scientific reports



OPEN Association of plasma level of high-mobility group box-1 with necroptosis and sepsis outcomes

Hongseok Yoo¹, Yunjoo Im¹, Ryoung-Eun Ko², Jin Young Lee², Junseon Park² & Kyeongman Jeon^{1,2}

The role of high-mobility group box-1 (HMGB1) in outcome prediction in sepsis is controversial. Furthermore, its association with necroptosis, a programmed cell necrosis mechanism, is still unclear. The purpose of this study is to identify the association between the plasma levels of HMGB1 and the severity and clinical outcomes of sepsis, and to examine the correlation between HMGB1 and key executors of necroptosis including receptor-interacting kinase 3 (RIPK3) and mixed lineage kinase domain-like- (MLKL) proteins. Plasma HMGB1, RIPK3, and MLKL levels were measured with the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay from the derivation cohort of 188 prospectively enrolled, critically-ill patients between April 2014 and December 2016, and from the validation cohort of 77 patients with sepsis between January 2017 and January 2019. In the derivation cohort, the plasma HMGB1 levels of the control (n = 46, 24.5%), sepsis (n = 58, 30.9%), and septic shock (n = 84, 44.7%) groups were significantly increased (P < 0.001). A difference in mortality between high (≥ 5.9 ng/mL) and low (< 5.9 ng/mL) HMGB1 levels was observed up to 90 days (Log-rank test, P = 0.009). There were positive linear correlations of plasma HMGB1 with RIPK3 ($R^2 = 0.61$, P < 0.001) and MLKL ($R^2 = 0.7890$, P<0.001). The difference in mortality and correlation of HMGB1 levels with RIPK3 and MLKL were confirmed in the validation cohort. Plasma levels of HMGB1 were associated with the severity and mortality attributed to sepsis. They were correlated with RIPK3 and MLKL, thus suggesting an association of HMGB1 with necroptosis.

Abbreviations

APACHE II	Acute physiology, age, chronic health evaluation II
DAMP	Damage-associated molecular pattern
HMGB1	High-mobility group box-1
ICU	Intensive care unit
MLKL	Mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein
RCD	Regulated cell death
RIPK3	Receptor-interacting protein kinase-3
SAPS3	Simplified acute physiology score 3
SOFA	Sequential organ failure assessment

Sepsis is a severe and fatal disease characterized by a dysregulated host response to infection causing organ failure and death¹. Owing to its complex nature, the pathogenesis of sepsis is not yet fully comprehended. Thus, the efforts expended in the development of cure have been unsuccessful². In addition, the current definition of sepsis identifies a heterogeneous population of individuals with diverse patterns of immune response, organ dysfunction, and clinical outcomes¹. Therefore, early diagnosis, precise stratification of severity, and accurate outcome predictions are crucial in managing patients with sepsis³. However, to-this-date, no biomarkers have been proven sufficient to meet the needs of clinicians in clinical practice⁴.

¹Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 81 Irwon-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06351, Republic of Korea. ²Department of Critical Care Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. [⊠]email: kjeon@skku.edu



Figure 1. Flow diagram of studied patients (SMC-RoCI, Samsung Medical Center Registry of Critical Illness).

The high-mobility group box-1 (HMGB1) is a nonhistone, chromatin-associated nuclear protein originally described to function as a factor for the regulation of gene expression and transcription⁵, and is one of the well-known proteins of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) that activate the immune system that initiates systemic inflammation cascade^{6,7}. In sepsis, HMGB1 not only triggers neutrophil recruitment but also induces macrophages and endothelial cell stimulation for proinflammatory cytokine production⁷. Animal studies have shown that inhibition of HMGB1 in septic models improves survival^{8,9}. Furthermore, elevated levels of HGMB1 in sepsis patients have been reported consistently^{10,11}. However, the role of HMGB1 as a sepsis biomarker in the assessment of severity and prediction of mortality is still controversial¹². In addition, growing evidence indicates that among various mechanisms, HMGB1 leakage may be induced by the regulated cell death (RCD) mechanism of necroptosis, caspase-independent receptor-interacting protein kinase (RIPK), and mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein (MLKL)¹³. However, the association of the plasma levels of HMGB1 with necroptosis in sepsis has not been investigated.

