scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN No association between alcohol consumption and pancreatic cancer even among individuals genetically susceptible to the carcinogenicity of alcohol

Yan-Shen Shan^{1,2,11}, Li-Tzong Chen^{3,4,5,6,11}, Chih-Hsing Wu^{7,8,11}, Yin-Fan Chang⁷, Chih-Ting Lee⁷, Nai-Jung Chiang^{3,4}, Ying-Jui Chao¹, Chia-Jui Yen⁴, Hui-Jen Tsai^{3,4}, Hsin-En Huang⁹, Chia-Rung Tsai³, Ya-Ling Weng³, Han-Chien Yang³, Hui-Chin Liu¹⁰ & Jeffrey S. Chang^{3⊠}

Inconsistent results have been reported for the association between alcohol use and pancreatic cancer, particularly at low levels of alcohol consumption. Individuals genetically susceptible to the carcinogenic effect of alcohol might have higher pancreatic cancer risk after drinking alcohol. The current study investigated the association between alcohol use and pancreatic cancer with 419 pancreatic cancer cases and 963 controls recruited by a hospital-based case-control study in Taiwan. Gene-environment interaction between alcohol use and polymorphisms of two ethanolmetabolizing genes, ADH1B and ALDH2, on pancreatic risk was evaluated. Our results showed no significant association between alcohol drinking and an increased pancreatic cancer risk, even at high levels of alcohol consumption. Even among those genetically susceptible to the carcinogenic effect of alcohol (carriers of ADH1B*2/*2(fast activity) combined with ALDH2*1/*2(slow activity) or ALDH2*2/*2(almost non-functional)), no significant association between alcohol use and pancreatic cancer was observed. Overall, our results suggested that alcohol drinking is not a significant contributor to the occurrence of pancreatic cancer in Taiwan.

Pancreatic cancer is a highly lethal cancer with a very low survival rate $(5-\text{year survival rate} = 9\%)^1$. During 1990 to 2017, the worldwide incidence of pancreatic cancer increased from 5.0 per 100,000 person-years to 5.7 per 100,000 person years, with approximately 448,000 incident cases occurring in 2017². Cigarette smoking, diabetes, and obesity are the three established risk factors of pancreatic cancer. The percentages of global pancreatic deaths attributed to smoking, diabetes, and obesity were estimated to be 25.9%, 9.3%, and 5.0%, respectively for men, and 16.1%, 8.6%, and 7.4%, respectively, for women². Other factors showing strong positive associations

¹Department of Surgery, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, 138 Sheng Li Road, Tainan 70456, Taiwan. ²Institute of Clinical Medicine, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, 138 Sheng Li Road, Tainan 70456, Taiwan. ³National Institute of Cancer Research, National Health Research Institutes, 1F No 367, Sheng-Li Road, Tainan 70456, Taiwan. ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, 138 Sheng Li Road, Tainan 70456, Taiwan. ⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, Kaohsiung Medical University, Ziyou 1st Road, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung 80756, Taiwan. ⁶Institute of Molecular Medicine, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, 138 Sheng Li Road, Tainan 70456, Taiwan. ⁷Department of Family MedicineNational Cheng Kung University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, 138 Sheng Li Road, Tainan 70456, Taiwan. ⁸Institute of Geriatrics, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, 1 University Road, Tainan 701, Taiwan. 9Department of Family Medicine, Mennonite Christian Hospital, 44 Min Chuan Road, Hualien 970, Taiwan.¹⁰Department of Nursing, National Cheng Kung University HospitalCollege of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, 138 Sheng Li Road, Tainan 70456, Taiwan. ¹¹These authors contributed equally: Yan-Shen Shan, Li-Tzong Chen and Chih-Hsing Wu. ¹²email: jeffreychang@nhri.org.tw

	Cases N=419	Controls N=963	
Characteristics	n (%)	n (%)	P-value ^a
Age (years)			
Mean (SE)	63.4 (0.5)	58.3 (0.4)	< 0.0001
Sex			
Men	237 (56.6)	408 (42.4)	< 0.0001
Women	182 (43.4)	555 (57.6)	
Education			
≦ Junior high	199 (47.5)	259 (26.9)	< 0.0001
High school/technical school	119 (28.4)	252 (26.1)	
College	86 (20.5)	354 (36.8)	
Graduate school	15 (3.6)	98 (10.2)	

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the pancreatic cancer patients and control subjects. *N* number, *SE* standard error. ^aP-values were generated using T-tests (for continuous variables) or chi-squared tests (for categorical variables).

with pancreatic cancer include no history of allergies³, poor oral hygiene/health⁴, and diet with lower amounts of fruits and vegetables^{5–7}.

