}NaO_6$, calcd. 527.33486).

Metabolite 15: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 500 MHz): see Table 4; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 125 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI-MS (positive ion mode): m/z 525.3170 ($C_{30}H_{46}NaO_6$, calcd. 525.31921).

Metabolite 16: ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD and a drop of C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 4; ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD and a drop of C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI–MS (positive ion mode): m/z 545.34597 ($C_{30}H_{50}NaO_7$, calcd. 545.34542).

Metabolite 17: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): see Table 4; ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI-MS (positive ion mode): m/z 541.31528 ($C_{30}H_{46}NaO_7$, calcd. 541.31412).

	15 ^{a+} 16 ^c 17 ^b 18 ^a 19 ^a		19 ^a	20 ^a	21 ^{a*}	22 ^{a*}			
Position	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (J in Hz)	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (<i>J</i> in Hz)	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	
1	2.00 m, 2.56 m	1.28 m, 1.96 m	1.24 m, 1.80 m	1.34 m, 1.61 m	1.86 m, 2.91 d (9.1)	1.33 m, 1.58 m	1.39 m, 1.64 m	1.53 m, 1.72 m	
2	2.59 m, 2.79 m	1.70 m (2H)	2.54 t (6.6) (2H)	1.88 m, 1.97 m	1.85 m, 2.02 m	1.68 m, 1.93 m	1.65 m, 1.83 m	1.68 m, 1.84 m	
3		3.26 m		3.61 m	3.73 m	3.82 dd (5.6, 1.7)	3.78 m	3.79 m	
4									
5	2.32 m	1.54 d (9.8)	1.86 d (9.9)	1.80 d (9.3)	2.72 d (7.2)	1.47 d (12.0)	1.96 m	1.94 m	
6	3.86 m	3.42 m	3.65 m	3.74 m	4.14 t (8.4)	4.42 m	5.88 m	6.09 m	
7	1.70 m (2H)	1.42 m (2H)	1.34 m, 1.52 m	3.71 m	1.70 d (11.8), 2.02 m	4.39 m	5.98 m	6.05 d (10.4)	
8	2.13 m	1.53 m	2.23 m	2.40 d (6.9)	2.65 d (11.7)				
9									
10									
11	4.34 m	3.35 brs	3.71 brs	1.67 m, 2.43 d (5.6)	3.32 m	1.74 m, 2.14 m	2.65 m, 2.91 m	4.73 m	
12	2.48 dd (14.6, 3.1), 2.84 m	3.93 brs		4.21 m	1.85 m, 2.78 d (13.9)	1.77 m, 2.16 m 4.45 m		4.36 d (6.7)	
13									
14									
15	2.13 m, 2.30 m	1.57 m, 2.02 m	1.68 m, 2.12 m	2.45 m, 2.69 d (8.3)	1.90 d (14.3), 2.09 d (12.7)	2.14 m, 2.94 m	2.20 m (2H)	2.16 dd (12.3, 7.7), 2.25 m	
16		4.51 q (7.4, 7.0)	4.56 d (7.8)	4.84 m	4.94 t (6.9)	4.97 m	4.89 m	4.96 m	
17	2.86 m	2.32 d (7.8)	2.33 d (8.7)	2.53 d (7.5)	2.20 d (7.7)	2.10 d (7.6)	2.57 m	2.57 d (7.4)	
18	1.60 s	1.35 s		1.88 s	1.61 s	1.60 s	1.80 s	1.78 s	
19	0.58 d (4.3), 1.80 d (4.2)	0.57 d (4.2), 0.83 m	0.51 d (4.8), 1.47 d (5.1)	0.40 d (4.5), 0.92 d (4.4)	3.91 m, 3.97 m 1.91 m, 3.17 m		2.42 m, 2.54 m	2.95 d (11.8), 3.13 m	
20									
21	1.49 s	1.65 s	1.62 s	2.02 s	1.55 s	1.51 s	2.01 s	1.99 s	
22	1.33 m, 2.31 m	1.88 d (12.9), 2.04 d (7.6)	1.42 m, 2.16 m	2.29 m, 2.63 m	1.22 d (14.0), 3.26 d (13.9)	1.26 m, 3.23 td (13.7, 4.4)	2.38 m, 2.67 m	2.33 m, 2.68 m	
23	1.86 m, 2.17 m	1.64 m, 2.17 t (13.5)	1.72 m, 2.18 m	1.90 m, 2.29 m	1.83 m, 2.11 m	1.86 m, 2.16 m	1.99 m, 2.32 m	1.98 m, 2.32 m	
24	3.64 brs	3.45 brs	3.51 brs	3.70 brs	3.65 brs	3.65 brs	3.75 brs	3.78 brs	
25									
26	1.42 s	1.26 s	1.28 s	1.37 s	1.44 s	1.42 s	1.42 s	1.48 s	
27	1.28 s	1.21 s	1.19 s	1.58 s	1.29 s	1.31 s	1.65 s	1.70 s	
28	1.83 s	1.31 s	1.38 s	1.86 s	1.77 s	1.66 s	0.96 s	1.16 s	
29	1.55 s	0.97 s	1.24 s	1.32 s	1.08 s	1.31 s	1.08 s	0.97 s	
30	1.00 s	1.11 s	0.66 s	1.03 s	0.81 s	0.92 s	0.94 s	0.94 s	

