scientific reports

OPEN



Exploring phylogenetic relationships within the subgenera of *Bambusa* based on DNA barcodes and morphological characteristics

An Ke Wang¹, Qi Fan Lu¹, Zhen Xian Zhu², Sheng Hui Liu¹, Hao Zhong¹, Zi Zhang Xiao¹, Yue Guo Zou³, Li Jian Gu⁴, Xu Hua Du¹, Han Jiang Cai¹ & Yu Fang Bi^{1⊠}

The genus *Bambusa* belongs to the subtribe Bambusinae and the subfamily Bambusoideae. The subgenera of *Bambusa* has not been satisfactorily circumscribed, and this remains a major taxonomic issue. Simultaneously, genera such as *Dendrocalamus* and *Gigantochloa* have not been confidently assigned to *Bambusa*. Here, the phylogenetic relationships among subgenera were investigated using five chloroplast DNA markers (rpl32-trnL, rpl16, matK, rbcL, and trnH-psbA) for a sample of 50 ingroup and 16 outgroup species. A total of 186 key morphological descriptors were studied for the 50 ingroup species. The results indicated that five chloroplast DNA markers were possible to distinguish *Bambusa* species from other species and divide them into several clusters. Phylogenetic analyses conducted using morphological descriptors and a combined marker (rpl32-trnL+rpl16) revealed three and five distinct lineages, respectively, among the currently recognized *Bambusa* species. The branching pattern of the dendrogram was not completely consistent with the classical taxonomic classifications. As the maximum parsimony topology and morphological analyses were inconsistent, some clustering results overlapped. Overall, the results obtained here do not support the current classification of the *Bambusa* subgenera.

The genus *Bambusa*, belonging to the subtribe Bambusinae and the subfamily Bambusoideae, is among the largest woody bamboo genera, comprising over 100 species¹. The classical botanical classification of bamboo species is based on the morphological characteristics of their culms, branches, and sheaths, owing to the infrequency of their blossoming. The Flora of China² (FOC), the newest authoritative botany book in China, divides *Bambusa* into four subgenera based on the morphological characteristics of the culm, branch, and sheath: *Bambusa, Leleba, Lingnania*, and *Dendrocalamopsis*. The subgenus *Dendrocalamopsis* was not included in previous versions of the Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae³ (FRPS). The FOC has been updated to reflect new findings in bamboo research; however, the definitions of some species remain controversial. Like *Bambusa oldhamii*, some studies continue to use the former name *Dendrocalamopsis oldhamii*⁴.

The distinctive life pattern, such as infrequent flowering and predominance of asexual reproduction render the taxonomic classification of bamboo difficult⁵. Consequently, there have been many misnamed species. For example, the *Bambusa* species *B. chungii*, *B. guangxiensis*, and *B. cerosissima* have been misnamed *Lingnania chungii*, *L. funghomii*, and *L. cerosissima*, respectively². With the addition of flowering materials, Chinese species were hitherto placed in the *Sasa* subgenus *Sasa*. Qin⁶ et al. strongly argued that, considering the monophyly of the Chinese representatives of the *Sasa* subgenus *Sasa*, a new genus, *Sinosasa*, should be erected.

Both morphological and molecular systematics have been utilized to solve the problems of bamboo classification. Among the various approaches used in molecular systematics, DNA sequencing has become one of the most

¹Key Laboratory of Resources and Utilization of Bamboo of State Forestry Administration, China National Bamboo Research Center, Hangzhou 310012, Zhejiang, China. ²Monitoring Center for Forest Resources in Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou 310020, Zhejiang, China. ³Forestry Bureau of Hua'an, Zhangzhou 363000, Fujian, China. ⁴Hangzhou Lin'an Taihuyuan Ornamental Bamboo Planting Garden, Hangzhou 310020, Zhejiang, China. [⊠]email: yufangbi@163.com

widely used methods applied in bamboo classification^{7,8}, especially at the genus level^{9,10}. Recently, substantial progress has been made towards understanding the evolutionary relationships of *Bambusa* and its allies (*Bambusa*, *Dendrocalamus*, *Gigantochloa*, and *Melocalamus* are classified as a close group, in particular based on their shared characteristic of a solid, thickened, and hairy ovary summit) using molecular data¹¹. Yang¹¹ et al. used nuclear gene (GBSSI) and plastid DNA sequences (psbA-trnH, rpl32-trnL, and rps16), which allowed *Bambusa* and *Dendrocalamopsis* to be classified into one of two clades with reasonable support. Through this approach, 17 *Bambusa* samples were classified into three clades, and this result supported the present subgeneric classification of *Bambusa*. However, other studies have not supported this classification. The phylogeny of bamboo species has also been analyzed using only internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences. In this group, each branch was composed of several species of three subgenera (not including the subgenus *Dendrocalamopsis*), and the *Bambusa* and *Dendrocalamus* species formed a group with a bootstrap value of 100¹². Goh^{13,14} et al. used chloroplast DNA markers (rps16-trnQ, trnC-rpoB, and trnD-T) and a nuclear DNA marker (GBSSI) to classify *Bambusa*, *Dendrocalamus*, and *Gigantochloa* as distinct lineages. This approach identified four *Bambusa* subgenera, which differed from the subgeneric classification. Chloroplast DNA sequences¹⁵.

