# scientific reports



## **OPEN** Metabolism and antioxidant activity of SIGSTD1 in Spodoptera litura as a detoxification enzyme to pyrethroids

Dongzhi Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Li Xu<sup>1,2</sup>, Hongyu Liu<sup>3</sup>, Xiling Chen<sup>2</sup> & Lin Zhou<sup>1</sup>

Glutathione S-transferase (GSTs) are members of multifunction enzymes in organisms and mostly known for their roles in insecticide resistance by conjugation. Spodoptera litura (Fabricius) is a voracious agricultural pest widely distributed in the world with high resistance to various insecticides. The function of GSTs in the delta group of S. litura is still lacking. Significantly up-regulation of SIGSTd1 was reported in four pyrethroids-resistant populations and a chlorpyrifos-selected population. To further explore its role in pyrethroids and organophosphates resistance, the metabolism and peroxidase activity of SIGSTD1 were studied by heterologous expression, RNAi, and disk diffusion assay. The results showed that  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$  for 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) conjugating activity of SIGSTD1were 1.68 ± 0.11 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> and 76.0 ± 2.7 nmol mg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Cyhalothrin, beta-cypermethrin, and chlorpyrifos had an obvious inhibitory effect on SIGSTD1 activity, especially for fenvalerate, when using CDNB as substrate. Fenvalerate and cyhalothrin can be metabolized by SIGSTD1 in E. coli and in vitro. Also, silencing of SIGSTd1 significantly increased the toxicity of fenvalerate and cyhalothrin, but had no significant effect on the mortality of larvae treated by beta-cypermethrin or chlorpyrifos. SIGSTD1 possesses peroxidase activity using cumene hydroperoxide as a stress inducer. The comprehensive results indicate that SIGSTD1 is involved in fenvalerate and cyhalothrin resistance of S. litura by detoxication and antioxidant capacity.

Spodoptera litura (Fabricius) is a polyphagous agricultural insect pest widely distributed worldwide. It had high fecundity and a short life cycle, which always resulted in outbreaks. S. litura feed on over 150 species of host plants, particularly on economically important crops, causing serious yield and economic losses<sup>1,2</sup>. The control of S. litura still relies on chemical insecticides. As the repeated and indiscrimination application, S. litura populations from China, Pakistan, and India were reported to develop high resistance to pyrethroids, organophosphates, and even some new insecticides, such as spinosad and abamectin<sup>3-6</sup>.

Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) are an important detoxifying enzyme system dividing into microsomal, mitochondrial, and cytosolic GSTs according to their location in cells. Only microsomal and cytosolic GSTs were reported in insects<sup>7,8</sup>. Microsomal GSTs in insects are membrane-bound proteins and less reported, while cytosolic GSTs are water-soluble and could be further classified into seven groups, including epsilon, delta, omega, sigma, theta, zeta, and unclassified<sup>9,10</sup>. GSTs in epsilon and delta groups are unique in insects and mostly reported to contribute to insecticides resistance<sup>11-13</sup>.

GSTs are reported to be involved in pyrethroids resistance by metabolism<sup>14-16</sup> and sequestration<sup>17</sup>. GSTs also participated in organophosphates resistance by catalyzing the conjugation of reduced glutathione (GSH) with insecticides<sup>18</sup>. In S. litura, a total of 31 cytosolic GSTs genes have been identified, including 15 epsilon and 4 delta genes<sup>19</sup>. Several GSTs genes from epsilon group were reported to paly roles in insecticides resistance. For example, the expression of SlGSTe1 was up-regulated significantly in four pyrethroid-resistant populations and could be induced by chlorpyrifos. Its recombinant protein showed high binding activity with chlorpyrifos, malathion, phoxim, deltamethrin<sup>20,21</sup>. The expression of SIGSTe2 and SIGSTe3 in S. litura was significantly induced by DDT<sup>22</sup> and herbicide<sup>23</sup>, and the recombinant protein of SIGSTE2 could conjugate with DDT<sup>22</sup>. SIGSTe12 was significantly overexpressed in populations resistant to pyrethroids and organophosphates, and its recombinant protein could

<sup>1</sup>Postdoctoral Mobile Station of Crop Science, Henan Agricultural University, Wenhua Road, Jinshui District, Zhengzhou, Henan, China. <sup>2</sup>Postdoctoral Research Base, Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Xinxiang, Henan, China. <sup>3</sup>College of Resources and Environment, Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Xinxiang, Henan, China.<sup>™</sup>email: zhoulin@henau.edu.cn



**Figure 1.** Electrophoresis of recombinant protein SIGSTD1 and its kinetic properties. (**A**) SDS-PAGE electrophoresis of recombinant protein SIGSTD1. The region of the target recombinant protein was indicated by a red box. Lanes from left to right represent: Marker, protein marker, Lane 1, pET-26b(+) protein extract, Lane 2, pET-26b(+)/*SIGSTd1* protein extract, Lane 3, pET-26b(+)/*SIGSTd1* protein extract induced by isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), Lane 4, purified SIGSTD1. (**B**) The  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$  values of SIGSTD1 calculated with CDNB as a substrate. Original blots/gels are presented in Supplementary Fig. 1.

metabolize phoxim, fenvalerate, cyhalothrin, especially for chlorpyrifos<sup>24</sup>. The expression of *SlGSTe9* decreased with chlorpyrifos and phoxim resistance level recession, and its recombinant protein could metabolize chlorpy-rifos directly<sup>25</sup>. However, the function of GSTs genes from delta groups of *S. litura* is still lacking.

