scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Panel of human cell lines with human/mouse artificial chromosomes

Narumi Uno^{1,2,3}, Shuta Takata¹, Shinya Komoto¹, Hitomaru Miyamoto¹, Yuji Nakayama⁴, Mitsuhiko Osaki^{2,5}, Ryota Mayuzumi³, Natsumi Miyazaki³, Chiaki Hando³, Satoshi Abe², Tetsushi Sakuma⁶, Takashi Yamamoto⁶, Teruhiko Suzuki⁷, Yoshihiro Nakajima⁸, Mitsuo Oshimura², Kazuma Tomizuka³ & Yasuhiro Kazuki^{1,2}

Human artificial chromosomes (HACs) and mouse artificial chromosomes (MACs) are non-integrating chromosomal gene delivery vectors for molecular biology research. Recently, microcell-mediated chromosome transfer (MMCT) of HACs/MACs has been achieved in various human cells that include human immortalised mesenchymal stem cells (hiMSCs) and human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs). However, the conventional strategy of gene introduction with HACs/MACs requires laborious and time-consuming stepwise isolation of clones for gene loading into HACs/MACs in donor cell lines (CHO and A9) and then transferring the HAC/MAC into cells via MMCT. To overcome these limitations and accelerate chromosome vector-based functional assays in human cells, we established various human cell lines (HEK293, HT1080, hiMSCs, and hiPSCs) with HACs/MACs that harbour a geneloading site via MMCT. Model genes, such as tdTomato, TagBFP2, and ELuc, were introduced into these preprepared HAC/MAC-introduced cell lines via the Cre-loxP system or simultaneous insertion of multiple gene-loading vectors. The model genes on the HACs/MACs were stably expressed and the HACs/MACs were stably maintained in the cell lines. Thus, our strategy using this HAC/MACcontaining cell line panel has dramatically simplified and accelerated gene introduction via HACs/ MACs.

Abbreviations

HAC	Human artificial chromosome
MAC	Mouse artificial chromosome
MMCT	Microcell-mediated chromosome transfer
hiMSC	Human immortalised mesenchymal stem cell
hiPSC	Human induced pluripotent stem cell
Tc	Trans-chromosomic
SIM	Simultaneous or sequential insertion of multiple genes-loading vectors
HPRT	Hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase
MI	Multiple integrase
CRISPR	Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats
Cas9	CRISPR-associated protein 9
MV	Measles virus

¹Division of Genome and Cellular Functions, Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Faculty of Medicine, School of Life Science, Tottori University, 86 Nishi-cho, Yonago, Tottori 683-8503, Japan. ²Chromosome Engineering Research Center, Tottori University, 86 Nishi-cho, Yonago, Tottori 683-8503, Japan. ³Laboratory of Bioengineering, Faculty of Life Sciences, Tokyo University of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, 1432-1 Horinouchi, Hachiohji, Tokyo 192-0392, Japan. ⁴Division of Radioisotope Science, Research Initiative Center, Organization for Research Initiative and Promotion, Tottori University, 86 Nishi-cho, Yonago, Tottori 683-8503, Japan. ⁵Division of Experimental Pathology, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Tottori University, Yonago, Tottori 683-8503, Japan. ⁶Division of Integrated Sciences for Life, Graduate School of Integrated Sciences for Life, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan. ⁷Stem Cell Project, Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Medical Science, Kamikitazawa, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 156-8506, Japan. ⁸Health Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Takamatsu, Kagawa 761-0395, Japan.[™]email: narumi@toyaku.ac.jp; kazuki@tottori-u.ac.jp



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of gene delivery via HACs/MACs. (**a**) Schematic diagram of the conventional gene-introducing strategy using HACs/MACs. In the conventional strategy, HACs/MACs for gene expression are constructed by transfection and insertion of the target gene(s) into the HAC/MAC in CHO or A9 cells that contain HACs/MACs as step 1. The constructed HACs/MACs are then introduced into target cells by MMCT as step 2. (**b**) Schematic diagram of the other gene-introducing strategy using the preprepared human cell panel with HACs/MACs. The HAC or MAC was transferred into representative human cell lines. The human cell line panel included HEK293 cells, HT1080 cells, human immortalised mesenchymal stem cells (hiMSCs), and human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs, 201B7). The human cell lines were directly available for gene loading into HACs/MACs with site-specific recombination. Simultaneous insertion of multiple gene-loading vectors into HEK293 cells, HT1080 cells, and hiPSCs (201B7) that contained HACs/MACs was tested by the SIM system. Each gene-loading vector of the SIM system contained Emerald luciferase (ELuc), a red fluorescent protein (tdTomato), or blue fluorescent protein (TagBFP2-N). hiMSCs that contained 21HAC2 were used to attempt insertion of three types of plasmid vectors that contained a red fluorescent protein (mCherry) driven by a different promoter with the Cre-loxP system. Each mCherry was expressed by a general constitutive promoter, e.g., PGK, CAG, or EF1α.

- ELuc Emerald luciferase
- FCM Flow cytometry
- FISH Fluorescence in situ hybridisation
- 6TG 6-Thioguanine
- PDL Population doubling level
- FBS Fetal bovine serum
- HAT Hypoxanthine-aminopterin-thymidine

Human artificial chromosomes (HACs) and mouse artificial chromosome (MACs) have unique characteristics as vectors for gene delivery, which include stable and independent maintenance without disruption of the host genome and the capacity to carry numerous genes and megabase-sized genomic loci with physiological regulatory elements¹⁻⁴. HAC/MAC technologies have been used for gene and cell therapies of Duchene muscular dystrophy⁵⁻¹⁰ and to generate trans-chromosomic (Tc) animals that include a mouse model of Down syndrome^{11,12} and humanised drug metabolism¹³⁻¹⁸. Furthermore, several types of HACs have been used in cancer research and drug development for cancer therapy¹⁹⁻²¹, centromere and telomere function elucidation²², a system of quantitatively tracking epigenetic memory in the field of synthetic biology²³, and protein production²⁴. To accelerate the gene loading of multiple genes into HACs/MACs, we have developed several systems for multiple gene insertions, such as the simultaneous or sequential integration of multiple gene-loading vectors (SIM) system^{25,26} via hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT)-deficient cells and drug screening with HAT, a multi-integrase (MI) system 2^{7-30} , and homologous recombination with clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated protein 9 (Cas9)³¹. HACs/MACs are transferrable into desired cells by microcell-mediated chromosome transfer (MMCT)³². Although MMCT traditionally employs polyethylene glycol³³, we developed a novel microcell membrane fusion method with the envelope proteins of measles virus (MV)^{34,35}, amphotropic virus, and ecotropic virus³⁶, which improved the transfer efficiency $(1 \times 10^{-4} - 1 \times 10^{-5})$. However, specialised equipment and a laborious and time-consuming process are required for MMCT of HACs/MACs. This is because, in accordance with each experimental purpose, HACs/MACs with desired genes are constructed in CHO and A9 cells, and individually transferred to a target cell line via MMCT, and then clones are isolated, which contain the desired HACs/MACs (Fig. 1a). Therefore, we have previously employed mouse embryonic stem cells that contain a MAC with the MI system to facilitate the generation of Tc mice³⁷. Under such circumstances, preprepared human cell lines that contain HACs/MACs will be a useful