Several DNA markers have been used as core plant barcodes, such as the plastid (chloroplast) markers rbcL, matK, and trnH-psbA. Nuclear ribosomal ITSs have also been used¹⁶. Statistical results revealed that these three plastid markers showed high levels of universality (87.1–92.7%) and that the combination of ITS and any of the plastid DNA markers was able to discriminate 69.9–79.1% of species¹⁷. In this study, many DNA barcoding primers (trnL-trnF, trnS-trnG, psbB-psbF, rpl16, rpl32-trnL, rbcL, matK, trnH-psbA, and ITS) were utilized with the aim of amplifying the DNA sequences of bamboo samples. Unfortunately, the plastid DNA markers trnL-trnF, trnS-trnG, and psbB-psbF failed to amplify most specimens, as did the nuclear marker ITS.

In addition to DNA barcoding, researchers have attempted to arrange morphological characteristics into a data matrix using cladistic analysis¹⁸. DAS¹⁹ et al. scored 32 key morphological descriptors for 15 bamboo species and standardized them as qualitative and quantitative interval data to construct a tree graph, using the unweighted pair-group method of arithmetic averages. For other plants, Tilney²⁰ et al. used morphological and anatomical characteristics as scoring feature matrices for the cladistic analysis of *Lichtensteinia* (Apiaceae). Based on morphological data, Kim²¹ et al. conducted principal component analysis and cluster analysis on native chrysanthemum in South Korea.

In the present study, the phylogenetic relationships among the four subgenera of *Bambusa* were investigated (50 samples) using DNA sequence data and morphological characteristics, employing a much larger taxon sample than has been previously available. This included representatives from all subgenera of *Bambusa* that have previously been described. DNA sequence data were derived from the plastid markers.

Materials and methods

Materials. A total of 66 taxa from *Bambusa* and some other bamboo species (Table 1, all Latin names were obtained from the FOC) representing ten genera were sampled for molecular phylogenetic analysis. There were 50 species from *Bambusa* belonging to the four subgenera described in the FOC², including *D. oldhamii* and *Neosinocalamus affinis*, which were not accepted as *Bambusa* in the FRPS, but were moved to *Bambusa* in 2007² and named *B. oldhamii* and *B. emeiensis*, respectively. The outgroup taxa included *Dendrocalamus*, *Drepanostachyum*, *Indosasa*, *Melocanna*, *Neosinocalamus*, *Oligostachyum*, *Phyllostachys*, *Pseudosasa*, *Pleioblastus*, *Shibataea*, and *Sinobambusa*. Fifty taxa from *Bambusa* were collected and analyzed for morphological phylogeny.

DNA isolation, amplification, cloning, and sequencing. Leaves were collected from the Hua'an Bamboo Garden (Fujian Province, China) and Lin'an Taihu Lake Source Bamboo Garden (Zhejiang Province, China). Total DNA was extracted from silica-gel-dried young leaves, using a modification of the method described by Fulton²² et al. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification, cloning, and the sequencing of rpl16 were performed according to the forward²³ and reverse primers²⁴, following the protocol of Cornelia²³ et al. For rpl32-trnL, the primers rpl32-F and trnL were used, following the protocol of Shaw²⁵ et al.; for rbcL, the primers rbcL-1F and rbcL-724R were used, following the protocol of Fay²⁶ et al.; for matK, the primers matK-ML and matK-MU were used, following the protocol of Tate and Simpson³⁰. All the primer sequences are shown in Table 2.

PCR was conducted using the TaKaRa Ex^{**} kit (Takara Biomedical Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) with the following program settings: 5 min at 95.0 °C; 35 cycles of 30 s at 95.0 °C, 30 s at annealing temperature, 40 s at 72.0 °C; 7 min at 72.0 °C; and then holding at 4.0 °C. The annealing temperatures used here were 51.0–56.0 °C. The PCR reaction mixture contained 10 ng of DNA samples, 0.5 μ L (10 μ M) each of forward and reverse primers, 0.5 μ L of deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate (dNTP), 2.5 μ L of 10 × buffer, and 0.5 μ L of deoxyribonuclease (DNase); double distilled water (ddH₂O) was added to make the volume up to 25 μ L. PCR products were purified using Promega Wizard^{*} PCR Clean-up System kits (Promega Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA sequencing was performed commercially by Shanghai Sunny Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

DNA sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses. DNA sequences were edited using CHRO-MAS v2.6.5 and aligned by MUSCLE (embedded in MEGAX), with default parameters. They were adjusted manually where necessary. All sequence data were uploaded to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/study/?acc=PRJNA706162&o=acc_s%3Aa). Maximum

