



OPEN

Chitin-glucan supplementation improved postprandial metabolism and altered gut microbiota in subjects at cardiometabolic risk in a randomized trial

Harimalala Ranaivo^{1,2}, Zhengxiao Zhang³, Maud Alligier^{1,2}, Laurie Van Den Berghe^{1,2}, Monique Sothier^{1,2}, Stéphanie Lambert-Porcheron^{1,2}, Nathalie Feugier^{1,2}, Charlotte Cuerq^{2,4}, Christelle Machon⁵, Audrey M. Neyrinck⁶, Benjamin Seethaler⁷, Julie Rodriguez⁶, Martin Roumain⁸, Giulio G. Muccioli⁸, Véronique Maquet⁹, Martine Laville^{1,2}, Stephan C. Bischoff⁷, Jens Walter¹⁰, Nathalie M. Delzenne⁶ & Julie-Anne Nazare^{1,2}✉

Chitin-glucan (CG), an insoluble dietary fiber, has been shown to improve cardiometabolic disorders associated with obesity in mice. Its effects in healthy subjects has recently been studied, revealing its interaction with the gut microbiota. In this double-blind, randomized, cross-over, twice 3-week exploratory study, we investigated the impacts of CG on the cardiometabolic profile and gut microbiota composition and functions in 15 subjects at cardiometabolic risk. They consumed as a supplement 4.5 g of CG daily or maltodextrin as control. Before and after interventions, fasting and postprandial metabolic parameters and exhaled gases (hydrogen [H₂] and methane [CH₄]) were evaluated. Gut microbiota composition (16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis), fecal concentrations of bile acids, long- and short-chain fatty acids (LCFA, SCFA), zonulin, calprotectin and lipopolysaccharide binding protein (LBP) were analyzed. Compared to control, CG supplementation increased exhaled H₂ following an enriched-fiber breakfast ingestion and decreased postprandial glycemia and triglyceridemia response to a standardized test meal challenge served at lunch. Of note, the decrease in postprandial glycemia was only observed in subjects with higher exhaled H₂, assessed upon lactulose breath test performed at inclusion. CG decreased a family belonging to Actinobacteria phylum and increased 3 bacterial taxa: *Erysipelotrichaceae* UCG.003, *Ruminococcaceae* UCG.005 and *Eubacterium ventriosum* group. Fecal metabolites, inflammatory and intestinal permeability markers did not differ between groups. In conclusion, we showed that CG supplementation modified the gut microbiota composition and improved postprandial glycemic response, an early determinant of cardiometabolic risk. Our results also suggest breath H₂ production as a non-invasive parameter of interest for predicting the effectiveness of dietary fiber intervention.

¹Centre de Recherche en Nutrition Humaine Rhône-Alpes, Hospices Civils de Lyon, CENS, FCIN/FORCE Network, Pierre-Bénite, France. ²Univ-Lyon, CarMeN Laboratory, INSERM, INRAE, Université Claude Bernard Lyon-1, 69600 Oullins, France. ³College of Food and Biological Engineering, Jimei University, Xiamen, Fujian, China. ⁴Service de Biochimie et Biologie Moléculaire, Unité Médicale Dyslipidémies et Dysfonctions Nutritionnelles et Digestives, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Pierre-Bénite, France. ⁵Hospices Civils de Lyon, Service de Biochimie, Centre de Biologie Sud, Hôpital Lyon Sud, Pierre-Bénite, France. ⁶Metabolism and Nutrition Research Group, Louvain Drug Research Institute, UCLouvain, Université Catholique de Louvain, Ottignies-Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium. ⁷Institute of Nutritional Medicine, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany. ⁸Bioanalysis and Pharmacology of Bioactive Lipids Research Group, Louvain Drug Research Institute, UCLouvain, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium. ⁹KitoZyme, Parc Industriel des Hauts-Sart, Zone 2, Rue de Milmort 680, 4040 Herstal, Belgium. ¹⁰Department of Medicine, and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland. ✉email: julie-anne.nazare@univ-lyon1.fr




Figure 1. CONSORT flow diagram of participants.

The beneficial effects of dietary fibers (DF) are now well recognized and the current recommended daily intake is 25–30 g/day¹. When assessing DF contribution to health, DF interaction with gut microbiota is also widely reported as of interest. DF may actually affect gut microbiota composition and function and induce the production of gut-derived metabolites such as short-chain fatty acids (SCFA), which in turn can improve glucose and lipid parameters². DF can have different origins and can be classified according to their physicochemical properties (including solubility, viscosity or fermentability)³. These parameters are used to classify DF which are thus subdivided into resistant starch (RS), non-starch polysaccharides (NSPs) and resistant oligosaccharides (ROs)^{4,5}. DF also have different physiological effects which are not always associated with their classification, particularly in terms of their solubility^{5,6}. Actually, solubility is often correlated with fermentability so that soluble DF are fermented more quickly than insoluble DF, with the exception of RS which are highly fermentable although the majority of them are insoluble DF^{6,7}. Of note, the health effects and the underlying mechanisms of action of insoluble DF remain unclear. In this context, the project FiberTAG (Joint Programming Initiative “A Healthy Diet for a Healthy Life” 2017–2020 <https://www.fibertag.eu/>) aimed at establishing a set of biomarkers of gut barrier function and bacterial co-metabolites beyond SCFA, such as long-chain fatty acids (LCFA), bile acids (BA), intestinal permeability and endotoxemia, which link DF intake and gut-microbiota related health effects. Moreover, we also focused on noninvasive biomarkers such as exhaled gases⁸. In particular, lactulose hydrogen (H₂) breath test is primarily used as a marker for small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO). Since several reports compared the fermentation of DF using the measurement of end-expiratory H₂ concentrations and suggested that they depend on the type of DF⁹, exhaled H₂ during lactulose H₂ breath test could be of interest as it would allow to assess the fate of DF and its cardiometabolic effects.

Here, we were particularly interested in chitin-glucan (CG), a novel insoluble DF considered as safe food ingredient by the European Food Safety Authority¹⁰. It is the major component of the cell walls of the fungi *Aspergillus niger* and is mainly composed of a branched β -1, 3/1, 6 glucan that is linked to chitin via a β -1, 4 linkage. Preclinical studies in high fat diet (HFD) rodent models showed potential beneficial effects of CG supplementation, namely on gut microbiota composition and function but also on the cardiometabolic profile^{11,12}. The first attempt to study the effect of this CG on gut microbiota in humans was carried out as part of an in vitro model using a Simulator of the Human Intestinal Microbial Ecosystem (SHIME)¹³. This study showed that CG was fermented in the colon and induced the production of gut-derived metabolites such as short chain fatty acids (SCFA). Consistently, CG-derived effects on gut microbiota and bacterial metabolites in humans have been studied recently, revealing the interaction of CG with the gut microbiota in healthy subjects¹⁴. Together, these results suggest that it would be of interest to study the effects of CG in subjects at cardiometabolic risk who may benefit more distinctly than healthy individuals from such intervention.

In this double-blind, randomized, cross-over exploratory study, we aimed at assessing whether a 3-week daily supplementation of 4.5 g of CG had different effects from maltodextrin (as control) on gut microbiota composition and functions as well as cardiometabolic profile (including postprandial phenotyping) in subjects at cardiometabolic risk (CMR) by assessing a comprehensive set of biomarkers. We also investigated the relevance of targeted biomarkers to identify responders to the fiber intervention, allowing us to further characterize those participants who were able to metabolize CG.

