# scientific reports

### OPEN

Check for updates

## Severity of underweight and risk of fracture: a Korean nationwide population-based cohort study

Sangsoo Han<sup>1,5</sup>, Jiwon Park<sup>2,5</sup>, Sangun Nah<sup>1</sup>, Hae-Dong Jang<sup>3</sup>, Kyungdo Han<sup>4⊠</sup> & Jae-Young Hong<sup>2⊠</sup>

Underweight is an important modifiable risk factor for fractures. However, there have been few large cohort studies regarding the relationship between underweight and fracture in the general population. We investigated the risk of fracture development according to underweight severity in a large population cohort. This nationwide cohort study included 2,896,320 people aged  $\geq$  40 years who underwent national health checkups in 2009 and were followed up to identify the incidence of fracture until December 31, 2018. After applying the exclusion criteria that included overweight and obese individuals, the study population was divided according to body mass index (BMI) into normal weight (18.5  $\leq$  BMI < 23.0), mild underweight (17.5  $\leq$  BMI < 18.5), moderate underweight (16.5  $\leq$  BMI < 17.5), and severe underweight (BMI < 16.5) groups. Cox proportional hazards regression analyses were performed to calculate the hazard ratios for risk of fracture according to underweight severity. Severely underweight participants had a 28% increased fracture risk (adjusted hazard ratio [HR] 1.28, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.20–1.37) compared with those of normal weight. In addition, fracture risk was increased by 14% in individuals with moderate underweight (adjusted HR 1.14, 95% CI 1.08–1.19) and 9% in those with mild underweight (adjusted HR 1.09, 95% CI 1.06–1.13). The severity of underweight was significantly associated with risk of fracture.

Fractures are one of the leading health problems in adults and one of the leading causes of morbidity. Fractures are also closely associated with social costs because they can lead to prolonged absence from work, use of significant medical resources, and long-term disability<sup>1</sup>. Well-known risk factors associated with fractures include old age, female sex, low body mass index (BMI), current smoking, excessive drinking, and physical activity<sup>2,3</sup>. Among these, underweight is an important modifiable risk factor because it is associated with osteoporosis or sarcopenia<sup>4,5</sup>.

Bodyweight is an important determinant of health status, including metabolic, immune, reproductive, and musculoskeletal systems<sup>6</sup>. People with underweight may have a poor physical condition, which is strongly associated with increased morbidity and mortality<sup>7,8</sup>. In addition, being underweight may increase the risk of fractures because it may be associated with low bone density, soft tissue loss, and muscle weakness<sup>5</sup>. To date, many studies on the relationship between fractures and BMI have classified underweight simply as BMI < 18.5 kg/m<sup>29,10</sup>. However, many people (especially women) control their weight more excessively than do those of normal weight due to negative attitudes and discrimination against overweight people in modern society<sup>11</sup>. Therefore, a study using a more detailed classification of underweight is needed in consideration of these aspects. The World Health Organization classifies underweight into categories as mild, moderate, and severe underweight<sup>12</sup>.

Therefore, we evaluated the risk of fracture according to underweight severity using a community-based database in South Korea, which includes > 500,000 individuals participating in health examinations. Furthermore, we adjusted for as many known fracture-related risk factors as possible in a large-scale population-based database.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Emergency Medicine, Soonchunhyang University Bucheon Hospital, 170 Jomaru-ro, Bucheon 14584, Republic of Korea. <sup>2</sup>Department of Orthopedics, Korea University Hospital, Ansan, 123, Jeokgeum-ro, Danwon-gu, Ansan-si, Gyeonggi-do 15355, Republic of Korea. <sup>3</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Soonchunhyang University Bucheon Hospital, 170 Jomaru-ro, Bucheon 14584, Republic of Korea. <sup>4</sup>Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science, Soongsil University, 369 Sangdo-ro, Dongjak-gu, Seoul 06978, Republic of Korea. <sup>5</sup>These authors contributed equally: Sangsoo Han and Jiwon Park. <sup>⊠</sup>email: hkd917@naver.com; osspine@ korea.ac.kr