Name of bamboo	Missing data	Name of bamboo	Missing data
Bambusa albolineata		Bambusa pachinensis	
Bambusa arundinacea		Bambusa pachinensis var. hirsutissima	
Bambusa blumeana		Bambusa pervariabilis	
Bambusa boniopsis		Bambusa prominens	
Bambusa cerosissima		Bambusa sinospinosa	
Bambusa chungii		Bambusa surrecta	
Bambusa chungii var. velutina		Bambusa teres	
Bambusa cornigera		Bambusa textilis	
Bambusa contracta		Bambusa textilis var. gracilis	
Bambusa corniculata		Bambusa textilis cv. Purpurascens	
Bambusa distegia		Bambusa tuldoides	
Bambusa dolichoclada	trnH-psbA	Bambusa tuldoides cv. Swolleninternode	
Bambusa duriuscula	rpl16	Bambusa ventricosa cv. Nana	
Bambusa emeiensis / N. affinis		Bambusa vulgaris	
Bambusa eutuldoides		Bambusa vulgaris cv. Vittata	
Bambusa eutuldoides var. basistriata		Bambusa vulgaris cv. Wamin	
Bambusa eutuldoides var. viridi-vittata		Bambusa xiashanensis	
Bambusa flexuosa		Dendrocalamus membranaceus	*
Bambusa gibba		Dendrocalamus minor	*rpl16
Bambusa gibboides	rpl32-trnL, rpl16	Dendrocalamus minor var. amoenus	*
Bambusa indigena		Drepanostachyum scandens	*
Bambusa lenta		Indosasa shibataeoides	*matK
Bambusa longispiculata		Melocanna baccifera	*
Bambusa macrotis		Neosinocalamus affinis cv. Viridiflavus	*trnH-psbA, rpl16
Bambusa multiplex		Oligostachyum lubricum	*rbcL
Bambusa multiplex cv. Alphonse-Karr		Phyllostachys heteroclada	*matK
Bambusa multiplex cv. Fernleaf		Phyllostachys heterocycla	*
Bambusa multiplex cv. Silverstripe		Phyllostachys violascens	*
Bambusa multiplex cv. Stripestem Fernleaf		Pseudosasa amabilis	*rbcL
Bambusa multiplex var. riviereorum		Pseudosasa japonica var. tsutsumiana	*
Bambusa multiplex var. shimadae		Pleioblastus viridistriatus	*
Bambusa mutabilis		Shibataea chinensis cv. Aureo-striata	*trnH-psbA
Bambusa oldhamii / D. oldhamii	*	Sinobambusa tootsik var. luteolo-albo-striata	*

Table 1. Sixty-six taxa from *Bambusa* and the outgroup. Marker name in the missing data column indicates that there was an amplification or sequencing failure; * indicates missing morphological characteristic data.

.....

Mark	Prime-F	Prime-R
rpl32-trnL	CTGCTTCCTAAGAGCAGCGT	CAGTTCCAAAAAAACGTACTTC
rpl16	CTATGCTTAGTGTGTGACTC	TCTTCCTCTATGTTGTTTACG
matK	AAACAGAAATCTCGTCAA	AGGGTTCACCAGGTCATT
rbcL	ATGTCACCACAAACAGAGACTAAAGC	TCGCATGTACCTGCAGTAGC
trnH-psbA	CGCGCATGGTGGATTCACAATCC	GTTATGCATGAACGTAATGCTC

Table 2. Sequences of the five primers used in this study.

parsimony (MP) analysis was conducted based on the separate rpl32-trnL, rpl16, matK, rbcL, and trnH-psbA datasets and with a combined rpl32-trnL+rpl16 dataset.

MP analysis was performed with MEGAX (https://www.megasoftware.net/); all characteristics were equally weighted, and gaps were coded as missing data. Heuristic searches of 1,000 random addition replicates were conducted using subtree-pruning-regrafting (SPR) branch swapping. This was done to obtain the most parsimonious trees, and ten trees from each random sequence were saved. Estimates of clade robustness were obtained through bootstrap values (BV) calculated from 1000 replicate analyses, conducted using the heuristic search strategy and through a simple addition sequence of the taxa. The incongruence length difference (ILD) test of Farris³¹ et al. was used to evaluate the statistical significance of character incongruence among the rpl32-trnL and rpl16 intron datasets before their combined analysis.

Mark	Number of the sites	Nucleotide diversity, Pi	Haplotype diversity, Hd
rpl32-trnL	1109	0.07872	0.903
rpl16	1283	0.07573	0.771
matK	1611	0.06624	0.803
rbcL	698	0.00458	0.587
trnH-psbA	636	0.00406	0.581
rpl16 + rpl32-trnL	2392	0.08462	0.961

Table 3. Diversity information of DNA sites based on 66 bamboo species.