Cell line	Component	EGFP	Drug resistance
	21HAC1	Absent	HygR, PuroR, HATS, and GancS
HEK203	21HAC2	Present	HygR, PuroR, BSR, HATS, and GancS
IIEK295	MAC2	Absent	HygR, PuroR, and HATS
	MAC4	Present	HygR, PuroR, and HATS
UT1090	21HAC2	Present	HygR, PuroR, BSR, HATS, and GancS
1111000	MAC4	Present	HygR, PuroR, and HATS
hiMSC	21HAC2	Present	HygR, PuroR, BSR, HATS, and GancS
hiPSC	MAC6 (ΔNeoR)	Present	PuroR and HATS

Table 1. List of human cell lines that contained HACs/MACs. Cell lines, HACs/MACs, EGFP marker, and drug resistance are summarised. Regarding drug resistance, R indicates cells resistant to antibiotics, i.e., *Hyg* hygromycin, *Puro* puromycin, *BS* blasticidin S, and S indicates that cells are selectable by drugs, i.e., *HAT* hypoxanthine-aminopterin-thymidine medium and *Ganc* ganciclovir.

.....

platform for simple and stable gene expression. To increase HAC/MAC applicability, we prepared HPRT-deficient human cell lines that contained HACs/MACs, which are applicable to gene loading by SIM and Cre-loxP systems in this study. The detailed structures of each HAC/MAC are shown in Supplementary Fig. S1. Hence, we report the generation of a human cell line panel for simple gene loading of genes of interest (GOI) using HACs/MACs (Fig. 1b). As representative human cell lines, we used HEK293, which is an immortalised human cell line, and HT1080, which is a cancer cell line, as well as a human immortalised mesenchymal stem cell (hiMSC) line^{38,39} and human induced pluripotent stem cell (hiPSC; 201B7) line⁴⁰. We further attempted to adapt the Cre-loxP system (Supplementary Fig. S2a) and SIM system for multiple gene loadings (Supplementary Fig. S2b) in the generated human cell lines with HACs/MACs.

Results and discussion

Establishment of HAC/MAC-retaining human cell lines. Five types of mammalian artificial chromosomes, which included HACs and MACs, were used in this study (Supplementary Fig. S1). HACs were derived from human chromosome 21, such as 21HAC1 without EGFP and 21HAC2 with EGFP⁴¹, and MACs were derived from mouse chromosome 11, such as MAC2 without EGFP, MAC4 with EGFP^{37,42,43}, and MAC6 with EGFP. These HACs/MACs had a loxP site and partial HPRT gene as an acceptor site for the SIM system, which enabled simultaneous insertion of three circular plasmids. However, conventional gene introduction via HACs/ MACs requires transfer of the HACs/MACs with desired gene(s) by MMCT into target cells and there is a major technical difficulty. In this study, we established a human somatic/stem cell line panel (HEK293 cell, HT1080 cell, hiMSC, and hiPSC lines) that contained HACs/MACs (Fig. 1) (Table 1).

Then, plasmid vector(s) with a GOI were inserted into the HAC/MAC via the SIM system for HEK293 cells, HT1080 cells, and hiPSCs or the Cre-loxP system for hiMSCs. Fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) analyses revealed that a single additional HAC or MAC was maintained independently from the host chromosome in each somatic/stem cell line. Specifically, HEK293 cells contained 21HAC1 (Fig. 2a), 21HAC2 (Fig. 2b), MAC2 (Fig. 2c), or MAC4 (Fig. 2d), HT1080 cells contained 21HAC2 (Fig. 2e) or MAC4 (Fig. 2f), hiMSCs contained 21HAC2 (Fig. 2g), and hiPSCs (201B7) contained MAC6 (Fig. 2h). A summary of the cell line panel is described in Table 1. Regarding hiPSCs (201B7) with MAC6, the Neo resistance gene on MAC6 was disrupted (MAC6- Δ NeoR) for further gene insertion and drug selection. Although promoters for overexpression of transgenes often undergo gene silencing in human pluripotent stem cells⁴⁴, HACs/MACs maintained the desired gene expression level in long-term cell culture, which differed from gene transduction with plasmid DNA via random insertion. Therefore, the insertion of the NeoR gene driven by the PGK promoter on MAC6 would be applicable to obtain a clone with an inserted circular plasmid vector for drug selection of hiPSCs. Thus, the NeoR gene on MAC6 in hiPSCs was knocked out to establish 201B7/MAC6- Δ NeoR cells (Supplementary Fig. S1e).

Demonstration of gene loading with three vectors by simultaneous introduction using the SIM system into HACs/MACs in HEK293 and HT1080 cells. We demonstrated that somatic/stem cell lines that contained HACs/MACs accepted three plasmid vectors by simultaneous introduction using the SIM system (Supplementary Fig. S2b). As model genes for demonstration, a luminescent protein, Emerald luciferase (ELuc), and two fluorescent proteins, tdTomato and TagBFP2-N, were selected (hereafter collectively called EITB). Specifically, we evaluated HEK293 cells that contained 21HAC1, 21HAC2, MAC2, or MAC4, HT1080 cells that contained 21HAC2 or MAC4, and hiPSCs that contained MAC6- Δ NeoR by introducing the three vectors with each model gene using the SIM system. Numerous drug-resistant clones were observed and an arbitrary number of clones were analysed by PCR to detect the correct insertion of the plasmid into the HAC/MAC. A summary of the PCR analysis is shown in Supplementary Table S1. The obtained drug-resistant clones were analysed by fluorescence microscopy, flow cytometry (FCM), and luciferase activity assays. These assays showed fluorescent proteins and luciferase expression in each cell line of representative HEK293/MAC4-EITB cells are shown in Fig. 3a,b,e, HEK293/MAC4-EITB cells are shown in Fig. 3a,b,e, HEK293/MAC4-EITB cells are shown in Fig. 3h-j. Representative results of the expression frequency of the introduced fluorescent protein gene and



Figure 2. Representative images of FISH analyses of HEK293 cells, HT1080 cells, hiMSCs, and iPSCs that contained each HAC/MAC. (a) HEK293 cells that contained 21HAC1. Red: alpha satellite probe (p11-4) staining the centromere of Chr.13, 21, 21HAC1. (b) HEK293 cells that contained 21HAC2. Red: alpha satellite probe (p11-4) staining the centromere of Chr.13, 21 and 21HAC2; green: pCX-EGFP. (c) HEK293 cells that contained MAC2. Red: mouse cot-1 staining MAC2. (d) HEK293 cells that contained MAC4. Red: mouse cot-1 staining MAC4. (e) HT1080 cells that contained 21HAC2. Red: alpha satellite probe (p11-4) staining the centromere of Chr.13, 21, 21HAC1. (f) HT1080 cells that contained MAC4. Red: mouse cot-1 staining MAC4. (g) hiMSCs that contained 21HAC2. Red: alpha satellite probe (p11-4) staining MAC4. (g) hiMSCs (201B7) that contained MAC6. Red; mouse cot-1 staining MAC6. Inset: enlarged images of each HAC or MAC.

luciferase gene expression level were similar for each parental clone used for gene transfer. Therefore, to further expand the cell line panel, clones that show excellent gene expression should be selected. FISH analyses showed stable maintenance of HACs/MACs that contained the transgenes independently from host chromosomes. Fluorescence imaging of HEK293/21HAC1-EITB and HEK293/MAC2-EITB cells also showed expression of tdTomato and TagBFP2-N (Supplementary Fig. S3a,b). FISH analyses were also performed in HEK293/21HAC1 and HEK293/MAC2 cells that contained the vectors using the ELuc plasmid probe. The results showed that one HAC/MAC was maintained in each cell and that the transfected plasmid was inserted into the HAC/MAC as expected (Supplementary Fig. S3c,d). These results showed that multiple gene loadings into the HAC/MAC via the SIM system was achieved in our established human cell line panel (Fig. 2a–f), which enables seamless application of our HAC/MAC technology for gene function assays in human cells in the future.