Table 4. ¹H NMR spectroscopic data of compounds 15–22 (400 MHz and *500 MHz). ^aIn C_5D_5N . ^bIn CDCl₃. ^cIn CD₃OD and a drop of C_5D_5N .

Metabolite 18: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 4; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI-MS (positive ion mode): *m/z* 545.34775 ($C_{30}H_{50}NaO_7$, calcd. 545.34542).

Metabolite 19: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 4; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI-MS (positive ion mode): m/z 529.35144 ($C_{30}H_{50}NaO_6$, calcd. 529.35051).

Metabolite 20: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz): see Table 4; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI-MS (positive ion mode): *m/z* 527.33874 ($C_{30}H_{48}NaO_6$, calcd. 527.33486).

Metabolite 21: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 500 MHz): see Table 4; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 125 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI-MS (positive ion mode): *m/z* 509.32640 ($C_{30}H_{46}NaO_5$, calcd. 509.32429).

Metabolite 22: ¹H-NMR (C_5D_5N , 500 MHz): see Table 4; ¹³C-NMR (C_5D_5N , 125 MHz): see Table 5; HR-ESI–MS (positive ion mode): m/z 525.3166 ($C_{30}H_{46}NaO_6$, calcd. 525.31921).

Biological activities. Determination of cell viability. SH-SY5Y cell line was maintained in high-glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing 10% FBS at 37 °C, and 5% CO₂. The cells were homogenously seeded in 96 well plate (20,000 cells/well) and incubated for 24 h. Following 2 h incubation with compounds or vehicle (DMSO), the cells were treated with 70 μ M H₂O₂. For the 6-OHDA mediated toxicity experiments, cells were treated with 50 μ M 6-OHDA after 8 h of treatment with the compounds. For both experiments, cell viability was determined after 24 h via the MTT (3-[4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay. Briefly, the cells were incubated with MTT (0.5 mg/ml final concentration) for 4 h. Then, all the media was pulled out, and DMSO was added to wells. Photometric absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 590/690 nm by using Varioscan flash spectrophotometer by Thermo Scientific. The statistical significance of