In addition to the typical roles in detoxification of insecticides or other xenobiotic compounds, GSTs also showed antioxidant activity to protect organisms from oxidative stress caused by cold, heat, ultraviolet,  $H_2O_2$ , cumene hydroperoxide (CHP), metal, nanoparticles, or insecticides<sup>26–29</sup>. In *S. litura*, SIGSTE1, SIGSTE9, SIG-STE12, SIGSTO2 from epsilon and omega clusters have been reported to have antioxidant activity<sup>20,24,25,30</sup>.

Our previous study has indicated that *SlGSTd1*, a GSTs gene from delta cluster, is significantly overexpressed in four field-collected populations (LF, NJ, JD and CZ) resistant to pyrethroids<sup>21</sup>. Zhang et al.<sup>19</sup> also reported the significantly higher expression level of *SlGSTd1* in a chlorpyrifos-selected strain than the susceptible strain. Does the overexpression of *SlGSTd1* relate with pyrethroids and chlorpyrifos resistance? Heterologously expression and RNAi were adopted to investigate the contribution of *SlGSTd1* in insecticides resistance. The findings enriched the knowledgement of GSTs gene from delta cluster and also revealed the insecticides resistance mechanism in *S. litura*.

#### Results

**The expression of SIGSTD1 in** *E. coli* and kinetic properties. To evaluate the role of *SIGSTd1* in pyrethroids and chlorpyrifos resistance, *SIGSTd1* was heterologously expressed in *Escherichia coli*. Its recombinant protein was characterized by SDS-PAGE and kinetic properties were determined using 2,4-dinitrochlorobenzene (CDNB) as a standard substrate. The theoretical molecular mass of SIGSTD1 is predicted to be 24.3 kDa by Compute pI/Mw (https://web.expasy.org/compute\_pi/), conforming with the indicated band in lane 3 and lane 4 marked with red box in Fig. 1A (between 20 and 26 kDa). The recombinant protein SIGSTD1 showed high catalysis activity to CDNB, and its  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$  values were 1.68 ± 0.11 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> and 76.0 ± 2.7 nmol mg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Fig. 1B).

**Inhibition of insecticides on the activity of SIGSTD1.** To determine the competition binding ability of fenvalerate, beta-cypermethrin, cyhalothrin and chlorpyrifos to SIGSTD1 conjugating activity against CDNB, a set of experiments was carried out using diethyl maleate (DEM, the inhibitor of GSTs) as a positive control. As shown in Fig. 2, the half inhibitory concentrations ( $IC_{50}$ ) value of DEM against SIGSTD1 activity was calculated to be the lowest with  $2.1 \pm 0.3 \mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>. The  $IC_{50}$  values of fenvalerate, cyhalothrin, beta-cypermethrin and chlorpyrifos to SIGSTD1 activity were  $21.6 \pm 3.2$ ,  $123.8 \pm 10.3$ ,  $129.3 \pm 8.1$  and  $170.2 \pm 15.2 \mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

**In vitro metabolism activity of SIGSTD1 to insecticides.** In order to determine the metabolic activity of purified recombinant protein SIGSTD1 to insecticides, the residues of insecticide in the mixture after incubation were detected by ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC). As shown in Table 1, the residual peak area of fenvalerate and cyhalothrin incubated with SIGSTD1 for 3 h decreased significantly compared with that incubated with potassium buffer saline (PBS) or boiled SIGSTD1. While the residual peak area of alpha-cypermethrin, theta-cypermethrin and chlorpyrifos incubated with SIGSTD1 had no significant reduction compared with PBS or boiled SIGSTD1.



Figure 2. The  $IC_{50}$  values of DEM, fenvalerate, cyhalothrin, beta-cypermethrin and chlorpyrifos on enzyme activity of SIGSTD1.

	Peak area of residual insecticides (mAu s)				
Treatment	Fenvalerate	Cyhalothrin	Alpha-cypermethrin	Theta-cypermethrin	Chlorpyrifos
PBS	1,646,348±34,091a	1,065,526±12,562a	580,381±13,016a	1,041,216±24,023a	138,272±8780a
Boiled SIGSTD1	1,596,852±23,163a	1,065,982±15,624a	573,658±19,256a	1,029,658±30,251a	135,639±3625a
SIGSTD1	1,540,917±18,891b	1,035,990±7368b	545,015±28,967a	974,293 ± 55,054a	137,821±6198a

**Table 1.** The metabolism activity of purified recombinant SIGSTD1 to insecticides. Different lowercase letters indicated significant differences analyzed by the student's test (P<0.05).

