scientific reports



OPEN Quantum channel measurement with local quantum Bernoulli noises

Qi Han[⊠], Yanan Han, Yaxin Kou & Ning Bai

As an important stochastic process, quantum Bernoulli noises has a very important physical background and is an important research object in the field of quantum information. In this paper, we review local guantum Bernoulli noises and local guantum mutual entropy, then introduce guantum channel measurement with local quantum Bernoulli noises. On this basis, we give the channel structure between two systems, and prove the completely positivity of this quantum channel. We also give a channel application on the local quantum mutual entropy.

Quantum information is a hot topic in current research. As an important tool of accurate measurement in the field of quantum information, quantum channel has also received broad attentions. The channel is one of the important communication theory bases because it has an essential physical meaning, that is, when a person wants to send a message, it must through a certain channel, and the mutual entropy discussed earlier also depends on the channel. In a closed quantum system, the transmission of information follows U-evolution. But in general, for an open quantum system, the transmission of information will be interfered by noise. In this paper, we consider the problem of information transmission under the influence of local quantum Bernoulli noise. A general quantum system is described by a C^* -algebra or a Von Neumann algebra, here we discuss the channel transformation in C^* -algebra contexts.

Privault¹ introduced stochastic analysis of Bernoulli processes and its applications. Later, Wang, Chai, and Lu² introduces quantum Bernoulli noises (QBNs) in discrete time which are the family of local annihilation and local creation operator acting on Bernoulli functionals. Wang and Zhang³ constructed Dirichlet forms from annihilation operators on Bernoulli functionals, and introduced a new type of QBNs which called localization of QBNs (short as LQBNs). Let's briefly review Han, Chen and Lu⁴ introduced to local quantum entropy $S(\rho_k)$ of quantum Bernoulli noises. In this paper, on the basis of Han, Han, Kou and Lu⁵, the mathematical structure of the quantum channel is studied when the quantum Bernoulli noise is localized.

Quantum channel refers to the part through which information travels from the input system to the output system. There is noise in the channel, even if the input signal is zero, the output signal still has a certain power. Quantum channels differ from classical channels in that they use qubit. A qubit is a quantum object in a superposition state, kind of like a superposition of 1 and 0, that is, before measurement, it can be any mixture of 1 and 0, so the possible value is infinite, that is the key of the quantum channel. When we use local quantum Bernoulli noise, we make a measurement of the information, and the qubit are no longer superimposed after the measurement, so the measurement result is classical.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ the usual Hilbert space of square integrable complex-valued functions on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Let $\mathcal{A} = B(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of all bounded operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ is the set of all canonical states (density operators) on \mathcal{A} . In order to discuss the communication process, we need two dynamical systems: An input system (A_1, G_1) and an output system (A_2, G_2) acting on the Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 , respectively.

The arrangement of this article is as follows. In Sect. "Preliminary knowledge", we briefly recall the basic concepts and properties of QBNs and LQBNs. In Sect. "Channel construction with local quantum Bernoullinoises", We give the mathematical structure of the communication channel when the noise is quantum Bernoulli noises, and prove that the channel is completely positive. In Sect. "Channel measurement of local quantum mutual entropy", we make a simple measurement of this channel. In Sect. "Summary", a brief summary.

Preliminary knowledge

In this section, we firstly recall main concepts and properties about QBNs, which play an important role in our following discussion. We refer to Wang, Chai and Lu¹ Han, Han and Kou⁵ for details.

Symbols description: Let \mathbb{N} be the set of all non-negative integers, Γ the finite power set of \mathbb{N} , namely,

College of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, Gansu, China. 🖾 email: hanqi1978@nwnu.edu.cn

$$\Gamma = \{ \sigma \mid \sigma \subset \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \sharp(\sigma) < \infty \}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\sharp(\sigma)$ denotes the cardinality of σ as a set. In this paper, both *j* and *k* represent non-negative integers belonging to \mathbb{N} . If \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, then $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ represents the set of all bounded operators, and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ is the set of all canonical states (density operators) on $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the usual inner product of the space \mathcal{H} and by $\|\cdot\|$ the corresponding norm.