Many studies have investigated the association between alcohol consumption and pancreatic cancer and the results have been inconsistent, particularly at low levels of alcohol consumption. More consistent results were found for the association between heavy alcohol consumption and pancreatic cancer⁸⁻¹⁰. Although studies generally did not support a positive association between low to moderate levels of alcohol consumption and pancreatic cancer, East Asians may be more susceptible to the carcinogenic effect of alcohol due to a highly prevalent single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), ALDH2 rs671. ALDH2, an enzyme encoded by the ALDH2 gene, is responsible for converting acetaldehyde, a carcinogenic product of ethanol metabolism, to acetate. Rs671 has two alleles, with codon 504 showing a glutamate for *1 and a lysine for $*2^{11}$. The replacement of glutamate (*1) with lysine (*2) reduces the enzyme activity dramatically with ALDH2*2/*2 generating an enzyme with only 4% activity and the heterozygous $ALDH2^{*1/*2}$ producing an enzyme with < 50% activity¹². The $ALDH2^{*2}$ is rare (prevalence < 5%) among the Europeans and Africans¹³, but very common among East Asians (Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and Taiwanese), with 30-50% of individuals carrying at least a single copy of the *2 allele¹⁴. Besides ALDH2, ADH1B is another gene that affects the metabolism of ethanol by generating an enzyme that converts ethanol into acetaldehyde. Codons 48 (Arg48His; rs1229984) and 370 (Arg370Cys; rs2066702) together determine the three alleles of ADH1B. Arginine at codon 48 (Arg48) + arginine at codon 370 (Arg370) = ADH1B *1 allele, histidine at codon 48 (His48) + Arg370 = $ADH1B^{*2}$ allele, and Arg48 + cysteine at codon 370 (Cys370) = $ADH1B^{*3}$ allele¹⁵. Approximately 60-85% Pacific Rim Asians carry the ADH1B*2 allele¹⁶ and the enzyme encoded by the ADH1B*2/*2 genotype metabolizes ethanol to acetaldehyde 40 times faster than the enzyme generated by the ADH1B*1/*1 genotype according to in vitro finding¹⁷. The ADH1B*3 allele is found mainly among individuals of African descent and the enzyme encoded by the *3 allele has 15% higher activity than the one encoded by the *1 allele¹⁸.

Previously, a case–control study by Kanda et al. from Japan with 160 cases and 1,600 controls showed that the highest pancreatic cancer risk was observed among ever drinkers who carry the ADH1B*2/*2(fast activity) in combination with ALDH2*1/*2(slow activity) or ALDH2*2/*2(almost non-functional)¹⁹. Individuals with these genotype combinations can generate acetaldehyde rapidly after drinking alcohol but are slow at metabolizing acetaldehyde to non-carcinogenic acetate and may thus have a higher susceptibility to the carcinogenic effect of alcohol. Because the study by Kanda et al. has been the only study examining the combined effect of ADH1B and ALDH2 polymorphisms on the association between alcohol drinking and pancreatic cancer with a relatively small case sample size (n = 160), the current study aimed to investigate this topic with 419 pancreatic cancer cases and 963 controls recruited from a hospital in Taiwan, where the prevalence of ALDH2*2 carriers is the highest in the world (~ 50%).

Results

The current analysis included 419 pancreatic cancer cases and 963 controls with a participation rate of 80% for the cases and 81% for the controls. Ninety percent of the cases were interviewed within 6 months of the pancreatic cancer diagnosis (82% within 3 months and 8% between 3–6 months). The study used frequency matching by age and sex for control selection; however, because of the ongoing recruitment of study subjects, the frequency matching process has not been completed, resulting in the imbalanced distributions of age and sex between the cases and the controls. Compared to the controls, cases had an older average age, a lower percentage of women, and a lower percentage of individuals with at least a college education (Table 1).

Supplementary 1 presents the associations between the potential confounding factors and pancreatic cancer. Cigarette smoking, poor oral hygiene, diabetes/glucose intolerance, and obesity ($BMI \ge 27 \text{ kg/m}^2$) were all associated with an increased pancreatic cancer risk. More frequent consumption of vegetables and history of allergy were associated with a reduced pancreatic cancer. These factors were all adjusted as confounders in the subsequent analyses for the association between alcohol use and pancreatic cancer.

	Cases N=419	Controls N=963	
Alcohol consumption	n (%)	n (%)	OR (95% CI) ^a
Drinking status			
Never	152 (36.3)	329 (34.2)	Reference
Occasional	172 (41.0)	486 (50.5)	0.82 (0.60-1.11)
Former regular	34 (8.1)	60 (6.2)	0.74 (0.42–1.31)
Current regular	61 (14.6)	88 (9.1)	1.03 (0.63-1.68)
Frequency			
Never	152 (36.3)	329 (34.2)	Reference
Occasional	172 (41.0)	486 (50.5)	0.82 (0.60-1.11)
Monthly	6 (1.4)	13 (1.3)	0.94 (0.31-2.84)
Weekly	21 (5.0)	53 (5.5)	0.57 (0.29–1.12)
Daily	55 (13.1)	74 (7.7)	0.94 (0.56–1.57)
Unknown	13 (3.1)	13 (0.8)	-
Level			
Never	152 (36.3)	329 (34.2)	Reference
Occasional	172 (41.0)	486 (50.5)	0.82 (0.60-1.11)
Light	44 (10.5)	93 (9.7)	0.67 (0.40-1.13)
Moderate	6 (1.4)	16 (1.7)	0.46 (0.15–1.39)
Heavy	24 (5.7)	26 (2.7)	1.17 (0.57–2.38)
Unknown	21 (5.0)	13 (1.4)	-

Table 2. The association between alcohol drinking and pancreatic cancer risk. *CI* confidence interval, *N* number, *OR* odds ratio. ^aOR and 95% CI were calculated using unconditional logistic regression, adjusted for sex, age, education, cigarette smoking (pack-years), oral hygiene score, vegetable consumption, allergy, diabetes/glucose intolerance and BMI at two years before the pancreatic cancer diagnosis for the cases or before the interview date for the controls.

