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#### Abstract

Most studies either followed Joint National Committee 7 (JNC 7) or World Health OrganizationInternational Society of Hypertension (WHO-ISH) guidelines to ascertain the prevalence of hypertension among Bangladeshi adults. The American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) revised the definition of hypertension in 2017, which has significant public health importance. In Bangladesh, the new guideline has resulted changes in prevalence and risk factors for hypertension compared to the JNC7 guideline. This study used data from the most recent round (2017-2018) of the Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (BDHS). According to the 2017 ACC/AHA guideline, the participants were categorized as hypertensive if they had blood pressure (BP) $\geq 130 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$, but it was $\geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ in JNC 7 guideline. A total of 11,959 participants were involved in the analysis. The median (IQR) age of the respondents was 34.0 (18.0-95.0) years. The prevalence of hypertension was $24.0 \%$ according to the JNC 7 guideline, which was $50.5 \%$ according to the 2017 ACC/AHA guideline. Participants who were overweight and obese, aged, member of affluent households, Rangpur and Rajshahi division inhabitants had significantly higher odds of being hypertensive according to both guidelines. The new guideline suggests that half of the adult population in Bangladesh is hypertensive when measured according to the new guideline, urging the policymakers and public health practitioners to take immediate action to address the already established modifiable risk factors.


## Key points

Question. What changes occurred in prevalence of and associated factors with hypertension among Bangladeshi adults (aged 18-95 years) due to 2017 ACC/AHA and JNC 7 guidelines?
Globally, cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) are considered the leading causes of deaths or disability-adjusted life years, where hypertension plays a pivotal role in CVDs ${ }^{1-3}$. In 2016, around 17.9 million people died from CVDs, representing $31 \%$ of total global deaths, of which 9.4 million deaths were attributed to hypertension ${ }^{4,5}$. Worldwide, approximately 1.13 billion people have hypertension, and two-thirds of them live in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), including Bangladesh ${ }^{4}$.

Due to the recent epidemiologic and demographic transitions, Bangladesh has documented significant lifestyle and behavioral changes with an increased prevalence of hypertension. According to the 2011 Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (BDHS) and the 2010 Non-Communicable Disease Risk Factor Survey, the prevalence of hypertension among the adult population was $25.7 \%$ and $17.9 \%$, respectively ${ }^{6,7}$. Henceforth, hypertension remains the foremost disease burden among the major non-communicable diseases (NCDs) in Bangladesh, like other South Asian countries such as India, Nepal, Bhutan, and Sri Lanka ${ }^{8}$.

[^0]The blood pressure (BP) threshold to classify prehypertension and hypertension varies according to different guidelines. Previously, the Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee (JNC 7) on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Pressure described hypertension as systolic blood pressure (SBP) of $\geq 140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) $\geq 90 \mathrm{mmHg}^{9}$. The 2017 American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) Guideline for Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults reduced the BP threshold for hypertension. According to the 2017 ACC/ AHA guideline, hypertensive individuals have a SBP of $\geq 130 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and/or a DBP of $\geq 80 \mathrm{mmHg}^{10}$. Therefore, previously considered prehypertensive participants are reclassified as hypertensive due to changes in the cut-off values ${ }^{6,11-13}$. For example, the prevalence of hypertension among the Nepalese adult population almost doubled following the 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines ${ }^{12}$.

Furthermore, Muntner et al. reported a $14.7 \%$ increase in hypertension among American adults over 19 years of age according to new guideline ${ }^{14}$. Another study found $45.1 \%$ and $26.8 \%$ increase in the prevalence of hypertension among adults (aged between 45 and 75) in the US and China ${ }^{15}$. Several studies recognized the importance of this revised classification for public health resource planning and prevention strategies ${ }^{14-16}$. Despite the increased burden of CVDs in developing countries, there remains inadequate information around the new guideline of hypertension ${ }^{17-19}$.