Results

Participant characteristics, compliance, and dietary intake. Sixteen subjects with CMR profile were included and randomized: 15 completed the cross-over study (9 men, 6 women) and were analyzed (Fig. 1). They were overweight/obese, showed an elevated waist circumference (abdominal obesity), and had neither fasting hyperglycemia, diabetes, elevated high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels, nor hypertension (Table 1). 90% were dyslipidemic (abnormal fasting concentration of triglycerides and/or total cholesterol and/or high- and low density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL and LDL cholesterol)). The mean daily fiber intake was 19 ± 4 g/day and the mean increase over the baseline of exhaled hydrogen concentration following the lactulose breath test was 84 ± 44 ppm. The compliance to study product consumption was high (96%) and dietary records

	Mean \pm SD
Anthropometry	
Age (years)	44 \pm 10
Weight (kg)	83 \pm 13
Height (m)	1.7 \pm 0.1
BMI (kg/m ²)	28 \pm 2
Waist circumference (cm)	
Male	104 \pm 8
Female	93 \pm 6
Hip circumference (cm)	
Male	107 \pm 6
Female	107 \pm 4
Blood pressure (mmHg)	
Systolic pressure	123 \pm 11
Diastolic pressure	75 \pm 11
Blood biomarkers	
Glucose (mM)	5.2 \pm 0.41
HbA1c (%)	5.41 \pm 0.26
TG (mM)	1.19 \pm 0.42
TC (mM)	5.52 \pm 0.86
HDL-C(mM)	1.24 \pm 0.30
LDL-C (mM)	3.73 \pm 0.81
hs-CRP (mg/L)	3.15 \pm 2.88
AST (UI/L)	28 \pm 10
ALT (UI/L)	35 \pm 28

Table 1. Subjects' anthropometric and metabolic characteristics at baseline (n = 15). Data are expressed as mean \pm SD. BMI, body mass index; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol, HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; hs-CRP, high sensitivity C reactive protein; AST, Aspartate Aminotransferase; ALT, Alanine Aminotransferase.

	CG		CTL		Time <i>p</i> value
	Before	After	Before	After	
Energy (kcal)	2174 \pm 414	1938 \pm 323	1928 \pm 295	1992 \pm 257	ns
Total carbohydrate (g)	233.5 \pm 43.1	212.6 \pm 30.1	214.0 \pm 38.6	215.7 \pm 43.8	ns
Protein (g)	83.9 \pm 18.9	73.6 \pm 17.6	74.2 \pm 12.0	82.9 \pm 16.9	ns
Fat (g)	92.4 \pm 26.0	80.9 \pm 20.0	79.9 \pm 18.2	81.2 \pm 14.1	ns
Saturated fat (g)	38.8 \pm 11.2	34.5 \pm 10.3	34.1 \pm 8.7	34.3 \pm 8.2	ns
Alcohol (g)	4.5 \pm 6.9	3.8 \pm 9.3	2.2 \pm 4.2	3.7 \pm 7.1	ns
Fibers (g)	18.0 \pm 5.1	17 \pm 3.8	16.7 \pm 4.6	17.2 \pm 6.1	ns

Table 2. Daily dietary intake throughout the study (n = 15). Data are expressed as mean \pm SD. CG, chitin glucan; CTL, control. A mixed linear model with treatment, time, period and sequence as fixed variables and subjects as random effect has been performed. None of the *p* values associated to time is significant.

showed that subjects followed the instructions they were given. No modification of their physical activity has been reported and they actually did not modify their energy, macronutrient and dietary fiber intakes – excluding CG – throughout the study (Table 2). At baseline, consumed fiber-rich food items were mainly from starchy food, vegetables and fruits (Fig. 2) and this was not modified throughout the study (data not shown).

CG increased exhaled H₂ and improved postprandial glycemic and lipemic profiles. Anthropometry, body composition and fasting metabolic parameters (glycemia, insulinemia, homeostatic model assessment (HOMA), non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA), triglycerides, total, HDL and LDL cholesterol, and also resting metabolic rate were not differently impacted by CG and maltodextrin (as control) supplementations (Table S1). Compared to control, the 3-week supplementation of CG resulted in increase of the incremental area under the curve (iAUC) of postprandial exhaled H₂ (+722 ppm.min) following the ingestion of a fiber-enriched breakfast. Still, compared to control, we also showed that CG decreased the iAUC of postprandial glycemia




Figure 2. Origin of consumed dietary fibers at baseline (g/d).

(-60 mM.min) and triglyceridemia (-35 mM.min) and also the peak of triglyceridemia (-0.55 mM) in response to a standardized test meal challenge served at lunch ($p < 0.05$) (Fig. 3).

Impact of dietary interventions on gut microbiota and related metabolites. *CG altered gut microbiota composition.* After a 3-week supplementation, CG and control differently modified the relative abundance of 24 bacteria at different taxonomy levels. In particular, CG significantly decreased a family of bacteria belonging to Actinobacteria, increased *Erysipelotrichaceae* UCG.003, *Ruminococcaceae* UCG.005 and *Eubacterium ventriosum* group; while control did not impact these microbial taxa except for *Eubacterium ventriosum* group which was significantly increased ($p < 0.05$). 12 Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs) were differently modified by both treatments. The relative abundance of an ASV belonging to *Ruminococcus* sp. was significantly decreased after control ($p < 0.05$) whereas it remained stable after CG supplementation (Table 3). Overall gut microbiota diversity (observed OTUs, Shannon and Pielou index, Bray–Curtis distance) and biomarkers of gut barrier function (fecal zonulin and calprotectin, plasmatic LBP) were not altered (Figure S1).

The concentrations of fecal metabolites (SCFA, BA, and LCFA) were not differently impacted by the two treatments (Figure S2).

Subgroup analysis according to fermentation profile. *The improvement in postprandial glycemic profile following the supplementation in CG is only observed in High- H_2 group.* At inclusion, the results of the lactulose hydrogen breath test allowed to distinguish different profiles among the subjects: “High- H_2 ” and “Low- H_2 ” (Fig. 4). We then assessed if the impacts induced by the dietary interventions were different between the two subgroups. Among all assessed metabolic parameters, we found that the decrease in the iAUC of postprandial glycemia in response to the standardized test meal challenge, was only observed in “High- H_2 ” subgroup (Fig. 5).

At baseline, “High- H_2 ” and “Low- H_2 ” subgroups differed by the fasting concentration of NEFA, the glycemic peak after the fiber-enriched breakfast, tAUC, iAUC, and peak of glycemia after the standardized test meal challenge, which were all higher in the “High- H_2 ” subgroup (Table S2). Thus, subjects belonging to the “High- H_2 ” group especially appeared to be presenting an impaired postprandial metabolic profile.

“High- H_2 ” and “Low- H_2 ” also differed at baseline in terms of abundance of some bacteria at different taxonomic levels (family, genus, ASV). Actually, compared to the “Low- H_2 ” subgroup, the “High- H_2 ” subgroup had a higher abundance of Actinomycetaceae, *Tyzzereia*, and a species belonging to the *PAC001207_g* genus, as well as a lower abundance of Acidaminococcaceae, Methanobacteriaceae, *Methanobrevibacter*, *Paraprevotella*, and *Methanobrevibacter smithii* (Table 4). Of note, bacteria with lower abundances in the “High- H_2 ” subgroup were mainly methanogenic bacteria. Subgroup analysis of gut microbiota composition after the dietary interventions showed that the bacterial features differently impacted by the two treatments were not the same according to subgroups but there was no specific effect of CG supplementation (Table 5).

