# scientific reports

### **OPEN**



## Improved fixation stability for repairing pedicle screw loosening using a modified cement filling technique in porcine vertebrae

Ming-Kai Hsieh<sup>1</sup>, Yun-Da Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Yu-Chen Li<sup>2</sup>, Mu-Yi Liu<sup>3</sup>, Tsung-Ting Tsai<sup>1</sup>, Po-Liang Lai<sup>1</sup> & Ching-Lung Tai<sup>1,2</sup>

Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) has been applied clinically and biomechanically repair loose pedicle screws. Controversies have arisen over data due to uncontrolled cement properties, various locations and sizes of fenestrated holes in repair screws, irregular holes and different bone densities of specimens. In this study, the pullout strength was compared for two techniques, the modified technique to use PMMA to augment a threaded hole and the traditional technique with retrograde injection of a PMMA filling, for standard loose screws in porcine vertebrae. Both techniques provided statistically significant results for sufficiently randomized specimens and experimental procedures. The difference in the pullout strength between conical and cylindrical screws for the aforementioned cement augmentation techniques was also investigated. Twenty-four single-level fresh-frozen lumbar vertebrae from L1 to L6 were harvested from four mature pigs. A total of 0.8 ml of PMMA was retrograde injected into screw holes with a 5.5 mm diameter, followed by insertion of a 5.0 mm diameter repair screw in the traditional group (n = 12). A stiff threaded PMMA hole was created with a 4.5 mm tapping screw before insertion of repair screws in the modified group (n = 12). Two screw geometries were randomly assigned as cylindrical (n = 6) and conical (n = 6) in each group. The correlations between filling techniques, screw geometries and axial pullout strength were analyzed. An appropriate screw trajectory and insertion depth were confirmed using X-ray imaging prior to pullout testing in both groups. For a given screw geometry (cylindrical or conical), the pullout force of the modified group was significantly higher than that of the traditional group. There was no significant difference in the pullout force between the screw geometries for a given filling technique. The cement augmentation technique is far more influential than the screw outer geometry. The modified PMMA technique created a greater anchor force than the traditional method and could be an alternative for revision of pedicle screw loosening.

Pedicle screw fixation is a common and useful procedure for treating various spinal disorders, including fracture, deformity and instability. Pedicle screw loosening is one of most frequently reported complications associated with the necessity of revision surgery, with a reported rate ranging from 0.8 to 50% and possibly, even higher in patients with osteoporosis<sup>1–3</sup>. Increased screw size and augmentation of loose screws with polymethylmeth-acrylate (PMMA) cement are commonly used techniques in revision surgery<sup>4,5</sup>. However, screws with PMMA-augmented cement are preferable because screw stability cannot be achieved only by increasing the screw diameter in a halo screw hole<sup>6–10</sup>.

Various PMMA augmentation techniques have been used in previous studies, including direct injection of PMMA into the hole of the vertebral body prior to screw insertion<sup>8,11,12</sup>. However, cement leakage along the broken pedicle hole can cause devastating results<sup>11,12</sup>. Other studies have included the use of perforated

<sup>1</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Spine Section, Bone and Joint Research Center, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Taoyuan, Taiwan. <sup>2</sup>Graduate Institute of Biomedical Engineering, Chang Gung University, 33302 Taoyuan, Taiwan. <sup>3</sup>Ph.D. Program in Biomedical Engineering, Collage of Engineering, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan. <sup>Sem</sup>email: taicl@mail.cgu.edu.tw



**Figure 1.** X-ray images of the traditional group. An enlarged screw hole was created and confirmed using axial (**A**) and sagittal X-ray (**B**) images prior to implantation (white arrow). A cylindrical (**C**) or conical (**D**) repair screw was convergently inserted into the 5.5 mm diameter enlarged screw hole after it was filled with PMMA. The tip of the inserted screw did not exceed the enlarged depth, and no signs of fracture or damage were detected in the vertebrae.

cannulated screws, which improved the screw anchoring strength by allowing the injection of cement through the perforation<sup>13</sup>. A study showed that solid screws with retrograde cement prefilling exhibited notably higher pullout strength than cannulated screws with cement injection through perforation<sup>14</sup>. Both perforated cannulated screws and solid screws with cement filling techniques had better pullout performance than screws without cement augmentation.

