# scientific reports

### OPEN

Check for updates

## Taxonomic and phylogenetic characterizations reveal four new species of *Simplicillium* (Cordycipitaceae, Hypocreales) from Guizhou, China

Wan-Hao Chen<sup>1</sup>, Yan-Feng Han<sup>2</sup>, Jian-Dong Liang<sup>1</sup> & Zong-Qi Liang<sup>2</sup>

Simplicillium species are commonly found from soil, seawater, rock surface, decayed wood, air and as symbiotic, endophytic, entomopathogenic and mycoparasitic fungi. Minority insect-associated species was reported. Simplicillium coccinellidae, S. hymenopterorum, S. neolepidopterorum and S. scarabaeoidea were introduced as the newly insect-associated species. The phylogenetic analyses of two combined datasets (LSU + RPB1 + TEF and SSU + ITS + LSU) revealed that S. coccinellidae and S. hymenopterorum were both nested in an independent clade. S. neolepidopterorum and S. scarabaeoidea have a close relationship with S. formicidae and S. lepidopterorum, respectively. S. neolepidopterorum can be easily distinguished from S. formicidae by ellipsoidal to cylindrical, solitary conidia which occasionally gather in short imbricate chains. S. scarabaeoidea could be easily distinguished from S. lepidopterorum and larger conidia. Based on the morphological and phylogenetic conclusion, we determine the four newly generated isolates as new species of Simplicillium and a new combination is proposed in the genus Leptobacillium.

The genus *Simplicillium* was established for the typical species *S. lanosoniveum* (J.F.H. Beyma) Zare & W. Gams and three other species *S. obclavatum* (W. Gams) Zare & W. Gams, *S. lamellicola* (F.E.V. Sm.) Zare & W. Gams and *S. wallacei* H.C. Evans<sup>1</sup>. The typical characteristic of *Simplicillium* is its solitary phialides, which could be easily distinguished from its closely genus *Lecanicillium* W. Gams & Zare. *S. wallacei* was transferred to the genus *Lecanicillium* based on the phylogenetic analysis by Zare & Gams<sup>2</sup>. Fourteen species were reported later. Okane et al.<sup>3</sup> transferred *S. chinense* F. Liu & L. Cai and *S. coffeanum* A.A.M. Gomes & O.L. Pereira to the genus *Leptobacillium* and this transfer was confirmed by Wang et al.<sup>4</sup>.

*Simplicillium* species have diverse ecology, but most species are known from few strains impeding to define their habitat and ecology accurately. Species were found from soil (e.g., *S. cylindrosporum, S. minatense, S. subtropicum*, and *S. sympodiophorum*<sup>5</sup>), as plant endophyte (e.g. *S. coffeanum* and *S. filiforme* isolated from *Coffea arabica*<sup>6</sup> and *Citrullus lanatus*<sup>7</sup>), from decaying wood or rock (*S. calcicola*<sup>8</sup> and *S. chinense*<sup>9</sup>) or from multiple sources. *Simplicillium obclavatum* was isolated from air, soil, bark, human nail, and seawater<sup>1,10</sup>, whereas *S. aogashimaense* was isolated from soil, seawater, and as symbiotic fungi from *Nilaparvata lugens* Stå<sup>15,11,12</sup>. *Simplicillium lamellicola* was isolated as endophytic, entomopathogenic, and mycoparasitic fungi<sup>1,13–15</sup>. *Simplicillium lanosoniveum* was isolated as cyanobacterium-symbiotic, endophytic, entomopathogenic, and mycoparasitism fungi<sup>16–19</sup>. Among those *Simplicillium* species, six species viz. *S. cicadellidae*, *S. formicae*, *S. formicidae*, *S. lamellicola*, *S. lanosoniveum* and *S. lepidopterorum*, were found associated with insects.

In the present study, four novel insect-associated species viz. *Simplicillium coccinellidae*, *S. hymenopterorum*, *S. neolepidopterorum* and *S. scarabaeoidea*, were introduced based on morphological comparison and molecular phylogenetic analyses, and this may contribute to the control of insect pest and the discovery of useful novel compounds.

<sup>1</sup>Basic Medical School, Guizhou University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Guiyang 550025, Guizhou, People's Republic of China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Ecology, Institute of Fungus Resources, College of Life Sciences, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, Guizhou, People's Republic of China. <sup>Semail:</sup> swallow1128@126.com

#### Result

**Phylogenetic analyses.** In the phylogenetic tree, *Purpureocillium lilacinum* (Thom) Luangsa-ard, Houbraken, Hywel-Jones & Samson (CBS 284.36 and CBS 431.87) and *Pochonia chlamydosporia* (Goddard) Zare & W. Gams (CBS 103.65) were used as the outgroup in analysis 1 and analysis 2, respectively. The concatenated sequences of analysis 1 and analysis 2 included 46 and 22 taxa, and consisted of 1,729 (*LSU*: 497, *RPB1*: 550 and *TEF*: 682) and 1,904 (*SSU*: 845, *ITS*: 541 and *LSU*: 518) characters with gaps, respectively.

Analysis 1: The P-value of PAUP4.0b10 using the command "hompart" is 0.01, and indicated the dataset LSU + RPB1 + TEF is not suitable for the combined analysis. The selected model for LSU, RPB1 and TEFwere SYM + G4, SYM + G4 and GTR + F + I + G4, respectively. The final value of the highest scoring tree was -17,856.725706, which was obtained from the ML analysis of the dataset (LSU + RPB1 + TEF). The parameters of GTR model to analysis of the dataset were estimated base frequencies; A = 0.235757, C = 0.286704, G = 0.270379, T = 0.207160; substitution rates AC = 0.874437, AG = 2.344268, AT = 0.877112, CG = 0.872563, CT = 6.144163, GT = 1.000000; gamma distribution shape parameter  $\alpha = 0.441982$ . In the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1), both analyses of ML and BI trees were largely congruent, and strongly supported in most branches. All *Simplicillium* species were nested in an independent clade, which was the earliest diverging lineage in Cordycipitaceae. The four new species, *S. coccinellidae, S. hymenopterorum, S. neolepidopterorum* and *S. scarabaeoidea* were both formed an independent branch and clustered with *S. cicadellidae, S. formicidae* and *S. lepidopterorum* in a subclade.

