scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN An animal model of limitation of gut colonization by carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae using rifaximin

Eleni Xenofontos¹, Georgios Renieris¹, Maria Kalogridi¹, Dionyssia-Eirini Droggiti¹, Kalliopi Synodinou¹, Georgia Damoraki¹, Panagiotis Koufargyris¹, Labros Sabracos¹ & Evangelos J. Giamarellos-Bourboulis^{1,2}

Current knowledge suggests that infection by carbapenem-resistant enterobacteria is preceded by gut colonization. It is hypothesized that colonization is eradicated by non-absorbable antibiotics like rifaximin. We investigated the effect of rifaximin against carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (CRKP) in vitro and in a mouse model. We studied the in vitro efficacy of rifaximin against 257 CRKP clinical isolates, 188 KPC producers and 69 OXA-48 producers, by minimum inhibitory concentration and time-kill assays. We then developed a model of gut colonization by feeding 30 C57BI6 mice with 10⁸ cfu of one KPC-KP isolate for 7 days; mice were pre-treated orally with saline, omeprazole or ampicillin. Then, another 60 mice with established KPC-2 gut colonization received orally for 7 consecutive days rifaximin 180 mg/kg dissolved in ethanol and 4% bile or vehicle. On days 0, 3 and 7 stool samples were collected; mice were sacrificed for determination of tissue outgrowth. At a concentration of 1000 μ g/ml rifaximin inhibited 84.8% of CRKP isolates. A 3 × log₁₀ decrease of the starting inoculum was achieved by 100, 250 and 500 μ g/ml of rifaximin after 24 h against 25, 55 and 55% of isolates. Pre-treatment with ampicillin was necessary for gut colonization by KPC-KP. Treatment with rifaximin succeeded in reducing KPC-KP load in stool and in the intestine. Rifaximin inhibits at clinically meaningful gut concentrations the majority of CRKP isolates and is efficient against gut colonization by KPC-KP.

Enterobacteriaceae are one of the leading causes of healthcare-associated infections in Greece¹. This holds true for infection by carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella (K.) pneumoniae (CRKP). Their emergence is associated with mortality up to 50%². Recent epidemiology studies report prevalence of these species ranging in Europe between less than 1% in the Scandinavian countries, to 30% in Italy, and more than 50% in Greece³ and China⁴ but also ranging between 2.4 and 50.8% in the United States⁵. These isolates infect debilitated hosts like patients hospitalized in the Intensive Care Units (ICUs) and patients with hematologic malignancies. It appears that intestinal colonization is the major reservoir for these resistant isolates among critically ill patients^{6,7} and patients with hematologic malignancies⁸. Thus, the eradication of gut colonization by carbapenem resistant enterobacteriaceae (CRE) is an attractive strategy for infection prevention.

Rifaximin is a non-absorbable, rifamycin derivate with a broad antimicrobial spectrum. Oral treatment with rifaximin has been demonstrated to lead to high intra-intestinal concentrations even exceeding 8000 µg/g; these are attained after three days of oral intake of 400 mg rifaximin per day^{9,10}. Due to its non-absorbable nature, rifaximin has been used in the management of travellers' diarrhea and hepatic encephalopathy^{11,12} and more recently for treating irritable bowel syndrome^{10,13,14}. Our group has shown considerable in vitro efficacy of rifaximin against susceptible isolates of Klebsiella spp.¹⁵ generating the hypothesis if rifaximin may be a candidate drug for the eradication of CRE.

¹4th Department of Internal Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Medical School, Athens, Greece. ²4th Department of Internal Medicine, ATTIKON University Hospital, 1 Rimini Street, 124 62 Athens, Greece. [™]email: egiamarel@med.uoa.gr