In reference Wang¹, \mathfrak{h} be the space of square integrable complex-valued Bernoulli functionals, namely $\mathfrak{h} = L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, thus \mathfrak{h} has $\{Z_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \Gamma\}$ as its orthonormal basis, where $Z_{\emptyset} = 1$ and

$$Z_{\sigma} = \prod_{j \in \sigma} Z_j, \sigma \in \Gamma, \sigma \neq \emptyset.$$
(2.2)

Therefore, we introduce the following lemma and definitions.

Lemma 2.1 ¹ For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a bounded linear operator ∂_k on \mathfrak{h} , such that

$$\partial_k Z_\sigma = \mathbf{1}_\sigma(k) Z_{\sigma \setminus k}, \ \sigma \in \Gamma, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\partial_k^* Z_\sigma = [1 - \mathbf{1}_\sigma(k)] Z_{\sigma \cup k}, \ \sigma \in \Gamma,$$
(2.4)

where $\sigma \setminus k = \sigma \setminus \{k\}, \sigma \cup k = \sigma \cup \{k\}, \mathbf{1}_{\sigma}(k)$ the indicator of σ as a subset of \mathbb{N} and ∂_{k}^{*} is the adjoint of operator ∂_{k} .

The operator ∂_k and its adjoint ∂_k^* are usually known as the annihilation and creation operators acting on Bernoulli functionals, respectively.

Definition 2.2 ¹ The family $\{\partial_k, \partial_k^*\}_{k>0}$ of annihilation and creation operators is called quantum Bernoulli noises.

Let ρ_k be the density operator on $\mathfrak{h} = L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = L^2(\Omega)$, and the orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{h} be $\{Z_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Gamma\}$, then the expression of ρ_k is

$$\rho_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \lambda_k |Z_{\sigma_k}\rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_k}|, \qquad (2.5)$$

where $\sum_k \lambda_k = 1$, and $|Z_{\sigma_k}\rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_k}| = E_k$ is the projection operator.

Definition 2.3 ⁶ For ρ is a density operator, its quantum entropy is defined as

$$S(\rho) \equiv -Tr(\rho \log \rho), \tag{2.6}$$

and its quantum relative entropy

$$S(\rho \parallel \sigma) \equiv Tr\rho(\log \rho - \log \sigma), \tag{2.7}$$

where the logarithms indicated by log are taken to base two. If λ_x are the eigenvalues of ρ then Von Neumann's definition can be re-expressed

$$S(\rho) = -\sum_{x} \lambda_x \log \lambda_x, \qquad (2.8)$$

where we define $0 \log 0 \equiv 0$, as for the Shannon entropy.

A channel from the input system to the output system is a mapping Λ^* from $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{h}) \to \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{h})$. An input state $\rho \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{h})$ is sent to the output system through a channel Λ^* , so that the output state is written as $\tilde{\rho} \equiv \Lambda^* \rho$. So we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.4 ⁵ The compound state Φ_{kE} (corresponding to a joint state in classical systems) of ρ_k and $\Lambda^* \rho_k$ on the space $L^2(\Omega)$ was given by

$$\Phi_{kE} = \sum_{i} \nu_i E_i \otimes \Lambda^* E_i, \qquad (2.9)$$

where *E* stands for a Schatten decomposition $\{E_i\}$ of ρ_k and ν_i was a eigenvalue of ρ_k .