Correlation analysis between bacterial features and metabolic parameters. To assess the relationship between gut microbiota and cardiometabolic profile alterations, we performed a correlation analysis between bacterial features and metabolic parameters which were differently altered by the dietary interventions (Fig. 6). Correlation analyses showed that the iAUC of exhaled H_2 after the fiber-enriched breakfast correlated with *Eubacterium coprostanigenes*, *Barnesiella*, *Faecalitalea* and ASV belonging to *Colinsella aerofaciens*, and inversely correlated with *Ruminococcus*. The iAUC of glycemia after the standardized test meal challenge inversely correlated with *Slackia*. The iAUC of triglyceridemia after the standardized test meal challenge positively correlated with Bacteroidaceae, *Bacteroides* and *Erysipelotrichaceae* UCG.003, and inversely correlated




Figure 3. Impacted postprandial metabolic parameters (n = 15). (A) iAUC of postprandial exhaled H₂ following the ingestion of a fiber-enriched breakfast, iAUC of postprandial glycaemia (B), triglyceridemia (C) and triglyceridemia peak (D) following the ingestion of a standardized test meal challenge at lunch. Empty circles and horizontal lines within each box represent respectively the mean and median values. iAUC, incremental area under the curve; CG, chitin glucan; CTL, control. A mixed linear model for repeated measures with treatment, time, period and sequence as fixed variables and subjects as random effect has been performed. *p* values associated to CG effect assessed with the estimated treatment difference (ETD) and its 95% confidence interval are shown and considered as significant when < 0.05.

with an ASV belonging to *Eisenbergiella* sp. *Bacteroides* and Bacteroidaceae also positively correlated with the peak of triglyceridemia after the standardized test meal challenge.

Discussion

In this exploratory study performed in a population of subjects at CMR of whom 90% were dyslipidemic, we showed that compared to maltodextrin as control, 3-week supplementation with CG modified the gut microbiota composition by changing the relative abundance of several gut bacterial taxa. This effect was accompanied by a significant improvement of postprandial glucose and lipid profiles.

The effects of CG supplementation on gut microbiota and bacterial co-metabolites in humans has been recently studied in healthy subjects, revealing that CG increased the relative abundance of the butyrate-producing bacteria *Roseburia* sp. and coherently increase SCFA production including butyric acid¹⁵. In our study, *Roseburia* sp abundance and fecal SCFA concentrations were not differently modified by the two treatments, even if butyric acid tended to be higher after CG supplementation. These results suggest that the production of metabolites could differ according to the metabolic status of participants or to their gut microbiota characteristics. It is also well known that the pathways of metabolites' production are diverse and redundant among the different microbiota

TAXA or ASV	CG			CTL			p value <0.05
	Before	After	p value <0.05	Before	After	p value <0.05	
Phylum							
Proteobacteria	1.414 ± 0.707	1.255 ± 0.798		1.724 ± 1.313	1.983 ± 1.449		0.045
Family							
Bacteroidaceae	5.704 ± 2.656	4.941 ± 3.189		6.161 ± 3.478	6.238 ± 3.170		0.020
Unclassified Actinobacteria	0.203 ± 0.459	0.016 ± 0.034	0.021	0.14 ± 0.412	0.093 ± 0.193		0.024
Genus							
<i>Bacteroides</i>	5.704 ± 2.656	4.941 ± 3.189		6.161 ± 3.478	6.238 ± 3.170		0.021
<i>Erysipelotrichaceae</i> .UCG.003	0.875 ± 0.877	1.303 ± 0.915	0.048	1.156 ± 0.903	0.745 ± 0.595		0.012
<i>Ruminococcaceae</i> .UCG.005	0.577 ± 0.516	1.060 ± 0.871	0.013	0.545 ± 0.533	0.622 ± 0.611		0.043
<i>Lachnospirillum</i>	0.661 ± 0.636	0.416 ± 0.381		0.380 ± 0.354	0.558 ± 0.403		0.007
<i>Barnesiella</i>	0.396 ± 0.326	0.354 ± 0.352		0.290 ± 0.217	0.433 ± 0.522		0.045
<i>Escherichia Shigella</i>	0.194 ± 0.280	0.182 ± 0.496		0.315 ± 0.779	0.415 ± 0.555		0.038
Unclassified Actinobacteria	0.203 ± 0.459	0.016 ± 0.034		0.140 ± 0.412	0.093 ± 0.193		0.036
<i>Eubacterium coprostanoligenes</i> group	2.029 ± 1.462	2.119 ± 1.294		2.395 ± 1.170	2.092 ± 1.229		0.038
<i>Eubacterium ventriosum</i> group	0.349 ± 0.351	1.564 ± 1.232	0.008	0.285 ± 0.252	0.549 ± 0.496	0.021	0.014
ASV							
ASV015_ <i>Subdoligranulum</i> sp.	0.669 ± 0.529	0.504 ± 0.617		0.490 ± 0.605	0.539 ± 0.395		0.008
ASV025_ <i>Faecalibacterium</i> sp.	0.296 ± 0.405	0.701 ± 0.564		0.580 ± 0.468	0.286 ± 0.417		0.030
ASV027_ <i>Collinsella aerofaciens</i> (99%)	0.515 ± 0.385	0.444 ± 0.356		0.400 ± 0.314	0.489 ± 0.457		0.043
ASV033_ <i>Eisenbergiella</i> sp.	0.594 ± 0.516	0.480 ± 0.566		0.235 ± 0.400	0.403 ± 0.508		0.045
ASV075_ <i>Faecalibacillus intestinalis</i> sp.	0.121 ± 0.295	0.289 ± 0.350		0.424 ± 0.434	0.221 ± 0.372		0.007
ASV079_ <i>Eubacterium_g23</i> sp.	0.198 ± 0.324	0.297 ± 0.403		0.333 ± 0.410	0.21 ± 0.339		0.036
ASV083_ <i>Bacteroides vulgatus</i> (100%)	0.235 ± 0.331	0.181 ± 0.273		0.223 ± 0.355	0.37 ± 0.447		0.028
ASV109_ <i>Subdoligranulum variabile</i> (99%)	0.124 ± 0.224	0.351 ± 0.333		0.152 ± 0.217	0.181 ± 0.262		0.038
ASV112_PAC001207_g sp.	0.127 ± 0.276	0.236 ± 0.265		0.315 ± 0.385	0.109 ± 0.211		0.038
ASV161_ <i>Blautia</i> sp.	0.127 ± 0.276	0.236 ± 0.265		0.315 ± 0.385	0.109 ± 0.211		0.021
ASV173_ <i>Bifidobacterium adolescentis</i> (99%)	0.093 ± 0.157	0.096 ± 0.170		0.118 ± 0.171	0.227 ± 0.242		0.025
ASV175_ <i>Ruminococcus</i> sp.	0.000 ± 0.000	0.163 ± 0.319		0.280 ± 0.417	0.088 ± 0.277	0.025	0.025

Table 3. Effects of chitin glucan compared to control on gut microbiota composition (n = 13). Data are expressed as mean percentage of relative abundance ± SD. To compare the shifts (i.e. the delta between the two treatments) and the baseline and endpoint, Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were performed and considered as significant if $p < 0.05$. Bacterial taxa with significant p inter- and intragroup were presented in bold. For ASV identification, species name are indicated when the identity is > 98%.

species. It is therefore possible that the impacted microbial features may be involved in opposite pathways with the resultant corresponding to the “no effect” we observed when measuring the metabolites.

