# scientific reports

# OPEN

Check for updates

# Influence of growth temperature on dielectric strength of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> thin films prepared via atomic layer deposition at low temperature

Suyeon Kim<sup>1</sup>, Seung-Hun Lee<sup>1</sup>, In Ho Jo<sup>1</sup>, Jongsu Seo<sup>2</sup>, Yeong-Eun Yoo<sup>3 $\boxtimes$ </sup> & Jeong Hwan Kim<sup>1 $\boxtimes$ </sup>

Thin films grown via atomic layer deposition (ALD) suffer from insufficient growth rate and unreliability for temperature-sensitive electronic substrates. This study aimed to examine the growth characteristics and dielectric strength of ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films grown at low temperatures ( $\leq 150$  °C) for potential application in flexible electronic devices. The growth rate of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films increased from 0.9 to 1.1 Å/cycle with increasing temperature and saturated at growth temperatures  $\geq 150$  °C, which is the critical temperature at which a complete oxidation reaction occurred. The dielectric strength was also improved with increasing growth temperature, and the films grown at 150 °C showed a high breakdown field strength (~ 8.3 MV/cm), attributable to the decrease in the carbon impurities and oxygen defects, as confirmed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. Even at low growth temperatures ( $\leq 150$  °C), ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films showed an overall amorphous structure and extremely smooth surfaces regardless of the growth temperature.

Aluminum oxide  $(Al_2O_3)$  films, as one of the most famous electronic materials, have been of interest in a variety of semiconductors, displays, sensors, and environment-friendly energy devices because of their high permittivity, excellent electrical insulation, wide bandgap, and superior encapsulation property<sup>1–5</sup>. Among the various growth techniques for fabricating  $Al_2O_3$  films, atomic layer deposition (ALD), which is based on sequential and self-limiting reaction, has recently received much attention because of precise control over thickness and composition at the atomic level and exceptional conformality on 3-D structures even at low growth temperature<sup>6,7</sup>. With the emergence of flexible electronic devices, the demand for low-temperature deposition has rapidly increased, and ALD, which can be performed at a relatively low temperature, is expected to be extensively used for applications such as temperature-sensitive substrates that degrade above 200 °C<sup>8</sup>.

Although ALD has many advantages, there are still inherent issues, such as the insufficient growth rate and unreliability of the film, that need to be addressed before its deployment in low temperature fabrication processes. Unfortunately, the low productivity of ALD films is unavoidable because of their self-limiting behavior<sup>9,10</sup>. However, it is necessary to investigate and improve the electronic reliability, such as dielectric strength (which is the ability of an insulating material to withstand applied electrical stress) of the low-temperature-grown ALD films, which is very important for dielectric/insulating films in electronic devices.

To the best of our knowledge, a study on the dielectric strength of  $Al_2O_3$  thin films prepared via ALD at low temperature has not been reported yet, despite its potential importance. Therefore, in this study, we experimentally investigated the growth features and dielectric strength of ALD  $Al_2O_3$  films grown at low temperatures ( $\leq 150$  °C), which are applicable to thermally unstable substrates. The growth characteristics were studied as a function of the temperature, which is a key growth parameter, and the ALD  $Al_2O_3$  film properties were compared with those of ALD  $Al_2O_3$  films grown at 250 °C, a sufficiently high temperature for the ALD  $Al_2O_3$  formation reaction reported in previous publications<sup>11,12</sup>. In addition, the factors that can influence the dielectric strength were studied by examining the impurity level and structural defects in the films.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Hanbat National University, Daejeon 34158, Republic of Korea. <sup>2</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST), Daejeon 34141, Republic of Korea. <sup>3</sup>Department of Nano Manufacturing Technology, Korea Institute of Machinery and Materials (KIMM), Daejeon 34103, Republic of Korea. <sup>Semail:</sup> yeyoo@kimm.re.kr; jkim@hanbat.ac.kr



**Figure 1.** (a) Film growth per cycle as a function of growth temperature, (b) refractive index of the films as a function of wavelength measured using a spectroscopic ellipsometer. The inset shows the variation in the refractive index (measured at 633 nm) and film density (measured by XRR) with respect to the growth temperature.