The objective of our study is to investigate the association between the plasma levels of HMGB1 and the severity and outcomes of sepsis. In addition, the plasma levels of HMGB1 were correlated to those of RIPK3 and MLKL to identify the relationship of HMGB1 with necroptosis^{14,15}.

Methods

This study analyzed data of critically-ill adult patients enrolled in the prospective cohort of Samsung Medical Center Registry of Critical Illness (SMC-RoCI). SMC-RoCI is an active registry that started enrolling patients in April 2014. Data of the cohort and details of the study protocols have been published previously^{16,17}. In brief, critically-ill adult patients aged 19 years or older admitted to the medical ICU were considered eligible for inclusion in the registry. Exclusion criteria included ICU admission for a simple procedure or postsurgical care, end-of-life decision, discharge within 24 h of admission, and persistent bleeding or hemoglobin < 8 g/dL which limits serial blood collection. This study was approved by the institutional review board of the Samsung Medical Center and performed in compliance with Helsinki declaration. Written informed consent was obtained from patients or their legally authorized representative prior to enrollment.

Study population. Adult, critically-ill patients registered in SMC-RoCI admitted to the medical intensive care unit (ICU) of Samsung Medical Center (1,989 beds, university affiliated, tertiary referral hospital in Seoul, South Korea), were included in our study. One-hundred eighty-eight critically-ill patients who were enrolled between April 2014 and December 2016 were analyzed. Seventy-seven patients with sepsis registered between January 2017 and January 2019 were used as the validation cohort (Fig. 1).

Data collections. Clinical data, including patient demographics, reason for ICU admission, laboratory data, and severity of illness scores were collected by a trained research coordinator at the time of enrollment using the electronic health records. The sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA)¹⁸, simplified acute physiology score 3 (SAPS 3)¹⁹, and acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II (APACHE II) scores²⁰ were used to evaluate the disease severity. The primary outcome measure was the 28-day mortality. In-hospital mortality and 90-day mortality were measured as secondary outcomes.

The third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3) was used to diagnose sepsis and septic shock¹. Patients enrolled before the publication of Sepsis-3 were reviewed and re-categorized according to the new definition. The control group was defined as the patient group that did not meet the Sepsis-3 criteria.

Measurement of plasma HMGB1, RIPK3, and MLKL. In accordance with the purpose of the SMC-RoCI registry, the study protocol requires the collection of 19 mL whole blood samples from all the patients within 48 h of ICU admission. Centrifuged samples were stored at –80 °C for future use. The details of the study protocol and sample process are available from a previously published study¹⁶. Plasma HMGB1, RIPK3, and MLKL levels were measured with the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay with a commercially available kit

Characteristics	No. of patients (%) or median (IQR)			
Age, years	64 (54–73)			
Gender, male	120 (63.8)			
Co-morbidities				
Cancer	92 (48.9)			
Solid tumor	61 (32.4)			
Hematologic malignancy	31 (16.5)			
Diabetes	55 (29.3)			
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	18 (9.6)			
Chronic kidney disease	15 (8.0)			
Myocardial infarction	11 (5.9)			
Congestive heart failure	9 (4.7)			
Cerebrovascular disease	6 (3.2)			
Chronic liver disease	1 (0.5)			
Charlson comorbidity index	2 (1-3)			
Clinical status on ICU admission				
Need for MV	95 (50.5)			
Need for vasopressor support	115 (61.2)			
Laboratory findings				
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio	193.3 (125.7–300.0)			
CRP, mg/dL	10.1 (3.2–19.0)			
Lactic acid, mg/dL	2.7 (1.7-4.1)			
Severity of illness				
SAPS 3	52 (44-59)			
APACHE II score	23 (18–8)			
Initial SOFA score	8 (5-11)			
Plasma HMGB1, ng/mL	3.5 (1.8-6.2)			
Outcome				
7-day mortality	15 (8.0)			
28-day mortality	41 (21.8)			
90-day mortality	59 (31.4)			
In-hospital mortality	48 (25.5)			

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the 188 patients who participated in the derivation cohort. APACHE II, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II; CRP, C-reactive protein; HMGB1, high-mobility group box-1; ICU, intensive care unit; IQR, interquartile range; MV, mechanical ventilation; SAPS 3, simplified acute physiology score 3; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment.

according to the manufacturer's manual (HMGB1, IBL International, Hamburg, Germany; RIPK3, CUSABIO, Wuhan, China; MLKL, LifeSpan BioSciences, Seattle, USA)^{16,21,22}.

