scientific reports



OPEN ADRB2 expression predicts the clinical outcomes and is associated with immune cells infiltration in lung adenocarcinoma

Lingyun Ji^{1,7}, Fei Xu^{2,7}, Jingtao Zhang³, Ting Song⁴, Weida Chen², Xi Yin², Qingqing Wang⁵, Xiubao Chen², Xin Li², Minghao Guo² & Zetao Chen^{2,6}

The gene encoding beta2-adrenergic receptor (β 2-AR), adrenoceptor beta 2 (ADRB2), has been reported to closely associated with various cancers. However, its role in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) remains controversial. This research shed light on the prognostic value of ADRB2 in LUAD and further explored its association with immune cell infiltration. ADRB2 was significantly decreased in LUAD. ADRB2 expression in LUAD was significantly correlated with gender, smoking status, T classification, and pathologic stage. Patients in the low ADRB2 expression group presented with significantly poorer overall survival (OS) and disease-specific survival (DSS). Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) and Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) results showed that ADRB2 participates in immune response. The expression of ADRB2 was positively correlated with the infiltration level of most immune cells. Notably, ADRB2 is involved in LUAD progression partly by regulating the immune microenvironment, which may potentially serve as a significant prognostic biomarker as well as a potential drug target.

Lung cancer is the primary cause of malignant tumor mortality globally¹. LUAD, one of the highest mortality rates and most aggressive forms of cancer, with a low 5-year survival rate $< 5\%^2$. Late diagnosis may lead to difficulties in the treatment and prediction of prognosis. Thus, an in-depth study of the molecular mechanisms underlying LUAD progression is urgently needed. At present, there remains an unmet clinical need for tumor biomarkers, and the search for these could lead to more effective treatments and longer survival.

ADRB2 encodes beta-2-adrenergic receptor (β 2-AR) which is a member of the G protein-coupled receptor superfamily (GPCRs). GPCRs consist of a large family of integral membrane proteins with seven transmembrane helices. Adrenergic receptors (ARs), a member of GPCRs, are classically divided into two main groups: α-and β -adrenoceptors (β -AR, which is divided into β 1, β 2, and β 3 subtypes)³. β -AR could facilitate cell proliferation, migration, invasion, inflammation, angiogenesis, apoptosis, cell immune response, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition by regulating multiple cancer-related cellular processes. Dysregulated expression of ADRB2 was observed in various cancers, including breast cancer⁴, hepatocellular carcinoma⁵, prostate cancer⁶, and ovarian carcinoma⁷. Moreover, abundant ADRB2 expression was found to be closely linked with poor clinicopathological characteristics, tumor recurrence, metastasis, and poor prognosis. Although ADRB2 is a carcinogenic biomarker; however, the clinical significance of its expression in patients with LUAD has not been thoroughly elucidated yet.

It is well known that the tumorigenesis, progression, OS, prognosis, and relapse of tumors are strongly linked to the expression of tumor genes. The gene encoding β 2-AR, ADRB2, maps to human chromosome 5q31-q32 and is composed of a single exon of 2015 nucleotides⁸. The effect of ADRB2 on lung cancer remains controversial. Mei et al.⁹ identified ADRB2 polymorphisms that were correlated with increased lung cancer risk. Nevertheless,

¹First Clinical Medical College, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan, China. ²Department of Geriatric Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan, China. ³College of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan, China. ⁴Department of Neurology, Affiliated Hospital of Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan, China. ⁵Department of Record Room, Affiliated Hospital of Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China, Jinan. ⁶Subject of Integrated Chinese and Western Medicine, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan, China. ⁷These authors contributed equally: Lingyun Ji and Fei Xu. ²²email: zetaochen2007@126.com

Characteristic	Low expression of ADRB2	High expression of ADRB2	Р
n	267	268	
Gender, n (%)			0.005
Female	126 (23.6%)	160 (29.9%)	
Male	141 (26.4%)	108 (20.2%)	
Age, n (%)			0.723
<=65	131 (25.4%)	124 (24%)	
>65	129 (25%)	132 (25.6%)	
Smoker, n (%)			0.004
No	25 (4.8%)	50 (9.6%)	
Yes	233 (44.7%)	213 (40.9%)	
T stage, n (%)			< 0.001
T1	68 (12.8%)	107 (20.1%)	
T2	151 (28.4%)	138 (25.9%)	
T3	33 (6.2%)	16 (3%)	
T4	13 (2.4%)	6 (1.1%)	
N stage, n (%)			0.299
N0	167 (32.2%)	181 (34.9%)	
N1	52 (10%)	43 (8.3%)	
N2	43 (8.3%)	31 (6%)	
N3	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.2%)	
M stage, n (%)			1.000
M0	187 (48.4%)	174 (45.1%)	
M1	13 (3.4%)	12 (3.1%)	
Pathologic stage, n (%)			0.052
Stage I	132 (25%)	162 (30.7%)	
Stage II	66 (12.5%)	57 (10.8%)	
Stage III	51 (9.7%)	33 (6.3%)	
Stage IV	14 (2.7%)	12 (2.3%)	
OS event, n (%)			< 0.001
Alive	152 (28.4%)	191 (35.7%)	
Dead	115 (21.5%)	77 (14.4%)	
DSS event, n (%)			0.005
Alive	173 (34.7%)	206 (41.3%)	
Dead	73 (14.6%)	47 (9.4%)	

Table 1. Demographic and clinicopathological parameters of high and low *ADRB2* expression group patients with lung adenocarcinoma in TCGA-LUAD.

6

Zheng et al.¹ found that *ADRB2* was underexpressed in LUAD tissues and low *ADRB2* expression is associated with poor clinical outcomes. The other research by Wang et al. reached the same conclusion¹⁰.