	1*	2ª	3ª	4ª	5ª	6ª	7ª	8ª	9 ^b	10 ^b	11ª	12ª	13°	14ª	15 ²⁺	16 ^c	17 ^b	18ª	19ª	20ª	21 ²⁸	22 ^{2*}
Position	δ _c	$\delta_{\rm C}$	δ _C	δ _C	δ _C	δ _C	δ _C	δ _c	δ _C	δ _c	δ _C	δ _C	δ _C	δ _C	δ _c	δ _C	δ _C	δ _C	δ _C	δ _C	δ _C	δ _C
1	32.6	32.3	31.8	32.3	31.5	32.9	32.6	32.5	32.8	32.3	33.0	31.9	29.7	29.3	29.9	35.1	29.5†	32.1	29.0	37.1	39.1	38.1
2	31.2	31.0	36.0	31.0	35.8	31.3	31.2	36.3	30.0	35.6	30.9	35.7	30.2	36.1	36.8	30.2	36.1	30.9	32.8	24.8	26.1	26.0
3	78.1	78.0	216.3	77.9	216.1	78.1	78.0	217.8	77.9	216.1	77.6	215.7	78.5	217.2	218.7	78.0	216.3	77.8	77.2	86.3	85.3	85.6
4	42.2	42.1	50.3	42.1	50.2	42.2	42.0	51.3	41.3	50.0	42.1	50.2	41.9	50.5	51.2	41.5	50.2	42.0	42.0	46.9	46.4	45.9
5	53.8	53.1	53.2	53.3	53.2	54.2	54.1	54.2	52.9	53.0	53.1	52.7	53.8	54.4	55.0	53.0	53.6	50.8	57.5	55.5	58.1	56.8
6	68.3	66.9	68.1	67.2	68.3	69.0	68.8	70.2	69.0	69.2	68.1	68.1	67.7	68.6	69.0	69.5	68.8	73.2	67.5	76.9	132.1	134.1
7	38.7	37.2	37.6	37.8	37.8	39.5	39.4	39.4	38.1	37.7	39.0	38.2	37.6	38.6	39.3	38.8	37.9	75.7	37.1	80.2	128.7	128.8
8	47.1	44.4	46.2	44.1	45.5	48.3	46.9	48.0	47.3	47.5	46.2	46.2	45.7	47.8	47.7	48.9	47.9†	52.4	40.7	139.5	138.5	141.9
9	21.1	21.8	22.1	20.8	21.0	20.9	20.1	21.3	21.0	21.5	20.5	20.9	27.6	27.9	28.5	28.9	26.5	20.9	132.7	126.7	131.8	117.2
10	29.6	29.2	28.6	29.5	28.6	28.9	29.8	28.9	30.9	29.9	31.3	29.9	29.9	28.3	29.6	31.9	29.7†	29.1	134.5	88.7	100.1	-d
11	26.2	36.4	36.6	36.5	36.5	37.9	36.1	37.3	45.7	45.4	45.4	44.9	65.4	63.8	64.0	81.0	71.3	36.7	39.4	30.8	41.0	70.8
12	34.0	71.6	71.6	71.5	71.6	73.0	72.7	73.7	212.0	211.3	210.2	209.6	47.4	49.3	48.3	83.4	211.4	71.4	33.8	32.4	70.1	72.3
13	46.6	52.3	52.3	52.4	52.4	51.2	51.7	52.8	61.0	61.1	60.3	60.3	46.8†	46.6	51.2	51.4	59.8	52.5	45.7	49.1	51.4	52.5
14	45.7	47.5	47.3	42.8	42.6	46.2	42.0	43.0	47.8†	47.8	43.7	43.5	46.1	46.3	47.1	46.5	46.5	47.9	45.3	44.3	46.5	49.1