		MIC (µg/ml)			
Phenotype	Antimicrobial agent	Range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	% of inhibited isolates
KPC (n = 188)	Amikacin (8)	1 to > 128	>128	>128	11.7
	Meropenem (8)	8 to > 32	32	> 32	0
	Tigecycline (2)	0.06 to > 32	2	> 32	74.4
	Colistin (≤2)	0.015 to > 32	16	> 32	45.7
	Rifaximin	8 to > 2000	125	2000	84.0
OXA-48 (n=69)	Amikacin (8)	8 to>128	>128	>128	10.1
	Meropenem (8)	8 to > 32	32	> 32	0
	Tigecycline (2)	0.015 to > 32	2	> 32	79.7
	Colistin (≤2)	0.03 to > 32	16	> 32	34.8
	Rifaximin	32 to > 2000	125	2000	86.9

Table 1. In vitro activity of rifaximin and comparator antimicrobial agents against 257 *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolates according to their resistance phenotype. *EUCAST* European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, *MIC* minimum inhibitory concentration, *MIC50/90* MIC required to inhibit 50% and 90% of the isolates, respectively. The EUCAST breakpoint is provided in parentheses, where available. For Tigecycline the epidemiological cut-off value (ECOFF) is provided. The breakpoint for rifaximin was set to a clinically meaningful concentration of 1000 μg/ml.

The present study has two stages. At the first stage, we investigated the in vitro activity of rifaximin against isolates of CRE species of KP. In the second stage, we investigated the efficacy of rifaximin in the eradication of gut colonization by CRE producing KPC in an animal model of gut colonization.

Results

In vitro susceptibility testing. A total of 257 CRE clinical isolates from specimens of blood (n = 128), urine (n = 76), tracheobronchial secretions (n = 25), rectal swab (n = 10) and pus (n = 18) were studied; 188 isolates harbored bla_{KPC} and 69 isolates harbored bla_{CXA-48} -like.

Susceptibility testing results for all tested antimicrobials are shown in Table 1. In the absence of EUCAST MIC breakpoint for rifaximin against *K. pneumoniae*, we chose the clinically meaningful concentration of 1000 µg/ml, which is 1/8 of the fecal concentration that is achieved after oral administration^{9,10}. At this concentration rifaximin inhibited in vitro 84.8% of isolates. At this concentration, rifaximin inhibited 84.0% and 86.9% of KPC and OXA-48-like producing isolates respectively (Table 1). Among the tested isolates with MIC > 1000 µg/ml, 30 (71.4%) harbored *bla*_{KPC} and 12 (28.6%) harbored *bla*_{OXA-48}. 64.2% of these isolates were isolated from blood specimens, 14.3% from urine, 14.3% from TBS and the rest 7.1% from pus or rectal swab.

To validate the use of rifaximin we conducted time-kill assays of rifaximin against 10 CRKP isolates susceptible to colistin and 10 CRKP isolates resistant to colistin. MICs of rifaximin for the 20 CRKP isolates used for the time-kill assays ranged between 8 and 2000 μ g/ml. The effect of rifaximin was concentration- and time-dependent for both colistin-susceptible and colistin-resistant isolates (Fig. 1A,B). A bactericidal effect was observed by 100, 250 and 500 μ g/ml of rifaximin after six hours of exposure against 15, 30 and 35% of isolates and after 24 h of exposure against 25, 55 and 55% of isolates (Fig. 1C,D). Figure 2A–F show the characteristic time-kill effect on 3 colistin-resistant isolates with different MICs.

Animal study. The promising results of the in vitro studies and of the time-kill assays led us to investigate the value of rifaximin for the elimination of gut colonization by KPC-producing *K. pneumoniae* (KPC-KP) in vivo. Originally, we developed a mouse model of gut colonization by one species producing KPC. We chose to study one isolate of *K. pneumoniae* producing KPC-2 due to the predominance of this mechanism of resistance in the Mediterranean countries¹⁶. The attempt to colonize the gut of C57Bl6 mice simply by oral administration of KPC-KP was unsuccessful (Fig. 3A). Therefore, we tested the effect of pretreatment with the proton-pump inhibitor omeprazole or with ampicillin, which are known to influence gut microbiota and facilitate intestinal colonization by pathogenic bacteria^{17,18}. Pretreatment with ampicillin but not with omeprazole led to sufficient growth of KPC-KP in stool 3 and 7 days after start of KPC-KP gavage (Fig. 3A). This model was chosen for further experimentation.