Based on The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) dataset, LUAD dataset was acquired for bioinformatics analysis to verify that *ADRB2* expression was significantly down-regulated in LUAD. Next, the relationship between *ADRB2* gene expression and clinical traits was further investigated. The expression of *ADRB2* was highly correlated with immune infiltration, which further confirmed that *ADRB2* could be used as a prognostic biomarker of LUAD.

Results

Patient characteristics. The clinical data of 535 LUAD patients included gender, age, smoking status, T stage, N stage, M stage, pathological stage, OS event, and DSS event (Table 1). Chi-square test revealed that *ADRB2* was significantly correlated with T stage (P < 0.001), gender (P = 0.005), smoking (P = 0.004), OS events (P < 0.001) and DSS events (P = 0.005). There was no significant correlation between *ADRB2* expression and other clinicopathological features.

ADRB2 expression level in LUAD. Based on the TCGA database, *ADRB2* mRNA expression level was analyzed in 594 tissues. As showcased in Fig. 1a, it evaluated the *ADRB2* mRNA expression levels in TCGA pancancer. The results indicated that the expression level of *ADRB2* in the LUAD samples was much higher than that in the normal tissue samples (P < 0.001). Box plots showed *ADRB2* mRNA expression levels in 59 adjacent non-tumor tissues and 535 LUAD tissues. As shown in Fig. 1b, *ADRB2* was down-expression in LUAD tissues compared with those in normal tissue (P < 0.001, Fig. 1b). Figure 1c shows a pairwise boxplot of the same out-



Figure 1. Relative expression level of *ADRB2* in LUAD from TCGA database and GEO database. (**a**) *ADRB2* mRNA expression levels in pan-cancers from TCGA database. (**b**) Boxplot of *ADRB2* expression between the LUAD and normal tissues from TCGA database (Normal = 59 and Tumor = 535). (**c**) Pairwise boxplot of *ADRB2* expression between the LUAD and normal tissues from TCGA database (Normal = 57 and Tumor = 57). The differential expression of *ADRB2* in GSE40791 from GEO database (Normal = 100 and Tumor = 96) (**d**). The expression of *ADRB2* is grouped by age (**e**), gender (**f**), and smoking status (**g**). ***P < 0.001, *ns* not significance.

comes (P<0,001). Figure 1d shows 100 normal samples and 94 LUAD samples from the GEO database, which shows that *ADRB2* is lowly expressed in LUAD patients (P<0,001). *ADRB2* expression in LUAD patients does not show correlation to age (Fig. 1e). Moreover, *ADRB2* was significantly lower in males (P<0.001, Fig. 1f) and in patients with a smoking history (P<0.001, Fig. 1g).

Association between *ADRB2* **and TNM stages in LUAD patients.** To better understand the impact of *ADRB2* on LUAD patient prognosis, Kruskal–Wallis analysis and Spearman correlation analysis were performed to determine the relationship between *ADRB2* expression and clinicopathological characteristics (pathologic and TNM stages). The *ADRB2* expression was significantly decreased in LUAD patients, and was significantly correlated with pathological stage, T stage and N stage. (Fig. 2a–d, Supplementary Table S1).

Relationship between *ADRB2* and clinical characteristics. To further investigate the mechanism of *ADRB2* in LUAD, the associations between *ADRB2* expression and clinical characteristics were investigated. Based on the clinical data of 535 patients with LUAD, logistic regression analysis indicated that the expression level of *ADRB2* in LUAD was negatively correlated with gender (OR 0.603 for males vs. females, P=0.004); smoking status (OR 0.457 for yes vs. no, P=0.003); T classification (OR 0.581 for T2 vs. T1, P=0.005; OR 0.308 for T3 vs. T1, P<0.001; OR 0.293 for T4 vs. T1, P=0.018); and pathologic stage (OR 0.527 for stage III vs. stage I, P=0.011, Fig. 3).



Figure 2. The expression of *ADRB2* is grouped by pathological stage (**a**), T stage (**b**), T stage (**c**), and M stage (**d**). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, *ns* not significance.

Characteristics	Total(N)	OR (95% CI)		Р
Gender			1	
Male vs. Female	535	0.603 (0.428-0.849)	⊷⊷¦	0.004
Age			i	
>65 vs. <=65	516	1.081 (0.765-1.527)	н	0.658
Smoker			I	
Yes vs. No	521	0.457 (0.270-0.758)	⊢● →↓	0.003
T stage			I	
T2 vs. T1	464	0.581(0.395-0.849)	⊢● → !	0.005
T3 vs. T1	224	0.308(0.154-0.594)	⊢● →	< 0.001
T4 vs. T1	194	0.293(0.099-0.780)	⊷−−−−− ¦	0.018
Lymph node metastasis				
Positive vs. Negative	519	0.721 (0.498-1.040)	 #	0.081
Distant metastasis		· · · · ·	I	
Yes vs. No	386	0.992 (0.435-2.245)	·	0.985
Pathologic stage			I	
Stage II vs. Stage I	417	0.704(0.460-1.073)	⊢ ● ⊥	0.103
Stage III vs. Stage I	378	0.527(0.319-0.861)	⊢ ● → ¦	0.011
Stage IV vs. Stage I	320	0.698(0.308-1.564)		0.382
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
			0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5	2.0

Figure 3. Relationship between ADRB2 and clinical characteristics.



Figure 4. The prognostic value of *ADRB2* in the different subgroups. Survival analysis of *ADRB2* expression in LUAD patients: OS (**a**), DSS (**b**), and PFI (**c**). Low expression of *ADRB2* was associated with worse OS in different subgroups (**d**-**f**).