# Method

**Sample preparation.** Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films were grown on a p-Si substrate and a sputtered Pt/Ti/SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrate using a traveling wave-type ALD reactor. The Pt/Ti/SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrate was sequentially cleaned with acetone, isopropanol, and deionized water prior to deposition. Meanwhile, the p-Si substrate was cleaned using a 10% diluted HF solution. Trimethylaluminum [TMA, Al(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], H<sub>2</sub>O, and Ar were used as the Al precursor, oxygen source, and purge gas, respectively. The Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film was grown in a substrate temperature range of 80–150 °C, and the control Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film was grown at 250 °C. The samples with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films grown at 80, 100, 150, and 250 °C were designated as T80, T100, T150, and T250, respectively. All samples had film thicknesses of ~28 nm, controlled by the number of ALD cycles. The ALD process sequence was: Al[CH<sub>3</sub>]<sub>3</sub> (1 s)–Ar purge (40 s).

**Characterization.** The physical thickness and refractive index of the films were evaluated using a spectroscopic ellipsometer (MG-1000, Nano-View Co.). The film growth rate at each growth temperature was evaluated from the slope of the film thickness plot as a function of the number of ALD cycles. The film density and crystallinity were evaluated via X-ray reflectometry (XRR, NANOPIX, Rigaku) and X-ray diffraction (XRD, D/ MAX 2500H, Rigaku) using a Cu Kα source. A scanning probe microscope (SPM, Nanoman , VEECO) was used to characterize the surface topography. Moreover, the impurity content in the films was analyzed using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, PHI 5000 VersaProbe, Ulvac-PHI) after removing the surface contaminants by Ar ion sputtering. The O 1s and C 1s core-level spectra were obtained to investigate the chemical state of the film. The atomic percentage of carbon impurity in the films was evaluated by dividing the area under the curve by the relative sensitivity factor of the C 1s peak from the XPS spectra and normalizing the value over the total amount of the film components.

Metal-insulator-metal (MIM) capacitors were then fabricated with an 80 nm-thick Au top electrode deposited through a shadow mask using a DC sputtering process to evaluate the electrical properties of the  $Al_2O_3$  films. Dielectric constants of the films were evaluated using the capacitance measured by an impedance analyzer at a frequency of 100 kHz (HP4284A, Hewlett-Packard). A short-time test was conducted using a semiconductor parameter analyzer (4145B, Hewlett-Packard) to determine the breakdown voltage at a voltage ramp rate of 1.0 V/s.

#### **Results and discussion**

Figure 1a shows the variation in the growth rate of ALD  $Al_2O_3$  film with respect to temperature. The TMA and  $H_2O$  purge time were fixed at 40 s. The growth rate of the  $Al_2O_3$  film increased from ~ 0.9 Å/cycle at 80 °C to ~ 1.1 Å/cycle above 150 °C. Thus, the ALD window, which is the temperature range where the growth rate is constant, was established to provide a growth rate of ~ 1.1 Å/cycle at growth temperatures above 150 °C. Below 150 °C, the decrease in the growth rate with decreasing growth temperature could be attributed to the slower reaction rate because of the thermal activation barrier for the ligand (CH<sub>3</sub>) removal with liberating gaseous by-products<sup>13</sup>. Figure 1b shows the refractive indices of T80, T100, T150, and T250 measured in the wavelength range of 250–850 nm via spectroscopic ellipsometry. The indices increased slightly with increasing growth temperature and were similar to those reported in the literature<sup>14–16</sup>. The inset of Fig. 1b shows the film density and refractive indices at the wavelength of 633 nm as a function of the growth temperature. Refractive index values were lower than that of  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (sapphire, n = 1.766) at 633 nm. This is because the as-deposited Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> thin films are amorphous and less dense than sapphire. All samples were amorphous, as confirmed by XRD and SPM analyses. Groner et al. reported that the refractive index and density of thin films are proportional through the Lorentz–Lorenz relationship<sup>9</sup>. Therefore, the density of the ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films is expected to decrease slightly when



Figure 2. Cumulative probability of the electrical breakdown of ALD  $Al_2O_3$  films grown at 80, 100, 150, and 250 °C.