**Metabolism activity of SIGSTD1 in** *E. coli* **to insecticides.** The metabolic activity of SIGSTD1 expressed in *E. coli* toward insecticides was also evaluated. As shown in Fig. 3A, compared with control, *E. coli* containing pET-26b(+)/*SIGSTd1* showed significant degradation of fenvalerate after incubated for 48, 72 and 96 h. The residual peak area of cyhalothrin incubated with *E. coli* containing pET-26b(+)/*SIGSTd1* was significantly reduced after 72 and 96 h compared with LB liquid medium or pET-26b(+) (Fig. 3B). After incubation with theta-cypermethrin (Fig. 3C), alpha-cypermethrin (Fig. 3D) and chlorpyrifos (Fig. 3E), the residual peak area of *E. coli* containing pET-26b(+)/*SIGSTd1* had no significant change when compared with pET-26b(+) medium.

Silencing of *SlGSTd1* increased the susceptibility of *S. litura* to fenvalerate and cyhalothrin. To validate the involvement of *SlGSTd1* in insecticides resistance by in vivo data, RNAi of *SlGSTd1* was accomplished by feeding larvae with artificial diet containing dsRNA. As shown in Fig. 4A, the relative expression level of *SlGSTd1* decreased significantly after *dsSlGSTd1* feeding for 12 and 24 h, when compared to the treatment of *dsGFP* and H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. In addition, compared with *dsGFP* and H<sub>2</sub>O, the relative expression level of *SlGSTd1* showed no significant change after feeding on *dsSlGSTd1* for 48 h. Bioassay results showed that silencing of *SlGSTd1* significantly increased the cumulative mortality after fenvalerate treated for 72 h (Fig. 4B) and cyhalothrin treated for 48, 60 and 72 h (Fig. 4C). The cumulative mortality after treatment with beta-cypermethrin and chlorpyrifos had no significant change after feeding on *dsGFP* or ds*SlGSTd1* (Fig. 4D,E).

**Antioxidant activity of SIGSTD1 in** *E. coli* against CHP. To further characterize the antioxidant activity of SIGSTD1, the halo diameter of inhibition zones in LB plates spread with *E. coli* expressing pET-26b(+)/SIGSTd1 or pET-26b(+) was measured using CHP as a stress inducer. When the concentrations of CHP were 50, 100, 200, and 300 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>, the inhibition zone halo diameter of *E. coli* cells expressing pET-26b(+)/SIGSTd1 was significantly lower than that of *E. coli* cells expressing pET-26b(+)/SIGSTd1 was significantly lower than that of *E. coli* cells expressing pET-26b(+), with inhibition rates of 32.0%, 15.5%, 33.9% and 19.5%, respectively (Fig. 5A,B).



**Figure 3.** The residual peak area of fenvalerate (**A**), cyhalothrin (**B**), theta-cypermethrin (**C**), alphacypermethrin (**D**) and chlorpyrifos (**E**) metabolized by SIGSTD1 in *E. coli*. CK indicates the reaction added with LB liquid medium. pET-26b(+) indicates the reaction added with *E. coli* of empty vector pET-26b(+). pET-26b(+)/*SIGSTd1* indicates the reaction added with *E. coli* of recombinant vector pET-26b(+)/*SIGSTd1*.



**Figure 4.** The relative expression of *SlGSTd1* and bioassay results of larvae in *S. litura* after RNAi treatment. (**A**) The relative expression of *SlGSTd1* in *S. litura* after larvae feeding on dsRNA or  $H_2O$ . Different lowercase letters indicated significant differences analyzed by ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD test (*P*<0.05). (**B**–**E**) Mortality of larvae treated by fenvalerate (**B**), cyhalothrin (**C**), beta-cypermethrin (**D**), chlorpyrifos (**E**) after feeding on dsRNA. \* Indicated significant differences in larvae mortality between feeding on *dsSlGSTd1* and *dsGFP* (student's t-test, *P*<0.05).



**Figure 5.** Inhibition zone halo diameter of *E. coli* expressing pET-26b(+)/*SlGSTd1* and pET-26b(+) under different concentrations of CHP (**A**,**B**). (**A**) Error bar indicated SD of three replication. \*Indicated significant differences between comparative groups (P < 0.05, student's t-test). (**B**) Labels 1–5: 0, 50, 100, 200, 300 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Discussion

As one of the three major detoxification enzymes in the organism, GSTs have been well demonstrated to be involved in the detoxification of both endogenous and xenobiotic compounds, such as insecticides<sup>31</sup> and plant allelochemicals<sup>32</sup>. In this study, SIGSTD1 in *S. litura* was demonstrated to play roles in fervalerate and cyhalothrin resistance by metabolism activity or its antioxidant activity.

Cytosolic GSTs are hetero- or homo-dimeric proteins with a molecular weight around 25 kDa<sup>7</sup>. Here, the molecular weight of SIGSTD1 is identified around 26 kDa by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis, similar to its theoretical value. The kinetic properties of recombinant protein SIGSTD1 were determined using CDNB as a substrate. The results showed that the  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$  values of SIGSTD1 were  $1.68 \pm 0.11 \text{ mmol } \text{L}^{-1}$  and  $76.0 \pm 2.7 \text{ nmol } \text{min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1}$  (Fig. 1B), indicating that SIGSTD1 was successfully expressed in *E. coli*. The IC<sub>50</sub> value of insecticides on GST activity inhibition can represent the affinity of insecticide to GST enzyme, and this affinity is related to the metabolic ability of insecticide<sup>15,33</sup>. As shown in Fig. 2, the IC<sub>50</sub> value of fenvalerate was lower than that of cyhalothrin, beta-cypermethrin and chlorpyrifos, indicating that fenvalerate had a higher affinity for competitive binding to SIGSTD1.