Following establishment of gut colonization, oral treatment with rifaximin started for seven days. KPC-KP loads were significantly reduced in stool after 3 and 7 days compared to vehicle-treated mice (Fig. 3B). Moreover, after 7 days of treatment with rifaximin bacterial loads in samples of the duodenum, ileum and colon were significantly reduced compared to samples from mice treated with vehicle (Fig. 4A–C). The KPC-KP growth in the intrabdominal organs of mice was minimal (Fig. 4D–F). Mice did not show any signs of systemic disease like agitation or denial for food intake. Nil mouse died before the planned time of sacrifice.



Figure 1. Time-kill effect of rifaximin against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing carbapenemase (KPC-KP) isolates. 20 KPC-KP isolates with minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) from 8 to 128 µg/ml were exposed over time with/ without rifaximin in a concentration of 100, 250 and 500 µg/ml for 24 h. Cumulative change in the growth of colistin-susceptible (**A**) and colistin-resistant (**B**) isolates after exposure to different concentrations of rifaximin. Percentage of colistin-susceptible (**C**) and colistin resistant (**D**) isolates eradicated by rifaximin in different concentrations. Comparison by the Mann–Whitney-U test; ns non-significant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ***p<0.0001.

Discussion

The results of the present study clearly indicate a fair in vitro activity of rifaximin against CRKP isolates involving major resistance phenotypes i.e. KPC-KP and OXA-48-like-KP. Rifaximin was bactericidal against both colistin-susceptible and colistin-resistant isolates.

CRE have emerged as important multidrug-resistant nosocomial pathogens worldwide. In some countries like Italy, Greece and some parts of the United States, CRKP represents a significant proportion (ca. 40%) of *K. pneumoniae* isolates^{1,19}. Moreover, CRKP has become a major clinical concern owing to their rapidly increasing resistance to nearly all currently available antibiotics. Gut is considered the main reservoir for these species. The importance infectious disease practitioners give to gut colonization is coming from two major situations: (a) the need to know gut CRE carriage sampling at regular time intervals from the time of admission in the ICU to guide empiric therapy in case of emergence of infection²⁰; and (b) several case-reports suggesting the attempt for oral eradication with antibiotics like gentamicin and cefotaxime²¹. The achieved limitation of CRE outgrowth in the gut with this approach is ranging between 71 and 96%^{22,23}.

Eradication of CRE colonizing the gut is a clinical necessity. CRE colonization is associated with 10.8 odds ratio for new infection by CRE and with 2.8 odds ratio for death compared to non-colonized patients²⁴. These risks are unique for patients colonized by CRE and not for patients colonized by *Enterobacteriaceae* resistant to cephalosporins or other β -lactams whatever enlightens the need of development of strategies of selective oral decontamination (SOD). One published meta-analysis included 11 studies of SOD against multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Enterobacteriaceae* and did not show any benefit²⁵ underlying how difficult eradication is. Two randomized clinical trials (RCTs) including limited number of patients colonized by MDR *Enterobacteriaceae* not-resistant to carbapenems showed that the use of either oral colistin/neomycin or short antibiotic treatment followed by fecal transplantation achieved temporary suppression of intestinal carriage; re-growth was



Figure 2. Time-kill effect of rifaximin against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing carbapenemase (KPC-KP) isolates according to minimal inhibitory concentration and susceptibility to colistin. Effect of rifaximin on (**A**) colistin-susceptible KPC-KP isolate 760 with MIC of rifaximin 8 μ g/ml; (**B**) colistin-resistant KPC-KP isolate 53892 with MIC of rifaximin 32 μ g/ml; (**C**) colistin-susceptible KPC-KP isolate 950 with MIC of rifaximin 64 μ g/ml; (**D**) colistin-resistant KPC-KP isolate 1617A with MIC of rifaximin 64 μ g/ml; (**E**) colistin-susceptible KPC-KP isolate 10352 with MIC of rifaximin 128 μ g/ml; and (**F**) colistin-resistant KPC-KP isolate 3266 with MIC of rifaximin 128 μ g/ml.