Previous studies in Bangladesh reported a significant increase in hypertension when the 2017 ACC/AHA guideline is being implemented to identify hypertensive individuals. Kibria et al. found that the prevalence of hypertension among Bangladeshi adults aged $\geq 35$ years increased from 25.7 to $48.0 \%{ }^{6}$. Islam et al. reported the findings from 1843 Bangladeshi adults aged over 18 years and found a similar increase ( $22.8 \%$ ) in hypertension ${ }^{20}$. Besides, Kibria et al. concluded that the risk factors and their level vary between the JNC 7 and ACC/AHA guidelines ${ }^{11}$. Thus, changes in prevalence and risk factors have direct and indirect implications and hold significant merit in revising public health policies and plans to address the issue ${ }^{21}$. However, all these studies reported the findings from the 2011 BDHS survey data. A recent research article compared the prevalence and risk factors of hypertension between BDHS 2011 and BDHS 2017-2018 data using multiple logistic regression model ${ }^{22}$. The prevalence ratio (PR) is a suitable method for cross-sectional study when the prevalence of a disease is $>10 \%{ }^{23,24}$. Hence, the statistical modeling in this study overestimated the estimated odds ratios for the risk factors. However, we applied appropriate statistical methods to estimate the PR, which is a uniqueness of this study.

The present research was carried out to identify the change in the prevalence and associated factors of hypertension according to the ACC/AHA guidelines in comparison to that of the JNC 7 guideline using the most recent Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (BDHS) 2017-2018 data. This new dataset encompasses a comparatively larger measurement of BP with more participants than 2011 BDHS. Therefore, the findings using this latest dataset can broaden policy implications regarding hypertension management in Bangladesh.

## Methods

Data sources. The study analyzed the most recent 2017-2018 BDHS dataset. The survey was carried out from October 2017 to March 2018 under the National Institute of Population Research and Training, Medical Education and Family Welfare Division, and Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. The survey's principal objective was to assess the health indicators and provide an overview of population, maternal and child health, and the status of several NCDs such as hypertension and diabetes.

Study population and survey design. The sampling frame used for the 2017-2018 BDHS is the complete list of enumeration areas (EAs) covering the entire population of Bangladesh. The survey used a list of enumeration areas (EAs) provided by the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics from the 2011 Population and Housing Census of the People's Republic of Bangladesh. The survey's primary sampling unit (PSU) is an EA covering on average 120 households in 2017-2018. The 2017-2018 BDHS was a multistage stratified cluster sample of households' survey, carried out in two and three stages in rural and urban settings. In the first sampling stage, rural wards were selected, following PSUs, and then households were selected from PSUs. In urban areas, wards were selected through the PSUs technique, and one EA was selected from each PSU. Then, the households were chosen from the selected EAs sample. A detailed description of the survey design, methodologies, sample size, questionnaires, and findings is available in the final summary report of 2017-2018 BDHS. Anthropometry and BP were also systematically measured from the selected subsample of 2017-2018 BDHS $^{25}$. A total of 12,152 unweighted sample was found in the original dataset, which increased to 12,975 after applying the weight to the dataset. However, we had to exclude 1016 cases due to missing values in some of the variables, making the total weighted number of observations 11,959 for our analysis.

Dependent variable. The dependent variable for this study was hypertension. Trained health technicians measured BP three times using LIFE SOURCE UA-767 Plus BP monitor at about ten minutes interval ${ }^{25}$. Then, the average of second and third measurements was used to report respondents' final $\mathrm{BP}^{25}$. A person with a SBP of $\geq 140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and/or a DBP of $\geq 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ was considered hypertensive, as suggested by the JNC $7^{26}$. While according to the ACC/AHA 2017 guideline, individuals with a SBP of $\geq 130 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and/or a DBP of $\geq 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$ or who were taking any prescribed antihypertensive drugs to control BP were categorized as hypertensive ${ }^{27}$. The category of prehypertension was transformed into elevated blood pressure in the 2017 ACC/AHA guideline ${ }^{27}$.

Explanatory variables. The explanatory variables included in the study were selected based on previous literature reporting the risk of hypertension in LMICs setting, ${ }^{6,111,12,28-31}$. The household factors included administrative divisions (Barisal, Chittagong, Dhaka, Khulna, Rajshahi, Rangpur, Sylhet, Mymensingh); place of residence (urban, rural); and wealth status (poorest, poorer, middle, richer, richest), whereas the socioeconomic
and individual factors included: age of the participants in years ( $18-24,25-34,35-44,45-54,55-64, \geq 65$ ); sex of the participants (male, female); education level (no education, primary, secondary, higher); and occupational status (not working, working). Behavioral characteristics included smoking habit (no, yes) and body mass index (BMI) level. We have used global cut-off points for BMI classification: underweight ( $<18.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), normal $\left(18.5-25.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, overweight ( $25.1-29.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), and obese $\left(\geq 30.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)^{32}$.