Analysis 2: The P-value of PAUP4.0b10 using the command "hompart" is 0.99, and indicated the dataset SSU + ITS + LSU is suitable for the combined analysis. The selected model was JC for SSU and K2P + G4 for ITS + LSU. The final value of the highest scoring tree was -6,637.139922, which was obtained from the ML analysis of the dataset (SSU + ITS + LSU). The parameters of GTR model to analysis of the dataset were estimated base frequencies; A = 0.251177, C = 0.239762, G = 0.263036, T = 0.246025; substitution rates AC = 1.301732, AG = 2.440073, AT = 0.844382, CG = 1.306407, CT = 3.262235, GT = 1.000000; gamma distribution shape parameter  $\alpha = 0.552466$ . In the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2), both analyses of ML and BI trees were largely congruent, and strongly supported in most branches. Four well-supported clades representing four new novel species *S. coccinellidae*, *S. hymenopterorum*, *S. neolepidopterorum* and *S. scarabaeoidea* were obtained. These new species clustered with *S. cicadellidae*, *S. formicidae* and *S. lepidopterorum* in a well-supported subclade within the Simplicillium lineage. *S. coccinellidae* and *S. hymenopterorum* were both nested in an independent clade. *S. neolepidopterorum* and *S. scarabaeoidea* and *S. lepidopterorum*, respectively.

#### Taxonomy

Simplicillium coccinellidae W.H. Chen, Y.F. Han, Z.Q. Liang sp. nov. (Fig. 3).

MycoBank No.: MB 835583.

Etymology: referring to its insect host, family Coccinellidae.

*Description*: The colonies were moderate-growing on PDA medium, reaching a diameter of 31-36 mm, in 14 days at 25 °C, convex, with white velutinate aerial mycelium, reverse yellowish to pale brown, especially in the middle, margin entire, soluble pigment not produced. *Vegetative hyphae* branched, hyaline, smooth-walled, septate,  $1.1-1.9 \mu$ m wide. *Phialides* produced on aerial hyphae, always solitary, aseptate, hyaline, smooth-walled, relatively slender, and tapering toward the tip,  $24.9-62.1 \times 1.0-1.5 \mu$ m. *Conidia* in small subglobose slimy heads at the apex of the phialides, hyaline, cylindrical, ellipsoidal to globose, aseptate, smooth-walled, 1-celled,  $2.0-3.4 \times 1.6-2.0 \mu$ m, Octahedral crystals absent.

*Material examined*: CHINA, Guizhou, Guiyang, Duyun City (26°21′27.96″ N, 107°22′48.22″ E). On dead sacrab (Coccinellidae), 1 October 2019, Wanhao Chen, DY10179 (GZAC DY10179, holotype), was deposited at the Institute of Fungus Resources, Guizhou University (formally Herbarium of Guizhou Agricultural College; code, GZAC), Guiyang City, Guizhou, China; ex-type living cultures, DY101791, DY101792. Sequences from isolated strain DY101791 has been deposited in GenBank with accession numbers: *ITS* = MT453861, *SSU* = MT453863, *LSU* = MT453862 and *TEF* = MT471341.

Know distribution: China, Guizhou Province, Duyun City (26°21'27.96" N, 107°22'48.22" E).

Notes: S. coccinellidae share similar conidial and phialide morphologies with the related species (Table 1). However, the pairwise dissimilarities of *ITS* sequences show 30, 127, 31, 29, 45, 33 bp difference within 584 bp between S. coccinellidae and S. cicadellidae, S. formicidae, S. lepodopterorum, S. hymenopterorum, S. neolepidopterorum, S. scarabaeoidea respectively. Jeewon & Hyde<sup>39</sup> recommended that a minimum of > 1.5% nucleotide differences in the ITS regions may be indicative of a new species. Besides, based on the analysis of the combined dataset LSU + RPB1 + TEF and SSU + ITS + LSU, S. coccinellidae was nested in a separate group in both phylogenetic trees. Thus, the molecular phylogenetic results supported that S. coccinellidae was a new species in the genus Simplicillium.

Simplicillium hymenopterorum W.H. Chen, Y.F. Han, Z.Q. Liang sp. nov. (Fig. 4).

*MycoBank No.* : MB 835581.

*Etymology*: referring to its insect host, order Hymenoptera.

*Description*: The colonies were rapid-growing on PDA medium, reaching a diameter of 40–42 mm, in 14 days at 25 °C, convex, with white velutinate aerial mycelium, reverse pale yellow, especially in the middle, margin entire, soluble pigment not produced. *Phialides* produced on prostrate aerial hyphae, mainly solitary, aseptate, hyaline, smooth-walled, relatively slender, and tapering toward the tip, 19.3–46.2 × 1.1–2.3 µm. *Conidia* in small subglobose heads at the apex of the phialides, hyaline, cylindrical to subellipsoidal, aseptate, smooth-walled, 1-celled,  $2.1-2.8 \times 1.3-1.9$  µm, Octahedral crystals absent.

*Material examined*: CHINA, Guizhou, Guiyang, Duyun City (26°21'27.96" N, 107°22'48.22" E). On dead ant (Hymenoptera), 1 October 2019, Wanhao Chen, DY10169 (GZAC DY10169, holotype), was deposited at the Institute of Fungus Resources, Guizhou University (formally Herbarium of Guizhou Agricultural College; code,



**Figure 1.** Phylogenetic relationships among the genus *Simplicillium* and closely-related species in Cordycipitaceae based on multigene dataset (*LSU*, *RPB1* and *TEF*). Statistical support values ( $\geq$ 70%/0.7) are shown at the nodes for ML bootstrap support/BI posterior probabilities.

.....

GZAC), Guiyang City, Guizhou, China; ex-type living cultures, DY101691, DY101692. Sequences from isolated strain DY101691 has been deposited in GenBank with accession numbers: ITS = MT453848, SSU = MT453849, LSU = MT453850, RPB1 = MT471344 and TEF = MT471337.

*Notes*: Based on the analysis of the combined dataset *LSU*+*RPB1*+*TEF* and *SSU*+*ITS*+*LSU*, *S. hymenopterorum* was nested in a separate group in two phylogenetic trees. The pairwise dissimilarities of *ITS* sequences show 105, 24, 31, 17 bp difference within 582 bp between *S. hymenopterorum* and *S. formicidae*, *S. lepodopterorum*, *S.* 



**Figure 2.** Phylogenetic relationships among the new taxa, other *Simplicillium* species and closely-related species by SSU+ITS+LSU sequences. Statistical support values ( $\geq$  70%/0.7) are shown at the nodes for ML bootstrap support/BI posterior probabilities.