observed following end of treatment^{26,27}. In a prospective study, 77 patients colonized by CRE received oral gentamicin or an oral combination of neomycin and streptomycin for two weeks; decolonization was achieved in 44 patients. The risk of de-colonized patients to die the next 180 days was significantly lower than patients remaining colonized²⁸ and this outscores the necessity to achieve gut eradication by CRE no matter how difficult this may be. Oral rifaximin had been used to eradicate gut colonization by MDR *Enterobacteriaceae*. In a retrospective analysis, oral rifaximin for two to three weeks was more effective (60% eradication rate among 15 treated patients) compared to low-dose oral colistin (39% eradication rate among 18 treated patients) and to high-dose oral colistin (25% eradication rate among 12 treated patients)²⁹.

The real unmet need is the lack of any existing, so far, program of development of a strategy of CRE elimination from the gut. This program should involve animal studies and large-scale RCTs. Our study is the first animal model aiming to explore the possibility that an oral non-absorbable antibiotic restrains CRE gut colonization. The model is using previous treatment with one β -lactam which is altering the composition of gut microbiota³⁰ and

Scientific Reports | (2022) 12:3789 |



Figure 3. Effect of rifaximin on outgrowth of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing carbapenemase (KPC-KP) in stool. (**A**) Establishment of an efficient experimental model for gut colonization by KPC-KP. C57Bl6 mice were pre-treated orally with saline, omeprazole or ampicillin for 5 days and then fed with 10⁸ cfu KPC-KP for 7 days. KPC-KP growth was measured in stool 3 and 7 days after beginning of KPC-KP administration. (**B**) C57Bl6 mice with established KPC-KP gut colonization were treated orally with rifaximin or vehicle. KPC-KP growth was measured in stool 0, 3 and 7 days after beginning of rifaximin treatment. Comparison by the Mann-Whitney-U test; ns non-significant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

favors gut colonization by KPC. Oral treatment with rifaximin in this model is efficient in decreasing KPC load in the intestine and in stool of mice with already established gut colonization by KPC-2. Gut colonization was reduced but not fully eliminated. This introduces the concern how promising in the clinical setting rifaximin may be. The data presented herein favour the development of rifaximin for the eradication of CRE gut decolonization when analysed in the context of the existing clinical evidence. Non-achieving full eradication of CRE from the gut may be associated to the following (i) the duration of treatment should last for more than seven days; (ii) strategies of SOD are not similarly effective to all patients and CRE eradication has been shown difficult to be achieved; (iii) animal results allow to have precise quantitative cultures in both the levels of the stool and of the tissue. This is far different than clinical studies evaluating gut colonization through rectal swab cultures which have a threshold of positivity greater than the precise quantitative techniques³¹. Furthermore, clinical studies do not provide information of CRE colonizing the gut at different levels, as we did in our animal model.

The exact extrapolation of the findings for the human situation may be uncertain. However, the clinical aim is to decrease gut colonization to limit the risk of infections. Rifaximin possesses several advantages over comparators making it an attractive choice for use in clinical practice. At first, rifaximin has an excellent safety profile and tolerability with limited systemic absorption^{10,32}. At second, it does not affect the composition of normal flora. In a recent randomized study, 36 patients with decompensated cirrhosis were administered rifaximin for 4 weeks. Rifaximin intake marginally reduced the abundance of the gut flora as this was expressed by the Shannon diversity index compared to the 18 placebo-treated patients; no effect on markers of systemic inflammation was found³³. One limitation could be poor solubility in aqueous solvents³⁴. These drug characteristics have led others to consider that rifaximin should become part of the antimicrobials that are used for selective decontamination of the digestive tract³⁵.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates rifaximin as a potential adjunctive treatment against gut colonization by CRE. Further experimental studies are needed for the validation of the use of rifaximin against CRE and other resistant strains associated with intestinal colonization before translational efforts centered on the use of rifaximin against resistant pathogens can be initiated.