No significant association was observed between pancreatic cancer and the status, frequency, or level of alcohol drinking (Table 2). In addition, the association between alcohol use and pancreatic cancer did not differ significantly by the cigarette smoking status (Table 3).

The polymorphisms of *ADH1B* and *ALDH2* showed no significant associations with pancreatic cancer risk (Table 4). No significant association between alcohol use and pancreatic cancer was observed after stratification by the genotypes of *ADH1B* or *ALDH2* (Table 5) or by the combinations of *ADH1B* and *ALDH2* genotypes (Table 6).

Discussion

Our results did not support the association between alcohol drinking and an increased pancreatic cancer risk, even at high levels of alcohol consumption. Even among those genetically susceptible to the carcinogenic effect of alcohol, no significant association between alcohol use and pancreatic cancer was observed.

Consistent with results from previous studies, our study also showed no association between low levels of alcohol use and pancreatic cancer; however, in contrary to the findings of previous studies, our study found no association between high levels of alcohol use and pancreatic cancer. In a pooled analysis combining data of 21 cohorts (n = 4,211,129) from 19 prospective studies, Wang et al. reported that high level of alcohol consumption (\geq 24 g per day) was associated with an elevated pancreatic cancer risk (relative risk (RR) = 1.15, 95% CI 1.06-1.25), while no significant association was observed for low (<12 g per day) and moderate (12-23.9 g per day) levels of alcohol consumption¹⁰. Genkinger et al. also performed a pooled analysis with 14 cohort studies (n = 862,664) and reported a 1.2 times increase in pancreatic cancer risk comparing those that drank \geq 30 g of alcohol per day to those that drank 0 g (RR = 1.22, 95% CI 1.03–1.45)⁸. Lucenteforte et al. performed a pool analysis with 5,585 cases and 11,827 controls from 10 case-control studies, and showed a 1.6 times increase in pancreatic cancer risk for heavy drinking of \geq 9 drinks per day (OR = 1.6, 95% CI 1.2–2.2), while light to moderate drinking ($\leq 4 \, \text{drinks/day}$) showed no significant association with pancreatic cancer⁹. A major reason for the non-significant finding in our study might be due to the low percentages of heavy drinkers (5.7% among cases and 2.7 among controls). Given our sample size of heavy drinkers, we calculated that our study has a power = 0.80 to detect an OR of 2.3, and a low statistical power of 0.07, 0.1, and 0.34 to detect a RR or OR of 1.15, 1.22, 1.6, respectively, reported by the previous studies⁸⁻¹⁰. Nevertheless, the low percentages of heavy alcohol drinkers suggested that heavy alcohol drinking is less likely to contribute the development of pancreatic cancer in our study population.

Only one previous study by Kanda et al. evaluated the influence of ADH1B and ALDH2 polymorphisms on the association between alcohol drinking and pancreatic cancer¹⁹. Consistent with that study, our results also showed no independent association between the polymorphisms of ADH1B and ALDH2 and pancreatic cancer risk. However, in contrast to their findings showing the strongest positive association between alcohol and pancreatic cancer among individuals with the combination of ADH1B*2/*2(fast activity) and ALDH2*1/*2(slow activity) or

	Cases n (%)	Controls n (%)	OR (95% CI) ^a	Cases n (%)	Controls n (%)	OR (95% CI) ^a	
Alcohol consumption	Never smok	ers		Ever smokers			
Drinking Status							
Never	122 (47.8)	299 (40.8)	Reference	30 (18.3)	30 (13.8)	Reference	
Occasional	113 (44.3)	397 (53.2)	0.83 (0.59–1.17)	59 (36.0)	89 (41.0)	0.79 (0.38–1.63)	
Former regular	7 (2.8)	16 (2.1)	1.23 (0.45-3.41)	27 (16.5)	44 (20.3)	0.65 (0.28–1.50)	
Current regular	13 (5.1)	34 (4.6)	1.00 (0.44-2.23)	48 (29.3)	54 (24.9)	0.98 (0.46-2.12)	
	P-interactio	n=0.74					
Frequency							
Never	122 (47.8)	299 (40.8)	Reference	30 (18.3)	30 (13.8)	Reference	
Occasional	113 (44.3)	397 (53.2)	0.83 (0.59–1.17)	59 (36.0)	89 (41.0)	0.79 (0.38-1.64)	
Monthly	5 (2.0)	8 (1.1)	2.08 (0.59-7.34)	1 (0.6)	5 (2.3)	0.16 (0.02–1.66)	
Weekly	3 (1.2)	18 (2.4)	0.49 (0.13-1.89)	18 (11.0)	35 (16.1)	0.60 (0.25-1.49)	
Daily	7 (2.8)	20 (2.7)	0.89 (0.31-2.60)	48 (29.3)	54 (24.9)	0.94 (0.43-2.03)	
Unknown	5 (2.0)	4 (0.5)	-	8 (4.9)	4 (1.8)	-	
	P-interaction = 0.34						
Level							
Never	122 (47.8)	299 (40.8)	Reference	30 (18.3)	30 (13.8)	Reference	
Occasional	113 (44.3)	397 (53.2)	0.83 (0.59–1.17)	59 (36.0)	89 (41.0)	0.77 (0.37-1.61)	
Light	10 (3.9)	32 (4.3)	0.89 (0.37-2.13)	34 (20.7)	61 (28.1)	0.56 (0.25-1.25)	
Moderate	1 (0.4)	5 (0.7)	0.82 (0.09-7.95)	5 (3.0)	11 (5.1)	0.38 (0.10-1.49)	
Heavy	2 (0.8)	7 (0.9)	0.75 (0.13-4.20)	22 (13.4)	19 (8.8)	1.36 (0.53-3.51)	
Unknown	7 (2.8)	6 (0.8)	-	14 (8.5)	7 (3.2)	-	
	P-interaction = 0.90						