Statistical analysis. All data are reported as numbers (percentages) for categorical variables and as medians with interquartile ranges (IQR, 25th–75th percentiles) for continuous variables. We used the chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test to compare categorical variables, and the Mann–Whitney *U* test to compare continuous variables. The differences in HMGB1 level among sepsis, septic shock, and control groups were analyzed by the Kruskal–Wallis test. The patients with sepsis were divided into high- and low-plasma level groups of HMGB1 according to the best cut-off level determined by Youden's index for the prediction of the 28-day mortality²³. We used the log-rank test to compare the differences in the 90-day survival. The correlation analyses of HMGB1 with RIPK3 and MLKL were performed with linear regression based on the Pearson's correlation and Spearman's rank correlation coefficients.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (version 20.0, IBM, Chicago, IL, USA), and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The baseline characteristics of the derivation cohort of 188 critically-ill patients are summarized in Table 1. The study population consisted of 58 (30.9%) sepsis, 84 (44.7%) septic shock, and 46 (24.5%) control patients. One-hundred fifteen (61.2%) patients required vasopressor support upon ICU admission. The median scores of SAPS 3, APACHE II, and SOFA were 52 (44–59), 23 (18–8), and 8 (5–11), respectively. The interval between ICU admission to blood sampling was 30 (21–37) hours.





The median levels of HMGB1 for the control, sepsis, and septic shock groups were 2.4 (0.6–4.6) ng/mL, 3.1 (2.0–5.3) ng/mL, and 5.7 (2.6–8.0) ng/mL, respectively. These values demonstrated a statistically significant trend of increase (*P* for trend < 0.001) (Fig. 2). The optimal cut-off level of HMGB1 for predicting the 28-day mortality in 142 sepsis patients was 5.9 ng/mL. Comparisons of clinical characteristics and mortality of sepsis patients between high (\geq 5.9 ng/mL, n = 49) and low (< 5.9 ng/mL, n = 93) plasma levels of HMGB1 are listed in Table 2. The number of patients who required vasopressor support, blood lactic acid levels, the number of septic shock patients, and severity of illness based on SAPS 3, APACHE II, and SOFA scores, was higher in the case of the high-HMGB1 group. Furthermore, the 28-day, in-hospital, and 90-day mortalities were significantly higher in the high-HMGB1 group. The Kaplan–Meier survival analysis depicted a significant difference in 90-day survival (*P*=0.009) (Fig. 3).

There was a positive linear association between the plasma levels of HMGB1 and RIPK3 (Pearson's r = 0.807, Spearman's rho = 0.885, $R^2 = 0.6516$, P < 0.001) (Fig. 4A). In the same manner, the plasma levels of HMGB1 and MLKL demonstrated a positive linear relationship (Pearson's r = 0.852, Spearman's rho = 0.888, $R^2 = 0.789$, P < 0.001) (Fig. 4B).

Validation cohort of 77 patients consisted of 24 (31.2%) patients with sepsis and 53 (68.8%) patients with septic shock. The plasma levels of HMGB1 in patients with septic shock were significantly higher than those in sepsis patients [4.0 ng/mL (2.4–5.8) vs. 5.0 ng/mL (4.0–6.5), P=0.023]. The validation cohort was then classified into the groups of high (n = 21) and low (n = 56) HMGB1 levels according to the optimal cut-off level of 5.9 ng/mL determined from the derivation cohort (Table 3). Although the baseline characteristics of age, gender, comorbidities, clinical status on ICU admission, or severity of illness scores were similar in all the groups, the 28-day mortality was higher in the high-HMGB1 group (33.3% vs. 3.6%, P<0.001). In addition, in-hospital and 90-day mortalities significantly differed between the two groups (Table 3, Fig. 5). The positive correlations of plasma HMGB1 levels with those of RIPK3 (R²=0.7394, P<0.001) and MLKL (R²=0.584, P<0.001) were also observed in the validation cohort (see Supplementary Figures).