Figure 4. Effect of rifaximin on tissue outgrowth of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing carbapenemase (KPC-KP). C57Bl6 mice with established KPC-KP gut colonization were treated orally with rifaximin or vehicle. KPC-KP growth was determined 3, 7 and 11 after beginning of treatment in the duodenum (**A**), ileum (**B**), sigmoid (**C**); liver (**D**), spleen (**E**) and kidney (**F**). Comparison by Mann–Whitney-U test; ns non-significant, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

Materials and methods

In vitro study. Stored CRKP in skim-milk at – 80 °C were used for this study. All were isolated from biological samples of patients with documented hospital-acquired infections aggravated by systemic inflammatory response syndrome and hospitalized between September 2014 and December 2017 in four departments of Internal Medicine and two Intensive Care Units participating in the Hellenic Sepsis Study Group (http://www.sepsis.gr). The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committees of the participating hospitals. Patients were enrolled after written informed consent provided by themselves or by first degree relatives in case of patients unable to consent. Isolates were shipped to the central lab at the Laboratory of Immunology of Infectious Diseases of the 4th Department of Internal Medicine at ATTIKON University Hospital for further testing. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. Resistance to carbapenems was determined by measurement of MICs to meropenem with the microdilution technique. Resistance genotype of KPC or OXA-48-like production was determined by polymerase chain reaction³⁶.

MICs of amikacin, meropenem, tigecycline, colistin and rifaximin were determined by the broth microdilution technique in a final volume of 0.1 ml of MHB using one log-phase inoculum of 5×10^5 cfu/ml. Single colonies were suspended in MHB and were incubated for 2 h in a shaking water-bath; this was adjusted to the test inoculum using 0.5 of the McFarland climax. *Escherichia coli* reference strain ATCC 25922 was run in parallel in all experiments. Water-soluble amorphous powders of amikacin and tigecycline from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA); of colistin sulfate salt from AppliChem GmbH (Darmstadt, Germany); of meropenem from AstraZeneca (Cambridge, UK); and of rifaximin powder from Alfa Wassermann SpA (Bologna, Italy) were used. Owing to the poor solubility of rifaximin in water, the agent was first diluted in ethanol. To limit the excess use of ethanol, rifaximin was further diluted with 4% bile acid (Difco Oxgall, dehy-drated fresh bile; Becton Dickinson, Le Pont-de-Claix, France) and then added to the growth medium (MHB), as described previously¹⁵. Interpretation of results was done using the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) susceptibility breakpoints³⁷.

Time-kill assay. The killing effect of rifaximin over time was studied against 20 CRKP isolates. A log-phase culture (5×10^6 cfu/ml) of each isolate was exposed over time in tubes with MHB at a final volume of 10 ml with or without rifaximin at concentrations of 500 µg/ml, 250 µg/ml and 100 µg/ml for 24 h. Rifaximin was dissolved as described above. At baseline, 2, 4, 6 and 24 h of incubation at 37 °C in a shaking water-bath a 0.1 ml aliquot from each tube was plated, after six serial 1:10 dilutions, onto MacConkey agar (Becton Dickinson). Bacterial counts were measured after 24 h incubation at 35 °C. The results were expressed as log_{10} cfu/ml. Any decrease in bacterial growth $\ge 3 log10$ compared with the starting inoculum was considered as a bactericidal effect.

Animal study. Animal experiments were conducted in the unit of animals for medical and scientific purposes of ATTIKON University General Hospital (Athens, Greece) according to EU Directive 2010/63/EU and to

the Greek law 2015/2001, which incorporates the Convention for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals used for Experimental and Other Scientific Purposes of the Council of Europe (code of the facility EL 25BIO014, approval no. 1853/2015). All experiments were licensed from the Greek veterinary directorate under the protocol number 2027/09-04-2019. The study is reported in accordance with ARRIVE guidelines. We used 90 male and female C57Bl6 mice 7–8 weeks old. Mice were allowed to acclimate for seven days before starting the experiments. Mice were housed in individually ventilated cages, up to 5 mice per cage on a 12-h dark/light cycle and allowed free access to standard dry rodent diet and water. Analgesia was achieved with paracetamol suppositories.

We used a clinical blood isolate of a *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 87B producing carbapenemase KPC-2 with MIC of amikacin, meropenem, colistin, tigecycline and rifaximin > 128, > 32, > 32, > 32 and 64 μ g/ml respectively.