Statistical analysis. Considering the complex survey of BDHS, we prepared the data using the survey weights before the analysis. Next, the normality assumption of continuous variables was investigated from their distribution, and it was reported in the paper with medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs). Then, we estimated the prevalence of hypertension and reported the differences between the two guidelines. We reported the prevalence of hypertension by background characteristics accounting for complex survey design/survey weight. It is notable to mention that survey weights only account for the sampling scheme. Therefore, we standardized the prevalence of hypertension for the same standard population to remove or minimize the impact of differences in participant's age and sex distribution. On the next step, we fitted the selected explanatory variables in unadjusted log-binomial regression model. Thereafter, we executed a log-binomial regression model considering survey weights including the explanatory variables having p -values ( $<0.05$ ) in the unadjusted analysis to identify the factors associated with hypertension, describing results with prevalence ratios (PRs) and their 95\% confidence intervals (CIs) and p-values. We used Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 26 and SAS ${ }^{\circ}$ OnDemand for Academics for data analysis.

Ethical consideration. The study used secondary data from the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) Program publicly available; therefore, we did not require any further ethical approval. The details of ethical procedures followed by the DHS Program can be found in the BDHS report ${ }^{25}$.

## Results

A total of 11,959 weighted participants were included in the analysis (Table 1). The median (IQR) age of the respondents was 34.0 years ( $18.0-95.0$ ). Of the total participants, $6835(57.2 \%$ ) were female (Table 1). The median (IQR) of SBP and DBP were $118 \mathrm{mmHg}(109-131)$ and $80 \mathrm{mmHg}(73-87)$, respectively. Most of the participants ( $75.8 \%$ ) have their BP measured at least once. The median BMI was $21.91 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (19.4-24.9). In addition, $25.4 \%$ had no education, and $73.4 \%$ were residing in rural areas.

Table 2 summarizes the prevalence of hypertension among the participants according to JNC 7 and ACC/ AHA guideline, along with the differences between these two guidelines. Prevalence of hypertension in urban areas was $25.1 \%(95 \%$ CI $22.4-25.6 \%)$ in the JNC 7 and $54.0 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI $52.5-55.5 \%$ ) in the ACC/AHA guideline, making the difference of more than twice between them. As per JNC 7, the prevalence of hypertension among women was $24.6 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI $23.1-25.6 \%$ ), and it was $24.3 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI $23.1-25.4 \%$ ) among men, which increased considerably when the new guideline was applied. A similar result was also observed for other explanatory variables (i.e., administrative divisions, age of the participants, BMI level, education level, occupational status, wealth status, and smoking habit).

Table 3 describes the risk factors associated with hypertension under JNC 7 and ACC/AHA guidelines after adjusting the explanatory variables in the log-binomial multivariate model setting. Advanced age, increased BMI, and participants from Rangpur and Rajshahi divisions had higher PRs as per both guidelines, indicating an increased risk of hypertension. Alternatively, smoking had a significantly lower impact on hypertension only in JNC 7, but the result is insignificant for ACC/AHA guideline. To note, before fitting explanatory variables in the log-binomial multivariate setting, we checked unadjusted log-binomial models and found that participants' age, place of residence, and occupational status had an insignificant impact on hypertension in one of the guidelines (Supplementary Table 1). Thus, we did not include these variables while multivariate modeling.

Figure 1 exerts the results of single-adjusted models where people age ( $\geq 65$ ) showed the strongest association with developing hypertension ( $\mathrm{UPR}=6.58,95 \%$ CI $5.66-7.65, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) followed by age (55-64; 45-54 and 35-44) showed greater odds of having hypertensive according to JNC 7 guidelines. Whereas the magnitude of associations as per 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines shows the highest odds age ( $\geq 65$ ), followed by age bracket (55-64 and $45-54$ ), obese people have had the highest odds of having hypertensive ( $\mathrm{UPR}=2.26,95 \% \mathrm{CI} 2.10-2.43$, $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ).