*coccinellidae*, *S. neolepidopterorum*, respectively. The pairwise dissimilarities of *RPB1* sequences show 25, 16 bp difference within 737 bp between *S. hymenopterorum* and *S. cicadellidae*, *S. scarabaeoidea* respectively. When compared with the typical characteristics of *S. cicadellidae* and *S. scarabaeoidea* (Table 1), *S. hymenopterorum* could be easily distinguished from *S. cicadellidae* and *S. scarabaeoidea* by having subglobose slimy heads of conidia, cylindrical to subellipsoidal conidia,  $2.1-2.8 \times 1.3-1.9 \mu m$  and phialides,  $19.3-46.2 \times 1.1-2.3 \mu m$ . Thus, morphologically based conclusion supported the molecular phylogenetic results that *S. hymenopterorum* was a new species in the genus *Simplicillium*.

Simplicillium neolepidopterorum W.H. Chen, Y.F. Han, Z.Q. Liang sp. nov. (Fig. 5).

MycoBank No. : MB 835582.

Etymology: referring to its insect host, order Lepidoptera.

*Description: Insect host* was completely covered by white to yellowish, loosely mycelium. *Conidiophore* mononematous. The colonies were slow-growing on PDA medium, reaching a diameter of 28–31 mm, in 14 days at 25 °C, convex, with white velutinate aerial mycelium, reverse yellowish to pale brown, especially in the middle, margin entire, soluble pigment not produced. *Vegetative hyphae* branched, hyaline, septate, smooth-walled, 1.3–1.4 µm wide. *Phialides* produced on aerial hyphae, always solitary and rather long and narrow, aseptate, hyaline, smooth-walled, relatively slender, and tapering toward the tip, 34.1–44.3×1.0–1.7 µm. *Conidia* solitary,



**Figure 3.** *Simplicillium coccinellidae* (**A**) infected ladybug (Coccinellidae) (**B**,**C**) culture plate, showing the front (**B**) and the reverse (**C**) of the colony, cultured on PDA medium (**D**–**K**) phialides solitary, conidia adhering ellipsoidal slimy head and conidia L conidia. Scale bars: 10 mm (**B**,**C**), 10 μm (**D**–**L**).

	Morphological characteristics						
Species	Phialide (Conidiogenous cell) (µm)	Conidia (µm)	Conidia mass				
S. cicadellidae	12.9-18.3×0.8-1.1	Ellipsoidal, 1.8–2.8×1.4–1.8	Ellipsoidal heads				
S. formicidae	51-70.1×0.7-0.9	Filiform to fusoid, 3.9–7.9×0.8–1.3	Globose heads				
S. lepodopterorum	15.3-26.2×0.7-1.4	Ellipsoidal, 1.6–2.4×1.4–1.7	Globose heads				
S. coccinellidae	24.9-62.1×1.0-1.5	Cylindrical, ellipsoidal to globose, 2.0–3.4×1.6– 2.0	Subglobose heads				
S. hymenopterorum	19.3-46.2×1.1-2.3	Cylindrical to subellipsoidal, 2.1–2.8×1.3–1.9	Subglobose heads				
S. neolepidopterorum	34.1-44.3×1.0-1.7	Ellipsoidal to cylindrical, 2.5–3.8×1.5–2.1					
S. scarabaeoidea	18.5-63.4×1.1-1.4	Ellipsoidal, 1.9–2.9×1.4–2.0	Globose heads				

Table 1. Morphological comparison of four new species with other Simplicillium species.

occasionally in short imbricate chains, hyaline, ellipsoidal to cylindrical, as eptate, smooth-walled, 1-celled, 2.5–3.8  $\times$  1.5–2.1 µm, Octahedral crystals absent.

*Material examined*: CHINA, Guizhou, Guiyang, Duyun City (26°21′27.96″ N, 107°22′48.22″ E). On dead insect (Lepidoptera), 1 October 2019, Wanhao Chen, DY10175 (GZAC DY10175, holotype), was deposited at the Institute of Fungus Resources, Guizhou University (formally Herbarium of Guizhou Agricultural College; code, GZAC), Guiyang City, Guizhou, China; ex-type living cultures, DY101751, DY101752. Sequences from isolated strain DY101751 has been deposited in GenBank with accession numbers: *ITS* = MT453854, *SSU* = MT453856, *LSU* = MT453855 and *TEF* = MT471339.

*Notes*: Based on the analysis of the combined dataset *SSU*+*ITS*+*LSU*, *S. neolepidopterorum* is phylogenetically close to *S. formicidae*. Besides, the pairwise dissimilarities of *ITS* sequences show 153 bp difference within 580 bp between S. *neolepidopterorum* and *S. formicidae*. When compared with the typical characteristics of *S. formicidae* (Table 1), *S. neolepidopterorum* could easily distinguished from *S. formicidae* by having solitary conidia, occasionally in short imbricate chains, and ellipsoidal to cylindrical conidia. Thus, molecular phylogenetic results and morphologically based conclusion were supported *S. neolepidopterorum* was a new species in the genus *Simplicillium*.

*Simplicillium scarabaeoidea* W.H. Chen, Y.F. Han, Z.Q. Liang sp. nov. (Fig. 6).

MycoBank No. : MB 835580.

*Etymology*: referring to its insect host, family Scarabaeoidea.

Distribution: Insect host was completely covered by white, yellowish to pinkish, densely mycelium. Conidiophore mononematous. The colonies were rapid-growing on PDA medium, reaching a diameter of 44–47 mm, in 14 days at 25 °C, convex, with white velutinate aerial mycelium; reverse pale yellow, margin entire, soluble pigment not produced. Phialides produced on prostrate aerial hyphae, mainly solitary, aseptate, hyaline, smooth-walled,



**Figure 4.** *Simplicillium hymenopterorum* (**A**) infected ant (Hymenoptera) (**B**,**C**) culture plate, showing the front (**B**) and the reverse (**C**) of the colony, cultured on PDA medium (**D**–**I**), (**K**) phialides solitary, conidia adhering ellipsoidal slimy head and conidia (**J**) conidia. Scale bars: 10 mm (**B**,**C**), 10 µm (**D**–**K**).



