scientific reports



OPEN Mechanisms of membrane protein crystallization in 'bicelles'

Tatiana N. Murugova ^{1,2,11}, Oleksandr I. Ivankov ^{1,3,4,11}, Yury L. Ryzhykau ^{1,2,11}, Dmytro V. Soloviov^{[01,2,4}, Kirill V. Kovalev^{[05}, Daria V. Skachkova^{[01}, Adam Round^{[06,7}, Christian Baeken^{8,9}, Andrii V. Ishchenko², Oleksandr A. Volkov^{8,9}, Andrey V. Rogachev^{1,2}, Alexey V. Vlasov 1,2, Alexander I. Kuklin 1,2 & Valentin I. Gordeliy 10

Despite remarkable progress, mainly due to the development of LCP and 'bicelle' crystallization, lack of structural information remains a bottleneck in membrane protein (MP) research. A major reason is the absence of complete understanding of the mechanism of crystallization. Here we present small-angle scattering studies of the evolution of the "bicelle" crystallization matrix in the course of MP crystal growth. Initially, the matrix corresponds to liquid-like bicelle state. However, after adding the precipitant, the crystallization matrix transforms to jelly-like state. The data suggest that this final phase is composed of interconnected ribbon-like bilayers, where crystals grow. A small amount of multilamellar phase appears, and its volume increases concomitantly with the volume of growing crystals. We suggest that the lamellar phase surrounds the crystals and is critical for crystal growth, which is also common for LCP crystallization. The study discloses mechanisms of "bicelle" MP crystallization and will support rational design of crystallization.

Membrane proteins (MPs) play an essential role in living cell processes such as ion transport across the membrane, energy conversion, and signal transduction^{1,2}. One-third of the human genome encodes membrane proteins. Due to their significant role in human physiology, membrane proteins are the targets of about 60% of currently used drugs^{3,4}. To date, the most widely used method for obtaining high-resolution protein structures is X-ray crystallography, which requires high-quality protein crystals. However, the crystallization of membrane proteins remains a major challenge. Unique structures of membrane proteins⁵ account for only ~ 1% of all available unique high-resolution protein structures⁶. One of the in meso methods is crystallization in a bicellar mixture⁷⁻¹¹. Nevertheless, this method leads to the elucidation of several important MPs, whose mechanism is still unclear and only relies on exhaustive trials and errors. The term "crystallization from bicelles" may relate only to the initial state of the matrix, and the evolution of the bicelle state to a matrix capable of supporting crystal growth has not been elucidated.

Considerable efforts have been taken to develop new methods, materials, and tools that could help overcome these stumbling blocks. However, despite past attempts, the rate of membrane protein structures deposition (the first membrane protein structure was deposited in 1985) is still far from those achieved for soluble proteins.

To overcome the challenge, a new method, i.e., MP crystallization in the lipid cubic phase (LCP) matrix, was introduced in 1996¹². This approach allowed crystallization of challenging MPs (e.g., rhodopsins¹³⁻¹⁵ and G protein-coupled receptors) that have resisted crystallization with the standard vapor diffusion methods for decades¹⁶⁻¹⁸.

The in meso crystallization approach was further developed and expanded with other methods and tools, e.g., the utilization of lipids with varied properties to create the LCP matrix¹⁹, crystallization from MSP-based²⁰ or polymer-bounded^{21,22} nanodiscs, and crystallization from bicelles^{7,9,10}.

¹Frank Laboratory of Neutron Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia. ²Research Center for Mechanisms of Aging and Age-Related Diseases, Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 141700 Dolgoprudny, Russia. ³Taras Shevchenko National University, Kyiv 01033, Ukraine. ⁴Institute for Safety Problems of Nuclear Power Plants of the Ukrainian NAS, Kyiv 03028, Ukraine. ⁵EMBL Hamburg Outstation, 22607 Hamburg, Germany. ⁶Previously at EMBL-Grenoble Outstation, 38000 Grenoble, France. ⁷Single Particles, Clusters, and Biomolecules and Serial Femtosecond Crystallography (SPB/SFX) Instrument, European XFEL GmbH, 22869 Schenefeld, Germany. ⁸Institute of Biological Information Processing (IBI-7: Structural Biochemistry), Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany. ⁹JuStruct: Jülich Center for Structural Biology, Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52428 Jülich, Germany. ¹⁰Institut de Biologie Structurale Jean-Pierre Ebel, Université Grenoble Alpes-Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives-CNRS, 38027 Grenoble, France. ¹¹These authors contributed equally: Tatiana N. Murugova, Oleksandr I. Ivankov and Yury L. Ryzhykau. ^{Ze}email: murugova@jinr.ru; kuklin@nf.jinr.ru; valentin.gordeliy@ibs.fr

Protein	PDB ID	Crystal type	Ref
Bacteriorhodopsin	1KME	1	7
Bacteriorhodopsin	1XJI	1	46
Bacteriorhodopsin	4HYX	1	47
Proteorhodopsin	4JQ6	1	48
β2-Adrenergic receptor	2R4S, 2R4R	1	49
Voltage-dependent anion channel 1	3EMN	1	50
Voltage-dependent anion channel 1	5XDN	1	51
Voltage-gated sodium channel	3RW0, 3RVY, 3RVZ	1	52
Ca2+selectivity of a voltage-gated calcium channel	4MS2, 4MTO, and others	1	53
Efonidipine-CavAb complex calcium-selective channel	6JUH	2	54
Xanthorhodopsin	3DDL	1	55
Rhomboid protease	2XTV, 2XTU	1	56
EIIAGlc in complex with the maltose transporter, an ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter	4JBW	2	57
TamA	4C00	1	58
LeuT (prokaryotic ortholog of the NSS family)	3USI and others	1	59
SaMGT (transglycosylase)	3VMT	1	60
Cellulose synthase	4P02	2	61
C10 ring of ATP synthase	3U2F	1	62
N-terminally truncated BamA (HdBamA Δ 3)	4K3C	1	63
Full-length BamA construct from N.gonorrhoeae (NgBamA)	4K3B	1	63
mVDAC1	4C69	1	64
Rhomboid protease GlpG	5F5D, 5F5G, 5F5J, 5F5K	1	65
Gloeobacter rhodopsin	6NWD	1	66
Human sterol transporter ABCG5/ABCG8 (ATP-binding cassette sub-family G member 5)	5D07	2	67
ABC-type bacteriocin transporter PCAT1	4RY2	1	68
Membrane domain of respiratory complex I from E. coli	3M9C	1	69
Membrane domain of respiratory complex I from E. coli	3RKO	1	70
Murine voltage-dependent anion channel	7KUH	1	71
Chloride-pumping rhodopsin MastR	6XL3, 6WP8	1	72

Table 1. The list of the membrane proteins whose structure was resolved by X-ray crystallography using bicellar systems. The additional information such as bicelle formulation, temperature conditions, buffer composition and parameters of a soluble part of proteins is provided in Supplementary Table S1.

Earlier, bicelles were introduced as a membrane mimicking model potentially superior to micelles²³. It was shown that some mixtures of lipids and detergents form disc-shaped particles, with a lipidic bilayer, a core, and a detergent-stabilized rim providing a stabilizing environment for MPs by mimicking native cellular membranes. Dimyristoyl phosphatidylcholine (DMPC) is often used as a long-chain phospholipid component of bicelles, and it can be doped with phospholipids with similar chain lengths but different head groups. On the other hand, the rim can be stabilized by a bile-salt short-chain derivative such as 3-(cholamidopropyl) dimethylammonio-2-hydroxy-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPSO). Since the long-chain phospholipid in bicelles is sequestered into the planar core region, which is devoid of short-chain phospholipid or detergent, the core region of a bicelle mimics a section of natural membrane much better than conventional detergent micelles. The size and the properties of these lipid particles can be varied as a function of Q provides a variety of similar bicelle phases that are amenable to different types of biological studies. In general, at a high lipid ratio (Q>2) and a wide concentration range ($C_{lp}=0.25-25\%$ (w/w)), bicelles with a diameter of approximately 100–500 Å are formed²⁴⁻³³. The decrease of Q results in smaller bicelles^{24,34-36}.

Bicellar mixtures show structural plasticity depending on the lipid to detergent (or a short-chain lipid) ratio (Q), lipid concentration (C_{lp}), temperature (T), and chemical composition^{24,25,28,29,31,37-45}.

In 2002, for the first time, S. Faham and J.U. Bowie presented a new method for crystallizing membrane proteins based on bicelle forming lipid/detergent systems⁷. The bacteriorhodopsin (BR) crystals obtained with this approach belonged to the space group P2₁ with unit cell dimensions of a=45.0 Å, b=108.9 Å, c=55.9 Å, β =113.58°, and a dimeric asymmetric unit and diffracted to high resolution. It is considered that the crystals of BR were of type 1 with a typical membrane-like sandwich packing of proteins, as it is in the case of all crystals grown by the LCP approach. Since that time, several important membrane proteins have been crystallized by this approach^{7,46-72} (see Table 1 and its' extended version Table S1).