Morphological characteristic analyses. Based on the China Industry Standard Guidelines for conducting distinctness, uniformity, and stability tests, 186 key morphological descriptors were used to assess Bambusa members. Morphological descriptors were scored as follows: each species was considered as a separate independent operational taxonomic unit (OTU). One hundred and eighty-six key morphological descriptors were used (one root descriptor about aerial root; 22 culm descriptors about powder ring, hair ring, surface cover, color, internode length, diameter, shape, and sheath-node bulge; nine branch descriptors about branch thorn, lowest branch height, and leaf number; six leaf descriptors for length, hair, and base shape; 18 culm descriptors for sheaths about surface cover, hair ring, brim hair, length and color streak; 50 descriptors for sheath auricles about length, the length ratio value of the two auricles, corrugated fold, shape, oral setae length, root location, and extension condition; 54 sheath blade descriptors about shape, reflex, corrugation, hairy, color, tip shape, base length, and length; and 26 sheath ligule descriptors about length, shape, eyelash, and eyelash length). The specific morphological characteristics that were selected are listed in Table A1, which were assessed from each of the 50 OTUs (five replications per OTU) studied in the field. Mean values obtained from five independent replications were used as representative OTU data for each quantitative morphological descriptor. If the sample characteristics conformed to descriptors, they were marked as "0"; if not, they were marked as "1." The scored qualitative and quantitative interval data were standardized to construct a dendrogram using neighbor-joining (NJ) performed via PowerMarker V3.25.

Ethical approval. This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Herbarium vouchers. Hua'an Bamboo Botanical Garden and Hangzhou Lin'an Taihuyuan Ornamental Bamboo Planting Garden supported the research work of this article. The Materials were collected by Y.G.Z and L.J.G in accordance with related management rules without damaging the growth of bamboo, and the relevant herbarium vouchers were kept in Hua'an botanical garden and Hangzhou Lin'an Taihuyuan Ornamental Bamboo Planting Garden. The list of specific species is attached in the annex (Table A2).

Results

Phylogenetic analyses. In this study, all five markers, rpl32-trnL, rpl16, matK, rbcL, and trnH-psbA, were independently detected by MP. Based on the five MP trees and supplemented by information on diversity acquired using DnaSP v5³², phylogenetic analyses of *Bambusa* were performed using combined DNA barcoding (rpl32-trnL+rpl16).

The nucleotide (Pi) and haplotype (Hd) diversities of these five DNA barcodes indicated that rbcL and trnHpsbA were not suitable for identifying *Bambusa* as their Pi values were much lower than those of rpl 32-trnL, rpl 16, and matK at 0.00458 and 0.00406, respectively (Table 3). In contrast, rpl32-trnL, rp16, and matK appeared to be reasonable barcoding candidates for identifying *Bambusa* species according to the diversity information available. While matK, rbcL, and trnH-psbA could separate *Bambusa* from other genera, they grouped >70% of the sampled *Bambusa* into one cluster. In comparison, rpl32-trnL and rpl16 both divided *Bambusa* into several clusters.

A combined barcode (rpl 32-trnL+rpl 16) was also used to analyze the phylogeny of 66 taxa after the ILD was tested. The *p*-value of the ILD was 0.05 and the combined marker successfully divided bamboo into several clusters, as shown in Fig. 1 (left). The tree length, consistency index (CI), and retention index (RI) of the MP analyses for rpl32-trnL+rpl16 were 2392, 0.79, and 0.91, respectively. The BVs were mapped onto the MP topologies and shown as figures behind the branches. The analysis based on the rpl32-trnL+rpl16 combined dataset divided the entire group into three major clusters (A [100 BV], B [100 BV], C [61 BV]), with cluster A as an outgroup (Fig. 1, left), constituting members of the *Shibataea, Drepanostachyum. Phyllostachys, Pseudosasa, Oligostachyum, Sinobambusa, Indosasa*, and *Pleioblastus*. However, three species, *Dendrocalamus minor* var. *amoenus, Dendrocalamus membranaceus*, and *Melocanna baccifera* (cluster C) were considered to form an outgroup and were therefore not included. *Bambusa* taxa formed two major clusters, B and C, and cluster C was further divided into four sub-clusters (C1 [54 BV], C2 [64 BV], C3 [66 BV], and C4 [52 BV]) and several monotypic and small clades.

The branching pattern of the dendrogram was not completely consistent with the classical taxonomic classification of *Bambusa* proposed by the FOC², especially at the subgenus level. The subgenus *Lingnania* (blue strip in Fig. 1) contained the greatest number of species sampled in this study, while members the subgenus *Bambusa* (pink strip) were scattered among all the *Bambusa* clusters. The subgenus *Leleba* (green strip) did not appear - Ramhusa teres