**Figure 5.** *Simplicillium neolepidopterorum* (**A**) infected moth (Lepidoptera) (**B**,**C**) culture plate, showing the front (**B**) and the reverse (**C**) of the colony, cultured on PDA medium (**D**–**I**) phialides solitary, conidia adhering ellipsoidal slimy head and conidia (**J**) conidia. Scale bars: 10 mm (**B**,**C**), 10 μm (**D**–**J**).

relatively slender, and tapering toward the tip,  $18.5-63.4 \times 1.1-1.4 \mu$ m. *Conidia* in small globose heads at the apex of the phialides, hyaline, ellipsoidal, aseptate, smooth-walled, 1-celled,  $1.9-2.9 \times 1.4-2.0 \mu$ m. Octahedral crystals absent.

*Material examined*: CHINA, Guizhou, Guiyang, Duyun City (26°21′27.96″ N, 107°22′48.22″ E). On dead insect (Lepidoptera), 1 October 2019, Wanhao Chen, DY10139 (GZAC DY10139, holotype), was deposited at the Institute of Fungus Resources, Guizhou University (formally Herbarium of Guizhou Agricultural College; code, GZAC), Guiyang City, Guizhou, China; ex-type living cultures, DY101391, DY101392. Sequences from isolated strain DY101391 has been deposited in GenBank with accession numbers: *ITS* = MT453842, *SSU* = MT453843, *LSU* = MT453844, *RPB1* = MT471343 and *TEF* = MT471335.

Notes: Based on the analysis of the combined dataset SSU + ITS + LSU, *S. scarabaeoidea* is phylogenetically close to *S. lepodopterorum*. However, the pairwise dissimilarities of *RPB1* sequences show 31 bp difference within 760 bp between *S. scarabaeoidea* and *S. lepodopterorum*. When comparing with the typical characteristics of *S. lepodopterorum* (Table 1), *S. scarabaeoidea* could be easily distinguished from *S. lepodopterorum* by having longer phialides and larger conidia. Thus, molecular phylogenetic results and morphologically based conclusion were supported *S. scarabaeoidea* was a new species in the genus *Simplicillium*.



**Figure 6.** *Simplicillium scarabaeoidea* (**A**) infected scarab (Scarabaeoidea) (**B**,**C**) culture plate, showing the front (**B**) and the reverse (**C**) of the colony, cultured on PDA medium (**D**–**I**) phialides solitary, conidia adhering ellipsoidal slimy head and conidia (**J**) conidia. Scale bars: 10 mm (**B**,**C**), 10 μm (**D**–**J**).

Leptobacillium filiform (R.M.F. Silva, R.J.V. Oliveira, Souza-Motta, J.L. Bezerra & G.A. Silva) W.H. Chen, Y.F. Han J.D. Liang & Z.Q. Liang, comb. nov.

Mycobank No.: MB839923.

Basionym: Simplicillium filiform R.M.F. Silva, R.J.V. Oliveira, Souza-Motta, J.L. Bezerra & G.A. Silva, Persoonia 41: 403 (2018).

*Notes*: Okane et al.<sup>3</sup> transferred *Simplicillium chinense* and *S. coffeanum* to the genus *Leptobacillium*. In the present study, *S. chinense*, *S. coffeanum* and *S. filiform* were clustered into an independent clade (Fig. 2), and supported by Crous et al.<sup>7</sup>, Chen et al.<sup>20</sup> and Wei et al.<sup>28</sup>. Thus, *L. filiform* is proposed as a new combination.

#### Discussion

Sung et al.<sup>40</sup> refined the classification of *Cordyceps* and the Clavicipitaceae; the genus *Simplicillium* thus belongs to the Cordycipitaceae sensu stricto. The result of phylogenetic analysis of the combined dataset (*SSU, LSU, RPB1, RPB2* and *TEF*) showed that *Simplicillium* species were all clustered in an independent group and as the most ancient lineage in the phylogenetic tree<sup>41</sup>. In this study, all *Simplicillium* species were also clustered into a clade at the end of the tree (Fig. 1) based on the analysis of the concentrated dataset (*LSU, RPB1* and *TEF*). The four newly identified species, *S. scarabaeoidea, S. hymenopterorum, S. neolepidopterorum* and *S. coccinellidae*, were all clustered in a separate subclade. Liu & Cai<sup>9</sup> reported a new species based on the morphological comparison and phylogenetic analysis of *ITS* and *LSU* sequences, which was the earliest application for the identification of *Simplicillium* species. Kondo et al.<sup>29</sup> added the loci *SSU* in the analysis of *Simplicillium* species in this study.

The nutritional mode from plant to animals and fungi is the evolutionary characteristics of Hypocreales<sup>42</sup>. Plants associated fungi, which including living plants and plant residues were the common ancestor in the families Hypocreaceae and Clavicipitaceae<sup>41</sup>. The animal pathogenic fungi are likely inherited from the plant associated fungi by a series of interkingdom host jumps<sup>42</sup>. In the phylogenetic tree of analysis 2 (Fig. 2), *S. chinense*, *S. filiforme* and *S. coffeanum* were nested in a clade and at the end of the tree. The substrates of *S. chinense*, *S. coffeanum* and *S. filiforme* were decaying wood, branches of *Coffea arabica* and leaves of *Citrullus lanatus*<sup>6,7,9</sup>. All of them were belongs to plants associated fungi, and might reflect the initial state of *Simplicillium* species, which then underwent a host jump or transferred their nutritional preference. *Simplicillium* species have rich diversity in substrates and life modes, such as soil, seawater, air, and isolated as symbiotic, endophytic, entomopathogenic and mycoparasitic fungi. *Simplicillium* species associated with predatory insects or animals, like spiders, will likely soon be reported. Thus, the genus *Simplicillium* will completely fit with the nutritional model of Hypocreales fungi and could be used as a model to study the evolutionary relationship.