15	47.8	48.5	48.9	50.9	51.2	50.6	52.7	53.8	48.0†	47.9	51.0	50.9	46.8†	47.9	51.8	49.2	47.9†	50.4	45.3	41.6	45.2	44.6
16	73.8	71.3	71.3	215.8	215.6	71.9	216.6	217.5	72.9	72.9	214.4	214.1	74.2	73.7	219.2	71.1	72.8	71.5	73.7	74.1	72.0	72.5
17	60.7	62.0	62.1	71.2	71.2	55.0	65.6	66.7	51.5	51.6	60.1	60.1	60.3	60.7	70.9	61.5	52.0	61.8	60.7	59.6	61.0	60.6
18	20.7	13.5	13.8	14.0	14.4	20.5	20.1	21.2	13.1	13.1	12.7	12.7	20.1	21.3	21.2†	13.2	13.9	13.4	19.8	19.5	13.9	16.1
19	31.0	28.4	30.1	29.9	30.9	31.6	31.0	31.2	32.8	32.0	32.2	31.2	21.6	21.6	22.3	35.6	22.0	29.8	68.5	36.3	39.1	35.9
20	78.8	78.5	78.3	76.5	76.4	79.3	76.4	77.5	79.3	79.3	75.8	75.9	79.5	78.8	76.3	78.3	79.2	78.5	78.4	78.1	79.3	79.6
21	28.5	27.0	27.0	23.3	23.2	27.2	23.5†	24.5	27.0†	27.0	27.6	27.6	27.8	28.3	25.5	25.8	27.0	26.9	29.2	29.3	27.2	27.3
22	26.4	29.5	29.3	31.9	32.0	28.6	32.4	33.5	26.9†	26.9	27.2	27.2	26.3	26.4	30.5	28.8	26.9	29.5	26.4	26.5	30.1	29.3
23	23.8	23.7	23.6	23.3	23.3	23.6	23.4†	24.5	23.1	23.1	23.5	23.5	23.1	23.8	24.3	22.3	23.1	23.7	23.7	23.9	24.6	24.4
24	68.5	69.1	68.9	68.5	68.4	68.6	68.0	69.0	69.4	69.4	68.5	68.5	68.9	68.4	69.5	68.8	69.3	69.0	68.6	68.6	70.1	70.0
25	75.1	75.7	75.6	76.6	76.6	75.6	75.5	76.7	75.3	75.3	74.7	74.7	75.6	75.2	75.3	75.3	75.4	75.7	74.7	74.6	76.8	77.0
26	28.4	28.4	28.3	28.0	28.0	28.4	27.8	28.9	27.9	27.9	28.1	28.1	27.6	28.5	29.1	27.5	27.9	28.4	28.6	28.7	29.3	29.0
27	27.8	28.2	28.2	28.0	28.0	28.0	27.5	28.5	27.5	27.5	28.0	28.0	27.5	27.8	28.8	27.0	27.5	28.1	27.7	27.6	28.6	28.8
28	29.2	28.8	27.7	28.9	28.0	29.5	29.5	29.9	28.2	27.6	29.3	28.1	27.9	28.5	29.1	27.9	27.2	28.8	27.3	24.1	26.6	26.1
29	15.9	15.7	20.5	15.7	20.3	16.0	16.1	21.0	15.0	20.4	15.7	20.4	15.1	20.3	21.1†	14.7	20.7	15.4	15.0	25.7	26.0	25.3
30	20.2	19.7	20.0	19.0	19.3	21.8	20.5	21.7	20.6	20.7	19.5	19.6	20.5	21.5	21.4	18.4	21.7	19.5	19.3	25.9	25.4	26.7