94 Bembusa vulgerie eu Memin	
₆₃ — Bambusa Vulgaris cv. Wamin	
⁷⁹ — Bambusa multiplex cv. Fernleaf	
91 Bambusa xiashanensis	
Bambusa sinospinosa	
Bambusa arundinacea	
Melocanna baccifera	
Bambusa blumeana	
Bambusa contracta	
Bambusa emejensis	
- Bambusa cerosissima	
77 Bambusa flavuosa	
Bambusa nexuosa	
90 Dambusa vulgaris	– B. pachinensis
Bambusa vulgaris cv. vittata	B. lenta
Bambusa mutabilis	B. textilis var. gracilis
- Bambusa tuldoides cv. Swolleninter	B. Indigena
C3 Bambusa oldhamii	
Bambusa prominens	K B. boniopsis
— Bambusa boniopsis	B. cornigera
Bambusa gibba	
Bambusa multiplex cv. Silverstripe	B. multiplex cv. Alphonse-Karr
— Bambusa multiplex var. shimadae	B. multiplex var, shimadae
88- Bambusa multiplex var. riviereorum	1B. multiplex
Bambusa multiplex cv. Alphonse-Ka	arrB. multiplex var. riviereorum
Bambusa multiplex	B. multiplex cv. Stripestem Fernleat
C2 Bambusa indigena	
Bambusa textilis yar, gracilis	B. eutuldoides var. basistriata
Bambusa textilis val. gradilis	B. arundinacea
Ballibusa ventricosa cv. Nalla	
Bambusa pachinensis var. hirsutissi	
Bambusa corniculata	
Bambusa textilis cv. Purpurascens	B. albolineata
Bambusa distegia	B. distegia
Bambusa lenta	B. chungii var. velutina
⁷⁰ Bambusa chungii var. velutina	
Bambusa surrecta	
Bambusa albolineata	
Bambusa macrotis	B. emeiensis
54 Bambusa eutuldoides var. basistriat	taB. mutabilis
Bambusa eutuldoides var. viridi-vitt	ata — B. tuldoides cv. Swolleninternode
67 Bambusa longispiculata	B. prominens
— Bambusa pervariabilis	المعالم
Dendrocalamus membranaceus	
- Bambusa dolichoclada	
- Bambusa chungii	B. eutuldoides var. viridi-vittata
72 Bambusa outuldoidos	B. sinospinosa
B 100 Bambuca multiplev ov Stringstom 5	Fornloaf
Bambusa multiplex cv. Stripestem F	B. vulgaris cv. Vittata
Bambusa pacificensis	B. blumeana
96 Bambusa textilis	
Bambusa cornigera	
Bambusa tuldoides	
Shibataea chinensis cv. Aureo-striat	la
Drepanostachyum scandens	
A 100 Phyllostachys violascens	
Phyllostachys heterocycla	
Phyllostachys heteroclada	
⁵⁹ Pseudosasa japonica var. tsutsumia	ina
Oligostachyum lubricum	
⁹⁸ Sinobambusa tootsik var. luteolo-all	bo-striata -
58 Indosasa shibataeoides	
77 Pseudosasa amabilis	
Pleioblastus viridistriatus	

Figure 1. Strict consensus of the most parsimonious trees based on two cpDNA datasets (left), dendrogram derived from NJ cluster analysis based on 186 morphological descriptors of 50 bamboo species (right). Strips with different colors indicate subgenera: *Lingnania* (blue), *Bambusa* (pink), *Leleba* (green), *Dendrocalamopsis* (orange), and the outgroup (yellow).

in the four sub-clusters of cluster C, and essentially was found in several monotypic and small clades of cluster C, except for *B. chungii* in cluster B. *B. oldhamii* (orange stripe) belonged to the subgenus *Dendrocalamopsis* in cluster C3. Meanwhile, several species belonging to the same subgenus were also clustered with a high degree of confidence in our MP analysis. For example, in cluster C2, most of the species belonged to the subgenus *Leleba*, except for *B. contracta*, *B. ventricosa* cv. Nana, and *B. indigena*, while in cluster C1, most species belonged to the subgenus *Leleba*, except for *B. macrotis* and *D. membranaceus*.

Varieties and cultivars did not always stay with their McClure classifications. For example, *B. chungii* and *B. chungii* var. *velutina* were separated into two clusters and two varieties of *B. eutuldoides* were assigned to cluster C1 but were separated from *B. eutuldoides* (in B). Meanwhile, cultivars of *B. textilis* were assigned to cluster C2 but were separated from *B. textilis* (in B), and *B. vulgaris* and *B. vulgaris* cv. Vittata formed a small cluster (90

BV) but were separated from *B. vulgaris* cv. Wamin. *Bambusa multiplex* and its varieties and cultivars, except for *B. multiplex* cv. Fernleaf and *B. multiplex* cv. Stripestem Fernleaf, formed a sub-cluster of cluster C2 with 88 BV.