Applying the relative entropy $S(\cdot \| \cdot)$ and two compound states Φ_{kE} , $\Phi_{k0} \equiv \rho_k \otimes \Lambda^* \rho_k$ (the former includes a certain correlation of input and output and the later does not), so we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5 ⁵ If max $\sigma \leq k$, $\sigma \in \Gamma$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where max σ stand for the greatest element in σ . ρ_k is a density operator on $L^2(\Omega)$. Then the quantum mutual entropy in terms of local quantum Bernoulli noises is

$$I(\rho_k; \Lambda^*) = \sup\{\sum_i v_i S(\Lambda^* E_i || \Lambda^* \rho_k); E = \{E_i\}\},$$
(2.10)

where the supremum is taken over all Schatten decompositions of ρ_k because this decomposition is not always unique unless every eigenvalue of ρ_k is not degenerated.

Channel construction with local quantum Bernoulli noises

In this section we propose the mathematical structure of channels that describe some of the communication processes.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of all bounded operators on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, that is \mathcal{A} be a input C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of all states on \mathcal{A} . If $\Lambda : \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathcal{A}$ is a map from an algebra \mathcal{A}_2 to an algebra \mathcal{A} where \mathcal{A}_2 output C^* -algebra, then its dual map $\Lambda^* : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ is called a channel.

In order to discuss the communication process, we need two dynamical systems: An input system $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{G}_1)$ and an output system $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{G}_2)$ acting on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 , respectively. Later in paper, we consider the input space and the output space to be the same space, that is, $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$. Here, we consider the direct effects of local quantum Bernoulli noises and loss to determine the general form of the channel in the communication process, channels exist during propagation, in addition to the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we here use two more Hilbert spaces \mathfrak{h} and \mathcal{K} in order to describe explicitly some external effects to the input and output states. For instance a state in \mathfrak{h} induces local quantum Bernoulli noises into the channel and a state in \mathcal{K} indicates a loss of information at the output system.

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{h})$ be a state describing the LQBNs in the channel. We consider the following maps:

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}) \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \stackrel{\pi}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathfrak{h}) \stackrel{\gamma}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$
(3.1)

These maps a, π, γ are defined as follows.

- (1) The map *a* is an amplification from $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ given by $a(A) = A \otimes I$ for any $A \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}), I \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{K})$
- (2) The map π is a completely positive map from B(H ⊗ K) to B(H ⊗ h), π(I) = 1 describes the physical mechanism of the channel, I ∈ B(H ⊗ K).
- (3) The map γ is from $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathfrak{h})$ to $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ given by $\gamma(Q) = Tr_{\mathfrak{h}}\xi Q$ for any $Q \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathfrak{h})$, where $Tr_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a partial trace with respect to the Hilbert space \mathfrak{h} , where ξ be a localization of quantum Bernoulli noises(LQBNs).

Then we define the mapping Λ from **B**(\mathcal{H}) to **B**(\mathcal{H}) such that

$$\Lambda = \gamma \circ \pi \circ a. \tag{3.2}$$

It is easy to show Ohya⁷ that these maps are completely positive, that is, the mappings γ , π and a are completely positive, hence $\Lambda = \gamma \circ \pi \circ a$ is also completely positive.

We next consider the dual maps of a, π and γ .

- (a) The dual map a^* of a is a map from $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ to $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $a^*(\theta) = Tr_{\mathcal{K}}\theta$ for any $\theta \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$.
- (b) The dual map $\pi^*: \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathfrak{h}) \to \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is given by $Tr\pi^*(\sigma)W = Tr\sigma\pi(W)$ for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathfrak{h})$ and any $W \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$.
- (c) The dual map $\gamma^*: \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathfrak{h})$ is given by $Tr\gamma^*(\rho_k)Q = Tr\rho_k\gamma(Q)$ for any $\rho_k \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ and any $Q \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathfrak{h})$, where ρ_k is a input state.

It is easily seen that γ^* is expressed as $\gamma^*(\rho_k) = \rho_k \otimes \xi$, where ξ be a localization of quantum Bernoulli noises(LQBNs).

