



OPEN

Variable-heavy (VH) families influencing IgA1&2 engagement to the antigen, Fc α RI and superantigen proteins G, A, and L

Wei-Li Ling^{1,2}, Chinh Tran-To Su¹, Wai-Heng Lua¹, Joshua Yi Yeo¹, Jun-Jie Poh¹, Yuen-Ling Ng², Anil Wipat³ & Samuel Ken-En Gan^{1,4,5,6}✉

Interest in IgA as an alternative antibody format has increased over the years with much remaining to be investigated in relation to interactions with immune cells. Considering the recent whole antibody investigations showing significant distal effects between the variable (V) and constant (C)- regions that can be mitigated by the hinge regions of both human IgA subtypes A1 and A2, we performed an in-depth mechanistic investigation using a panel of 28 IgA1s and A2s of both Trastuzumab and Pertuzumab models. Fc α RI binding were found to be mitigated by the differing glycosylation patterns in IgA1 and 2 with contributions from the CDRs. On their interactions with antigen-Her2 and superantigens PpL, SpG and SpA, PpL was found to sterically hinder Her2 antigen binding with unexpected findings of IgAs binding SpG at the CH2-3 region alongside SpA interacting with IgAs at the CH1. Although the VH3 framework (FWR) is commonly used in CDR grafting, we found the VH1 framework (FWR) to be a possible alternative when grafting IgA1 and 2 owing to its stronger binding to antigen Her2 and weaker interactions to superantigen Protein L and A. These findings lay the foundation to understanding the interactions between IgAs and microbial superantigens, and also guide the engineering of IgAs for future antibody applications and targeting of superantigen-producing microbes.

As the highest produced antibody (66 mg/ mL per day) making up 75% of antibodies in mucosal areas^{1,2}, IgA plays a key role in protecting a vast surface area of ~ 400 m² of the body, including the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts³. Beyond its role in mucosal immunity, IgA confers passive immunity through maternal transfer to newborns via breastfeeding such as in the case of SARS-COV-2 antibodies⁴. There has been increasing interest in IgA⁵ as novel therapeutics given its efficiency to elicit antibody dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC)⁶⁻⁸, antibody dependent cell-mediated phagocytosis (ADCP)⁹, secretion of myeloperoxidase¹⁰, reactive oxygen species (ROS) production¹⁰ and neutrophil extracellular traps release (NETosis)^{11,12} against both infectious diseases¹³⁻¹⁷ and ductal tumors^{5,18} in oncology.

The microbial flora in mucosal areas¹⁹ where IgA is commonly found, e.g. *Staphylococcus aureus*, secrete superantigens that bind antibodies²⁰ which prevents IgA-Fc α RI interactions and serum killing of bacteria²¹, increasing susceptibility to septicemia (one of leading causes of death)²². These superantigens were also speculated to be linked to glomerulonephritis^{23,24}, mimicking IgA nephropathy^{25,26}, having clinical pathogenesis, thus requiring the engineering of future therapeutic IgAs resistance against such effects. Interventions and mitigations to such clinical pathogenesis and biologics engineering require the in-depth mechanistic understanding to accommodate the desired superantigen-based purification commonly used in biologics manufacturing²⁷. While Proteins

¹Antibody & Product Development Lab, Experimental Drug Development Centre, Bioinformatics Institute, Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR), Singapore, Singapore. ²Newcastle University Singapore, Singapore, Singapore. ³School of Computing, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK. ⁴James Cook University, Singapore, Singapore. ⁵Zhejiang Bioinformatics International Science and Technology Cooperation Center, Wenzhou-Kean University, Wenzhou, Zhejiang Province, China. ⁶Wenzhou Municipal Key Lab of Applied Biomedical and Biopharmaceutical Informatics, Wenzhou-Kean University, Wenzhou, Zhejiang Province, China. ✉email: samgan@apdskeg.com

G (SpG), A (SpA), and L (PpL) are commonly used for purifying IgG therapeutics, the matrix choices for IgA purification are typically that of Peptide M²⁸, IgA-binding protein, Jacalin²⁷, and recently, the new Protein A/G²⁹.

The two subclasses of IgAs: IgA1 and IgA2, differ in post-translational glycosylation patterns^{30–33} and at the hinge connecting the Ca1 and Ca2 of the heavy chains. Compared to IgA2, IgA1 has a longer hinge, allowing it to be more flexible for better accessibility to bind antigens³⁴. IgAs exist in monomeric; dimeric; and secretory forms, with the monomeric forms predominantly found in serum as IgA1⁹ to bind FcαRI (CD89)^{35–37} on myeloid lineage cells^{38–41} for immune activation⁴². The dimeric forms, existing predominantly as two monomeric IgA2s conjoined at the tails of their constant (C) regions by the 16 kDa J-chain protein^{43,44}, are primarily found at the mucosal areas. This binding of the dimeric IgA2 to the polymeric Ig receptor (pIgR) expressed on the basolateral side of epithelial cells allows its translocation to the lumen after attachment and cleavage of the extracellular part of pIgR known as secretory component (SC). These translocated pIgR-dimeric IgA2s are called secretory IgA (sIgA) where the SC stabilizes the complex, but also sterically hinders FcαRI binding due to the overlapping binding sites^{44–46}.

IgAs differ from IgGs at the hinge region, glycosylation pattern and constant chain sequences which affect antibody accessibility to epitopes (discussed in this review⁴⁷) and antigen engagement⁴⁸. Given that mutual distal effects were reported between the variable (V)- to constant (C)-regions in IgGs⁴⁹ and IgE⁵⁰ where changes in V-regions could modulate interactions with their respective isotype FcRs, and reverse effects simultaneously reported for IgAs where C-region mutations mitigated antigen binding^{48,51}, we sought to investigate the effect on IgA. Bearing in mind the contrasting hinges between the two IgA isotypes, the bi-directional effects would be expectedly distinct. Thus, for a more systematically holistic investigation, we grafted Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab CDRs onto seven human heavy chain V-region heavy chain families (VH1–VH7) of IgA1 and IgA2 C-regions⁴⁸ to study effects on both antigen (Her2) and receptor (FcαRI, given reports of the heavy chain families being the major contributor of antigen specificity⁴⁹). Since the IgA main receptor is FcαRI with its splice variants^{52,53}, the IgA- FcαRI investigations would focus on monomeric IgAs. The in-depth understanding of this IgA-FcR mechanism by the various regions of IgA has clear implications in guiding biologics manufacturing, purification, and engineering for safety⁵⁴, and also in contributing to the possible underlying mechanism of mucosal immunity, clinical glomerulonephritis and future mucosal vaccines against mucosal infectious diseases^{55,56}.

Results

Bio-layer interferometry (BLI) measurements of PpL and anti-IgA immobilized Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab VH1-7 IgA1 and 2 variants to His-tagged Her2. To systematically study the effect of the VH framework (FWR) families on antigen binding by the IgAs, the various VH family variants were paired with their respective Pertuzumab/Trastuzumabκ Vκ1 light chain family and loaded on PpL and SA coupled anti-IgA sensors (See Supplementary Figs. S1–7 & S12 for loading graphs).

