



OPEN

## Genotyping and subtyping of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia duodenalis* isolates from two wild rodent species in Gansu Province, China


Jie Xu<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Hua Liu<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Yanyan Jiang<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Huaiqi Jing<sup>5</sup>, Jianping Cao<sup>1,2,3,4,6</sup>, Jianhai Yin<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Teng Li<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Yeting Sun<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Yujuan Shen<sup>1,2,3,4,6</sup>✉ & Xin Wang<sup>5</sup>✉

*Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia duodenalis* are commonly detected intestinal protozoa species in humans and animals, contributing to global gastroenteritis spread. The present study examined the prevalence and zoonotic potential of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* in Himalayan marmots and Alashan ground squirrels in China's Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau area (QTPA) for the first time. Four hundred ninety-eight intestinal content samples were collected from five counties of QTPA of Gansu province, China. All samples were examined for *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* by PCR amplification. The resultant data were statistically analyzed by chi-square, Fisher's test and Bonferroni correction using SPSS software 25.0. *Cryptosporidium* positive samples were further subtyped through analysis of the 60-kDa glycoprotein (*gp60*) gene sequence. A total of 11 and 8 samples were positive for *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis*, respectively. Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* were 2.5% (10/399) and 1.5% (6/399) in Himalayan marmots, 1.0% (1/99) and 2.0% (2/99) in Alashan ground squirrels, respectively. Sequence analysis confirmed the presence of *C. rubeyi* (n = 2), ground squirrel genotype II (n = 7), chipmunk genotype V (n = 1) and horse genotype (n = 1). The horse genotype was further subtyped as novel subtype VIbA10. *G. duodenalis* zoonotic assemblages A (n = 1), B (n = 6), E (n = 1) were identified in the present study. This is the first study to identify *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* in Himalayan marmots and Alashan ground squirrels, suggesting the potential zoonotic transmission of the two pathogens in QTPA.

*Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia duodenalis* are critical protozoan parasites responsible for diarrhea and infect a wide range of hosts including humans worldwide. Typically, contaminated food or water has been identified as the primary vehicle for *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* transmission<sup>1,2</sup>. Infection of these pathogens can also be acquired following contact with infected persons or animals directly<sup>2,3</sup>.

Currently, at least 45 valid *Cryptosporidium* spp. species and over 120 genotypes have been identified. Over 23 *Cryptosporidium* species/genotypes have been identified in humans, and *C. hominis* and *C. parvum* are the most common species (more than 90%) responsible for human cryptosporidiosis<sup>4–12</sup>. *G. duodenalis* is a complex protozoan species, and it has been divided into at least eight genetically different assemblages (A–H) based on genetic characterization. Among them, assemblages A and B are considered as critical zoonotic pathogens. Assemblages (C–H) are host-specific: assemblages C and D in canines, assemblage E in cloven-hoofed mammals, assemblage F in cats, assemblage G in rodents, and assemblage H in seals<sup>13</sup>. However, assemblages C, D, E and F have also been found in humans<sup>14</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>National Institute of Parasitic Diseases, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (Chinese Center for Tropical Diseases Research), Shanghai 200025, China. <sup>2</sup>NHC Key Laboratory of Parasite and Vector Biology, Shanghai 200025, China. <sup>3</sup>WHO Collaborating Centre for Tropical Diseases, Shanghai 200025, China. <sup>4</sup>National Center for International Research on Tropical Diseases, Shanghai 200025, China. <sup>5</sup>National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing 102206, China. <sup>6</sup>School of Global Health, Chinese Center for Tropical Diseases Research, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, China. ✉email: shenyj@nipd.chinacdc.cn; wangxin@icdc.cn



**Figure 1.** Distribution of five sampling sites from Gansu Province. The map was created with software ArcGIS version 10.0 (URL: <https://www.esri.com>).

Rodents can act as reservoirs or carriers for numerous zoonotic pathogens, including bacteria, parasites and viruses. Himalayan marmots (*Marmota himalayana*) and Alashan ground squirrels (*Spermophilus alashanicus*) are two common wild rodent species distributed widely in Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau area (QTPA) of China. They typically reside near livestock, water sources and human environments. Among them, infected hosts can play essential roles in environmental contamination by excreting oocysts/cysts via feces<sup>15</sup>. Some epidemiological studies also revealed the identity of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* in numerous investigated hosts in QTPA, such as wild Qinghai voles, plateau pikas, wild birds, cattle, yaks and sheep<sup>16–20</sup>. Furthermore, the zoonotic species and genotypes of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* were also reported in environmental samples in QTPA, including sewage and river water, slaughterhouse water and vegetables from street markets<sup>15,21</sup>. However, no previously study about the prevalence and transmission of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* in Himalayan marmots and Alashan ground squirrels in China was reported. In the present study, a cross-sectional investigation was carried out in Himalayan marmots and Alashan ground squirrels to understand the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* and assess the zoonotic potential at the genotype and subtype levels.

## Materials and methods

**Sample collection.** During a period of three months from June to September 2017, 399 Himalayan marmots and 99 Alashan ground squirrels were captured live by mousetraps from QTPA of western China's Gansu Province (Fig. 1), with the former from Luqu (n = 98), Sunan (n = 100), Xiahe (n = 102) and Zhangye (n = 99) and latter from Huining County (n = 99) (Table 1). These animals were euthanized with a high dose of CO<sub>2</sub> following security measures. Intestinal content materials were directly collected from each animal in the local Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) laboratory and placed in 2 ml sterile tubes. They were kept in a freezer and then transported in ice packs to our laboratory in Shanghai for further molecular analysis.

**DNA extraction.** Genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Cat. #69506; Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Extracted DNA was stored at –20 °C in a freezer until further use.