Table 3. The association between alcohol drinking and pancreatic cancer risk stratified by cigarette smoking. *CI* confidence interval, *N* number; *OR* odds ratio. a. OR and 95% CI were calculated using unconditional logistic regression, adjusted for sex, age, education, cigarette smoking (pack-years), oral hygiene score, vegetable consumption, allergy, diabetes/glucose intolerance and BMI at two years before the pancreatic cancer diagnosis for the cases or before the interview date for the controls.

	Cases n (%)	Controls n (%)	OR (95% CI) ^a
ADH1B rs1229984			
TT (*2/*2)(Fast)	208 (51.9)	502 (54.6)	Referent
CT (*1/*2)	156 (38.9)	334 (36.4)	1.11 (0.84–1.48)
CC (*1/*1)(Slow)	37 (9.2)	83 (9.0)	1.20 (0.74–1.94)
ALDH2 rs671			
GG (*1/*1)(Normal)	193 (47.2)	450 (47.9)	Referent
AG (*1/*2)	187 (45.7)	407 (43.3)	1.18 (0.90–1.56)
AA (*2/*2) (Non-functional)	29 (7.2)	83 (8.8)	0.75 (0.44-1.25)
ADH1B rs1229984+ALDH2 rs671			-
Group 1: Fast ADH1B (*2/*2) + normal ALDH2 (*1/*1)	101 (25.1)	233 (25.6)	Referent
Group 2: Fast <i>ADH1B</i> (*2/*2) + slow/non-functional <i>ALDH2</i> (*1/*2 + *2/*2)	107 (26.7)	266 (29.2)	0.98 (0.68–1.42)
Group 3: Slow ADH1B (*1/*1 + *1/*2) + normal ALDH2 (*1/*1)	89 (22.2)	203 (22.3)	1.00 (0.67-1.48)
Group 4: Slow ADH1B (*1/*1 + *1/*2) + slow/non-functional ALDH2 (*1/*2 + *2/*2)	104 (25.9)	210 (23.0)	1.23 (0.84-1.79)

Table 4. The association between *ALDH2* rs671, and *ADH1B* rs1229984 and pancreatic cancer risk. *CI* confidence interval, *N* number, *OR* odds ratio. ^aOR and 95% CI were calculated using unconditional logistic regression, adjusted for sex, age, education, cigarette smoking (pack-years), oral hygiene score, vegetable consumption, allergy, diabetes/glucose intolerance and BMI at two years before the pancreatic cancer diagnosis for the cases or before the interview date for the controls.