Analyses of morphological characteristics. In the absence of flower or fruit characteristics, culm sheaths and characteristics were treated as two taxonomic features for classifying *Bambusa*. According to 186 key morphological descriptors, the entire dendrogram (Fig. 1, right) was split into three clusters (H, I, and J). One main cluster (H) was divided into two sub-clusters (K and L) and four clusters (K, L, I, and J) did not completely conform to the existing classification. For instance, *B. textilis* and *B. teres* were totally isolated in a small cluster (J) belonging to the subgenus *Leleba* and species in the subgenus *Lingnania* were all in one subclade of cluster L. Critically, the subgenera *Bambusa* and *Leleba* were not separated from one another. Meanwhile, varieties and cultivars, such as *B. vulgaris* and *B. multiplex*, were more likely to stay with their McClure classifications. *Bambusa vulgaris* and its two cultivars formed a small clade in cluster I and *B. chungii* and *B. chungii* var. *velutina* were grouped into cluster L. The varieties and cultivars of *B. multiplex* were placed into cluster K; *B. textilis*, *B. textilis* cv. Purpurascens, and *B. textilis* var. *gracilis* were split into clusters J, L, and K, respectively, and *B. tuldoides* were split into clusters I and L.

Topological congruences. The MP topology analyses were largely inconsistent with the morphological analysis, but cluster C2 in the MP analysis was largely consistent with cluster K in the morphological analysis. This highly consistent cluster included *B. textilis* var. gracilis, *B. indigena, B. pachinensis* var. *hirsutissima, B. ventricosa* cv. Nana, *B. corniculata, B. multiplex, B. multiplex* cv. Alphonse-Karr, *B. multiplex* cv. Silverstripe, *B. multiplex* var. *shimadai*, and *B. multiplex* var. *riviereorum*. These species share some of the same characteristics: no aerial root, wedge-shaped leaf base, sheath clade length/culm sheath length < 1, sheath blade erect, and hairy ventral.

Discussion

Bambusa and its allies. In the present study, the DNA barcode rpl32-trnL+rpl16 identified Bambusa from other genera that were close to Bambusa, although it struggled to distinguish Bambusa from Dendrocalamus. Previous molecular studies have not convincingly shown that Bambusa is a monophyletic genus when related genera have also been considered. Sun12 et al. used ITS and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and found that three Dendrocalamus species (D. latiflorus, D. membranaceus, and D. strictus) were nested among the Bambusa taxa. Yang³³ et al. used the combined ITS+GBSSI+trnL-F combinatorial regions to show that eight Bambusa taxa (including B. oldhamii) were resolved as a monotypic clade in a phylogenetic tree supported by the posterior probability of Bayesian analysis. However, the sister grouping of Dendrocalamus has been strongly supported. Goh14 et al. used the combined plastid DNA rps16-trnQ+trnC-rpoB+trnD-T and sampled 53 kinds of bamboo. They determined that Dendrocalamus and Gigantochloa were embedded in Bambusa taxa; however, the nuclear DNA marker (GBSSI) indicated that Dendrocalamus may exist as a subclade departed from Bambusa, but can still be considered its sister. DAS¹⁹ et al. attempted to construct a phylogenetic tree using 32 morphological characteristics for 15 bamboo species, but failed to separate Bambusa, Dendrocalamus, and Gigantochloa successfully. Here, Dendrocalamus was completely embedded in Bambusa taxa. B. emeiensis and B. oldhamii were also intermixed with Bambusa; they were classified as new members of Bambusa, having previously been named N. affinis and D. oldhamii, respectively.

Morphological characteristics analyses and subgeneric classification. According to the FOC, the genus *Bambusa* has four subgenera: *Lingnania*, *Dendrocalamopsis*, *Bambusa*, and *Leleba*. The subgenus *Lingnania* was found to share the following typical characteristics: a culm sheath with a narrow blade, a base only one-third of the width of the sheath apex; culm internodes that are usually longer than 30 cm, and thin walls (often < 8 mm). Three other subgenera shared the following characteristics: a culm sheath with a broad blade, a base 1/2-3/4 of the width of the sheath apex; culm internodes shorter than 30 cm, and thick walls (up to 2 cm). Meanwhile, the subgenus *Dendrocalamopsis* shared the following typical characteristics: culm sheath auricles and small, and rounded spikelets that are dense at maturity. The rest of the subgenera shared the following characteristics of the subgenus *Bambusa* were found to be branchlets of lower branches specialized into tough or weak leafless thorns, and with culm sheaths with persistent blades. The subgenus *Leleba* had branchlets in their lower branches that were normal and leafy; and their culm sheath blade was deciduous.

To the best of our knowledge, this study represents the first attempt to distinguish *Bambusa* subgenera by using 186 morphological descriptors to sample more than 50 *Bambusa* taxa. As mentioned above, the traditional classification uses eight to 14 morphological characteristics to identify a subgenus, which are fewer than the number of morphological characters used in this study. Therefore, it is not surprising that the morphological phylogenetic tree generated here did not coincide exactly with the existing *Bambusa* subgenus classification. Establishing a phylogenetic tree based on morphological characteristics is a novel way to explore bamboo classification. According to the findings of this approach, we described more than 39 morphological features as 186 key morphological descriptors. Thus, the results were focused more on the overall characteristics of each species, rather than on one or several obvious or easily identifiable features.