Characterisation of hiMSCs that contain HAC and demonstration of gene loading by the Cre-loxP system. hiMSCs with a transferred 21HAC2 (Fig. 2g), which were clones A03 and D11, stably expressed EGFP (Fig. 4a). Various MSC markers were also analysed by RT-PCR in these clones (Fig. 4b). Expression level of CXCL1 and IL8 is higher in resultant clones than in parental hiMSCs. CD90 is a typical MSC marker and the others including CXCL1 and IL8 are linked to therapeutic functionality. For the recipient hiMSC cell lines employed for HAC introduction, 6-thioguanine (6TG)-resistant clones (#3 and #6) were used to obtain HAT-resistant cell lines by HPRT gene reconstruction. The 21HAC2-carrying cell lines (A03 and D11) showed comparable or higher expression levels of the various MSC markers compared with the original hiMSC cell line. These results indicated that the hiMSC clones that contained 21HAC2 maintained the characteristics of MSCs (Fig. 4b). To evaluate the HAC retention ratio after long-term cell culture with or without an antibiotic (blasticidin; Bsd), FISH analysis was performed and results showed stable maintenance of the HAC at population doubling levels (PDLs) of 24 and 39, even without Bsd (Fig. 4c). These results indicated that the two hiMSC clones that contained 21HAC2 (hiMSC/21HAC2 A03 and D11) could be used as a platform for gene loading³⁸. We validated whether 21HAC2 functioned as a safe harbour for gene expression in these established clones. As an example of functional analysis, we attempted to evaluate the expression level of the transgene promoted by three types of constitutive promoters (PGK, EF1a, and CAG) on 21HAC2 in hiMSCs with a defined (single in

this study) copy number. Among the drug-resistant clones obtained by transfection with a plasmid that carried each promoter, 10 clones were picked up in order of the fluorescence intensity of mCherry under a fluorescence microscope and used for subsequent analysis to measure each promoter activity. Fluorescence imaging of mCherry-expressing cells with each promoter indicated that CAG and EF1 α promoter activities were higher than the PGK promoter activity (Fig. 4d). qRT-PCR of the mRNA expression level of mCherry also indicated that CAG and EF1 promoter activities were higher at 22.4-fold (CAG) and 40.5-fold (EF1 α) compared with the PGK promoter activity (n = 10) (*P* < 0.01) (Fig. 4e). There was no significant difference between the activities of CAG and EF1 α promoters. These results supported a previous study that compared promoter activity in MSCs with a viral vector system for gene expression⁴⁵. Because the Cre-loxP system had the same adaptor as the SIM system in 21HAC2, the SIM system would be applicable to hiMSCs/21HAC2. These results showed that hiMSCs that contained 21HAC2 were applicable to gene loading and functional analyses.

Characterisation of hiPSCs that contained MAC and demonstration of gene loading by the **SIM system.** hiPSCs (201B7) that contained MAC6-ΔNeoR expressed EGFP (Fig. 5a). We performed longterm cell culture without an antibiotic (G418) and quinacrine-Hoechst karyotyping to evaluate the HAC retention ratio. Karyotyping of hiPSCs showed an ideal karyotype that included a MAC, 47, XX, +MAC (Fig. 5b, left panel). The long-term culture of hiPSCs revealed that the karyotype and MAC were stable at a PDL of 20 (Fig. 5b, right panel). Next, we attempted gene loading with the SIM system into 201B7/MAC6-ΔNeoR cells. The obtained hiPSC clone [(201B7)/MAC6-ΔNeoR-EITB] expressed tdTomato, TagBFP2-N, and EGFP (Fig. 5c). FISH analysis showed that the transgenes were integrated into the MAC and that the MAC was independently maintained in the hiPSCs (Fig. 5d). The expression level of each fluorescent marker and ELuc in 201B7/MAC6-ΔNeoR-EITB cells was evaluated by FCM analysis and luciferase assays, respectively (Fig. 5e). Expression of all introduced genes was detectable among the representative two clones. Furthermore, $201B7/MAC6-\Delta NeoR$ -EITB cells showed a normal karyotype and stable maintenance of the MAC as well as $201B7/MAC6-\Delta NeoR$. In vitro, the expression of pluripotency markers was verified. The iPS clones 201B7/MAC6-ΔNeoR-EITB showed activity of alkaline phosphatase and expression of OCT 3/4 as well as 201B7 (Fig. 5f,g). Quantitative RT-PCR analysis confirmed expression of OCT 3/4 in each clone (Fig. 5h). To verify the pluripotency of these iPSC lines, we tested their ability to differentiate into all three germ layers in vivo using the teratoma method. 201B7/ MAC6- Δ NeoR and 201B7/MAC6- Δ NeoR-EITB cells showed maintenance of pluripotency to differentiate into the three germ layers after gene loading and cloning (Fig. 5i,j). These results showed that 201B7/MAC6-ΔNeoR cells were applicable to gene loading with MAC technology.

Conclusion

We generated a novel human cell line panel with HEK293 cell, HT1080 cell, hiMSC, and hiPSC (201B7) lines that contained HACs/MACs, which enabled rapid and precise insertion of GOIs at a defined site on HACs/MACs by a simple transfection method. The GOIs were stably expressed in each cell line, which indicated that the integration site can act as a "safe harbour" to support transgene expression. Thus, our new preprepared cell panel with HACs/MACs may dramatically simplify the construction of HACs/MACs with desired genes and constructed HACs/MACs can be used immediately and directly for functional analyses of genes in desired cell lines.