A modified transpedicular screw augmentation method was compared to the traditional filling method and used to repair loose pedicle screws<sup>11,15</sup>; however, the results were unreliable because there were too few specimens, the specimens had mixed bone density, irregular loosening holes were formed and the traditional filling method was not explained.

In this study, the pullout strength was compared for two techniques, the modified technique to use PMMA to augment a threaded hole and the traditional technique with retrograde injection of a PMMA filling, for standard loose screws in porcine vertebrae. Both techniques provided statistically significant results for sufficiently randomized specimens and experimental procedures. The difference in pullout strength between conical and cylindrical screws based on the aforementioned cement augmentation techniques was also investigated.

#### Results

**Image analysis.** An appropriate screw trajectory and insertional depth were confirmed using axial and sagittal X-ray imaging prior to pullout testing (Figs. 1, 2). In the traditional group, a 5.5 mm diameter enlarged screw hole was prepared (Fig. 1A, B), and cylindrical (Fig. 1C) and conical (Fig. 1D) repair screws were convergently inserted into the vertebral body along the track. The tip of the inserted screw did not exceed the enlarged depth, and no signs of fracture or damage were detected in the vertebrae.

In the modified group, a 5.5 mm diameter enlarged screw hole was formed (Fig. 2A), and a threaded PMMA screw hole was created by tapping screws (Fig. 2B, C). The two thread-type repair screws were inserted into the vertebral body individually in each group, and no fractures or defects were detected in either view (Fig. 2D, E).

**Pullout strength.** In the traditional group, cylindrical and conical repair screws had mean pullout strength values of  $3386.90 \pm 370.94$  N and  $3338.80 \pm 548.37$  N, respectively; these values were  $5095.63 \pm 859.67$  N and  $4755.99 \pm 819.60$  N in the modified group, respectively (Fig. 3). The maximal pullout force for the modified group was 150% for the cylindrical screw and 142% for the conical screw relative to those for the traditional group. For a given screw geometry, the pullout force for the modified group was significantly higher than that for the traditional group; however, there was no statistically significant difference in pullout force between the screw geometries for a given filling technique. The typical force–displacement curves for cylindrical and conical screws in the traditional and modified groups are shown in Fig. 4. For a given screw geometry, the pullout force for the modified group; however, there was no statistically significant difference in pullout force for the modified group was significantly higher than that for the traditional and modified groups are shown in Fig. 4. For a given screw geometry, there was no statistically significant difference in pullout force for the modified group was significantly higher than that for the traditional group; however, there was no statistically significant difference in pullout force for the modified group was significantly higher than that for the traditional group; however, there was no statistically significant difference in pullout force between the screw geometries for a given filling technique.



**Figure 2.** X-ray images of the modified group. An enlarged screw hole was prepared and confirmed using axial X-rays (**A**) (white arrow). A stiff threaded PMMA hole was confirmed by axial (**B**) and sagittal (**C**) X-ray images (white arrow). A cylindrical (**D**) or conical (**E**) repair screw was convergently implanted. The tip of the inserted screw did not exceed the enlarged depth, and no signs of fracture or damage were detected in the vertebrae.



**Figure 3.** Mean maximum pullout forces for cylindrical and conical screws in the traditional and modified groups. In the traditional group, the cylindrical and conical repair screws had mean pullout strength values of  $3386.90 \pm 370.94$  N and  $3338.80 \pm 548.37$  N, respectively; these values were  $5095.63 \pm 859.67$  N and  $4755.99 \pm 819.60$  N in the modified group, respectively. (Groups without statistically significant difference are indicated with "+" symbol).

Discussion

Screw loosening is one of the most frequently reported complications of pedicle screw fixation. Several surgical strategies can be performed to treat the effects of loosening screws, i.e., implant failure and subsequent clinical symptoms<sup>16,17</sup>.