In this study, we also showed that exhaled H_2 following the intake of a fiber-enriched breakfast was increased by CG compared to control, suggesting the ability of CG to induce a higher fermentation in response to the dietary fiber intake.

Interestingly, still compared to control, CG improved postprandial glucose and lipid metabolism as illustrated by the decrease in iAUC of glycemia and triglyceridemia, but also the peak of triglyceridemia in response to a standardized test meal challenge, the FlexMeal. In a 6-week, randomized, double-blind and placebo-controlled study conducted in healthy overweighted volunteers, the same dose of CG also exhibited beneficial effects by reducing blood levels of oxidized low-density lipoproteins (ox-LDL)¹⁶. We did not observe such effect here, possibly due to the difference in terms of study duration. In accordance with the effects observed on postprandial glycemic and lipemic profiles in this study, it has been previously shown that in mice fed with a high-fat diet (HFD), a 4-week administration of CG significantly improved metabolic parameters, including glucose tolerance¹². Another in vivo study also demonstrated beneficial effects of CG in lowering plasma triglycerides in hamsters fed with an atherogenic diet but at fasting state¹¹. Presently, the observation of effects at postprandial and not at fasting state, bolsters the interest of postprandial phase when assessing the effects of nutritional interventions and more generally human health¹⁷. Furthermore, postprandial hyperglycemia and hyperlipemia are now recognized as independent cardiometabolic risk factors¹⁸. An exacerbated and prolonged postprandial lipemia is typically observed in subjects with metabolic disorders¹⁹. Also, epidemiological data reported that post-challenge glycemia is associated with cardiovascular risk, regardless of fasting biomarkers such as fasting glycemia and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and even has a greater predictive power of cardiovascular events²⁰. Indeed, abnormally increased levels of glucose and lipids generates excessive amounts of free radicals (or reactive oxygen species triggering a biochemical cascade throughout the circulation, inducing inflammation, endothelial




Figure 4. Exhaled H_2 excretion profiles in response to lactulose breath test ($n = 15$). Subjects profile response to lactulose breath test from which two groups have been created: we computed $\Delta H_2 = H_2$ peak – baseline; “High- H_2 ” = subjects with $\Delta H_2 > \Delta H_2$ median; “Low- H_2 ” = subjects with $\Delta H_2 < \Delta H_2$ median.




Figure 5. Impacted metabolic parameters according to gut fermentation profile (High- H_2 ($n = 8$); Low- H_2 ($n = 7$)). Empty circles and horizontal lines within each box represent respectively the mean and median values. A mixed linear model with treatment, time, period, sequence and subgroup as fixed variables and subjects as random effect has been performed once the interaction time*treatment*subgroup has been tested and showed significance. p values associated to CG effect assessed with the estimated treatment difference (ETD) and its 95% confidence interval is shown and considered as significant when < 0.05 .

dysfunction, hypercoagulability, sympathetic hyperactivity and a more atherogenic lipoprotein profile^{21–23}. Therefore, a reduction of postprandial hyperglycemia and hyperlipemia excursions, as demonstrated in the present study with 3-week supplementation of CG, is of interest to improve cardiometabolic profiles.

H_2 has been reported to have antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anti-apoptotic properties²⁴. In patients with type 2 diabetes or impaired glucose tolerance, supplementation of H_2 -rich water improved lipid and glucose metabolism²⁵. Similarly, in db/db mice, drinking H_2 -water has been shown to decrease levels of plasma glucose,

TAXA or ASV	High-H ₂	Low-H ₂	p value < 0.05
Family			
Acidaminococcaceae	0.778 ± 0.793	2.032 ± 1.059	0.030
Actinomycetaceae	0.390 ± 0.247	0.129 ± 0.099	0.041
Methanobacteriaceae	0.000 ± 0.000	0.376 ± 0.430	0.021
Genus			
<i>Methanobrevibacter</i>	0.000 ± 0.000	0.360 ± 0.424	0.015
<i>Tyzzarella</i>	0.304 ± 0.282	0.028 ± 0.073	0.015
<i>Paraprevotella</i>	0.032 ± 0.079	0.302 ± 0.558	0.041
ASV			
ASV112_PAC001207_g sp	0.559 ± 0.389	0.071 ± 0.187	0.015
ASV209_Methanobrevibacter smithii (99%)	0.000 ± 0.000	0.184 ± 0.228	0.030

Table 4. Subgroup analysis: gut microbiota composition at baseline (n = 13). Gut microbiota composition differences at baseline between the two subgroups according to lactulose hydrogen breath test responses. Data are expressed as mean percentage of relative abundance and presented as mean ± SD. Wilcoxon–Mann Whitney tests were performed and considered as significant if $p < 0.05$. For ASV identification, species name is indicated when the identity is > 98%.

insulin, and triglyceride, the effect of which on hyperglycemia was similar to diet restriction²⁶. The suggested underlying mechanism is an observed increase of the expression of a hepatic hormone, fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21), which is a regulator of energy expenditure. Here, the energy metabolism as measured by resting metabolic rate did not show significant differences between the two treatments. Subgroup analyses revealed that the improvement in postprandial glucose metabolism, especially the decrease in the iAUC of postprandial blood glucose, was only observed in the “High-H₂” subgroup composed of subjects with a higher concentration of exhaled H₂ in response to the lactulose H₂ breath test. This study is the first of its kind to identify the production of H₂ as an indicator of a fiber-derived impact on glucose metabolism. “High H₂” subjects had a lower abundance of methanogenic bacteria including *Methanobrevibacter smithii*, which is the most dominant methanogen in the gastrointestinal tract in humans²⁷. It has been reported that methanogens increase in ob/ob mice²⁸ and that in obese humans CH₄ production is associated with a higher BMI²⁹. The suggested mechanism is that methanogens use the H₂ produced by syntrophic organisms for their own anaerobic metabolism, after which CH₄ is produced as a by-product. This H₂ scavenging allows syntrophic organisms to be more productive, increasing SCFA production and availability of calories for the host^{30,31}. Subjects included in our study were all H₂ producers and even if the comparison between the two subgroups showed that they differed by their abundance in methanogenic bacteria, no significant difference in terms of exhaled CH₄ was found. Interestingly, at baseline, when firstly challenged with the standardized test meal, subjects belonging to the “High-H₂” subgroup were the ones who presented a higher fasting concentration of NEFA and glycemia peak after the fiber-enriched breakfast, tAUC, iAUC and peak of glycemia after the standardized test meal challenge. Thus, the subjects who have benefited the most from the intervention in terms of glycemic profile improvement would actually be those who already have impaired postprandial metabolic profiles.

Of note, betaglukan (BG) is one component of CG. It has been shown to decrease postprandial glycemia after an oral glucose test tolerance (OGTT) or when added to food products (pasta, bread, soup, cereals, etc.) in healthy or diabetic subjects^{32–36}. Their postprandial glucose lowering effect has long been reported to be essentially due to a delayed and somewhat reduced carbohydrate absorption from the gut^{37,38}. However, since different studies have shown BG impacts on gut microbiota without assessing in parallel the impacts on glycemic response, other mechanisms may exist but need further investigations to be elucidated³⁹.

When assessing the link between impacted metabolic and bacterial parameters, Slackia was the only bacterial feature which showed direct correlation with postprandial glycemia. It has been reported that the abundance of this genus was higher in prediabetic subjects and reduced after supplementation in xylooligosaccharide⁴⁰. Here, we showed that this genus was differently impacted by the two treatments and particularly decreased by CG even if not statistically significant and only in « Low-H₂». The improvement of postprandial triglycerides metabolism with CG supplementation was correlated with the decrease in rather pro inflammatory microbial features. The correlation analyses also suggested that the increase of exhaled H₂ after the fiber-enriched breakfast may be explained by abundance’s modification of a cluster of microbial features including butyrate-producers. Consistently, a link between bacterial substrate metabolism and H₂ gas formation have already been proposed in butyrate-producing colon bacteria^{41,42}.