Contrary to the dominating paradigm, our analysis of the literature data shows that the published structures obtained with the crystals grown with the "bicelle" approach were of both types (not only type I crystals typical of *in meso* crystallization). While integral proteins without any water-soluble domains usually form layer-like type I crystals, proteins with relatively large (50 Å and more) water-soluble regions tend to form type II crystals. However, type I crystals can form even in the latter case depending on crystallization conditions (see Table S1). Notably, there is no certain dependence of the crystal type on the composition of the precipitant solution. It may mean that the membrane protein crystal growing from the initial bicelle state may go through principally different routes of the structural state of the crystallization matrix resulting in two principally different types of crystals. The main focus of this work is the growth of type I crystals.

The morphology of bicelle systems has been investigated by SAS, NMR, AFM, and $EM^{25,28,29,31,37-43,73}$. For Q suitable for crystallization (around Q = 3), the summarized phase diagram has specific regions, including bicelles, nematic phases, such as branched worm-like micelles or ribbon-like structures, multilamellar structures, and perforated membranes (see Supplementary Figure S1(A)). It is known that the phase state of lipidic systems depends on temperature, which may impact protein crystallization⁷⁴.

Interestingly, although the dependence of the system on temperature is complex, most of the crystals grown with the bicelles method were obtained at room temperature. In general, at low temperatures and lipid concentrations, the system is a liquid suspension containing bicelles. As temperature and concentration C_{lp} increase, the system forms worm- and ribbon-like structures^{28,30,37-39,75,76}. A further increase in temperature or concentration causes a transition into a gel phase consisting of unilamellar or multilamellar structures and perforated membranes^{24,28,29,37-39,75,77,78}. A similar system of DMPC/CHAPSO to the one used in this study was described previously²⁴. In a temperature range from room temperature up to 32 °C, this system is comprised of bicellar and ribbon-like structures, whereas a part of the diagram was not defined (Figure S1(B)).

Most of the published phase diagrams were obtained for pure aqueous suspensions of the bicelles. In the case of a crystallization experiment, a precipitant and an MP are added to the system, and its morphology can change. It has been shown that the phase behavior of the bicellar mixture is affected by a membrane charge and buffer salinity. For instance, high salinity promotes vesiculation and the formation of aggregates in charged DMPC/DHPC/DGPC systems⁷⁹. In turn, the presence of a charge on the membrane can induce perforation in the lipid bilayer^{24,30,80}.

Thus the often used term "crystallization from bicelles" may only mean that the starting crystallization matrix is a liquid phase comprising of bicelles, membrane proteins (surrounded by native membranes or membrane mimicking systems) and buffer. However, what happens with the crystallization matrix after the initiation of crystallization (upon adding precipitant) and what the phase state (structure) is when crystals grow is not known.

Here, we present the results of the small-angle X-ray and neutron scattering (SAXS and SANS) studies of structural evolution of the crystallization matrix from the initial bicelle to the final jelly-like state where MP crystals grow. At low Q values, amphiphiles form micelles⁸¹, whereas, as Q increases, they tend to form bicelles. For protein crystallization, the mixtures with Q = 2-3 are used, and the most frequently utilized amphiphiles are DMPC and CHAPSO (or DHPC) (see the list in Table S1)^{7,46–70}.

The growth of the membrane protein crystals was monitored simultaneously in the same experiments. The final (jelly-like) state of the matrix, where the crystals were growing, was formed by interconnected ribbon-like bilayers.

Results

The scheme of the experiment. For our study, we used a well-tested crystallization system and conditions where the formation of BR crystals was previously observed^{7,46}. The experiments were divided into the following four steps (Fig. 1A): (1) preparation of the crystallization system on ice; (2) loading of the crystallization system into a glass capillary; (3) addition of a precipitant and real-time monitoring of the structure evolution of the crystallization matrix; (4) real-time monitoring of the formation of bacteriorhodopsin crystals in the crystallization matrix.

During steps 2–4, the monitoring of the crystallization system and the growth of crystals were performed using SAXS (Figs. 2, 3). In parallel, the system was observed using an optical microscope (Olympus SZX-ILLK200) as well (Fig. 1B).

In addition to the crystallization system containing protein, we also studied (as a reference) the same system under the same conditions, but without protein. The data and the calculations indicate that the presence of protein does not affect the morphology of the crystallization matrix. The calculated ratio of BR to DMPC in our system (the molar ratio 1:200; the cross-section area ratio in a membrane 1:17; the volume 1:6) supports our conclusions.

Step 1. Preparation of the crystallization system. Preparation of the crystallization system (protein/ bicelle mixture) was performed on ice according to the standard procedure described in the "Materials and Methods" section. It is worth noting that instead of the detergent-solubilized BR, we used purple membranes. The system was assumed to present a bicellar structure since the mixture DMPC/CHAPSO forms bicelles at low concentrations and low temperatures⁴⁶. To verify this, we carried out all our SAXS experiments at room temperature; between the SAXS measurements, the capillaries were kept in a temperature-controlled box at 32 °C. The initial concentration of the DMPC/CHAPSO mixture was 5.6%, which is low enough for the bicelles at room temperature as well. Using the scattering method, we observed the formation of the bicelles both in our pure system (no protein) in an appropriate buffer (Fig. 2A) and in the crystallization system in step 1, step 2 (Fig. 2B).





Step 2. Loading the sample into capillary. *Initial state of the crystallization system.* The cooled crystallization system was transferred into the capillary (see "Materials and Methods" and Figure S2). Then the crystallization system was studied by SAXS at room temperature. For comparison, the purple membrane suspension was loaded into another capillary. This suspension contained the same protein concentration as the crystallization system (8.4 mg/ml). The scattering curves for both samples are presented in Fig. 2B. In the small-angle region (up to 0.04 Å⁻¹), the curves follow a linear behavior with a slope of -1.8 for the crystallization system and -2.0 for the purple membranes. These slopes indicate large lamellar structures in the samples, in our case, the purple membranes. We subtracted the scattering intensity from the purple membranes from the intensity for the crystallization system. The result is shown in Fig. 2B (the curve is marked as "difference intensity"). The difference intensity can be fitted by a form-factor for the bicelles (Model 1) with a radius of 50 Å and a height of 45 Å (see fit in Fig. 2B and fit parameters in Table S2). In addition, we examine the structure of the pure bicellar crystallization matrix in the absence of purple membranes using SAXS and SANS (see "Materials and Methods" section for details). The crystallization system presents a bicellar mixture with similar parameters described in Table S2, and the fitting curves are presented in Fig. 2A (for the SAXS experiment) and Figure S3 (for SANS). The SAXS and SANS data for these systems are consistent. For fitting the SAXS/SANS data from the bicelles, we used Model 1 of a cylinder with a core-shell scattering length density (SLD) profile, which is described in "Materials and Methods". Similar model was successfully used in the previous SAS studies of bicelles with different lipid/detergent contents^{44,82–84} (see also Section "SAXS profiles for bicelles" in Text Document S2).

On average, the core radii are about 40 Å; considering the belt thickness results in the total bicelle diameter of ~100 Å (see Section "Differences in bicelle radii" in Text Document S2). The diameter of the bicelles observed in work²⁴ for the analogical system (DMPC/CHAPSO mixture, Q = 3) and obtained using electron microscopy was in a range of 100–500 Å. In accordance with their SANS model fit, the diameter was about 420 Å. However, in the mentioned work, the total lipid/detergent concentration was 0.25 wt %, whereas, in our experiments, it was in a range from 5.6 to 14%. As shown in³⁸, the average size of bicelles critically depends on the total lipid/detergent concentration: the pseudo-hydrodynamic radius decreases by several times when the total lipid



Figure 2. Evolution of the crystallization matrix during the crystallization process. The SAXS curves for the pure DMPC/CHAPSO mixture without PM (left) and the crystallization system DMPC/CHAPSO/PM (right). Experimental data for crystallization system with and without PM are shown as light purple and orange hollow circles, correspondingly. SAXS curves for PM are shown as dark purple squares. The approximations by form-factors of the bicelles and the ribbons are shown as blue lines. The graphical representations of the structural organizations of the crystallization matrix are shown adjacent to the corresponding SAXS curves.

concentration increases by several times. Therefore, the bicelle diameter of ~ 100 Å obtained in our study aligns with the current data on bicelles.

The datasets corresponding to the mixture of the bicelles with PMs (i.e., the crystallization system) at steps 1–2 could be described as a sum of the scattering data from PMs and from pure bicelles (see Fig. 2B and Section "Fitting of difference intensities" in Text Document S2). Thus, we can describe the crystallization system before adding a precipitant as a simple mixture of bicelles and purple membranes.

Step 3. Addition of a precipitant. Evolution of crystallization matrix before crystals nucleation. After the crystallization system (purple membrane/bicelle mixture) was loaded to the capillary, a precipitant was added above the crystallization mixture to the same capillary with an air gap between the crystallization system and the precipitant (see "Experimental" section). From this moment, the volume of the crystallization system began to shrink due to the diffusion of water from the crystallization matrix into the precipitant solution. After a week, the volume decreased by 50–60%, and the next week, it corresponded to 40% of the initial volume. Afterward, only minor changes were observed. The diagram of the volume evaluation decrease is presented in Figure S4.