**PCR amplification.** *Cryptosporidium* spp. was detected by nested PCR amplification of the fragment (approximately 830 bp) of the small subunit (SSU) *rRNA* gene<sup>22</sup>. Subtyping of *Cryptosporidium* spp. was performed by sequence analysis of the 60 kDa glycoprotein (*gp60*) gene<sup>23</sup>. All the isolates of *Cryptosporidium*-positive samples were selected for further sequence characterization via the *actin* gene and 70-kDa heat shock protein (*HSP70*) gene<sup>61,62</sup>. The assemblages of *G. duodenalis* were identified and subtyped by amplifying the  $\beta$ -giardin (*bg*), glutamate dehydrogenase (*gdh*) and triosephosphate isomerase (*tpi*)<sup>24–26</sup>. DNA of human-derived *C. parvum* and *C. viatorum* were used as positive controls in PCR tests to amplify the SSU *rRNA*, *gp60*, *actin* and *HSP70* genes, respectively. Primers and reaction conditions were shown in Supplementary Table S1. DNA of human-derived *G. duodenalis* was used as a positive control in PCR tests to amplify the *bg*, *gdh* and *tpi* genes. DNase-free water was used as a negative control in each PCR test. The secondary PCR products were visual-

Rodent species	Collection site	No. examined	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp.			<i>G. duodenalis</i>		
			No. positive (%)	Genotype (n)	Subtype (n)	No. positive (%)	Assemblage (n)	
				<i>SSU rRNA</i>	<i>gp60</i>		<i>gdh</i>	<i>bg</i>
Himalayan marmot ( <i>Marmota himalayana</i> )	Luqu	98	0	–	–	0	–	–
	Sunan	100	7 (7.0)	<i>C. rubeyi</i> (1); ground squirrel genotype II (5); chipmunk genotype V (1)	–	0	–	–
	Xiahe	102	2 (2.0)	Ground squirrel genotype II (2)	–	3 (2.9)	B (1), E (1)	B (2), E (1)
	Zhangye	99	1 (1.0)	<i>C. rubeyi</i> (1)	–	3 (3.0)	B (1)	A (1), B (1)
Subtotal		399	10 (2.5)	<i>C. rubeyi</i> (2); ground squirrel genotype II (7); chipmunk genotype V (1)	–	6 (1.5)	B (2), E (1)	A (1), B (3), E (1)
Alashan ground squirrel ( <i>Spermophilus alaschanicus</i> )	Huining	99	1 (1.0)	Horse genotype (1)	VIbA10 <sup>a</sup> (1)	2 (2.0)	B (2)	B (2)
Total		498	11 (2.2)	<i>C. rubeyi</i> (2); ground squirrel genotype II (7); chipmunk genotype V (1); horse genotype (1)	VIbA10 <sup>a</sup> (1)	8 (1.6)	B (4), E (1)	A (1), B (5), E (1)

**Table 1.** Prevalence and molecular identification of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* by rodent species and collection site. <sup>a</sup>Novel subtype.

ized under UV light after electrophoresis on a 1.5% agarose gel containing GelRed (Biotium Inc., Hayward, CA, USA).

**Nucleotide analysis.** All secondary PCR amplicons of the expected size were sequenced on ABI 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) and Big Dye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems). Sequence accuracy was confirmed by bi-directional sequencing of all the PCR-positive products. Obtained DNA sequences were aligned with reference sequences deposited in GenBank databases (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>) using Clustal X (<http://www.clustal.org/>) to determine the species/subtypes of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and assemblages of *G. duodenalis*. Phylogenetic analyses at the *SSU rRNA*, *actin*, *HSP70* and *gp60* gene loci were performed using the neighbor-joining model in MEGA 11 (<http://www.megasoftware.net>). Bootstrap analysis was used to assess the robustness of the clusters using 1 000 replicates.

**Statistical analysis.** Differences in prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* in Himalayan marmots and Alashan ground squirrels were compared among species and investigated area using the were processed with chi-square test, Fisher's exact test and pairwise comparisons used a Bonferroni correction to control for multiple testing. All the statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 25.0 (SPSS Inc., New York, USA). Differences were considered significant at  $P < 0.05$ .

**Ethics statements.** This study was performed in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institute of Parasitic Diseases, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. The protocol was approved by the Laboratory Animal Welfare & Ethics Committee (LAWEC), National Institute of Parasitic Diseases, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (Permit Number: NIPD-2016-15).

## Results

**Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis*.** Using PCR amplification and sequence analysis, *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* were found in Himalayan marmots and Alashan ground squirrels. The agarose gel electrophoresis results of PCR amplification products were shown in Supplementary Fig. S1 (partial samples) and Fig. S2 (partial samples). A total of 11 and 8 samples were positive for *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis*, respectively. Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* were 2.5% (10/399) and 1.5% (6/399) in Himalayan marmots, and 1.0% (1/99) and 2.0% (2/99) in Alashan ground squirrels, respectively (Table 1). The statistical analysis showed no significant difference in the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. ( $P = 0.365$ ) and *G. duodenalis* ( $P = 0.714$ ) between Himalayan marmots and Alashan ground squirrels. Different prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* were observed in five different investigated areas (Table 1): Luqu (0.0% and 0.0%), Sunan (7.0% and 0.0%), Xiahe (2.0% and 2.9%), Zhangye (1.0% and 3.0%) and Huining (1.0% and 2.0%). Moreover, there was no significant difference observed in the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* in each paired comparison between investigated areas ( $P > 0.05$ ). No mixed infection of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* identified in this study.

***Cryptosporidium* genotypes and subtypes.** Based on sequence analysis of the *SSU rRNA* gene, a total four species/genotypes of *Cryptosporidium* spp. were identified out of 11 isolates, including *C. rubeyi* ( $n = 2$ ), ground squirrel genotype II ( $n = 7$ ), and chipmunk genotype V ( $n = 1$ ) in Himalayan marmots, and horse genotype ( $n = 1$ ) in Alashan ground squirrels. *Cryptosporidium* ground squirrel genotype II was dominant in Hima-

layan marmots, accounting for 70.0% (7/10) of *Cryptosporidium* isolates. At the *SSU rRNA* gene locus, the two identical sequences of *C. rubeyi* shared the most significant identity (98.43%) with that of *C. rubeyi* (DQ295012) from California ground squirrels in the USA, with 13 base differences. Seven sequences of ground squirrel genotype II were identical and shared the most prominent similarity (98.28%) to that of the ground squirrel genotype II (KT027480) from black-tailed prairie dogs, with 14 base differences. The sequence of the chipmunk genotype V had 98.90% homology with that (MW521250) of the chipmunk genotype V from chinchillas in China, with nine base differences. The sequence of the horse genotype obtained in the present study had 100% homology with a sequence (MK775040) from a horse in China. The horse genotype isolate was further subtyped by sequence analysis of the *gp60* gene. This subtype belonged to the VIb subtype family and was identified as VIbA10 (GenBank: MW531716).