	Cases n (%)	Controls n (%)	OR (95% CI) ^a	Cases n (%)	Controls n (%)	OR (95% CI) ^a	
ADH1B rs1229984	Fast ADH1B (*2/*2)			Slow ADH1B (*1/*1 + *1/*2)			
Drinking status							
Never	81 (38.9)	175 (34.9)	Reference	65 (33.7)	138 (33.1)	Reference	
Occasional	79 (38.0)	241 (48.0)	0.74 (0.48–1.14)	84 (43.5) 227 (54.4)		0.92 (0.57-1.47)	
Former regular	19 (9.1)	38 (7.6)	0.70 (0.33-1.49)	13 (6.7)	(6.7) 17 (4.1)		
Current regular	29 (13.9)	48 (9.6)	0.94 (0.47-1.88)	31 (16.1)	35 (8.4)	1.35 (0.63-2.89)	
	P-interaction = 0.39		1	I		I	
Frequency							
Never	81 (38.9)	175 (34.9)	Reference	65 (33.7)	138 (33.1)	Reference	
Occasional	79 (38.0)	241 (48.0)	0.73 (0.47-1.13)	84 (43.5)	227 (54.4)	0.92 (0.57-1.47)	
Monthly	3 (1.4)	5 (1.0)	1.55 (0.30-7.92)	3 (1.6)	7 (1.7)	0.63 (0.12-3.18)	
Weekly	10 (4.8)	31 (6.2)	0.40 (0.16-1.02)	11 (5.7)	18 (4.3)	1.12 (0.38-3.33)	
Daily	29 (13.9)	45 (9.0)	0.87 (0.43-1.77)	23 (11.9)	24 (5.8)	1.10 (0.47-2.61)	
Unknown	6 (2.9)	5 (1.0)	-	7 (3.6)	3 (0.7)	-	
	P-interaction = 0.42		l			I	
Level							
Never	81 (38.9)	175 (34.9)	Reference	65 (33.7)	138 (33.1)	Reference	
Occasional	79 (38.0)	241 (48.0)	0.73 (0.48-1.14)	84 (43.5)	227 (54.4)	0.92 (0.57-1.47)	
Light	25 (12.0)	51 (10.2)	0.70 (0.33-1.44)	17 (8.8)	38 (9.1)	0.56 (0.24-1.34)	
Moderate	2 (1.0)	8 (1.6)	0.20 (0.03-1.23)	4 (2.1)	5 (1.2)	1.74 (0.38-8.00)	
Heavy	10 (4.8)	18 (3.6)	0.80 (0.31-2.10)	13 (6.7)	6 (1.4)	2.34 (0.65-8.49)	
Unknown	11 (5.3)	9 (1.8)	-	10 (5.2)	3 (0.7)	-	
	P-interaction = 0.15		1			I	
ALDH2 rs671	Normal <i>ALDH2</i> (*1/*1)	Slow/non-functional ALI	al <i>ALDH2</i> (*1/*2+*2/*2)				
Drinking status				I			
Never	54 (28.0)	127 (28.2)	Reference	96 (44.4)	191 (39.0)	Reference	
Occasional	84 (43.5)	238 (52.9)	0.97 (0.59–1.57)	81 (37.5)	239 (48.8)	0.66 (0.44-1.01)	
Former regular	16 (8.3)	30 (6.7)	0.80 (0.33-1.92)	18 (8.3)	29 (5.9)	0.66 (0.30-1.48)	
Current regular	39 (20.2)	55 (12.2)	1.11 (0.53-2.32)	21 (9.7)	31 (6.3)	1.11 (0.52-2.33)	
	P-interaction = 0.61		I	1			
Frequency							
Never	54 (28.0)	127 (28.2)	Reference	96 (44.4)	191 (39.0)	Reference	
Occasional	84 (43.5)	238 (52.9)	0.96 (0.59–1.56)	81 (37.5)	239 (48.8)	0.66 (0.44-1.01)	
Monthly	3 (1.5)	8 (1.8)	0.96 (0.20-4.69)	3 (1.4)	5 (1.0)	0.98 (0.19-5.11)	
Weekly	12 (6.2)	28 (6.2)	0.54 (0.20-1.46)	9 (4.2)	23 (4.7)	0.63 (0.24-1.66)	
Daily	31 (16.1)	46 (10.2)	0.91 (0.41-2.03)	23 (10.6)	27 (5.5) 1.04 (0.48-2.2)		
Unknown	9 (4.7)	3 (0.7)	-	4 (1.8)	5 (1.0)	-	
	P-interaction = 0.63		1	1			
Level							
Never	54 (28.0)	127 (28.2)	Reference	96 (44.4)	191 (39.0)	Reference	
Occasional	84 (43.5)	238 (52.9)	0.95 (0.58-1.55)	81 (37.5)	239 (48.8)	0.66 (0.44-1.01)	
Light	25 (13.0)	56 (12.4)	0.58 (0.26-1.30)	18 (8.3)	35 (7.1)	0.78 (0.36-1.69)	
Moderate	4 (2.1)	8 (1.8)	0.63 (0.15-2.66)	2 (0.9)	7 (1.4)	0.34 (0.05-2.44)	
Heavy	14 (7.3)	16 (3.6)	1.33 (0.49-3.61)	10 (4.6)	10 (2.0)	1.13 (0.39-3.29)	
Unknown	12 (6.2)	5 (1.1)	-	9 (4.2)	8 (1.6)	-	
	P-interaction = 0.61	L	I	1	L	I	

Table 5. The association between alcohol drinking and pancreatic cancer risk stratified by the genotypes of *ADH1B* or *ALDH2*. *CI* confidence interval, *N* number, *OR* odds ratio. ^aOR and 95% CI were calculated using unconditional logistic regression, adjusted for sex, age, education, cigarette smoking (pack-years), oral hygiene score, vegetable consumption, allergy, diabetes/glucose intolerance and BMI at two years before the pancreatic cancer diagnosis for the cases or before the interview date for the controls.

*ALDH2*2/*2*(almost non-functional)¹⁹, our results found no significant association between alcohol drinking and pancreatic cancer regardless of the genotype combinations of *ADH1B* and *ALDH2*. Although chance finding could not be ruled out to explain the discrepant results between the two studies, a likely reason may still be the low percentage of heavy alcohol users in our study population. In the study by Kanda et al. where higher level of