Material and methods

Ethics statement. This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Tottori University (Permit numbers: 20-Y-14, 17-Y-27, and 16-Y-19) and the Recombinant DNA Experiment Safety Committee of Tottori University to perform recombinant DNA experiments. All experiments were carried out in compliance with the ARRIVE guidelines. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Cell culture. CHO cells derived from a HPRT-deficient cell line (JCRB0218) (NIBIOHN, Osaka, Japan), which contained 21HAC1⁴¹, MAC2⁴³, or MAC4⁴⁶, were cultured in Ham's F-12 medium (FUJIFILM Wako, Osaka, Japan) with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin (FUJIFILM Wako), and 800 µg/mL hygromycin B (FUJIFILM Wako). CHO cells that contained 21HAC2 were cultured in Ham's F-12 medium with blasticidin S (FUJIFILM Wako). HEK293 cells were purchased from the ATCC (ATCC[®] CRL-1573[™]) and cultured in Eagle's minimum essential medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Biowest, Vieux Bourg, Nuaillé, France), 1% non-essential amino acids (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (FUJIFILM Wako). HT1080 cells⁴⁷ obtained from the ATCC (ATCC^{*} CCL-121[™]) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (FUJIFILM Wako) with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/ streptomycin. The human immortalised mesenchymal stem cell (hiMSC)³⁹ line was kindly provided by Dr. J. Toguchida and was cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (FUJIFILM Wako) with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. HEK293 clones that contained each HAC/MAC were selected with 200 µg/mL hygromycin B (FUJIFILM Wako). HT1080 cell and hiMSC clones that contained HAC2 were selected with 8 and 4 µg/ mL blasticidin S, respectively. HT1080 clones that contained MAC2 were selected with 200 µg/mL hygromycin B. For drug selection after transfection using the SIM system, HACs/MACs expressed the HPRT gene for hypoxanthine-aminopterin-thymidine (HAT) resistance following gene insertion. HEK293 and HT1080 clones were selected after transfection in HAT medium (Sigma-Aldrich). hiPSC cell line 201B7 (HPS0063) was provided by the RIKEN BRC and cultured in StemFit AK02N (Takara Bio, Kusatsu, Japan) with Laminin-511 (Nippi, Adachiku, Japan). hiPSCs that contained MAC6 with a neomycin resistance gene were selected with 90 µg/mL G418.







1

#5

MAC4

0

#05

HAC2

#16

#2

HT1080

Figure 3. Analyses of HEK293 and HT1080 cells that contained HACs/MACs that harboured tdTomato, BFP, and ELuc via the SIM system. (a) Representative images of fluorescent protein expression in HEK293 cells that contained 21HAC2 inserted with tdTomato, BFP, and ELuc via the SIM system. Brightfield (top, left), tdTomato (Bottom, left), EGFP (top, right), and BFP (bottom, right). Bar = 200 µm. (b) Representative image of FISH analysis of HEK293 cells that contained 21HAC2 and the three plasmids. Red: alpha satellite probe (p11-4) staining the centromere of Chr.13, 21 and 21HAC2; green: pBG2-V0b-ins-ELuc-ins. (c) Representative images of fluorescent protein expression in HEK293 cells that contained MAC4 inserted with tdTomato, BFP, and ELuc via the SIM system. Bar = $200 \,\mu\text{m}$. (d) Representative image of FISH analysis of HEK293 cells that contained 21HAC2 and the three plasmids. Green: pBG2-V0b-ins-ELuc-ins. (e) Two Y-axis graph showing the results of FCM analysis with 10,000 cells and luciferase assays of two clones of HEK293 cells that contained 21HAC1, 21HAC2, MAC2, and MAC4 inserted with the three plasmids. Left Y-axis shows the ratio of cells expressing each fluorescent protein in FCM analysis. Right Y-axis shows the photon counts (counts/second) in luciferase assays. Green, red, and blue bars show the ratio of cells expressing each fluorescent protein, and the yellow bar shows the photon counts of the luciferase assay of the clone. Two representative clones are shown for each experiment. (f) Representative images of fluorescent protein expression in HT1080 cells that contained 21HAC2 inserted with tdTomato, BFP, and ELuc via the SIM system. Bar = 200 µm. (g) Representative image of FISH analysis of HT1080 cells that contained 21HAC2 and the three plasmids. Red: alpha satellite probe (p11-4) staining the centromere of Chr.13, 21 and 21HAC2; green: pBG2-V0b-ins-ELuc-ins. (h) Representative images of fluorescent protein expression in HT1080 cells that contained MAC4 inserted with tdTomato, BFP, and ELuc via the SIM system. Bar = 200 µm. (i) Representative image of FISH analysis of HT1080 cells that contained MAC4 and the three plasmids. Red: mouse Cot-1 staining MAC4; green: pBG2-V0b-ins-ELuc-ins. (j) Two Y-axis graph showing the results of FCM analysis and luciferase assays of two clones of HT1080 cells that contained 21HAC2 and MAC4 inserted with the three plasmids. Two representative clones are shown for each experiment.

Microcell-mediated chromosome transfer. To prepare microcells that contained 21HAC1, MAC2, or MAC4, 1×10^7 chromosome donor CHO cells that contained each artificial chromosome were cotransfected with 12 µg pTNH6-H-aCD9 for HEK293 cells and hiPSCs or pTNH6-H for HT1080 cells and 12 µg pCAG-T7-F for each recipient cell line by Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions^{26,34}. CHO 4H6.1 M cells stably expressed MV-H and F, which provided microcells that contained 21HAC2 as reported previously³⁵. Twelve flasks of CHO cells were prepared and micronuclei were induced by treatment with 0.1 µg/mL colcemid. The detailed MMCT protocol has been described previously²⁶. The collected microcells were cocultured and fused with 2×10^6 cells of each recipient cell line for 24 h in a 6-cm dish (Corning, Corning, NY, USA). Then, the fused recipient cells were subcultured into three 10-cm dishes. Drug selection was started with optimal selectable antibiotics after a further 24 h of incubation. After 14–21 days, drug-resistant colonies were picked up and expanded for the following analyses.

Plasmid construction. To construct pBG-V0b1-ins-ELuc-ins, an EcoRV-digested fragment, which included an ELuc expression unit from CAG-ELuc, was ligated into pBG-V0b1 linearised with EcoRV. To construct pBG2-V1a-ins-tdtmt-ins and pBG2-V2a-ins-BFP-ins, each fragment of pCMV-tdTomato (Takara Bio) and pTagBFP2-N (Evrogen) was amplified by PCR with the following primers, F: 5'- GAACCTGCACTAGCC ATCATGTTCTTTCCTGCGTTAT -3', R: 5'- AAAAACGCGTGTCGATCCTGCACTAGCCATTTAAGATAC ATTGATGAGTT -3'. Then, each PCR product was digested with AfIII and ligated to a fragment of pinsB4ins prepared by HincII and AfIII digestion. Then, fragments from pinsB4ins that contained tdTomato or TagBFP2-N were prepared by EcoRI digestion and ligated into pBG2-V1a for pBG2-V1a-ins-tdtmt-ins and pBG2-V2a for TagBFP2-N via MluI sites in each vector. To construct GLV2-EF1a-tdTomato, tDNA-pEF1a-BGHpA, a synthesised DNA, was digested by EcoRI and ligated in an annealed doubled-stranded palindrome oligo DNA, 5'- AATTCTGACTGTCTAGACAGTCA -3', which included an XbaI site. Then, tDNA-pEF1a-BGHpA-XbaI was digested by XbaI and ligated to a fragment of pCMV-tdTomato digested by NheI and AvrII. tDNA-pEF1atdTomato was digested by AscI and NheI, and ligated to a PCR product amplified by PCR from pBG2-Vla using the following primers: BxbI-PhiC31_CL_F: 5'- CGCATGGCGCGCGCGCGTGGCCGTGGCCGTGCTCGTC -3' and BxbI-PhiC31_CL_R: 5'- CTAGTCCTAGGGACCCTACGCCCCCAACTGA -3' and by digestion with AscI and NheI. To construct GLV3-NeoR-pEF1a-BFP, tDNA-EF1a-BGHpA, a synthesised DNA was digested by EcoRI and ligated to a PCR product amplified from pTagBFP2-N by primers BFP_cl_EcoRI_F: 5'- CGAGTCGAA TTCGCCACCATGGTGTCTAAGGGCGAAGAGCTGA -3' and BFP_cl_EcoRI_R: 5'- TGTAACGAATTC CTATTAAGTTTGTGCCCCAGTTTGC -3', and digested by EcoRI. Furthermore, tDNA-EF1a-BFP was digested by AscI and NheI, and ligated to a PCR product amplified from pBG2-V2a by primers PhiC31 attB 3'HPRT Asc1 F: 5'- CGCATGGCGCGCCGATGTAGGTCACGGTCTCGAAG -3' and PhiC31 attB 3'HPRT cl R: 5'- CTAGTCCTAGGAGGCTGGTTCTTTCCGCCT -3', and digested by AscI and AvrII (GLV3-pEF1a-BFP). Then, GLV3-pEF1a-BFP was digested by AscI and Hind III, and ligated to three DNA fragments amplified by PCR from GLV3-BFP with primers AscI-PhiC31 attB-AvrII F: 5'- GGCCGCATGGCGCGCGATG -3' and AscI-PhiC31 attB-AvrII R: 5'- AAAACCTAGGTCATCATGATGGACCAGATG -3', pBG2-V1b1 with primers AvrII-NeoR-AgeI F: 5'- AAAACCTAGGGCGGCCGCCGTGACCTGCAC -3' and AvrII-NeoR-AgeI R: 5'- AAA AACCGGTCCCCAGCTGGTTCTTTCCGC -3', and an annealed double-stranded oligomer DNA with primers AgeI-HindIII oligo F: 5'- AGCTTGATTTCGGCCTATTGA -3' and AgeI-HindIII oligo R: 5'- CCGGTC AATAGGCCGAAATCA -3'. The mCherry expression vector with a Cre-loxP system was a modified X3.1-I-EGFP-I vector⁴⁸. X3.1-I-EGFP-I that contained the Cre-loxP system was digested with NcoI and SpeI, which