Our study presents some strengths and limitations. We assessed a cross-over design study with a comprehensive set of biomarkers from gut microbiota composition and functions to fasting and postprandial phenotyping. Even though 4.5 g chitin-glucan were not sufficient to increase SCFA or barrier function biomarkers, it allowed to improve postprandial metabolism, which has been reported to be associated to cardiometabolic risk profile. For the first time, we identified an interesting non-invasive biomarker: exhaled H₂ following a lactulose breath test, which could be of interest to evaluate dietary fiber impact on postprandial glucose metabolism. We also showed that CG supplementation increased exhaled H₂ following a fiber-enriched breakfast. Although several beneficial

TAXA or ASV	CG			CTL			p value < 0.05
	Before	After	p value < 0.05	Before	After	p value < 0.05	
(A)							
Family							
Bacteroidaceae	5.995 ± 3.285	4.956 ± 3.919		5.229 ± 3.669	5.364 ± 2.743		0.022
Genus							
<i>Bacteroides</i>	5.995 ± 3.285	4.956 ± 3.919		5.229 ± 3.669	5.364 ± 2.743		0.036
<i>Erysipelotrichaceae.UCG.003</i>	1.150 ± 1.140	1.751 ± 0.828		1.365 ± 0.772	0.895 ± 0.764		0.035
<i>Lachnoclostridium</i>	0.967 ± 0.729	0.470 ± 0.286		0.269 ± 0.241	0.477 ± 0.394		0.022
<i>Butyricimonas</i>	0.36 ± 0.411	0.237 ± 0.468		0.175 ± 0.150	0.384 ± 0.437		0.022
<i>Faecalitalea</i>	0.376 ± 0.622	0.135 ± 0.285		0.189 ± 0.434	0.487 ± 0.911		0.022
ASV							
ASV033_ <i>Eisenbergiella</i> sp.	0.702 ± 0.371	0.758 ± 0.564		0.245 ± 0.402	0.631 ± 0.554		0.035
ASV045_ <i>Dorea</i> sp.	0.318 ± 0.499	0.832 ± 0.859		0.497 ± 0.717	0.213 ± 0.522		0.022
ASV075_ <i>Faecalibacillus intestinalis</i> sp.	0.137 ± 0.335	0.279 ± 0.356		0.466 ± 0.440	0.219 ± 0.353		0.035
ASV078_ <i>Roseburia intestinalis</i> (99%)	0.273 ± 0.429	0.082 ± 0.202		0.040 ± 0.098	0.499 ± 0.576		0.022
ASV161_ <i>Blautia</i> sp.	0.163 ± 0.399	0.157 ± 0.384		0.000 ± 0.000	0.302 ± 0.392		0.036
(B)							
Family							
Enterobacteriaceae	0.374 ± 0.528	0.477 ± 1.179		0.722 ± 1.868	1.115 ± 1.390		0.022
Genus							
<i>Ruminococcus</i>	1.165 ± 0.769	1.153 ± 0.612		1.480 ± 0.761	0.922 ± 0.943		0.035
<i>Coprococcus</i>	0.794 ± 0.535	0.636 ± 0.446		0.727 ± 0.694	0.846 ± 0.686		0.022
<i>Erysipelotrichaceae</i>	0.564 ± 1.103	0.500 ± 0.940		0.412 ± 0.705	0.639 ± 1.166		0.035
<i>Escherichia_Shigella</i>	0.274 ± 0.363	0.288 ± 0.678		0.405 ± 1.056	0.696 ± 0.623		0.022
<i>Slackia</i>	0.252 ± 0.331	0.197 ± 0.256		0.195 ± 0.280	0.276 ± 0.383		0.035
ASV							
ASV015_ <i>Subdoligranulum</i> sp.	0.565 ± 0.620	0.343 ± 0.493		0.417 ± 0.665	0.446 ± 0.403		0.022
ASV027_ <i>Collinsella aerofaciens</i> (99%)	0.510 ± 0.450	0.37 ± 0.368		0.265 ± 0.379	0.402 ± 0.620		0.036
ASV044_ <i>Collinsella aerofaciens</i> (99%)	0.431 ± 0.358	0.355 ± 0.272		0.148 ± 0.269	0.262 ± 0.319	0.035	0.036
ASV055_ [<i>Ruminococcus</i>] <i>faecis</i> (99%)	0.546 ± 0.512	0.395 ± 0.441		0.324 ± 0.483	0.308 ± 0.385		0.022
ASV092_ [<i>Ruminococcus</i>] <i>faecis</i> (99%)	0.155 ± 0.410	0.129 ± 0.221		0.436 ± 0.467	0.205 ± 0.371		0.035
ASV195_ <i>Oribacterium</i> sp.	0.000 ± 0.000	0.098 ± 0.260		0.055 ± 0.146	0.229 ± 0.332		0.042

Table 5. Subgroup analysis: Impacted microbial features (n = 13). (A): Impacted microbial features in “High-H₂” subgroup. (B): Impacted microbial features in “Low-H₂” subgroup. Data are expressed as mean percentage of relative abundance and presented as mean ± SD. To compare the shifts (i.e. the delta between the two treatments) and the baseline and endpoint, Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were performed and considered as significant if $p < 0.05$. For ASV identification, species name is indicated when the identity is > 98%.

metabolic effects of H₂ enrichment have been reported (antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anti-apoptotic properties, lipid and glucose metabolism improvement)^{24–26}, we cannot say if the present H₂ could have the same effects. The limitations of this study is that it was a short-term exploratory study performed in a small number of participants, with a comprehensive set of biomarkers so that after adjustment for multiple comparisons, none of the p values remain significant. Due to the explorative character of the study, we thus presented and considered non-adjusted p values < 0.05 as significant.

To conclude, this study showed the potential effects of a 3-week supplementation of CG compared to maltodextrin in at cardiometabolic subjects. No specific fecal biomarkers of CG interactions with the gut microbiota (as shown in the study in healthy volunteers) has been demonstrated in the present study. However, presently the improvement in the postprandial glycemic and lipemic profiles, early determining markers of cardiometabolic risk, underlies the interest of such dietary intervention in this specific at-risk population. The profile response to lactulose H₂ breath test seems to be a parameter of interest to predict the effectiveness of the intervention especially in terms of glycemic response. Further investigations are needed to confirm these observations and to elucidate the underlying mechanisms of CG on cardiometabolic profile in subjects at cardiometabolic risk.

Materials and methods

Ethical statements. This study was reviewed and approved by the local ethical committee CPP Ile de France IV 2018/69, ID-RCB: 2018-A02155-50. It was conducted between November 2018 and June 2019 at the Human Nutrition Research Center of Rhône-Alpes (CRNH-RA) and carried out in accordance with the Second Declaration of Helsinki and French Jardé’s law. It was reported and registered on <http://www.clinicaltrials.gov>




Figure 6. Correlations analyses between altered microbial features and metabolic parameters. The deltas (after–before) following CG supplementation for each metabolic parameter and microbial feature which were differently impacted by the two interventions (including those impacted in subgroup analysis) are presented. iAUC: incremental area under the curve; gly: glycemia; TG: triglyceridemia; H2: hydrogen; bf: standardized breakfast; fm: Flexmeal (standardized test meal challenge). Spearman's correlations were performed. * indicate the significant correlations (p value < 0.05).