Therefore, once we know the LQBNs ξ and the mechanism of the transformation π , we can write down a channel explicitly such that

$$\Lambda^* = a^* \circ \pi^* \circ \gamma^* \tag{3.3}$$

or equivalently

$$\Lambda^* \rho_k = Tr_{\mathcal{K}} \pi^* (\rho_k \otimes \xi), \tag{3.4}$$

for any $\rho_k \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{h})$.

We now build a more specific channel model for quantum Bernoulli noises processes. A quantum system composed of photons is described by the Hamiltonian $H = b^*b + \frac{1}{2}$, where b^* and b are creation and annihilation operators of a photon, respectively. Here we borrow the Schrodinger equation mentioned by Ohya⁸: Hx(q) = Ex(q), the eigenvalue $E_n = n + \frac{1}{2}(n \ge 0)$ and the eigenvector $x_n(q) = (1/(\pi^{1/2}n!)^{1/2})H_n \times (2^{1/2}q)exp(-q^2/2)$, where $H_n(q)$ is the *n*th Hermite function. Our photon communication process can be considered as follows: when n_1 photons are transmitted from the input system, m_1 photons from the noise system add to the signal. Then m_2 photons are lost to the loss system through the channel, and n_2 photons are detected in the output system. Simply write down the coordinates of the spaces $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$, \mathfrak{h} , \mathcal{K} in this model. $\{|Z_{\alpha}^{(1)})\}$ and q are the completely orthonormal system(CONS) and coordinate of \mathcal{H} , respectively; $\{|Z_{\alpha}\rangle\}$ and t are the CONS and coordinate of \mathfrak{h} , respectively. Similarly, we have $\{|Z_{\alpha}^{(2)}\rangle\}$ and s. For simplicity, we put $m_1 = 0$. Let the local quantum Bernoulli noise $\xi = |Z_{\sigma}\rangle\langle Z_{\sigma}| \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{h})$, where $|Z_{\sigma}\rangle$ is some vector in the local quantum Bernoulli noise system. We define the mapping $\pi^*(\bullet) = U_t(\bullet)U_t^*$, where $t \in R$, $U_t = exp(-itH)$, H is the Hamiltonian of the system.

Therefore, the channel can be represented as

$$\Lambda^* \rho_k = a^* \circ \pi^* \circ \gamma^* \rho_k$$

= $Tr_{\mathcal{K}} \pi^* (\rho_k \otimes \xi)$
= $Tr_{\mathcal{K}} U_t (\rho_k \otimes \xi) U_t^*.$ (3.5)

We want to prove that Λ^* is a completely positive mapping, we have only to show the completely positivity of γ^* and a^* because π^* is completely positive.

Before we can prove the positivity of the channel, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 ⁷ Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}))$ be an input system and $(\overline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})})$ be an output system. Take any $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$.

1, Λ^* is linear if $\Lambda^*(\lambda \varphi + (1 - \lambda)\psi) = \lambda \Lambda^* \varphi + (1 - \lambda)\Lambda^* \psi$ holds for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

2, Λ^* is completely positive (CP) if Λ^* is linear and its dual $\Lambda : \overline{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} A_i^* \Lambda(\overline{A_i^* A_j}) A_j \ge 0$$
(3.6)

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\{\overline{A_i}\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}, \{A_i\} \subset \mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 3.2 The mapping $\gamma^* : \rho_k \to \rho_k \otimes \xi$ have a completely positivity and trace-preserving property.