Discussion

Based on data analyses from 188 critically-ill patients and additional 77 patients with sepsis from the prospective SMC-RoCI registry, we observed that the plasma levels of HMGB1 were associated with the severity and mortality of sepsis. In addition, plasma levels of HMGB1 showed a positive linear relationship with those of RIPK3 and MLKL, key executors of RCD mechanism of necroptosis. The results of our study suggest that plasma HMGB1 may be a potential biomarker for severity assessment and mortality prediction of sepsis. Furthermore, the study and its outcomes suggest that necroptosis is likely a major source of plasma HMGB1 in sepsis.

The results of previous studies on the relationship between plasma HMGB1 and sepsis mortality are contradictory. Huang et al.²⁴ and Ueno et al.²⁵ demonstrated that high-plasma HMGB1 levels were associated with higher mortality in patients affected with sepsis and in septic shock patients who underwent polymyxin B hemoperfusion. However, other studies observed a negative relationship between the HMGB1 levels and prognosis^{10,26,27}. Recent systematic review and meta-analysis of eight studies on sepsis patients found marginal differences in HMGB1 levels between survivors and nonsurvivors (pooled mean difference 1.2 ng/mL; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.0–2.4; P = 0.05)¹². In our study, a significant difference in mortality between patients with high- and low-HMGB1 levels determined by Youden's index was observed up to 90 days.

There are several possibilities for these conflicting results. First, a difference should be recognized in the statistical method used in our study compared with the previous studies. Unlike previous studies that compared plasma HMGB1 levels between survivors and nonsurvivors, we calculated Youden's index first to obtain the best

	Low HMGB1 (n=93)	High HMGB1 (n=49)	P value				
Age, years	65 (54–74)	67 (51–72)	0.828				
Gender, male	64 (68.8)	31 (63.3)	0.504				
Co-morbidities							
Cancer	46 (49.5)	28 (57.1)	0.384				
Solid tumor	30 (32.3)	20 (40.8)	0.310				
Hematologic malignancy	16 (17.2)	8 (16.3)	0.894				
Diabetes	28 (30.1)	15 (30.6)	0.950				
Chronic kidney disease	6 (6.5)	5 (10.2)	0.513				
Myocardial infarction	4 (4.3)	3 (6.1)	0.693				
Congestive heart failure	1 (1.1)	4 (8.2)	0.048				
Cerebrovascular disease	3 (3.2)	3 (6.1)	0.415				
Charlson comorbidity index	2 (1-3)	2 (1-3)	0.244				
Septic shock	46 (49.5)	38 (77.6)	0.001				
Clinical status on ICU admissio	n						
Need for MV	38 (40.9)	26 (53.1)	0.165				
Need for vasopressor support	59 (63.4)	41 (83.7)	0.012				
Laboratory findings							
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio	196.0 (128.8-285.7)	167.0 (123.5-272.3)	0.191				
CRP, mg/dL	12.0 (3.4-20.1)	15.8 (6.5–26.1)	0.037				
Lactic acid, mg/dL	2.3 (1.7-3.3)	3.9 (2.3–5.7)	< 0.001				
Severity of illness							
SAPS 3	51 (44-58)	56 (50-74)	0.004				
APACHE II score	21 (17–26)	28 (21-25)	< 0.001				
Initial SOFA score	7 (6–10)	11 (8-13)	< 0.001				
Outcome							
7-day mortality	5 (5.4)	7 (14.3)	0.109				
28-day mortality	13 (14.0)	17 (34.7)	0.004				
90-day mortality	23 (24.7)	25 (51.0) 0.002					
In-hospital mortality	14 (15.1)	22 (44.9)	< 0.001				

Table 2. Characteristics of patients with sepsis stratified according to the best cut-off level of plasma HMGB1 from the derivation cohort (n = 142). APACHE II, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II; CRP, C-reactive protein; ICU, intensive care unit; MV, mechanical ventilation; PaO₂/FiO₂, arterial partial pressure of oxygen/fraction of inspired oxygen; SAPS 3, simplified acute physiology score 3; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment.



Figure 3. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis comparing sepsis and septic shock patients with high- and low-plasma levels of HMGB1 from the derivation cohort (n = 142) (P = 0.009, log-rank test).

.