#### Methods

**Study design and population.** This nationwide population-based study was performed using the Korean National Health Insurance Service (NHIS) database. NHIS is a compulsory national health insurance system that covers approximately 99% of the population of the Republic of Korea for almost all medical processes. NHIS provides regular health check-ups to adults over 40 years old and workers over 20 years old every 1–2 years and obtains information on anthropometric measurements, alcohol consumption, smoking status, and medical history via self-reported questionnaires and laboratory findings. Self-reported data were double-checked through an interview with a doctor, and blood sampling was performed after fasting for at least 8 h. The NHIS claims database contains extensive health information for more than 50,000,000 Koreans. This database includes data regarding diagnoses and comorbidities coded according to the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10), demographic characteristics, prescription medications, health care services such as treatments, and costs for outpatients and inpatients<sup>13</sup>. Since 2015, the NHIS has provided access to this Korearepresentative retrospective cohort database to all researchers whose study protocols have been approved by an official review committee. All data are anonymized, collected regularly, and subjected to careful quality control. This NHIS database has been used in many epidemiological studies in various fields, and its validity has been confirmed in previous studies<sup>14,15</sup>.

From the NHIS database, approximately 4 million participants were randomly selected using simple random sampling. We included individuals over 40 years old who underwent health examinations by the NHIS in 2009. Subjects were excluded if baseline characteristic data were missing, they had a prior diagnosis of fracture before enrollment or a fracture occurring during the 1-year lag period, or they were overweight or obese (BMI  $\geq$  23.0).

**Key variables.** Classification of underweight. BMI was calculated by dividing body weight (kilograms) by height squared (m<sup>2</sup>). The study population was divided into four groups: normal weight ( $18.5 \le BMI < 23.0$ ), mild underweight ( $17.5 \le BMI < 18.5$ ), moderate underweight ( $16.5 \le BMI < 17.5$ ), and severe underweight (BMI < 16.5)<sup>12</sup>.

*Incidence of fracture.* To confirm the causes of all fracture cases, we used ICD-10 codes and hospitalization records from the NHIS system. Fractures were defined using the ICD-10 codes as follows: vertebral fractures (S12.0, S12.1, S12.2, S22.0, S22.1, S32.0, M48.4, and M48.5), hip fractures (S72.0, S72.1, and S72.2), and other fractures, including upper arm fractures (S42.0, S42.2, and S42.3), forearm fractures (S52.5 and S52.6), and lower leg fractures (S82.3, S82.5, and S82.6). A fracture was defined as one hospitalization and/or  $\geq$  two outpatient visits within 1 year with the relevant ICD-10 codes. From January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2018, fracture cases were confirmed by checking the NHIS medical claim records. Participants who died during the follow-up period were censored at the time of death.

*Covariates.* The NHIS database includes data on demographics, socioeconomic status, comorbidities, and laboratory findings, such as total cholesterol level, blood glucose level, and estimated glomerular filtration rate. Participants were classified according to smoking status as non-smokers, ex-smokers, or current smokers. Participants were classified according to alcohol consumption as non-drinkers, moderate drinkers (<30 g/day), or heavy drinkers ( $\geq$  30 g/day)<sup>16</sup>. Regular exercise was defined as at least 20 min of high-intensity physical activity  $\geq$  3 days per week or at least 30 min of moderate-intensity physical activity  $\geq$  5 days per week<sup>17</sup>. Low income was defined as an income < 20th percentile.