Proof For any $A_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathfrak{h}), B_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where $i, j \in \mathbb{N}, \{|Z_{\sigma_k}^{(1)}\rangle\}$ and $\{|Z_{\sigma_l}\rangle\}$ are the CONS of \mathcal{H} and \mathfrak{h} , respectively. $\xi \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{h}), Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{H}$, any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\left\langle Z_{\sigma}^{(1)}, \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} B_{i}^{*} \gamma(A_{i}^{*}A_{j})B_{j}Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\langle B_{i}Z_{\sigma}^{(1)}, tr_{\mathcal{K}}\xi A_{i}^{*}A_{j}B_{j}Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \sum_{m} \left\langle B_{i}Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{m}}, (I \otimes \xi)A_{i}^{*}A_{j}B_{j}Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{m}} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \sum_{m} \left\langle B_{i}Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{m}}, (I \otimes \xi)A_{i}^{*}|Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}} \right\rangle \left\langle Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}} |A_{j}B_{j}Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{m}} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \sum_{k,l} \left\langle Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}, (B_{j}Z_{\sigma}^{(1)}B_{i}Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes I)(I \otimes \xi)A_{i}^{*}Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\langle Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}, A_{j}(B_{j} \otimes I)(|Z_{\sigma}^{(1)}\rangle \right\rangle \left\langle Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} |\otimes I|(B_{i}^{*} \otimes I)(I \otimes \xi^{\frac{1}{2}})(I \otimes \xi^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times A_{i}^{*}Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\langle Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}, A_{j}(I \otimes \xi^{\frac{1}{2}})(B_{j} \otimes I)Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}} \right\rangle \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\langle Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}, A_{j}(I \otimes \xi^{\frac{1}{2}})(B_{j} \otimes I)Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left\langle Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}, A_{j}(I \otimes \xi^{\frac{1}{2}})(B_{j} \otimes I)Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}} \right\rangle \right\rangle^{2} \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

According to lemma 3.1 and $Tr(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} B_i^* \gamma(A_i^*A_j)B_j) = \langle Z_{\sigma}^{(1)}, \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} B_i^* \gamma(A_i^*A_j)B_jZ_{\sigma}^{(1)} \rangle$, therefore, we have that γ^* is a completely positivity and trace-preserving property map.

Proposition 3.3 The mapping $a^*: \theta \to Tr_{\mathcal{K}}\theta$ have a completely positivity and trace-preserving property.

Proof For any $A_i \in B(\mathcal{H}), B_j \in B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$, where $i, j \in \mathbb{N}, \{|Z_{\sigma_k}^{(1)}\rangle\}$ and $\{|Z_{\sigma_l}^{(2)}\rangle\}$ are the CONS of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} , respectively. $Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)} \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \left\langle Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)}, \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} B_{i}^{*} a(A_{i}^{*}A_{j}) B_{j} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\langle B_{i} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)}, (A_{i}^{*}A_{j} \otimes I) B_{j} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\langle B_{i} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)}, (A_{i}^{*} \otimes I) (A_{j} \otimes I) B_{j} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_{i} \otimes I) B_{i} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} (A_{j} \otimes I) B_{j} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_{i} \otimes I) B_{i} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} (A_{j} \otimes I) B_{j} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)} \right\rangle \right| Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}^{(2)} \langle Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}^{(2)} \right| \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_{i} \otimes I) B_{i} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)}, Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}^{(2)} \right\rangle \times \left\langle Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}^{(2)}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} (A_{j} \otimes I) B_{j} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}^{(2)} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_{i} \otimes I) B_{i} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)}, Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}^{(2)} \right\rangle \times \left\langle Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}^{(2)}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} (A_{j} \otimes I) B_{j} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)}, Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}^{(2)} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \left| \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_{i} \otimes I) B_{i} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)}, Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}^{(2)} \right\rangle \right|^{2} \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \left| \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_{i} \otimes I) B_{i} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)}, Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}^{(2)} \right\rangle \right|^{2} \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \left| \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_{i} \otimes I) B_{i} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)}, Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}^{(2)} \right\rangle \right|^{2} \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \left| \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_{i} \otimes I) B_{i} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(2)}, Z_{\sigma_{k}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{l}}^{(2)} \right\rangle \right|^{2} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the same reason as proposition 3.2, we have that a^* is a completely positivity and trace-preserving property map.

Since the composition of completely positive maps is completely positive, from propositions 3.2 and 3.3, we have the following corollary similar to Choi-Kraus theorem⁹.