Figure 4. Correlation between plasma levels of HMGB1 and receptor-interacting kinase 3 (RIPK3) (A) and mixed lineage-like-domain protein (MLKL) (B) in patients with sepsis from the derivation cohort (n = 142) [RIPK3; slope 318.5 (95% confidence interval (CI): 279.6–357.4), R²: 0.6156 (P<0.001), Pearson's: 0.807 (P<0.001), Spearman's rho: 0.885 (P<0.001)] [MLKL; slope = 0.3251 (95% CI: 0.2790–0.3532), R²: 0.7890 (P<0.001), Pearson's: 0.888 (P<0.001), Spearman's rho: 0.852 (P<0.001)].

Characteristics	Overall	Low HMGB1 (n=56)	High HMGB1 (n=21)	P value				
Age, years	67 (59–75)	69 (55–76)	66 (63–71)	0.792				
Gender, male	54 (70.1)	38 (67.9)	16 (76.2)	0.477				
Co-morbidities		<u> </u>						
Cancer	43 (55.8)	29 (51.8)	14 (66.7)	0.242				
Solid tumor	32 (41.6)	21 (37.5)	11 (52.4)	0.238				
Hematologic malignancy	12 (15.6)	9 (16.1)	3 (14.3)	1.000				
Diabetes	26 (33.8)	18 (32.1)	8 (38.1)	0.623				
Chronic kidney disease	7 (9.1)	5 (8.9)	2 (9.5)	1.000				
Myocardial infarction	4 (5.2)	2 (3.6)	2 (9.5)	0.298				
Congestive heart failure	3 (3.9)	3 (5.4)	0	0.558				
Cerebrovascular diseases	4 (5.2)	4 (7.1)	0	0.570				
Charlson cormobidity index	2 (1-3)	2 (1-3)	2 (1-3)	0.751				
Septic shock	53 (68.8)	37 (66.1)	16 (76.2)	0.393				
Clinical status on ICU admission								
Need for mechanical ventilation	34 (44.2)	24 (42.9)	10 (47.6)	0.708				
Need for vasopressor support	62 (80.5)	46 (82.1)	16 (76.2)	0.537				
Laboratory findings								
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio	233.8 (150.7-351.9)	222.7 (149.5-306.9)	302.5 (162.7-386.2)	0.153				
CRP, mg/dL	13.1 (6.9–25.6)	12.2 (5.4–23.9)	15.4 (8.1-31.0)	0.088				
Lactic acid, mg/dL	3.0 (1.9-4.6)	2.7 (1.7-4.2)	3.8 (2.2-4.9)	0.098				
Severity of illness								
SAPS 3	57 (48-65)	57 (47-63)	60 (54-66)	0.212				
APACHE II score	25 (20-30)	25 (18–28)	29 (21-32)	0.084				
Initial SOFA score	9 (7–11)	9 (7-11)	10 (8-12)	0.052				
7-day mortality	1 (1.3)	0	1 (4.8)	0.273				
28-day mortality	9 (11.7)	2 (3.6)	7 (33.3)	0.001				
90-day mortality	21 (27.3)	10 (17.9)	11 (52.4)	0.002				
In-hospital mortality	17 (22.1)	7 (12.5)	10 (47.6)	0.002				

Table 3. Characteristics of patients with sepsis stratified according to the level of plasma HMGB1 from the validation cohort (n = 77). APACHE II, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II; CRP, C-reactive protein; ICU, intensive care unit; PaO₂/FiO₂, arterial partial pressure of oxygen/fraction of inspired oxygen; SAPS 3, simplified acute physiology score 3; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment.

Scientific Reports | (2021) 11:9512 |



Figure 5. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis comparing patients of validation cohort with high- and low-plasma levels of HMGB1 (n=77) (P<0.001, log-rank test).