Figure 4. Characterisation of human immortalised mesenchymal stem cells (hiMSCs) that contained 21HAC2 and hiMSC/21HAC2 with the mCherry expression vector introduced by the Cre-loxP system. (**a**) Representative images of fluorescent protein expression in hiMSCs that contained 21HAC2. The left panel shows phase contrast and the right panel shows EGFP expression. Bar = 100 μ m. (**b**) RT-PCR analysis of various MSC markers in hiMSCs that contained 21HAC2. The original gel images of the RT-PCR are provided in Supplementary Fig. S4. (**c**) Stability of 21HAC in each hiMSC clone (A03 and D11) after long-term cell culture with or without blasticidin S (BS). The measurement was performed at PDLs of 24 and 39. Bright blue bars show the retention ratio of 21HAC2 at PDL 24. Dark blue bars show the retention ratio at PDL 39 (**d**) Representative images of fluorescent protein expression in hiMSCs that contained 21HAC2. The left panel shows mCherry fluorescence under the control of the PGK promoter, the middle panel shows that under the control of the CAG promoter, and the right panel shows that under the control of PGK, CAG, and EF1 α promoters (n = 10) (**P*<0.01). *P*-values were calculated by the Student's *t*-test.

removed EGFP. The HS4 insulator on X3.1-I-EGFP-I was amplified with primers 5'- ATCCATGGATCGACT CTAGAGGGACAGCC -3' and 5'- ATAACTAGTCGACGCGGCCGCCTCACTGACTCCGTCCTGGA -3', and ligated using NcoI and SpeI sites. mCherry expression vectors with three types of constitutive promoters (PGK, EF1a, and CAG) were purchased from VectorBuilder (Chicago, IL, USA). The vector information is available from the database of VectorBuilder with the following vector IDs: pRP-[Exp]-hPGK > mCherry), pRP-[Exp]-EF1A > mCherry, and pRP-[Exp]-CAG > mCherry. These mCherry expression cassettes were prepared by NotI digestion of the mCherry expression vector. Then, each mCherry expression cassette was inserted into the modified X3.1 without EGFP.

Transfection and gene loading into each HAC/MAC by Cre-loxP and SIM systems. HEK293 and HT1080 cells that contained HACs/MACs were prepared at 5×10^6 cells per 10-cm dish. HEK293 and HT1080 cells were transfected using a previously described method²⁵. Transfected plasmids were 3.5 µg pBG2-V0b-ins-ELuc-ins, 7 µg pBG2-V1a-ins-tdTomato-ins, 10.5 µg pBG2-V2a-ins-BFP-ins, 3 µg pBS185 (pCMV-Cre), 3 µg pCMV-Bxb1 integrase, and 3 µg pCMV-PhiC31 integrase. These plasmids were mixed and transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer's instructions. Then, the transfected cells were selected in 2% HAT medium. hiPSCs that contained HACs/MACs were prepared at 2×10^6 cells per 10-cm dish.



Figure 5. Characterisation of hiPSCs (201B7) that contained MAC6-ΔNeoR and 201B7/MAC6-ΔNeoR inserted with the three vectors by the SIM system. (a) Representative images of fluorescent protein expression in 201B7/MAC6-ΔNeoR cells. The left panel shows phase contrast and the right panel shows EGFP expression. Bar = 200 μm. (b) Karyotypes of hiPSCs (201B7)/MAC6-ΔNeoR during establishment (left, PDL 0) and in longterm cell culture (right, PDL 20). (c) Representative images of fluorescent protein expression in 201B7/MAC6- Δ NeoR cells inserted with tdTomato, BFP, and ELuc via the SIM system. Bar = 200 μ m. (d) Representative image of FISH analysis of 201B7/MAC6-ΔNeoR cells with the three plasmids. Red: mouse cot-1 staining MAC4; green: pBG2-V0b-ins-ELuc-ins. (e) Two Y-axis graph showing the results of FCM analysis and luciferase assays of two clones of $201B7/MAC6-\Delta NeoR$ cells inserted with the three plasmids. (f) Representative images of alkaline phosphatase staining of 201B7 and 201B7/MAC6- Δ NeoR-ElTB#2 cells. Bar = 50 µm. Alkaline phosphatase activity was detected by deposition of purple pigment. (g) Representative image of immunofluorescence staining for OCT3/4 in 201B7 and 201B7/MAC6- Δ NeoR cells. Bar = 50 μ m. (h) Relative mRNA expression levels of OCT 3/4 in each cell line or clone. (n = 3). N.D. means not detected. (i) Teratoma formation analysis of 201B7/ MAC6- Δ NeoR cells. Left, middle, and right panels show ectodermal, mesodermal, and endodermal tissues, respectively. (j) Teratoma formation analysis of 201B7/MAC6-ΔNeoR-ElTB#2. Left, middle, and right panels show ectodermal, mesodermal, and endodermal tissues, respectively.

.....