	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis			
Parameter	HR	95% CI	Р	HR	95% CI	Р	
Age	1.223	0.916-1.635	0.172	1.256	0.881-1.792	0.208	
Smoker	0.894	0.592-1.348	0.591	0.896	0.536-1.498	0.676	
Gender	1.070	0.803-1.426	0.642	0.969	0.681-1.379	0.861	
Pathological stage	2.664	1.960-3.621	< 0.001	1.341	0.805-2.233	0.260	
T stage	2.317	1.591-3.375	< 0.001	1.817	1.119-2.951	0.016	
N stage	2.601	1.944-3.480	< 0.001	2.086	1.387-3.139	< 0.001	
M stage	2.136	1.248-3.653	0.006	1.263	0.654-2.450	0.490	
ADRB2	0.612	0.456-0.821	0.001	0.730	0.504-1.056	0.095	

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate analyses of *ADRB2* and clinical pathological parameters associated with survival in patients with LUAD.

Impact of *ADRB2* **on the prognosis of LUAD.** Survival curves were derived to assess the prognosis of high and low-*ADRB2* expression in LUAD patients. As displayed in Fig. 4, patients in the low *ADRB2* expression group presented with significantly poorer OS (HR 0.65(0.48–0.87), P = 0.004, Fig. 4a) and DSS (HR 0.61(0.42–0.88), P = 0.008, Fig. 4b) than those in the high *ADRB2* expression group. However, PFI did not differ between the two groups (HR 0.90(0.69–1.17), P = 0.412, Fig. 4c). Subgroup analysis suggested that the link between lower *ADRB2* expression and worse OS was statistically significant in most subgroups, especially in the stage I subgroup of pathologic stage (HR 0.61(0.38–1), P = 0.048), N0 subgroup of N stage (HR 0.62(0.40–0.94), P = 0.025), M0 subgroup of M stage (HR 0.62(0.44–0.88), P = 0.007).

Effect of *ADRB2* **expression on survival based on univariate and multivariate analyses.** Univariate analysis revealed that pathological stage (HR 2.664; 95% CI 1.960–3.621; P<0.001); T stage (HR 2.317; 95% CI 1.591–3.375; P<0.001); N stage (HR 2.601; 95% CI 1.944–3.480; P<0.001); M stage (HR 2.136; P<0



Figure 5. The prognostic value of *ADRB2* in LUAD. Diagnostic ROC curve of *ADRB2* (Normal vs. Tumor) (a). Prognosis ROC curve of *ADRB2* (Dead vs. Alive) (b). Time-dependent ROC curve of *ADRB2* (c). *ADRB2* expression distribution and survival status (d).

CI 1.248–3.653; P=0.006); and *ARDB2* expression (HR 0.612; 95% CI 0.456–0.821; P=0.095) were meaningful indicators of survival, and multivariate Cox risk regression analysis showed that T stage (HR 1.817; 95% CI 1.119–2.951; P=0.016) and N stages (HR 2.086; 95% CI 1.387–3.139; P<0.001) were independent prognostic factors for OS (Table 2).

Evaluation of the diagnostic capacity of ADRB2 in LUAD. To explore the diagnostic value of *ADRB2* for LUAD, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed. The results of the ROC curves indicated that *ADRB2* was highly sensitive to the diagnosis of LUAD (AUC, 0.994; 95% CI 0.989–0.999, Fig. 5a). Additionally, the AUC was 0.598 for OS, which indicated that the prognostic model had good performance in predicting survival prognosis of patients with LUAD (AUC, 0.590; 95% CI 0.548–0.648, Fig. 5b). The time-dependent accuracy of *ADRB2* in predicting OS in 1, 3, and 5 years was also assessed through a time-dependent ROC analysis, AUC < 0.5 indicates that the expression of *ADRB2* is opposite to the occurrence trend of OS events in LUAD patients (Fig. 5c). Figure 5d shows that the survival rate of patients in the high-risk group is poor, and the risk of death is high. With the decrease of *ADRB2* expression, the risk score tend to increase gradually.

Relationship of *ADRB2* **expression level with immune infiltration in LUAD.** Figure 6a shown the relationships between expression of *ADRB2* and 28 types of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) across human cancers in the TISIDB database. In TCGA database, pearson's analysis demonstrated that the infiltration of 24 types of immune cells was markedly related to *ADRB2* expression, which had a significantly positive relationship with CD8 T cells (P=0.049), type 17 Th cells (Th17) (P=0.021), and regulatory T cells (TReg) (P=0.025), and a strongly-positive association with activated DCs (aDCs), B cells, cytotoxic cells, dendritic cells (DCs), eosinophils, immature DCs (iDCs), macrophages, mast cells, neutrophils, natural killer (NK) cells,



Figure 6. Relations between the expression of *ADRB2* and 28 types of TILs across human cancers (**a**). The relationship between immune cell infiltration and *ADRB2* expression (**b**). The infiltration levels of immune cell populations in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) patients with different *ADRB2* expression (**c**). *ADRB2* was correlated with abundance of B cells, CD4 T cells, CD8 T cells, macrophage, NK cells, and mast cells (**d**–**i**). *P<0.05, **P<0.01, **P<0.001.