## Discussion

The current study presented unique findings based on recently published BDHS data released by the government of Bangladesh in 2020 under DHS program. A few studies examined the prevalence of hypertension according to the new guideline and have compared the results with previous JNC 7 guidelines ${ }^{6,12}$. These studies found an absolute change in hypertension prevalence after applying the new 2017 ACC/AHA guidance. The benefit of early detection of hypertensive individuals would reduce hypertension-related complications and cardiovascular morbidity.

Our findings depicted the change in the estimated prevalence of hypertension in Bangladesh as per JNC 7 and 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines, developed to classify prehypertension and hypertension status in humans. Under these two guidelines, we found differences in the prevalence rate at the national and individual levels. In the year 2011, according to the new lower blood pressure threshold recommended by 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines, $(43.3 \%)$ prevalence of hypertension observed in Bangladesh was higher at the national level, while ( $20.9 \%$ ) lower prevalence was documented in the previous recommendation of JNC $7^{6,33,34}$. Our study showed, the prevalence of hypertension augmented alarmingly in both conditions; $24.0 \%$ according to JNC 7 and $50.5 \%$ in 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines. The prevalence of hypertension according to JNC 7 was $20.9 \%$ in $2011^{6,33,34}$, and

| Background characteristics | BDHS 2017-2018 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All participants ( $\mathrm{N}=11,959$ ), Frequency (\%) | Hypertensive participants per JNC 7 ( $\mathrm{n}=2866$ ), frequency (\%) | Hypertensive participants per 2017 ACC/ AHA ( $\mathrm{n}=6044$ ), frequency (\%) |
| SBP, median (IQR), ( mmHg) | 118 (109-131) | 109 (103-116) | 114 (106-122) |
| DBP, median (IQR), ( mmHg) | 80 (73-87) | 73 (69-77) | 77 (71.0-82.0) |
| Ever measured BP | 9063 (75.8) | 2379 (26.2) | 4795 (52.9) |
| Know about hypertension status | 1534 (12.8) | 988 (64.4) | 1286 (83.8) |
| Taking antihypertensive medication for BP | 1216 (10.2) | 812 (66.8) | 1049 (86.3) |
| Administrative divisions |  |  |  |
| Barisal | 659 (5.5) | 184 (27.9) | 349 (53.0) |
| Chittagong | 2057 (17.2) | 507 (24.6) | 1065 (51.8) |
| Dhaka | 2770 (23.2) | 564 (20.4) | 1256 (45.4) |
| Khulna | 1488 (12.4) | 399 (26.8) | 833 (56.0) |
| Rajshahi | 1729 (14.5) | 440 (25.4) | 908 (52.5) |
| Rangpur | 1503 (12.6) | 424 (28.2) | 829 (55.2) |
| Sylhet | 780 (6.5) | 165 (21.2) | 366 (46.9) |
| Mymensingh | 973 (8.1) | 183 (18.8) | 438 (45.0) |
| Place of residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3180 (26.6) | 767 (24.1) | 1674 (52.6) |
| Rural | 8779 (73.4) | 2099 (23.9) | 4370 (49.8) |
| Sex of the participants |  |  |  |
| Male | 5124 (42.8) | 1221 (23.8) | 2667 (52.0) |
| Female | 6835 (57.2) | 1646 (24.1) | 3376 (49.4) |
| Age of the participants (years) |  |  |  |
| Median (IQR) | 34.0 (18.0-95.0) | 29.0(22.0-40.0) | 31.0 (23.0-43.0) |
| 18-24 | 2422 (20.3) | 184 (7.6) | 744 (30.7) |
| 25-34 | 2972 (24.9) | 412 (13.9) | 1284 (43.2) |
| 35-44 | 2417 (20.2) | 612 (25.3) | 1326 (54.8) |
| 45-54 | 1672 (14.0) | 564 (33.8) | 1047 (62.6) |
| 55-64 | 1350 (11.3) | 533 (38.5) | 860 (63.7) |
| $\geq 65$ | 1126 (9.4) | 562 (48.9) | 782 (69.4) |
| BMI level |  |  |  |
| Median (IQR) | 21.91 (19.4-24.9) | 20.84 (18.73-23.55) | 21.44 (19.13-24.36) |
| Underweight ( $<18.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 2071 (17.3) | 338 (16.3) | 723 (34.9) |
| Normal ( $18.5-25.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 7010 (58.6) | 1482 (21.1) | 3342 (47.7) |
| Overweight ( $25.1-29.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 2389 (20.0) | 868 (36.3) | 1620 (67.8) |
| Obesity ( $\geq 30.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 489 (4.1) | 178 (36.3) | 359 (73.4) |
| Education level |  |  |  |
| No education | 3032 (25.4) | 977 (32.2) | 1753 (57.8) |
| Primary | 3591 (30.0) | 823 (22.9) | 1754 (48.8) |
| Secondary | 3545 (29.6) | 710 (20.0) | 1675 (47.2) |
| Higher | 1790 (15.0) | 355 (19.8) | 862 (48.2) |
| Occupational Status |  |  |  |
| Not working | 4619 (38.6) | 1213 (26.3) | 2340 (50.7) |
| Working | 7340 (61.4) | 1653 (22.5) | 3704 (50.5) |
| Wealth status |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 2315 (19.4) | 500 (21.6) | 1078 (46.6) |
| Poorer | 2354 (19.7) | 526 (22.3) | 1088 (46.2) |
| Middle | 2468 (20.6) | 582 (23.6) | 1266 (51.3) |
| Richer | 2379 (19.9) | 568 (23.9) | 1208 (50.8) |
| Richest | 2442 (20.4) | 690 (28.3) | 1404 (57.5) |
| Smoking habit |  |  |  |
| No | 10,271 (85.9) | 2405 (23.4) | 5140 (50.0) |
| Yes | 1688 (14.1) | 461 (27.3) | 904 (53.6) |