Numerous new secondary metabolites were found from *Simplicillium* species, such as alkaloids<sup>43</sup>, diketopiperazine<sup>44</sup> and anthraquinones<sup>45</sup>, especially aogacillin A, B and Simpotentin, which have antibacterial and antifungal activities and shown great potential applications in medicine<sup>46,47</sup>. In addition, some *Simplicillium* species were isolated as symbiotic, entomopathogenic and mycoparasitic fungi, and could be used to biocontrol of insect pest, nematode and microbial diseases<sup>48-50</sup>. Thus, it is expected that useful novel compounds will be discovered from the newly-reported *Simplicillium* species described here and be a natural resource for the application in biocontrol, medicine and health.

#### Materials and methods

**Specimen collection and identification.** Four infected insect specimens (DY10139, DY10169, DY10175 and DY10179) were collected from Duyun City (26°21'24.71" N, 107°22'48.22" E), Guizhou Province, on 1 October, 2019. Isolation of strains was conducted as described by Chen et al.<sup>20</sup>. Fungal colonies emerging from specimens were isolated and cultured at 25 °C for 14 days under 12 h light/12 h dark conditions following protocols described by Zou et al.<sup>21</sup>. Accordingly, strains were obtained. The specimens and the isolated strains were deposited in the Institute of Fungus Resources, Guizhou University (formally Herbarium of Guizhou Agricultural College; code, GZAC), Guiyang City, Guizhou, China.

Macroscopic and microscopic morphological characteristics of the fungi were examined and the growth rates were determined from PDA cultures incubated at 25 °C for 14 days. Hyphae and conidiogenous structures were mounted in lactophenol cotton blue or 20% lactate solution and observed with an optical microscope (OM, DM4 B, Leica, Germany).

DNA extraction, polymerase chain reaction amplification and nucleotide sequencing.

DNA extraction was carried out by Fungal genomic DNA Extraction Kit (DP2033, BioTeke Corporation) in accordance with Liang et al.<sup>22</sup>. The extracted DNA was stored at – 20 °C. The amplification of internal transcribed spacer (*ITS*) region, small subunit ribosomal RNA (*SSU*), large subunit ribosomal RNA (*LSU*) gene, RNA polymerase II largest subunit 1 (*RPB1*) and translation elongation factor 1 alpha (*TEF*) were amplified by PCR as described by White et al.<sup>23</sup>, Rakotonirainy et al.<sup>24</sup>, Castlebury et al.<sup>25</sup> and van den Brink et al.<sup>26</sup>, respectively. PCR products were purified and sequenced at Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Co. The generated sequences were submitted to GenBank.

**Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses.** Lasergene software (version 6.0, DNASTAR) was applied for the assembling and editing of DNA sequence in this study. The *ITS*, *LSU*, *SSU*, *RPB1* and *TEF* sequences were downloaded from GenBank, based on Nonaka et al.<sup>5</sup>, Zhang et al.<sup>8</sup>, Gomes et al.<sup>6</sup>, Crous et al.<sup>7</sup>, Mongkolsamrit et al.<sup>27</sup>, Chen et al.<sup>20</sup>, Wei et al.<sup>28</sup>, Kondo et al.<sup>29</sup> and others selected on the basis of BLAST algorithm-based searches in GenBank (Table 2). The Multiple datasets of *ITS*, *LSU*, *SSU*, *RPB1* and *TEF* were aligned and edited by MAFFT v7.037b<sup>30</sup> and MEGA6<sup>31</sup>. Assembling of the combined datasets (*LSU*+*RPB1*+*TEF* and *SSU*+*ITS*+*LSU*) were performed by SequenceMatrix v.1.7.8<sup>32</sup>. The partition homogeneity test was conducted in PAUP4.0b10<sup>33</sup> by using the command "hompart".

The datasets (LSU+RPB1+TEF and SSU+ITS+LSU) were analysis by Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML) methods and aimed to analysis of the relationship among *Simplicillium* species and its related species in the family Cordycipitaceae (analysis 1) and the relationship among *Simplicillium* spp. (analysis 2), respectively. For BI, a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm was used to generate phylogenetic trees with Bayesian probabilities using MrBayes v.3.2<sup>34</sup> for the combined sequence datasets. The model for BI analysis was selected by ModelFinder<sup>35</sup> in the software PhyloSuite<sup>36</sup>. The Bayesian analysis resulted in 20,001 trees after 10,000,000 generations. The first 4000 trees, representing the burn-in phase of the analyses, were discarded, while the remaining 16,001 trees were used for calculating posterior probabilities in the majority rule consensus tree. After the analysis was finished, each run was examined using the program Tracer v1.5<sup>37</sup> to determine burn-in and confirm that both runs had converged. ML analyses were constructed with RAxMLGUI<sup>38</sup>. The GTRGAMMA model was used for all partitions, in accordance with recommendations in the RAxML manual against the use of invariant sites. The final alignment is available from TreeBASE under submission ID: 26290 (http://www.treebase.org).