-

cut-off point for the prediction of the 28-day mortality, and then compared the mortalities between the groups. Considering that there are certain advantages and disadvantages among statistical methods in evaluating the performance of biomarkers, we decided to calculate the optimal cut-off level to identify the discriminatory power as well as the clinical applicability of HMGB1 in sepsis rather than compare the levels between survivors and nonsurvivors^{28,29}. Furthermore, we confirmed the result in the validation cohort using the same cut-off level from the derivation cohort. Another factor, in addition to the statistical difference that needs to be considered pertains to the chronological changes of the HMGB1 levels. In their study of 42 patients with septic shock, Gibot et al. noticed increases in HMGB1 levels between days 1 and 3 among nonsurvivors, whereas a progressive but statistically nonsignificant decrease was noted in HMGB1 levels among survivors³⁰. In the same study, the level of HMGB1 at day 3 (and not that at day 0) was associated with increased mortality. Given the fact that HMGB1 is a late mediator of sepsis^{31,32}, this result concurs with several studies advocating that delayed measurement of serial changes in HMGB1 may be predictive of mortality^{11,33}. Moreover, in a study of sepsis patients with various sources of infection, the kinetics of HMGB1 release showed different patterns according to the primary source of infection²⁶. These findings suggest that the timing of the sampling and source of infection may affect the significance of HMGB1 in the prediction of mortality owing to sepsis. However, we cannot confirm whether this is the reason for the conflicting results of the previous studies. In fact, withdrawal of blood was performed within 24 h of ICU admission in our study which may not reflect the delayed phase of HMGB1 elevation. In addition, late elevation was regarded as a consequence of active release from immune cells, while immediate surge may occur from cell damage or necrosis. Therefore, elevated HMGB1 in our cohort may by a manifestation of cell death. Given that our knowledge on the origin of HMGB1 in sepsis is limited, we are not able to draw inferences with certainty at this time. Additional studies identifying the kinetics of plasma HMGB1 are essential to better understand the role of HMGB1 as a biomarker and its meaning in the pathogenesis of sepsis. Finally, heterogeneity of sepsis may have contributed to the discordance. In a recent study on multiple observational cohorts of sepsis, Seymour et al. classified sepsis patients into novel phenotypes based on clinical and laboratory variables³⁴. Each phenotype demonstrated distinct patterns of organ failure and clinical outcomes as well as distributions of biomarkers. Disparity in proportion of specific subtypes of sepsis patients among previous studies and ours have resulted in conflicting significance of HMGB1 in mortality prediction. Further research on the association of HMGB1 and sepsis phenotypes is necessary to determine the utility of HMGB1 as a biomarker.

One of the most notable findings of our study is the strong association of plasma levels of HMGB1 with those of RIPK3 and MLKL, the key mediators of necroptosis^{14,15}. HMGB1 can be actively secreted by immune cells, such as macrophages, dendritic cells, or monocytes in a delayed manner (>6 h) as well as passively released through necrosis or RCDs other than apoptosis in an immediate manner³⁵. Nevertheless, the source of extracellular release of HMGB1 is not yet clearly confirmed in sepsis. In vitro studies and animal models show a delayed surge of HMGB1 after lipopolysaccharide or endotoxin stimulation which suggests active secretion from immune cells as one of the mechanisms of HMGB1 release in sepsis^{32,36}. By contrast, a few recent studies have reported that HMGB1 is released from caspase-independent necrotic-like cell deaths of macrophages and myoblasts, respectively^{37,38}. The results support the hypothesis of necroptosis as a mechanism of an HMGB1 shift in sepsis. However, its association with RIPK1/RIPK3-dependent necroptosis has not been investigated. To our knowledge, we have demonstrated, for the first time, the association of plasma levels of surrogate markers of necroptosis activity RIPK3 and MLKL, with that of HMGB1 in patients with sepsis. The strong correlation presents compelling evidence that the early rise of HMGB1 may be mainly attributed to necroptosis for reasons other than other RCDs, cell necrosis, or activation of immune cells. Nonetheless, we are aware that this result does not provide direct evidence that extracellular HMGB1 originates from necroptosis in sepsis. Various mechanisms of extracellular HMGB1 secretion and complex pathways of necroptosis as well as possibility of HMGB1-mediated necroptosis activation limits definite conclusions³⁹. Additional investigations, including single cell study and gene expression analysis are necessary to confirm this speculation.

There are several limitations associated with our study. First, our study included patients referred from a single institution. Thus, the results may not be generalized to other settings or hospitals. Second, based on our study protocol, enrollment of a study patient and sampling of blood were completed within 24 h of ICU admission and 48 h of enrollment, respectively. Therefore, patients with high severity and early mortality may not have been included in the study. Interpretation of results requires caution given that there may be a selection bias. Third, the validation cohort of our study was drawn from a second prospective registry. Therefore, additional confirmatory cohort may be required.