Table 1. Distribution of respondents by background characteristics. SBP systolic blood pressure, $D B P$ diastolic blood pressure, $B P$ blood pressure, $I Q R$ inter-quartile range, $B M I$ body mass index.

| Explanatory variables | BDHS 2017-2018 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prevalence of hypertension per JNC 7, prevalence (95\% CI) | Prevalence of hypertension per 2017 ACC/AHA, prevalence ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) | Difference, prevalence (95\% CI) |
| Administrative divisions |  |  |  |
| Barisal | 27.8 (25.3-30.2) | 53.5 (50.7-56.2) | 25.7 (25.4-26.0) |
| Chittagong | 24.9 (22.8-27.0) | 52.6 (50.2-55.1) | 27.7 (27.4-28.1) |
| Dhaka | 20.5 (18.5-22.5) | 45.5 (43.1-48.0) | 25.1 (24.6-25.5) |
| Khulna | 26.9 (24.8-29.1) | 56.2 (53.8-58.6) | 29.3 (25.8-29.5) |
| Rajshahi | 25.8 (23.6-27.9) | 52.8 (50.3-55.3) | 26.4 (25.8-26.9) |
| Rangpur | 28.8 (26.6-31.0) | 56.3 (53.8-58.7) | 27.0 (26.7-27.3) |
| Sylhet | 21.1 (19.0-23.3) | 47.6 (45.0-50.2) | 27.5 (27.3-27.7) |
| Mymensingh | 19.5 (17.5-21.6) | 45.9 (43.3-48.6) | 26.5 (26.0-27.0) |
| Place of residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 25.1 (23.8-26.4) | 54.0 (52.5-55.5) | 28.9 (28.7-29.1) |
| Rural | 24.1 (23.2-25.1) | 50.0 (48.9-51.1) | 25.9 (25.7-26.0) |
| Sex of the participants |  |  |  |
| Male | 24.3 (23.1-25.4) | 52.6 (51.3-54.0) | 28.4 (28.2-28.6) |
| Female | 24.6 (23.6-25.6) | 50.5 (49.3-51.7) | 25.9 (25.7-26.0) |
| Age of the participants (years) |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 7.8 (6.7-8.9) | 31.9 (30.0-33.7) | 24.1 (23.3-24.9) |
| 25-34 | 14.2 (12.9-15.4) | 43.7 (41.9-45.5) | 29.5 (29.0-30.0) |
| 35-44 | 25.5 (23.8-27.3) | 55.5 (53.5-57.5) | 30.0 (29.7-30.2) |
| 45-54 | 34.1 (31.8-36.3) | 63.7 (61.4-65.9) | 29.6 (29.6-29.6) |
| 55-64 | 40.9 (38.3-43.5) | 64.8 (62.3-67.3) | 23.9 (24.0-23.9) |
| $\geq 65$ | 50.3 (47.5-53.2) | 70.0 (67.4-72.7) | 19.7 (19.9-19.4) |
| BMI level |  |  |  |
| Underweight (<18.5 kg/m²) | 16.8 (15.2-18.4) | 35.4 (33.3-37.4) | 18.6 (18.2-19.1) |
| Normal ( $18.5-25.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 21.7 (20.7-22.7) | 48.9 (47.7-50.1) | 27.2 (27.0-27.4) |