The introduced plasmids were 3.5 µg pBG2-V0b1-ins-ELuc-ins, 7 µg GLV2-tdTomato, 10.5 µg GLV3-NeoR-BFP, 3 µg pEF1a-Cre, 3 µg pCAG-Bxb1 integrase, and 3 µg pCAG-PhiC31 integrase. The mixture of plasmids was introduced into hiPSCs by a NEPA21 electroporator (NEPAGENE, Ichikawa, Japan) with the following conditions: pouring pulse, 135 or 175 V; pulse length, 2.5 milliseconds; pulse interval 50 ms; number of pulses, 2; decay rate, 10% and polarity+, and then transfer pulse, 20 V; pulse length, 50 milliseconds; pulse interval, 50 milliseconds, number of pulses, 2, decay rate, 40% and polarity, +/-. Then, the electroporated cells were expanded in the 10-cm dish and 90 µg/mL G418 was added to the culture medium at 48 h after electroporation. We also used another method of electroporation by a Nucleofector 4D (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland). The introduced plasmids were 3.5 µg pBG2-V0b-ins-ELuc-ins, 7 µg GLV2-tdTomato, 10.5 µg GLV3-NeoR-BFP, 3 µg pEF1a-Cre, 3 µg pEF1a-Bxb1 integrase, and 3 µg pEF1a-PhiC31 integrase. A total of 1 × 106 hiPSCs and plasmids were mixed with P3 Primary Cell 4D-Nucleofector™ X Kit L (Lonza), following the manufacturer's instructions, and pulsed with the CA-137 program. Eight micrograms of X3.1 that contained mCherry with each constitutive promoter and 2 µg of a Cre expression vector (pBS185) were mixed and introduced into hiMSCs by the NEPA21 electroporator with the following conditions: pouring pulse, 175 V; pulse length, 2.5 millisconds; pulse interval, 50 milliseconds; the number of pulses, 2; decay rate, 10% and polarity+, and then transfer pulse, 20 V; pulse length, 50 milliseconds, pulse interval, 50 milliseconds, number of pulses, 2; decay rate 40% and polarity+/-. Then, hiMSCs were selected in 2% HAT medium. pBS185 CMV-Cre was a gift from Brian Sauer (Addgene plasmid # 11,916; http://n2t.net/addgene:11916; RRID: Addgene_11916)⁴⁹.

Gene knockout by CRISPR/Cas9. Gene knockout of HPRT1 was performed in HEK293 cells, hiMSCs, and hiPSCs with the multiplex CRISPR/FokI-dCas9 vector system^{50,51}. The multiplex CRISPR/FokI-dCas9 vector system targeted six sequences for knockout of the HPRT1 gene: HPRT T1: 5'- TAACGGAGCCGGCCG GCGCGCGG -3', HPRT T2: 5'- TGGCGTCGTGGTGAGCAGCTCGG -3', HPRT T3: 5'- AAATCCTCAGCA TAATGATTAGG -3', HPRT T4: 5'- CTCATGGACTAATTATGGACAGG -3', HPRT T5: 5'- CACAGAGGG CTACAATGTGATGG -3' and HPRT T6: 5'- TAAATTCTTTGCTGACCTGCTGG -3'. The vector system was transfected into HEK293 cells and then HPRT knockout cells were screened by 100 μ M 6TG treatment. HT1080 clones with spontaneous mutation of the HPRT1 gene were selected by 6TG treatment. Gene knockout of the neomycin resistance gene was performed with CRISPR/Cas9 targeting 5'- AGGCTATTCGGCTATGACTGGG -3'²⁷.

PCR analysis. PCR analyses were performed with KOD Fx (TOYOBO, Osaka, Japan), following the manufacturer's instructions. The primers to detect gene insertion in HACs/MACs via the SIM system were HPRT junc sp F 5'- CGGCTTCCTCCTCCTGAACAA -3' and HPRT junc sp R 5'- TCCATAAGACAGAATGCTATGCAA CC -3' for HPRT EX1-2 and HPRT EX3-9 reconstitution in HEK293, HT1080 and hiMSCs, and TRANS L1 5'- TGGAGGCCATAAACAAGAAGAC -3' and SIM Neo Rv 5'- CGCCTTGAGCCTGGCGAACA -3' for HPRT EX1-2 and Neo cDNA reconstitution in human iPSCs.

FISH analysis. Cells were treated with colcemid to induce metaphase arrest, treated with 0.075 M KCl, and then fixed with methanol/acetate (3:1) (FUJIFILM Wako). FISH was performed with the p11-4 alpha satellite probe⁵² to stain the alpha satellite of hChr.13, 21 and HAC, and mouse Cot-1 DNA to stain the MAC. The probes were labelled with digoxigenin (Roche, Basel, Schweiz) and the inserted plasmid vector targeted to the chromosome fragment was labelled with biotin (Roche). The DNA probes were labelled with a nick translation kit (Roche), following the manufacturer's instructions. The detailed protocol has been described previously²⁶.

Teratoma formation and histological analysis. Mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions with a 12-h light–dark cycle. Human iPSCs (1×10^6) were subcutaneously transplanted into a testis of anaesthetised severe combined immunodeficiency mice (Charles River, Yokohama, Japan). A mixed anaesthetic agent prepared with 0.3 mg/kg medetomidine hydrochloride, 4 mg/kg midazolam, and 5 mg/kg butorphanol tartrate was administered intraperitoneally to the mice. Teratomas appeared after ~8 weeks. The anaesthetised mice were sacrificed and the teratomas were collected. Then, the teratomas were fixed with 20% neutral formalin/PBS and processed for paraffin sectioning. The sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin.

FCM analysis. To evaluate the ratio of cells that expressed fluorescent proteins, the cells were analysed by FCM using a BD LSRFortessa X-20 flow cytometer (Beckton Dickinson) equipped with 488, 405, and 561 nm lasers to detect EGFP, BFP2, and tdTomato, respectively. The ratio of fluorescence-positive cells of each fluorescent protein was determined by measuring 10,000 cells in each experiment.

Luciferase assay. The assay was performed with 6×10^4 cells in each well of a 96-well plate. Luciferase activity was measured with Emerald Luc Luciferase Assay Reagent Neo (TOYOBO), following the manufacturer's instructions. Bioluminescence was measured for 1 s by an EnVision (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA)²⁶.

RT-PCR. Total RNA was isolated with a Nucleospin RNA plus kit (Takara Bio), following the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was synthesised with a PrimeScript[™] II 1st strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara Bio), following the manufacturer's instructions. RT-PCR analyses were performed with KOD one, cDNA, and the following primer sets: CXCL1 F 5'- TGTGAAGGCAGGGGAATGTA -3' and R 5'- GCCCCTTTGTTCTAAGCCAG -3', CD90 F 5'- ATGAACCTGGCCATCAGCA -3' and R 5'- GTGTGCTCAGGCACCCC -3', IL-8 F 5'- ACCGGA

AGGAACCATCTCAC -3' and R 5'- ATTTGGGGTGGAAAGGTTTG -3', and CCL2 F 5'- GCAGCAAGTGTC CCAAAGAA -3' and R 5'- AACAGGGTGTCTGGGGAAAG -3'.

Quantitative RT-PCR. qRT-PCR analysis was performed with TB Green[®] Fast qPCR Mix (Takara Bio), following the manufacturer's instructions. The following primer sets were used: mCherry F 5'- AAGGGCGAG GAGGATAACAT -3' and R 5'- ACATGAACTGAGGGGACAGG -3', GAPDH1F 5'- AGCCACATCGCTCAG ACAC -3', R 5'- GCCCAATACGACCAAATCC -3'. OCT 3/4 F 5'- AGAAGGATGTGGTCCGAGTGTG -3', R 5'- CCACCCTTTGTGTTCCCAATTCC -3'. The enzyme reactions and measurements were performed with the Applied Biosystems 7300 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific), following the manufacturer's instructions. Fold changes in the gene expression level of mCherry were calculated using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method and normalised to GAPDH gene expression.