Figure 3. Transformation of the SAXS curves for the crystallization system during the different steps of the crystallization process. (**A**) The SAXS curves related to different steps of the crystallization process. The red arrows in the small angle region indicate the interference peaks from the lipid/detergent smectic phase (curve designations are given in the legend; steps numbering is described in Fig. 1). (**B**) The peaks extracted from the SAXS curves (part **A**) by subtraction of the baseline. The curves are scaled to separate them vertically for better visualization. The black arrows "La₁" and "La₂" (shown in both **A**,**B**) indicate the lamellar peaks of the first and second order; the other black arrow "L_{cryst} 64 Å" indicates the peak from the local lamellar phase bonded with the protein surface, the spacing of this L_{cryst} is 64 Å. The arrow "68 Å" indicates the precursor of the L_{cryst} phase.

The evolution of the SAXS curves during step 3 is presented in Fig. 3. The curves underwent significant changes, and the crystallization matrix took a transient state. Then the matrix became stabilized and did not change its morphology. This last state is a focus of our thorough study as it shows that the crystals start to grow at this state of the crystallization matrix.

It is important to note that the crucial changes in the SAXS profile at early stages of Step 3 (Fig. 2C,D) are mainly caused by an increase in salt concentration. A buffer with increased salt concentration has a higher electron density (see Section "Buffer SLD values at different steps" in Text Document S2), which is why the ratio between the contrasts $\Delta \rho = \rho - \rho_{buffer}$ of the bicelle components dramatically changes with salt concentration, leading to a variation of the SAXS signal.

At this stage, as in step 2, the crystallization system is a simple mixture of bicelles and purple membranes (see Fig. 2D and Section "Fitting of difference intensities" in Text Document S2), but their concentration is higher due to evaporation the water from the crystallization system. Meanwhile, structural parameters of bicelles undergo slight changes (see Table S2 and Section "*SLD changes in bicelles*" in Text Document S2).

Formation of ribbons. With continued drying, the system undergoes morphological changes. For the interpretation of SAXS curve in the later stage of the step 3 (Fig. 2E,F), we were guided by the phase diagram obtained for the DMPC/CHAPSO mixture in²⁴, where the molar ratio Q=3 was close to Q=2.7 in our experiments. The temperature and the concentration conditions in our experiments are marked by a purple rectangle on the diagram presented in Figure S1. Previously, the reorganization of bicelles into elongated ribbon- and wormlike aggregates was detected by different methods (SAS, electron microscopy, NMR, polarized optical microscopy)^{24,38,75,85,86}. Since the DMPC tends to form a bilayer, these elongated "worm-like" objects should have a flattened cross-section (ribbon-like) with a thickness close to the thickness of the DMPC bilayer. Thus for the approximation of SAXS on the ribbons, the model of an elliptical cylinder with a core-shell scattering length density profile was used (see "Materials and Methods", Model 2). The analogous model of the elliptical cylinder was already used in²⁴ for fitting of the SANS data; however, in the mentioned work, the cylinder was assumed to be of equal density, which is sufficient to fit the SANS data. The case of the SAXS data requires a core-shell model for theoretical approximations because the hydrophobic and hydrophilic parts of micelles and/or bilayers have a different sign of contrast $\Delta \rho = \rho - \rho_{buffer}$ (see, for example, Table S3). In fact, the total ribbon thickness was observed to be slightly larger than the expected thickness of the DMPC bilayer both in our calculations (see Table S3) and in the work²⁴.

The formation of ribbons from bicelles is associated with the DMPC redistribution and the CHAPSO molecules between the head and the belt region of the bicells, correspondingly resulting in the SLD unification and the thickness of the hydrophilic shell of the ribbon. Therefore, the thickness of the resulting hydrophilic shell of the ribbon is fixed on the value $T_{shell} = Max (H_{head}, \Delta R) = \Delta R = 11.4$ Å.

As ribbons originate from the initial bicelle phase, we expect the existence of intermediate phases presented by bicelles and ribbons. This assumption is in agreement with the corresponding regions of the phase diagram of the bicelles (see Figure S1B). Thus we observed the coexistence of bicelles and ribbons in pure DMPC/CHAPSO mixture (without PMs). The scattering curve for this system is approximated with two models: a ribbon and a

mixture of the ribbon with bicelles. The results of both approaches are shown in Table S3 and Fig. 2E. In general, the obtained structural parameters do not differ dramatically: ribbon's length (L) is about 330 Å in both cases, minor/major radii of hydrophobic core of ribbons are 25 Å/47 Å for the case of ribbon/bicelle mixture and 20 Å/43 Å for ribbons only (see Table S3). However, in the case of the ribbon/bicelle mixture, the χ^2 value is much lower (1.2 instead of 3.5) and corresponds to more precise approximation.

In accordance with the volumes of the hydrophobic cores of the ribbons and the bicelles in the case of the DMPC/CHAPSO mixture (without PM), at the stage of the formation of the ribbons, for which the scattering curve in Fig. 2E was obtained, one ribbon is formed from approximately ten bicelles.

SAXS curve (see Fig. 2F) obtained at the last stages of step 3 for the crystallization system (DMPC/CHAPSO/ PMs) contains diffraction peaks from the lamellar phases La, L_{cryst} and the "phase 500–700 Å". In general, the background curve here is similar to the scattering curve from ribbons in case of the DMPC/CHAPSO mixture (see Fig. 2E). In particular, the background is well approximated with the form-factor of ribbons (see solid line in Fig. 2F), and obtained structural parameters are close to those obtained for ribbons in "pure" DMPC/CHAPSO mixture (see Table S3). Therefore, the ribbons are the main component of the crystallization system at this stage of the crystallization process.

Importantly, the scattering curve at this stage does not follow a linear behavior with a slope of -2.0 typical for oblate structures. This might imply that PMs in their initial state are absent at this stage. At least, the concentration of the remaining PMs is not enough to be detected by SAXS. It indicates that a majority of PMs dissociates synchronically with the transition from bicelles to ribbons, and BR molecules incorporated directly into ribbons. Considering that the amount of purple membranes is an order of magnitude less than the DMPS/ CHAPSO mixture, we assume that all purple membranes should dissolve.

Formation of phase 500–700 Å. In the later steps of crystallization, the matrix stabilized, and the SAXS curves did not show any further significant changes. At this stage several peaks are observed in the SAXS curves (Figs. 2F and 3). There are two groups of peaks of different origins. We give them following designations: 500–700 Å and La. The first group presents wide peaks in a small angle region q < 0.025 Å⁻¹ (in Fig. 3A, the curves indicated as steps 3.3 and 4.1; red arrows indicate the peaks). These peaks were observed from one to seven days before and one day after crystals were detected. Then these peaks disappeared as the crystals started growing. These peaks were accompanied by the other group of the lamellar peaks La for 70–80 Å (will be described in the next subsection) or were observed just before appearing of these La lamellar peaks (see Step 3.3 in Fig. 3A).

The first peak position corresponds to the lattice parameter $d = 2\pi / q_{max} 500-700$ Å (average value for series of samples is 660 Å). The second peak corresponding to $d = 2\pi / q_{max} \sim 350$ Å could be the second-order peak of the (660 Å) peak mentioned above; the peak position ratio varies from 1:1.79 to 1:1.89. Or these two peaks can have different origins since the ratio of the peaks' positions is not equal to 1:2. It is worth noting that their appearance is synchronized (see the "Discussion" section), and the lattice parameter $d \sim 350$ Å may be very close to the ribbon length. Unfortunately, we cannot make conclusions on the origin of this peak since the length of the ribbons cannot be estimated accurately due to the unavailability of the data in the q-range corresponding to the condition $q L \ll 1$.

Since the small angle peaks are transient, it was challenging to monitor their behavior with the same sample. We have only two consecutive curves indicating that the peak increased in its intensity, and its maximum shifted into smaller angles (highlighted by the dashed rectangle in Fig. 4). Our discussion of the possible nature of these peaks is given in the "Discussion" section.

Formation of the lamellar phase L α . The second group of peaks corresponds to the lamellar phase L α with the lattice parameter d = 70-80 Å. There are two lamellar diffraction peaks in the middle range of the scattering vectors q (Fig. 3, indicated as "L α_1 " and "L α_2 "). The ratio between the peaks' maximum positions is 1:2. These peaks appeared before the BR crystals formation and remained in the curves even after the formation of the crystals during our entire observation period (two months). Their intensity increased, and the position of maximum shifted to greater q during the time (Fig. 3B and Figure S5). In addition, these peaks were observed in the curves for the samples where we did not find BR crystals. We studied the structural behavior of the pure lipidic matrix in the absence of BR under crystallization conditions and discovered that the lamellar peaks were observed there as well in the last step of the lipidic matrix drying (Fig. 2G), which indicates that the nature of these peaks originates from the lipidic matrix.

We estimated the lamellar distances *d* from the 1st peak position q_{m1} as $d = 2\pi / q_{m1}$. First, when the lamellar peaks appeared, they corresponded to the distance of about 84 Å, and then, with time, to 73 Å. These values were summarized for a set of samples (13 items). The repeat distance *d* for the lipid matrix without BR corresponds to 74 Å. The transition of the bicellar mixture into the lamellar phase was reported for the pure DMPC/CHAPSO and DMPC/DHPC systems at increasing temperatures^{24,25,29,31,73,87}. The reported distances for the pure DMPC/CHAPSO and DMPC/DHPC mixtures are about 62 Å and 65 Å accordingly^{24,29}, and for the multilamellar vesicles of the pure DMPC are around 62 Å^{44,88}. The greater value of 73 Å in our experiments can be caused by the presence of a high-concentrated buffer and BR molecules.