Corollary 3.4 *The mapping* Λ *is completely positivity and trace-preserving, therefore,* Λ^* *is a channel.*

Of course, in addition to the complete positivity and trace-preserving in this section, channels also have other properties, such as ergodicity, disorder, determinism and so on. These properties, which we will discuss in a later article, are not explained here.

Channel measurement of local quantum mutual entropy

In this section, we have made a simple channel measurement, that is, the amount of correct information transmitted through the channel when the noise is quantum Bernoulli noise, which we here call local quantum mutual entropy.

Because of conservation of energy (photon number), then a relation $n_1 + n_2 = m_1 + m_2$ should hold. According to Ohya⁷, we also apply following linear transformation among the coordinates *q*, *t*, *s* of the input; noise; output and loss systems, respectively:

$$q = \frac{\beta t}{1 - \alpha}, \ s = -\beta q + \alpha t, \ \alpha \neq 1$$
(4.1)

where $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$

For simplicity, we put $m_1 = 0$. By using this linear transformation and we define the mapping $\pi^* \bullet = U_t(\bullet)U_t^*$, hence the local quantum noise source is described by a state $\xi = |Z_{\sigma}\rangle\langle Z_{\sigma}| \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{h})$, where $|Z_{\sigma}\rangle$ is some vector in the local quantum Bernoulli noise system for an input state $E_n = |Z_{\sigma_n}^{(1)}\rangle\langle Z_{\sigma_n}^{(1)}|$ such that

$$U_{t}(Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(1)})(q,s) = Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma}^{(1)}(\alpha q - \beta s, \beta q + \alpha s) \ (= \Psi_{n}(Z_{\sigma_{1}}, Z_{\sigma_{2}}))$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_{j}^{n} Z_{\sigma_{j}}^{(1)} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{n-j}}^{(2)}(q,s),$$
(4.2)

where $c_j^n = \sqrt{n!/j!(n-j)!}(-\beta)^{n-j}\alpha^j$, we have

$$\Lambda^* E_n = tr_{\mathcal{K}} U_t(E_{n\otimes\xi}) U_t^* = tr_{\mathcal{K}} |\Psi_n\rangle \langle \Psi_n| = \sum_{j=0}^n |c_j^n|^2 |Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)}\rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)}|.$$
(4.3)

Therefore for an input state $\rho_k = \sum_{m=0}^N \lambda_m E_m (0 \le N \le \infty)$ with $\sum_m \lambda_m = 1$ and $\lambda_p \ne \lambda_j (p \ne j)$, where $E_m = |Z_{\sigma_m}^{(1)}\rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_m}^{(1)}|$. The compound state and the trivial compound state introduced in preliminary knowledge are given by

$$\Phi_{kE} = \sum_{m} \lambda_m E_m \otimes \Lambda^* E_m = \sum_{m} \lambda_m E_m \otimes \Lambda^* E_m = \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^m \lambda_m |c_j^m|^2 E_m \otimes \theta_j,$$
(4.4)

$$\Phi_{k0} = \rho_k \otimes \Lambda^* \rho_k = \sum_m \lambda_m E_m \otimes \sum_n \lambda_n \Lambda^* E_n = \sum_{n,m} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_n \lambda_m |c_j^m|^2 E_m \otimes \theta_j,$$
(4.5)

where $\theta_j = |Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)}\rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)}| \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$, *E* stands for a Schatten decomposition $\{E_i\}$ of ρ_k and λ_i be a eigenvalue of ρ_k . According to definition 2.4 and lemma 2.5, we can calculate the local quantum mutual entropy as follows:

