scientific reports



OPEN Enhancement of the bone-implant interface by applying a plasma-sprayed titanium coating on nanohydroxyapatite/ polyamide66 implants in a rabbit model

Weiyang Zhong[⊠], Jianxiao Li, Chenbo Hu, Zhengxue Quan & Dianming Jiang

Solid fusion at the bone-implant interface (BII) is considered one of the indicators of a satisfactory clinical outcome for spine surgery. Although the mechanical and physical properties of nanohydroxyapatite/polyamide66 (n-HA/PA66) offers many advantages, the results of long-term follow-up for BIIs remain limited. This study aimed to improve the BII of n-HA/PA66 by applying plasma-sprayed titanium (PST) and assessing the mechanical and histological properties. After the PST coating was applied to n-HA/PA66 implants, the coating had uneven, porous surfaces. The compression results were not significantly different between the two groups. The micro-CT results demonstrated that at 6 weeks and 12 weeks, the bone volume (BV), BV/tissue volume (TV) and trabecular number (Tb.N) values of the n-HA/PA66-PST group were significantly higher than those of the n-HA/PA66 group. The results of undecalcified bone slicing showed that more new bone appeared to form around n-HA/PA66-PST implant than around n-HA/PA66 implant. The bone-implant contact (BIC) and push-out test results of the n-HA/PA66-PST group were better than those of the n-HA/PA66 group. In conclusion, after PST coating, direct and additional new bone-to-implant bonding could be achieved, improving the BII of n-HA/PA66 implants. The n-HA/PA66-PST implants could be promising for repair purposes.

The clinical use of biomaterials varies by clinicians or surgeons. The definition and understanding of human biomaterials remain to be refined and clarified. A biomaterial implant as an independent unit is designed to make direct interactions with living tissue. The adaptability of implants in vivo is still important. The nanohydroxyapatite/polyamide66(n-HA/PA66) is a novel biomaterial implant with components of nanohydroxyapatite and polyamide simulating natural bones and has been used clinically in China for more than fifteen years. Many studies, especially preclinical studies¹⁻⁴, have demonstrated that n-HA/PA66 is biocompatible. At the final follow-up in constructing cervical spine stability of the anterior cervical corpectomy decompression and fusion (ACCF), the "radiolucent gap" appeared in the n-HA/PA66 strut and the bone. Our team considered the main reason for the appearance of the "radiolucent gap" to be the insufficient osteogenic induction of n-HA/PA66 itself²⁻⁴. Making improvements to the bone-implant interface is still a main issue.

At present, surface coating for implants is an important method to improve osteogenesis induction. Our study first used a plasma-sprayed titanium (PST)⁴⁻⁶ coating to n-HA/PA66 to improve the bone-implant interface (BII), enhancing osteogenesis induction in a rabbit model and providing additional modification possibilities for biomaterials.

Department of Orthopaedics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400016, China. [™]email: 492467112@qq.com



Figure 1. A view of n-HA/PA66 (A), n-HA/PA66-PST (B) struts.



Figure 2. A depiction of the surgery.

Methods

Sample preparation. Cylindrical struts (6 mm*10 mm) of either n-HA/PA66 or n-HA/PA66 with a PST coating were used in the experiment (Fig. 1). The surface features of the n-HA/PA66 samples were qualitatively examined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The compression test was performed by an electronic universal testing machine (the loading speed was 0.5 mm/min. Axial pressure was gradually applied until the specimen was destroyed), and the stress–strain curve was recorded. The pull-out tests were performed at 6 w and 12 w, and the rabbits were sacrificed following the intravenous injection of sodium pentobarbital at a dose of 200 mg/kg. After the samples were taken, the specimens were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution, and then the axis was changed to ensure the same axis was used across samples. The axial direction of the implant was adjusted to be consistent with the pushing direction of the universal testing machine, and the results were recorded in the form of the maximum surface shear strength sµ N mm². The formula is $\mu = \text{Fmax/S}_{BIC}$. S_{BIC} is the contact area between the implant and bone (S_{BIC} = π DL), where D is the diameter of the specimen and L is the mean thickness of the bilateral cortical bone⁷⁻⁹.