None of the two sequences of *C. rubeyi* were successfully amplified at the *HSP70* gene locus but successfully amplified at the *actin* gene locus, and the two sequences were identical to each other, had 100% similarity with that of *C. rubeyi* (GenBank: KT027530) from black-tailed prairie dog. Meanwhile, two of seven isolates of ground squirrel genotype II were successfully amplified at the *actin* gene locus, and the two isolates shared the same sequence which had 97.68% similarity with that of ground squirrel genotype II (GenBank: KT027545) from black-tailed prairie dog in the USA. The *HSP70* sequences have not been reported for ground squirrel genotype II. Three of seven isolates of ground squirrel genotype II were successfully amplified at the *HSP70* gene locus and had 93.50% similarity with that of *C. viatorum* (GenBank: JX978274) from human in Guatemala. The sequence of chipmunk genotype V was only successfully amplified at the *actin* gene locus and shared 99.69% identity with that of chipmunk genotype V (MW521262) from chinchillas in China. Horse genotype was successfully amplified at the *actin* gene locus and shared 100% similarity with horse genotype (KU892571) isolated from humans of Kenya.

Phylogenetic analyses of the *SSU rDNA*, *actin*, *HSP70* and *gp60* gene sequences were shown in Figs. 2, 3, 4 and 5.

**G. duodenalis assemblages.** A total of eight *G. duodenalis* isolates were amplified and sequenced successfully in Himalayan marmots and Alashan ground squirrels in this study. Assemblages A, B and E were identified in one, four and one Himalayan marmot samples, respectively. Assemblage B was found in two Alashan ground squirrel samples. Meanwhile, assemblage B was observed to show a predominance (75.0%, 6/8) in the detected animals. The *gdh* and *bg* genes were successfully amplified in five samples— assemblages B (n = 4) and E (n = 1) and seven samples— assemblages A (n = 1), B (n = 5) and E (n = 1), respectively (Table 1). In this study, PCR amplification failed at the *tpi* locus.


At the *gdh* locus, two assemblage B sequences had 100% homology with beaver-derived assemblage B isolated (KM977648) from China. Another two different assemblage B sequences were 100% identical to golden monkey-derived assemblage B isolate (MK952602) from China, and one assemblage E sequence was 100% identical to a pig-derived assemblage E isolate (MK426742) from South Korea. At the *bg* locus, five assemblage B sequences shared 100% homology with squirrel monkey-derived assemblage B isolate (KJ888974) from China, one assemblage A sequence had 100% homology with human-derived assemblage A isolates (GQ329671) from Sweden and chipmunk-derived isolate (MF671918) from China, one assemblage E sequence (GenBank: MZ494459) shared the most considerable similarity (99.79%) to that (KY633473) from a Tibetan sheep in China, with only one base difference.

## Discussion

In this study, the overall prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. were 2.2% (11/498), with 2.5% in Himalayan marmots, and 1.0% in Alashan ground squirrels. There was no significant difference in the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis*, and we will enlarge the research sample size for further verification. Other studies reported much higher prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in wild rodent species in China than this study, including in house mice (3.2%, 1/31), long-tailed rats (3.6%, 4/111 and 55.3%, 21/38), brown rats (6.3%, 4/64; 9.1%, 22/242 and 28.6%, 16/56), wild plateau pikas (6.3%, 4/64), Qinghai voles (8.9%, 8/90), Asian house rats (18.0%, 21/117; 18.2%, 6/33 and 73.9%, 4/46), Brandt's voles (18.7%, 127/678), Muridae (40.0%, 4/10)<sup>20,27–32</sup>. The prevalence in this study was also lower than that in some pet rodent species, including in bamboo rats (3.3%, 3/92), Siberian hamsters (7.8%, 4/51), red squirrels (8.6%, 27/314 and 26.3%, 5/19), chinchillas (9.3%, 26/280 and 10.0%, 14/140), campbell hamsters (10.0%, 3/30 and 22.2%, 6/27), Siberian chipmunks (30.0%, 6/20), gold hamsters (32.0%, 16/50), chipmunks (50.0%, 1/2 and 75.0%, 3/4), guinea pigs (52.3%, 162/310 and 85.0%, 34/40), Roborovski dwarf hamsters (100.0%, 1/1), and higher than that in pet red-bellied tree squirrels (1.4%, 4/287)<sup>29,33–38</sup>. In addition, there was difference between prevalence in different farmed and laboratory rodent species, including farmed bamboo rats (2.1%, 9/435 and 29.5%, 209/709), farmed brown rats (7.1%, 12/168), experimental brown rats (0.6%, 2/355), laboratory mice (1.7%, 4/229), laboratory rats (4.0%, 1/25)<sup>27,29,39–41</sup>. These variations in the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in different studies may be explained by many factors, including the population densities, the health status of hosts, management systems, experimental methods and source region<sup>42</sup>.


To date, including *Cryptosporidium* species/genotypes obtained in this study, a total of 14 *Cryptosporidium* species and 17 genotypes have been detected in 16 studies of various rodents in China (Table 2)<sup>20,27–37,39–41</sup>. Among them, 11 species/genotypes have been detected in humans: *C. parvum*, *C. muris*, *C. ubiquitum*, *C. andersoni*, *C. occultus*, *C. viatorum*, *C. canis*, *C. suis*, *C. erinaceid*, *C. tyzzeri* and horse genotype<sup>4</sup>, indicating rodents may play essential roles in the transmission of zoonotic cryptosporidiosis.