Diabetes was defined as a fasting blood sugar level > 126 mg/dL or prescription of an antidiabetic agent (ICD-10 codes, E11–E14). Hypertension was defined as an average blood pressure  $\geq$  140/90 mmHg, or more than one annual prescription of an antihypertensive agent (ICD-10 codes, I10–I13 or I15). Dyslipidemia was defined as a total cholesterol level  $\geq$  240 mg/dL or more than one annual prescription of an antihyperlipidemic agent (ICD-10 code, E78). Chronic kidney disease (CKD) was defined as an estimated glomerular filtration rate < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. Previous studies validated the definitions of comorbidities based on the ICD codes<sup>15,18</sup>.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using the chi-squared test for categorical variables and analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables. The incidence rate (IR) was calculated by dividing the outcome rate per 1000 person-years (PY) by the total number of fractures. The 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and hazard ratios (HRs) for fractures based on underweight severity were calculated using Cox regression analysis. We constructed a hierarchical model with different levels of demographic, socioeconomic factors, and comorbidities to investigate covariates potentially affecting fracture risk: model 1 was non-adjusted; model 2 was adjusted for age and sex; model 3 was additionally adjusted for other factors, including alcohol consumption, smoking status, low income, and regular exercise; and model 4 was fully adjusted with additional adjustments for comorbidities such as diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and CKD. We also compared the cumulative incidences of fractures between groups using the Kaplan-Meier method. To examine the effects of clinical conditions on the association between risk of fracture and underweight severity, the HRs for fractures in diverse subgroups were determined by Cox proportional hazards regression analysis and P values for interaction. Stratified subgroup analysis was performed based on age (<65 and  $\geq$ 65 years old), sex, smoking status, alcohol consumption, household income, regular activity, and comorbidities. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software (ver. 9.3; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). A two-sided P<0.05 was taken to indicate statistical significance.



Figure 1. Flow chart of the study population. BMI body mass index.

**Ethics statement.** The study protocol was approved by the NHIS institutional review board. The informed consent requirement was waived because the data analyses were performed retrospectively using anonymous data derived from the NHIS database in Korea. This study was also approved by the institutional review board of Korea University Ansan Hospital, Republic of Korea (approval no. K2021-2601-001). All research processes were conducted in accordance with the appropriate regulations and guidelines, and this study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Results

A total of 2,896,320 adults over the age of 40 years underwent NHIS-provided health check-ups in Korea in 2009. A total of 102,612 individuals were excluded due to missing data on variables, 244,328 were excluded due to previous fractures before study enrollment, and 34,302 were excluded due to a fracture occurring during the 1-year lag period. In addition, 1,552,545 overweight or obese individuals were also excluded. Finally, 962,533 subjects were included in the analysis (Fig. 1).

**Baseline characteristics.** A descriptive overview of the participants' characteristics according to underweight severity is presented in Table 1. A total of 5695 (0.59%) participants were classified as belonging to the severely underweight group consisting of 2619 men (45.99%) and 3076 women (54.01%) with a mean age of 60.72 years. The moderate underweight group included 13,071 (1.36%) participants consisting of 6393 men (48.91%) and 6678 women (51.09%) with a mean age of 56.62 years. The mild underweight group included 36,283 (3.77%) participants consisting of 16390 (45.17%) men and 19,893 women (54.83%) with a mean age of 54.36 years. Finally, the normal weight group comprised 907,484 (94.28%) participants consisting of 406,439 men (44.79%) and 501,045 women (55.21%) with a mean age of 53.06 years.

The incidence of fracture was highest in the severe underweight group (222, 3.9%), followed by the moderate underweight group (294, 2.25%), mild underweight group (554, 1.53%), and normal weight group (6647, 0.73%).

**Severity of underweight and fracture.** Cox proportional hazards regression analyses were performed to determine the risk of fracture in participants according to underweight severity (Table 2). The normal weight group served as the reference for fracture events. Participants with severe underweight showed markedly higher risks of subsequent fracture, despite adjustments for several potential confounders (adjusted HR 1.28, 95% CI 1.20–1.37). The Kaplan–Meier curves for each group are shown in Fig. 2, and the results showed a significantly higher fracture incidence in the severe underweight group than in the other groups at all time points (log-rank test, P < 0.001).

**Subgroup analysis.** Subgroup analyses were performed by stratifying the study population according to age, sex, smoking status, alcohol consumption, low income, and comorbidities. The adjusted fracture risks according to underweight severity in each subgroup are presented in Table 3. The association between underweight severity and fracture risk was similar across the subgroups. In the subgroups of age >65 years, male sex, hypertension, and diabetes, severe underweight had a greater effect on fracture risk. No significant differences were observed in the other subgroup analyses of fracture risk according to smoking status, alcohol consumption, low income, dyslipidemia, and CKD (P > 0.05).