Ethanol metabolism group								
Alcohol	Group 1 Fast ADH1B (*2/*2) + Normal ALDH2 (*1/*1)		Group 2 Fast ADH1B (*2/*2) Slow/non-functional ALDH2 (*1/*2 + *2/*2)		Group 3 Slow ADH1B (*1/*1 + *1/*2) Normal ALDH2 (*1/*1)		Group 4 Slow ADH1B (*1/*1 + *1/*2) Slow/non-functional ALDH2 (*1/*2 + *2/*2)	
consumption ^a	Ca/Co	OR (95% CI) ^a	Ca/Co	OR (95% CI) ^a	Ca/Co	OR (95% CI) ^a	Ca/Co	OR (95% CI) ^a
All head and neck	cancer							
Never	32/72	Referent	49/101	Referent	22/51	Referent	43/84	Referent
Occasional	44/107	0.97 (0.49–1.93)	35/133	0.46 (0.24–0.86)	39/123	0.83 (0.38-1.84)	45/103	1.11 (0.58-2.12)
Former regular	8/22	0.44 (0.13-1.51)	11/16	0.89 (0.30-2.60)	6/8	0.98 (0.20-4.74)	7/9	0.73 (0.18-2.98)
Current regular	17/32	0.78 (0.27-2.31)	12/16	1.18 (0.42-3.34)	22/21	1.49 (0.47-4.67)	9/14	1.37 (0.42-4.50)
	P-interaction = 0.20							
Never	32/72	Referent	49/101	Referent	22/51	Referent	43/84	Referent
Occasional	44/107	0.96 (0.48–1.91)	35/133	0.46 (0.24–0.86)	39/123	0.83 (0.38-1.85)	45/103	1.11 (0.58-2.12)
Monthly	1/3	0.70 (0.04–11.86)	2/2	2.34 (0.24-22.75)	2/5	0.81 (0.10-6.23)	1/2	0.30 (0.01-6.84)
Weekly	7/18	0.34 (0.09–1.28)	3/13	0.43 (0.10-1.86)	5/9	1.02 (0.16-6.63)	6/9	1.02 (0.24-4.37)
Daily	13/30	0.55 (0.17-1.77)	16/15	1.25 (0.45-3.50)	16/15	1.01 (0.26-3.92)	7/9	1.73 (0.43-6.87)
Unknown	4/3	-	2/2	-	5/0	-	2/3	-
	P-interac	ction = 0.31						
Never	32/72	Referent	49/101	Referent	22/51	Referent	43/84	Referent
Occasional	44/107	0.97 (0.49–1.92)	35/133	0.44 (0.23–0.84)	39/123	0.84 (0.37-1.90)	45/103	1.12 (0.59–2.14)
Light	15/33	0.52 (0.17-1.61)	10/18	0.88 (0.29-2.66)	9/22	0.36 (0.09–1.47)	8/16	0.69 (0.20-2.43)
Moderate	2/5	0.37 (0.04-3.39)	0/3	-	2/2	1.53 (0.17-14.09)	2/3	2.90 (0.35-24.15)
Heavy	4/11	0.43 (0.09–2.11)	6/7	1.22 (0.32-4.65)	9/5	1.86 (0.34-10.31)	4/1	6.90 (0.39-121.16)
Unknown	4/5	-	7/4	-	8/0	-	2/3	-
	P-interac	P-interaction = 0.30						

Table 6. The association between alcohol drinking and pancreatic cancer risk by the combination of *ALDH2* rs671 and *ADH1B* rs1229984. *CA* case, *CI* confidence interval, *CO* control, *OR* odds ratio. ^aOR and 95% CI were calculated using unconditional logistic regression, adjusted for sex, age, education, cigarette smoking (pack-years), oral hygiene score, vegetable consumption, allergy, diabetes/glucose intolerance and BMI at two years before the pancreatic cancer diagnosis for the cases or before the interview date for the controls.

alcohol drinking was defined as \geq 30 g of alcohol per day, 22.5% of the cases and 15.9% of the controls met this definition, whereas in our study population only 7.2% of the cases and 4.1% of the controls met this definition. Overall, our results suggested that in a study population with low percentage of heavy alcohol users, genetic susceptibility to carcinogenicity of alcohol alone is insufficient to increase pancreatic cancer risk.

This study has several limitations. It is difficult to know whether the cases and controls in a hospital-based case-control study are from the same source population. In particular, the representativeness of the control subjects may be questionable. The percentages of ever alcohol users (occasional + regular) in our study were 80% for men and 55.5% for women, which were a little higher than the percentage of ever drinkers (70% for men and 45.3% for women) recorded by the 2013 national health survey²⁰. This could have biased our results on the association between alcohol use and pancreatic cancer towards the null. The 2013 national health survey did not record the level of alcohol use; therefore, we could not access the potential bias for heavy alcohol use in our study. Another limitation is that cases might have ruminated more about alcohol use than the controls, biasing the results away from the null. Finally, due to the differences in alcohol use prevalence and behaviors, our results may not be generalizable to populations in other countries. Our results only suggested that alcohol is not a major contributor to the occurrence of pancreatic cancer in Taiwan.

The major strength of the current study is that it is one of the few studies that assessed the combined impact of *ADH1B* and *ALDH2* polymorphisms on the association between alcohol use and pancreatic cancer. It allowed us to show that even among individuals that are genetically susceptible to the carcinogenic effect of alcohol, alcohol use was not associated with pancreatic cancer risk.

In conclusion, our study did not support an association between alcohol use and pancreatic cancer even after considering the level of alcohol use and the influence of *ADH1B* and *ALDH2* polymorphisms.