Low expression				High expression			
Gene set name	NES	NOM p-value	FDR q-value	Gene set name	NES	NOM p-value	FDR q-value
KEGG_SPLICEOSOME	- 2.229	0	0	KEGG_JAK_STAT_SIGNALING_PATHWAY	2.170	0	0.004
KEGG_RNA_POLYMERASE	- 2.199	0	0	KEGG_LEUKOCYTE_TRANSENDOTHE- LIAL_MIGRATION	2.170	0	0.004
KEGG_RNA_DEGRADATION	- 2.183	0	0.001	KEGG_CHEMOKINE_SIGNALING_PATH- WAY	2.037	0.003	0.010
KEGG_CITRATE_CYCLE_TCA_CYCLE	- 2.126	0	0.002	KEGG_AUTOIMMUNE_THYROID_DIS- EASE	2.036	0.002	0.008
KEGG_CELL_CYCLE	- 2.103	0.001	0.002	KEGG_FC_EPSILON_RI_SIGNALING_ PATHWAY	2.019	0.001	0.009
KEGG_PENTOSE_PHOSPHATE_PATH- WAY	- 2.075	0	0.003	KEGG_INTESTINAL_IMMUNE_NET- WORK_FOR_IGA_PRODUCTION	2.009	0.004	0.009
KEGG_BASAL_TRANSCRIPTION_FAC- TORS	- 2.025	0	0.004	KEGG_CYTOKINE_CYTOKINE_RECEP- TOR_INTER ACTION	1.996	0.007	0.010
KEGG_OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORYLATION	- 1.998	0.003	0.005	KEGG_B_CELL_RECEPTOR_SIGNAL- ING_PATHWAY	1.969	0.006	0.010
KEGG_DNA_REPLICATION	- 1.981	0	0.005	KEGG_NATURAL_KILLER_CELL_MEDI- ATED_CYTOTOXICITY	1.825	0.010	0.033
KEGG_MISMATCH_REPAIR	- 1.896	0.012	0.031	KEGG_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION	1.825	0.012	0.031
KEGG_CYSTEINE_AND_METHIONINE_ METABOLISM	- 1.798	0.001	0.025	KEGG_MAPK_SIGNALING_PATHWAY	1.821	0.001	0.031
KEGG_UBIQUITIN_MEDIATED_PROTE- OLYSIS	- 1.743	0.014	0.037	KEGG_T_CELL_RECEPTOR_SIGNAL- ING_PATHWAY	1.805	0.022	0.031
				KEGG_NON_SMALL_CELL_LUNG_CAN- CER	1.708	0.014	0.049

Table 3. Gene sets enriched in the low and high ADRB2 expression phenotypes.

neutrophils, plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs), T cells, T helper cells, Tcm T central memory (Tcm), T effector memory (Tem), T follicular helper (TFH), and type 1 Th cells (Th1) (P<0.001, Fig. 6b,c). However, T gamma delta (Tgd) and type 2 Th cells (Th2) (P<0.001, Fig. 6b,c) showed a negative association with *ADRB2*. As can be seen in Fig. 6d–i, the expression of *ADRB2* was correlated with adundance of B cells (r=0.334, P=7.59e-15), CD4 T cells (r=0.125, P=0.00448), CD8 T cells (r=0.27, P=5.52e-10), macrophage (r=0.403, P<2.2e-16), NK cells (r=0.424, P<2.2e-16), mast cells (r=0.527, P<2.2e-16) in the TISIDB database.

ADRB2 associated gene set enrichment in LUAD. To determine *ADRB2*-related signaling pathways, GSEA was performed between the high- and low-*ADRB2* groups. Significance was assessed using a normalized enrichment score (NES) ≥ 1.5 , P ≤ 0.05 , and false discovery rate (FDR) ≤ 0.25 . KEGG pathway enrichment analysis indicated that 13 important signaling pathways were significantly enriched in the highly expressed *ADRB2* phenotypes, including the JAK STAT signaling pathways, leukocyte trans-endothelial migration, chemokine signaling pathway, autoimmune, thyroid disease, Fc epsilon ri signaling pathway, intestinal immune network for iga production, cytokine receptor interaction, B cell receptor signaling pathway, and NSCLC. Meanwhile, there were 13 eligible signaling pathways enriched in the low-*ADRB2* expression, including spliceosome, RNA polymerase, RNA degradation, citrate cycle (or TCA cycle), cell cycle, pentose phosphate pathway, basal transcription factors, oxidative phosphorylation, DNA replication, mismatch repair, cysteine and methionine metabolism, ubiquitin-mediated-proteolysis, and amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism (Table 3, Fig. 7). These results contribute to further exploration of *ADRB2* pathophysiological mechanisms.

Discussion

LUAD is a type of malignant lung tumor that originates from the bronchial mucosal glandular epithelium. LUAD is characterized by inconspicuous early symptoms, and LUAD is a lung tumor with a significant rate of malignant recurrence, metastasis, and unsatisfactory prognosis. Early diagnosis is difficult in most patients.

ADRB2 is ubiquitously expressed in multiple tissues, including the smooth muscle of the human bronchi, cardiovascular system, central nervous system, and gastrointestinal tract. In recent years, increasing evidence shows that *ADRB2* has a vital place in the occurrence and development of diverse range of cancers. Zhang et al.¹¹ found that the mRNA expressions of *ADRB2* were higher in gastric cancers compared with normal tissues. Moreover, patients with gastric cancer with positive *ADRB2* expression exhibited larger tumor size, late clinical stage, lower differentiation, and distant metastasis. In addition, high *ADRB2* expression can promote the angiogenic switch in prostate cancer and prevent or delay the dominant role of pro-angiogenic factors, leading to tumor progression¹². β2-AR is encoded by *ADRB2* and can bind specifically to endogenous catecholamines (such as adrenaline and noradrenaline), and promotes the production and release of cyclic adenosine phosphate (cAMP). cAMP can further activate and phosphorylate protein kinase A and C to activate downstream signal transduction pathways and promote the proliferation, migration, and metastasis of lung cancer cells¹³. The positive *ADRB2* expression can occur in several cancers, including hepatocellular carcinoma, colorectal cancer, melanoma, and gastric cancer,



Figure 7. Enrichment plots from gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA).