The Pertuzumab IgA1 and IgA2 variants showed a range of dissociation equilibrium constants (KD) from 7.25 to 50.55 × 10^{−9} M (Table 1). Among the 14 variants, PVH4-IgA2 was found to be the best binder (by KD) while PVH5-IgA1 was the weakest binder. Between the two antibody subtypes, there was a trend within the same VH family that IgA2 had generally lower (better) KD compared to IgA1. VH1, 3 and 4 showed KD values toward the Her2 antigen at the range of ~7 to 9 × 10^{−9} M while VH2 and 7 had moderate KDs with range of ~10 to 17 × 10^{−9} M with VH5 and 6 having the weakest (highest) KDs of ~28 and 50 × 10^{−9} M. The KDs differences of better binders were due to the lower rates of dissociation (kd) while moderate and weaker binders had higher kd rates (Supplementary Table S1).

To cross validate Pertuzumab CDR grafting effects on IgA1 and 2, the highly similar Trastuzumab with almost identical V-region FWRs was chosen for comparison.

Trastuzumab IgAs variants were observed to differ from Pertuzumab IgAs variants with a narrower range of KD values (Table 1) between 1.16 and 5.85 × 10^{−9} M. The best binder among the 14 Trastuzumab variant is HVH1-IgA1 while the weakest binder with a measurable reading is HVH3-IgA2. Several of the Trastuzumab IgA variants were below the detection limits of BLI: HVH2, HVH4-IgA1, HVH1, HVH2, HVH4 and HVH6-IgA2. The trend between the A1 and A2 subclasses were reversed compared to Pertuzumab with Trastuzumab IgA1s having better KDs than its counterpart IgA2s of the same VH family. Unlike the Pertuzumab IgA variants with a spectrum in Her2 binding, Trastuzumab IgAs were more polarized with variants found at the extremes of binding range.

To rule out possible interference, avidity and protein orientation capture effects caused by PpL capture of the antibodies, we also implemented biotinylated anti-IgAs bound onto SA biosensors to immobilize the IgA variants at the Fc region for cross-validation antigen binding measurements.

The KD range of Pertuzumab IgAs binding Her2 (Table 1) was between 0.23 and 7.21 × 10^{−9} M which translates to ~3.0–32.7 times (Table 1) that of measurements using PpL, albeit with similar trends. VH1, 3 and 4 were the better binders with lower KDs of ~0.2–0.9 × 10^{−9} M, VH2 and 7 with moderate KDs at ~2.6–3.4 × 10^{−9} M, and VH5 and 6 as the weakest binders with KD values at ~6.5–7.2 × 10^{−9} M. Within anti-IgA immobilization, Pertuzumab IgA1s showed a lower (better) KDs than IgA2 of the same VH family FWRs which showed a reverse trend of IgA1 and 2 from PpL-based measurements.

Using anti-IgA immobilize at the Fc region for the Trastuzumab IgAs, the KD values increased by ~7.8–17.2 times compared to that of using PpL as was observed for the Pertuzumab IgAs (Table 1).

BLI measurements of NTA-Ni immobilized FcαRI interacting with Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab VH1-7 of IgA1 and 2. To investigate the effects of the VH families on FcαRI engagement by the

Binding measurement to Her2			
Construct	Protein L Immobilization	Anti-IgA Immobilization	Immobilization KD Differences
	KD (10^{-9})	KD (10^{-9})	KD
PVH1-IgA1	7.53 ± 0.03	0.23 ± 0.01	~ 32.7x
PVH2-IgA1	13.43 ± 0.47	2.90 ± 0.06	~ 4.6x
PVH3-IgA1	9.86 ± 0.05	0.68 ± 0.01	~ 14.5x
PVH4-IgA1	8.95 ± 0.04	0.58 ± 0.01	~ 15.4x
PVH5-IgA1	50.55 ± 0.81	7.09 ± 0.11	~ 7.1x
PVH6-IgA1	36.62 ± 0.16	6.57 ± 0.04	~ 5.5x
PVH7-IgA1	17.60 ± 0.07	2.67 ± 0.02	~ 6.5x
PVH1-IgA2	7.64 ± 0.05	0.47 ± 0.01	~ 16.2x
PVH2-IgA2	10.37 ± 0.29	3.45 ± 0.06	~ 3.0x
PVH3-IgA2	8.40 ± 0.05	0.85 ± 0.01	~ 9.8x
PVH4-IgA2	7.25 ± 0.04	0.95 ± 0.01	~ 7.6x
PVH5-IgA2	32.29 ± 0.53	7.21 ± 0.10	~ 4.4x
PVH6-IgA2	28.76 ± 0.11	6.82 ± 0.04	~ 4.2x
PVH7-IgA2	16.24 ± 0.08	2.95 ± 0.02	~ 5.5x
HVH1-IgA1	1.16 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.01	~ 11.6x
HVH2-IgA1	PR	0.83 ± 0.01	NIL
HVH3-IgA1	5.60 ± 0.04	0.35 ± 0.01	~ 16.0x
HVH4-IgA1	PR	0.50 ± 0.01	NIL
HVH5-IgA1	4.85 ± 0.04	0.62 ± 0.01	~ 7.8x
HVH6-IgA1	4.52 ± 0.04	0.43 ± 0.01	~ 10.5x
HVH7-IgA1	5.72 ± 0.04	0.57 ± 0.01	~ 10.0x
HVH1-IgA2	PR	0.61 ± 0.01	NIL
HVH2-IgA2	PR	1.02 ± 0.02	NIL
HVH3-IgA2	5.85 ± 0.05	0.34 ± 0.01	~ 17.2x
HVH4-IgA2	PR	0.99 ± 0.02	NIL
HVH5-IgA2	5.23 ± 0.05	0.57 ± 0.01	~ 9.1x
HVH6-IgA2	PR	0.29 ± 0.01	NIL
HVH7-IgA2	5.53 ± 0.05	0.59 ± 0.01	~ 9.3x

Table 1. BLI measurements of PpL and anti-IgA biosensor immobilized Pertuzumab (PVH) and Trastuzumab (HVH) 1–7 IgA1 and IgA2 interaction to Her2. The KD values with standard error of each variant are shown accordingly. The KD differences between the two immobilization methods are shown in the last column with “x” indicating the fold differences. Poor response (PR) indicates that the antibody construct did not yield reliable k_a and k_d measurements (in triplicates) and NIL indicates the lack of data (PR) for comparison under Protein L immobilization. All measurements were performed in triplicates and rounded off to the nearest 2 decimal places. The k_a and k_d values are shown in Supplementary Table S1.

IgAs, recombinant His-tagged Fc α RI was bound to NTA-Ni biosensors prior to measurements (See Supplementary Figs. S8–S11 for loading graphs).