Methods

The contents and the execution of the current study received approval from institutional review boards of the National Cheng Kung University Hospital and the National Health Research Institutes. Every potential study participant was informed about the details and the potential risk of participating in the study. Those who agreed to join the study were asked to provide a signed informed consent. The study was conducted according to the guidelines and the regulations set by the institutional review boards of the National Cheng Kung University Hospital and the National Health Research Institutes.

Subject recruitment. Recruitment of the study subjects was performed by an ongoing case–control study of pancreatic cancer at the National Cheng Kung University Hospital. Recruitment of the pancreatic cancer cases took place at the Department of General Surgery or the Division of Hemato-Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine. Eligible cases were those with: (1) diagnosis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; (2) no cancer history prior to the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer; (3) age ≥ 20 years; and (4) the capability to comprehend the contents of the study and give informed consent. Recruitment of the controls was conducted at the Department of Family Medicine. The eligible controls were those who: (1) visited the hospital for vaccination, routine physical examination, or for minor illnesses (e.g. common cold, headache) unrelated to cigarette smoking or metabolic diseases (diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia); (2) had no history of cancer; (3) were aged ≥ 20 years; and (4) were able to understand the contents of the study and give informed consent. The current study analyzed data of subjects recruited from November 19, 2013 to January 21, 2020.

In-person interview. In-person interview was conducted to collect data on alcohol use from each study subject. Each participant was first asked whether they ever drank any alcohol with four possible responses: never, once or twice, yes but only occasional at special events, and yes for regular drinking. Regular alcohol drinkers were further asked the followings: (1) whether they had quit drinking for more than 6 months with positive responders defined as former regular alcohol drinkers; (2) type of alcohol consumed including beer, wine, and liquor; and (3) frequency and the volume of alcohol drinking. Data on potential confounders in the association between alcohol use and pancreatic cancer were also collected. These included: (1) demographic characteristics, including age, sex, and education; (2) lifestyle habits, including use of cigarettes and intake of vegetables; (3) medical history regarding allergy and diabetes/glucose intolerance; (4) habits of oral hygiene, including regular dental visits, frequency of tooth brushing, and use of dental floss; and (5) height and weight two years prior to the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer for the cases or before the date of interview for the controls. We collected data on weight two years prior to the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer for the cases to minimize reverse causation in the association between body mass index (BMI) and pancreatic cancer.

Collection and processing of DNA samples. To obtain DNA, all subjects were asked to provide blood samples collected in vacutainer tubes containing EDTA (lavender-top) or buccal swab samples obtained by gently brushing the buccal mucosa with FLOQSwabs (Copan Flock Technologies, Brescia, Italy). Buffy coat was separated from the blood by centrifugation before DNA extraction. Commercially available DNA purification kit was used to extract genomic DNA from the buffy coat and the buccal swab samples. DNA samples were kept in a -80 °C refrigerator until ready for genotyping.

Genotyping. *ADH1B* rs1229984 and *ALDH2* rs671 were genotyped for each study subject using Taqmanbased allelic discrimination method on an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

Statistical analysis. T-test was performed to compare the mean age between cases and the controls. The differences in the distributions of sex and education levels between cases and controls were evaluated using chi-squared test.

The odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for estimating the association between alcohol use and pancreatic cancer was generated by unconditional logistic regression analysis, adjusted for age, sex, educational level, cigarette smoking, oral hygiene, vegetable intake, history of allergy, history of diabetes/glucose intolerance, and BMI. Alcohol use was evaluated in several ways: (1) by status, including never, occasional, former regular, and current regular; (2) by frequency, including monthly, weekly, and daily; (3) by level, with light drinking defined as <1 drink/day (14 g of pure alcohol) for women and <2 drinks/day for men, moderate drinking defined as 1–3 drinks/day for women and 2–4 drinks/day for men, and heavy drinking as >3 drinks/day for women and >4 drinks/day for men. The total grams of pure alcohol were calculated according to the frequency and the volume of alcohol consumption and the types of alcoholic beverage consumed. The grams/day of pure alcohol was first calculated for each beverage type with the formula: total volume per day x alcohol content (5% for beer, 13% for wine, and 40% for liquor) x density of ethanol (0.798 g/ml). The grams/day of alcohol for the different beverage types were then added together to generate the total grams/day of pure alcohol.

The association between alcohol use and pancreatic cancer was further stratified by the use of cigarettes to evaluate whether cigarette use may modify the association between alcohol and pancreatic cancer. The difference between the smoking strata was evaluated by comparing the logistic regression model with a product term (alcohol \times cigarette) to the model without the product term using the log-likelihood ratio test.

The association between *ADH1B* rs1229984 or *ALDH2* rs671 and pancreatic cancer was first performed separately using unconditional logistic regression, adjusted for age, sex, educational level, cigarette smoking, oral hygiene, vegetable intake, history of allergy, history of diabetes/glucose intolerance, and BMI. Further analysis was performed by combining *ADH1B* rs1229984 and *ALDH2* rs671 into four ethanol-metabolizing groups for evaluating the association with pancreatic cancer risk. These four groups were: group 1: Fast *ADH1B* (*2/*2) + normal *ALDH2* (*1/*1); group 2: Fast *ADH1B* (*2/*2) + slow/non-functional *ALDH2* (*1/*1 + *1/*2) + normal *ALDH2* (*1/*1); and group 4: Slow *ADH1B* (*1/*1 + *1/*2) + slow/ non-functional *ALDH2* (*1/*2 + *2/*2).