| Overweight (25.1-29.9 kg/m²) | 36.5 (34.6-38.4) | 67.9 (66.0-69.7) | 31.4 (31.5-31.4) |
| Obesity ( $\geq 30.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 36.9 (32.7-41.1) | 73.4 (69.5-77.2) | 36.5 (36.8-36.1) |
| Education level |  |  |  |
| No education | 32.6 (30.9-34.3) | 58.0 (56.2-59.7) | 25.4 (25.3-25.5) |
| Primary | 23.6 (22.2-24.9) | 49.5 (47.9-51.1) | 25.9 (25.7-26.2) |
| Secondary | 20.6 (19.3-21.9) | 48.4 (46.7-50.0) | 27.7 (27.4-28.1) |
| Higher | 20.9 (19.1-22.7) | 50.7 (48.5-52.9) | 29.9 (29.4-30.3) |
| Occupational status |  |  |  |
| Not working | 27.2 (25.9-28.4) | 52.2 (50.8-53.6) | 25.0 (24.9-25.2) |
| Working | 22.7 (21.8-23.7) | 50.9 (49.8-52.1) | 28.2 (28.0-28.4) |
| Wealth status |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 21.0 (19.4-22.6) | 45.6 (43.6-47.6) | 24.6 (24.2-25.0) |
| Poorer | 22.3 (20.6-24.0) | 46.7 (44.7-48.7) | 24.4 (24.1-24.7) |
| Middle | 24.1 (22.4-25.8) | 51.9 (49.9-53.9) | 27.7 (27.5-28.0) |
| Richer | 25.3 (23.5-27.0) | 52.8 (50.8-54.8) | 27.5 (27.3-27.8) |
| Richest | 28.9 (27.2-30.7) | 59.0 (57.1-60.8) | 30.0 (29.9-30.2) |
| Smoking habit |  |  |  |
| No | 23.9 (23.0-24.7) | 51.0 (50.0-51.9) | 27.1 (27.0-27.3) |
| Yes | 27.9 (25.8-29.9) | 53.9 (51.6-56.2) | 26.1 (25.8-26.3) |

Table 2. Weighted prevalence of hypertension according to selected demographic characteristics. CI confidence interval, $B M I$ body mass index.
at least $3.1 \%$ increase was found in our present study. Whereas, $24.0 \%$ prevalence was observed in the new 2017 ACC/AHA guideline and at least $7.2 \%$ increased prevalence of hypertension was found. In addition, regardless of the respondents' background status, these findings show the prevalence has been increasing among female participants alarmingly based on the previous studies ${ }^{6,33}$. When hypertension has been classified based on the 2017 ACC/AHA guideline's thresholds, a substantial rise of the prevalence observed for all countries such as Nepal, the USA ${ }^{12,35}$ and Bangladesh ${ }^{11}$ despite different socioeconomic characteristics. This depicts the alarming