The interaction (KD) with Pertuzumab IgAs ranged from 0.84 to 3.26×10^{-8} M (Table 2) with the best binder as VH5-IgA1 and the weakest binder as VH2-IgA2. Both VH5 IgA1 and 2 are strong binders to Fc α RI at KDs 0.84 and 0.97×10^{-8} M with k_d values of 3.94 and 4.41×10^{-4} 1/s respectively while the rest of the VH families (VH1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 7) showed weak binding with high KDs of 1.85 to 3.26×10^{-8} M. Comparing the subtypes, IgA1s bound better to Fc α RI than IgA2s of the same VH families.

As shown in Table 2, the observed KD values for Fc α RI interacting with Trastuzumab IgAs ranged from 0.79 to 3.40×10^{-8} M, with VH5-IgA2 as the best binder and VH1-IgA1 as the weakest binder. The interaction of Fc α RI with Trastuzumab IgAs could be categorized into the strong binders (VH5 family) with KDs of 0.79 and 0.89×10^{-8} M with low k_d values of 6.08– 6.18×10^{-4} 1/s, with weak binders of VH1, 3, 6 and 7 families with KDs of 1.01– 3.40×10^{-8} M and lastly, the non-binders: VH2 and 4. Unlike Pertuzumab variants, there was no noticeable trend of either Trastuzumab IgA1 or IgA2 within the same VH families.

BLI measurements of immobilized proteins G, L and A interacting with Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab VH1-7 of IgA1 and 2. To investigate the effects of the VH families on IgAs interacting with antibody superantigens with relevance to mucosal immunity and antibody purification, interactions of the IgAs variants with superantigens: proteins G, L and A biosensors, were measured.

The KD range of binding to SpG (Table 3) was from 1.26 to 18.22×10^{-9} M with the best binder as HVH6-IgA2 and weakest binder as HVH3-IgA1. KD calculations of Trastuzumab variants were lower (better) than Pertuzumab variants and IgA1 generally had lower readings than the corresponding IgA2 of the same VH family.

Binding measurement to FcαRI			
Construct	NTA-Ni immobilization		
	KD (10 ⁻⁸)	ka (10 ⁴)	kd (10 ⁻⁴)
PVH1-IgA1	2.86 ± 0.05	10.70 ± 0.18	30.07 ± 0.16
PVH2-IgA1	3.02 ± 0.05	9.82 ± 0.15	27.86 ± 0.13
PVH3-IgA1	2.18 ± 0.03	11.10 ± 0.16	23.92 ± 0.12
PVH4-IgA1	2.33 ± 0.03	10.26 ± 0.15	23.72 ± 0.13
PVH5-IgA1	0.84 ± 0.01	4.76 ± 0.03	3.94 ± 0.03
PVH6-IgA1	2.18 ± 0.03	9.03 ± 0.11	19.53 ± 0.09
PVH7-IgA1	1.93 ± 0.03	12.16 ± 0.17	23.17 ± 0.12
PVH1-IgA2	2.38 ± 0.04	9.35 ± 0.12	21.47 ± 0.11
PVH2-IgA2	3.26 ± 0.05	5.88 ± 0.08	17.00 ± 0.09
PVH3-IgA2	1.85 ± 0.02	9.00 ± 0.10	16.03 ± 0.07
PVH4-IgA2	2.52 ± 0.03	7.60 ± 0.10	18.54 ± 0.09
PVH5-IgA2	0.97 ± 0.01	4.71 ± 0.04	4.41 ± 0.04
PVH6-IgA2	2.57 ± 0.03	5.84 ± 0.07	14.45 ± 0.08
PVH7-IgA2	1.94 ± 0.02	9.27 ± 0.10	17.74 ± 0.08
HVH1-IgA1	3.40 ± 0.05	2.55 ± 0.03	8.65 ± 0.05
HVH2-IgA1	PR		
HVH3-IgA1	1.01 ± 0.01	8.52 ± 0.10	8.47 ± 0.07
HVH4-IgA1	PR		
HVH5-IgA1	0.89 ± 0.01	7.03 ± 0.07	6.18 ± 0.05
HVH6-IgA1	2.16 ± 0.03	7.57 ± 0.10	16.20 ± 0.09
HVH7-IgA1	1.42 ± 0.02	8.13 ± 0.09	11.44 ± 0.07
HVH1-IgA2	3.15 ± 0.06	2.87 ± 0.05	9.59 ± 0.07
HVH2-IgA2	PR		
HVH3-IgA2	1.25 ± 0.02	8.00 ± 0.09	9.82 ± 0.07
HVH4-IgA2	PR		
HVH5-IgA2	0.79 ± 0.01	7.70 ± 0.07	6.08 ± 0.05
HVH6-IgA2	2.59 ± 0.04	3.26 ± 0.05	8.23 ± 0.06
HVH7-IgA2	1.16 ± 0.01	8.17 ± 0.08	9.30 ± 0.05

Table 2. BLI measurements of NTA-Ni biosensor immobilized FcαRI interaction to Pertuzumab (PVH) and Trastuzumab (HVH) 1–7 IgA1 and IgA2. The KD, ka and kd values with standard error of each variant are shown accordingly. Poor response (PR) indicates that the antibody construct did not yield reliable ka and kd measurements (in triplicates). All measurements were performed in triplicates and rounded off to the nearest 2 decimal places.

All the VH3 variants, regardless of IgA1 or 2 of Pertuzumab or Trastuzumab, had consistently higher KDs than the other VH variants within the groups tested due to the lower ka measurements (Supplementary Table S2).

On binding to PpL (Table 3), the KD values ranged from 0.07 to 88.53×10^{-10} M, with the best binder as HVH3-IgA2 and weakest binder as HVH2-IgA1. KD values of the Pertuzumab variants were narrower/more consistent (5.21 – 31.00×10^{-10} M) when compared to that of the Trastuzumab variants (0.07 – 88.53×10^{-10} M). All VH3 variants showed the best KD among its respective groups (Pertuzumab or Trastuzumab of IgA1 or IgA2) as determined by the low kd (Supplementary Table S2). Apart from the VH3s, Trastuzumab VH5 and VH7 of IgA2 are also strong binders with KD values of 1.91 and 7.06×10^{-10} M, respectively.

On binding to SpA (Table 3), the KD values ranged from 0.28 to 79.10×10^{-8} M with the best binder as HVH1-IgA2 and weakest binder as PVH6-IgA2. Trastuzumab variants generally interacted with SpA (as is so with SpG) better than Pertuzumab variants. The VH3 variants showed better ka measurements within the groups, especially the Trastuzumab variants ($\sim 37 \times 10^4$) when compared to Pertuzumab variants ($\sim 3 \times 10^4$). Both VH1 and 2 variants of Trastuzumab IgA2 were strong binders with KD values of 0.28 and 0.84×10^{-8} M respectively. It should be noted that their lower KD values were due to lower kd measurements rather than higher ka as was observed with the VH3 variants (Supplementary Table S2). The VH5 variants of both Trastuzumab IgA1 and 2 had weaker binding within the respective IgA families, and both VH5 and 6 of Pertuzumab IgA2 had the highest KD (weakest binding) within the subtypes. There were a few variants with no detectable interaction with SpA such as PVH1- and 7-IgA1, PVH1-, 4-, 7-IgA2 and HVH4-IgA1 with no consistent trends by VH families.