At the first stage we established a reproducible model of gut colonization by KPC. Mice were randomized in 3 groups (n = 10 in each group) receiving orally by a 12G gavage needle 200 μ l of (a) 0.9% NaCl; (b) 40 mg/kg omeprazole³⁸ diluted in 0.9% NaCl (Vianex, Athens, Greece) or (c) 50 mg/kg ampicillin³⁹ (AppliChem) diluted in 0.9% NaCl once daily for 5 consecutive days. Then all mice were given orally once daily for 7 consecutive days 200 μ l of 1 × 10⁸ cfu/ml KPC in 0.9% NaCl, so as to avoid intestinal irritation by MHB. On day 0, 3, 7 and 11 after the beginning of KPC-KP administration, stool samples of each mouse were collected into sterile tubes with 1 ml NaCl 0.9%.

Based on preliminary results showing that pre-treatment with ampicillin was necessary for gut colonization, further treatment experiments were done. In these experiments, 60 mice pre-treated with ampicillin for 5 days, were administrated orally using a gavage needle once daily for 7 consecutive days 200 μ l of 1 × 10⁸ cfu/ml KPC-KP in 0.9% NaCl. Then, mice were treated with either 250 µl of 60 mg/kg rifaximin or vehicle three times per day for seven consecutive days³⁹. Rifaximin was dissolved in 50 µl of ethanol and further diluted in 200 µl 4% bile. Vehicle was 50 μ l of ethanol diluted in 200 μ l 4% bile in MHB. On days 0, 3, and 7 after start of treatment, stool samples were collected into sterile tubes with 1 ml NaCl 0.9%. Ten mice from each group were sacrificed 3, 7 and 11 days after start of treatment. Sacrifice was done by the subcutaneous injection of 300 mg/kg ketamine. Under sterile conditions a midline abdominal incision was performed and segments of the duodenum (1 cm after the pyloric sphincter), of the ileum (1 cm before the ileocecal valve) and of the sigmoid (2 cm before the end of the rectum) were excised. They were washed with NaCl 0.9% to remove remaining stool and collected into sterile tubes with 1 ml NaCl 0.9%. In parallel, segments of the liver, of the spleen and of the right kidney were excised and collected into sterile tubes with 1 ml NaCl 0.9%. The samples were weighted and homogenized. One aliquot of 0.1 ml of the stool and tissue homogenates was diluted 1:10 into Mueller-Hinton broth six consecutive times; 0.1 ml of each dilution was plated onto CHROMID^{*} CARBA SMART agar (Biomerieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France). After incubation for 24 h at 37 °C, the number of viable colonies was counted, and results were expressed as log₁₀ of colony forming units per gram tissue (cfu/g).

Statistical analysis. Quantitative variables were presented as mean±standard error mean (SEM). Comparisons between groups were done using the Mann–Whitney U test. Any p value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Received: 22 September 2021; Accepted: 14 February 2022 Published online: 08 March 2022