		GenBank accession no.					
Species	Strain no.	ITS	SSU	LSU	RPB1	TEF	
Akanthomyces aculeatus	HUA 772			KC519370		KC519366	
A. attenuatus	CBS 402.78			AF339565	EF468888	EF468782	
A. coccidioperitheciatus	NHJ 6709			EU369042	EU369067	EU369025	
A. farinosa	CBS 541.81					JQ425686	
A. kanyawimiae	TBRC 7242			MF140718	MF140784	MF140838	
A. kanyawimiae	TBRC 7243			MF140717	MF140783	MF140837	
A. kanyawimiae	TBRC 7244			MF140716		MF140836	
A. lecanii	CBS 101247			AF339555	DQ522407	DQ522359	
A. sulphureus	TBRC 7247			MF140720		MF140841	
A. sulphureus	TBRC 7248			MF140722	MF140787	MF140843	
A. sulphureus	TBRC 7249			MF140721	MF140786	MF140842	
A. thailandicus	TBRC 7245					MF140839	
A. thailandicus	TBRC 7246			MF140719		MF140840	
A. tuberculatus	BCC 16819			GO249987		GO250037	
A. tuberculatus	OSC 111002			DO518767	DO522384	DO522338	
A walteroamsii	TBRC 7250			MF140715		MF140835	
A waltergamsii	TBRC 7251			MF140713	MF140781	MF140833	
A waltergamsii	TBRC 7252			MF140714	MF140782	MF140834	
Ascopolyporus polychrous	PC 546			DO118737	DO127236	DO118745	
A villosus	A DSEE 6355			AV886544	DQ127230	DQ110745	
Rlackwellomyces cardinalis	AK5EF 0555			AV184062	DQ127241	DQ110730	
P. cardinalia	OSC 93610			AV104062	EE460088	EE460050	
D. carainans	NPPC 101400			AI 184905	EF409088	EF409059	
B. pseudomilitaris	NBRC 101409			JIN941393	JIN992482		
b. pseudominiaris	NBRC 101410			JIN941394	JIN992481	FF460746	
Cordyceps bifusispora	EFCC 5690			EF468806	EF468854	EF468/46	
C. bifusispora	EFCC 8260			EF46880/	EF468855	EF468/4/	
C. blackwelliae	TBRC 7253			MF140/05	MF140//4	MF140825	
C. blackwelliae	TBRC 7254			MF140704	MF140773	MF140824	
C. blackwelliae	TBRC 7255			MF140703	MF140772	MF140823	
C. blackwelliae	TBRC 7256			MF140702	MF140771	MF140822	
C. blackwelliae	TBRC 7257			MF140701	MF140770	MF140821	
C. ninchukispora	EFCC 5197			EF468820	EF468868	EF468760	
C. ninchukispora	EFCC 5693			EF468821	EF468869	EF468762	
C. ninchukispora	EGS 38.165			EF468846	EF468900	EF468795	
C. ninchukispora	EGS 38.166			EF468847	EF468901	EF468794	
C. ninchukispora	NHJ 10627			EF468822	EF468870	EF468763	
C. ninchukispora	NHJ 10684			EF468823	EF468871	EF468761	
Engyodontium aranearum	CBS 309.85			AF339526	DQ522387	DQ522341	
Gibellula longispora	NHJ 12014				EU369055	EU369017	
G. pulchra	NHJ 10808			EU369035	EU369056	EU369018	
G. ratticaudata	ARSEF 1915			DQ518777	DQ522408	DQ522360	
Gibellula sp.	NHJ 5401				EU369059		
Gibellula sp.	NHJ 10788			EU369036	EU369058	EU369019	
Gibellula sp.	NHJ 13158			EU369037	EU369057	EU369020	
Hevansia arachnophila	NHJ 10469			EU369031	EU369047	EU369008	
H. cinerea	NHJ 3510				EU369048	EU369009	
H. novoguineensis	NHJ 4314				EU369051	EU369012	
H. novoguineensis	NHJ 11923			EU369032	EU369052	EU369013	
H. novoguineensis	NHJ 13117				EU369049	EU369010	
H. novoguineensis	NHJ 13161				EU369050	EU369011	
Hyperdermium pulvinatum	P.C. 602			AF242353	DQ127237	DQ118746	
Lecanicillium aranearum	CBS 726.73a			AF339537	EF468887	EF468781	
L. antillanum	CBS 350.85			AF339536	DQ522396	DQ522350	
L. fusisporum	CBS 164.70			AF339549	EF468889	EF468783	
L. psalliotae	CBS 363.86			AF339559	EF468890	EF468784	
Continued		L					

		GenBank accession no.				
Species	Strain no.	ITS	SSU	LSU	RPB1	TEF
L. psalliotae	CBS 532.81			AF339560	EF469096	EF469067
L. psalliotae	CBS 101270			EF469081	EF469095	EF469066
Pochonia chlamydosporia	CBS 103.65	MH858504				
Purpureocillium lilacinum	CBS 284.36			FR775484	EF468898	EF468792
P. lilacinum	CBS 431.87			EF468844	EF468897	EF468791
Samsoniella alboaurantium	CBS 240.32			JF415979	JN049895	JF416019
S. alboaurantium	CBS 262.58			MG665232		JQ425685
S. aurantia	TBRC 7271			MF140728	MF140791	MF140846
S. aurantia	TBRC 7272			MF140727	MF140817	MF140845
S. aurantia	TBRC 7273			MF140726		MF140844
S. inthanonensis	TBRC 7915			MF140725	MF140790	MF140849
S. inthanonensis	TBRC 7916			MF140724	MF140789	MF140848
S. inthanonensis	TBRC 7270			MF140723	MF140788	MF140847
Simplicillium aogashimaense	JCM 18167	AB604002				
S. aogashimaense	JCM 18168	AB604004				
S. calcicola	LC5371	KU746705		KU74675		
S. calcicola	LC5586	KU746706		KU746752		
S. chinense	LC1342	JQ410323		JQ410321		
S. chinense	LC1345	NR 155782		JQ410322		
S. cicadellidae	GY11011	MN006243			MN022271	MN022263
S. cicadellidae	GY11012	MN006244			MN022272	MN022264
S. coffeanum	COAD 2057	MF066034		MF066032		
S. coffeanum	COAD 2061	MF066035		MF066033		
S. cylindrosporum	JCM 18169	AB603989				
S. cylindrosporum	JCM 18170	AB603994				
S. cylindrosporum	JCM 18171	AB603997				
S. cylindrosporum	JCM 18172	AB603998				
S. cylindrosporum	JCM 18173	AB603999				
S. cylindrosporum	JCM 18174	AB604005				
S. cylindrosporum	JCM 18175	AB604006				
S. filiforme	URM 7918	MH979338		MH979399		
S. formicae	MFLUCC 18-1379	MK766511	MK765046	MK766512	MK882623	MK926451
S. formicidae	DL10041	MN006241			MN022269	
S. formicidae	DL10042	MN006242			MN022270	
S. lamellicola	CBS 116.25	AJ292393		AF339552	DQ522404	DQ522356
S. lamellicola	KYK00006	AB378533				
S. lamellicola	UAMH 2055	AF108471				
S. lamellicola	UAMH 4785	AF108480				
S. lanosoniveum	CBS 101267	AJ292395		AF339553	DQ522406	DQ522358
S. lanosoniveum	CBS 704.86	AJ292396		AF339554	DQ522405	DQ522357
S. lepidopterorum	GY29131	MN006246			MN022273	MN022265
S. lepidopterorum	GY29132	MN006245			MN022274	MN022266
S. minatense	JCM 18176	AB603992	LC496893			
S. minatense	JCM 18177	AB603991				
S. minatense	JCM 18178	AB603993	LC496894			
S. obclavatum	CBS 311.74	AJ292394		AF339517		EF468798
S. obclavatum	JCM 18179	AB604000				
S. spumae	JCM 39050	LC496869	LC496898	LC496883		LC496913
S. spumae	JCM 39051	LC496870	LC496899	LC496884		LC496914
S. spumae	JCM 39054	LC496871	LC496902	LC496887		LC496917
S. subtropicum	JCM 18180	AB603990	LC496895			
S. subtropicum	JCM 18181	AB603995	LC496896			
S. subtropicum	JCM 18182	AB603996				
S. subtropicum	JCM 18183	AB604001				
S. sympodiophorum	JCM 18184	AB604003	LC496897			
Continued	t			ļ		