Binding measurement to superantigen			
Construct	Protein G immobilization	Protein L immobilization	Protein A immobilization
	KD (10^{-9})	KD (10^{-10})	KD (10^{-8})
PVH1-IgA1	5.25 ± 0.05	17.94 ± 0.39	PR
PVH2-IgA1	3.24 ± 0.03	20.82 ± 0.32	22.74 ± 0.46
PVH3-IgA1	9.49 ± 0.13	7.95 ± 0.37	6.17 ± 0.13
PVH4-IgA1	3.45 ± 0.03	14.45 ± 0.32	21.86 ± 0.45
PVH5-IgA1	2.78 ± 0.03	28.92 ± 0.31	22.37 ± 0.47
PVH6-IgA1	2.60 ± 0.03	24.70 ± 0.29	21.03 ± 0.41
PVH7-IgA1	4.25 ± 0.04	22.64 ± 0.33	PR
PVH1-IgA2	7.62 ± 0.06	11.56 ± 0.25	PR
PVH2-IgA2	3.15 ± 0.02	31.00 ± 0.18	27.42 ± 0.78
PVH3-IgA2	14.45 ± 0.16	5.21 ± 0.24	6.10 ± 0.10
PVH4-IgA2	6.52 ± 0.05	11.40 ± 0.25	PR
PVH5-IgA2	3.77 ± 0.02	22.66 ± 0.18	75.49 ± 6.00
PVH6-IgA2	3.70 ± 0.02	21.69 ± 0.18	79.10 ± 10.68
PVH7-IgA2	9.87 ± 0.10	12.93 ± 0.27	PR
HVH1-IgA1	1.98 ± 0.04	48.38 ± 0.23	11.20 ± 0.11
HVH2-IgA1	1.59 ± 0.04	88.53 ± 0.41	3.70 ± 0.02
HVH3-IgA1	18.22 ± 0.28	1.35 ± 0.39	0.47 ± 0.01
HVH4-IgA1	1.52 ± 0.04	51.31 ± 0.42	PR
HVH5-IgA1	3.19 ± 0.04	10.82 ± 0.47	16.50 ± 0.33
HVH6-IgA1	1.85 ± 0.04	18.60 ± 0.37	6.20 ± 0.10
HVH7-IgA1	2.52 ± 0.04	11.55 ± 0.42	3.43 ± 0.06
HVH1-IgA2	2.51 ± 0.06	47.05 ± 0.59	0.28 ± 0.01
HVH2-IgA2	1.70 ± 0.03	56.36 ± 0.45	0.84 ± 0.01
HVH3-IgA2	14.12 ± 0.24	0.07 ± 0.25	0.42 ± 0.01
HVH4-IgA2	1.79 ± 0.04	72.59 ± 0.42	7.77 ± 0.09
HVH5-IgA2	4.14 ± 0.04	1.91 ± 0.20	27.42 ± 0.91
HVH6-IgA2	1.26 ± 0.03	42.05 ± 0.25	5.02 ± 0.04
HVH7-IgA2	3.06 ± 0.03	7.06 ± 0.25	4.30 ± 0.08

Table 3. BLI measurements of immobilized proteins G, L and A interacting with Pertuzumab (PVH) and Trastuzumab (HVH) 1–7 IgA1 and IgA2. The KD values with standard error of each variant are shown accordingly. Poor response (PR) indicates that the antibody construct did not yield reliable k_a and k_d measurements (in triplicates). All measurements were performed in triplicates and rounded off to the nearest 2 decimal place. The k_a and k_d values are shown in Supplementary Table S2.

Discussion

We set out to holistically study the effects of VH families on antigen (Her2) and receptor Fc α RI binding on both IgA1 and IgA2 that were highly similar in sequences with respect to the C-region, but have notable differences in glycosylation and at the hinge regions. We found that the VH1 and VH3 FWRs together with the avoidance of VH2 and VH4 during CDR grafting to be suitable for both IgA subtypes even though VH4 was found suitable for Pertuzumab but not Trastuzumab. While VH3 is the canonical FWR of choice in antibody humanization due to its better production^{49,57}, VH1 was shown to be potentially more suitable for IgAs given that VH3 has the propensities to bind SpA⁵⁰ and metals like nickel⁵⁸, which are both potential superantigens at mucosal areas.

BLI testing of Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab IgA1s and 2s immobilized via PpL biosensors showed agreement with our previous work^{48,51}, but the KD values of the same IgA1s and 2s immobilized via biotinylated anti-IgA sensors showed increased measurements across all variants including those initially below reliable detection limits using PpL (Table 1). These results suggest that the binding of PpL on the light chain of the antibody sterically hindered Her2 binding at the CDRs.

Analyzing the differences from the KD values of both the PpL and anti-IgA immobilized methods, superantigen PpL, produced by *Finegoldia magna* (previously known as *Peptostreptococcus magnus*), may potentially destabilize IgA antigen binding given the ~ 3.0–32.7 times difference across all VH families, subtypes and CDRs (Table 1). Given that *Finegoldia magna* is a common commensal of the genitourinary and gastrointestinal tracts⁵⁹ where IgA is the dominant antibody isotype and that the microbe is a common contaminant in blood⁵⁹, PpL binding to the majority V κ population of human antibodies highlights its potential pathogenicity. With significant superantigen-like activation of B-cells²⁰ and the dampening of antigen binding (shown here with Her2), or to cross-link V κ IgEs on sensitized basophils and mast cells at the mucosal areas to cause inflammation^{60–62}, toxic shock syndrome⁶³ is also in the list of pathological effects. While IgA therapeutics are still in the early stages of development, antibody engineering⁵⁴ precautions can be made against such potential microbiome interaction that

can impact beyond IgAs to the other isotypes. Avoidance of such interactions would be important in mitigating unwanted side effects leading to expensive drug failures, especially during clinical trials.

Apart from superantigen PpL, the binding to SpG and SpA revealed surprising IgA interactions mitigated by the V-regions that are contrary to canonical textbook reports and the company product information sheets (Supplementary Table S3) of these two superantigens. Our panel showed clear binding of IgAs to both proteins G and A that is in part moderated by VH-regions. Non-VH3 Trastuzumab variants bound strongly to SpA, a finding in partial agreement to a previous ELISA study⁶⁴. Since both SpG and SpA are produced by the groups C & G of *Streptococcus* spp.^{65,66} and *S. aureus*⁶⁷, respectively, and that both are part of the normal human flora at mucosal areas and skin surfaces⁶⁸, this finding expands the potential interaction to isotypes such as IgE⁵⁰ present on mast cells⁶² to trigger allergic reactions. Apart from unraveling the possible mechanisms underlying microbiome-antibody interactions at the mucosal area, there is a clear need to exploit or mitigate the IgA-superantigen properties beyond therapeutics to that of diagnostics, given the interactions with IgGs^{69,70}.