There is evidence that bicellar mixtures can form perforated membranes^{24,37-39,75,80,89,90}, where pores are rimmed by a short-chain detergent. SAXS is unable to distinguish a homogeneous bilayer from a perforated one. Nevertheless, we hypothesize that putative perforations should exist to connect lamellar membranes and help protein migrate from bilayers to the place of the crystal growth which is necessary conditions for the crystals growth. Further work to obtain evidence to support this hypothesis is planned.

Thus, after the addition of the precipitate, the crystallization system, which is initially a mixture of bicelles and purple membranes, begins to shrink: the concentration of bicelles and purple membranes increases. Then



Figure 4. SAXS peaks from the lipid/detergent crystallization phase prior to or at the moment of crystal formation. The peaks' positions correspond to distances 500–700 Å (these values were calculated from the positions of 1st order peaks). The graphs are presented after baseline subtraction. The observed peaks disappeared several days after they appeared. Each curve is measured in a different capillary. The curves are scaled to separate them vertically for better visualization. The dashed rectangle highlights two consecutive curves of the same sample: these curves show that, with time, peak intensity increases and shifts to smaller angles. The arrows indicate the position of the 2nd order peaks.

the bicelles fuse into ribbons, this process is accompanied by the dissolution of purple membranes. Also at this step, the formation of a temporary phase with characteristic parameters of 500–600 Å is observed. A multilamellar phase is also formed with an average lattice parameters of 73 Å. This phase remains at the Step 4, when crystal growth is observed.

Step 4. Growth of crystals. When the crystallization matrix was stabilized, the BR crystals started to grow. We observed the appearance of the crystals by a vis-microscope (see "Materials and methods") in the samples stored at 32 °C from two to three weeks after the capillary filling, and in five weeks, in the samples stored during 4 weeks at room temperature and then moved to a temperature-controlled box with a temperature of 32 °C. Notably, the movement of the samples to 32 °C speeded up the appearance of the crystals. The crystal growth was accompanied by diffraction peaks appearing in the scattering curves in the wide-angle range (Fig. 3, steps number 4) corresponding to the crystal lattice (see below). The intensities of the crystal peaks increased as the protein crystals grew (see Figure S5). These crystal peaks were always observed in the presence of the lamel-lar phase peaks La_1 , La_2 as mentioned above in a section "Step 3".

Identification of the diffraction peaks and the parameters of the BR crystals. Bacteriorhodopsin crystals belonging to the space group P2₁ with unit cell dimensions of a=45.0 Å, b=108.9 Å, c=55.9 Å, $\beta=113.58^{\circ}$ were obtained by a method of crystallizing membrane proteins in 'bicelle' systems in the previously reported work⁷. In our crystallization experiments, the crystals with the same space group were found. Using the peak positions (see Table S4) obtained from the SAXS data (see initial and subtracted data in Fig. 5A,B, correspondingly) for the crystallization matrix after crystal formation, we calculated the unit cell dimensions as a=43.91 Å, b=109.33 Å, c=53.4 Å, $\beta=104.63^{\circ}$, which turned out to be close to the previously reported data⁷ (see details of calculation of unit-cell dimensions in "Materials and Methods").

Formation of the lamellar phase presumed to be associated with the crystal surface (L_{cryst}). There is a diffraction peak at 63.9 ± 0.4 Å (averaged value for seven samples, 21 curves) that we cannot associate with the BR crystals since this diffraction peak's position is beyond the parameters of the BR lattice spacing. On 2D SAXS patterns, this peak is presented as point reflexes (Fig. 6A). Thus we suppose that this peak can be attributed to a quasicrystalline lipidic phase. This peak is always observed in the presence of lamellar La peaks and sometimes before the BR crystals can be observed by vis-microscopy and SAXS. Its amplitude changes concomitantly with the intensities of the BR crystals peaks (Figure S5). The positions of the L_{cryst} peak and the crystals' peaks remain unchanged within their error bars during the observation time. The described peak can have a "precursor": for several samples, we were able to register a peak at 68 Å (Figs. 3B and 6B), then after several days, it shifted to 64 Å and retained that position. The same position at 68 Å was observed for several samples in which we did not register the BR crystals either by vis-microscopy or SAXS. In this case, the peak position did not change during the observation time (60 days). The crystallization system in these cases contains unformed protein aggregates, as seen by vis-microscopy (see Figure S6(A, B)). We assume that there is lipid-protein nucleation at the beginning of crystal formation; this nucleus has a characteristic spacing 68 Å reflected in the appearance of a "precursor" peak. Then a crystal starts to grow with fixed lattice spacing, and the observed peak shifts from 68 Å to 64 Å and



Figure 5. SAXS data from the crystallization matrix after crystal formation (BM29, ESRF). (**A**) The SAXS curve for the crystallization matrix with crystals (blue curve) and the corresponding baseline (red curve). (**B**) The SAXS curve from the crystallization matrix after baseline subtraction (orange). Intensity is multiplied by q^4 for better observation of the wide-angle peaks. The Gaussian approximations of the peaks are represented in black. The Miller indexes (for BR crystals) and the reflex numbers (for the lipidic multilayers La or L_{cryst}) are marked above the corresponding peaks (for more details, see Table S4).



Figure 6. Behavior of the peak for the local lamellar phase L_{cryst} . (A) 2D pattern for the sample containing the BR crystals (corresponds to 1D curve step 4.3 in Fig. 3). The reflections corresponding to the local lamellar phase L_{cryst} are shown by white arrows. The scattering ring belongs to the multilamellar phase La with a spacing of about 84 Å. (B) Behavior of the peak for the local lamellar phase L_{cryst} on the 1D curves. All the SAXS curves belong to different time points of the same sample. The crystallization conditions are the same as for the sample in Fig. 3.

no longer changes this position during crystal growth. We suggest that the 64 Å peak can correspond to the local lateral lipidic phase physically bounded with a BR crystal. It is similar to the lamellar system described for the LCP crystallization^{17,91-93}. Direct observation of the local lamellar phase in the case of crystallization in LCP is described for BR⁹³ and transmembrane peptide DAP12-M⁹⁴. Since this local lipid phase is physically attached to the crystal, it is expected to be oriented relative to the crystal. Moreover, the planes of the membranes are likely

to be parallel to the planes of "type I" crystals. The orientation of this local phase implies that the "precursor" peak in the 2D scattering image is represented by a set of separate diffraction peaks, which can be observed in our data (see Fig. 6A).

Thus after equilibration of the crystallization system, the formation and growth of crystals begin. At this stage, ribbons are dominantly presented, which might help proteins to migrate to the centers of crystal formation from a multilamellar phase L α with the lattice parameter 73 Å. We also observed the formation of the lamellar phase presumably connected directly with the crystal surface (L_{cryst}) with the lattice parameter 64 Å. This phase might allow proteins to diffuse to the crystal surface.

Discussion

Using SAXS, we investigated the structural evolution of the crystallization matrix during crystallization of bacteriorhodopsin in the DMPC/CHAPSO mixture, initially in a bicellar form. We showed that in the initial step, the matrix presents a mixture of bicelles and purple membranes. Thus, initially, the protein is not incorporated into the bicelles, in contrast to other crystallization systems, like LCP and vesicles, where protein solubilized in a detergent is added to LCP.

At the beginning of evaporation, SAXS curves demonstrate significant changes. The changes are caused by increased salt concentration and the corresponding increase of the electron density of the buffer. However, DMPC and CHAPSO are still assembled into bicelles.

In the next steps, in the presence of a precipitant and evaporation water from the matrix, the latter transforms into a phase made up of ribbon-like structures. In this step, the scattering curves do not show dramatic changes, and after that, crystal growth is observed. The phase is viscous, which may indicate branching and interconnectivity of the ribbons. The connection of the ribbons in a continuous network should facilitate the delivery of protein molecules to the nucleation site and crystal growth.

Formation of phase 500–700 Å. It is also possible that the ribbons line up in an orderly package. Therefore, the noncrystalline peaks appearing in the scattering curve can be interpreted as a short-range order between the ribbons. So at the ribbon-like stage, the temporary peaks were observed in a small-angle region of the curves $(q < 0.025 \text{ Å}^{-1})$. These peaks correspond to the spacing parameters of 270–350 Å and 500–700 Å for two directions of ordering. There are two possible interpretations of what this "500-700 Å" phase is. The first interpretation is that these peaks correspond to the lattice parameters 270-350 Å and 500-700 Å and can be associated with the formation of a cholesteric phase made up of ribbon-like structures. The assumption is based on the fact that the lattice parameter is much larger than the ribbon length (see Table S3). In terms of the cholesteric phase, the lattice parameters 500–700 Å correspond to the rotation period along the director axis (the distance over which a full rotation of 360° is completed) known as the pitch⁹⁵. The second peak with a lattice parameter of 270–350 Å corresponds to the length of the ribbons obtained from the approximation of the SAXS curves (see Table S3 and Fig. 2F), which can indicate the additional orientation of the ribbons within this hypothetic cholesteric layers. The second interpretation is that these peaks with lattice parameters of 270-350 Å and 500-700 Å can be associated with the formation of a smectic made up of ribbon-like structures. Smectics are characterized by two order parameters—between the layers and between the elements in one layer. In our case, the smectic layers could be formed by the ribbons. The first smectic peak corresponds to a distance of 500-700 Å between the layers. The second peak corresponds to the distance between the ribbons in one layer of 270–350 Å. The formation of the nematic structures and the orientationally ordered worm-like micelles in the DMPC/DHPC mixtures was shown using polarized optical microscopy and small angle neutron scattering (SANS)^{28,75,89}. The reference²⁸ related to the pure DMPC/DHPC mixture indicates the appearance of a broad peak at $q \sim 0.015$ Å⁻¹ in SANS curves. It corresponds to about 450 Å, which is close to our parameters. Also, the formation of the orientationally ordered worm-like micelles in the DMPC/DHPC mixtures was shown by different methods^{28,31,73,75,89,90}

Unambiguous interpretation of these peaks 270–350 Å and 500–700 Å is impossible by using only SANS and SAXS. We determined that ribbon-like structures are the dominant component of the crystallization system; however, it remains unclear how the ribbons are connected and exactly how they are mutually oriented. To understand the important data of the phase, additional experiments, in particular, a complementary electron microscopy study are desirable. Independently on the true nature of these peaks, we speculated that the formation of such ordered structures might induce protein ordering in the crystallization matrix and promote protein crystallization.