	T80	T100	T150	T250
Average E <sub>BD</sub> (MV/cm)	6.8	7.7	8.3	8.5
Standard deviation	1.2	0.7	0.3	0.2
Dielectric constant	7.1	7.3	7.5	7.6

**Table 1.** Average breakdown field ( $E_{BD}$ ) strength and dielectric constant of ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films grown at 80, 100, 150, and 250 °C.

	T80	T100	T150	T250
C (atom%)	1.8	1.3	1.0	0.8

#### **Table 2.** Carbon concentration in the ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films grown at 80, 100, 150, and 250 °C.

.....

the growth temperature decreases. In other reports, the density of the film grown via ALD largely decreases with decreasing growth temperature due to severely increasing content of residual impurities in the film<sup>14,17</sup>. However, only a slight reduction in the refractive index of the film with decreasing temperature was observed, indicating a slight decrease in the density, which is confirmed by the XRR results plotted in the inset of Fig. 1b. This small change in film density may have been caused by a small amount (<2 at.%) of residual carbon impurity in the film grown at 80 °C, which can be proved by XPS analysis. This result also indicates that  $H_2O$  is an appropriate source of oxygen for low-temperature ALD processes.

Figure 2 shows the cumulative probability of electrical breakdown of the  $Al_2O_3$  films grown at various temperatures. A commonly used short-time test was conducted to evaluate the dielectric breakdown strength. The breakdown field was calculated by dividing the breakdown voltage by the thickness of each film. Meanwhile, the breakdown voltage is determined when the insulating property is severely degraded. In addition, it is noteworthy that ALD  $Al_2O_3$  films for electrical characterization in this work were grown on Pt (~150 nm)/Si rather than on a Si substrate to avoid the complications originating from interfacial layer growth during deposition. As the growth temperature increased, the average breakdown field also increased, indicating a higher dielectric strength and a better quality insulator. Notably, T150 exhibited an improved average breakdown field of ~8.3 MV/cm, which was close to that of T250. The leakage current density characteristics of all the samples are shown in Figure S1. Furthermore, Table 1 shows the calculated standard deviation of the breakdown field of ALD  $Al_2O_3$  films deposited at different growth temperatures. A decrease in the distribution of the breakdown field was observed as the growth temperature increased. A significant reduction in the distribution of the breakdown field was also confirmed for T150, compared to those for T80 and T100. The dielectric constants ranged from 7.1 to 7.6 across all temperature values, which are reasonable values compared to those of other reports<sup>9,10</sup>.

To determine the reason for higher dielectric strength with higher growth temperature, the residual carbon impurity level and chemical structure of the films were examined via XPS. Somewhat thick films (~28 nm) on Si enabled to obtain the signal from the bulk film, excluding that from the interface. The carbon impurity concentrations of T80, T100, T150, and T250 were 1.8, 1.3, 1.0, and 0.8, respectively (Table 2). The insufficient energy for ligand removal during ALD at low growth temperatures could contribute to the increased carbon content in the film, resulting in a slight decrease in the film density, as mentioned earlier (Fig. 1b). It has been reported that even in small amounts, carbon residue can degrade the reliability of ALD oxide films<sup>18</sup>. Therefore, the improved dielectric strength could be attributed to the decreased amount of carbon impurities in the films.

Figure 3a shows the O 1s core-level XPS spectra of the  $Al_2O_3$  films grown at 80, 100, 150, and 250 °C. The O 1s peaks were deconvoluted into two sub-peaks corresponding to the lattice oxygen ( $O_{Lattice}$ ) and oxygen deficiency ( $O_{Defect}$ ) located at 530.8 and 532.5 eV<sup>10,19</sup>, respectively. As shown in Fig. 3b, the relative peak intensity [ $O_{Defect}$ /



**Figure 3.** (a) O 1s XPS spectra and (b) the relative peak intensity  $[O_{Defect}/O_{Lattice} + O_{Defect}]$  of the O 1s XPS spectra of the ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films grown at 80, 100, 150, and 250 °C.



**Figure 4.** (a) XRD patterns and (b) SPM images with the RMS roughness of the  $Al_2O_3$  films grown at 80, 100, 150, and 250 °C.