	Severe underweight ( <i>n</i> = 5695)	Moderate underweight ( <i>n</i> =13,071)	Mild underweight $(n=36,283)$	Normal weight ( <i>n</i> =907,484)				
	BMI < 16.5	16.5≤BMI<17.5	17.5≤BMI<18.5	18.5≤BMI<23.0	P value			
Demographics								
Male sex, <i>n</i> (%)	2619 (45.99)	6393 (48.91)	16,390 (45.17)	406,439 (44.79)	< 0.0001			
Age, years	$60.72 \pm 13.74$	56.62±13.16	54.36±12.22	$53.06 \pm 10.45$	< 0.0001			
≥65 years	3237 (56.84)	9085 (69.51)	27,818 (76.67)	759,791 (83.73)	< 0.0001			
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	15.72±0.8	$17.06 \pm 0.27$	18.03±0.29	$21.29 \pm 1.16$	< 0.0001			
Smoking status, n (%)					< 0.0001			
Non-smoker	3591 (63.06)	8019 (61.35)	2362 (63.56)	602,237 (66.36)				
Ex-smoker	531 (9.32)	1249 (9.56)	3593 (9.9)	112,965 (12.45)				
Current smoker	1573 (27.62)	3803 (29.09)	9628 (26.54)	192,282 (21.19)				
Alcohol consumption, n (%)					< 0.0001			
Non-drinker	4099 (71.98)	8698 (66.54)	23,486 (64.73)	550,731 (60.69)				
Mild drinker	1283 (22.53)	3651 (27.93)	10,811 (29.8)	303 503 (33.44)				
Heavy drinker	313 (5.5)	722 (5.52)	1986 (5.47)	53,250 (5.87)				
Regular exercise, n (%)	655 (11.5)	1784 (13.65)	5101 (14.06)	172,189 (18.97)	< 0.0001			
Low income, <i>n</i> (%)	1174 (20.61)	2557 (19.56)	7076 (19.5)	167,385 (18.44)	< 0.0001			
Comorbidities								
Hypertension, n (%)	1423 (24.99)	2685 (20.54)	6781 (18.69)	216,730 (23.88)	< 0.0001			
Diabetes, n (%)	500 (8.78)	925 (7.08)	2238 (6.17)	7143 (7.83)	< 0.0001			
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	609 (10.69)	1288 (9.85)	3663 (10.1)	146,740 (16.17)	< 0.0001			
CKD, n (%)	571 (10.03)	960 (7.34)	2498 (6.88)	63,163 (6.96)	< 0.0001			
Fracture								
Incidence, n (%)	222 (3.9)	294 (2.25)	554 (1.53)	6647 (0.73)	< 0.0001			

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of participants according to the degree of underweight. *BMI* body mass index, *CKD* chronic kidney disease.

		FU duration	IR (per 1000	Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval)			
Group Ever		(PY)	PYs)	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Severe under- weight	908	37,833.2	24.0	1.93 (1.81–2.06)	1.30 (1.21–1.38)	1.27 (1.19–1.36)	1.28 (1.20–1.37)
Moderate under- weight	1616	94,971.9	17.0	1.36 (1.30–1.43)	1.14 (1.09–1.20)	1.13 (1.07–1.19)	1.14 (1.08–1.19)
Mild under- weight	4025	272,731.5	14.8	1.18 (1.14–1.22)	1.10 (1.06–1.13)	1.09 (1.05–1.12)	1.09 (1.06–1.13)
Normal weight	88,434	7,066,609.0	12.5	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)

**Table 2.** Calculated hazard ratios for fracture according to the degree of underweight. Model 1: non-adjusted. Model 2: adjusted for age and sex. Model 3: adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, alcohol consumption, low income, and regular exercise. Model 4: Adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, alcohol consumption, low income, regular exercise, diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and chronic kidney disease. *FU* follow-up, *IR* incidence rate, *PY* person-year(s).