The significant overexpression of GSTs genes in insecticides-resistant populations is often deduced to play role in insecticides resistance<sup>34</sup>. However, the relationship still needs further validation, and metabolism activity could provide the most direct evidence of gene function. SIGSTd1 is highly likely to be involved in the detoxifying of pyrethroids and chlorpyrifos for its significant overexpression in pyrethroid-resistant populations<sup>21</sup> and a chlorpyrifos-selected strain<sup>19</sup>. Studies have suggested that pyrethroids could be metabolized by insect GSTs. For example, HaGST-8 in Helicoverpa armigera could effectively metabolize cypermethrin in an aqueous solution<sup>35</sup>. CpGSTd1, CpGSTd3 and CpGSTe3 in Cydia pomonella could metabolize lambda-cyhalothrin, a most commonly used insecticide for C. pomonella control<sup>14-16</sup>. In this study, a delta GST in S. litura, SIGSTD1, was found to have metabolism activity to fenvalerate and cyhalothrin in E. coli and in vitro. Additionally, the cumulative mortality of larvae applied by fenvalerate and cyhalothrin was increased significantly after the silencing of *SlGSTd1*. However, SIGSTD1 could not metabolize beta-cypermethrin or chlorpyrifos directly either in vitro or in E. coli. Our previous study also showed that beta-cypermethrin could not be metabolized directly by SIGSTE9, SIGSTE12 or SIGSTO2, either<sup>24,25,30</sup>. But these GSTs might play a role in beta-cypermethrin resistance by sequestration or its antioxidant activity, which needs further study. Although SIGSTd1, SIGSTe9, SIGSTe12 and SIGSTo2 were both overexpressed in pyrethroid-resistant populations, their recombinant proteins have different metabolism spectrum for pyrethroids<sup>24,25,30</sup>. These findings enriched the growing body of evidence that the GSTs can deal with a variety of xenobiotic compounds through substrate diversity and specificity.

In some insects, the GST isoenzyme, acting as an independent peroxidase, has been thought to aid in acellular antioxidant defense by reducing organic hydroperoxides within membranes and lipoproteins<sup>36</sup>. Vontas et al. found that the resistance of *Nilaparvata lugens* to permethrin was caused by the peroxidase activity of GSTs, and concluded that GSTs were involved in the resistance to pyrethroids by protecting insect tissues from peroxidation damage<sup>37</sup>. *GSTD1, GSTD2, GSTD3, GSTD7, GSTD9* and *GSTD10* in *Drosophila melanogaster* were found to have 4-hydroxynonenal conjugating activity, indicating their potential to reduce oxidative stress<sup>38</sup>. Notably, only *GSTD1* (expressed as *DmGSTD1-1*) showed glutathione peroxidase activity against substrate CHP<sup>38</sup>. In *Culex pipiens*, CpGSTD1 exhibited peroxidase activity with CHP, while CpGSTD2 showed no such activity<sup>39</sup>. Our study showed that SIGSTD1 had peroxidase activity, similar to SIGSTE9, SIGSTE12 and SIGSTO2. Based on the above findings, it is deduced that GSTs may play a role in the antioxidant defense of cells against pesticide induced oxidative damage, thereby contributing to insecticides resistance.

In conclusion, SIGSTD1 in *S. litura* can metabolize fenvalerate and cyhalothrin both in *E. coli* and in vitro. Fenvalerate had a strong affinity to SIGSTD1. The silencing of *SIGSTd1* significantly increased the toxicity of

Primer name	Primer sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Primer usage	
SlGSTd1F	CATATG GCTTTAGCTCTATACTAC	- cDNA full length clone	
SlGSTd1R	CTCGAGCAACTCAGTTTTTGCTTTGA		
dsSlGSTd1-F1	<u>GGATCCTAATACGACTCACTATAGG</u> GCAGCCCTTAACATCCA	dePNA clone of SICST41	
dsSlGSTd1-R1	CGGTAGCGTCAATCGTAG		
dsSlGSTd1-F2	GCAGCCCTTAACATCCA		
dsSlGSTd1-R2	<u>GGATCCTAATACGACTCACTATAGG</u> CGGTAGCGTCAATCGTAG		
dsGFP-F1	<u>GGATCCTAATACGACTCACTATAGG</u> CACCCTCGTGACCACCCTG	dsRNA clone of GFP	
dsGFP-R1	TTGATGCCGTTCTTCTGCTTG		
dsGFP-F2	CACCCTCGTGACCACCCTG		
dsGFP-R2	<u>GGATCCTAATACGACTCACTATAGG</u> TTGATGCCGTTCTTCTGCTTG		
SlGSTd1qF	TAAGTTGACCTTGGCTGACC	- qRT-PCR	
SlGSTd1qR	TATCCAGGTGCCGATGTCTT		

**Table 2.** Primer pairs used in this study. Nucleotides bold were restriction enzyme sites. Nucleotides underlined were T7 RNA polymerase promoter sequence.

fenvalerate and cyhalothrin to *S. litura*. Also, SIGSTD1 showed peroxidase activity. Taken together, these findings suggested that *SIGSTd1* in *S. litura* played a direct role in fenvalerate and cyhalothrin resistance.