The lamellar phase La. Then we observed a multilamellar phase with a distance parameter of 73 Å. The appearance and growth of crystals were detected by SAXS and vis-microscopy in the steps when the multilamellar phase La was also forming in the matrix. This evidence highlights that the presence of extended lamellar structures is an important condition for crystal growth. Presumably, this phase accompanies crystal growth similar to that of observed with LCP grown crystals. The ribbon-like structures are assumed to be the dominant component of the system since the SAXS curves present a good approximation by a form-factor of the ribbons.

The lamellar phase presumed to be associated with the crystal surface (L_{cryst}). It appears that the presence of a local lamellar (L_{cryst}) phase bound with the crystal surface enables the crystal growth. The presence of L_{cryst} is manifested by the appearance of diffraction peaks in our scattering curves. At crystal nucleation, the L_{cryst} has a distance of 68 Å. Then, during the formation and growth of the crystal, this parameter decreases to 64 Å and maintains this value until the end of the observation (60 days). The protein may diffuse to the crystal surface via this phase.



Figure 7. The scheme demonstrating the evolution of the crystallization matrix and sequential appearance/ disappearance of various structural elements: a mixture of bicelles and PMs, ribbons, the lamellar phase L α , the "phase 500–700 Å", the local lamellar phase L_{cryst} and BR crystals (see more extended description in the main text). Following Katsaras et al.³¹, we present the "phase 500–700 Å" as chiral nematic; however, the true nature of this phase is still unclear. The axis correspond to time, complexity (i.e. number/quantity of the new appeared structural element), and concentration, respectively. Concentration is given in arbitrary units (structural elements have different concentration ranges; here the concentration is presented on the same scale for clarity; dependencies of concentrations vs. time are shown qualitatively).

Summary. Thus, in contrast to the existing paradigm, our study shows that the jelly-like state of the 'bicelle' crystallization matrix, rather than the initial bicelle, is the state where crystals grow. We assume that these lamellar structures should be interconnected to help proteins migrate from bilayers to the place of the crystal formation which is necessary conditions for the growth of crystals. Further work to obtain evidence to support this hypothesis is planned.

Importantly, upon crystal formation, a small amount of multilamellar phase appears, and its volume increases concomitantly with volume and the number of the growing crystals. We therefore conclude that the lamellar phase surrounds the crystals and is critical for crystal growth as is also common to LCP crystallization^{17,91-93}.

We summarize all available information about the evolution of the crystallization matrix that has been mentioned in our work in the following scheme of sequential appearance/disappearance of various structural elements shown in Fig. 7. The process starts with a fluid phase containing a simple mixture of bicelles and purple membranes. Initially, the concentration of bicelles and PMs increases due to a decrease in volume of the crystallization matrix upon drying (see Fig. S4). Then bicelles fuse to ribbons; this process is accompanied by the PM dissolution. Ribbons form a gelly-like phase, which is the main component of the crystallization matrix during the appearance and growth of BR crystals. However, between the appearance of ribbons and crystals, several more types of structural elements appear: the lamellar lipid phase La, the "phase 500–700 Å" and the local lamellar phase L_{crvst}. La corresponds to multilamellar lipid membranes appearing due to the fusion of ribbons. The amount of La increases (see Fig. S5A) simultaneously with a slow decrease of ribbon concentration. For a time much longer than crystals grew (~100 days), ribbons can completely transform into the La phase (see Fig. 2G). After La, the "phase 500–700 Å" was detected. This high-ordered structure has a lattice parameter equal to or even higher than the length of ribbons. According to the literature^{28,31,73,75,89,90}, such structures can correspond to smectics or cholesterics (chiral nematics). The exact role of this high-ordered structure for the protein crystallization process is questionable. The "phase 500–700 Å" appears for about a week and then it disappears. L_{cryst} corresponds to multilayer membranes located on the surface of protein crystals and allowing the protein to diffuse to the crystal surface. In those samples where crystal growth was observed, the appearance of L_{cryst} precedes the appearance of crystals, which indicates that L_{cryst} also corresponds to the protein nucleation zones. Then the intensity of the I(q) peaks from L_{cryst} and from crystals grow synchronously (Fig. S5(A)). Finally, membrane protein crystals appear in the crystallization sample.

Our results help to shed more light on *in meso* MP crystallization and while questions remain the results reported here strongly support the use of this type of crystallization using rational design, making it considerably more efficient. This approach might also help with efficient crystallization of MPs for structure-based drug design, development of vaccines based on MPs belonging to different pathogens e.g. SARS-CoV-2, and other biomedical applications.

Materials and methods

Materials. Lipid 1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DMPC) was purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids Inc; 3-([3-Cholamidopropyl]dimethylammonio)-2-hydroxy-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPSO), 2,5-Hexanediol, triethylene glycol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Preparation of the crystallization system. Protein/bicelle mixture was prepared according to the procedure described previously⁹⁶. CHAPSO and DMPC were mixed at a molar ratio of 1:2.69 (CHAPSO:DMPC). The deionized water (18.2 M Ω ·cm) was added to this mixture to achieve a final concentration of bicelles of 35% (w/v). The mixture was homogenized through cyclic cooling on ice, vortexing, and brief heating (at 40 °C). The prepared mixture was stored at –20 °C.

Purple membranes containing bacteriorhodopsin (BR) were purified from *Halobium Salinarum* cells, as described in⁹⁷, and concentrated to a BR concentration of ~ 10 mg/ml. Cooled purple membranes and 35% solution of bicelles were mixed in a ratio of 4:1 (v/v), gently resuspended, and placed on ice. The precipitant solution was added to the membrane/bicelles mixture in a ratio of 1:4 (v/v) so that the buffer composition of the protein/bicelle mixture was 0.49 M NaH₂PO₄, 36 mM hexanediol, 1.26% triethylene glycol. The precipitant solution contained 2.45 M NaH₂PO₄, 180 mM hexanediol, 3.5% triethylene glycol, pH = 3.7. The final protein-bicelle solution was incubated on ice for 30 min and placed into capillaries. The final protein/bicelle mixture contained 5.6% bicelles (total concentration DMPC+CHAPSO) and 8–9 mg/ml BR (we studied several series of capillaries).

Crystallization set-up in capillaries. Since standard crystallization tools (such as sitting drop or hanging drop) are not suitable for simultaneous performing small angle experiments, we developed an equivalent crystallization procedure in glass capillaries.

The scheme of a capillary is presented in Figure S1. Borosilicate glass capillaries with an outside diameter of 1.5 mm and a wall thickness of 0.01 mm were used; the length of the capillaries was 80 mm. The transfer of the crystallization system (BR/bicelle mixture) into the capillaries was performed using a spinal needle with an outer diameter of 1.1 mm and a length of 90 mm. Before filling the capillary, all the materials (capillaries, needles, and solutions) were cooled to ensure liquid consistency of the protein-bicelle suspension.

The protein-bicelle suspension was placed at the bottom of the capillary. The precipitant solution was placed at the top so that the air gap of 6–8 mm formed between the protein-bicelle suspension and the precipitant solution. The end of the capillary was sealed with wax (Figure S2 and Fig. 1).

One batch of the capillaries was stored at 32 °C, the other one – at room temperature. We noticed that changes in the crystallization system were very slow at room temperature due to a small evaporation area that was limited by the diameter of the capillary. Therefore, after 4 weeks we placed all the capillaries in an incubator box at 32 °C. The crystallization was done at 32 °C. The crystal growth was monitored by a vis-microscope.