Surgery procedure. The study was carried out in compliance with the ARRIVE guidelines. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. And all experimental protocols were approved by the Committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University (No: 20187801). Twelve adult male New Zealand white rabbits with a weight of 2.0-3.0 kg were used and were randomly divided into two groups (6 in each group). One femur was selected randomly as the surgical region. General anaesthesia was intravenously administered with 3% sodium pentobarbital solution (1.0 mL/kg) (Sigma-Aldrich Co.). After successful anaesthesia, the surgical site was shaved and disinfected. A longitudinal lateral incision of 3.5 cm was made to expose the femoral groove with medial dislocation of the patella. After flexion of the knee, a bone defect (6 mm width $\times 10 \text{ mm}$ depth) was created in the femoral groove with a bone drill (4 mm width $\times 2 \text{ mm}$ thickness). The n-HA/PA66 and n-HA/PA66-PST struts were implanted at the defect site (Fig. 2). The incision was sutured in layers, and the lateral ligament was sutured tightly to avoid patellar dislocation. Penicillin sodium at 20,000 IU/kg/d (Southwest Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Chongqing) was injected intramuscularly 3 days after surgery. The rabbits were kept in separate cages and allowed to move fully after the operation. They were sacrificed after intravenous injection of sodium pentobarbital (200 mg/kg) at 6 and 12 weeks.



Figure 3. SEM of n-HA/PA66 (**A**) and n-HA/PA66-PST (**B**). The two sample groups were examined using SEM. The surface of the n-HA/PA66 implants was relatively smooth and lacked surface features. The PST coating in on the n-HA/PA66 sample demonstrates a rough topography for bone on growth and cavities for bone ingrowth.



Figure 4. Micro-CT image of n-HA/PA66 (A), n-HA/PA66-PST (B) implants.

Statistical analysis. Statistical Analysis System software (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was applied to analyse the data. Quantitative variables are expressed as the mean \pm SD. The chi-squared test was used for the categorical variables. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The surface morphology of n-HA/PA66 and n-HA/PA66-PST implants was observed using SEM and micro-CT to confirm the presence of the plasma-sprayed titanium coating on the surface (Figs. 3 and 4). Macroscopic (Figs. 1, 3, and 4) and SEM assessments revealed the lack of surface features on the n-HA/PA66 sample with a relatively smooth interface, whereas the n-HA/PA66 sample demonstrated the presence of the PST layer. The PST coatings all had uneven, porous surfaces that were irregular in appearance in the order of microns, which better promoted osteoblast adhesion and bone growth. The PST layer was uniform along the length of the implant. The plasma-sprayed titanium layer was well integrated with n-HA/PA66 with no macroscopic alterations to the appearance at the titanium-n-HA/PA66 interface.



Figure 5. BV/TV and Tb.N at 6 weeks.



Figure 6. BV/TV and Tb.N at 12 weeks.

The rabbits were in good condition after strut implantation. The incision showed varying degrees of swelling, but no infections occurred. Rabbits were sacrificed 6 and 12 weeks postoperatively, and the samples were removed for tests.

The three-dimensional micro-CT images showed that more bone tissues were detected around the n-HA/PA66-PST strut than around the n-HA/PA66 strut. At 6 weeks and 12 weeks, the formation of new bone was quantitatively analysed by micro-CT, and the bone volume (BV)/tissue volume (TV) and the trabecular number (Tb.N) were determined. At 6 weeks, the BV/TV values and Tb.N of the n-HA/PA66-PST struts were significantly higher than those of the n-HA/PA66 groups (P<0.05). At 12 weeks, the BV/TV values and Tb.N of n-HA/PA66-PST implants were significantly higher than those of the n-HA/PA66 group (P<0.05) (Figs. 5 and 6). Furthermore, the PST coating on n-HA/PA66 improved the BV/TV and Tb.N comparing those without coating (P<0.05). The three-dimensional micro-CT images showed that more bone tissue was detected within the n-HA/PA66-PST group than in the n-HA/PA66 group (Fig. 7).

At 6 weeks after surgery, n-HA/PA66 and n-HA/PA66-PST were tightly implanted into the surrounding bone tissue and closely integrated. Around the strut of n-HA/PA66-PST, there were more osteoblasts. However, around the strut of n-HA/PA66, few osteoblasts and more fibrochondrocytes were observed (Fig. 8). At 12 weeks, more osteoblasts were observed in the n-HA/PA66-PST group. The osteoblasts did not seem to be in close contact with the strut of n-HA/PA66, but the opposite finding was noted in the n-HA/PA66-PST group. The bone implant contact (BIC) of the n-HA/PA66-PST implants was better (P<0.05) than that of the n-HA/PA66 implants (Fig. 9).