#### Materials and methods

**Insect culture.** A population of *S. litura* (NJ) originally collected from Nanjing, Jiangsu province, China, is used in this study. NJ population had high level of resistance to pyrethroids, and low or no resistance to phoxim, profenofos, chlorpyrifos, emamectin benzoate, chlorantraniliprole, cyantraniliprole, imidacloprid, or methomyl. Its rear condition is the same as the description in Xu et al.<sup>21</sup>. Briefly, larvae were fed with artificial feed under the conditions of  $27 \pm 1$  °C, 70% relative humidity, and a photoperiod of 12 h light and 12 h dark until pupal stage. Adults were provided with 10% honey solution.

**Expression and purification of SIGSTD1.** Total RNA was extracted from the third instar larvae. The first-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg RNA according to the instructions of FastQuant RT Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China). The coding sequence of *SIGSTd1* was amplified by PCR with primer pairs added with NdeI and XhoI (Table 2). The PCR products were inserted to pET-26b(+) and the expression vector pET-26b(+)/*SIGSTd1* was constructed. The constructed expression vector was then transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) (Tiangen, Beijing, China) and cultured in LB liquid medium containing kanamycin (50 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, Tiangen, Beijing, China) at 37 °C. IPTG (Tiangen, Beijing, China) at final concentration of 1 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> was added to induce the expression of SIGSTD1. The induced cells were cultured for an additional 3 h at 37 °C, 160 rpm before collection by centrifugation at 10,000g for 10 min at 4 °C. The resulting cell pellets were resuspended in potassium phosphate buffer (20 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>, pH 7.0) and then cracked by an ultrasonic processor (Sonics and Materials, Inc., USA) for 10 min. The suspension was centrifuged at 20,000g at 4 °C for 30 min. The supernatant was collected as crude recombinant protein SIGSTD1. Protein purification was conducted using HisPur<sup>™</sup> Ni–NTA Purification Kit and Zeba<sup>™</sup> Spin Desalting Columns (Thermo, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's instruction.

**Enzyme kinetics analysis of SIGSTD1.** The purified protein was diluted to 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>. The denaturing SDS-PAGE (12.5%) was conducted, and Coomassie Blue R-250 (Tiangen, Beijing, China) was used as a staining solution.

The kinetic parameters of recombinant protein SIGSTD1 were determined using CDNB (J&K, Beijing, China) as a standard substrate. The reaction system consisted of PBS (1 mL, 0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup>, pH 7.0), the enzyme preparation (5  $\mu$ L, 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>), and freshly prepared GSH (30  $\mu$ L, 100  $\mu$ mol mL<sup>-1</sup>, pH 7.0, J&K, Beijing, China). The mixture was added with a series of diluted CDNB (50  $\mu$ L, 1.5625, 3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50  $\mu$ mol mL<sup>-1</sup>), respectively, to initiate the reaction. Absorbance at 340 nm (A<sub>340</sub>) was monitored by a microplate reader (Biotek, USA) within 0–180 s. Each reaction was performed in triplicate with three samples.  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$  of the protein were obtained according to the Michaelis–Menten equation with SigmaPlot 12.0 (Systat Software, San Jose, CA., URL: https:// systatsoftware.com/sigmaplot/).

**Enzyme inhibition experiments.** The inhibitory effect of fenvalerate (93.4%, Jiangsu Changlong Chemicals Co., Ltd., Jiangsu, China), beta-cypermethrin (95.0%, Beijing Huarong Biochemical Co., Ltd., Beijing, China), cyhalothrin (98.4%, Beijing Huarong Biochemical Co., Ltd., Beijing, China), and chlorpyrifos (95.0%, Beijing Huarong Biochemical Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) on the activity of recombinant protein SIGSTD1 was measured with the method described in Wang et al.<sup>16</sup>. The assay mixture was consisted of PBS (900  $\mu$ L, 0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup>, pH 7.0), freshly prepared GSH (30  $\mu$ L, 100  $\mu$ mol mL<sup>-1</sup>, pH 7.0), recombinant protein (5  $\mu$ L, 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) and gradient diluted insecticides (10  $\mu$ L, fenvalerate: 0.3, 0.6, 1.2, 2.4, 4.8, 9.6 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>, cyhalothrin: 0.55, 1.10, 2.20, 4.40, 8.80, 17.60 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>, beta-cypermethrin: 1.2, 2.4, 4.8, 9.6, 19.2, 38.4 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>, chlorpyrifos: 0.35, 0.7, 1.4, 2.8, 5.6, 11.2 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>). After the addition of CDNB (50  $\mu$ L, 50  $\mu$ mol mL<sup>-1</sup>), the mixture was shaken quickly and A<sub>340</sub>

was recorded. The inhibitor of GSTs, DEM (97%, J&K, Beijing, China), was used as positive control. Each reaction was performed in triplicate with three samples. The  $IC_{50}$  values were calculated and plotted using SigmaPlot 12.0 (Systat Software, San Jose, CA.).