 $O_{Lattice} + O_{Defect}$ ] abruptly decreased from 0.12 to 0.06 when the temperature increased from 80 to 150 °C, and slightly decreased from 0.06 to 0.05 when the temperature increased from 150 to 250 °C. It is known that the oxidation reaction is strongly influenced by thermal energy, depending on the deposition temperature. Therefore, the oxygen deficiency in the ALD film could be attributed to the incomplete oxidation in the ALD process due to insufficient thermal energy, which was more pronounced at low temperatures. In addition, oxygen defects, such as oxygen vacancies have been reported to form a conduction path and deteriorate the electrical properties, including the breakdown characteristics of the thin films<sup>20,21</sup>. Therefore, the improved dielectric strength at higher growth temperature, as shown in Fig. 2, could also be attributed to the reduction in oxygen deficiency in the films. Notably, a rapid decline in the relative peak intensities of the oxygen defect coincides with a significant reduction in the average and standard deviation values of the breakdown field below 150 °C. Consequently, more structural defects may be present in the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film when grown at temperatures lower than 150 °C. Liu et al. reported that the presence of many structural defects in oxide films can lead to pore generation when the films are crystallized after high-temperature heat treatments<sup>22</sup>. This further confirms the potential pore generation in ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films as the growth temperature decreases. Moreover, the presence of pores in the films may deteriorate the dielectric properties of ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films.

It is worth examining whether the crystallinity and surface roughness can influence the dielectric strength of the films. XRD (in grazing angle incident mode) data in Fig. 4a show that all samples had an amorphous structure with no previously identified diffraction peaks, regardless of the growth temperature. Figure 4b shows the 2  $\mu$ m × 2  $\mu$ m SPM images of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films. The surfaces of all films were smooth without any sharp point that the electric field could focus on, which can influence the breakdown behavior. The films exhibited similar root mean square (RMS) roughness values ( $\leq$  0.31 nm), which is similar to that of amorphous ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films reported in a previous study<sup>23</sup>. Therefore, the influence of crystallinity and surface roughness on the dielectric strength could be considered negligible<sup>9,19</sup>.

# Conclusion

 $Al_2O_3$  films were grown on a p-Si substrate and sputtered Pt/Ti/SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrate at 80, 100, 150, and 250 °C via ALD technique. An ALD window was established at deposition temperatures above 150 °C, which had a growth rate of 1.1 Å/cycle and a complete oxidation reaction with ligand removal. A slight increase in the refractive index of the film was observed with increasing temperature, indicating a slight increase in the film density, as confirmed by XRR, owing to the increased carbon impurities in the films. The higher amount of carbon impurities and oxygen defects also decreased the dielectric breakdown strength of the films below 150 °C. However, the films grown at 150 °C showed a breakdown field of ~ 8.3 MV/cm, which is suitable for flexible electronics because of the reduced carbon impurity level and oxygen defects in the films. The crystallinity and surface roughness of the ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films remained unaffected by the growth temperature.

Received: 18 December 2021; Accepted: 10 March 2022 Published online: 24 March 2022