NCT03773900 (12/12/2018). All participants received and signed informed consent before the initiation of any study-related procedure.

Study participants. Sixteen subjects with CM risk profile were recruited. Inclusion criteria included age: 30–65 years old, BMI: 25–35 kg/m², waist circumference > 80 cm for women and > 94 cm for men, daily fiber consumption < 25 g/day, exhaled H₂ (max–min > 20 ppm) in response to lactulose test, stable weight and moderate physical activity, no known gastrointestinal disease, no previously bariatric surgery, no use of antibiotics or other drugs interfering with microbiota composition in the 3 months prior to the beginning of the study.

Study design. This was a dietary monocentric, randomized, double blind and cross-over study. Each subject received two 3-week dietary interventions in a random order according to a randomization list per block of permutations established with SAS software 9.4 by the statisticians. The two dietary interventions were, 1) 4.5 g/d of chitin-glucan (CG) and 2) 4.5 g of maltodextrin as control (Table S3). A 4 to 6-week washout period separated the two interventions. Before and after each intervention, fecal samples were collected for gut microbiota composition and its derived-metabolites analysis. Fasting and postprandial metabolic parameters were evaluated during metabolic assessment days (Figure S3).

CG and CTL had the same galenic form and visual aspect but mainly differed by their fiber content (Table S3). Both were presented as a 3 g sachet powder to be diluted in water. Each sachet included 1.5 g of CG or 1.5 g control, thus subjects consumed 3 sachets per day (20–30 min before the 3 meals of the day) to reach the target dose of 4.5 g/d. The set of sachets to be consumed for each period was given to subjects at the beginning of each period during which, they were asked to keep the same diet and usual physical activity. A French National Authority for Health (HAS) questionnaire assessed with NUTRILOG software (version 3.10b, released in February 2017) was used to evaluate subjects' dietary fiber intake at inclusion. To evaluate dietary intake and ensure a good compliance during the intervention periods, subjects were ordered to fill in a 3-day dietary record. Data from this 3-day dietary record were also processed using NUTRILOG software which allowed to estimate energy, macronutrient, and dietary fiber intakes. Study's products consumption compliance was evaluated by counting full and empty sachets they brought to the center at the end of every intervention period.

During the 3 days before metabolic assessment day, subjects were ordered to collect stool samples using a specific kit. Stool samples were kept in the subject's freezer until they brought them to the center using a furnished cooler bag. On metabolic assessment days, subjects arrived at CRNH-RA after an 8-h overnight fast following the ingestion of a standardized low dietary fiber evening meal (one serving of fish, rice, cheese, rusk or soft bread, dried biscuits and butter). Body weight and fat mass percentage were measured using standardized methodologies using a calibrated weighing scale and a Bodystat Quadscan 4000 (BQ4000; Bodystat Ltd. Douglas, UK) respectively. RMR was measured by indirect calorimetry using a QUARK calorimeter (Cosmed, Rome, Italy). Subjects were served a dietary fiber-enriched breakfast at T0 (rye bread, hazelnut carob spread, fruit juice) and a standardized challenge test meal: the FlexMeal challenge at T240. The FlexMeal is a revised

version of the “PhenFlex drink”⁴³. It consists on a standardized challenge test meal composed by a soup and a dairy dessert presenting 923 kcal, 32.5% of carbohydrates, 58.9% of lipids and 8.7% of proteins. Fasting and 4 h postprandial blood (T0, T30, T60, T90, T120, T180, T240, T255, T270, T300, T330, T360, T420) were collected using an antecubital vein catheter. Fasting and postprandial exhaled gases were also collected (T0, T60, T120, T180, T240, T300, T360, T420, T480, T540, T600).

Biochemical blood analyses. Collected blood was centrifuged immediately for 10 min at 4500 rpm. Plasma was stored at $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ until the assays were conducted.

Glycemia was measured by spectrophotometry according to Architect Abbott Hexokinase method; TC, HDL-C and TG by spectrometry using Architect Module Chimie Abbott method; insulin by radio immunoassay according to RIA CisBio IBA method, NEFA by automatized spectrometry with PENTRA 400 HORIBA ABX. LDL-C was calculated using Friedwald formula and HOMA as plasma glucose (mmol/L) x plasma insulin (mUI/L) / 22.5.

Exhaled gases analyses. Exhaled gases have been collected using EASYSAMPLER Breath Test Kit (Quintron, Milwaukee, WI, USA). The concentrations of H_2 , CH_4 and CO_2 were then measured by gas chromatography using a QUINTRON BREATH TRACKER analyzer (Quintron, Milwaukee, WI, USA).

Microbiota analysis. *Fecal microbiome sequencing analysis.* Bacterial DNA was extracted from fecal samples using the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), following the ‘Protocol Q’ that was described by Costea and colleagues⁴⁴ with a slight modification: a reduction in time of bead beating step. Cells are mechanically lysed by running the FASTPREP Instrument for 2 min at max speed (beating 1 min and resting 5 min).

Library construction and the Illumina sequencing protocol has been previously described in detail⁴⁵. In brief, the V5–V6 regions of the 16S rRNA gene were targeted for PCR amplification using primers 784 F [5'-RGGATTAGATACCC-3'] and 1064 R [5'-CGACRRCCATGCANACCT-3']. 16S rRNA gene amplicons were sequenced by the MiSeq platform (300 bp paired-end length) at the University of Minnesota Genomics Center. All samples of this study were sequenced in the same run. Paired-end reads were merged, demultiplexed and conducted quality control implementation (length = 281 bp, mean sequence quality score ≥ 30) using QIIME2 pipeline with DADA2^{46,47}, which we refer to herein as amplicon sequences variants (ASV). The average of the sequence in all samples (n = 60) was 19,884. An even depth of 8,290 sequences per sample was used to conduct microbiome diversity. We assigned the sequences to taxonomic categories including kingdom, phylum, class, order, family and genus levels using a pre-trained Naive Bayes classifier based on Silva 132 99% OTUs database⁴⁸. For significant ASV, in order to have a higher resolution of the ASV identification, online 16S rRNA databases on both NCBI blast and EzBioCloud platforms were also used.

SCFA analysis. The methodology has been described before¹⁵. In brief, the native fecal samples were homogenized and subsamples of 400–500 mg were diluted 1:4 in ultrapure water and analyzed using a capillary gas chromatograph (HP6890 Series; Hewlett Packard Corp., Palo Alto, California, USA). Fecal dry mass was assessed by drying 300–500 mg of native sample overnight at $103\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$.

Markers of intestinal permeability. All biomarkers included were validated as markers of intestinal permeability before (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34009040/>). Zonulin and calprotectin were measured using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits (K5600; K6927; Immundiagnostik AG, Bensheim, Germany) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The fecal samples were diluted to the working concentration in sample buffer using stool sample tubes (K6998SAS; Immundiagnostik AG, Bensheim, Germany). LBP (REFs: DY870-05 and DY008; Bio-Techne GmbH, Wiesbaden, Germany) was measured in plasma.