Although, SpA only binds to specific populations of IgA⁶⁴ (later found to be those of the VH3 family⁷¹) and the absence of SpG binding to IgAs are canonical knowledge, our panel provided deeper insights to these reports. Here, we demonstrated that non-VH3 IgAs could bind SpA and that these VH3 effects were mitigated by different CDRs and the CH1 subclass. There was clear synergistic contribution from the VH-FWRs, CDRs and CH1 to SpA-IgA binding. The contribution of CDRs to VH3 was also in agreement with our previous work for Trastuzumab IgE⁵⁸. Although SpG does not canonically bind IgA, our results showed such interaction, narrowing them to occur at the IgA Fc, particularly the CH2/CH3 regions, since the KDs were relatively constant, demonstrating the lack of VH families, CDRs and IgA subclass effects.

In its role to activate effector immune cells, IgA therapeutics has to engage Fc α RI effectively. The constant regions are commonly ignored in antibody design and development in reductionist screening display methods⁷², yet they perform the main role of FcR binding and are influenced by the V-regions as shown from our previous study of IgEs to the Fc ϵ RI α ^{50,58}. This thus signals for the need of a whole-antibody approach during the early stages of antibody therapeutics development to avoid unwanted surprises. Within the VH families, VH5 IgAs showed the lowest KD (best interactions) to Fc α RI for both Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab in agreement to their IgE counterparts with Fc ϵ RI α . Since VH5 is incidentally the biased VH in some allergic patients⁷³, its propensity to engage Fc ϵ RI α stronger⁵⁰ and bind metal like nickel⁵⁸, has potential disease pathogenic significance given its ability for longer interaction (lowest kd) with Fc α RI. With both IgEs and IgAs as mucosal antibodies and the possible class switching to IgA2 from IgE^{74,75}, there is much to investigate on VH5 FWRs in the allergy pathogenesis.

With regards to IgA immobilization, the increased KDs when using anti-IgA Fc immobilization compared to PpL at the V κ 1 of ~3–32.7-fold difference clearly demonstrate interference by PpL at the V-regions across the variants. These differences were narrowed to the kd in Pertuzumab IgAs and ka in Trastuzumab IgAs (Table 1) where PpL binding at V κ 1-FWR1⁷⁶ sterically blocked Her2 engagement.

Contradicting Her2 binding trends between the Trastuzumab and Pertuzumab IgAs were likely due to the slightly different combination of CDRs affecting the flexibility of the IgAs conferred by the hinge⁵¹, as well as the varying distribution of glycans (as shown in our computational models in Supplementary Figs. S14A and S15A), and the different locations of their target epitopes on Her2 (Supplementary Fig. S13) affecting general accessibility of the interacting V-region residues^{47,77}. There are two different Her2 epitopes with respect to Trastuzumab and Pertuzumab, where the Pertuzumab-binding epitope was buried deeper as compared to that of Trastuzumab (Supplementary Fig. S13). Hence, the H3 loop of the Pertuzumab CDRs might adopt a more flexible and accessible conformation for binding the less exposed Her2 epitope as compared to those of the Trastuzumab CDRs, a possibility illustrated by the differences observed for Trastuzumab and Pertuzumab IgMs and IgG1s^{47,77}.

There are differences in structural arrangements between the Trastuzumab IgA1 and IgA2 from their different hinges connecting Ca1 and Ca2 domains and glycan attachments (e.g. only IgA1 has O-linked glycans at the hinge region whereas IgA2 has two additional N-linked glycans sites at N166 and N324) that can cause different Fc α RI binding orientations between the two Trastuzumab IgA1 and IgA2 isotypes. To study this, we modelled selected VH families of both Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab IgAs and performed docking to Fc α RI (Supplementary Figs. S14A and S15A and Supplementary 2 for the computational work) and found the hydrophobic packing core at Pertuzumab central IgA1 and IgA2 Fc to be maintained with SASA < 30% and 40% respectively on the active residues (Supplementary Figs. S14B and S15B). The occupancy of the modeled glycans near the Fc α RI-binding regions was used to screen the docked Fc α RI-bound Trastuzumab IgAs VH2 complexes (Supplementary Fig. 14C and 15C) and the Fc α RI-Fc interfaces of the Trastuzumab IgA2 VH4 complexes (Supplementary Fig. 15C) showed the importance of glycans in Fc α RI binding at the C-region, supporting a previous study by Steffen et al.⁷⁸. However, extended sampling of the glycan dynamics combining the hinge-inducing structural constraints on the full length IgAs models upon or prior to the Fc α RI binding are essential in the future work given that there was structural shifts of a few hydrophobic residues from the hydrophobic core (e.g. A442 or F443) occurring in both the Trastuzumab IgA1/IgA2 and Pertuzumab IgA2 variants. These structural arrangements could have resulted from the induced intradomain Ca2-Ca3 motion constraints (but not limited to the modeling artifact) that might dampen Fc α RI interactions (for more detailed explanation, refer to Supplementary Figs. S14 and S15).

Apart from the indirect impact of the hinge onto the intradomain between Ca2 and Ca3 as well as the motions of the Fab region upon Fc α RI³⁶ (Supplementary Fig. S16), we observed possible steric hindrance caused by the flexible motions of the C-terminal tail piece of the IgA1-Fc region. With these C-terminal segments forming two symmetrical unstructured tails in our IgA1 models (whereas together with the J-chains constituting stable β -sheets in dimeric IgA1, i.e. PDB: 7JG1), Fc α RI targeting the hydrophobic core of the IgA1 C-region was hindered sterically. This obstruction is absent in the truncated Fc and Fc α RI complex (1OW0 lacking the C-terminal tail piece) or alleviated in the docking attempts of the modified Trastuzumab IgA1 VH3 variant (with the C-terminal tail removed), i.e. similar Fc α RI binding orientation as observed in the 1OW0 being reproduced in

one of the resulting docked clusters (5/121 conformations, data not shown), affirming FcαRI binding sensitivity to the structural arrangements of IgAs.

Holistically, the similarities and differences in the trends of IgAs across the VH families showed the importance of the systematic investigation of individual antibodies. It was shown here that generalizations of VH3 for superantigen bindings do not necessarily apply across the board and that while IgA2s may play a smaller role in blood FcαRI immune cell activation than IgA1s, there were contributions by the V-regions that caused IgA2 to interact better with the receptor. In our analysis of FWR, VH1 was shown to be a possible VH-FWR for IgA1 and 2 grafting to retain antigen and FcαRI engagement even though VH3 remains a good candidate for humanization. On the other hand, our findings here agree with our previous investigations on other antibody isotypes (IgE) that the VH regions can affect FcR engagement and potentially play a role in disease pathogenesis with influence from superantigens. With the demonstration of the influence of PpL on IgA engagement of antigens and strong interactions between SpG and IgAs, the molecular mechanisms that underlie the interaction of normal flora at mucosal areas to mucosal antibodies IgA are better understood for future interventions.

Materials and methods

Recombinant protein production. All Trastuzumab and Pertuzumab VH and Vκ sequences used were described previously⁴⁹. The genes were transformed into competent *E. coli* (DH5α) strains⁷⁹ followed by plasmid extraction (Biobasic Pte Ltd) and sub-cloning into pTT5 vector (Youbio, China) using restriction enzyme sites, as previously performed^{48–50,57,70,76}.