**Metabolism activity analysis to insecticide.** The metabolism activity of SIGSTD1 towards fenvalerate, beta-cypermethrin, cyhalothrin and chlorpyrifos was determined by UPLC. The procedure was the same as our previous study<sup>24</sup>. For in vitro assay, the purified recombinant protein (180  $\mu$ L, 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) was mixed with PBS (250  $\mu$ L, 0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup>, pH 6.8) and preheated at 37 °C, then added with insecticide (20  $\mu$ L, 500 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). Finally, freshly prepared GSH (50 µL, 100 µmol mL<sup>-1</sup>) was added to start the reaction. The reaction system was immediately placed in a water bath and incubated at 37 °C for 3 h. The reaction was terminated by adding the same volume of acetonitrile and saturated with sodium chloride to extract the insecticide. After shaken at 200 rpm for 2 h and brief centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 2 min, the supernatant was carefully absorbed and filtered through a 0.22 µm membrane. The extracts were detected by Waters Acquity UPLC system with an Acquity UPLC BEH C18 analytical column (2.1 mm × 100 mm, 1.7 µm). The chromatographic conditions were acetonitrile/water = 80/20 (v/v), flow rate at 0.4 mL min<sup>-1</sup> and 5 µL injection volume. Fenvalerate, beta-cypermethrin, cyhalothrin, and chlorpyrifos were detected and quantified at 220, 220, 230 and 290 nm, respectively. As a chiral insecticide, beta-cypermethrin could be separated into alpha-cypermethrin and theta-cypermethrin under these detection conditions. The retention time for fenvalerate, alpha-cypermethrin, theta-cypermethrin, cyhalothrin, chlorpyrifos were 1.86, 1.76, 1.67, 1.69, 1.36 min, respectively. PBS or boiled purified recombinant protein was used as control.

For in *E. coli* assay, the concentration of pET-26b(+)/*SlGSTd1* transformed *E. coli* in LB liquid medium was diluted to  $OD_{600} = 1$ . A total of 2 mL of the *E. coli* culture was added to a 50 mL LB liquid medium, containing kanamycin (50 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and insecticide (50 mg L<sup>-1</sup> for chlorpyrifos or 25 mg L<sup>-1</sup> for fenvalerate, beta-cypermethrin, cyhalothrin). The mixture was further cultured at 37 °C, 120 rpm for 6 h, and then IPTG (1 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>) was added to induce SlGSTD1 expression. The mixture (2 mL) was sampled after cultured for 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h. Each treatment was performed in triplicate. The insecticides extraction and detection conditions were the same as the above methods. The control group was treated with LB liquid medium or *E. coli* transformed with pET-26b(+).

**Silencing of SIGSTd1 by RNAi.** Silencing of *SIGSTd1* was conducted with T7 RiboMAX<sup>™</sup> Express RNAi System (Promega, Madison, WI). Briefly, DNA fragments of *SIGSTd1* appended to a T7 polymerase promoter were amplified with primer pairs dsSIGSTd1F-1, dsSIGSTd1R-1 and dsSIGSTd1F-2, dsSIGSTd1R-2 (Table 2). The products were purified and used for dsRNA synthesis. dsRNA of green fluorescent protein (GFP) was also obtained by the methods above and the concentration of dsRNA was determined by NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific, Foster, CA, USA).

The third instar larvae of NJ population were fed artificial diet containing 3 µg dsRNA after starved for 6 h. The artificial diet containing ddH<sub>2</sub>O or dsGFP under the same conditions was used as control. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was conducted to determine the dsRNA interference effective after 12, 24, 48 h treatment. The qRT-PCR tests were performed with SuperReal PreMix Plus (SYBR Green, Tiangen, Beijing, China) using a QuantStudio 6 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems by Life Technologies, Foster, CA, USA). The PCR mixture (20 µL) contained 10 µL of 2× SuperReal PreMix solution, 0.4 µL of 50× ROX reference dye, 1 µL of the cDNA template, 0.6 µL of each primer, and 7.4 µL ddH<sub>2</sub>O. The thermal cycling was set as: 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s and 60 °C for 32 s. *EF1α* and *RPL10* were used as reference genes to normalize the expression of *SlGSTd1*<sup>40</sup>. Bioassay was performed by applying 1 µL insecticide at LD<sub>20</sub> to the thoracic dorsum of larvae by Hamilton syringe after 12 h of treatment with dsRNA or ddH<sub>2</sub>O. Each insecticide treatment consisted of 12 larvae and repeated 3 times. The mortality was checked after insecticides treated for 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72 h.

**Antioxidant activity assay.** A disc diffusion assay was conducted in reference to Labade et al.<sup>35</sup> with slight modification to determine the antioxidant activity of SIGSTD1. The LB liquid medium containing *E. coli* transformed with pET-26b(+)/*SIGSTd1* ( $OD_{600}$  = 1.0) was distributed on LB agar plates (50 mg L<sup>-1</sup> for kanamy-cin, 1 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> for IPTG) and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. The *E. coli* solution containing pET-26b(+) was used as control. The filter papers (5 mm diameter) were immersed in CHP (J&K, Beijing, China) at 0, 50, 100, 200, 300 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> dissolved in acetone. All filter papers were placed on the surface of LB agar plates and incubated at 37 °C for 36 h. The diameter of the bacteriostatic zone around the disk was measured. Each concentration was repeated 3 times and the experiment was performed twice.