Controversial bamboo species. The FRPS classified *B. arundinacea* as a member of the subgenus *Bambusa*. However, Xia² et al. pointed out that *B. vulgaris* was incorrectly named by Aiton as *B. arundinacea* and that *B. auriculata* and *B. striata* were also the same species as *B. vulgaris*. DAS¹⁹ et al. did not support this point

based on morphological characters and molecular analysis. Instead, they found that, from a morphological perspective, these four bamboo species (*B. arundinacea, B. vulgaris, B. auriculata, and B. striata*) differed from each other, and *B. striata* and *B. vulgaris* showed greater similarity to each other than the others in RAPD analysis. Here, *B. auriculata* and *B. striata* were not sampled, and the data of morphological characteristics and DNA sequence between *B. arundinacea* and *B. vulgaris* were different in this study.

B. chungii var. *velutina* is a new variant of *B. chungii* that, to date, has only been found in the Fujian province of China. It was previously considered as a member of the genus *Lingnania*, but is now considered to be a sub-genus of *Bambusa*. Here, *B. chungii* and *B. chungii* var. *velutina* were found to be similar in both MP and morphological characteristic analyses.

Application of the codes. Compared with flowering plants, the classification of bamboos is more challenging for researchers and workers that are not engaged in examining phylogenetic relationships. Using DNA barcodes to classify or identify species will be more widely applied with the growth of molecular biology technology because of its easy operability, even though it may not align perfectly with traditional botanical classification. The codes rpl32-trnL and rpl16 are two loci on plastid DNA. Phylogenetic analyses that are based on whole chloroplast genomes have been used to resolve relationships within the subfamily Bambusoideae⁵. Wang³⁴ et al. suggested the use of a larger dataset, indicating that insufficient parsimony information characters were the main cause for poor resolution in temperate bamboos.

Based on morphological features, morphological codes were used as a classification method to evaluate whether they could be a match for traditional classification. However, following statistical analysis, results showed that it could not be appropriately explained in the context of morphological classification. A new operating model for morphological codes needs to be developed for the application of this technique in botanical classification.

Received: 23 November 2021; Accepted: 26 April 2022 Published online: 16 May 2022

References

- Ohrnberger, D. The bamboos of the world: Annotated nomencla-ture and literature of the species and the higher and lower taxa. 450–522 (Amsterdam: Elsevier BV, 1999).
- 2. Xia, N. H., Jia, L. Z., Li, D. Z. et al. Flora of China-Tribe BAMBUSEAE. 22, 7–38 (Science Press, 2007).
- 3. Jia, L. Z., Feng, X. L., Dai, Q. H. Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae-BAMBUSOIDEAE. 9 (1), 48–130 (Science Press, 1996).
- Cao, S. et al. Morphological and chemical characterization of green bamboo (Dendrocalamopsis oldhami (Munro) Keng f.) for dissolving pulp production. *BioResources* 9(3), 4528–4539 (2014).
- Zhang, Y. J., Ma, P. F. & Li, D. Z. High-throughput sequencing of six bamboo chloroplast genomes: Phylogenetic implications for temperate woody bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). *PLoS ONE* 6(5), 1–16 (2011).
- 6. Qin, Q. et al. Sinosasa (Poaceae: Bambusoideae), a new genus from China. Taxon 70(1), 27-47 (2021).
- Sungkaew, S. et al. Non-monophyly of the woody bamboos (Bambuseae; Poaceae): A multi-gene region phylogenetic analysis of Bambusoideae s.s. J. Plant Res. 122(1), 95–108 (2009).
- Triplett, J. K. & Clark, L. G. phylogeny of the temperate bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Bambuseae) with an emphasis on Arundinaria and allies. Syst. Bot. 35(1), 102–120 (2010).
- 9. Hilu, K. W. & Liang, H. The matK Gene: Sequence variation and application in plant systematics. Am. J. Bot. 84(6), 830-839 (1997).
- Kelchner, S. A. Higher level phylogenetic relationships within the bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) based on five plastid markers. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 67(2), 404–413 (2013).
- Yang, J. B. et al. Phylogeny of Bambusa and its allies (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) inferred from nuclear GBSSI gene and plastid psbAtrnH, rpl32-trnL and rps16 intron DNA sequences. Taxon 59(4), 1102–1110 (2010).
- Sun, Y., Xia, N. & Lin, R. Phylogenetic analysis of Bambusa (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) based on internal transcribed spacer sequences of nuclear ribosomal DNA. Biochem. Genet. 43(11–12), 603–612 (2005).
- Goh, W. L. et al. Phylogenetic relationships among Southeast Asian climbing bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) and the Bambusa complex. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 38(4), 764–773 (2010).
- Goh, W. L. et al. Multi-gene region phylogenetic analyses suggest reticulate evolution and a clade of Australian origin among paleotropical woody bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Bambuseae). Plant Syst. Evol. 299(1), 239–257 (2013).
- 15. Ma, P. F. *et al.* Chloroplast phylogenomic analyses resolve deep-level relationships of an intractable bamboo tribe *Arundinarieae* (Poaceae). *Syst. Biol.* **63**(6), 933–950 (2014).
- Kress, W. J. & Erickson, D. L. A two-locus global DNA barcode for land plants: the coding rbcL gene complements the non-coding trnH-psbA spacer region. *PLoS ONE* 2(6), e508 (2007).
- Li, D. Z. et al. Comparative analysis of a large dataset indicates that internal transcribed spacer (ITS) should be incorporated into the core barcode for seed plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108(49), 19641–19646 (2011).
- Acevedo-Rosas, R., Sosa, V., Lorea, F. G. Phylogenetic relationships and morphological patterns in *Graptopetalum* (Crassulaceae). The New York Botanical Garden Press. 56 (2), 185-194 (2004).
- Das, M. et al. Phylogenetic relationships among the bamboo species as revealed by morphological characters and polymorphism analyses. Biol. Plant. 51(4), 667–672 (2007).
- 20. Tilney, P. M. *et al.* Phylogenetic relationships in the genus Lichtensteinia (Apiaceae) based on morphological, anatomical and DNA sequence data. *S. Afr. J. Bot.* **75**(1), 64–82 (2009).
- Kim, S. J. et al. Phylogenetic analysis of Korean native Chrysanthemum species based on morphological characteristics. Sci. Hortic. 175, 278–289 (2014).
- Fulton, T. M., Chunwongse, J. & Tanksley, S. D. Microprep protocol for extraction of DNA from tomato and other herbaceous plants. *Plant Mol. Biol. Report.* 13(3), 207–209 (1995).
- Cornelia, L., Borsch, T. & Wiersema, J. H. Phylogenetic analysis of Nymphaeales using fast-evolving and noncoding chloroplast markers. Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 154(2), 141–163 (2007).
- Downie, S. R., Kzta-Downie, D. S. & Watson, M. K. A phylogeny of the flowering plant family Apiaceae based on chloroplast DNA rpl16 and rpoC1 intron sequences: Towards a suprageneric classification of subfamily Apioideae. Am. J. Bot. 87(2), 273–293 (2000).
- Shaw, J. et al. Comparison of whole chloroplast genome sequences to choose noncoding regions for phylogenetic studies in angiosperms: The tortoise and the hare III. Am. J. Bot. 94(3), 275–288 (2007).