Altogether, four *Cryptosporidium* species/genotypes were identified in this study: *C. rubeyi*, ground squirrel genotype II, chipmunk genotype V in Himalayan marmots, and horse genotype in Alashan ground squirrels. *C. rubeyi* was characterized by numerous wild rodent hosts such as golden-mantled ground squirrels, California



**Figure 2.** Phylogenetic relationship among *Cryptosporidium* spp. based on a neighbor-joining tree of the SSU rRNA gene. The numbers on the branches are percent bootstrapping values from 1000 replicates, and the sequences generated in the present study are indicated with the triangles.


ground squirrels, Belding's ground squirrels, and black-tailed prairie dogs<sup>43,44</sup>. Previously ground squirrel genotype II and chipmunk genotype V were only identified in black-tailed prairie dogs in the USA<sup>43</sup> and chinchillas in China<sup>38</sup>, respectively. Our identification of ground squirrel genotype II and chipmunk genotype V expanded the host range of the two genotypes. Horse genotype was initially isolated from a Przewalski wild horse at the Prague Zoo in the Czech Republic, and commonly detected in horses and donkeys, occasionally found in neonatal calves and hedgehogs<sup>45,46</sup>. Horse genotype has also been found in human patients with diarrhea in the UK and the USA, suggesting its zoonotic potential<sup>47–49</sup>. In the present study, the horse genotype was identified in rodents for the first time, indicating it has a broader range of host than initially anticipated. Horse genotype isolated from Alashan ground squirrels was further identified as novel subtype VIbA10. Currently, two subtype families are recognized within the *Cryptosporidium* horse genotype by sequence analysis targeting the *gp60* gene: the VIa subtype family in animals (horses, donkeys and calves, etc.) and the VIb subtype family in humans and hedgehogs.




**Figure 3.** Phylogenetic relationship among *Cryptosporidium* spp. based on a neighbor-joining tree of the *actin* gene. The numbers on the branches are percent bootstrapping values from 1000 replicates, and the sequences generated in the present study are indicated with the triangles.

The present study detected the infection of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in wild rodent species of the genus *Marmota* and genus *Spermophilus*. Further, eight previous studies have reported the occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* species/genotypes in other three species of the genus *Marmota* and other four species of genus *Spermophilus*: including *C. ubiquitum* in woodchucks (*Marmota monax*) in the USA<sup>50,51</sup>; *C. parvum* in yellow-bellied marmots (*Marmota flaviventris*) in the USA<sup>52</sup>; *C. andersoni* in Bobak marmots (*Marmota bobac*) in the Czech Republic<sup>45</sup>; *C. rubeyi* in California ground squirrels (*Spermophilus beecheyi*) in the USA, Belding's ground squirrels (*Spermophilus beldingi*) and golden-mantled ground squirrels (*Spermophilus lateralis*) in the USA<sup>44,53,54</sup>; ground squirrel genotype I and ground squirrel genotype III in thirteen-lined ground squirrels (*Spermophilus tridecemlineatus*) in USA<sup>43</sup>.

In this study, the overall prevalence of *G. duodenalis* were 1.6% (8/498), with 1.5% (6/399) for Himalayan marmots and 2.0% (2/99) for Alashan ground squirrels. This study reported much lower prevalence of *G. duodenalis* than other studies in wild rodent species in China: house mouse (3.2%, 1/31); Asian house rat (6.1%, 2/33); brown rat (6.6%, 11/168 and 9.3%, 33/355); pet chipmunks (8.6%, 24/279); bamboo rat (10.8%, 52/480); coypus (12.3%, 38/308); pet chinchillas (27.1%, 38/140)<sup>27,39,55–58</sup> (Table 3).



**Figure 4.** Phylogenetic relationship among *Cryptosporidium* spp. based on a neighbor-joining tree of the *HSP70* gene. The numbers on the branches are percent bootstrapping values from 1000 replicates, and the sequences generated in the present study are indicated with the triangles.



**Figure 5.** Phylogenetic relationship of *Cryptosporidium* subtypes based on a neighbor-joining tree of the *gp60* gene. The numbers on the branches are percent bootstrapping values from 1000 replicates, and the sequences generated in the present study are indicated with the triangles.