Transfection, production, and purification and were performed as described previously^{49,57,80}.

Binding affinity quantification. For Her2 binding, IgA variants were immobilized using PpL biosensor (Sartorius, Cat: 18–5185) or biotinylated anti-IgA antibody (Thermo Scientific, Cat: 7,102,882,500) bound on streptavidin biosensor (Sartorius, Cat: 18–5119) and subjected to free floating Her2 in solution to obtain the rate of association (k_a), dissociation (k_d), and equilibrium dissociation constant (KD). The program and steps used were as previously described^{48–51,57,58,70,76}.

His-tagged FcαRI (Sino Biological, Cat: 10,414-H08H) were immobilized via Ni-NTA biosensor (Sartorius, Cat: 18–5101) and subject to free floating IgA variants to obtain the k_a , k_d , and KD. The program and steps used were as previously described^{48–51,57,58,70,76}.

PpL (Sartorius, Cat: 18–5185), SpA (Sartorius, Cat: 18–5012), and SpG (Sartorius, Cat: 18–18-5083) biosensors were subject to free floating IgA variants to obtain the k_a , k_d and KD. The program and steps used were as previously described^{48–51,57,58,70,76}.

Data availability

The datasets GENERATED/ANALYZED for this study is available upon request.

Received: 29 December 2021; Accepted: 7 April 2022

Published online: 20 April 2022

References

1. Woof, J. M. & Kerr, M. A. The function of immunoglobulin A in immunity. *J. Pathol.* **208**, 270–282. <https://doi.org/10.1002/path.1877> (2006).
2. Macpherson, A. J., Geuking, M. B. & McCoy, K. D. Homeland Security: IgA immunity at the frontiers of the body. *Trends Immunol.* **33**, 160–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2012.02.002> (2012).
3. Wines, B. D. & Hogarth, P. M. IgA receptors in health and disease. *Tissue Antigens* **68**, 103–114. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-0039.2006.00613.x> (2006).
4. Perl, S. H. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2-Specific Antibodies in Breast Milk After COVID-19 Vaccination of Breastfeeding Women. *JAMA* **325**, 2013–2014. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.5782> (2021).
5. Breedveld, A. & van Egmond, M. IgA and FcαRI: Pathological roles and therapeutic opportunities. *Front. Immunol.* <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00553> (2019).
6. Braster, R., O’Toole, T. & van Egmond, M. Myeloid cells as effector cells for monoclonal antibody therapy of cancer. *Methods* **65**, 28–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jymeth.2013.06.020> (2014).
7. Bakema, J. E. *et al.* Targeting FcαRI on polymorphonuclear cells induces tumor cell killing through autophagy. *J. Immunol.* **187**, 726–732. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1002581> (2011).
8. van Egmond, M. & Bakema, J. E. Neutrophils as effector cells for antibody-based immunotherapy of cancer. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **23**, 190–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2012.12.002> (2013).
9. Golay, J. *et al.* Glycoengineered CD20 antibody obinutuzumab activates neutrophils and mediates phagocytosis through CD16B more efficiently than rituximab. *Blood* **122**, 3482–3491. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2013-05-504043> (2013).
10. Pleass, R. J., Lang, M. L., Kerr, M. A. & Woof, J. M. IgA is a more potent inducer of NADPH oxidase activation and degranulation in blood eosinophils than IgE. *Mol. Immunol.* **44**, 1401–1408. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2006.05.002> (2007).
11. Aleyd, E. *et al.* IgA enhances NETosis and release of neutrophil extracellular traps by polymorphonuclear cells via Fcα receptor I. *J. Immunol.* **192**, 2374–2383. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1300261> (2014).
12. Arelaki, S. *et al.* Gradient infiltration of neutrophil extracellular traps in colon cancer and evidence for their involvement in tumour growth. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0154484. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154484> (2016).
13. Mazanec, M. B., Kaetzel, C. S., Lamm, M. E., Fletcher, D. & Nedrud, J. G. Intracellular neutralization of virus by immunoglobulin A antibodies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **89**, 6901–6905. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.89.15.6901> (1992).
14. Mazanec, M. B., Coudret, C. L. & Fletcher, D. R. Intracellular neutralization of influenza virus by immunoglobulin A anti-hemagglutinin monoclonal antibodies. *J. Virol.* **69**, 1339–1343. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.69.2.1339-1343.1995> (1995).
15. Mazanec, M. B. *et al.* In *Advances in mucosal immunology: Part A* (eds Mestecky, J. *et al.*) 651–654 (Springer, US, 1995).
16. Devito, C. *et al.* Mucosal and plasma IgA from HIV-1-exposed uninfected individuals inhibit HIV-1 transcytosis across human epithelial cells. *J. Immunol.* **165**, 5170–5176. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.165.9.5170> (2000).
17. Corthésy, B. *et al.* Rotavirus Anti-VP6 secretory immunoglobulin a contributes to protection via intracellular neutralization but not via immune exclusion. *J. Virol.* **80**, 10692–10699. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00927-06> (2006).