Immunofluorescence staining. iPS cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS (Sigma Aldrich) in a freezer overnight. The samples were blocked with PBS that contained 5% dry skim milk and 0.1% NP-40 (FUJI-FILM Wako) for 15 min at RT. Then, the samples were stained for 1 h at RT with mouse IgG2b against OCT3/4 (1:50) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-5279, Dallas, TX, USA) as the primary antibody and then anti-mouse IgG2b-Alexa 555 (1:500) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A-21147) for detection. Image were obtained under a Keyence BZ-X800 (Keyence, Osaka, Japan).

Alkaline phosphatase staining. The iPS cells were prepared in confluently and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS (Sigma Aldrich) in a freezer overnight. The staining was performed with Alkaline Phosphatase Staining Kit (Cosmo Bio Co., LTD., Tokyo, Japan). The image were obtained by microscopy for cell culture (OLYMPUS CORPORATION, Tokyo, Japan) and the attached CCD camera DP22 and Software.

Data availability

The datasets of vector sequences and cell lines generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 8 July 2021; Accepted: 4 February 2022 Published online: 22 February 2022

References

- Kouprina, N. & Larionov, V. Recent advances in chromosome engineering. Chromosome Res. 23, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10577-015-9469-5 (2015).
- Kouprina, N., Tomilin, A. N., Masumoto, H., Earnshaw, W. C. & Larionov, V. Human artificial chromosome-based gene delivery vectors for biomedicine and biotechnology. *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* 11, 517–535. https://doi.org/10.1517/17425247.2014.882314 (2014).
- Oshimura, M., Uno, N., Kazuki, Y., Katoh, M. & Inoue, T. A pathway from chromosome transfer to engineering resulting in human and mouse artificial chromosomes for a variety of applications to bio-medical challenges. *Chromosome Res.* 23(1), 111–133 (2014).
- Moriwaki, T., Abe, S., Oshimura, M. & Kazuki, Y. Transchromosomic technology for genomically humanized animals. *Exp. Cell Res.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2020.111914 (2020).
- Benedetti, S. *et al.* Reversible immortalisation enables genetic correction of human muscle progenitors and engineering of nextgeneration human artificial chromosomes for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 10, 254–275. https://doi.org/10. 15252/emmm.201607284 (2018).
- Hoshiya, H. *et al.* A highly stable and nonintegrated human artificial chromosome (HAC) containing the 2.4 Mb entire human dystrophin gene. *Mol. Ther.* 17, 309–317. https://doi.org/10.1038/mt.2008.253 (2009).
- 7. Kazuki, Y. et al. Humanized CYP3A mice: (1) Construction of humanized MODEL mice CONTAINING the CYP3A cluster for drug screening. Drug Metab. Rev. 42, 74–74 (2010).
- Tedesco, F. S. *et al.* Stem cell-mediated transfer of a human artificial chromosome ameliorates muscular dystrophy. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 3, 96ra78. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3002342 (2011).
- Tedesco, F. S. et al. Transplantation of genetically corrected human iPSC-derived progenitors in mice with limb-girdle muscular dystrophy. Sci. Transl. Med. 4, 140ra189. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3003541 (2012).
- Zatti, S. *et al.* complete restoration of multiple dystrophin isoforms in genetically corrected duchenne musclar dystropy patientderived cardiomyocytes. *Mol. Ther.: Methods Clin. Dev.* 1, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/mtm.2013.1 (2014).
- 11. Kazuki, Y. *et al.* Down syndrome-associated haematopoiesis abnormalities created by chromosome transfer and genome editing technologies. *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 6136. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep06136 (2014).
- Shinohara, T. et al. Mice containing a human chromosome 21 model behavioral impairment and cardiac anomalies of Down's syndrome. Hum. Mol. Genet. 10, 1163–1175. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/10.11.1163 (2001).
- Abe, S. *et al.* Modification of single-nucleotide polymorphism in a fully humanized CYP3A mouse by genome editing technology. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 15189. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15033-0 (2017).
- 14. Kazuki, Y. *et al.* Thalidomide-induced limb abnormalities in a humanized CYP3A mouse model. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 21419. https://doi. org/10.1038/srep21419 (2016).
- Kazuki, Y. *et al.* Trans-chromosomic mice containing a human CYP3A cluster for prediction of xenobiotic metabolism in humans. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 22, 578–592. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/dds468 (2013).
- Kazuki, Y. et al. Humanized UGT2 and CYP3A transchromosomic rats for improved prediction of human drug metabolism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 116, 3072–3081. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1808255116 (2019).
- Satoh, D. et al. Human and mouse artificial chromosome technologies for studies of pharmacokinetics and toxicokinetics. Drug Metab. Pharmacokinet. 33, 17–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dmpk.2018.01.002 (2018).
- Uno, N., Abe, S., Oshimura, M. & Kazuki, Y. Combinations of chromosome transfer and genome editing for the development of cell/animal models of human disease and humanized animal models. *J. Hum. Genet.* 63, 145–156. https://doi.org/10.1038/s10038-017-0378-7 (2018).
- Kouprina, N., Pommier, Y. & Larionov, V. Novel screen for anti-cancer drugs that elevate chromosome instability (CIN) using human artificial chromosome (HAC). Oncotarget 9, 36833–36835. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.26406 (2018).