- Fay, M. F., Swensen, S. M. & Chase, M. W. Taxonomic affinities of *Medusagyne oppositifolia* (Medusagynaceae). *Kew. Bull.* 52(1), 111–120 (1997).
- 27. Zhu, F. M. *et al.* Construction phylogenetic tree in Phyllostachys based on the DNA sequence analysis. *J. West China For. Sci.* 44(2), 63–68 (2015).
- 28. Tate, J. A. Systematics and evolution of Tarasa (Malvaceae): An enigmatic Andean polyploid genus. Ph.D. dissertation. The University of Texas at Austin (2002).
- Sang, T., Crawford, D. J. & Stuessy, T. F. Chloroplast DNA phylogeny, reticulate evolution, and biogeography of *Paeonia* (Paeoniaceae). Am. J. Bot. 84(9), 1120–1136 (1997).
- Tate, J. A. & Simpson, B. B. Paraphyly of *Tarasa* (Malvaceae) and diverse origins of the polyploid species. Syst. Bot. 28(4), 723–737 (2003).
- 31. Farris, J. S. et al. Testing significance of incongruence. Cladistics 10, 315-319 (1994).
- Librado, P. & Rozas, J. DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1451–1452 (2009).
- 33. Yang, H. Q. et al. A molecular phylogenetic and fruit evolutionary analysis of the major groups of the paleotropical woody bamboos (Gramineae: Bambusoideae) based on nuclear ITS, GBSSI gene and plastid trnL-F DNA sequences. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 48(3), 809–824 (2008).
- 34. Wang, X. Q. *et al.* Identification of SNP markers for inferring phylogeny in temperate bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) using RAD sequencing. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **13**(5), 938–945 (2013).

Acknowledgements

The study was funded by the (1) the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31600448) (2) Science and Technology Planning Project of Zhejiang Province (Grant No. 2018F10008) (3) Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Non-profit Research Institution of Chinese Academy of Forestry (Grant No. CAFYBB2019MB005) (4) Perez-Guerrero Trust Fund for South-South Cooperation (Grant No. INT-17-K03).

Author contributions

Y.F.B. conceived and designed the study. Y.F.B. and A.K.W. carried out the experiments and wrote the manuscript. Z.X.Z. exulted the experiment outdoor. H.Z. and Q.F.L. analyzed the data and prepared the tables and figures, H.J.C., S.H.L, and X.H.D. proofread the manuscript. Z.Z.X. revised the article to make the language more accurate. Y.G.Z and L.J.G collected the samples needed for the research.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-12094-8.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.F.B.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022