To evaluate whether the association between alcohol and pancreatic cancer could be modified by the genotypes of *ADH1B* rs1229984 and *ALDH2* rs671, unconditional logistic regression was first performed stratified by *ADH1B* rs1229984 and *ALDH2* rs671 separately and then by the four ethanol-metabolizing groups. The significance of effect modification was evaluated by comparing the logistic regression model with a product term $(alcohol \times ADH1B rs1229984 or alcohol \times ALDH2 rs671 or alcohol \times ethanol-metabolizing group)$ to the model without the product term using the log-likelihood ratio test.

Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Received: 1 February 2021; Accepted: 7 July 2021 Published online: 15 July 2021

References

- 1. Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D. & Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin 69, 7-34 (2019).
- The global, regional, and national burden of pancreatic cancer and its attributable risk factors in 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 4, 934–947 (2019).
- Gandini, S., Lowenfels, A. B., Jaffee, E. M., Armstrong, T. D. & Maisonneuve, P. Allergies and the risk of pancreatic cancer: A meta-analysis with review of epidemiology and biological mechanisms. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14, 1908–1916 (2005).
- 4. Maisonneuve, P., Amar, S. & Lowenfels, A. B. Periodontal disease, edentulism, and pancreatic cancer: A meta-analysis. *Ann Oncol* 28, 985–995 (2017).
- 5. Chan, J. M., Gong, Z., Holly, E. A. & Bracci, P. M. Dietary patterns and risk of pancreatic cancer in a large population-based case-control study in the San Francisco Bay Area. *Nutr Cancer* **65**, 157–164 (2013).
- 6. Bosetti, C. et al. Nutrient-based dietary patterns and pancreatic cancer risk. Ann Epidemiol 23, 124–128 (2013).
- 7. Jansen, R. J. *et al.* Nutrients from fruit and vegetable consumption reduce the risk of pancreatic cancer. *J Gastrointest Cancer* 44, 152–161 (2013).
- Genkinger, J. M. et al. Alcohol intake and pancreatic cancer risk: a pooled analysis of fourteen cohort studies. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 18, 765–776 (2009).
- Lucenteforte, E. et al. Alcohol consumption and pancreatic cancer: A pooled analysis in the International Pancreatic Cancer Case-Control Consortium (PanC4). Ann Oncol 23, 374–382 (2012).
- 10. Wang, Y. T., Gou, Y. W., Jin, W. W., Xiao, M. & Fang, H. Y. Association between alcohol intake and the risk of pancreatic cancer: A dose-response meta-analysis of cohort studies. *BMC Cancer* 16, 212 (2016).
- 11. Yoshida, A., Huang, I. Y. & Ikawa, M. Molecular abnormality of an inactive aldehyde dehydrogenase variant commonly found in Orientals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **81**, 258–261 (1984).
- Gross, E. R. et al. A personalized medicine approach for Asian Americans with the aldehyde dehydrogenase 2*2 variant. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 55, 107–127 (2015).
- Druesne-Pecollo, N. et al. Alcohol and genetic polymorphisms: Effect on risk of alcohol-related cancer. Lancet Oncol 10, 173–180 (2009).
- 14. Luo, H. R. et al. Origin and dispersal of atypical aldehyde dehydrogenase ALDH2487Lys. Gene 435, 96-103 (2009).
- 15. Osier, M. V. *et al.* A global perspective on genetic variation at the ADH genes reveals unusual patterns of linkage disequilibrium and diversity. *Am J Hum Genet* **71**, 84–99 (2002).
- Brennan, P. & Boffetta, P. Mechanistic considerations in the molecular epidemiology of head and neck cancer. in *Mechanisms of Carcinogenesis: Contributions of Molecular Epidemiology. IARC Scientific Publications, n.157*, Vol. 157 (eds. Buffler, P., Rice, J., Baan, R., Bird, M. & Boffetta, P.) 393–414 (IARC Press, Lyon, 2004).
- 17. Bosron, W. F. & Li, T. K. Genetic polymorphism of human liver alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenases, and their relationship to alcohol metabolism and alcoholism. *Hepatology* **6**, 502–510 (1986).
- Brennan, P. *et al.* Pooled analysis of alcohol dehydrogenase genotypes and head and neck cancer: A HuGE review. *Am J Epidemiol* 159, 1–16 (2004).
- 19. Kanda, J. et al. Impact of alcohol consumption with polymorphisms in alcohol-metabolizing enzymes on pancreatic cancer risk in Japanese. Cancer Sci 100, 296–302 (2009).
- 20. Health Indicator 123 website by the Health Promotion Administration, Ministry of Health and Welfare, Taiwan. https://olap.hpa.gov.tw/index.aspx Accessed on November 27, 2020.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the National Health Research Institutes (CA-110-PP-01).

Author contributions

Study concepts: Y.S.S., L.T.C., J.S.C. Data acquisition: all authors. Data analysis: J.S.C. Data interpretation: all authors. Manuscript preparation: Y.S.S., L.T.C., C.H.W., J.S.C. Final approval of the manuscript: all authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-021-94111-w.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.S.C.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021