Host species (Latin name)	No. positive (%)	Species/genotype (n)	Sample source	References
Alashan ground squirrels ( <i>Spermophilus alaschanicus</i> )	1/99 (1.0)	Horse genotype (1)	Wild	This study
Asian house rats ( <i>Rattus tanezumi</i> )	6/33 (18.2)	<i>C. parvum</i> (3), <i>C. muris</i> (3)	Wild	27
Asian house rats ( <i>Rattus tanezumi</i> )	6/33 (18.2)	<i>C. tyzzer</i> (1), rat genotype II (1), rat genotype III (1), <i>C. tyzzer</i> + rat genotype II (1), <i>C. tyzzer</i> + rat genotype III (1)	Wild	29
Asian house rats ( <i>Rattus tanezumi</i> )	34/46 (73.9)	Rat genotype IV (24), rat genotype III (8), <i>C. occultus</i> (1), <i>C. erinacei</i> (1)	Wild	32
Bamboo rats ( <i>Rhizomys sinensis</i> )	9/435 (2.1)	Bamboo rat genotype I (5), <i>C. parvum</i> (2), <i>C. occultus</i> (1), bamboo rat genotype II (1)	Farmed	40
Bamboo rats ( <i>Rhizomys sinensis</i> )	3/92 (3.3)	<i>C. parvum</i> (3)	Pet	34
Bamboo rats ( <i>Rhizomys sinensis</i> )	209/709 (29.5)	<i>C. ubiquitum</i> -like (85), <i>C. parvum</i> (78), <i>C. parvum</i> -like (45), <i>C. occultus</i> (1)	Farmed	41
Brandt's voles ( <i>Lasiopodomys brandtii</i> )	127/678 (18.7)	<i>C. suis</i> , muskrat genotype II, Brandt's voles genotype I	Wild	31
Brown rats ( <i>Rattus norvegicus</i> )	4/64 (6.3)	<i>C. tyzzer</i> (3), <i>C. tyzzer</i> + rat genotype III (1)	Wild	29
Brown rats ( <i>Rattus norvegicus</i> )	12/168 (7.1)	<i>C. parvum</i> (9), <i>C. muris</i> (3)	Farmed	27
Brown rats ( <i>Rattus norvegicus</i> )	22/242 (9.1)	<i>C. rattii</i> (14), rat genotype IV (6), <i>C. occultus</i> (1)	Wild	30
Brown rats ( <i>Rattus norvegicus</i> )	16/56 (28.6)	Rat genotype IV (13), <i>C. muris</i> (1), <i>C. occultus</i> (1), rat genotype III (1)	Wild	32
Campbell hamsters ( <i>Phodopus campbelli</i> )	3/30 (10.0)	<i>C. parvum</i> (1), <i>C. andersoni</i> (1), <i>C. muris</i> + <i>C. parvum</i> (1)	Pet	29
Campbell hamsters ( <i>Phodopus campbelli</i> )	6/27 (22.2)	Hamster genotype (4), <i>C. andersoni</i> (2)	Pet	38
Chinchillas ( <i>Chinchilla lanigera</i> )	26/280 (9.3)	<i>C. ubiquitum</i> (23), <i>C. parvum</i> (2), chipmunk genotype V (1)	Pet	38
Chipmunks ( <i>Eutamias asiaticus</i> )	1/2 (50.0)	Ferret genotype (1)	Pet	37
Chipmunks ( <i>Eutamias asiaticus</i> )	3/4 (75.0)	Ferret genotype (2), chipmunk genotype V (1)	Pet	38
Edward's long-tailed rats ( <i>Leopoldamys edwardsi</i> )	21/38 (55.3)	Rat genotype IV (13), rat genotype III (1), <i>C. muris</i> (1), <i>C. occultus</i> (1)	Wild	32
Experimental brown rats ( <i>Rattus norvegicus</i> )	2/355 (0.6)	<i>C. ubiquitum</i> (1), undetermined <i>Cryptosporidium</i> genotype (1)	Laboratory	39
Gold hamsters ( <i>Mesocricetus auratus</i> )	16/50 (32.0)	<i>C. muris</i> (6), <i>C. andersoni</i> (5), <i>C. parvum</i> (2), <i>C. muris</i> + <i>C. parvum</i> (1), <i>C. andersoni</i> + <i>C. parvum</i> (1)	Pet	29
Guinea pigs ( <i>Cavia porcellus</i> )	162/310 (52.3)	<i>C. wrairi</i> (129), <i>C. homai</i> (32), <i>C. muris</i> (1)	Pet	38
Guinea pigs ( <i>Cavia porcellus</i> )	34/40 (85.0)	<i>C. wrairi</i> (30)	Pet	29
Himalayan marmots ( <i>Marmota himalayana</i> )	10/399 (2.5)	<i>C. rubeyi</i> (2), ground squirrel genotype II (7), chipmunk genotype V (1)	Wild	This study
House mice ( <i>Mus musculus</i> )	1/31 (3.2)	<i>C. muris</i> (1)	Wild	27
Laboratory mice ( <i>Mus musculus</i> )	4/229 (1.7)	<i>C. tyzzer</i> (4)	Laboratory	29
Laboratory rats ( <i>Rattus norvegicus</i> )	1/25 (4.0)	<i>C. tyzzer</i> (1)	Laboratory	29
Long-tailed rats ( <i>Leopoldamys edwardsi</i> )	4/111 (3.6)	<i>C. viatorum</i> (4)	Wild	28
Muridae ( <i>Niviventer fulvescens</i> )	4/10 (40.0)	Rat genotype III (2), rat genotype IV (2)	Wild	32
Pet chinchillas ( <i>Chinchilla lanigera</i> )	14/140 (10.0)	<i>C. ubiquitum</i> (13), <i>C. parvum</i> (1)	Pet	36
Qinghai voles ( <i>Microtus fuscus</i> )	8/90 (8.9)	<i>C. parvum</i> (3), Qinghai vole genotype (3), <i>C. canis</i> (1), <i>C. ubiquitum</i> (1)	Wild	20
Red-bellied tree squirrels ( <i>Callosciurus erythraeus</i> )	4/287 (1.4)	Rat genotype II (2), <i>C. parvum</i> (1), <i>C. wrairi</i> (1)	Pet	33
Red squirrels ( <i>Sciurus vulgaris</i> )	27/314 (8.6)	rat genotype II (8), ferret genotype (8), chipmunk genotype III (5), <i>C. rattii</i> (4), <i>C. parvum</i> (2)	Pet	35
Red squirrel ( <i>Sciurus vulgaris</i> )	5/19 (26.3)	Ferret genotype (5)	Pet	29
Roborovski dwarf hamsters ( <i>Phodopus roborovskii</i> )	1/1 (100)	<i>C. muris</i> (1)	Pet	38
Siberian chipmunks ( <i>Tamias sibiricus</i> )	6/20 (30.0)	Ferret genotype (3), ferret genotype + <i>C. parvum</i> (1), <i>C. muris</i> + <i>C. parvum</i> + chipmunk genotype III (1)	Pet	29
Siberian flying squirrels ( <i>Pteromys volans</i> )	1/1 (100)	<i>C. ubiquitum</i> (1)	Pet	38
Siberian hamsters ( <i>Phodopus sungorus</i> )	4/51 (7.8)	<i>C. muris</i> (1), <i>C. parvum</i> (1), <i>C. andersoni</i> + <i>C. parvum</i> (1), hamster genotype (1)	Pet	29
Siberian hamsters ( <i>Phodopus sungorus</i> )	32/37 (86.5)	Hamster genotype (26), <i>C. andersoni</i> (6)	Pet	38
Syrian hamsters ( <i>Mesocricetus auratus</i> )	26/30 (86.7)	<i>C. andersoni</i> (26)	Pet	38
White-toothed rats ( <i>Berylmys bowersi</i> )	21/117 (18.0)	<i>C. viatorum</i> (21)	Wild	28
Wild plateau pikas ( <i>Ochotona curzoniae</i> )	4/64 (6.3)	<i>C. parvum</i> (2), pika genotype (2)	Wild	20

**Table 2.** *Cryptosporidium* species/genotypes in rodents in China. Plus signs indicate that the sample was co-infected with different *Cryptosporidium* species/genotypes.