**Statistical analysis.** The qRT-PCR results were calculated according to the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method and expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD). The student's t-test was used to analyze the statistical differences in the cumulative mortality of third instar larvae, and the disc diffusion assay. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD test was used to analyze the statistical difference of the metabolism activity of SIGSTD1 in vitro, the expression of *SIGSTd1* mediated by RNAi and the metabolism activity of SIGSTD1 in *E. coli*. SPSS 16.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, U.S.A., URL:https://www.ibm.com/search?lang=en&cc=us&q=SPSS) was used for statistical analysis and the *P* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Received: 5 April 2022; Accepted: 31 May 2022 Published online: 16 June 2022

#### References

- 1. Qin, H., Ye, Z., Huang, S., Ding, J. & Luo, R. The correlations of the different host plants with preference level, life duration and survival rate of *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius. *Chin. J. Econ. Agric.* **12**, 40–42 (2004).
- Dhir, B. C., Mohapatra, H. K. & Senapati, B. Assessment of crop loss in groundnut due to tobacco caterpillar, Spodoptera litura (F). Indian J. Plant Prot. 20, 215–217 (1992).
- 3. Wang, X. G. *et al.* Insecticide resistance and enhanced cytochrome P450 monooxygenase activity in field populations of *Spodoptera litura* from Sichuan, China. *Crop Prot.* **106**, 110–116 (2018).
- 4. Kranthi, K. R. et al. Insecticide resistance in five major insect pests of cotton in India. Crop Prot. 21, 449-460 (2002).
- Saleem, M., Hussain, D., Ghouse, G., Abbas, M. & Fisher, S. W. Monitoring of insecticide resistance in Spodoptera litura (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from four districts of Punjab, Pakistan to conventional and new chemistry insecticides. Crop Prot. 79, 177–184 (2016).
- Tong, H., Su, Q., Zhou, X. M. & Bai, L. Y. Field resistance of Spodoptera litura (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to organophosphates, pyrethroids, carbamates and four newer chemistry insecticides in Hunan, China. J. Pest Sci. 86(3), 599–609 (2013).
- Enayati, A. A., Ranson, H. & Hemingway, J. Insect glutathione transferases and insecticide resistance. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 14, 3–8 (2005).
- 8. Friedman, R. Genomic organization of the glutathione S-transferase family in insects. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 61, 924–932 (2011).
- Ranson, H. & Hemingway, J. Mosquito glutathione transferases. *Methods Enzymol.* 401, 226–241 (2005).
   Sheehan, D., Meade, G., Foley, V. M. & Dowd, C. A. Structure, function and evolution of glutathione transferases: Implications for classification of non-mammalian members of an ancient enzyme superfamily. *Biochem. J.* 360, 1–16 (2001).
- Lumjuan, N. *et al.* The role of the *Aedes aegypti* Epsilon glutathione transferases in conferring resistance to DDT and pyrethroid insecticides. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **41**, 203–209 (2011).
- 12. Yu, X. & Killiny, N. RNA interference of two glutathione S transferase genes, DcGSTe2 and DcGSTd1, increases the susceptibility of Asian citrus psyllid (Hemiptera: Liviidae) to the pesticides, fenpropathrin and thiamethoxam. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **74**, 638–647 (2018).
- 13. Zhou, L., Fang, S. M., Huang, K., Yu, Q. Y. & Zhang, Z. Characterization of an epsilon-class glutathione S-transferase involved in tolerance in the silkworm larvae after long term exposure to insecticides. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **120**, 20–26 (2015).
- 14. Hu, C. *et al.* Functional characterization of a novel λ-cyhalothrin metabolizing glutathione S-transferase, CpGSTe3, from the codling moth *Cydia pomonella. Pest Manag. Sci.* **76**, 1039–1047 (2020).
- Liu, J. Y., Yang, X. Q. & Zhang, Y. L. Characterization of a lambda-cyhalothrin metabolizing glutathione S-transferase CpGSTd1 from Cydia pomonella (L.). Appl. Microbiol. Biot. 98, 8947–8962 (2014).
- Wang, W., Hu, C., Li, X. R., Wang, X. Q. & Yang, X. Q. CpGSTd3 is a lambda-cyhalothrin metabolizing glutathione S transferase from *Cydia pomonella* (L.). J. Agric. Food Chem. 67, 1165–1172 (2019).
- 17. Wilding, C. S. *et al.* Parallel evolution or purifying selection, not introgression, explains similarity in the pyrethroid detoxification linked GSTE4 of Anopheles gambiae and *An. arabiensis. Mol. Genet. Genomics.* **290**, 201–215 (2015).
- Meng, L. W. et al. Two delta class glutathione S-transferases involved in the detoxification of malathion in Bactrocera dorsalis (Hendel). Pest Manag. Sci. 75, 1527–1538 (2019).
- 19. Zhang, N. *et al.* Expression profiles of glutathione S-transferase superfamily in Spodoptera litura tolerated to sublethal doses of chlorpyrifos. *Insect Sci.* 23, 675–687 (2016).