Replacement of loosened screws with thicker, longer screws is commonly used but still limited by insecure fixation of the largest available screws<sup>3</sup>. According to biomechanical and clinical studies, use of PMMA cement is an effective procedure for the repair of pedicle screws in bone. Empty cancellous bone can be replaced by PMMA and reach immediate postoperative stability. However, devastating clinical symptoms such as pulmonary embolism and neurological deficits can occur when PMMA cement is improperly or excessively injected and leaks along broken pedicle holes<sup>5,18–20</sup>. Fewer cement-related complications and immediate stability of repairs are major goals for the repair of loose pedicle screws using PMMA augmentation.



**Figure 4.** Typical force–displacement curve for cylindrical and conical screws in the traditional and modified groups. For a given screw geometry, the pullout force for the modified group was significantly higher than that for the traditional group; however, there were no statistically significant differences for the two types of screws in either the traditional or the modified filling method.



Figure 5. A flowchart of the study design.

In our study, a randomized trial of sufficient size using porcine vertebrae with adequate amounts of cement filling proved that there was a statistically significant improvement in stability in the modified group over the traditional group. The porcine spine is a useful biomechanical model of the human spine because of the similar geometric characteristics of the vertebral body, spinal canal, and pedicle shape<sup>21</sup>. In this study, we used a universal self-aligning fixture (Fig. 5) to achieve coaxial alignment of the pedicle screw with the pullout ram. We ensured that the screws and the direction of pullout force were along the same axis prevent an 8% error by mounting onto a material testing machine at different angles<sup>22</sup>. The imaging results showed that all the repair screws in both groups were inserted along the loosening track without penetrating the cancellous bone, and there were no signs of fracture or damage; therefore the subsequent application of the pullout force would reflex only the force of bone-cement or screw-cement interface without interference.

The traditional cement filling method has been clinically applied as a repair for loosening screws and demonstrated to lead to a 0.5–2.0-fold increase in pullout strength biomechanically<sup>23,24</sup>. In our study, the pullout force of the modified group was considerably higher than that of the traditional group by 1.4–1.5-fold, which means that the method can be applied clinically.

Several repair methods using PMMA have been conducted and compared to increase the additional anchor pullout force for pedicle screw loosening<sup>5,14,25</sup>. Fenestrated PMMA-augmented screws have been compared with traditional solid screws, but the results were inconsistent due to the variation in the sizes, numbers and location of

hole and uneven cement infiltration<sup>5,14</sup>. Increased epidural leakage of cement with fenestrated screws in cadaveric spines also limited further clinical applications<sup>22</sup>. Vikas T et al. reported novel PMMA-augmented solid screws with a bicortical fixation method; in their study, 364 screws were used in 40 patients, and no complications were recorded<sup>25</sup>. However, theoretical risks including vascular injury associated with anterior cortical penetration, are still a crucial concern. PMMA-augmented expansive screws have been reported to have a better pullout strength than the traditional PMMA filling method in the calve spine, but uncontrolled screw loosening tracks and unequal screw size resulted in unreliable results<sup>26</sup>.

In our study, implantation of a repair screw after the PMMA setting time was crucial for fixation stability. Failed PMMA augmentation was reported to result from bone-cement interface failure due to uncured PMMA cement or the screw-cement interface failure related to cured cement<sup>27,28</sup>. The increased anchoring effect of the screw-cement interface from the tapping screw to the repair screw were proved by the better higher pullout performance in our modified group.

Previous studies have reported that the outer shape of screws has an effect on the pullout strength, leading to the conclusion that a conical thread compressed the surrounding bone during screw insertion, thereby increasing pullout strength, which was further confirmed with finite element analyses<sup>29,30</sup>. In our study, the distal part of the repair screw was larger than the tapping screw, and more pullout strength was supposed to be produced. However, for a given filling technique, there was no notable difference in pullout force between screw geometries. Our results indicate that the cement augmentation technique was far more influential than the screw outer geometry, which was consistent with the results of other studies<sup>14,31</sup>.

The present study has some limitations. First, the pullout force in porcine vertebrae cannot totally represent that of humans. In actual physiological situations, the vertebrae are subjected to complex multi-directional loading. Further investigation of the effects of different loading conditions, such as cyclic multi-directional loading, would be beneficial for long-term evaluation. Second, our data represent only biomechanical testing with normal bone density; clinically common osteoporotic bone density was not examined. Third, to preserve the uniformity and reproducibility of the screw loosening pattern, a 5.5 mm diameter straight screw hole was formed, which might not represent the true screw loosening pattern with an uneven radiolucent surrounding area. Fourth, more diameter differences should be examined to evaluate the effect of cement. Fifth, the PMMA filling pattern or interdigitation into cancellous bone could not be fully controlled in either group. Lastly, only one volume (0.8 ml) of PMMA cement was examined; further investigations with various cement volumes and larger sample sizes might be necessary.