high expression<

and is often indicative of poor prognosis^{5,11,14-16}. The regulation of β 2-AR on tumorigenesis may be twofold, depending on the type of tumor and the stage of cancer progression. A study have revealed that activation of ADRB2 results in inhibition tumor cell growth, as well as induction of apoptosis and tumor regression, where this activation results in the inactivation of the Raf-1/Mek-1/Erk1/2 pathway by a cAMP-dependent activation of protein kinase A¹⁷. In oral squamous cell carcinoma, patients with higher ADRB2 had a significant longer DSS and OS¹⁸. Caparica et al.¹⁹ found that a high ADRB2 expression may be a favorable prognostic factor in patients with HER2 + early breast cancer and evidence that a possible association with antiproliferative, antiangiogenic, and immunogenic effects of ADRB2. Yazawa et al.²⁰ retrospectively analyzed 328 surgically-resected patients with NSCLC and found that positive ADRB2 expression was found in 29% of LUAD tissues, which markedly increased compared with in non-adenocarcinoma tissues. A high level of ADRB2 expression was associated with vascular invasion, tumor cell proliferation, and poor prognosis in patients with LUAD. Nevertheless, Wang et al.¹⁰ searched the gene expression synthesis (GEO) to obtain data showing that ADRB2 is down-regulated in LUAD, and ADRB2 mRNA levels declined with stage progression. ADRB2 mRNA expression levels and its gene product, β2-AR, differ. The exact mechanism is still controversial. This study used high-throughput RNA sequencing data obtained from TCGA database to further ascertain the expression and the prognostic significance of ADRB2 in LUAD and explore its correlation with immune cell infiltration.

>low expression

The low expression of *ADRB2* in tumor tissues of LUAD patients is correlated with gender, smoking, OS events and DSS events, indicating that *ADRB2* is a potential biomarker for prognosis and diagnosis. Data mining from TCGA database showed that the expression of *ADRB2* was correlated with pathological stage, T stage and N stage, and the disease tended to progress with the decrease of *ADRB2* expression. Premised on this, logistic regression analysis showed that *ADRB2* is significantly correlated with gender, smoking status, T stage and pathological stage. The survival analysis revealed a favorable survival in high *ADRB2* expression group compared to those with low expression. Univariate analysis revealed that pathological stage, T stage, N stage, M stage, and *ADRB2* expression influenced OS. To further determine the diagnostic capacity of *ADRB2* in LUAD, ROC curves were used to confirm that *ADRB2* is sensitive to the diagnosis and prognosis of LUAD. Altogether, these findings illustrate that *ADRB2* is a potential prognostic biomarker for LUAD.

The tumor immune microenvironment (TME) is very important in cancer pathogenesis²¹. Immune cells are vital elements of the TME²². The correlation between *ADRB2* expression and the infiltration of 24 immunocytes was further explored to elucidate the mechanisms responsible for *ADRB2* to predict clinical prognosis. Further correlation analysis indicated that the infiltration of 19 immune cells was significantly associated with *ADRB2* expression. *ADRB2* expression was positively correlated with aDCs, B cells, CD8⁺ T cells, cytotoxic cells, DCs, eosinophils, iDCs, macrophages, mast cells, neutrophils, NK cells, pDCs, T cells, T helper cells, Tcm, Tem, TFH, Th1 cells, Th17 cells, and TReg. B cells are dominant in the progression of lung cancers^{23,24} and can be observed at the individual stages of carcinogenesis²⁵. B cells can prolong the survival of cancer patients by inhibiting tumor progression and preventing metastasis. In addition, antibodies produced by B cells are essential mediators of tumor cell death²⁶. Cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) are major players in antitumor immunity and can lead to

apoptosis of cancer cells through a series of steps; therefore, high infiltration of CTLs is a favorable prognostic marker for many cancers. DCs are the most effective antigen-presenting cells to induce primary tumor immune response²⁷, and in NSCLC patients, an increased DC count was significantly associated with an increase in DSS²⁸. The role of macrophages in cancer progression is still controversial. Tumor-associated macrophages promote tumor progression by facilitating tumor stroma formation and angiogenesis²⁹. In patients with NSCLC with prolonged survival, macrophage-infiltrating tumors are mainly of the M1 type³⁰. Mast cells, which have cytotoxic effects on cancer cells, can enhance the immunity of patients with LUAD against cancer cells and improve their postoperative prognosis³¹. NK cells are cytotoxic and it is essential in the immune monitoring of cancers³². Carrega et al.³³ found that in resected LUAD tissues, increasing numbers of infiltrating NK cells were associated with favorable patient survival outcomes. T cells are the most abundant monocytes infiltrating the NSCLCs³⁴. T cells can secrete cytokines to inhibit tumor stroma formation and use cytotoxic molecules to kill epithelial nuclear stromal cells. Al-Shibli et al.³⁵ reported that T cell infiltration is associated with better DSS, and T cells are an independent indicator of survival. T helper cells play an important role in cancer immunity by secreting cytokines³⁶. Both Th1 and Th17 cells produce proinflammatory factors, and their extensive infiltration can significantly improve clinical outcomes in a variety of cancers^{37,38}. Large infiltration of cytotoxic T cells in tumor tissues is associated with longer survival³⁵. Studies have shown that TFH has an antitumor response, and IL-21 secreted by TFH induces the activation, proliferation, and differentiation of B cells^{39,40}. ADRB2 expression may up-regulate the levels of infiltrating immune cells to limit the development of LUAD. In contrast, ADRB2 expression was negatively correlated with Th2 cells and Tgd. Th2 cells have many pro-neoplastic activities and take part in cancer progression by cytokine release. Th2 cells are dominant in lymphocytes from malignant pleural effusion in patients with lung cancer^{41,42}. Current studies have revealed that Tgd has a pro-tumor effect, which can inhibit innate and adaptive immunity by inducing immunosenescence⁴³⁻⁴⁵

To summarize, low *ADRB2* expression is associated with poor prognosis of LUAD. These results show that *ADRB2* expression level affects the immunity activity in the TME, and *ADRB2* might be a valuable biomarker for the immune status in LUAD patients.