SAXS measurements and data reduction. Most SAXS experiments were performed at a Rigaku instrument at the Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (Dolgoprudny, Russia)⁹⁸. The Rigaku SAXS instrument was equipped with a pinhole camera attached to a rotating anode X-ray high-flux beam generator (MicroMax 007-HF) operating at 40 kV and 30 mA (1200 W). The X-ray wavelength λ was 1.54 Å. A multiwire gas-filled detector Rigaku ASM DTR Triton 200 (diameter of the active area is 200 mm, pixel size is ~ 260 µm) was placed at a distance of 2.0 m from the samples (the covered *q*-range is 0.006–0.19 Å⁻¹) and/or 0.5 m (0.024 Å⁻¹ ≤ *q* ≤ 0.8 Å⁻¹). The azimuthal integration of the obtained 2D images was performed using the Saxsgui software (Rigaku Innovative Technologies, Inc., and JJ X-ray System Aps). An additional SAXS experiment for characterizing unit cells of BR crystals in one sample was performed at the bioSAXS beamline BM-29 at the ESRF (Grenoble, France)⁹⁹. The working energy of BM-29 (ESRF) was 12.5 keV, the experimental hutch was equipped with a marble table housing a modular-length flight tube (3.5 m sample to detector distance used for this experiment), a 2D detector (Pilatus 1 M), and an automated sample changer, and the achievable *q* value range was 0.0025–0.5 Å⁻¹. The data collection, processing, and initial analysis were performed in an automated manner using BsxCuBE and the dedicated beamline software within the EDNA framework¹⁰⁰.

SANS measurement and data reduction. SANS measurements were performed at the YuMO time of a flight spectrometer (IBR-2, Dubna, Russia) with a two-detector system^{101,102}. The detectors' positions were 4.5 and 13 m from the sample positions. The used neutron wavelengths λ from 0.5 to 8 Å with the achievable *q*-range from 0.007–0.5 Å⁻¹. Raw data treatment was processed with the SAS program¹⁰³.

Calculation of unit-cell dimensions for BR crystals. For the recalculation of the unit-cell dimensions from SAXS data, the following sum of squares of the related discrepancies was minimized:

$$\sum_{i} \left(\frac{q_{exp}^{i} - q_{theor}([hkl]_{i})}{q_{theor}([hkl]_{i})} \right)^{2} \to min, \tag{1}$$

where q_{exp}^{i} is the position of the *i*th experimental peak, q_{theor} ([*hkl*]_{*i*}) is the theoretical peak position that corresponds to the Miller indexes [*hkl*]_{*i*} giving the closest peak position to q_{exp}^{i} (see Table S4). The theoretical peak positions for a crystal with a monoclinic unit cell are given by the following expression (2):



Figure 8. Models used for the approximation of the SAS data. (A) Model 1: circular cylinder with a core-shell scattering length density profile (in our calculations, we used $\varepsilon = 1$ for the bicelles). (B) Model 2: elliptical cylinder with a core-shell scattering length density profile.

$$q_{theor}([hkl]) = \frac{2\pi}{\sin\beta} \sqrt{\left(\frac{h}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{k\sin\beta}{b}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{l}{c}\right)^2 - \frac{2hl\cos\beta}{ac}}.$$
(2)

SAS data analysis and modeling. The Primus program from the ATSAS software suite¹⁰⁴ was used for the primary processing of SAXS and SANS 1D profiles I(q). SAXS data for water solutions of amphiphilic molecules (unilamellar liposomes, bicelles, nanodiscs, detergent micelles and solubilized membrane proteins) typically demonstrate the intensity maximum in the range $0.1-0.2 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ (see, for example, Fig. 2A), which is caused by strong inhomogeneities in electron density (hydrophobic parts usually have a negative contrast relative to the solvent, while hydrophilic parts have a positive contrast). In studies of such systems by SAXS, errors and ambiguities can arise if using models with homogeneous electron density profiles, which was shown for the membrane protein complex NpSRII/NpHtrII solubilized in a detergent^{105,106}. Therefore, correct interpretation of SAXS data of such systems requires models with different scattering length densities for different parts of the studied objects (in case of bicelles—a detergent belt, hydrophilic heads, and hydrophobic tails of the lipid bilayer). For this purpose, two models were used.

Model 1 (used for the bicelles) is a circular cylinder with a core-shell scattering length density profile (see Fig. 8A, we used a plugin model based on the SasView model of the cylinder and the core_shell_bicelle). In accordance with the previously reported data for the 3S model of the DMPC bilayer¹⁰⁷ (which is analogous to our case), the thickness of this core (H_{tail}) could be fixed at a value of 28.8 Å; in accordance with the known area per lipid, $A_L = 61.8$ Å², the SLD of the hydrophobic core (ρ_{tail}) could be calculated and fixed (see Table S3). The minor radius of the core cylinder is R, the major/minor radius ratio $\varepsilon = 1$. The core faces correspond to the hydrophilic polar heads of DMPC, and the water molecules bound to the polar heads. The thickness of this face layer (H_{head}) is a fitting parameter. We assumed that the belt of the cylinder is formed by CHAPSO. In accordance with the atomic model of the CHAPSO molecule¹⁰⁸, the belt thickness ΔR in our calculations was fixed on the value of 11.4 Å.

Model 2 (used for the ribbons) is an elliptical cylinder with a core-shell scattering length density profile (see Fig. 8B, we used a plugin model based on two SasView models from the "cylinder" category: a core_shell_cylinder and an elliptical_cylinder).

The SAS curves fitting with the models of bicelles and ribbons was done using the SasView 4.2.2 program¹⁰⁹. The optimization of the structural parameters in the mentioned models was done by minimization of the following expression:

$$\chi^{2} = \frac{1}{N_{exp} - N_{param}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{exp}} \left(\frac{I_{i} - I_{model}(q_{i})}{\sigma_{i}} \right)^{2},$$
(3)

where N_{exp} and N_{param} are the numbers of the experimental points and the fitted parameters, respectively; (q_i, I_i, σ_i) is a set of the SAS experimental data. Equations (4–7) for the model curve $I_{model}(q_i)$ are given in the Text Document S1.

The calculations were carried out on the assumption that the structure factor can be neglected (S(q) = 1). Even though the sample concentrations are high (5.6–14%), and some influence of the structure factor on the scattering curve is inevitable, this influence is not so critical to determine the type of the object, in particular, to distinguish between the bicelles and the ribbons, which was demonstrated in work (19). However, the structural parameters determined during the fitting process may differ from the real ones. In fact, there is no way to take S(q) into account correctly as there are no appropriate theoretical models. It is a big challenge to consider the effect of the structure factor at lower concentrations by using dilution and following the SAXS experiments since the structure of the studied objects depends on concentration about those states of the system that occur in real experiments of membrane protein crystallization and that are studied in this work.

Data availability

Data supporting the findings of this manuscript are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 1 March 2022; Accepted: 31 May 2022 Published online: 30 June 2022