#### Conclusions

Our study shows the pullout strength was higher in the modified group than in the traditional group, and the cement augmentation was far more influential than the screw outer geometry. We believe that this modified filling technique could be an important alternative for revision of pedicle screw loosening.

#### Materials and methods

**Specimen preparation.** This study was performed using twenty-four single-level fresh frozen lumbar vertebrae from L1 to L6 that were harvested from four mature pigs (weight 100–120 kg). All animals were healthy before harvesting and never exposed to any drugs or procedures that could affect bone density. All the specimens were separated into individual vertebrae after stripping away the surrounding musculature, ligaments, and periosteum. All the specimens were embedded in 10% formalin solution (Sigma-HT501640, Sigma Chemical Co.) for 24 h before the biomechanical and imaging studies. An enlarged screw hole was prepared in one side of each vertebra, which was drilled using a 5.5 mm "twist" metric drill bit attached to a Dremel 4000 rotary tool mounted on a Dremel WorkStation Model 220–01. This trajectory was selected based on previously reported morphometric characteristic data<sup>32</sup>. The track was followed with a standard straight pedicle probe to a depth of 48 mm.

**Specimen grouping and screw insertion.** Twelve specimens were randomly allocated to two cement filling techniques: the traditional technique or the modified technique. Cylindrical or conical screws with identical thread types were used to evaluate the effect of screw geometries in 6 specimens of each group (Fig. 5). In the traditional group, 0.8 ml PMMA was retrograde injected into the enlarged screw holes (Fig. 6). A cylindrical or conical salvage screw with a diameter×length of 5.0 mm×45 mm (SmartLoc spinal polyaxial pedicle screws, A-spine Asia Co. Ltd., Taipei, Taiwan) (Fig. 7) was chosen and randomly implanted into each pedicle of the vertebrae by an experienced surgeon. In the modified group, a tapping screw with a diameter×length of 4.5 mm × 45 mm was inserted after injection of 0.8 ml PMMA into the enlarged screw hole. After the 7-min setting time of the PMMA<sup>33</sup>, the screw was carefully removed, leaving a stiff threaded PMMA hole. A cylindrical or conical repair screw sized according to that used in the traditional technique was implanted (Fig. 8). Before the pullout test, the specimens and screws were closely examined for signs of fracture and damage, and any findings were carefully recorded.

**Image analysis.** Axial and sagittal views were examined via X-rays for all specimens prior to the pullout test to confirm an appropriate screw trajectory and insertion depth. The vertebrae were also examined thoroughly to exclude any fractures or defects caused by screw insertion.

**Pullout test.** Each of the 24 specimens was potted in a metal box using a specific acrylic mixture (Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) to prepare a cubic specimen with dimension of  $8 \text{ cm} \times 8 \text{ cm} \times 6 \text{ cm}$  (Fig. 9A). Judicious potting was performed to ensure that the acrylic mixture did not contact any portion of the screw, and the specimens were potted into acrylic acid with a cubic shape to fit in the square clamp below the universal joint.



**Figure 6.** Schematic illustrations of the traditional group. The 48 mm depth enlarged screw tract was created by 5.5 mm twist metric drill bit (**A**). A total of 0.8 ml of PMMA (with consistency similar to that of toothpaste) was retrograde injected into the enlarged screw hole (**B**). A diameter × length of 5.0 mm × 45 mm salvage screw was gradually implanted into the PMMA-filled screw hole (**C**) until all threads were fully covered (**D**).



**Figure 7.** Salvage screws and tapping screw. Two salvage screw designs were employed in the present study: cylindrical and conical shaped (**A** and **B**, middle and right). The outer geometry of the conical and cylindrical screws differed mainly in the taper of their major and minor diameters from the hub to the screw tip. The cylindrical screws maintained a constant diameter from hub to tip (**A** and **B**, middle); in contrast, the conical screws tapered 10%, from 5.0 mm at the hub to 4.5 mm at the tip (**A** and **B**, right). The tapping screw was cylindrical and maintained a diameter of 4.5 mm from hub to tip (**A** and **B**, left). For all screw designs, the thread pitch was 2 mm and the thread depth was 0.8 mm.