.....

### Discussion

The present study was performed to investigate the association between underweight severity and the development of fracture in a nationwide general population in Korea. Underweight was subdivided into mild  $(17.5 \le BMI < 18.5)$ , moderate  $(16.5 \le BMI < 17.5)$ , and severe underweight (BMI < 16.5). The risk of fracture increased in proportion to underweight severity, with severely underweight individuals showing the highest fracture risk in the general population. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the association between underweight severity and fracture risk using real-world large population-based data.

Similar to our findings, previous studies reported that underweight is associated with fracture risk<sup>19,20</sup> This association may be explained by the following hypotheses. First, lower body weight is associated with less soft tissue, including around the bones. As subcutaneous fat can act as a buffer against damage, it is advantageous for maintenance of bone structure and strength<sup>21</sup>. Second, underweight is associated with low bone mineral density. This association can be explained by the gravitational effect of body weight on bones, along with the effects of body fat and lean body mass<sup>6,22</sup>. Third, underweight may be associated with deficiencies in nutrients, such as vitamin D and protein<sup>23</sup>. Low vitamin D levels has been shown to be associated with defective mineralization of collagenous matrix (osteoid)<sup>24</sup>, and protein depletion may affect the bone remodeling process by reducing the

Scientific Reports | (2022) 12:10153 |



Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier estimates of fracture incidence according to underweight severity.

	Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval)							
Category	Severe underweight	Moderate underweight	Mild underweight	Normal weight	<i>P</i> for interaction			
Age								
≥65 years	1.41 (1.27–1.56)	1.08 (1.00-1.16)	1.06 (1.01-1.10)	1	< 0.0001			
<65 years	1.22 (1.12–1.33)	1.21 (1.13–1.29)	1.16 (1.11-1.22)	1				
Sex								
Male	1.64 (1.47-1.82)	1.31 (1.22–1.41)	1.24 (1.18–1.31)	1	< 0.0001			
Female	1.11 (1.02–1.21)	1.03 (0.97–1.10)	1.01 (0.97-1.06)	1				
Hypertension								
No	1.27 (1.17–1.37)	1.11 (1.05–1.18)	1.06 (1.02–1.10)	1	< 0.0001			
Yes	1.29 (1.15–1.45)	1.19 (1.09–1.31)	1.18 (1.12–1.26)	1				
Diabetes								
No	1.27 (1.18–1.36)	1.13 (1.07–1.19)	1.08 (1.04–1.11)	1	< 0.0001			
Yes	1.39 (1.13–1.72)	1.22 (1.03-1.43)	1.28 (1.16–1.42)	1				

**Table 3.** Fracture risk subgroup analyses according to the degree of underweight. Adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, drinking status, low income, regular exercise, diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and chronic kidney disease. There were no significant differences in other subgroup analyses, which included associations of fracture risk with smoking, drinking, regular exercise, low income, dyslipidemia, and chronic kidney disease.

production of insulin-like growth factor 1<sup>25</sup>. Fourth, Gariballa et al. reported a significantly increased prevalence of sarcopenia in underweight patients compared with those with normal or increased body weight<sup>4</sup>. Decreased muscle mass may not provide adequate bone protection, and reduced muscle strength may increase the risk of fall-related injuries<sup>26,27</sup>.

In the present study, older underweight subjects ( $\geq$  65 years) showed a greater fracture risk compared with younger subjects (<65 years). A previous study showed that underweight in the elderly was associated with malnutrition and osteoporosis, both of which are risk factors for fracture<sup>23</sup>. Comorbidities may be associated with the occurrence of fractures, and in the present study, participants with high blood pressure or diabetes also had a higher fracture risk. Hypertension can cause long-term impairment of calcium homeostasis, resulting in persistent calcium loss in the urine, which increases the rate of mineral loss<sup>28</sup>. In addition, high blood pressure is associated with a high level of parathyroid hormone, which can accelerate bone turnover and decrease bone mass<sup>29</sup>. Metabolic effects of diabetes, such as acidosis and hypercalcemia, may increase the risk of fractures<sup>30</sup>.