#### References

- 1. Gao, Q. *et al.* Scalable high performance radio frequency electronics based on large domain bilayer MoS<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4778 (2018).
- Huang, S. Y. et al. Improvement in the bias stability of amorphous InGaZnO TFTs using an Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> passivation layer. Surf. Coat. Technol. 231, 117-121 (2013).
- Liu, C. et al. A three-dimensional branched TiO<sub>2</sub> photoanode with an ultrathin Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> passivation layer and a NiOOH cocatalyst toward photoelectrochemical water oxidation. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 13, 13301–13310 (2021).
- Hoex, B. et al. Excellent passivation of highly doped p -type Si surfaces by the negative-chargedielectric Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Appl. Phys. Lett. 91, 112107 (2007).
- 5. Seo, J. *et al.* Gas-permeable inorganic shell improves the coking stability and electrochemical reactivity of Pt toward methane oxidation. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **12**, 4405–4413 (2020).
- 6. Choi, M. J. et al. Atomic layer deposition of HfO2 thin films using H2O2 as oxidant. Appl. Surf. Sci. 301, 451-455 (2014).
- 7. Nam, T., Kim, J. M., Kim, M. K. & Kim, H. Low-temperature atomic layer deposition of TiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and ZnO thin films. *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **59**(2), 452–457 (2011).
- 8. Fang, R. C. *et al.* High-performance bilayer flexible resistive random access memory based on low-temperature thermal atomic layer deposition. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **8**, 92 (2013).
- Groner, M. D., Fabreguette, F. H., Elam, J. W. & George, S. M. Low-temperature Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> atomic layer deposition. *Chem. Mater.* 16, 639–645 (2004).
- Kim, S. K. *et al.* Low temperature (<100°C) deposition of aluminum oxide thin films by ALD with O<sub>3</sub> as oxidant. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 153(5), F69–F76 (2006).
- Bao, Y., Laitinen, M., Sajavaara, T. & Savin, H. Ozone-based atomic layer deposition of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> from dimethylaluminum chloride and its impact on silicon surface passivation. *Adv. Electron. Mater.* 3(6), 1600491 (2017).
- Oh, S. K. et al. Process temperature dependence of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film deposited by thermal ALD as a passivation layer for c-Si solar cells. J. Semicond. Technol. Sci. 13(6), 581–588 (2013).
- Seo, S., Nam, T., Lee, H. B. R., Kim, H. & Shong, B. Molecular oxidation of surface -CH<sub>3</sub> during atomic layer deposition of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with H<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub>: A theoretical study. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 457, 376–380 (2018).
- Demirtas, M., Odaci, C., Perkgoz, N. K., Sevik, C. & Ay, F. Low loss atomic layer deposited Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> waveguides for applications in on-chip optical amplifiers. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.* 24(4), 3100508 (2018).
- Aguilar-Gama, M. T. et al. Structure and refractive index of thin alumina films grown by atomic layer deposition. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 26(8), 5546–5552 (2015).
- Wang, Z. Y. et al. The impact of thickness and thermal annealing on refractive index for aluminum oxide thin films deposited by atomic layer deposition. Nanoscale Res. Lett. 10, 46 (2015).
- Cheng, C. C. et al. Characteristics of atomic-layer-deposited Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> high-k dielectric films grown on Ge substrates. J. Electrochem. Soc. 155(10), G203–G208 (2008).
- Jin, H. S. et al. Strategic selection of the oxygen source for low temperature-atomic layer deposition of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> thin film. Adv. Electron. Mater. 5, 1800680 (2019).
- 19. Kim, M., Kang, K. M., Wang, Y. & Park, H. H. N-doped Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> thin films deposited by atomic layer deposition. *Thin Solid Films* 660, 657–662 (2018).
- 20. Chang, T. J. et al. High-K gate dielectrics treated with in situ atomic layer bombardment. ACS Appl. Electron. Mater. 1, 1091–1098 (2019).
- Cho, K. H. *et al.* Leakage current mechanism and effect of oxygen vacancy on the leakage current of Bi<sub>5</sub>Nb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>15</sub> films. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* 30(2), 513–516 (2010).
- Liu, H., Guo, S., Yang, R. B., Lee, C. & Zhang, L. Giant Blistering of nanometer-thick Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZnO films grown by atomic layer deposition: mechanism and potential applications. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 9(31), 26201–26209 (2017).
- Gierałtowska, S. et al. Properties and characterization of ALD grown dielectric oxides for MIS structures. Acta Phys. Pol. A 119(5), 692–695 (2011).

# Acknowledgements

The corresponding author wants to thank Prof. WooChul Jung for all help during the experiment.

# Author contributions

S.K., Y.-E.Y. and J.H.K. designed the experiments. S.K. carried out the main part of fabrication and analytical works. S.-H.L., I.H.J., and J.S. carried out some of the fabrication and the analytical works. Y.-E.Y. contributed materials/analysis tools. S.K. prepared the original draft. J.H.K. revised manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# Funding

This research was supported by Nano-Material Technology Development Program and the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning(2017M3A7B4049547) and by the Ministry of Education(2021R111A3058840). This work was

also supported by Korea Institute for Advancement of Technology(KIAT) funded by Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy(MOTIE)(P0002019, Human Resource Development Program for Industrial Innovation), the research fund of Hanbat National University in 2021, and the Institute Project of Korea Institute of Machinery and Materials (NK238E).

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

# Additional information

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-022-09054-7.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.-E.Y. or J.H.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022