**Figure 8.** Schematic illustrations of the modified group. The 48 mm deep enlarged screw tract was formed by a 5.5 mm twist metric drill bit ( $\mathbf{A}$ ), and 0.8 ml PMMA was retrograde injected into the enlarged screw hole ( $\mathbf{B}$ ). A tapping screw was inserted into the PMMA- filled hole ( $\mathbf{C}$ ). After setting for 7 min, the screw was carefully removed, leaving a stiff threaded PMMA hole ( $\mathbf{D}$ ). A cylindrical or conical repair screw, sized according to that used in the traditional technique, was implanted ( $\mathbf{D}$ ,  $\mathbf{E}$ ). Vertebra with complete insertion of the repair screw ( $\mathbf{F}$ ).



**Figure 9.** Photographs of a cubic specimen embedded in acrylic mixture (**A**), and the screw pullout test performed by MTS testing machine (**B**). The custom-designed universal joint with a self-aligning function to ensure vertical pullout measurement is highlighted.

.....

The prepared specimens were mounted onto a material testing machine (Bionix 858; MTS Systems Corp., MN, USA) to conduct axial pullout tests with the screws (Fig. 9B). The method for the screw pullout test was identical to that used in our previous study<sup>14</sup>. The embedded specimen, with a screw inserted, was placed on a custom-designed universal fixture with a self-aligning function to ensure vertical pullout alignment. The head of the screw was attached to the testing machine by a threaded rod assembly. After the specimens were mounted, the pullout force was applied at a constant crosshead rate of 5 mm/min for a total displacement of 10 mm, which was

in accordance with published literature on axial pull out testing<sup>34,35</sup>. Data collection was set at 1 sample/0.05 mm (1.67 Hz). Failure was defined as the maximum load or the load peak prior to a decrease in load associated with increasing displacement<sup>36</sup>. After the pullout test was completed, the specimen and screws were closely examined for signs of fracture, and any damage was carefully recorded.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical software (SPSS for Windows version 12.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used to analyze the pullout force of all the specimens. All of the measurements were collected for 24 vertebrae and the results were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). An ANOVA test with post hoc analyses was performed to evaluate the differences between groups. Differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05.

Received: 11 June 2021; Accepted: 4 February 2022 Published online: 17 February 2022