References

- 1. Yeagle, P. L. Chapter 10-Membrane Proteins. In The Membranes of Cells (Third Edition) 219-268 (Academic Press, 2016).
- 2. Von Heijne, G. The membrane protein universe: What's out there and why bother? J. Intern. Med. 261, 543-557 (2007).
- 3. Yildirim, M. A., Goh, K. I., Cusick, M. E., Barabási, A. L. & Vidal, M. Drug-target network. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 25, 1119–1126 (2007).
- 4. Overington, J. P., Al-Lazikani, B. & Hopkins, A. L. How many drug targets are there?. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 5, 993–996 (2006).
- 5. MPSTRUC. Membrane Proteins of Known 3D Structure. https://blanco.biomol.uci.edu/mpstruc/.
- PDB Statistics. Number of Unique Protein Sequence Clusters within Released PDB Structures (Annual) at identity 95%. https:// www.rcsb.org/stats/sequence/cluster-ids-95.
- Faham, S. & Bowie, J. U. Bicelle crystallization: A new method for crystallizing membrane proteins yields a monomeric bacteriorhodopsin structure. J. Mol. Biol. 316, 1–6 (2002).
- Poulos, S., Morgan, J. L. W., Zimmer, J. & Faham, S. Bicelles coming of age: an empirical approach to bicelle crystallization. *Methods Enzymol.* 557, 393–416 (2015).
- 9. Ujwal, R. & Bowie, J. U. Crystallizing membrane proteins using lipidic bicelles. Methods 55, 337-341 (2011).
- Agah, S. & Faham, S. Crystallization of Membrane Proteins in Bicelles. In Membrane Protein Structure and Dynamics Vol. 914 3–16 (Humana Press, 2012).
- 11. Ishchenko, A., Abola, E. E. & Cherezov, V. Crystallization of membrane proteins: An overview. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1607, 117–141 (2017).
- Landau, E. M. & Rosenbusch, J. P. Lipidic cubic phases: A novel concept for the crystallization of membrane proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 93, 14532–14535 (1996).
- 13. Kovalev, K. et al. Molecular mechanism of light-driven sodium pumping. Nat. Commun. 11, 1-11 (2020).
- 14. Kovalev, K. *et al.* High-resolution structural insights into the heliorhodopsin family. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 4131–4141 (2020).
- 15. Borshchevskiy, V. *et al.* True-atomic-resolution insights into the structure and functional role of linear chains and low-barrier hydrogen bonds in proteins. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **2022**, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-022-00762-2 (2022).
- Chayen, N. E. A novel technique to control the rate of vapour diffusion, giving larger protein crystals. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 30, 198–202 (1997).
- 17. Caffrey, M. A comprehensive review of the lipid cubic phase or in meso method for crystallizing membrane and soluble proteins and complexes. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. FStructural Biol. Commun.* **71**, 3–18 (2015).
- 18. Michel, H. Crystallization of membrane proteins. In *Techniques and New Developments in Photosynthesis Research* 11–15 (Springer, 1989).
- 19. Ishchenko, A. et al. Chemically stable lipids for membrane protein crystallization. Cryst. Growth Des. 17, 3502–3511 (2017).
- 20. Nikolaev, M. et al. Integral membrane proteins can be crystallized directly from nanodiscs. Cryst. Growth Des. 17, 945–948 (2017).
- Broecker, J., Eger, B. T. & Ernst, O. P. Crystallogenesis of membrane proteins mediated by polymer-bounded lipid nanodiscs. Structure 25, 384–392 (2017).
- 22. Orekhov, P. S. *et al.* Mechanisms of formation, structure, and dynamics of lipoprotein discs stabilized by amphiphilic copolymers: A comprehensive review. *Nanomaterials* **12**, 361 (2022).
- Marcotte, I. & Auger, M. Bicelles as model membranes for solid-and solution-state NMR studies of membrane peptides and proteins. Concepts Magn. Reason. Part A Bridging Educ. Res. 24, 17–37 (2005).
- Li, M. *et al.* Morphological characterization of DMPC/CHAPSO bicellar mixtures: A combined SANS and NMR study. *Langmuir* 29, 15943–15957 (2013).
- Sternin, E., Nizza, D. & Gawrisch, K. Temperature dependence of DMPC/DHPC mixing in a bicellar solution and its structural implications. *Langmuir* 17, 2610–2616 (2001).
- 26. Struppe, J. & Vold, R. R. Dilute bicellar solutions for structural NMR work. J. Magn. Reson. 135, 541–546 (1998).
- Luchette, P. A. et al. Morphology of fast-tumbling bicelles: A small angle neutron scattering and NMR study. Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Biomembr. 1513, 83–94 (2001).
- Harroun, T. A. *et al.* Comprehensive examination of mesophases formed by DMPC and DHPC mixtures. *Langmuir* 21, 5356– 5361 (2005).
- Nieh, M. P., Glinka, C. J., Krueger, S., Scott Prosser, R. & Katsaras, J. SANS Study on the effect of lanthanide ions and charged lipids on the morphology of phospholipid mixtures. *Biophys. J.* 82, 2487–2498 (2002).
- Nieh, M.-P. et al. Formation of Kinetically Trapped Nanoscopic Unilamellar Vesicles from Metastable Nanodiscs. Langmuir 27, 14308–14316 (2011).
- Katsaras, J., Harroun, T. A., Pencer, J. & Nieh, M.-P. "Bicellar" lipid mixtures as used in biochemical and biophysical studies. *Naturwissenschaften* 92, 355–366 (2005).
- 32. Vold, R. R. & Prosser, R. S. Magnetically oriented phospholipid bilayered micelles for structural studies of polypeptides. Does the ideal bicelle exist?. J. Magn. Reson. Ser. B 113, 267–271 (1996).
- 33. Barbosa-Barros, L. et al. Effect of bicellar systems on skin properties. Int. J. Pharm. 352, 263-272 (2008).
- Mineev, K. S., Nadezhdin, K. D., Goncharuk, S. A. & Arseniev, A. S. Characterization of small isotropic bicelles with various compositions. *Langmuir* 32, 6624–6637 (2016).
- 35. Andersson, A. & Mäler, L. Size and shape of fast-tumbling bicelles as determined by translational diffusion. *Langmuir* 22, 2447–2449 (2006).
- Kot, E. F., Goncharuk, S. A., Arseniev, A. S. & Mineev, K. S. Phase Transitions in small isotropic bicelles. *Langmuir* 34, 3426–3437 (2018).
- 37. Nieh, M. P. et al. Temperature driven annealing of perforations in bicellar model membranes. Langmuir 27, 4838-4847 (2011).
- Van Dam, L., Karlsson, G. & Edwards, K. Direct observation and characterization of DMPC/DHPC aggregates under conditions relevant for biological solution NMR. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* 1664, 241–256 (2004).
- Van Dam, L., Karlsson, G. & Edwards, K. Morphology of magnetically aligning DMPC/DHPC aggregates—Perforated sheets, not disks. *Langmuir* 22, 3280–3285 (2006).
- Ottiger, M. & Bax, A. Characterization of magnetically oriented phospholipid micelles for measurement of dipolar couplings in macromolecules. J. Biomol. NMR 12, 361–372 (1998).

- Wu, H., Su, K., Guan, X., Sublette, M. E. & Stark, R. E. Assessing the size, stability, and utility of isotropically tumbling bicelle systems for structural biology. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* 1798, 482–488 (2010).
- Glover, K. J. et al. Structural evaluation of phospholipid bicelles for solution-state studies of membrane-associated biomolecules. Biophys. J. 81, 2163 (2001).
- Aussenac, F., Lavigne, B. & Dufourc, E. J. Toward bicelle stability with ether-linked phospholipids: Temperature, composition, and hydration diagrams by2H and 31P solid-state NMR. *Langmuir* 21, 7129–7135 (2005).
- Mortensen, H. G., Jensen, G. V., Hansen, S. K., Vosegaard, T. & Pedersen, J. S. Structure of phospholipid mixed micelles (Bicelles) studied by small-angle X-ray scattering. *Langmuir* 34, 14597–14607 (2018).
- Kimble-Hill, A. C. A review of factors affecting the success of membrane protein crystallization using bicelles. Front. Biol. 8, 261–272 (2013).
- Faham, S. et al. Crystallization of bacteriorhodopsin from bicelle formulations at room temperature. Protein Sci. 14, 836–840 (2005).
- Lee, S. C. et al. Steroid-based facial amphiphiles for stabilization and crystallization of membrane proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, E1203–E1211 (2013).
- 48. Ran, T. *et al.* Cross-protomer interaction with the photoactive site in oligomeric proteorhodopsin complexes. *Urn issn* **69**, 1965–1980 (2013).
- Rasmussen, S. G. F. *et al.* Crystal structure of the human β2 adrenergic G-protein-coupled receptor. *Nature* 450, 383–387 (2007).
 Ujwal, R. *et al.* The crystal structure of mouse VDAC1 at 2.3 Å resolution reveals mechanistic insights into metabolite gating. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 105, 17742–17747 (2008).
- Hosaka, T. et al. Crystal structural characterization reveals novel oligomeric interactions of human voltage-dependent anion channel 1. Protein Sci. 26, 1749–1758 (2017).
- 52. Payandeh, J., Scheuer, T., Zheng, N. & Catterall, W. A. The crystal structure of a voltage-gated sodium channel. *Nature* 475, 353–358 (2011).
- 53. Tang, L. et al. Structural basis for Ca2+ selectivity of a voltage-gated calcium channel. Nature 505, 56-61 (2013).
- Xu, F. et al. Structural basis for efonidipine block of a voltage-gated Ca 2+ channel. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 513, 631–634 (2019).
- Luecke, H. *et al.* Crystallographic structure of xanthorhodopsin, the light-driven proton pump with a dual chromophore. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 105, 16561–16565 (2008).
- 56. Vinothkumar, K. R. Structure of rhomboid protease in a lipid environment. J. Mol. Biol. 407, 232-247 (2011).
- Chen, S., Oldham, M. L., Davidson, A. L. & Chen, J. Carbon catabolite repression of the maltose transporter revealed by X-ray crystallography. *Nature* 499, 364–368 (2013).
- 58. Gruss, F. et al. The structural basis of autotransporter translocation by TamA. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 20, 1318–1320 (2013).
- Wang, H., Elferich, J. & Gouaux, E. Structures of LeuT in bicelles define conformation and substrate binding in a membrane-like context. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 19, 212–219 (2012).
- 60. Huang, C. Y. *et al.* Crystal structure of Staphylococcus aureus transglycosylase in complex with a lipid II analog and elucidation of peptidoglycan synthesis mechanism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 6496–6501 (2012).
- Morgan, J. L. W., McNamara, J. T. & Zimmer, J. Mechanism of activation of bacterial cellulose synthase by cyclic di-GMP. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 21, 489–496 (2014).
- Symersky, J. et al. Structure of the c10 ring of the yeast mitochondrial ATP synthase in the open conformation. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 19, 485–491 (2012).
- 63. Noinaj, N. *et al.* Structural insight into the biogenesis of β -barrel membrane proteins. *Nature* **501**, 385–390 (2013).
- Choudhary, O. P. et al. Structure-guided simulations illuminate the mechanism of ATP transport through VDAC1. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 21, 626–632 (2014).
- Cho, S., Dickey, S. W. & Urban, S. Crystal structures and inhibition kinetics reveal a two-stage catalytic mechanism with drug design implications for rhomboid proteolysis. *Mol. Cell* 61, 329–340 (2016).
- 66. Morizumi, T. et al. X-ray crystallographic structure and oligomerization of gloeobacter rhodopsin. Sci. Rep. 9, 1-14 (2019).
- 67. Lee, J.-Y. et al. Crystal structure of the human sterol transporter ABCG5/ABCG8. Nature 533, 561–564 (2016).
- Lin, D. Y. W., Huang, S. & Chen, J. Crystal structures of a polypeptide processing and secretion transporter. Nature 523, 425–430 (2015).
- 69. Efremov, R. G., Baradaran, R. & Sazanov, L. A. The architecture of respiratory complex I. Nature 465, 441-445 (2010)
- Efremov, R. G. & Sazanov, L. A. Structure of the membrane domain of respiratory complex I. *Nature* 476, 414–420 (2011).
 Martynowycz, M. W., Khan, F., Hattne, J., Abramson, J. & Gonen, T. MicroED structure of lipid-embedded mammalian mitochondrial voltage-dependent anion channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 117, 32380–32385 (2020).
- Besaw, J. E. *et al.* The crystal structures of a chloride-pumping microbial rhodopsin and its proton-pumping mutant illuminate proton transfer determinants. *J. Biol. Chem.* 295, 14793–14804 (2020).
- 73. Takajo, Y. *et al.* Structural and morphological transition of long-chain phospholipid vesicles induced by mixing with short-chain phospholipid. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* **76**, 571–576 (2010).
- Borshchevskiy, V. *et al.* Isoprenoid-chained lipid β-XylOC16+4A novel molecule for in meso membrane protein crystallization. J. Cryst. Growth **312**, 3326–3330 (2010).
- Nieh, M. P. et al. Magnetically alignable phase of phospholipid 'Bicelle' mixtures is a chiral nematic made up of wormlike micelles. Langmuir 20, 7893–7897 (2004).
- 76. Flynn, A., Ducey, M., Yethiraj, A. & Morrow, M. R. Dynamic properties of bicellar lipid mixtures observed by rheometry and quadrupole echo decay. *Langmuir* **28**, 2782–2790 (2012).
- 77. Prosser, R. S., Hwang, J. S. & Vold, R. R. Magnetically aligned phospholipid bilayers with positive ordering: A new model membrane system. *Biophys. J.* **74**, 2405–2418 (1998).
- Yamada, N. L., Hishida, M. & Torikai, N. Nanopore formation on unilamellar vesicles of long- and short-chain lipids. *Phys. Rev. Stat. Nonlinear Soft Matter Phys.* 79, 32902 (2009).
- 79. Liu, Y., Li, M., Yang, Y., Xia, Y. & Nieh, M. P. The effects of temperature, salinity, concentration and PEGylated lipid on the spontaneous nanostructures of bicellar mixtures. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* **1838**, 1871–1880 (2014).
- 80. Li, M. *et al.* Effects of fluidity and charge density on the morphology of a bicellar mixture—A SANS study. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* **1862**, 183315 (2020).
- 81. Caldwell, T. A. et al. Low-q bicelles are mixed micelles. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 9, 4469-4473 (2018).
- Dos Santos Morais, R. *et al.* Contrast-matched isotropic bicelles: A versatile tool to specifically probe the solution structure of peripheral membrane proteins using SANS. *Langmuir* 33, 6572–6580 (2017).
- Dargel, C., Hannappel, Y. & Hellweg, T. Heating-Induced DMPC/glycyrrhizin bicelle-to-vesicle transition: A X-ray contrast variation study. *Biophys. J.* 118, 2411–2425 (2020).
- Yang, C.-H., Lin, T.-L. & Jeng, U.-S. Small-angle X-ray scattering studies on the structure of disc-shaped bicelles incorporated with neutral PEGylated lipids. *Langmuir* 35, 9483–9492 (2019).
- BARBOSA-BARROS, L, et al. Use of high-pressure freeze fixation and freeze fracture electron microscopy to study the influence of the phospholipid molar ratio in the morphology and alignment of bicelles. J. Microsc. 233, 35–41 (2009).