$$Tr(\Phi_{kE}\log\Phi_{kE}) = \sum_{n,m}\sum_{n',m'} \langle Z_{\sigma_n} \otimes Z_{\sigma_m}, \Phi_{kE}\log\Phi_{kE}Z_{\sigma_{n'}} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{m'}} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n,m}\sum_{n',m'} \langle Z_{\sigma_n} \otimes Z_{\sigma_m}, \sum_{p=0}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{p} \lambda_p | c_j^p |^2 E_p \otimes \theta_j \log \sum_{i=0}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{i} \lambda_i | c_j^i |^2 | E_i \otimes \theta_j Z_{\sigma_{n'}} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{m'}} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n,m}\sum_{n',m'}\sum_{j,p} \lambda_p | c_j^p |^2 \langle Z_{\sigma_n} \otimes Z_{\sigma_m}, E_p \otimes \theta_j \log \sum_{i=0}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{i} \lambda_i | c_j^i |^2 | E_i \otimes \theta_j Z_{\sigma_{n'}} \otimes Z_{\sigma_{m'}} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n,m}\sum_{n',m'}\sum_{j,p} \lambda_p | c_j^p |^2 \langle Z_{\sigma_n}, E_p Z_{\sigma_{n'}} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_m}, \theta_j Z_{\sigma_{m'}} \rangle \log \sum_{i=0}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{i} \lambda_i | c_j^i |^2 \times \langle Z_{\sigma_{n'}}, E_i Z_{\sigma_n} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_m}, \theta_j Z_{\sigma_{m'}} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n,m,j}\sum_{n,m,j} \lambda_n | c_j^n |^2 E_n \otimes \theta_j \log \sum_{n,m,j} \lambda_n | c_j^n |^2 E_n \otimes \theta_j \qquad (i = p = n = n', j = m = m')$$

$$= \sum_{n,m,j} \lambda_n | c_j^n |^2 E_n \otimes | Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} | \log \lambda_n | c_j^p |^2 E_n \otimes | Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} |$$

$$= \sum_{n,j} \lambda_n | c_j^n |^2 | Z_{\sigma_n}^{(1)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_n}^{(1)} | \otimes | Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} | \log \sum_{n,j} \lambda_n | c_j^n |^2 | Z_{\sigma_n}^{(1)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_n'}^{(1)} | \otimes | Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} | \log \sum_{n,j} \lambda_n | c_j^n |^2 | Z_{\sigma_n}^{(1)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(1)} | \otimes | Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} | \log \sum_{n,j} \lambda_n | c_j^n |^2 | Z_{\sigma_n}^{(1)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(1)} | \otimes | Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} | \log \sum_{n,j} \lambda_n | c_j^n |^2 | Z_{\sigma_n}^{(1)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(1)} | \otimes | Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} | \log \sum_{n,j} \lambda_n | c_j^n |^2 | Z_{\sigma_n}^{(1)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(1)} | \otimes | Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} | \log \sum_{n,j} \lambda_n | c_j^n |^2 | Z_{\sigma_n}^{(1)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(1)} | \otimes | Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} | \log \sum_{n,j} \lambda_n | C_j^n |^2 | Z_{\sigma_n}^{(1)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(1)} | Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} | \log \sum_{n,j} \lambda_n | C_j^n |^2 | Z_{\sigma_n}^{(1)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(1)} | Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} | Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} | Z_{\sigma_j}^{(2)} \rangle \langle Z_{\sigma_j}$$

In the same way

$$Tr(\Phi_{kE}log\Phi_{k0}) = \sum_{j,n} \lambda_n |c_j^n|^2 log|c_j^n|^2,$$

Finally, applying the relation $S(\Phi_{kE} \| \Phi_{k0}) = Tr(\Phi_{kE} \log \Phi_{kE}) - Tr(\Phi_{kE} \log \Phi_{k0}) = \sum_i v_i S(\Lambda^* E_i \| \Lambda^* \rho_k)$ and lemma 2.5, we obtain the local quantum mutual entropy

$$I(\rho_k; \Lambda^*) = \sum_{j,n} \lambda_n |c_j^n|^2 log(\sum_n \lambda_n |c_j^n|^2 / |c_j^n|^2).$$

Summary

In this paper, we give the mathematical structure of the communication channel when the noise is local quantum Bernoulli noises, and prove that the channel is completely positivity and trace-preserving property map. Local quantum mutual entropy represents the maximum amount of correct information from the input system to the output system. However, the mutual entropy is a measure for not only information transmission but also description of state change, since this mutual entropy can be applied to several aspects of quantum dynamics, it can also be applied to quantum computers or some topics in computers to look at the ability to transmit information.