#### References

- Bokov, A., Bulkin, A., Aleynik, A., Kutlaeva, M. & Mlyavykh, S. Pedicle screws loosening in patients with degenerative diseases of the lumbar spine: potential risk factors and relative contribution. *Glob. Spine J.* 9(1), 55–61. https://doi.org/10.1177/2192568218 772302 (2019).
- Ohba, T., Ebata, S., Oba, H., Koyama, K. & Haro, H. Risk factors for clinically relevant loosening of percutaneous pedicle screws. Spine Surg. Relat. Res. 3(1), 79–85. https://doi.org/10.22603/ssrr.2018-0018 (2019).
- Galbusera, F. et al. Pedicle screw losening: a clinically relevant complication?. Eur. Spine J. 24(5), 1005–1016. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00586-015-3768-6 (2015).
- Lindtner, R. A., Schmid, R., Nydegger, T., Konschake, M. & Schmoelz, W. Pedicle screw anchorage of carbon fiber-reinforced PEEK screws under cyclic loading. *Eur. Spine J.* 27(8), 1775–1784. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-018-5538-8 (2018).
- Goost, H. *et al.* PMMA-augmentation of incompletely cannulated pedicle screws: a cadaver study to determine the benefits in the osteoporotic spine. *Technol. Health Care* 22(4), 607–615. https://doi.org/10.3233/THC-140815 (2014).
- Hu, M. H. et al. Polymethylmethacrylate augmentation of the pedicle screw: The cement distribution in the vertebral body. Eur. Spine J. 20(8), 1281–1288. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-011-1824-4 (2011).
- Hoppe, S. & Keel, M. J. B. Pedicle screw augmentation in osteoporotic spine: indications, limitations and technical aspects. *Eur. J. Trauma Emerg. Surg.* 43(1), 3–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00068-016-0750-x (2017).
- 8. Elder, B. D. et al. The biomechanics of pedicle screw augmentation with cement. Spine J. 15(6), 1432–1445. https://doi.org/10. 1186/1471-2474-12-286 (2015).
- Xie, Y. et al. Comparison between two pedicle screw augmentation instrumentations in adult degenerative scoliosis with osteoporosis. BMC Musculoskelet. Disord. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-12-286 (2011).
- Clerk-Lamalice, O., Irani, Z., Growney, M., BeallĎ, P. & Hirsch, J. A. Parapedicular vertebral augmentation with polymethylmetacrylate for pedicle screw loosening. *BMJ Case Rep.* https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2017-013548(2018) (2018).
- Kang, S. H., Cho, Y. J., Kim, Y. B. & Park, S. W. Pullout strength after expandable polymethylmethacrylate transpedicular screw augmentation for pedicle screw loosening. J. Korean Neurosurg. Soc. 57(4), 229–234. https://doi.org/10.3340/jkns.2015.57.4.229 (2015).
- 12. Weng, F. *et al.* Application value of expansive pedicle screw in the lumbar short-segment fixation and fusion for osteoporosis patients. *Exp. Ther. Med.* **16**(2), 665–670. https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2018.6248 (2018).
- Choma, T. J., Pfeiffer, F. M., Swope, R. W. & Hirner, J. P. Pedicle screw design and cement augmentation in osteoporotic vertebrae: effects of fenestrations and cement viscosity on fixation and extraction. *Spine* https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182740e5 (2012).
- Chen, L. H. *et al.* Pullout strength of pedicle screws with cement augmentation in severe osteoporosis: a comparative study between cannulated screws with cement injection and solid screws with cement pre-filling. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* https://doi.org/10. 1186/1471-2474-12-33 (2011).
- Kang, S. H., Kim, K. T., Park, S. W. & Kim, Y. B. A case of pedicle screw loosening treated by modified transpedicular screw augmentation with polymethylmethacrylate. J. Korean Neurosurg. Soc. 49(1), 75–78. https://doi.org/10.3340/jkns.2011.49.1.7517 (2011).
- Kim, D. H. *et al.* Comparing rates of early pedicle screw lossening in posterolateral lumbar fusion with and without transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion. *Spine J.* 20(9), 1438–1445. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2020.04.021 (2020).
- Leitner, L. *et al.* Pedicle screw loosening is correlated to chronic subclinical deep implant infection: a retrospective database analysis. *Eur. Spine J.* 27(10), 2529–2535. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-018-5592-2 (2018).
- Ulusoy, O. L. *et al.* Pulmonary cement embolism following cement-augmented fenestrated pedicle screw fixation in adult spinal deformity patients with severe osteoporosis (analysis of 2978 fenestrated screws). *Eur. Spine J.* 27(9), 2348–2356. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00586-018-5593-1 (2018).
- Janssen, I. *et al.* Risk of cement leakage and pulmonary embolism by bone cement-augmented pedicle screw fixation of the thoracolumbar spine. *Spine J.* 17(6), 837–844. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2017.01.009 (2017).
- Hsieh, M. K. et al. Risk factors of neurological deficit and pulmonary cement embolism after percutaneous vertebroplasty. J. Orthop. Surg. Res. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-019-1459-4 (2019).
- Busscher, I., Ploegmakers, J. J. W., Verkerke, G. J. & Veldhuizen, A. G. Comparative anatomical dimensions of the complete human and porcine spine. *Eur. Spine J.* 19(7), 1104–1114. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-010-1326-9 (2010).
- Varghese, V., Saravana, K. G. & Krishnan, V. Effect of various factors on pull out strength of pedicle screw in normal and osteoporotic cancellous bone models. *Med. Eng. Phys.* 40, 28–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2016.11.012 (2017).
- Liu, D. *et al.* Biomechanical comparison of pedicle screw augmented with different volumes of polymethylmethacrylate in osteoporotic and severely osteoporotic cadaveric lumbar vertebrae: an experimental study. *Spine J.* 16(9), 1124–1132. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.spinee.2016.04.015 (2016).
- Weiser, L. *et al.* Rescue augmentation: increased stability in augmentation after initial loosening of pedicle screws. *Glob. Spine J.* 11(5), 679–685. https://doi.org/10.1177/2192568220919123 (2020).
- Tandon, V., Kalidindi, K. K. V., Pacha, S. & Bhat, M. R. A prospective study on the feasibility, safety, and efficacy of a modified technique to augment the strength of pedicle screw in osteoporotic spine. *Asian Spine J.* 14(3), 357–363. https://doi.org/10.31616/ ASJ.2019.0211 (2020).
- Bostan, B. *et al.* A biomechanical comparison of polymethylmethacrylate-reinforced and expansive pedicle screws in pedicle-screw revisions. *Acta Orthop. Traumatol. Turc.* 43(3), 272–6. https://doi.org/10.3944/AOTT.2009.272 (2009).
- Charles, Y. P. et al. Pullout characteristics of percutaneous pedicle screws with different cement augmentation methods in elderly spines: an in vitro biomechanical study. Orthop. Traumatol. Surg. Res. 101(3), 369–374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2015.01.005 (2015).