To further explore the mechanism of *ADRB2* in LUAD, the signaling pathways involved in *ADRB2* was screened. In the *ADRB2* high-expression group, *ADRB2* associated genes were significantly enriched in immune signaling pathways (such as B cell receptor signaling pathway, T cell receptor signaling pathway, and NSCLC, NK-cell-mediated cytotoxicity, chemokine signaling pathway, and Jak STAT signaling pathway), in KEGG analysis. Those are significant in the tumorigenesis, development, and invasion of malignancies⁴⁶. On the other hand, in the *ADRB2* low-expression group, *ADRB2* correlated genes were enriched in metabolism-related pathways, including RNA polymerase, citrate cycle, pentose phosphate pathway, oxidative phosphorylation, cysteine and methionine metabolism, and amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism, implying that *ADRB2* up-regulated the signaling pathways associated with immune response and induced antitumor efficiency. Therefore, *ADRB2* expression was down-regulated as LUAD progressed, and the TME switched from an immune-active state to a metabolic state. The *ADRB2* expression can be considered a biomarker to predict immune response.

At present, most studies on the relationship between *ADRB2* and the occurrence and progression of LUAD are based on its gene expression product, β 2-AR, and its signaling pathway. This study revealed *ADRB2* as a key gene in the immune microenvironment of LUAD by performing a bioinformatics analysis, to provide evidence for *ADRB2* as a potential prognostic marker for LUAD. However, some limitations arise in the research. Firstly, the present research was limited by the small number of cases, and a large cohort is needed to validate the results of this research. Secondly, this research primarily focused on the expression of *ADRB2* mRNA from TCGA, and without involving β 2-AR levels in LUAD tissues. Thus, this study still needs large-sample, multicenter, multi-ethnic clinical trials and basic experimental studies to prove the prognostic value of *ADRB2* in LUAD. Low expression of *ADRB2* is associated with poor prognosis in LUAD patients, which may be related to immunocompromised.

Conclusion

In summary, *ADRB2* expression was significantly down-regulated in patients with LUAD. *ADRB2* is involved in LUAD progression partly by regulating the immune microenvironment, which may potentially serve as a significant prognostic biomarker as well as a potential drug target.

Materials and methods

Data acquisition. On or before November 13, 2021, the mRNA profile (HTSeq-Counts and HTSeq-FPKM) was extracted from TCGA (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/), including 535 LUAD samples and 59 normal samples. Relevant clinical information was derived from TCGA. RNAseq data in FPKM (Fregments Per Kilobase Per Million) format were converted to TPM (transcripts Per Million reads) format and log2 transformed. *ADRB2* expression data from datasets GSE40791 was downloaded from the GEO database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds/). The relevant data TCGA and GEO provided is open-access, no additional approval from the Ethics Committee were required. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

ADRB2 expression and survival analyses. The original expression data downloaded from TCGA were processed using the Perl programming language (version: strawberry-perl-5.32.1.1-64bit.mis, https://strawberry perl.com/). GEOquery package (version: 2.54.1, https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/GEOquery/index. html) is used to download GEO database expression data. The differential *ADRB2* expression were analyzed by Mann–Whitney U test, Dunn's test, Kruskal–Wallis test or Spearman correlation analysis when appropriate, and the results were visualized using the ggplot2 R package (version: 3.3.2, https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/

ggplot2/index.html). Binary logistics regression model was used to evaluate the relationship between *ADRB2* and clinical characteristics. Survival data were extracted and analyzed using the Perl programming language, and patients without complete survival state and time were removed. Subsequently, we matched the complete survival data with *ADRB2* expression data and obtained 499 patients' data. In survival analysis, the *ADRB2* mRNA expression level was split into two groups by the median expression value, and OS, DSS, and progression-free interval (PFI) were evaluated with cox regression and log-rank test. A Kaplan–Meier survival curve was constructed by the survival (version:3.2-10 https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/survivalAnalysis/index.html) and survmine (version:0.4.9,https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/surviner/index.html) package of R software. pROC R package (version: 1.17.0.1, https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pROC/index.html) and timeROC R package (version:0.4,https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/timeROC/index.html) were used for statistical analysis, and ggplot2 R package was used for visualization when drawing ROC curves and risk score map.

Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses. Both univariate and multivariate analyses of clinical pathological parameters were performed adopting Cox proportional hazards analysis. In addition, we quantitatively evaluated the independent predictive value of clinicopathological parameters and *ADRB2* expression for survival and explored the prognostic effect of *ADRB2* on survival after adjusting for other confound-ing factors. Meanwhile, when matching it with *ADRB2* expression data, incomplete clinical information was excluded.

Evaluation of immune infiltration. Figure 6a is drawn online in TISIDB (http://cis.hku.hk/TISIDB/ index.php). GSEA method from the R package "GSVA (version:1.34.0, http://bioconductor.org/packages/releas se/bioc/html/GSVA.html), clusterProfiler (version:3.18.0, http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/ clusterProfiler.html), and rtracklayer (http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/rtracklayer.html)" was used to present infiltration enrichment of 24 common immune cells in each sample, including mast cells, DCs, iDCs, macrophages, eosinophils, TFH, Th1, neutrophils, pDCs, T cells, NK cells, B cells, aDCs, Tem, T helper cells, cytotoxic cells, Tcm, CD8 T cells, TReg, NK CD56 bright cells, Th17, NK CD56dim cells, Tgd, and Th2. After that, Pearson's analysis was used to investigate the relationship between *ADRB2* expression level and 24 immune cell infiltration in LUAD. Pearson correlation test and the independent-samples T test were used to compare the levels of immune cell infiltration between *ADRB2* expression groups. Spearman' test was used to evaluate the relationship between *ADRB2* expression and the abundance of TILs.