Bile acids analysis. Bile acids were analyzed using method validated method adapted from *Guillemot-Legris et al.*⁴⁹. Briefly, lyophilized feces (5 mg) were homogenized in ice-cold distilled water prior to protein precipitation using acetone containing seven deuterated bile acids used as internal standards. Samples were next centrifuged, and the supernatant was evaporated to dryness under nitrogen steam. The resulting residue was resuspended in methanol and injected in the HPLC–MS system consisting of an LTQ-Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled to an Accela HPLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Analyte separation was performed on an Ascentis Express C-18 column (2.7 μm , $100 \times 4.6\text{ mm}$) (Sigma-Aldrich) using a gradient between acetonitrile and water, both containing formic acid. Mass spectrometry analysis was performed using an electrospray ionization source in the negative mode. Calibration curves were prepared in the same conditions.

LCFA analysis. To determine the LCFA profile in feces, we used 40 mg of previously lyophilized feces during 48 h (Labconco, freeze dryer 4.5). Forty microliter of C19 were added as extraction standard for homogenization with methanol:chloroform (1:2 V/V) by brief sonication in ice (Labsonic U, B. Braun). Homogenates were then filtered with Whatman filters n°1 (10 μm of porosity). Filters were rinsed with 2 ml of chloroform and 1 ml of methanol. Homogenates were purified with KCl 0.88% and KCl 0.88%: methanol (1:1 V/V). After centrifugation (1500 g, 5 min), the chloroform phase was collected in new tubes and evaporated under nitrogen flux until samples were completely dry. The esterified fatty acids were then subjected to alkaline hydrolysis (saponification)

and free fatty acids were methylated and quantified by gas chromatography with flame ionization detector as previously described⁵⁰.

Statistics. The primary outcome of this study was the measure of SCFA production. Due to the lack of available published data on this specific fiber, a standard power calculation to obtain the number of subjects needed for the study was not possible. The choice of the primary outcome relayed on data from two studies. The first one, carried out in an in vitro model simulating the intestine showed that a dose of 4.5 g of CG was able to increase the production of SCFA¹³. The second one showed that a supplementation of 3 g/day for 2 months of BG, a component of CG and a dietary fiber which structure is closely related CG, resulted in a significant increase of SCFA in the feces of 26 subjects⁵¹.

All statistical analyses and graphs were performed with R software v3.6.0 and SAS software 9.4 TS Level 1M6⁵².

To find out whether CG had a different effect from the effect of control on clinical variables and to assess if the difference was statistically significant, we performed a linear mixed model for repeated measures, with Toeplitz or autoregressive structure (AR) as covariance structure. In order to account for variability between subjects and to adjust for any non-specific differences, subjects were included as random effects. To quantify the effect of CG compared to the effect of control, we estimated the difference in terms of change ETD = After Fiber – Before Fiber – (After Control – Before Control) to which the *p* value of the interaction treatment*time is associated.

For gut microbiota analysis, relative abundances performed in Qiime2 were calculated in R for each taxa and ASV. To avoid analyzing spurious sequences, ASV with an average relative abundance below 0.1% in all samples were removed. The same cut-off was applied for analysis of bacterial genera. To assess if a given microbial feature was differently impacted by the two treatments, we first computed the delta (after–before) in abundance for both treatments. Then, a Wilcoxon signed-rank test has been performed to assess if the difference between the two deltas was statistically significant; if so, we evaluated the impact of each treatment by comparing the abundances before and after its consumption with a Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

Beta-diversity indices were compared by PERMANOVA using the Adonis function in the vegan package on R Software and data were visualized using non-metric multidimensional scaling.

For subgroup analysis, we computed Δ exhaled H_2 = exhaled H_2 peak – baseline and defined two subgroups: “High- H_2 ” = subjects with Δ exhaled H_2 > Δ exhaled H_2 median and “Low- H_2 ” = subjects with Δ exhaled H_2 < Δ exhaled H_2 median. The interaction treatment*time*subgroup was tested for all variables and the linear mixed model for repeated measures was only performed when the interaction was significant (*p* < 0.05).

For correlation analyses, we computed the deltas (after–before) following CG supplementation for each metabolic parameter and bacterial feature which were differently impacted by the two intervention. Spearman's correlations were performed.

All statistical analyses were performed with adjustment using false discovery rate (FDR < 0.05) for multiple tests according to the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure and none of the adjusted *p* values were significant. Nevertheless, non-adjusted *p* values < 0.05 were considered significant due to the explorative character of these approaches.

Data availability

The raw sequencing data are deposited into the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) of NCBI (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra>) under BioProject PRJNA803331. The study protocol and the datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study, including deidentified participant data will be available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 15 February 2022; Accepted: 5 May 2022

Published online: 25 May 2022

References

- Scientific Opinion on Dietary Reference Values for carbohydrates and dietary fibre. *EFSA J.* **8**, 1462 (2010).
- Cronin, P., Joyce, S. A., O'Toole, P. W. & O'Connor, E. M. Dietary fibre modulates the gut microbiota. *Nutrients* **13**, 1655 (2021).
- Gill, S. K., Rossi, M., Bajka, B. & Whelan, K. Dietary fibre in gastrointestinal health and disease. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **18**, 101–116 (2021).
- Deehan, E. C. *et al.* Modulation of the gastrointestinal microbiome with nondigestible fermentable carbohydrates to improve human health. *Microbiol. Spectr.* **5**, 5 (2017).
- Stephen, A. M. *et al.* Dietary fibre in Europe: current state of knowledge on definitions, sources, recommendations, intakes and relationships to health. *Nutr. Res. Rev.* **30**, 149–190 (2017).
- Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to dietary fibre (ID 744, 745, 746, 748, 749, 753, 803, 810, 855, 1415, 1416, 4308, 4330) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA J.* **8**, 1735 (2010).
- Verspreet, J. *et al.* A critical look at prebiotics within the dietary fiber concept. *Annu. Rev. Food Sci. Technol.* **7**, 167–190 (2016).
- Neyrinck, A. M. *et al.* The FiberTAG project: Tagging dietary fibre intake by measuring biomarkers related to the gut microbiota and their interest for health. *Nutr. Bull.* **45**, 59–65 (2020).
- Chinda, D. *et al.* The fermentation of different dietary fibers is associated with fecal clostridia levels in men. *J. Nutr.* **134**, 1881–1886 (2004).
- Scientific Opinion on the safety of 'Chitin-glucan' as a Novel Food ingredient | Autorité européenne de sécurité des aliments. <https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/1687>.
- Berecochea-Lopez, A. *et al.* Fungal Chitin–Glucan from *aspergillus niger* efficiently reduces aortic fatty streak accumulation in the high-fat fed hamster, an animal model of nutritionally induced atherosclerosis. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **57**, 1093–1098 (2009).
- Neyrinck, A. M. *et al.* Dietary modulation of clostridial cluster XIVa gut bacteria (*Roseburia* spp.) by chitin–glucan fiber improves host metabolic alterations induced by high-fat diet in mice. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* **23**, 51–59 (2012).
- Marzorati, M., Maquet, V. & Possemiers, S. Fate of chitin-glucan in the human gastrointestinal tract as studied in a dynamic gut simulator (SHIME®). (2017).
- Rodriguez, J. *et al.* Metabolite profiling reveals the interaction of chitin-glucan with the gut microbiota. *Gut Microbes* **12**, 18 (2020).