		GenBank accession no.				
Species	Strain no.	ITS	SSU	LSU	RPB1	TEF
S. coccinellidae	DY101791	MT453861	MT453863	MT453862		MT471341
S. coccinellidae	DY101792	MT453864		MT457410		MT471342
S. hymenopterorum	DY101691	MT453848	MT453849	MT453850	MT471344	MT471337
S. hymenopterorum	DY101692	MT453851	MT453852	MT453853		MT471338
S. neolepidopterorum	DY101751	MT453854	MT453856	MT453855		MT471339
S. neolepidopterorum	DY101752	MT453857	MT453859	MT453858		MT471340
S. scarabaeoidea	DY101391	MT453842	MT453843	MT453844	MT471343	MT471335
S. scarabaeoidea	DY101392	MT453845	MT453847	MT453846		MT471336
Torrubiella wallacei	CBS 101237			AY184967	EF469102	EF469073

Table 2. Taxa included in the phylogenetic analyses. Sequences generated in this study are shown in bold.

Received: 2 June 2020; Accepted: 12 July 2021 Published online: 27 July 2021

#### References

- 1. Zare, R. & Gams, W. A revision of Verticillium section Prostrata. IV. The genera Lecanicillium and Simplicillium gen. nov. Nova Hedwigia 73, 1-50 (2001).
- 2. Zare, R. & Gams, W. A revision of the Verticillium fungicola species complex and its affinity with the genus Lecanicillium. Mycol. Res 112, 811-824 (2008)
- 3. Okane, I., Nonaka, K., Kurihara, Y., Abe, J. P. & Yamaoka, Y. A new species of Leptobacillim, L. symbioticum, isolated from mites and sori of soybean rust. Mycoscience 61, 165-171 (2020).
- 4. Wang, Y. B. et al. Multigene phylogeny of the family Cordycipitaceae (Hypocreales): New taxa and the new systematic position of the Chinese cordycipitoid fungus Paecilomyces hepiali. Fungal Divers. 103, 1-46 (2020).
- 5. Nonaka, K., Kaifuchi, S., Ômura, S. & Masuma, R. Five new Simplicillium species (Cordycipitaceae) from soils in Tokyo, Japan. Mycoscience 54, 42-53 (2013).
- 6. Gomes, A. A. et al. Simplicillium coffeanum, a new endophytic species from Brazilian coffee plants, emitting antimicrobial volatiles. Phytotaxa. 333, 188-198 (2018).
- 7. Crous, P. W. et al. Fungal Planet description sheets: 785-867. Persoonia 41, 238 (2018).
- 8. Zhang, Z. F. et al. Culturable mycobiota from Karst caves in China, with descriptions of 20 new species. Persoonia 39, 1 (2017).
- 9. Liu, F & Cai, L. Morphological and molecular characterization of a novel species of Simplicillium from China. Cryptogam Mycol. 33, 137-145 (2012).
- 10. Liang, X. et al. Eight linear peptides from the deep-sea-derived fungus Simplicillium obclavatum EIODSF 020. Tetrahedron 72, 3092-3097 (2016).
- 11. Gonçalves, V. N. et al. Taxonomy, phylogeny and ecology of cultivable fungi present in seawater gradients across the Northern Antarctica Peninsula. Extremophiles 21, 1005-1015 (2017).
- 12. Shentu, X. P., Xiao, Y., Cao, Z. Y., Fan, J. X. & Yu, X. P. Comparative analysis of the diversity of the microbial communities between non-fertilized and fertilized eggs of brown planthopper, Nilaparvata lugens Stål. Insects 11, 49 (2020).
- Zhang, Q. *et al.* Diversity and biocontrol potential of endophytic fungi in *Brassica napus. Biol. Control* 72, 98–108 (2014).
  Erper, İ., Saruhan, İ., Akça, İ., Aksoy, H. M. & Tuncer, C. Evaluation of some entomopathogenic fungi for controlling the green
- shield bug, Palomena prasina L. (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae). Egypt J. Biol. Pest Control 26, 573-578 (2016).
- 15. Shinn, T. S. et al. Development of a biofungicide using a mycoparasitic fungus Simplicillium lamellicola BCP and its control efficacy against gray mold diseases of tomato and ginseng. Plant Pathol. J. 33, 337-344 (2017).
- 16. Dong, Q. L., Dong, R. Z., Xing, X. Y. & Li, Y. K. A new antibiotic produced by the cyanobacterium-symbiotic fungus Simplicillium lanosoniveum. Nat. Prod. Res. 32, 1-5 (2017).
- 17. Yu, Y. T., He, S. H. & Zhao, Q. M. Isolation and identification of matrine-producing fungal endophytes from Sophora alopecuroides in Ningxia. Sci. Agric. Sin. 46, 2643-2654 (2013).
- 18. Wang, N., Xie, Y. P. & Fan, J. H. Pathogenicity of Simplicillium lanosoniveum TYL001 isolated from Pseudaulacaspis pentagona. Mycosystema 35, 559-568 (2016).
- 19. Gauthier, N. W. et al. Mycoparasitism of Phakopsora pachyrhizi, the soybean rust pathogen, by Simplicillium lanosoniveum. Biol. Control 76, 87-94 (2014).
- 20. Chen, W. H., Liu, C., Han, Y. F., Liang, J. D. & Liang, Z. Q. Three novel insect-associated species of Simplicillium (Cordycipitaceae, Hypocreales) from southwest china. Mycokeys 58, 83-102 (2019).
- 21. Zou, X., Liu, A. Y., Liang, Z. Q., Han, Y. F. & Yang, M. Hirsutella liboensis, a new entomopathogenic species affecting Cossidae (Lepidoptera) in China. Mycotaxon 111, 39-44 (2010).
- 22. Liang, J. D. et al. Optimal culture conditions for keratinase production by a novel thermophilic Myceliophthora thermophila strain GZUIFR-H49-1. J. Appl. Microbiol. 110, 871-880 (2011).
- White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S. & Taylor, J. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications (eds Innis, M. A. et al.) 315-322 (Academic Press, 1990).
- 24. Rakotonirainy, M. S., Cariou, M. L., Brygoo, Y. & Riba, G. Phylogenetic relationships within the genus Metarhizium based on 28S rRNA sequences and isozyme comparison. Mycol. Res. 98, 225-230 (1994).
- 25. Castlebury, L. A., Rossman, A. Y., Sung, G. H., Hyten, A. S. & Spatafora, J. W. Multigene phylogeny reveals new lineage for Stachybotrys chartarum, the indoor air fungus. Mycol. Res. 108, 864-872 (2004).
- 26. van den Brink, J., Samson, R. A., Hagen, F., Boekhout, T. & de Vries, R. P. Phylogeny of the industrial relevant, thermophilic genera Myceliophthora and Corynascus. Fungal Divers. 52, 197-207 (2012).
- Mongkolsamrit, S. *et al.* Disentangling cryptic species with Isaria-like morphs in Cordycipitaceae. *Mycologia* 110, 230–257 (2018).
  Wei, D. P., Wanasinghe, D. N., Hyde, K. D., Mortimer, P. E. & To-Anun, C. The genus *Simplicillium. Mycokeys* 60, 69–92 (2019).
- 29. Kondo, N., Iwasaki, H., Tokiwa, T., Ômura, S. & Nonaka, K. Simplicillium spumae (Cordycipitaceae, Hypocreales), a new hyphomycetes from aquarium foam in Japan. Mycoscience 61, 116-121 (2020).

- Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 772–780 (2013).
- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A. & Kumar, S. MEGA6: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 2725–2729 (2013).
- 32. Vaidya, G., Lohman, D. J. & Meier, R. SequenceMatrix: Concatenation software for the fast assembly of multi-gene datasets with character set and codon information. *Cladistics* 27, 171–180 (2011).
- 33. Swofford, D. L. PAUP\* 4.0b10: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (\*and Other Methods). (Sinauer, 2002).
- Ronquist, F. et al. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst. Biol. 61, 539–542 (2012).
- Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K., Von Haeseler, A. & Jermiin, L. S. ModelFinder: Fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods* 14, 587–589 (2017).
- Zhang, D. et al. PhyloSuite: An integrated and scalable desktop platform for streamlined molecular sequence data management and evolutionary phylogenetics studies. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 20, 348–355 (2020).
- 37. Drummond, A. & Rambaut, A. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evol. Biol. 7, 214 (2007).
- 38. Silvestro, D. & Michalak, I. raxmlGUI: A graphical front-end for RAxML. Org. Divers. Evol. 12, 335–337 (2012).
- Jeewon, R. & Hyde, K. D. Establishing species boundaries and new taxa among fungi: Recommendations to resolve taxonomic ambiguities. *Mycosphere* 7, 1669–1677 (2016).
- 40. Sung, G. H. et al. Phylogenetic classification of *Cordyceps* and the clavicipitaceous fungi. Stud. Mycol. 57, 1–64 (2007).
- Kepler, R. M. *et al.* A phylogenetically-based nomenclature for Cordycipitaceae (Hypocreales). *IMA Fungus.* 8, 335–353 (2017).
  Spatafora, J. W., Sung, G. H., Sung, J. M., Hywel-Jones, N. L. & White, J. F. Phylogenetic evidence for an animal pathogen origin of ergot and the grass endophytes. *Mol. Ecol.* 16, 1701–1711 (2007).
- Fukuda, T., Sudoh, Y., Tsuchiya, Y., Okuda, T. & Igarashi, Y. Isolation and biosynthesis of preussin B, a pyrrolidine alkaloid from Simplicillium lanosoniveum. J. Nat. Prod. 77, 813–817 (2014).
- Yan, B. et al. A new minor diketopiperazine from the sponge-derived fungus Simplicillium sp. YZ-11. Nat. Prod. Res. 29, 2013–2017 (2015).
- Huang, Z., Yan, S. Z. & Chen, S. L. Optimization on fermentation conditions of Simplicillium obclavatum YX016 for the production of anthraquinones. Food Sci. Technol. 40, 12–17 (2015).
- Takata, K. et al. Aogacillins A and B produced by Simplicillium sp. FKI-5985: New circumventors of Arbekacin resistance in MRSA. Org. Lett. 15, 4678–4681 (2013).
- Uchida, R. et al. Simpotentin, a new potentiator of amphotericin B activity against Candida albicans, produced by Simplicillium minatense FKI-4981. J. Antibiot. 72, 134 (2019).
- Ward, N. A., Robertson, C. L., Chanda, A. K. & Schneider, R. W. Effects of Simplicillium lanosoniveum on Phakopsora pachyrhizi, the soybean rust pathogen, and its use as a biological control agent. Phytopathology 102, 749–760 (2012).
- Zhao, D. et al. Simplicillium chinense: A biological control agent against plant parasitic nematodes. Biocontrol Sci. Technol. 23, 980-986 (2013).
- Chen, R. S., Huang, C. C., Li, J. C. & Tsay, J. G. Evaluation of characteristics of Simplicillium lanosoniveum on pathogenicity to aphids and in vitro antifungal potency against plant pathogenic fungi. Int. J. Environ. Agric. Res. 3, 55–61 (2017).

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31860002), High-level Innovative Talents Training Object in Guizhou Province (No. Qiankehepingtairencai [2020]6005), Science and Technology Foundation of Guizhou Province (No. Qiankehejichu [2020]1Y060), Program of Innovative Scientific and technological Talent Team of Guizhou Province(2020-5010) and Construction Program of Guizhou Engineering Research Center (Qian Fa Gai Gao Ji 2020-896) and National Survey of Traditional Chinese Medicine Resources (No. Caishe [2017]66, 216). We thank Catherine Dandie, PhD, from Liwen Bianji, Edanz Editing China (https://www.liwenbianji.cn/ac), for editing the English text of a draft of this manuscript.

#### Author contributions

W.C.: resources, data curation, writing-review & editing. Y.H.: writing-review & editing. J.L.: resources, review & editing. Z.L.: review & editing. The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.-F.H.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021