In summary, plasma levels of HMGB1 were associated with severity and prognosis of patient with sepsis. Plasma HMGB1 was correlated with that of RIPK3 and MLKL suggesting its association with necroptosis.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Received: 11 February 2021; Accepted: 20 April 2021 Published online: 04 May 2021

References

- Singer, M. et al. The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (sepsis-3). JAMA 315, 801–810. https:// doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.0287 (2016).
- Rello, J., Valenzuela-Sanchez, F., Ruiz-Rodriguez, M. & Moyano, S. Sepsis: a review of advances in management. Adv. Ther. 34, 2393-2411. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-017-0622-8 (2017).
- Leligdowicz, A. & Matthay, M. A. Heterogeneity in sepsis: new biological evidence with clinical applications. Crit. Care 23, 80. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-019-2372-2 (2019).
- 4. Pierrakos, C. & Vincent, J. L. Sepsis biomarkers: a review. Crit. Care 14, R15. https://doi.org/10.1186/cc8872 (2010).
- Klune, J. R., Dhupar, R., Cardinal, J., Billiar, T. R. & Tsung, A. HMGB1: endogenous danger signaling. *Mol. Med.* 14, 476–484. https://doi.org/10.2119/2008-00034.Klune (2008).
- Seong, S. Y. & Matzinger, P. Hydrophobicity: an ancient damage-associated molecular pattern that initiates innate immune responses. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 4, 469–478. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri1372 (2004).
- Denning, N. L., Aziz, M., Gurien, S. D. & Wang, P. DAMPs and NETs in sepsis. Front. Immunol. 10, 2536. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fimmu.2019.02536 (2019).
- Lee, W. et al. JH-4 reduces HMGB1-mediated septic responses and improves survival rate in septic mice. J. Cell Biochem. 120, 6277–6289. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.27914 (2019).
- Lee, W., Ku, S. K. & Bae, J. S. Zingerone reduces HMGB1-mediated septic responses and improves survival in septic mice. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 329, 202–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2017.06.006 (2017).
- Sunden-Cullberg, J. et al. Persistent elevation of high mobility group box-1 protein (HMGB1) in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock. Crit. Care Med. 33, 564–573. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ccm.0000155991.88802.4d (2005).
- Angus, D. C. et al. Circulating high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) concentrations are elevated in both uncomplicated pneumonia and pneumonia with severe sepsis. Crit. Care Med. 35, 1061–1067. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000259534.68873.2A (2007).
- 12. Pregernig, A., Muller, M., Held, U. & Beck-Schimmer, B. Prediction of mortality in adult patients with sepsis using six biomarkers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann. Intensive Care* 9, 125. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-019-0600-1 (2019).
- Weinlich, R., Oberst, A., Beere, H. M. & Green, D. R. Necroptosis in development, inflammation and disease. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 18, 127–136. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2016.149 (2017).
- Newton, K. et al. Activity of protein kinase RIPK3 determines whether cells die by necroptosis or apoptosis. Science 343, 1357–1360. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1249361 (2014).
- Wu, J. et al. Mlkl knockout mice demonstrate the indispensable role of Mlkl in necroptosis. Cell Res. 23, 994–1006. https://doi. org/10.1038/cr.2013.91 (2013).
- Ma, K. C. et al. Circulating RIPK3 levels are associated with mortality and organ failure during critical illness. JCI Insight 3, e99692. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.99692 (2018).
- Yoo, H. et al. Association of plasma level of TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand with severity and outcome of sepsis. J. Clin. Med. 9, 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9061661 (2020).
- Vincent, J. L. *et al.* The SOFA (Sepsis-related Organ Failure Assessment) score to describe organ dysfunction/failure. On behalf of the Working Group on Sepsis-Related Problems of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. *Intensive Care Med.* 22, 707–710 (1996).
- Moreno, R. P. *et al.* (2005) SAPS 3–From evaluation of the patient to evaluation of the intensive care unit. Part 2: development of a prognostic model for hospital mortality at ICU admission. *Intensive Care Med.* 31, 1345–1355. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-005-2763-5 (2005).
- Knaus, W. A., Draper, E. A., Wagner, D. P. & Zimmerman, J. E. APACHE II: a severity of disease classification system. Crit. Care Med. 13, 818–829 (1985).
- Charoensup, J. et al. High HMGB1 level is associated with poor outcome of septicemic melioidosis. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 28, 111–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2014.07.025 (2014).
- Sureshbabu, A. et al. RIPK3 promotes sepsis-induced acute kidney injury via mitochondrial dysfunction. JCI Insight 3, 1. https:// doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.98411 (2018).
- Bewick, V., Cheek, L. & Ball, J. Statistics review 13: receiver operating characteristic curves. Crit. Care 8, 508–512. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/cc3000 (2004).
- Huang, L. F. et al. Association of high mobility group box-1 protein levels with sepsis and outcome of severely burned patients. Cytokine 53, 29–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2010.09.010 (2011).
- Ueno, T. et al. HMGB-1 as a useful prognostic biomarker in sepsis-induced organ failure in patients undergoing PMX-DHP. J. Surg. Res. 171, 183–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2009.11.708 (2011).
- van Zoelen, M. A. et al. Systemic and local high mobility group box 1 concentrations during severe infection. Crit. Care Med. 35, 2799–2804. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000287588.69000.97 (2007).
- Karlsson, S. et al. HMGB1 as a predictor of organ dysfunction and outcome in patients with severe sepsis. Intensive Care Med 34, 1046–1053. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-008-1032-9 (2008).