15. Rodriguez, J. *et al.* Metabolite profiling reveals the interaction of chitin-glucan with the gut microbiota. *Gut Microbes* **12**, 1810530 (2020).
16. Bays, H. E. *et al.* Chitin-glucan fiber effects on oxidized low-density lipoprotein: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **67**, 2–7 (2013).
17. Vors, C., Nazare, J.-A., Michalski, M.-C. & Laville, M. Intérêt de la phase postprandiale pour la santé de l'Homme. *Obésité* **9**, 31–41 (2014).
18. O'Keefe, J. H. & Bell, D. S. H. Postprandial hyperglycemia/hyperlipidemia (postprandial dysmetabolism) is a cardiovascular risk factor. *Am. J. Cardiol.* **100**, 899–904 (2007).
19. Lopez-Miranda, J., Williams, C. & Lairon, D. Dietary, physiological, genetic and pathological influences on postprandial lipid metabolism. *Br. J. Nutr.* **98**, 458–473 (2007).
20. Cederberg, H. *et al.* Postchallenge glucose, A1C, and fasting glucose as predictors of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. *Diabetes Care* **33**, 2077–2083 (2010).
21. Bonora, E. *et al.* Prevalence and correlates of post-prandial hyperglycaemia in a large sample of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia* **49**, 846–854 (2006).
22. Weissman, A., Lowenstein, L., Peleg, A., Thaler, I. & Zimmer, E. Z. Power spectral analysis of heart rate variability during the 100-g oral glucose tolerance test in pregnant women. *Diabetes Care* **29**, 571–574 (2006).
23. Rebolledo, O. R. & Actis Dato, S. M. Postprandial hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia-generated glycoxidative stress: its contribution to the pathogenesis of diabetes complications. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* **9**, 191–208 (2005).
24. Shi, Q. H. *et al.* Hydrogen therapy reduces oxidative stress-associated risks following acute and chronic exposure to high-altitude environment. *Biomed. Environ. Sci. BES* **28**, 239–241 (2015).
25. Kajiyama, S. *et al.* Supplementation of hydrogen-rich water improves lipid and glucose metabolism in patients with type 2 diabetes or impaired glucose tolerance. *Nutr. Res. N. Y. N* **28**, 137–143 (2008).
26. Kamimura, N., Nishimaki, K., Ohsawa, I. & Ohta, S. Molecular hydrogen improves obesity and diabetes by inducing hepatic FGF21 and stimulating energy metabolism in db/db mice. *Obes. Silver Spring Md* **19**, 1396–1403 (2011).
27. Flourié, B. *et al.* Comparative study of hydrogen and methane production in the human colon using caecal and faecal homogenates. *Gut* **31**, 684–685 (1990).
28. Turnbaugh, P. J. *et al.* An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. *Nature* **444**, 1027–1031 (2006).
29. Basseri, R. J. *et al.* Intestinal methane production in obese individuals is associated with a higher body mass index. *Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **8**, 22–28 (2012).
30. Schink, B. Energetics of syntrophic cooperation in methanogenic degradation. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **61**, 262–280 (1997).
31. Mathur, R. *et al.* Methane and hydrogen positivity on breath test is associated with greater body mass index and body fat. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **98**, E698–E702 (2013).
32. Salmerón, J. *et al.* Dietary fiber, glycemic load, and risk of NIDDM in men. *Diabetes Care* **20**, 545–550 (1997).
33. Wolk, A. *et al.* Long-term intake of dietary fiber and decreased risk of coronary heart disease among women. *JAMA* **281**, 1998–2004 (1999).
34. Liu, S. *et al.* A prospective study of dietary fiber intake and risk of cardiovascular disease among women. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **39**, 49–56 (2002).
35. Nazare, J.-A. *et al.* Modulation of the postprandial phase by beta-glucan in overweight subjects: effects on glucose and insulin kinetics. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **53**, 361–369 (2009).
36. Cugnet-Anceau, C. *et al.* A controlled study of consumption of beta-glucan-enriched soups for 2 months by type 2 diabetic free-living subjects. *Br. J. Nutr.* **103**, 422–428 (2010).
37. Battilana, P. *et al.* Mechanisms of action of beta-glucan in postprandial glucose metabolism in healthy men. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **55**, 327–333 (2001).
38. Wood, P. J. *et al.* Effect of dose and modification of viscous properties of oat gum on plasma glucose and insulin following an oral glucose load. *Br. J. Nutr.* **72**, 731–743 (1994).
39. Jayachandran, M., Chen, J., Chung, S. S. M. & Xu, B. A critical review on the impacts of β -glucans on gut microbiota and human health. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* **61**, 101–110 (2018).
40. Yang, J. *et al.* Xylooligosaccharide supplementation alters gut bacteria in both healthy and prediabetic adults: a pilot study. *Front. Physiol.* **6**, 216 (2015).
41. Duncan, S. H., Barcenilla, A., Stewart, C. S., Pryde, S. E. & Flint, H. J. Acetate utilization and butyryl coenzyme A (CoA): Acetate-CoA transferase in butyrate-producing bacteria from the human large intestine. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **68**, 5186–5190 (2002).
42. De Vuyst, L. & Leroy, F. Cross-feeding between bifidobacteria and butyrate-producing colon bacteria explains bifidobacterial competitiveness, butyrate production, and gas production. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **149**, 73–80 (2011).
43. Wopereis, S. *et al.* Multi-parameter comparison of a standardized mixed meal tolerance test in healthy and type 2 diabetic subjects: the PhenFlex challenge. *Genes Nutr.* **12**, 21 (2017).
44. Costea, P. I. *et al.* Towards standards for human fecal sample processing in metagenomic studies. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **35**, 1069–1076 (2017).
45. Deehan, E. C. *et al.* Precision microbiome modulation with discrete dietary fiber structures directs short-chain fatty acid production. *Cell Host Microbe* **27**, 389–404.e6 (2020).
46. Callahan, B. J. *et al.* DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* **13**, 581–583 (2016).
47. Bolyen, E. *et al.* Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 852–857 (2019).
48. Wang, Q., Garrity, G. M., Tiedje, J. M. & Cole, J. R. Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **73**, 5261–5267 (2007).
49. Guillemot-Legris, O., Mutemberezi, V., Cani, P. D. & Muccioli, G. G. Obesity is associated with changes in oxysterol metabolism and levels in mice liver, hypothalamus, adipose tissue and plasma. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 19694 (2016).
50. Druart, C. *et al.* Ability of the gut microbiota to produce PUFA-derived bacterial metabolites: Proof of concept in germ-free versus conventionalized mice. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **59**, 1603–1613 (2015).
51. De Angelis, M. *et al.* Effect of Whole-Grain Barley on the Human Fecal Microbiota and Metabolome. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **81**, 7945–7956 (2015).
52. R: The R Project for Statistical Computing. <https://www.r-project.org/>.

Acknowledgements

The FiberTAG project was initiated from a European Joint Programming Initiative “A Healthy Diet for a Healthy Life” (JPI HDHL). This study was supported by the Service Public de Wallonie (SPW-EER, convention 1610365, Belgium).

Author contributions

M.A., L.V.D.B., M.S., S.L.P., N.F., A.M.N., J.R., V.M., M.L., S.C.B., J.W., N.M.D. and J.A.N. contributed to the design and development of the study. H.R., M.S., L.V.D.B., S.L.P. and N.F. performed the clinical investigation. C.C., C.M., A.M.N., B.S., M.R. and G.G.M. performed metabolic, biochemical and exhaled gases analyses. ZZ and JW performed gut microbiota analyses. H.R. analyzed the data. H.R. and J.A.N. drafted the manuscript. All authors read, critically reviewed and approved the manuscript.

Funding

NMD is a recipient of a grant from Belgium National Scientific Research Fund (FRS-FNRS, convention PINT-MULTI R.8013.19 (NEURON, call 2019) and convention PDR T.0068.19) and from UCLouvain (Action de Recherche Concertée ARC18-23/092). GGM is recipient of a FSR grant from the UCLouvain.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-12920-z>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.-A.N.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2022