- Xu, Z. B., Zou, X. P., Zhang, N., Feng, Q. L. & Zheng, S. C. Detoxification of insecticides, allechemicals and heavy metals by glutathione S-transferase SIGSTE1 in the gut of Spodoptera litura. Insect Sci. 22, 503–511 (2015).
- 21. Xu, L. *et al.* Transcriptome analysis of *Spodoptera litura* reveals the molecular mechanism to pyrethroids resistance. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* **169**, 104649 (2020).
- Deng, H., Huang, Y., Feng, Q. & Zheng, S. Two epsilon glutathione S-transferase cDNAs from the common cutworm, Spodoptera litura: Characterization and developmental and induced expression by insecticides. J. Insect Physiol. 55, 1174–1183 (2009).
- Liu, S. W. et al. Exposure to herbicides reduces larval sensitivity to insecticides in Spodoptera litura (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Insect Sci. 26, 711–720 (2019).
- 24. Li, D. Z. et al. Functional analysis of SlGSTE12 in pyrethroid and organophosphate resistance in Spodoptera litura. J. Agric. Food Chem. 69, 5840–5848 (2021).
- Li, D. Z. et al. SIGSTE9 participates in the stability of chlorpyrifos resistance in Spodoptera litura. Pest Manag. Sci. 77(12), 5430–5438 (2021).
- Corona, M. & Robinson, G. E. Genes of the antioxidant system of the honey bee: Annotation and phylogeny. Insect Mol. Biol. 15, 687–701 (2010).
- Liu, S. C. et al. A glutathione S-transferase gene associated with antioxidant properties isolated from Apis cerana cerana. Sci. Nat. 103, 43 (2016).
- Balci, N., Sakiroglu, H., Turkan, F. & Bursal, E. In vitro and in silico enzyme inhibition effects of some metal ions and compounds on glutathione S-transferase enzyme purified from Vaccinium arctostapylous L. J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn. 5, 1–7 (2021).
- Aras, A. et al. Biochemical constituent, enzyme inhibitory activity, and molecular docking analysis of an endemic plant species, Thymus migricus. Chem. Pap. 75, 1133–1146 (2021).
- He, C. S. et al. Metabolic activity of SIGSTO2 in Spodoptera litura to pyrethroids and organophosphates and its antioxidant activity, China. J. Pest Sci. 3(6), 1132–1139 (2021).
- 31. Tu, C. P. D. & Akgül, B. Drosophila glutathione S-transferases. Methods Enzymol. 401, 204-226 (2005).
- Hopkins, R. J., van Dam, N. M. & van Loon, J. J. Role of glucosinolates in insect-plant relationships and multitrophic interactions. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 54, 57–83 (2009).
- Riveron, J. M. et al. A single mutation in the GSTe2 gene allows tracking of metabolically based insecticide resistance in a major malaria vector. Genome Biol. 15, R27 (2014).
- Li, X. C., Schuler, M. A. & Berenbaum, M. R. Molecular mechanisms of metabolic resistance to synthetic and natural xenobiotics. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 52, 231–253 (2007).
- Labade, C. P., Jadhav, A. R., Ahire, M., Zinjarde, S. S. & Tamhane, V. A. Role of induced glutathione-S-transferase from *Helicoverpa* armigera (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) HaGST-8 in detoxification of pesticides. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 147, 612–621 (2018).
- Parkesi, T. L., Hilliker, A. J. & Phillips, J. P. Genetic and biochemical analysis of glutathione-S-transferase in the oxygen defense system of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genome 36, 1007–1014 (1999).
- Vontas, J. G., Small, G. J. & Hemingway, J. Glutathione S-transferases as antioxidant defence agents confer pyrethroid resistance in Nilaparvata lugens. Biochem. J. 357, 65–72 (2001).
- Sawicki, R., Singh, S. P., Mondal, A. K., Benes, H. & Zimniak, P. Cloning, expression and biochemical characterization of one Epsilon-class (GST-3) and ten Delta-class (GST-1) glutathione S-transferases from *Drosophila melanogaster*, and identification of additional nine members of the Epsilon class. *Biochem. J.* 370, 661–669 (2003).

- Samra, A. I., Kamita, S. G., Yao, H. W., Cornel, A. J. & Hammock, B. D. Cloning and characterization of two glutathione S-transferases from pyrethroid-resistant *Culex pipiens. Pest Manag. Sci.* 68, 764–772 (2012).
- Lu, Y. H. et al. Identification and validation of reference genes for gene expression analysis using quantitative PCR in Spodoptera litura (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). PLoS ONE 8, e68059 (2013).

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Henan Institute of Science and Technology Postdoctoral Research Base, the Key Scientific and Technological Research Project of Henan Province (212102110147).

#### **Author contributions**

D.L. and L.X. conceived the experiments and writing the original draft, D.L., L.X., and H.L. conducted the experiments, X.C.,and L.Z. analysed the results and review & editing the original draft. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

### Additional information

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-022-14043-x.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.Z.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022