Our results may have important implications from a clinical and public health perspective, supporting the need to avoid severe underweight in adults over 40 years of age and to avoid becoming severely underweight to reduce the risk of fractures. Although our data could not show that the risk of fractures is reduced when severe

underweight is improved, our results can help clinicians explain to patients that severe underweight may increase their risk of fractures.

A notable strength of this study is that our results can be generalized to the Korean population because we used large-scale population-based data representing the whole country to analyze the relationship between underweight severity and fracture risk. However, this study had some limitations. First, our findings could not determine causal relationships due to its retrospective design. In addition, we attempted to adjust for possible confounding factors, but it is possible that some confounders remained. Second, we could not take bone mineral density into consideration because of a lack of relevant information in the NHIS database. Third, we could not determine the cause of the fracture. In the NIHS database, there was insufficient information on injury mechanisms or laboratory findings to determine the cause of fractures. Further well-designed prospective studies are needed to overcome these limitations.

#### Conclusion

Underweight severity was shown to be associated with fracture risk in the general Korean population. Individuals who were more severely underweight had a higher risk of fractures. In particular, the risk of fracture was significantly higher in men than in women, individuals  $\geq$  65 years than in those < 65 years, and subjects with hypertension and diabetes than in those without these comorbidities.

Received: 5 January 2022; Accepted: 13 May 2022 Published online: 16 June 2022

#### References

- 1. Han, S. *et al.* Changes in physical activity and risk of fracture: A Korean nationwide population-based cohort study. *Sci. Rep.* **10**(1), 1–9 (2020).
- 2. Taylor, A. J. et al. Clinical and demographic factors associated with fractures among older Americans. Osteoporos. Int. 22(4), 1263–1274 (2011).
- Unnanuntana, A., Gladnick, B. P., Donnelly, E. & Lane, J. M. The assessment of fracture risk. J. Bone Jt. Surg. Am. 92(3), 743–753 (2010).
- Gariballa, S. & Alessa, A. Sarcopenia: Prevalence and prognostic significance in hospitalized patients. *Clin. Nutr.* 32(5), 772–776 (2013).
- 5. Nielson, C. M., Srikanth, P. & Orwoll, E. S. Obesity and fracture in men and women: An epidemiologic perspective. J. Bone Miner. Res. 27(1), 1–10 (2012).
- 6. Lim, J. & Park, H. Relationship between underweight, bone mineral density and skeletal muscle index in premenopausal Korean women. *Int. J. Clin. Pract.* **70**(6), 462–468 (2016).
- 7. Suastika, K. *et al.* Underweight is an important risk factor for coronary heart disease in the population of Ceningan Island, Bali. *Diabetes Vasc. Dis. Res.* **9**(1), 75–77 (2012).
- Tamakoshi, A. *et al.* BMI and all-cause mortality among Japanese older adults: Findings from the Japan collaborative cohort study. *Obesity* 18(2), 362–369 (2010).
- 9. Jordan, S. et al. Body mass index, physical activity, and fracture among young adults: Longitudinal results from the Thai cohort study. J. Epidemiol. 23, 435–442 (2013).
- 10. Court-Brown, C., Duckworth, A., Ralston, S. & McQueen, M. The relationship between obesity and fractures. *Injury* 50(8), 1423-1428 (2019).
- 11. Buhlmann, U. *et al.* Updates on the prevalence of body dysmorphic disorder: A population-based survey. *Psychiatry Res.* **178**(1), 171–175 (2010).
- 12. Al Jawaldeh, A., Osman, D., Tawfik, A., Organization, W. H. Food and nutrition surveillance systems: A manual for policy-makers and programme managers. (2014).