In this study, the sequences of amplicons from *G. duodenalis*-positive samples were determined to be assemblages A, B, and E, with assemblages B showing dominance in the detected animals. Assemblages A, B and E were identified in Himalayan marmots and assemblage B in Alashan ground squirrels. *G. duodenalis* assemblages in Himalayan marmots were richer than Alashan ground squirrels. As we know, in previous studies, *G. duodenalis* infections of Chinese rodents were reported to be caused by assemblages A, B and G<sup>27,39,55–58</sup>. Among them, assemblages A and B have a broad host range and are commonly found in humans<sup>56</sup>. Some recent studies in China



Host species (Latin name)	No. positive (%)	Assemblages (n)			Sample source	References
		<i>bg</i>	<i>gdh</i>	<i>tpi</i>		
Alashan ground squirrels ( <i>Spermophilus alashanicus</i> )	2/99 (2.0)	B (2)	B (2)		Wild	This study
Asian house rats ( <i>Rattus tanzumi</i> )	2/33 (6.1)	G (2)	G (1)	G (1)	Wild	27
Bamboo rats ( <i>Rhizomys sinensis</i> )	52/480 (10.8)	B (52)	B (27)	B (12)	Farmed	56
Brown rats ( <i>Rattus norvegicus</i> )	11/168 (6.6)	G (11)	G (9)	G (10)	Wild	27
Brown rats ( <i>Rattus norvegicus</i> )	33/355 (9.3)	G (19)	G (20)	G (21)	Laboratory	39
Coypus ( <i>Myocastor coypus</i> )	38/308 (12.3)	B (11), A (1)	B (10), A (1)	B (22), A (3)	Farm	58
Himalayan marmots ( <i>Marmota himalayana</i> )	6/399 (1.5)	A (1), B (3), E (1)	B (2), E (1)	–	Wild	This study
House mice ( <i>Mus musculus</i> )	1/31 (3.2)	G (1)	–	G (1)	Wild	27
Pet chinchillas ( <i>Chinchilla lanigera</i> )	38/140 (27.1)	A (4), B (8)	A (4), B (16)	A (3), B (3)	Pet	57
Pet chipmunks ( <i>Eutamias asiaticus</i> )	24/279 (8.6)	G (11), A (13)	G (7), A (10)	G (4), A (13)	Pet	55

**Table 3.** *G. duodenalis* assemblages in rodents in China.

also reported the occurrence of assemblage A in pet chipmunks, coypus and pet chinchillas, while assemblage B in bamboo rats, coypus and pet chinchillas<sup>55–58</sup>. These two assemblages were detected in this study suggest that Himalayan marmots and Alashan ground squirrels can play roles in the zoonotic dissemination of *G. duodenalis*. Assemblage E is commonly found in a range of hoofed livestock and occasionally found in rodent species, and it has also been found in human cases, indicating that this assemblage is of zoonotic significance<sup>59,60</sup>.

In the investigated areas of QTPA, wild rodent species Himalayan marmots and Alashan ground squirrels have strong migration habits and often share pasture with humans, herbivorous animals and other wild animals. Results of this study suggest that these two wild rodent species may play a role in the transmission cycle of *Cryptosporidium* spp. oocysts and *G. duodenalis* cysts among humans, animals, water sources and fresh produce in QTPA grassland ecosystem.

## Conclusion

This study examined the prevalence and zoonotic potential of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* in Himalayan marmots and Alashan ground squirrels in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau area (QTPA) of China for the first time. Four *Cryptosporidium* species/genotypes were identified, including *C. rubeyi*, ground squirrel genotype II, chipmunk genotype V and horse genotype (novel subtype VIbA10). These two rodent species identified *G. duodenalis* zoonotic assemblages A, B, and E. The results expanded the host range of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis*, providing more information on the prevalence, epidemiology and genetic characterizations of the two pathogens in Himalayan marmots and Alashan ground squirrels. Further surveys are also required to understand the prevalence and transmission dynamics of the two pathogens.

## Data availability

Nucleotide sequences of this article were deposited in the GenBank database under following accession numbers: MZ478131–MZ478133, ON384432 (*SSU rRNA*), ON419488–ON419491 (*actin*), ON456466 (*HSP70*), MW531716 (*gp60*) for *Cryptosporidium* spp.; MZ494459 (*bg*) for *G. duodenalis*.

Received: 19 October 2021; Accepted: 6 July 2022

Published online: 16 July 2022

## References

- Fayer, R. *Cryptosporidium*: A water-borne zoonotic parasite. *Vet. Parasitol.* **126**, 37–56 (2004).
- Feng, Y. & Xiao, L. Zoonotic potential and molecular epidemiology of *Giardia* species and giardiasis. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **24**, 110–140 (2011).
- Xiao, L. Molecular epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis: An update. *Exp. Parasitol.* **124**, 80–89 (2010).
- Feng, Y., Ryan, U. M. & Xiao, L. Genetic diversity and population structure of *Cryptosporidium*. *Trends Parasitol.* **34**, 997–1011 (2018).
- Holubová, N. et al. *Cryptosporidium proventriculi* sp. n. (Apicomplexa: *Cryptosporidiidae*) in Psittaciformes birds. *Eur. J. Protistol.* **69**, 70–87 (2019).
- Holubová, N. et al. Description of *Cryptosporidium ornithophilus* n. sp. (Apicomplexa: *Cryptosporidiidae*) in farmed ostriches. *Parasit. Vectors.* **13**, 340 (2020).
- Bolland, S. J., Zahedi, A., Oskam, C., Murphy, B. & Ryan, U. *Cryptosporidium bollandi* n. sp. (Apicomplexa: *Cryptosporidiidae*) from angelfish (*Pterophyllum scalare*) and Oscar fish (*Astronotus ocellatus*). *Exp. Parasitol.* **217**, 107956 (2020).
- Ježková, J. et al. *Cryptosporidium rattii* n. sp. (Apicomplexa: *Cryptosporidiidae*) and genetic diversity of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in brown rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) in the Czech Republic. *Parasitology* **148**, 84–97 (2021).
- Zahedi, A., Bolland, S. J., Oskam, C. L. & Ryan, U. *Cryptosporidium abrahamseni* n. sp. (Apicomplexa: *Cryptosporidiidae*) from red-eye tetra (*Moenkhausia sanctaefilomenae*). *Exp. Parasitol.* **223**, 108089 (2021).
- Ježková, J. et al. *Cryptosporidium myocastoris* n. sp. (Apicomplexa: *Cryptosporidiidae*), the Species Adapted to the Nutria (*Myocastor coypus*). *Microorganisms* **9**, 813 (2021).