The compression results, the compression modulus and compression yield stress, of the two groups are shown in Fig. 10, there was no significant difference (P > 0.05).

The results of the two groups at 6 w and 12 w are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 11, with a significant difference (P < 0.05), indicating that the osseointegration of n-HA/PA66-PST was better than that of n-HA/PA66.



Figure 7. Micro-CT images of n-HA/PA66 (A) and n-HA/PA66-PST (B) at 6 and 12 weeks.



Figure 8. Histological analysis (H&E staining, ×100) of femoral condylar defects and new bone tissue at 6 weeks and 12 weeks after surgery. (**A**) n-HA/PA66; (**B**) n-HA/PA66-PST.

Discussion

The ideal bone-implant interface is influenced by surgical, biomechanical, manufacturing, and commercial factors. n-HA/PA66 is a nonmetallic biomaterial implant that is a composite of nanohydroxyapatite and polyamide 66, and it simulates natural bone¹⁻⁴. Our team has reported satisfactory clinical outcomes, but we observed that the "radiolucent gap" was imaged more often between the n-HA/PA66 strut and the bone at both the one-year and final follow-up and that the subsidence rate was higher in patients²⁻⁵. We discussed this phenomenon, and we considered the reason was insufficient osteogenic induction in the n-HA/PA66 implant. Additionally, a solid



Figure 9. BIC of n-HA/PA66 and n-HA/PA66-PST implants.



Figure 10. The stress-strain curve of n-HA/PA66 (A) and n-HA/PA66-PST (B) implants.

| Groups | 6 weeks (N/mm ²) | 12 weeks (N/mm ²) |
|---------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| n-HA/PA66 | 5.00 ± 0.70 | 18.00 ± 2.35 |
| n-HA/PA66-PST | 7.20 ± 0.84 | 23.00 ± 1.87 |
| P value | 0.0020 | 0.0058 |

Table 1. The results of the push-out test.

BII is vital for treatment success, which remains significantly important and of great research interest. Many methods have been used to modify the surface mechanically or chemically to make the implant adapt to host tissue^{4–9}. Biologically, the PST coating on the n-HA/PA66 strut significantly adapted to the bone tissue, providing more new bone growth. Mechanistically, the compression results did not show a significant difference between n-HA/PA66 and n-HA/PA66-PST implants.

In our study, the uneven and porous appearance of PST coating could better promote osteoblast adhesion and bone growth. The three-dimensional micro-CT results showed the BV/TV values and Tb.N of n-HA/PA66-PST implants were significantly higher than those of the n-HA/PA66 group at 12 weeks and the PST coating on n-HA/PA66 improved the BV/TV and Tb.N comparing those without coating. And also at 12 weeks of histological analysis, more osteoblasts were observed in the n-HA/PA66-PST and more osteoblasts were in close contact with the strut of n-HA/PA66-PST.

The study used a well-established rabbit model in which direct new bone ingrowth could be observed¹⁰⁻¹³. The pull-out test performed 6 and 12 weeks after surgery showed that the n-HA/PA66-PST struts achieved better



Figure 11. Pull-out test at 6 weeks and 12 weeks of n-HA/PA66 and n-HA/PA66-PST implants.

shear strength than the n-HA/PA66 struts. Similarly, according to the BIC results, the BII of n-HA/PA66-PST struts was better than that of n-HA/PA66 struts and supported superior mechanical properties due to increased direct bone contact. The BII findings of gap tissue between n-HA/PA66 implants and host bone tissue that had been reported previously and in our study did not show a significant gap around the new bone tissue and n-HA/PA66-PST implant. The PST coating on the n-HA/PA66 implant provides a means for direct new bone tissue on growth. Although the ideal model provides a means of direct comparison, many factors, such as implants, surgical techniques, and animal mobility, may have complex limitations, affecting the implant response to the host bone tissue. We hope to observe more time points to further determine the long-term benefits of PST coating in bone remodelling, considering more complex biomechanical and biological environments^{14–18}.

In conclusion, a PST coating on n-HA/PA66 implants could achieve better fusion in BIIs and improve the osteogenesis-inducing ability of the implant, providing potential surface modification of materials.