Applying the Schrodinger equation, we calculate that the local quantum mutual entropy is $\sum_{j,n} \lambda_n |c_j^n|^2 \log(\sum_n \lambda_n |c_j^n|^2/|c_j^n|^2)$, that is, the maximum amount of correct information transmitted when the noise is local quantum Bernoulli noise. Applications of the mutual entropy can be found in various fields^{8,10-16}. The mathematical discussion of a channel was given in^{8,17,18}, and details of the noisy channel were given in¹⁶.

Data availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no data sets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Received: 26 February 2022; Accepted: 22 July 2022 Published online: 28 July 2022

References

- 1. Privault, N. Stochastic analysis of Bernoulli processes. Probab. Surv. 5, 435-483 (2008).
- 2. Wang, C., Chai, H., & Lu, Y. 2010. Discrete-time quantum Bernoulli noises. J. Math. Phys. 51(5):053528.
- 3. Wang, C., & Zhang, J. 2013. Localization of quantum Bernoulli noises. J. Math. Phys. 54(10):103502.
- Han, Q., Chen, Z. & Lu, Z. J. Quantum entropy in terms of local quantum Bernoulli noises and related properties. *Commun. Stat-Theor. M.* 51(12), 4210–4220 (2020).
- Han, Q., Han, Y., Kou, Y. & Lu, Z. Quantum mutual entropy in terms of local quantum Bernoulli noises. *Commun. Stat-Theor. M.* (2021). https://doi.org/10.1080/03610926.2021.1916532.
- Nielsen, M. A. & Chuang, I. L. Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2000).
 Ohya, M. Quantum ergodic channel in operator algebras. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 84, 318–327 (1981).
- 8. Ohya, M. Some aspects of quantum information theory and their applications to irreversible processes. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **89**, 19–49 (1989).
- 9. Wilde, M. M. From Classical to Quantum Shonnon theory (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2016).
- 10. Ohya, M. On compound state and mutual information in quantum information theory. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 29, 770-774 (1983).
- 11. Accardi, L., Ohya, M. & Suyari, H. Computation of mutual entropy in quantum Markov chains. Open. Syst. Inf. Dyn. 2, 337–354 (1994).
- 12. Akashi, S. Superposition representability problems of quantum information channels. Open. Syst. Inf. Dyn. 4(1), 45-52 (1997).
- 13. Muraki, N., Ohya, M. & Petz, D. Note on entropy of general quantum systems. Open. Syst. Inf. Dyn. 1(1), 43-56 (1992).
- 14. Muraki, N. & Ohya, M. Entropy functionals of KolmogorovCSinai type and their limit theorems. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **36**, 327–335 (1996).
- 15. Ohya, M. Construction and analysis of a mathematical model in quantum communication processes. *Electron. Commun. Jpn.* **68**(2), 29–34 (1985).
- Ohya, M. State change and entropies in quantum dynamical systems, vol.1136. Springer Lecture Notes in Math 397–408 (Springer, Berlin, 1985).
- 17. Ohya, M. & Watanabe, N. Note on irreversible dynamics and quantum information. Irrevers Quant. Dyn. 205-220 (1996).
- 18. Ohya, M., Petz, D. & Watanabe, N. On capacities of quantum channels. Prob. Math. Stat. 17, 179-196 (1997).

Acknowledgements

The authors are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of PR China under grant No.11861057 and Natural Science Foundation of Gansu province under grant No.20JR10RA085.

Author contributions

In this paper,Q.H. is responsible for content and structure, Y.H. is responsible for text inputing, Y.K. and N.B. are responsible for literature searching.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Q.H.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022