18. Bakema, J. E. & van Egmond, M. Immunoglobulin A. *mAbs* **3**, 352–361. <https://doi.org/10.4161/mabs.3.4.16092> (2011).
19. Brosnahan, A. J. & Schlievert, P. M. Gram-positive bacterial superantigen outside-in signaling causes toxic shock syndrome. *FEBS J.* **278**, 4649–4667. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2011.08151.x> (2011).
20. Deacy, A. M., Gan, S.K.-E. & Derrick, J. Superantigen recognition and interactions: Functions mechanisms and applications. *Front. Immunol.* <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.731845> (2021).
21. Langley, R. *et al.* The staphylococcal superantigen-like protein 7 binds IgA and complement C5 and inhibits IgA-FcαRI binding and serum killing of bacteria. *J. Immunol.* **174**, 2926–2933. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.174.5.2926> (2005).
22. Rhee, C. *et al.* Prevalence, underlying causes, and preventability of sepsis-associated mortality in US acute care hospitals. *JAMA Netw. Open* **2**, e187571–e187571. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.7571> (2019).
23. Koyama, A. *et al.* Glomerulonephritis associated with MRSA infection: A possible role of bacterial superantigen. *Kidney Int.* **47**, 207–216. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.1995.25> (1995).
24. Wehbe, E., Salem, C., Simon, J. F., Navaneethan, S. D. & Pohl, M. IgA-dominant Staphylococcus infection-associated glomerulonephritis: case reports and review of the literature. *NDT Plus* **4**, 181–185. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ndtplus/sfr017> (2011).
25. Satoskar, A. A. *et al.* Staphylococcus infection-associated glomerulonephritis mimicking IgA nephropathy. *Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **1**, 1179–1186. <https://doi.org/10.2215/cjn.01030306> (2006).
26. Nasr, S. H. & D'Agati, V. D. IgA-dominant postinfectious glomerulonephritis: A new twist on an old disease. *Nephron Clin. Pract.* **119**, c18–c26. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000324180> (2011).
27. Pack, T. D. Purification of human IgA. *Curr. Protoc. Immunol.* <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142735.im0210bs38> (2000).
28. Sandin, C. *et al.* Isolation and detection of human IgA using a streptococcal IgA-binding peptide. *J. Immunol.* **169**, 1357–1364. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.169.3.1357> (2002).
29. Eliasson, M. *et al.* Chimeric IgG-binding receptors engineered from staphylococcal protein A and streptococcal protein G. *J. Biol. Chem.* **263**, 4323–4327. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258\(18\)68928-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)68928-8) (1988).
30. Tomana, M., Niedermeier, W., Mestecky, J. & Skvaril, F. The differences in carbohydrate composition between the subclasses of IgA immunoglobulins. *Immunochemistry* **13**, 325–328. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-2791\(76\)90342-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-2791(76)90342-6) (1976).
31. Mattu, T. S. *et al.* The glycosylation and structure of human serum IgA1, Fab, and Fc regions and the role of N-Glycosylation on Fcα receptor interactions*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 2260–2272. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.4.2260> (1998).
32. Royle, L. *et al.* Secretory IgA N- and O-Glycans Provide a Link between the Innate and Adaptive Immune Systems*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 20140–20153. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M301436200> (2003).
33. Tarelli, E., Smith, A. C., Hendry, B. M., Challacombe, S. J. & Pouria, S. Human serum IgA1 is substituted with up to six O-glycans as shown by matrix assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Carbohydr. Res.* **339**, 2329–2335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2004.07.011> (2004).
34. Kilian, M., Reinholdt, J., Lomholt, H., Poulsen, K. & Frandsen, E. V. G. Biological significance of IgA1 proteases in bacterial colonization and pathogenesis: critical evaluation of experimental evidence*. *APMIS* **104**, 321–338. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1699-0463.1996.tb00724.x> (1996).
35. Wines, B. D., Sardjono, C. T., Trist, H. M., Lay, C.-S. & Hogarth, P. M. The interaction of FcαRI with IgA and its implications for ligand binding by immunoreceptors of the leukocyte receptor cluster. *J. Immunol.* **166**, 1781–1789. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.166.3.1781> (2001).
36. Posgai, M. T. *et al.* FcαRI binding at the IgA1 C_H2–C_H3 interface induces long-range conformational changes that are transmitted to the hinge region. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **115**, E8882–E8891. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1807478115> (2018).
37. Herr, A. B., Ballister, E. R. & Bjorkman, P. J. Insights into IgA-mediated immune responses from the crystal structures of human FcαRI and its complex with IgA1-Fc. *Nature* **423**, 614–620. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01685> (2003).
38. Aleyd, E., Heineke, M. H. & van Egmond, M. The era of the immunoglobulin A Fc receptor FcαRI; its function and potential as target in disease. *Immunol. Rev.* **268**, 123–138. <https://doi.org/10.1111/immr.12337> (2015).
39. Monteiro, R. C., Kubagawa, H. & Cooper, M. D. Cellular distribution, regulation, and biochemical nature of an Fc alpha receptor in humans. *J. Exp. Med.* **171**, 597–613. <https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.171.3.597> (1990).
40. van Egmond, M. *et al.* FcαRI-positive liver Kupffer cells: Reappraisal of the function of immunoglobulin A in immunity. *Nat. Med.* **6**, 680–685. <https://doi.org/10.1038/76261> (2000).
41. Qian, K. *et al.* Functional expression of IgA receptor FcαRI on human platelets. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **84**, 1492–1500. <https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0508327> (2008).
42. Maliszewski, C. R., March, C. J., Schoenborn, M. A., Gimpel, S. & Shen, L. Expression cloning of a human Fc receptor for IgA. *J. Exp. Med.* **172**, 1665–1672. <https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.172.6.1665> (1990).
43. Kerr, M. A. The structure and function of human IgA. *Biochemical Journal* **271**, 285–296. <https://doi.org/10.1042/bj2710285> (1990).
44. Johansen, F.-E., Braathen, R. & Brandtzaeg, P. The J chain is essential for polymeric Ig receptor-mediated epithelial transport of IgA. *J. Immunol.* **167**, 5185–5192. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.167.9.5185> (2001).
45. Braathen, R., Sørensen, V., Brandtzaeg, P., Sandlie, I. & Johansen, F.-E. The carboxyl-terminal domains of IgA and IgM direct isotype-specific polymerization and interaction with the polymeric immunoglobulin receptor*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 42755–42762. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M205502200> (2002).
46. Lewis, M. J., Pleass, R. J., Batten, M. R., Atkin, J. D. & Woof, J. M. Structural requirements for the interaction of human IgA with the human polymeric Ig receptor. *J. Immunol.* **175**, 6694–6701. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.175.10.6694> (2005).
47. Gan, S.K.-E., Phua, S.-X. & Yeo, J. Y. Sagacious epitope selection for vaccines, and both antibody-based therapeutics and diagnostics: tips from virology and oncology. *Antib. Ther.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/abt/tbac005> (2022).
48. Lua, W.-H. *et al.* The effects of Antibody Engineering CH and CL in Trastuzumab and Pertuzumab recombinant models: Impact on antibody production and antigen-binding. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 718. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18892-9> (2018).
49. Ling, W.-L. *et al.* Effect of VH–VL families in Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab recombinant production, Her2 and FcγIIA binding. *Front. Immunol.* <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.00469> (2018).
50. Lua, W.-H. *et al.* Role of the IgE variable heavy chain in FcεRIα and superantigen binding in allergy and immunotherapy. *J. Allerg. Clin. Immunol.* **144**, 514–523.e515. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2019.03.028> (2019).
51. Su, C.T.-T., Lua, W.-H., Ling, W.-L. & Gan, S.K.-E. Allosteric effects between the antibody constant and variable regions: A study of IgA Fc mutations on antigen binding. *Antibodies* **7**, 20 (2018).
52. Lua, W.-H. *et al.* Discovery of a novel splice variant of FcαR (CD89) unravels sequence segments necessary for efficient secretion: A story of bad signal peptides and good ones that nevertheless do not make it. *Cell Cycle* **16**, 457–467. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15384101.2017.1281480> (2017).
53. Phua, S.-X., Lua, W.-H. & Gan, S.K.-E. Role of FcαR EC2 region in extracellular membrane localization. *Cell Cycle* **17**, 669–670. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15384101.2018.1444236> (2018).
54. Ling, W.-L., Lua, W.-H. & Gan, S.K.-E. Sagacity in antibody humanization for therapeutics, diagnostics and research purposes: considerations of antibody elements and their roles. *Antib. Ther.* **3**, 71–79. <https://doi.org/10.1093/abt/tbaa005> (2020).
55. Azegami, T., Yuki, Y. & Kiyono, H. Challenges in mucosal vaccines for the control of infectious diseases. *Int. Immunol.* **26**, 517–528. <https://doi.org/10.1093/intimm/ixu063> (2014).
56. Lavelle, E. C. & Ward, R. W. Mucosal vaccines—fortifying the frontiers. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-021-00583-2> (2021).