References

- 1. Koupetori, M. et al. Bloodstream infections and sepsis in Greece: Over-time change of epidemiology and impact of de-escalation on final outcome. BMC Infect. Dis. 14, 272 (2014).
- Zarkotou, O. et al. Predictors of mortality in patients with bloodstream infections caused by KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae and impact of appropriate antimicrobial treatment. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 17, 1798–1803 (2011).
- 3. Brolund, A. *et al.* Worsening epidemiological situation of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in Europe, assessment by national experts from 37 countries. *Euro Surveill.* **24**, 1900123 (2019).
- Cai, Y. et al. High prevalence of metallo-β-lactamase-producing Enterobacter cloacae from three tertiary hospitals in China. Front. Microbiol. 10, 1610 (2019).
- 5. Logan, L. K. & Weinstein, R. A. The epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: The impact and evolution of a global menace. J. Infect. Dis. 215, S28–S36 (2017).
- McConville, T. H., Sullivan, S. B., Gomez-Simmonds, A., Whittier, S. & Uhlemann, A. C. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae colonization (CRE) and subsequent risk of infection and 90-day mortality in critically ill patients, an observational study. *PLoS* ONE 12, e0186195 (2017).
- Giacobbe, D. R. et al. Risk factors for bloodstream infections due to colistin-resistant KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae: Results from a multicenter case-control-control study. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 21, 1106.e1-1106.e8 (2015).
- Satlin, M. J., Jenkins, S. G. & Walsh, T. J. The global challenge of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in transplant recipients and patients with hematologic malignancies. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 58, 1274–1283 (2014).
- Jiang, Z.-D., Ke, S., Palazzini, E., Riopel, L. & Dupont, H. In vitro activity and fecal concentration of rifaximin after oral administration. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 44, 2205–2206 (2000).
- Lembo, A. et al. Repeat treatment with rifaximin is safe and effective in patients with diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. Gastroenterology 151, 1113–1121 (2016).
- 11. Scarpignato, C. & Pelosini, I. Rifaximin, a poorly absorbed antibiotic: Pharmacology and clinical potential. *Chemotherapy* **51**, 36–66 (2005).
- 12. Williams, R., James, O. F. W., Warnes, T. W. & Morgan, M. Y. Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of rifaximin in the treatment of hepatic encephalopathy: A double-blind, randomized, dose-finding multi-centre study. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **12**, 203–208 (2000).
- 13. Meyrat, P., Safroneeva, E. & Schoepfer, A. M. Rifaximin treatment for the irritable bowel syndrome with a positive lactulose hydrogen breath test improves symptoms for at least 3 months. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* **36**, 1084–1093 (2012).
- Menees, S. B., Maneerattannaporn, M., Kim, H. M. & Chey, W. D. The efficacy and safety of rifaximin for the irritable bowel syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 107, 28–35 (2012).