| Explanatory variables | BDHS 2017-2018 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | JNC 7 |  | ACC/AHA 2017 |  |
|  | PR (95\% CI) | p-value | PR (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Administrative divisions |  |  |  |  |
| Dhaka (RC) | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Barisal | 1.30 (1.15-1.48) | <0.0001 | 1.08 (1.03-1.13) | 0.0032 |
| Chittagong | 1.14 (1.04-1.26) | 0.0054 | 1.05 (1.02-1.09) | 0.0037 |
| Khulna | 1.17 (1.06-1.30) | 0.0017 | 1.08 (1.04-1.12) | <0.0001 |
| Mymensingh | 0.94 (0.81-1.08) | 0.3824 | 1.01 (0.97-1.07) | 0.4432 |
| Rajshahi | 1.22 (1.10-1.34) | <0.0001 | 1.08 (1.03-1.12) | <0.0001 |
| Rangpur | 1.32 (1.20-1.46) | <0.0001 | 1.11 (1.07-1.15) | <0.0001 |
| Sylhet | 1.12 (0.98-1.29) | 0.0977 | 1.05 (1.00-1.11) | 0.0585 |
| Age of the participants (years) |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 (RC) | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 25-34 | 1.66 (1.41-1.96) | <0.0001 | 1.10 (1.06-1.15) | <0.0001 |
| 35-44 | 2.99 (2.56-3.50) | <0.0001 | 1.23 (1.18-1.28) | <0.0001 |
| 45-54 | 4.10 (3.51-4.81) | <0.0001 | 1.33 (1.27-1.38) | <0.0001 |
| 55-64 | 5.01 (4.28-5.87) | <0.0001 | 1.37 (1.31-1.43) | <0.0001 |
| $\geq 65$ | 6.30 (5.40-7.36) | <0.0001 | 1.44 (1.38-1.51) | <0.0001 |
| BMI level |  |  |  |  |
| Normal ( $18.5-25.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) (RC) | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Underweight (<18.5 kg/m²) | 0.71 (0.64-0.78) | <0.0001 | 0.86 (0.83-0.89) | <0.0001 |
| Overweight ( $25.1-29.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 1.59 (1.48-1.69) | <0.0001 | 1.17 (1.15-1.20) | <0.0001 |
| Obesity ( $\geq 30.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 1.86 (1.41-1.96) | <0.0001 | 1.21 (1.17-1.26) | <0.0001 |
| Education level |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary education (RC) | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| No education | 1.01 (0.93-1.10) | 0.7623 | 1.01 (0.98-1.05) | 0.3774 |
| Primary | 0.95 (0.88-1.02) | 0.1988 | 0.94 (0.86-1.02) | 0.4672 |
| Higher | 0.96 (0.88-1.06) | 0.5193 | 0.96 (0.97-1.04) | 0.7753 |
| Wealth status |  |  |  |  |
| Middle (RC) | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Poorer | 0.98 (0.89-1.07) | 0.6251 | 0.96 (0.93-0.99) | 0.0275 |
| Poorest | 0.99 (0.90-1.09) | 0.7992 | 0.98 (0.94-1.01) | 0.1698 |
| Richer | 0.99 (0.91-1.08) | 0.8888 | 1.00 (0.96-1.03) | 0.8332 |
| Richest | 1.05 (0.96-1.15) | 0.2968 | 1.02 (0.98-1.05) | 0.3906 |
| Smoking habit |  |  |  |  |
| No (RC) | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Yes | 0.88 (0.81-0.95) | 0.0014 | 0.97 (0.95-1.01) | 0.1161 |

Table 3. Factors associated with hypertension in according to selected demographic characteristics. $P R$ prevalence ratio, $C I$ confidence interval, $p$-value probability value, $R C$ reference category, $B M I$ body mass index.
increase in the prevalence of hypertension, which requires the need of urgent attention from all the stakeholders who are interested in prevention and control of hypertension in Bangladesh.

Interestingly, our findings reported a similar prevalence of hypertension among male and female participants. If we compare the finding based on the previous study, the prevalence rate increased among female participants more than male counterparts ${ }^{11,28}$. The plausible explanation could be biological and behavioural characteristics among the females might have increased over the period. This fact is supported by the previous evidence that females have a higher risk of obesity and diabetes compared with men ${ }^{36,37}$. This needs females to require more awareness and public health information to control hypertension and minimize adverse complications ${ }^{28}$. Our study findings reported that people with higher socioeconomic status had higher odds of having hypertension. The higher wealth status participants can generally purchase more consumable resources with a large amount of calorie intake, making them overweight or obese, putting them at a greater risk of being hypertensive than those lower wealth status ${ }^{38,39}$. This suggests the need for prevention and control program for hypertension in urban areas of Bangladesh.