57. Ling, W.-L. *et al.* Essentially leading antibody production: An investigation of amino acids, myeloma, and natural V-region signal peptides in producing Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab variants. *Front. Immunol.* <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.604318> (2020).
58. Su, C.T.-T. *et al.* Molecular insights of nickel binding to therapeutic antibodies as a possible new antibody superantigen. *Front. Immunol.* <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.676048> (2021).
59. Minces, L. R., Shields, R. K., Sheridan, K., Ho, K. S. & Silveira, F. P. Peptostreptococcus infective endocarditis and bacteremia. Analysis of cases at a tertiary medical center and review of the literature. *Anaerobe* **16**, 327–330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2010.03.011> (2010).
60. Genovese, A. *et al.* Immunoglobulin superantigen protein L induces IL-4 and IL-13 secretion from human FcεRI+ cells through interaction with the κ light chains of IgE. *J. Immunol.* **170**, 1854–1861. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.170.4.1854> (2003).
61. Patella, V., Casolaro, V., Björck, L. & Marone, G. Protein L. A bacterial Ig-binding protein that activates human basophils and mast cells. *J. Immunol.* **145**, 3054–3061 (1990).
62. Varricchi, G. *et al.* Superantigenic activation of human cardiac mast cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **20**, 1828 (2019).
63. Rosenthal, M. E., Rojzman, A. D. & Frank, E. Finegoldia magna (formerly Peptostreptococcus magnus): An overlooked etiology for toxic shock syndrome?. *Med. Hypotheses* **79**, 138–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2012.04.013> (2012).
64. Bruin, G., Faber, A. & Biewenga, J. Binding of human IgA fragments to protein A-sepharose studied with an ELISA method. *Scand. J. Immunol.* **21**, 49–54. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3083.1985.tb01402.x> (1985).
65. Fahnestock, S. R., Alexander, P., Nagle, J. & Filpula, D. Gene for an immunoglobulin-binding protein from a group G streptococcus. *J. Bacteriol.* **167**, 870–880. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.167.3.870-880.1986> (1986).
66. Reis, K. J., Ayoub, E. M. & Boyle, M. D. Streptococcal Fc receptors. I. Isolation and partial characterization of the receptor from a group C streptococcus. *J. Immunol.* **132**, 3091–3097 (1984).
67. Grov, A., Myklestad, B. & Oeding, P. Immunochemical studies on antigen preparations from staphylococcus aureus. *Acta Pathologica Microbiologica Scandinavica* **61**, 588–596. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apm.1964.61.4.588> (1964).
68. Lowy, F. D. Staphylococcus aureus infections. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **339**, 520–532. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm199808203390806> (1998).
69. Chen, M. *et al.* Clinical applications of detecting IgG, IgM or IgA antibody for the diagnosis of COVID-19: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **104**, 415–422. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2021.01.016> (2021).
70. Ling, W.-L., Yeo, J. Y., Ng, Y.-L., Wipat, A. & Gan, S.K.-E. More than meets the kappa for antibody superantigen Protein L (PpL). *Antibodies* **11**, 14 (2022).
71. Sasso, E. H., Silverman, G. J. & Mannik, M. Human IgA and IgG F(ab')₂ that bind to staphylococcal protein A belong to the VHIII subgroup. *J. Immunol.* **147**, 1877–1883 (1991).
72. Phua, S.-X., Chan, K.-F., Su, C.-T., Poh, J.-J. & Gan, S.-E. Perspective: The promises of a holistic view of proteins—impact on antibody engineering and drug discovery. *Biosci. Rep.* <https://doi.org/10.1042/bsr20181958> (2019).
73. Snow, R. E., Chapman, C. J., Frew, A. J., Holgate, S. T. & Stevenson, F. K. Pattern of usage and somatic hypermutation in the VH5 gene segments of a patient with asthma: Implications for IgE. *Eur. J. Immunol.* **27**, 162–170. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.1830270124> (1997).
74. Pilette, C. *et al.* Grass pollen immunotherapy induces an allergen-specific IgA2 antibody response associated with mucosal TGF-β expression. *J. Immunol.* **178**, 4658–4666. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.178.7.4658> (2007).
75. Gloudemans, A. K., Lambrecht, B. N. & Smits, H. H. Potential of immunoglobulin A to prevent allergic asthma. *Clin. Dev. Immunol.* **2013**, 542091. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/542091> (2013).
76. Su, C.T.-T., Ling, W.-L., Lua, W.-H., Poh, J.-J. & Gan, S.K.-E. The role of antibody Vκ framework 3 region towards antigen binding: Effects on recombinant production and Protein L binding. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 3766. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02756-3> (2017).
77. Samsudin, F., Yeo, J. Y., Gan, S.K.-E. & Bond, P. J. Not all therapeutic antibody isotypes are equal: the case of IgM versus IgG in Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab. *Chem. Sci.* **11**, 2843–2854. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9SC04722K> (2020).
78. Steffen, U. *et al.* IgA subclasses have different effector functions associated with distinct glycosylation profiles. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 120. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13992-8> (2020).
79. Chan, W.-T., Verma, C. S., Lane, D. P. & Gan, S.-E. A comparison and optimization of methods and factors affecting the transformation of Escherichia coli. *Biosci. Rep.* <https://doi.org/10.1042/bsr20130098> (2013).
80. Zealyn Shi-Lin, Heng Joshua Yi, Yeo Darius Wen-Shuo, Koh Samuel Ken-En, Gan Wei-Li, Ling (2022) Augmenting recombinant antibody production in HEK293E cells: optimizing transfection and culture parameters. *Antibody Therapeutics* **5**(1), 30–41. <https://doi.org/10.1093/abt/tbac003>

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Joint Council Office, Agency for Science, Technology, and Research, Singapore under Grant number JCO1334i00050, the National Medical Research Council, Singapore under Grant number MOH-OFYIRG20nov-0018; A*STAR ID HTPO Grant number C211418005; and the National Research Foundation (NRF) Singapore grant to Experimental Drug Development Centre (EDDC). The simulations were performed on the Bioinformatics Institute, A*STAR server and the petascale computer cluster ASPIRE-1 at the National Supercomputing Center (NSCC) of Singapore.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, W.L.L. and S.K.-E.G. Methodology, W.L.L. and S.K.-E.G. Investigation, W.L.L., C.T.T.S and S.K.-E.G. Validation, J.Y.Y., W.H.L., and J.J.P. Writing—Original Draft, W.L.L., C.T.T.S and S.K.-E.G. Writing—Review & Editing, W.L.L., Y.L.N. and S.K.-E.G. Funding Acquisition, S.K.-E.G. Supervision, S.K.-E.G., Y.L.N. and A.W.

Funding

This work was supported by the Joint Council Office, Agency for Science, Technology, and Research, Singapore under Grant number JCO1334i00050; the National Medical Research Council, Singapore under Grant number MOH-OFYIRG20nov-0018; and A*STAR ID HTPO Grant number C211418005.

Competing interests

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-10388-5>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.K.-E.G.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2022