11. Fan, Y. *et al.* Subtyping *Cryptosporidium xiaoi*, a common pathogen in sheep and goats. *Pathogens* **10**, 800 (2021).
12. Naguib, D., Roellig, D. M., Arafat, N. & Xiao, L. Genetic characterization of *Cryptosporidium cuniculus* from rabbits in Egypt. *Pathogens* **10**(6), 775 (2021).
13. Xiao, L. & Feng, Y. Molecular epidemiologic tools for waterborne pathogens *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia duodenalis*. *Food. Waterborne. Parasitol.* **8–9**, 14–32 (2017).
14. Dixon, B. R. *Giardia duodenalis* in humans and animals: Transmission and disease. *Res. Vet. Sci.* **135**, 283–289 (2021).
15. Ma, L. *et al.* Detection of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in the slaughterhouse, sewage and river waters of the Qinghai Tibetan plateau area (QTPA), China. *Parasitol. Res.* **118**, 2041–2051 (2019).
16. Jian, Y. *et al.* Occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in wild birds from Qinghai Lake on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, China. *Parasitol. Res.* **120**, 615–628 (2021).
17. Wu, Y. *et al.* Genotyping and identification of *Cryptosporidium* spp., *Giardia duodenalis* and *Enterocytozoon bienersi* from free-range Tibetan yellow cattle and cattle-yak in Tibet, China. *Acta Trop.* **212**, 05671 (2020).
18. Jian, Y. *et al.* Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Giardia duodenalis* in cattle and sheep from the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau Area (QTPA), northwestern China. *Vet. Parasitol.* **250**, 40–44 (2018).
19. Zhang, X. *et al.* Molecular detection and prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. infections in two types of domestic farm animals in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau Area (QTPA) in China. *Parasitol. Res.* **117**, 233–239 (2018).
20. Zhang, X. *et al.* The first report of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in *Microtus fuscus* (Qinghai vole) and *Ochotona curzoniae* (wild plateau pika) in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau area, China. *Parasitol. Res.* **117**, 1401–1407 (2018).
21. Li, X. *et al.* Detection of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts and *Giardia* cysts in vegetables from street markets from the Qinghai Tibetan Plateau Area in China. *Parasitol. Res.* **119**, 847–1855 (2020).
22. Huang, D. *et al.* Establishment and application of PCR method for detection of *Cryptosporidium*, China [in Chinese]. *Trop. Med.* **16**, 8 (2016).
23. Alves, M. *et al.* Subgenotype analysis of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from humans, cattle, and zoo ruminants in Portugal. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **41**, 2744–2747 (2003).
24. Cacciò, S. M., Beck, R., Lalle, M., Marinculic, A. & Pozio, E. Multilocus genotyping of *Giardia duodenalis* reveals striking differences between assemblages A and B. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **38**, 1523–1531 (2008).
25. Abe, N., Kimata, I. & Iseki, M. Identification of genotypes of *Giardia intestinalis* isolates from dogs in Japan by direct sequencing of the PCR amplified glutamate dehydrogenase gene. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* **65**, 29–33 (2003).
26. Sulaiman, I. M. *et al.* Triosephosphate isomerase gene characterization and potential zoonotic transmission of *Giardia duodenalis*. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **9**, 1444–1452 (2003).
27. Zhao, Z. *et al.* Genotyping and subtyping of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* isolates from commensal rodents in China. *Parasitology* **142**, 800–806 (2015).
28. Chen, Y. W. *et al.* Identification of *Cryptosporidium viatorum* XVa subtype family in two wild rat species in China. *Parasit. Vectors.* **12**, 502 (2019).
29. Lv, C. *et al.* *Cryptosporidium* spp. in wild, laboratory, and pet rodents in china: Prevalence and molecular characterization. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **75**, 7692–7699 (2009).
30. Zhao, W. *et al.* Molecular characterizations of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Enterocytozoon bienersi* in brown rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) from Heilongjiang Province, China. *Parasit. Vectors* **11**, 313–313 (2018).
31. Feng, S. *et al.* Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in Brandt's Vole in China. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **7**, 300 (2020).
32. Zhao, W. *et al.* *Cryptosporidium* spp. in wild rats (*Rattus* spp.) from the Hainan Province, China: Molecular detection, species/genotype identification and implications for public health. *Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl.* **9**, 317–321 (2019).
33. Chai, Y. *et al.* First detection of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in red-bellied tree squirrels (*Callosciurus erythraeus*) in China. *Parasite* **26**, 28 (2019).
34. Liu, X. *et al.* Occurrence of novel and rare subtype families of *Cryptosporidium* in bamboo rats (*Rhizomys sinensis*) in China. *Vet. Parasitol.* **207**, 144–148 (2015).
35. Deng, L. *et al.* Occurrence and genetic characteristics of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Enterocytozoon bienersi* in pet red squirrels (*Sciurus vulgaris*) in China. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 1026 (2020).
36. Qi, M. *et al.* Zoonotic *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Enterocytozoon bienersi* in pet chinchillas (*Chinchilla lanigera*) in China. *Parasitol. Int.* **64**, 339–341 (2015).
37. Li, Q. *et al.* Molecular investigation of *Cryptosporidium* in small caged pets in northeast China: Host specificity and zoonotic implications. *Parasitol. Res.* **115**, 2905–2911 (2016).
38. Chen, J. *et al.* Genetic characterizations of *Cryptosporidium* spp. from pet rodents indicate high zoonotic potential of pathogens from chinchillas. *One Health* **13**, 100269 (2021).
39. Li, J. *et al.* Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia duodenalis* in experimental rats in China. *Parasitol. Int.* **77**, 102127 (2020).
40. Zhao, W. *et al.* Prevalence and diversity of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in bamboo rats (*Rhizomys sinensis*) in South Central China. *Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl.* **9**, 312–316 (2019).
41. Li, F. *et al.* Common occurrence of divergent *Cryptosporidium* species and *Cryptosporidium parvum* subtypes in farmed bamboo rats (*Rhizomys sinensis*). *Parasit. Vectors* **13**, 149 (2020).
42. Feng, Y. & Xiao, L. Molecular epidemiology of *Cryptosporidiosis* in China. *Front. Microbiol.* **8**, 1701 (2017).
43. Stenger, B. L. *et al.* North American tree squirrels and ground squirrels with overlapping ranges host different *Cryptosporidium* species and genotypes. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* **36**, 287–293 (2015).
44. Li, X. *et al.* *Cryptosporidium rubeyi* n. sp. (Apicomplexa: *Cryptosporidiidae*) in multiple Spermophilus ground squirrel species. *Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl.* **4**, 343–350 (2015).
45. Ryan, U. *et al.* Identification of novel *Cryptosporidium* genotypes from the Czech Republic. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **69**, 4302–4307 (2003).
46. Li, F. *et al.* Different distribution of *Cryptosporidium* species between horses and donkeys. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* **75**, 103954 (2019).
47. Robinson, G., Elwin, K. & Chalmers, R. M. Unusual *Cryptosporidium* genotypes in human cases of diarrhea. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **14**, 1800–1802 (2008).
48. Xiao, L. *et al.* Subtype analysis of *Cryptosporidium* specimens from sporadic cases in Colorado, Idaho, New Mexico, and Iowa in 2007: Widespread occurrence of one *Cryptosporidium hominis* subtype and case history of an infection with the *Cryptosporidium* horse genotype. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **47**, 3017–3020 (2009).
49. Elwin, K. *et al.* The epidemiology of sporadic human infections with unusual cryptosporidia detected during routine typing in England and Wales, 2000–2008. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **140**, 673–683 (2012).
50. Feng, Y. *et al.* *Cryptosporidium* genotypes in wildlife from a New York watershed. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **73**, 6475–6483 (2007).
51. Ziegler, P. E. *et al.* Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* species in wildlife populations within a watershed landscape in southeastern New York State. *Vet. Parasitol.* **147**, 176–184 (2007).
52. Montecino-Latorre, D., Li, X., Xiao, C. & Atwill, E. R. Elevation and vegetation determine *Cryptosporidium* oocyst shedding by yellow-bellied marmots (*Marmota flaviventris*) in the Sierra Nevada Mountains. *Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl.* **4**, 171–177 (2015).
53. Atwill, E. R., Phillips, R., Pereira, M. D., Li, X. & McCowan, B. Seasonal shedding of multiple *Cryptosporidium* genotypes in California ground squirrels (*Spermophilus beecheyi*). *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **70**, 6748–6752 (2004).