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA). All LUAD patients in TCGA dataset were allocated into high and low group based on the expression of *ADRB2*. GSEA was used as a signaling pathway analysis tool to explore the signaling pathways related to *ADRB2* in LUAD. GSEA between high and low *ADRB2* expression was performed using GSEA 3.0 (http://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/index.jsp). Phenotypes were determined based on *ADRB2* expression levels. The gene set "c2 all.v6.0 symbols.gmt" was used for the enrichment analysis. KEGG analysis was performed to explore the significant pathways associated with *ADRB2* expression.

Statistical analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 23.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) and R (version 4.0.2, https://www.r-project.org/) and the level of statistical significance was defined as a P<0.05.

Data availability

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are available in TCGA dataset (https://cance rgenome.nih.gov/) and GEO database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds/).

Received: 13 January 2022; Accepted: 7 September 2022 Published online: 26 September 2022

References

- 1. Zheng, Q., Min, S. & Zhou, Q. Identification of potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers for LUAD based on TCGA and GEO databases. Biosci. Rep. https://doi.org/10.1042/bsr20204370 (2021).
- Lu, X. et al. Phosphoinositide specific phospholipase Cgamma1 inhibition-driven autophagy caused cell death in human lung adenocarcinoma A549 cells in vivo and in vitro. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 16, 1427–1440. https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.42962 (2020).
- Ruffolo, R. R. Jr. & Hieble, J. P. Alpha-adrenoceptors. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 61, 1–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-7258(94)90058-2 (1994).
- Qin, J. F. et al. Adrenergic receptor beta2 activation by stress promotes breast cancer progression through macrophages M2 polarization in tumor microenvironment. BMB Rep. 48, 295–300. https://doi.org/10.5483/bmbrep.2015.48.5.008 (2015).
- Chen, D. et al. The beta2-adrenergic receptor is a potential prognostic biomarker for human hepatocellular carcinoma after curative resection. Ann. Surg. Oncol. 19, 3556–3565. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-012-2396-1 (2012).
- Ramberg, H. et al. Hormonal regulation of beta2-adrenergic receptor level in prostate cancer. Prostate 68, 1133–1142. https://doi. org/10.1002/pros.20778 (2008).
- Thaker, P. H. et al. Chronic stress promotes tumor growth and angiogenesis in a mouse model of ovarian carcinoma. Nat. Med. 12, 939–944. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1447 (2006).
- Litonjua, A. A. et al. Very important pharmacogene summary ADRB2. Pharmacogenet. Genom. 20, 64–69. https://doi.org/10.1097/ FPC.0b013e328333dae6 (2010).
- Mei, L., Huang, C., Wang, A. & Zhang, X. Association between ADRB2, IL33, and IL2RB gene polymorphisms and lung cancer risk in a Chinese Han population. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 77, 105930. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2019.105930 (2019).