Received: 14 April 2021; Accepted: 27 September 2021 Published online: 07 October 2021

References

- 1. Zhang, Y. et al. Long-term results of anterior cervical corpectomy and fusion with nano-hydroxyapatite/polyamide 66 strut for cervical spondylotic myelopathy. Sci. Rep. 6, 26751 (2016).
- Zhong, W. et al. Nanohydroxyapatite/polyamide 66 strut subsidence after one-level corpectomy: underlying mechanism and effect on cervical neurological function. Sci. Rep. 8(1), 12098 (2018).
- 3. Zhong, W. et al. Imaging evaluation of nano-hydroxyapatite/polyamide 66 strut in cervical construction after 1-level corpectomy: a retrospective study of 520 patients. Eur. J. Med. Res. 25(1), 38 (2020).
- 4. Walsh, W. R. *et al.* Plasma-sprayed titanium coating to polyetheretherketone improves the bone-implant interface. *Spine J.* **15**(5), 1041–1049 (2015).
- Torstrick, F. B. *et al.* Porous PEEK improves the bone-implant interface compared to plasma-sprayed titanium coating on PEEK. *Biomaterials* 185, 106–116 (2018).
- 6. Hoppe, S. *et al.* First results of a new vacuum plasma sprayed (VPS) titanium-coated carbon/PEEK composite cage for lumbar interbody fusion. *J. Funct. Biomater.* **9**(1), 23 (2018).
- 7. Svehla, M. *et al.* Morphometric and mechanical evaluation of titanium implant integration: comparison of five surface structures. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* **51**, 15–22 (2000).
- 8. Bertollo, N. *et al.* Inffluence of electron beam melting manufactured implants on ingrowth and shear strength in an ovine model. *J. Arthroplasty* **27**, 1429–1436 (2012).
- 9. Devine, D. M. et al. Coating of carbon fifiber-reinforced polyetheretherketone implants with titanium to improve bone apposition. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. B Appl. Biomater. 101, 591–598 (2013).
- McGilvray, K. C. *et al.* Bony ingrowth potential of 3D-printed porous titanium alloy: a direct comparison of interbody cage materials in an in vivo ovine lumbar fusion model. *Spine J.* 18(7), 1250–1260 (2018).
- 11. Yoon, B. J. et al. Optimizing surface characteristics for cell adhesion and proliferation on titanium plasma spray coatings on polyetheretherketone. Spine J. 16(10), 1238–1243 (2016).
- 12. Torstrick, F. B. *et al.* Getting PEEK to stick to bone: the development of porous PEEK for interbody fusion devices. *Tech. Orthop.* 32(3), 158–166 (2017).
- 13. Wang, W. T. *et al.* Dual pitch titanium-coated pedicle screws improve initial and early fixation in a polyetheretherketone rod semirigid fixation system in sheep. *Chin. Med. J. (Engl.)* **132**(21), 2594–2600 (2019).
- 14. Cheng, B. C. et al. Porous titanium-coated polyetheretherketone implants exhibit an improved bone-implant interface: an in vitro and in vivo biochemical, biomechanical, and histological study. Med. Devices (Auckl.) 1, 391–402 (2018).
- Kashii, M. et al. Comparison in the same intervertebral space between titanium-coated and uncoated PEEK cages in lumbar interbody fusion surgery. J. Orthop. Sci. 25(4), 565–570 (2020).
- Hickey, D. J., Lorman, B. & Fedder, I. L. Improved response of osteoprogenitor cells to titanium plasma-sprayed PEEK surfaces. Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces 175, 509–516 (2019).

- Stübinger, S. et al. Titanium and hydroxyapatite coating of polyetheretherketone and carbon fiber-reinforced polyetheretherketone: a pilot study in sheep. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. B Appl. Biomater. 104(6), 1182–1191 (2016).
- Torstrick, F. B. *et al.* Impaction durability of porous polyether-ether-ketone (PEEK) and titanium-coated PEEK interbody fusion devices. *Spine J.* 18(5), 857–865 (2018).

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

W.Y.Z. designed the study. W.Y.Z., J.X.L., C.B.H., Z.X.Q. and D.M.J. implemented the experiment. W.Y.Z. wrote and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The study was supported by the medical programme of Chongqing Health and Science Commission and Technology Commission (2021MSXM285). The funding body was not involved in the design, data collection, analysis, interpretation or writing of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to W.Z.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021