54. Pereira, M. D., Li, X., McCowan, B., Phillips, R. L. & Atwill, E. R. Multiple unique *Cryptosporidium* isolates from three species of ground squirrels (*Spermophilus beecheyi*, *S. beldingi*, and *S. lateralis*) in California. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **76**, 8269–8276 (2010).
55. Deng, L. *et al.* First identification and multilocus genotyping of *Giardia duodenalis* in pet chipmunks (*Eutamias asiaticus*) in Sichuan Province, southwestern China. *Parasit. Vectors* **11**, 199 (2018).
56. Ma, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, H. J., Wu, H. X. & Zhao, G. H. First report of *Giardia duodenalis* infection in bamboo rats. *Parasit. Vectors* **11**, 520 (2018).
57. Qi, M. *et al.* Multilocus genotyping of potentially zoonotic *Giardia duodenalis* in pet chinchillas (*Chinchilla lanigera*) in China. *Vet. Parasitol.* **208**, 113–117 (2015).
58. Cui, Z. *et al.* Occurrence and multi-Locus analysis of *Giardia duodenalis* in coypus (*Myocastor coypus*) in China. *Pathogens* **10**, 179 (2021).
59. Fantinatti, M., Bello, A. R., Fernandes, O. & Da-Cruz, A. M. Identification of *Giardia lamblia* assemblage E in humans points to a new anthroozoonotic cycle. *J. Infect. Dis.* **214**, 1256–1259 (2016).
60. Gherman, C. M., Kalmár, Z., Györke, A. & Mircean, V. Occurrence of *Giardia duodenalis* assemblages in farmed long-tailed chinchillas *Chinchilla lanigera* (Rodentia) from Romania. *Parasit. Vectors* **11**, 86 (2018).
61. Sulaiman, I. M., Lal, A. A. & Xiao, L. Molecular phylogeny and evolutionary relationships of *Cryptosporidium* parasites at the actin locus. *J. Parasitol.* **88**(2), 388–394 (2002).
62. Sulaiman, I. M., Morgan, U. M., Thompson, R. A., Lal, A. A. & Xiao, L. Phylogenetic relationships of *Cryptosporidium* parasites based on the 70-kilodalton heat shock protein (*HSP70*) gene. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* **66**(6), 2385–2391 (2000).

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. Daqin Xu at Gansu Provincial Centre for Disease Control and Prevention for help in collecting the samples.

## Author contributions

Y.S. and X.W. designed the study. J.X., H.L., Y.J., L.T. and Y.S. participated in the sample collection and methodology. J.X., H.L., H.J. and J.Y. contributed to data analysis. Y.S. and J.C. contributed reagents and materials. J.X. wrote the manuscript. Y.S. and X.W. revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 82072307 and 81772224 to YS), the National Science and Technology Major Program of China (No. 2018ZX10713001-004 to YS), and the Fifth Round of Three-Year Public Health Action Plan of Shanghai, China (No. GWV-10.1-XK13 to JC). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, the decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

## Additional information

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-16196-1>.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.S. or X.W.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at [www.nature.com/reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints).

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2022