- Cho, W. et al. The effect on the pullout strength by the timing of pedicle screw insertion after calcium phosphate cement injection. J. Spinal Disord. Tech. 24(2), 116–120. https://doi.org/10.1097/BSD.0b013e3181dd7961 (2011).
- Chao, C. K., Hsu, C. C., Wang, J. L. & Lin, J. Increasing bending strength and pullout strength in conical pedicle screws: biomechanical tests and finite element analyses. J. Spinal Disord. Tech. 21(2), 130–138. https://doi.org/10.1097/BSD.0b013e318073cc4b (2008).
- Amaritsakul, Y., Chao, C. K. & Lin, J. Comparison study of the pullout strength of conventional spinal pedicle screws and a novel design in full and backed-out insertions using mechanical tests. Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part H J. Eng. Med. 228(3), 250–257. https:// doi.org/10.1177/0954411914522437 (2014).
- Liu, M. Y. et al. Biomechanical comparison of pedicle screw fixation strength in synthetic bones: effects of screw shape, core/thread profile and cement augmentation. PLoS ONE 15(2), e0229328. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229328 (2020).
- Sheng, S. R., Wang, X. Y., Xu, H. Z., Zhu, G. Q. & Zhou, Y. F. Anatomy of large animal spines and its comparison to the human spine: a systematic review. *Eur. Spine J.* 19(1), 46–56. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-009-1192-5 (2010).
- Boyd, D., Towler, M. R., Wren, A. & Clarkin, O. M. Comparison of an experimental bone cement with surgical Simplex\* P, Spineplex\* and Cortoss\*. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med. 15, 1745–1752. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10856-007-3363-4 (2008).
- Cook, S. D., Salkeld, S. L., Whitecloud, T. S. & Barbera, J. Biomechanical evaluation and preliminary clinical experience with an expansive pedicle screw design. J. Spinal Disord. 13(3), 230–236. https://doi.org/10.1097/00002517-200006000-00006 (2000).
- Cook, S. D., Salkeld, S. L., Stanley, T., Faciane, A. & Miller, S. D. Biomechanical study of pedicle screw fixation in severely osteoporotic bone. Spine J. 4(4), 402–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2003.11.010 (2004).
- Vishnubhotla, S., McGarry, W. B., Mahar, A. T. & Gelb, D. E. A titanium expandable pedicle screw improves initial pullout strength as compared with standard pedicle screws. Spine J. 11(8), 777–781. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2011.06.006 (2011).

#### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology in Taiwan (Grant No. MOST 109-2221-E-182-006-MY2) and the Chang Gung Memorial Hospital in Taiwan (Grant No. CMRPD2K0091, CMRPD2K0092). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### Author contributions

M.K.H. and C.L.T. participated in the design of the study, interpretation of the results and drafting of the manuscript. Y.D.L., T.T.T. and P.L.L. participated in the design of the study and helped with data analysis. M.Y.L. and Y.C.L. participated in carrying out the study and reviewing references. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.-L.T.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022