Table 5. ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data of compounds 1-22 (100 MHz and *125 MHz). ^aIn C₅D₅N. ^bIn CDCl₃. ^cIn CD₃OD and a drop of C₅D₅N. [†]Overlapped signals. ^dNot detected.

.....

differences between compounds and H_2O_2 or 6-OHDA treatments were assessed by one-way ANOVA using GraphPad Prism software.

Determination of ROS levels. SH-SY5Y cells were seeded onto the 6 well plates and were treated with either compounds or the vesicle for 2 h. Then, cells were treated with 70 μ M H₂O₂. Following procedures were performed as described previously³⁴.

Determination of mitochondrial membrane potential. SH-SY5Y cells were seeded onto the coverslip. After 2 h pretreatment with 100 nM of each compound, cells were exposed to 70 μ M H₂O₂. Following 24 h incubation, cells were treated with 100 nM MitoTracker^{*} Red FM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US) for 30 min at 37 °C. Then cells were washed with PBS. After mounting, cells were immediately observed using a fluorescence microscope (Olympus IX70).

All photographs were taken under the same conditions, and the fluorescence intensity of the mitochondria relative to the cell volume was calculated in 30 cells using the ImageJ software.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors (EB and PBK) upon reasonable request.

Received: 10 August 2022; Accepted: 19 October 2022 Published online: 02 November 2022

References

- 1. Adams, J. P., Collis, A. J., Henderson, R. K. & Sutton, P. W. Biotransformations in small-molecule pharmaceutical development. *Pract. Methods Biocatal. Biotransformations* 182, (2010).
- 2. Borges, K. B. et al. Stereoselective biotransformations using fungi as biocatalysts. Tetrahedron Asymmetry 20, 385–397 (2009).
- de Borges, W. S., Borges, K. B., Bonato, P. S., Said, S. & Pupo, M. T. Endophytic fungi: Natural products, enzymes and biotransformation reactions. *Curr. Org. Chem.* 13, 1137–1163 (2009).
- 4. Illanes, A., Cauerhff, A., Wilson, L. & Castro, G. R. Recent trends in biocatalysis engineering. Bioresour. Technol. 115, 48–57 (2012).
- Leresche, J. E. & Meyer, H.-P. Chemocatalysis and biocatalysis (biotransformation): Some thoughts of a chemist and of a biotechnologist. Org. Process. Res. Dev. 10, 572–580 (2006).
- Simić, S. *et al.* Shortening synthetic routes to small molecule active pharmaceutical ingredients employing biocatalytic methods. *Chem. Rev.* 122, 1052–1126 (2021).