- Wang, Z. et al. Decreased HLF expression predicts poor survival in lung adenocarcinoma. Med. Sci. Monit. 27, e929333. https:// doi.org/10.12659/MSM.929333 (2021).
- Zhang, X. et al. Chronic stress promotes gastric cancer progression and metastasis: An essential role for ADRB2. Cell Death Dis. 10, 788. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-019-2030-2 (2019).
- Zahalka, A. H. et al. Adrenergic nerves activate an angio-metabolic switch in prostate cancer. Science 358, 321–326. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.aah5072 (2017).
- 13. Huang, Q. et al. The role of adrenergic receptors in lung cancer. Am. J. Cancer Res. 8, 2227-2237 (2018).
- Shimizu, A. *et al.* Prognostic significance of beta2-adrenergic receptor expression in malignant melanoma. *Tumour Biol.* 37, 5971–5978. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13277-015-4420-0 (2016).
- Takahashi, K. *et al.* Clinical significance of beta2-adrenergic receptor expression in patients with surgically resected gastric adenocarcinoma. *Tumour Biol.* 37, 13885–13892. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13277-016-5139-2 (2016).
- Florescu, C. et al. The evaluation of Beta-2-adrenoreceptors' expression in normal peritumoral tissue in patients with colorectal adenocarcinoma. Curr. Health Sci. J. 42, 335–341. https://doi.org/10.12865/chsj.42.04.01 (2016).
- Carie, A. E. *et al.* A chemical biology approach identifies a beta-2 adrenergic receptor agonist that causes human tumor regression by blocking the Raf-1/Mek-1/Erk1/2 pathway. *Oncogene* 26, 3777–88. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1210172 (2007).
- Bravo-Calderon, D. M. *et al.* Beta adrenergic receptor activation inhibits oral cancer migration and invasiveness. *Arch. Oral Biol.* 118, 104865. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2020.104865 (2020).
- Rafael, C. et al. Prognostic and predictive impact of Beta-2 adrenergic receptor expression in HER2-positive breast cancer. Clin. Breast Cancer 20, 262–273 (2020).
- 20. Yazawa, T. *et al.* Prognostic significance of β2-adrenergic receptor expression in non-small cell lung cancer. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* **8**, 5059–5070 (2016).
- Schulz, M., Salamero-Boix, A., Niesel, K., Alekseeva, T. & Sevenich, L. Microenvironmental regulation of tumor progression and therapeutic response in brain metastasis. *Front. Immunol.* 10, 1713. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.01713 (2019).
- DeNardo, D. G. & Ruffell, B. Macrophages as regulators of tumour immunity and immunotherapy. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 19, 369–382. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-019-0127-6 (2019).
- Banat, G. A. et al. Immune and inflammatory cell composition of human lung cancer stroma. PLoS ONE 10, e0139073. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139073 (2015).
- 24. Kurebayashi, Y. *et al.* Comprehensive immune profiling of lung adenocarcinomas reveals four immunosubtypes with plasma cell subtype A negative indicator. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* **4**, 234–247. https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.Cir-15-0214 (2016).
- Bian, T. et al. Prognostic biomarker TUBA1C is correlated to immune cell infiltration in the tumor microenvironment of lung adenocarcinoma. Cancer Cell Int. 21, 144. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-021-01849-4 (2021).
- Bremnes, R. M. et al. The role of tumor-infiltrating immune cells and chronic inflammation at the tumor site on cancer development, progression, and prognosis: Emphasis on non-small cell lung cancer. J. Thorac. Oncol. 6, 824–833. https://doi.org/10.1097/ JTO.0b013e3182037b76 (2011).
- 27. Wang, Y. et al. The role of ADRB2 gene polymorphisms in malignancies. Mol. Biol. Rep. 48, 2741–2749. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-021-06250-y (2021).
- McGraw, D. W. et al. Polymorphisms of the 5' leader cistron of the human beta2-adrenergic receptor regulate receptor expression. J. Clin. Investig. 102, 1927–1932. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci4862 (1998).
- de Visser, K. E., Eichten, A. & Coussens, L. M. Paradoxical roles of the immune system during cancer development. Nat. Rev. Cancer 6, 24–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1782 (2006).
- Ma, J. et al. The M1 form of tumor-associated macrophages in non-small cell lung cancer is positively associated with survival time. BMC Cancer 10, 112. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-10-112 (2010).
- Tomita, M., Matsuzaki, Y. & Onitsuka, T. Correlation between mast cells and survival rates in patients with pulmonary adenocarcinoma. Lung Cancer 26, 103–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-5002(99)00076-8 (1999).
- Waldhauer, I. & Steinle, A. NK cells and cancer immunosurveillance. Oncogene 27, 5932–5943. https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2008. 267 (2008).
- Carrega, P. et al. Natural killer cells infiltrating human nonsmall-cell lung cancer are enriched in CD56 bright CD16(-) cells and display an impaired capability to kill tumor cells. Cancer 112, 863–875. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.23239 (2008).
- Kataki, A. *et al.* Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes and macrophages have a potential dual role in lung cancer by supporting both host-defense and tumor progression. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 140, 320–328. https://doi.org/10.1067/mlc.2002.128317 (2002).
- Al-Shibli, K. et al. The prognostic value of intraepithelial and stromal CD3-, CD117- and CD138-positive cells in non-small cell lung carcinoma. APMIS 118, 371–382. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0463.2010.02609.x (2010).
- Katsuta, E., Rashid, O. M. & Takabe, K. Clinical relevance of tumor microenvironment: Immune cells, vessels, and mouse models. *Hum Cell* 33, 930–937. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13577-020-00380-4 (2020).
- Aldarouish, M. *et al.* Immunomodulatory effects of chemotherapy on blood lymphocytes and survival of patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Int. J. Immunopathol. Pharmacol.* 33, 2058738419839592. https://doi.org/10.1177/2058738419839592 (2019).
- Song, L. et al. Long-term prognostic significance of interleukin-17-producing T cells in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Sci. 110, 2100–2109. https://doi.org/10.1111/cas.14068 (2019).
- Kang, S. G. et al. MicroRNAs of the miR-17~92 family are critical regulators of T(FH) differentiation. Nat. Immunol. 14, 849–857. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2648 (2013).
- Lu, L. et al. Repurposing of miltefosine as an adjuvant for influenza vaccine. Vaccines https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines8040754 (2020).
- Zhu, J., Yamane, H. & Paul, W. E. Differentiation of effector CD4 T cell populations (*). Annu. Rev. Immunol. 28, 445–489. https:// doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-030409-101212 (2010).
- Oshikawa, K., Yanagisawa, K., Ohno, S., Tominaga, S. & Sugiyama, Y. Expression of ST2 in helper T lymphocytes of malignant pleural effusions. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 165, 1005–1009. https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.165.7.2105109 (2002).
- Fonseca, S. et al. Human peripheral blood gamma Delta T cells: Report on a series of healthy Caucasian Portuguese adults and comprehensive review of the literature. Cells https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9030729 (2020).
- 44. Ye, J. et al. Tumor-derived γδ regulatory T cells suppress innate and adaptive immunity through the induction of immunosenescence. J. Immunol. 190, 2403–2414. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1202369 (2013).
- Peng, G. *et al.* Tumor-infiltrating gammadelta T cells suppress T and dendritic cell function via mechanisms controlled by a unique toll-like receptor signaling pathway. *Immunity* 27, 334–348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2007.05.020 (2007).
- Yang, X., Tang, Z., Zhang, P. & Zhang, L. Research advances of JAK/STAT signaling pathway in lung cancer. *Zhongguo fei ai za zhi Chin. J. Lung Cancer* 22, 45–51. https://doi.org/10.3779/j.issn.1009-3419.2019.01.09 (2019).

Author contributions

L.Y.J. wrote the original draft, F.X. and S.T. prepared the figures and tables, J.T.Z. and W.D.Ch. analyzed the raw data, X.Y. and X.B.C. downloaded the raw data from TCGA database, X.L. and M.H.G. reviewed the relevant

literature, Q.Q.W. made contribution to the language, Z.T.C. edited the manuscript and made revisions. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Funding

The present study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 82004281), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant Nos. 2021T140427 and 2021M691986), and Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by CAST (Grant No.2021-QNRC2-B25).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-022-19991-y.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Z.C.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022