- Lee, H. S. et al. Systematic analysis of compounds specifically targeting telomeres and telomerase for clinical implications in cancer therapy. Cancer Res. 78, 6282–6296. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-0894 (2018).
- Kazuki, Y. et al. Engineering of human induced pluripotent stem cells via human artificial chromosome vectors for cell therapy and disease modeling. Mol. Ther. Nucl. Acids 23, 629–639. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2020.12.012 (2021).
- Logsdon, G. A. et al. Human artificial chromosomes that bypass centromeric DNA. Cell 178, 624-639.e619. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cell.2019.06.006 (2019).
- Surve, C. R., To, J. Y., Malik, S., Kim, M. & Smrcka, A. V. Dynamic regulation of neutrophil polarity and migration by the heterotrimeric G protein subunits Galphai-GTP and Gbetagamma. Sci. Signal 9, ra22. https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aad8163 (2016).
- Ohira, T. et al. An efficient production system via gene amplification on a human artificial chromosome and the chromosome transfer to CHO cells. Sci. Rep. 9, 16954. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53116-2 (2019).
- Suzuki, T., Kazuki, Y., Oshimura, M. & Hara, T. A novel system for simultaneous or sequential integration of multiple gene-loading vectors into a defined site of a human artificial chromosome. *PLoS One* 9, e110404. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110404 (2014).
- Uno, N. *et al.* A luciferase complementation assay system using transferable mouse artificial chromosomes to monitor proteinprotein interactions mediated by G protein-coupled receptors. *Cytotechnology* 70, 1499–1508. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10616-018-0231-7 (2018).
- 27. Honma, K. *et al.* Development of a multiple-gene-loading method by combining multi-integration system-equipped mouse artificial chromosome vector and CRISPR-Cas9. *PLoS One* **13**, e0193642. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193642 (2018).
- Yamaguchi, S. *et al.* A method for producing transgenic cells using a multi-integrase system on a human artificial chromosome vector. *PLos One* 6, e17267. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017267 (2011).
- Endo, T. et al. Evaluation of an Hprt-luciferase reporter gene on a mammalian artificial chromosome in response to cytotoxicity. Yonago. Acta Med. 59, 174–182 (2016).
- Kokura, K. et al. A kidney injury molecule-1 (Kim-1) gene reporter in a mouse artificial chromosome: The responsiveness to cisplatin toxicity in immortalized mouse kidney S3 cells. J. Gene Med. 18, 273–281. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgm.2925 (2016).
- Uno, N. *et al.* CRISPR/Cas9-induced transgene insertion and telomere-associated truncation of a single human chromosome for chromosome engineering in CHO and A9 cells. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 12739. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10418-7 (2017).
- 32. Fournier, R. E. & Ruddle, F. H. Microcell-mediated transfer of murine chromosomes into mouse, Chinese hamster, and human somatic cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 74, 319–323 (1977).
- Devore-Carter, D. L., Pietrzak, E. & Kakati, S. A method to generate microcells from human lymphoblasts for use in microcell mediated chromosome transfer. *In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol.* 22, 615–620. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02623521 (1986).
- Hiratsuka, M. *et al.* Retargeting of microcell fusion towards recipient cell-oriented transfer of human artificial chromosome. *BMC Biotechnol.* 15, 58. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12896-015-0142-z (2015).
- Katoh, M. et al. Exploitation of the interaction of measles virus fusogenic envelope proteins with the surface receptor CD46 on human cells for microcell-mediated chromosome transfer. BMC Biotechnol. 10, 37. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6750-10-37 (2010).
- Suzuki, T., Kazuki, Y., Oshimura, M. & Hara, T. Highly efficient transfer of chromosomes to a broad range of target cells using chinese hamster ovary cells expressing murine leukemia virus-derived envelope proteins. *PLoS One* 11, e0157187. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0157187 (2016).
- Yoshimura, Y. et al. Mouse embryonic stem cells with a multi-integrase mouse artificial chromosome for transchromosomic mouse generation. Transgenic Res. 24, 717–727. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11248-015-9884-6 (2015).
- Ren, X. et al. A novel human artificial chromosome vector provides effective cell lineage-specific transgene expression in human mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells 23, 1608–1616. https://doi.org/10.1634/stemcells.2005-0021 (2005).
- Okamoto, T. et al. Clonal heterogeneity in differentiation potential of immortalized human mesenchymal stem cells. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 295, 354–361. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-291x(02)00661-7 (2002).
- Takahashi, K. *et al.* Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. *Cell* 131, 861–872. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.11.019 (2007).
- Kazuki, Y. *et al.* Refined human artificial chromosome vectors for gene therapy and animal transgenesis. *Gene Ther.* 18, 384–393. https://doi.org/10.1038/gt.2010.147 (2011).
- Kazuki, K. et al. Highly stable maintenance of a mouse artificial chromosome in human cells and mice. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 442, 44–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.10.171 (2013).
- Takiguchi, M. et al. A novel and stable mouse artificial chromosome vector. ACS Synth. Biol. https://doi.org/10.1021/sb3000723 (2012).
- Norrman, K. *et al.* Quantitative comparison of constitutive promoters in human ES cells. *PLoS One* 5, e12413. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0012413 (2010).
- 45. Qin, J. Y. *et al.* Systematic comparison of constitutive promoters and the doxycycline-inducible promoter. *PLoS One* 5, e10611. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010611 (2010).
- Uno, N. et al. Development of a safeguard system using an episomal mammalian artificial chromosome for gene and cell therapy. Mol. Ther. Nucl. Acids 4, e272. https://doi.org/10.1038/mtna.2015.45 (2015).
- Rasheed, S., Nelson-Rees, W. A., Toth, E. M., Arnstein, P. & Gardner, M. B. Characterization of a newly derived human sarcoma cell line (HT-1080). *Cancer* 33, 1027–1033. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(197404)33:4%3c1027::aid-cncr2820330419%3e3.0. co;2-z (1974).
- Kononenko, A. V., Lee, N. C., Earnshaw, W. C., Kouprina, N. & Larionov, V. Re-engineering an alphoid(tetO)-HAC-based vector to enable high-throughput analyses of gene function. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 41, e107. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt205 (2013).
- Sauer, B. & Henderson, N. Targeted insertion of exogenous DNA into the eukaryotic genome by the Cre recombinase. New Biol. 2, 441–449 (1990).
- Sakuma, T., Nishikawa, A., Kume, S., Chayama, K. & Yamamoto, T. Multiplex genome engineering in human cells using all-in-one CRISPR/Cas9 vector system. Sci. Rep. 4, 5400. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep05400 (2014).
- Sakuma, T., Sakamoto, T. & Yamamoto, T. All-in-one CRISPR-Cas9/FokI-dCas9 vector-mediated multiplex genome engineering in cultured cells. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1498, 41–56. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-6472-7_4 (2017).
- Ikeno, M. et al. Construction of YAC-based mammalian artificial chromosomes. Nat. Biotechnol. 16, 431–439. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nbt0598-431 (1998).

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. H. Kugoh, M. Hiratsuka, T. Moriwaki, K. Nakamura, T. Ohbayashi, H. Satofuka, and T. Ohira for critical discussions. We thank T. Kurosaki and Y. Sumida for performing the teratoma formation assay. We thank Dr. T. Nakamura for providing pTNH6-H and pCAG-T7-F plasmids. The hiMSCs were kindly provided by Dr. J. Toguchida. This study was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 15K19615 (N.U.) and 18H06005 (K.T.),AMED under Grant Number JP21am0401002 (Y.K. and K.T.), JP21bm1004001 (Y.K. and K.T.) and JP21gm1610006 (Y.K.and K.T.) and JST CREST Grant Number JPMJCR18S4, Japan (Y.K.). We thank Edanz

(https://www.jp.edanz.com/ac) for editing a draft of this manuscript. This research was partly performed at the Tottori Bio Frontier managed by Tottori Prefecture.

Author contributions

N.U. conceived and designed the experiments. S.T., S.K., T.Suzuki, T.Sakuma., T.Y., and R.M. constructed plasmid vectors for Cre-loxP and SIM systems to load the genes of interest and CRISPR/Cas9 to disrupt the HPRT1 gene. S.T., S.K., H.M., R.M., N.M., and Y.N. transferred the HACs/MACs to recipient cell lines. S.T., S.K., Y.N., M.O., R.M., and C.H. analysed the cell lines by PCR, FISH, luciferase activity, flow cytometry, teratoma formation, RT-PCR, and qRT-PCR. N.U., S.T., S.A., M.O., K.T., and Y.K. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests

Dr. Mitsuo Oshimura is CEO and a shareholder of Trans Chromosomics Inc., Dr. Satoshi Abe is a member of Trans Chromosomics Inc., and the other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-022-06814-3.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to N.U. or Y.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022