- 15. Pistiki, A. et al. In vitro activity of rifaximin against isolates from patients with small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. Int. J. Antimicrob. Ag 43, 236-241 (2014).
- Lopes, E. et al. Epidemiology of carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae in Northern Portugal: Predominance of KPC-2 and OXA-48. J. Glob. Antimicrob. Res. 22, 349–353 (2020).
- 17. Imhann, F. et al. Proton pump inhibitors affect the gut microbiome. Gut 65, 740-748 (2016).
- Castro-Mejía, J. L. et al. Gut microbiota recovery and immune response in ampicillin-treated mice. Res. Vet. Sci. 118, 357–364 (2018).
- Munoz-Price, L. S. et al. Clinical epidemiology of the global expansion of Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemases. Lancet Infect. Dis. 13, 785–796 (2013).
- Viau, R. et al. Intestinal carriage of carbapenemase-producing organisms: Current status of surveillance methods. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 29, 1–27 (2016).
- 21. Silvestri, L. & van Saene, H. K. F. Selective deconatmination of the digestive tract: An update of the evidence. *HSR Proc. Intensive Care Cardiovasc. Anesth.* **4**, 21–29 (2012).
- 22. Lambelet, P. *et al.* Oral gentamicin therapy for carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* gut colonization in hematologic patients: A single center experience. *New Micriobiol.* **40**, 161–164 (2017).
- Tascini, C. et al. Oral gentamicin gut decontamination for prevention of KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae infections: Relevance of concomitant systemic antibiotic therapy. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 58, 1972–1976 (2014).
- McConville, T. H., Sullivan, S. B., Gomez-Simmonds, A., Whittler, S. & Uhlermann, A. C. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae colonization (CRE) and subsequent risk of infection and 90-day mortality in critically ill patients, an observational study. *PLoS ONE* 12, e0186195 (2017).
- Atamna-Mawassi, H. *et al.* Interventions to reduce infections caused by multidrug resistant Enterobacteriaceae (MDR-E): A systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Infect. 83, 156–166 (2021).
- Huttner, B. *et al.* Decolonization of intestinal carriage of extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae with oral colistin and neomycin: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 68, 2375–2382 (2013).
- Huttner, B. D. *et al.* A 5-day course of oral antibiotics followed by faecal transplantation to eradicate carriage of multidrug-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*: A randomized clinical trial. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 25, 830–838 (2019).
- Machuca, I. et al. Oral decontamination with aminoglycosides is associated with lower risk of mortality and infections in high-risk patients colonized with colistin-resistant, KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 71, 2342–2349 (2016).
- 29. Rieg, S. *et al.* Intestinal decolonization of *Enterobacteriaceae* producing extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL): A retrospective observational study in patients at risk for infection and a brief review of the literature. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **15**, 475 (2015).
- 30. Venturini, C., Bowring, B., Fajardo-Lubian, A., Devine, C. & Iredell, J. Effects of antibiotic treatment with piperacillin/tazobactam versus ceftriaxone on the composition of the murine gut microbiota. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **65**, e01504-e1520 (2021).
- D'Agata, E. M. C., Gautam, S., Green, W. K. & Tang, Y. W. High rate of false-negative results of the rectal swab culture method in detection of gastrointestinal colonization with vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 34, 167–172 (2002).
- 32. Schoenfeld, P. *et al.* Safety and tolerability of rifaximin for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome without constipation: A pooled analysis of randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. *Aliment Pharmacol. Ther.* **39**, 1161–1168 (2014).
- Kimer, N. *et al.* Rifaximin has minor effects on bacterial composition, inflammation and bacterial translocation in cirrhosis: A randomized trial. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 33, 307–314 (2018).
- 34. Kogawa, A. C. & Salgado, H. R. N. Evaluation of dissolution of rifaximin and its importance. ECB 6, 359 (2017).
- 35. Betts, J. W., Phee, L. M. & Wareham, D. W. Rifaximin combined with polymyxins: A potential regimen for selective decontamination of multidrug-resistant bacteria in the digestive tract?. *J. Glob. Antimicrob. Resist.* **4**, 11–15 (2016).
- van der Zee, A. *et al.* Multi-centre evaluation of real-time multiplex PCR for detection of carbapenemase genes OXA-48, VIM, IMP, NDM and KPC. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 14, 27 (2014).
- European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. In Clinical break-points v. 10.0. (EUCAST, 2020) Accessed 02 Apr 2020.
- Cowan, A., Earnest, D. L., Ligozio, G. & Rojavin, M. A. Omeprazole-induced slowing of gastrointestinal transit in mice can be countered with tegaserod. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 517, 127–131 (2005).
- 39. Nakanishi, A., Burris, T. & Griffit, K. ISSN: Enhanced systemic absorption of hyaluronidase augmented subcutaneous administered ampicillin in a mouse model. *Emerg. Med.* **1**, 5 (2018).

Acknowledgements

The study was funded in part by Alfa Wasserman SpA (Alanno, Italy), in part by the Hellenic Institute for the Study of Sepsis, and in part by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme (Grant no. 676129).

Author contributions

E.X., G.R., M.K., D.E.I., K.S., and E.J.G.B. designed and performed experiments and analyzed the data. E.X., G.R., D.E.I., K.S. and L.S. performed animal experiments and colony assessment. E.X., G.R., G.D. and P.K. performed in vitro susceptibility experiments. G.D. conducted the PCR for resistance phenotype determination. E.X. and G.R. wrote the manuscript under the supervision of E.J.G.B. with all authors contributing to writing and providing feedback. E.J.G.B. conceived ideas and oversaw the research program.

Competing interests

E.J. Giamarellos-Bourboulis has received honoraria from Abbott CH, bioMérieux, Brahms GmbH, GSK, InflaRx GmbH, Sobi and XBiotech Inc; independent educational grants from Abbott CH, AxisShield, bioMérieux Inc, InflaRx GmbH, Johnson & Johnson, MSD, Sobi and XBiotech Inc.; and funding from the Horizon2020 Marie-Curie Project European Sepsis Academy (granted to the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens), and the Horizon 2020 European Grants ImmunoSep and RISCinCOVID (granted to the Hellenic Institute for the Study of Sepsis). Georgios Renieris is funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant European Sepsis Academy N° 676129. The other authors do not have any competing interest to declare.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.J.G.-B.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022