- 7. Bhatti, H. N. & Khera, R. A. Biological transformations of steroidal compounds: A review. Steroids 77, 1267–1290 (2012).
- 8. Bureik, M., Bernhardt, R., Bureik, M. & Bernhardt, R. Steroid hydroxylation: Microbial steroid biotransformations using cytochrome P450 enzymes. *Mod. Biooxidation* 155, 176 (2007).
- 9. Carballeira, J. D. et al. Microbial cells as catalysts for stereoselective red-ox reactions. Biotechnol. Adv. 27, 686-714 (2009).
 - Donova, M. V. & Egorova, O. V. Microbial steroid transformations: Current state and prospects. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 94, 1423–1447 (2012).
 - Fernandes, P., Cruz, A., Angelova, B., Pinheiro, H. M. & Cabral, J. M. S. Microbial conversion of steroid compounds: Recent developments. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 32, 688–705 (2003).
 - Kar, S., Sanderson, H., Roy, K., Benfenati, E. & Leszczynski, J. Green chemistry in the synthesis of pharmaceuticals. *Chem. Rev.* 122, 3637–3710 (2021).
- Ludwig-Müller, J. Plants and endophytes: Equal partners in secondary metabolite production?. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 37, 1325–1334 (2015).
- Pimentel, M. R., Molina, G., Dionísio, A. P., Maróstica Junior, M. R. & Pastore, G. M. The use of endophytes to obtain bioactive compounds and their application in biotransformation process. *Biotechnol. Res. Int.* 2011, 1–11 (2011).
- Wang, Y. & Dai, C.-C. Endophytes: A potential resource for biosynthesis, biotransformation, and biodegradation. Ann. Microbiol. 61, 207–215 (2011).
- K. Poddar, M., Chakraborty, A. & Banerjee, S. Neurodegeneration: Diagnosis, prevention, and therapy. In Oxidoreductase (2021). https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.94950.
- Liu, X., Zhang, J., Wang, S., Qiu, J. & Yu, C. Astragaloside IV attenuates the H₂O₂-induced apoptosis of neuronal cells by inhibiting α-synuclein expression via the p38 MAPK pathway. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 40, 1772–1780 (2017).
- 18. Ikram, M. *et al.* Cycloastragenol, a triterpenoid saponin, regulates oxidative stress, neurotrophic dysfunctions, neuroinflammation and apoptotic cell death in neurodegenerative conditions. *Cells* **10**, 2719 (2021).
- 19. Özçınar, O., Tağ, O., Yusufoglu, H., Kivçak, B. & Bedir, E. Biotransformation of neoruscogenin by the endophytic fungus Alternaria eureka. J. Nat. Prod. 81, 1357–1367 (2018).
- Ekiz, G., Duman, S. & Bedir, E. Biotransformation of cyclocanthogenol by the endophytic fungus Alternaria eureka 1E1BL1. Phytochemistry 151, 91–98 (2018).
- 21. Bedir, E. *et al.* New cardenolides from biotransformation of gitoxigenin by the endophytic fungus *Alternaria eureka* 1E1BL1: Characterization and cytotoxic activities. *Molecules* **26**, 3030 (2021).
- Karakoyun, Ç. et al. Five new cardenolides transformed from oleandrin and nerigoside by Alternaria eureka 1E1BL1 and Phaeosphaeria sp. 1E4CS-1 and their cytotoxic activities. Phytochem. Lett. 41, 152–157 (2021).
- Duman, S. *et al.* Telomerase activators from 20 (27)-octanor-cycloastragenol via biotransformation by the fungal endophytes. *Bioorg. Chem.* 109, 104708 (2021).
- Bedir, E., Calis, I., Zerbe, O. & Sticher, O. Cyclocephaloside I: A novel cycloartane-type glycoside from Astragalus microcephalus. J. Nat. Prod. 61, 503–505 (1998).
- Kitagawa, I. et al. Saponin and Sapogenol. XXXIV. Chemical constituents of astragali radix, the root of Astragalus membranaceus bunge (1) cycloastragenol, the 9,19-cyclolanostane-type aglycone of astragalosides, and the artifact aglycone astragenol. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 31, 689–697 (1983).
- Ekiz, G., Yılmaz, S., Yusufoglu, H., Kırmızıbayrak, P. B. & Bedir, E. Microbial transformation of cycloastragenol and astragenol by endophytic fungi isolated from Astragalus Species. J. Nat. Prod. 82, 2979–2985 (2019).
- 27. Kuban, M., Öngen, G., Khan, I. A. & Bedir, E. Microbial transformation of cycloastragenol. Phytochemistry 88, 99-104 (2013).
- Bedir, E. et al. Microbial transformation of Astragalus sapogenins using Cunninghamella blakesleeana NRRL 1369 and Glomerella fusarioides ATCC 9552. J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym. 115, 29–34 (2015).
- 29. Feng, L. et al. Biocatalysis of cycloastragenol by Syncephalastrum racemosum and Alternaria alternata to discover anti-aging derivatives. Adv. Synth. Catal. 357, 1928–1940 (2015).
- 30. Ryter, S. W. *et al.* Mechanisms of cell death in oxidative stress. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2007.9.49 (2007).
- Wang, Y., Xu, E., Musich, P. R. & Lin, F. Mitochondrial dysfunction in neurodegenerative diseases and the potential countermeasure. *CNS Neurosci. Ther.* https://doi.org/10.1111/cns.13116 (2019).
- 32. Shen, Y. C., Prakash, C. V. S. & Chang, Y. T. Two new polyhydroxysteroids from the gorgonian *Isis hippuris*. *Steroids* 66, 721–725 (2001).
- 33. Chen, C. et al. Anti-aging derivatives of cycloastragenol produced by biotransformation. Nat. Prod. Res. 35, 2685–2690 (2021).
- Gezer, E. et al. Undescribed polyether ionophores from Streptomyces cacaoi and their antibacterial and antiproliferative activities. Phytochemistry 195, 113038 (2022).

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by Ege University Office of Scientific Research Projects (Project No: GAP-23729). We thank the Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Centre (FABAL, Ege University, Faculty of Pharmacy) for equipment support. We are very grateful to Bionorm Natural Products for providing cyclocephagenol and cycloastragenol.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: E.B. and M.K.; Methodology: P.B.K. and E.B.; Formal analysis and investigation: M.K. and G.Ü.; Writing—original draft preparation: M.K. and G.Ü.; Writing—review and editing: M.K., G.Ü., P.B.K. and E.B.; Funding acquisition: P.B.K.; Resources: P.B.K. and E.B.; Supervision: P.B.K. and E.B.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-22799-5.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.B.K. or E.B.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022