- Parikh, C. R. & Thiessen-Philbrook, H. Key concepts and limitations of statistical methods for evaluating biomarkers of kidney disease. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 25, 1621–1629. https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2013121300 (2014).
- 29. Woo, S. Y. & Kim, S. Determination of cutoff values for biomarkers in clinical studies. Precis. Fut. Med. 4, 2-8 (2020).
- Gibot, S. et al. High-mobility group box 1 protein plasma concentrations during septic shock. Intensive Care Med. 33, 1347–1353. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-007-0691-2 (2007).
- Wang, H., Yang, H., Czura, C. J., Sama, A. E. & Tracey, K. J. HMGB1 as a late mediator of lethal systemic inflammation. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 164, 1768–1773. https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.164.10.2106117 (2001).
- Wang, H. et al. HMG-1 as a late mediator of endotoxin lethality in mice. Science 285, 248–251. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. 285.5425.248 (1999).
- Karakike, E. et al. Late peaks of HMGB1 and sepsis outcome: evidence for synergy with chronic inflammatory disorders. Shock 52, 334–339. https://doi.org/10.1097/SHK.00000000001265 (2019).
- Seymour, C. W. et al. Derivation, validation, and potential treatment implications of novel clinical phenotypes for sepsis. JAMA 321, 2003–2017. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.5791 (2019).
- Harris, H. E., Andersson, U. & Pisetsky, D. S. HMGB1: a multifunctional alarmin driving autoimmune and inflammatory disease. Nat Rev Rheumatol 8, 195–202. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrrheum.2011.222 (2012).
- Lamkanfi, M. *et al.* Inflammasome-dependent release of the alarmin HMGB1 in endotoxemia. J. Immunol. 185, 4385–4392. https:// doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1000803 (2010).
- Kennedy, C. L., Smith, D. J., Lyras, D., Chakravorty, A. & Rood, J. I. Programmed cellular necrosis mediated by the pore-forming alpha-toxin from Clostridium septicum. *PLoS Pathog.* 5, e1000516. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000516 (2009).
- Morinaga, Y. *et al.* Legionella pneumophila induces cathepsin B-dependent necrotic cell death with releasing high mobility group box1 in macrophages. *Respir. Res.* 11, 158. https://doi.org/10.1186/1465-9921-11-158 (2010).
- Xu, Z. et al. High-mobility group box 1 protein-mediated necroptosis contributes to dasatinib-induced cardiotoxicity. Toxicol Lett 296, 39–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2018.08.003 (2018).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a Samsung Medical Center grant (SMO1200621) and by the National Research Foundation of Korea (2020R1C1C1013557).

Author contributions

H.Y. collected and analyzed the data and drafted this manuscript. Y.I. and R.E.K. analyzed the data and revised the manuscript. J.Y.L. conducted experiments and analyzed the data. J.P. collected and analyzed the data. K.J. conceived and designed this study, analyzed the data, wrote the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-021-88970-6.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.J.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021