The prevalence of hypertension was higher among those living in urban areas, which is in line with previous studies where urban people were reported more hypertensive ${ }^{6,28,40,41}$. The possible reason could be prevailing unhealthy lifestyle factors such as less physical activity, consumption of unhealthy diets among the urban populations might have contributed to the disease burden ${ }^{42-44}$. However, this finding warrants further detailed


Figure 1. Unadjusted prevalence ratio (UPR) of factors associated with hypertension according to JNC 7 and 2017 ACC/AHA.
investigation of causes for the increased prevalence or odds of hypertension in several Bangladesh divisions ${ }^{28}$. This finding suggests the need to understand the social inequalities among the rural and urban community, which may have played a role in such variation. Understanding the inequalities mentioned earlier may help design the comprehensive hypertension prevention and control program for Bangladesh peoples.

There is another explanation that would help understand why the prevalence of hypertension is high in urban areas. The study found that higher educated and higher wealth status of people are likely lives in urban areas, resulting from having a sedentary lifestyle such as low physical activity. A lack of open spaces for playing games or physical activity might result in the high-risk prevalence of hypertension ${ }^{28}$. Since most urban participants are educated, and these had a higher prevalence of hypertension. Thus our study recommends that educated individuals in urban areas need to receive more public health awareness information to control raised blood pressure levels ${ }^{28}$.

This study identified the potential risk factors of hypertension using both JNC 7 and 2017 ACC/AHA guideline alongside to the estimation of the prevalence. People of older age 25 to more, overweight, and obese had relatively higher odds, which is in line with previous studies elsewhere ${ }^{6,12,45-47}$. Notably, in the current study, administrative divisions were also found significantly associated with hypertension in line with suggest 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines. People from Rangpur and Rajshahi division found higher odds in the two guidelines. Much is unknown why the people from these two divisions owned higher risk of being hypertensive; however, the reason may be because of socioeconomic inequalities such as limited resources, income inequality, low level of education and social safety net programs, poor connectivity with the urban centres, insufficiency or absence of public infrastructure ${ }^{48,49}$.

The new 2017 ACC/AHA guideline recommends treating stage 1 hypertension with changing lifestyle measures and taking antihypertensive medicines to prevent future cardiovascular disease risks ${ }^{12}$. Our study findings are significant because it shows that above fifty per cent of adults with hypertension or elevated blood pressure according to the new 2017 ACC/AHA classification require active lifestyles and healthy dietary habits. Public health programs should adequately address this emerging problem; in Bangladesh, emphasis should be paid to prevention and self-management of a condition not only for those with hypertension but also for all adults ${ }^{12}$. Therefore, it is essential to estimate the prevalence based on both thresholds to control this hypertension burden, which might exacerbate cardiovascular disease. These findings might help future researchers and appropriate authority design any programs and policies regarding control and prevent hypertension burden and overcome this massive public health challenge.

The strengths and weaknesses of this study are accredited. The strength that lies in this study is the generalizability of the findings for Bangladesh since this survey covered nationally representative data covering all divisions. Along with appropriate statistical methods to estimate the weighted prevalence of hypertension from the sample.

The limitations of the study are appropriately acknowledged. Due to a cross-sectional setup, no causality cannot be established, and the individuals' blood pressure was measured three times in a single day. However,
both guidelines recommend longitudinal measurement of blood pressure levels to diagnose hypertension ${ }^{12}$. This survey also used an automated device, though both guidelines recommend recording blood pressure with a sphygmomanometer ${ }^{33,50,51}$.

## Conclusions

The present study highlighted that the prevalence of hypertension was almost doubled according to the 2017 ACC/AHA guideline compared to the JNC 7 guideline. The policymakers and public health practitioners should consider the new guideline and make new strategies to increase awareness among the adult population in Bangladesh. The study finding also points towards addressing the already established modifiable risk factors of hypertension such as overweight/obesity, high-income status, which are also identified as the risk factors according to both guidelines.
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