- Soong, R., Nieh, M. P., Nicholson, E., Katsaras, J. & MacDonald, P. M. Bicellar mixtures containing pluronic F68: Morphology and lateral diffusion from combined SANS and PFG NMR studies. *Langmuir* 26, 2630–2638 (2010).
- Lucyanna, B. B. et al. Structural versatility of bicellar systems and their possibilities as colloidal carriers. Pharmaceutics 3, 636–664 (2011).
- Kučerka, N. *et al.* Structure of fully hydrated fluid phase DMPC and DLPC lipid bilayers using x-ray scattering from oriented multilamellar arrays and from unilamellar vesicles. *Biophys. J.* 88, 2626–2637 (2005).
- Nieh, M. P., Raghunathan, V. A., Glinka, C. J., Harroun, T. & Katsaras, J. Structural phase behavior of high-concentration, alignable biomimetic bicelle mixtures. in *Macromolecular Symposia* vol. 219 135–146 (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2004).
- Gaemers, S. & Bax, A. Morphology of three lyotropic liquid crystalline biological NMR media studied by translational diffusion anisotropy. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123, 12343–12352 (2001).
- Nollert, P., Qiu, H., Caffrey, M., Rosenbusch, J. P. & Landau, E. M. Molecular mechanism for the crystallization of bacteriorhodopsin in lipidic cubic phases. FEBS Lett. 504, 179–186 (2001).
- 92. Caffrey, M. Membrane protein crystallization. J. Struct. Biol. 142, 108-132 (2003).
- Cherezov, V. & Caffrey, M. Membrane protein crystallization in lipidic mesophases. A mechanism study using X-ray microdiffraction. Faraday Discuss. 136, 195–212 (2007).
- 94. Tvan Hag, L. *et al.* Exploring the in meso crystallization mechanism by characterizing the lipid mesophase microenvironment during the growth of single transmembrane α-helical peptide crystals. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 374, 201 (2016).
- 95. Mitov, M. Cholesteric liquid crystals in living matter. Soft Matter 13, 4176-4209 (2017).
- Faham, S., Ujwal, R., Abramson, J. & Bowie, J. U. Chapter 5 practical aspects of membrane proteins crystallization in bicelles. *Curr. Topics Membr.* 63, 109–125 (2009).
- Oesterhelt, D. & Stoeckenius, W. [69] Isolation of the cell membrane of halobacterium halobium and its fractionation into red and purple membrane. *Methods Enzymol.* 31, 667–678 (1974).
- Murugova, T. N. et al. Low resolution structural studies of apoferritin via SANS and SAXS: The effect of concentration. J. Optoelectron. Adv. Mater. 17, 1397–1402 (2015).
- 99. Pernot, P. et al. Upgraded ESRF BM29 beamline for SAXS on macromolecules in solution. J. Synchrotron Rad 20, 660–664 (2013).
- Brennich, M. E. *et al.* Online data analysis at the ESRF bioSAXS beamline, BM29. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 49, 203–212 (2016).
 Kuklin, A. I. *et al.* Neutronographic investigations of supramolecular structures on upgraded small-angle spectrometer YuMO.
- J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 848, 012010 (2017). 102. Kuklin, A. I. et al. High-throughput SANS experiment on two-detector system of YuMO spectrometer. in Journal of Physics:
- Conference Series vol. 994 012016 (Institute of Physics Publishing, 2018).
- Soloviev, A. G. et al. SAS program for two-detector system: Seamless curve from both detectors. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 848, 012020 (2017).
- Franke, D. et al. ATSAS 2.8: A comprehensive data analysis suite for small-angle scattering from macromolecular solutions. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 50, 1212–1225 (2017).
- 105. Ryzhykau, Y. L. *et al.* Ambiguities in and completeness of SAS data analysis of membrane proteins: The case of the sensory rhodopsin II–transducer complex. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Struct. Biol.* **77**, 1386–1400 (2021).
- 106. Ryzhykau, Y. L. et al. Molecular model of a sensor of two-component signaling system. Sci. Rep. 11, 10774 (2021).
- Kučerka, N., Nagle, J. F., Feller, S. E. & Balgavý, P. Models to analyze small-angle neutron scattering from unilamellar lipid vesicles. *Phys. Rev. E Stat.* 69, 9 (2004).
- 108. Chapso. C32H58N2O8S PubChem. https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Chapso.
- 109. Doucet, M. et al. SasView version 4.2.2. (2019) doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.2652478.

Acknowledgements

We thank the staff of ESRF and EMBL-Grenoble for their assistance and support in using beamline BM29. We acknowledge Frank Laboratory of Neutron Physics (FLNP), JINR at Dubna (Russia) for granting access to the small-angle neutron scattering spectrometer YuMO (IBR-2).

Author contributions

T.N.M., O.I.I. and Y.L.R. contributed equally and either has the right to list himself first in bibliographic documents. Conceptualization: V.I.G., A.I.K.; experiments design: V.I.G., A.I.K., T.N.M., O.I.I., A.V.I., O.A.V., A.V.R.; data collection: T.N.M., O.I.I., Dm.V.S., Da.V.S., A.R., A.I.K.; data analysis and interpretation: T.N.M., O.I.I., Y.L.R., Da.V.S., V.I.G., A.I.K.; P.M. extraction: C.B.; supervision: V.I.G., A.I.K.; writing—original draft: T.N.M., O.I.I., Y.L.R., K.V.K., A.V.V., A.V.I., A.I.K., V.I.G.; writing—review & editing: A.R., V.I.G., A.I.K.

Funding

T.N.M. acknowledges the grant of Romanian Plenipotentiary in the JINR within the JINR Theme 04-4-1142-2021/2025 (#367/11.05.2021 item 17). Yu.L.R. and A.V.V. acknowledge the support from the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (agreement 075-03-2022-107, project FSMG-2021-0002). A.V.R. acknowledge the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (agreement # 075-00958-21-05, project #730000F.99.1.BV10AA00006). The protein crystallization methods were developed within the framework of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project number 19-29-12022). Processing of the SAXS/ SANS data was supported by Russian Science Foundation (project number 21-64-00018).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-022-13945-0.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.N.M., A.I.K. or V.I.G.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022