- Cheol Seong, S. et al. Data resource profile: The National Health Information Database of the National Health Insurance Service in South Korea. Int. J. Epidemiol. 46(3), 799–800 (2017).
- 14. Yoo, J. E. *et al.* Association of female reproductive factors with incidence of fracture among postmenopausal women in Korea. *JAMA Netw. Open.* 4(1), e2030405-e (2021).
- 15. Kim, W. *et al.* Impact of waist circumference on the risk of vertebral fracture: A nationwide cohort study in South Korea. *Bone* **145**, 115870 (2021).
- Kim, R., Yoo, D., Jung, Y. J., Han, K. & Lee, J.-Y. Smoking cessation, weight change, and risk of Parkinson's disease: Analysis of national cohort data. J. Clin. Neurol. Seoul Korea. 16(3), 455 (2020).
- 17. Cordero, A., Masiá, M. D. & Galve, E. Physical exercise and health. *Revista Española de Cardiología (English Edition)*. **67**(9), 748–753 (2014).
- 18. Yoo, J. E. et al. Blood pressure variability and the risk of dementia: A nationwide cohort study. Hypertension 75(4), 982–990 (2020).
- Shiomoto, K. *et al.* Effect of body mass index on vertebral and hip fractures in older people and differences according to sex: A retrospective Japanese cohort study. *BMJ Open* 11(11), e049157 (2021).
- Tanaka, S., Kuroda, T., Saito, M. & Shiraki, M. Overweight/obesity and underweight are both risk factors for osteoporotic fractures at different sites in Japanese postmenopausal women. Osteoporos. Int. 24(1), 69–76 (2013).
- 21. Mühlberg, A. *et al.* Three-dimensional distribution of muscle and adipose tissue of the thigh at CT: Association with acute hip fracture. *Radiology* **290**(2), 426–434 (2019).
- 22. Prakash, K. O., Choudhary, R. & Singh, G. Lean body mass, body fat percentage, and handgrip strength as predictors of bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. J. Mid-Life Health. 12(4), 299 (2021).
- Hao, L., Carson, J. L., Schlussel, Y., Noveck, H. & Shapses, S. A. Vitamin D deficiency is associated with reduced mobility after hip fracture surgery: A prospective study. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 112(3), 613–618 (2020).
- Busse, B. *et al.* Vitamin D deficiency induces early signs of aging in human bone, increasing the risk of fracture. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 5(193), 193ra88-ra88 (2013).
- Sheng, M. H., Lau, K. W. & Baylink, D. J. Role of osteocyte-derived insulin-like growth factor I in developmental growth, modeling, remodeling, and regeneration of the bone. J. Bone Metab. 21(1), 41–54 (2014).
- Scott, D., Daly, R. M., Sanders, K. M. & Ebeling, P. R. Fall and fracture risk in sarcopenia and dynapenia with and without obesity: The role of lifestyle interventions. *Curr. Osteoporos. Rep.* 13(4), 235–244 (2015).
- 27. Pérez-López, F. & Ara, I. Fragility fracture risk and skeletal muscle function. Climacteric 19(1), 37-41 (2016).
- Yang, S., Nguyen, N., Center, J., Eisman, J. & Nguyen, T. Association between hypertension and fragility fracture: A longitudinal study. Osteoporos. Int. 25(1), 97–103 (2014).

- 29. Hu, Z. *et al.* Determining the association between hypertension and bone metabolism markers in osteoporotic patients. *Medicine* **100**(24), e26276 (2021).
- Cooke-Hubley, S. & Kovacs, C. S. The Combined Impact of Diabetes Mellitus and Chronic Kidney Disease on Mineral Metabolism and Bone Fragility 91–115 (Elsevier, 2020).

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Soonchunhyang University Research Fund.

#### Author contributions

S.H. and J.P. designed the study. K.H. performed the analysis, and S.H. and J.P. wrote the manuscript. S.N., H.D.J., K.H., and J.Y.H. contributed to the interpretation of the results. S.N. and H.D.J. participated in the design and K.H. and J.Y.H. conduct of the original cohort study as well as in critical reviewing of the manuscript. All authors approved the final version.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.H. or J.-Y.H.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022