scientific reports

OPEN

Check for updates

Transcriptome analysis identifies differentially expressed genes in the progenies of a cross between two low phytic acid soybean mutants

Hangxia Jin, Xiaomin Yu, Qinghua Yang, Xujun Fu & Fengjie Yuan[⊠]

Phytic acid (PA) is a major antinutrient that cannot be digested by monogastric animals, but it can decrease the bioavailability of micronutrients (e.g., Zn and Fe). Lowering the PA content of crop seeds will lead to enhanced nutritional traits. Low-PA mutant crop lines carrying more than one mutated gene (lpa) have lower PA contents than mutants with a single lpa mutant gene. However, little is known about the link between PA pathway intermediates and downstream regulatory activities following the mutation of these genes in soybean. Consequently, we performed a comparative transcriptome analysis using an advanced generation recombinant inbred line with low PA levels [2mlpa (mips1/ipk1)] and a sibling line with homozygous non-mutant alleles and normal PA contents [2MWT (MIPS1/IPK1)]. An RNA sequencing analysis of five seed developmental stages revealed 7945 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the 2mlpa and 2MWT seeds. Moreover, 3316 DEGs were associated with 128 metabolic and signal transduction pathways and 4980 DEGs were annotated with 345 Gene Ontology terms related to biological processes. Genes associated with PA metabolism, photosynthesis, starch and sucrose metabolism, and defense mechanisms were among the DEGs in 2mlpa. Of these genes, 36 contributed to PA metabolism, including 22 genes possibly mediating the low-PA phenotype of 2mlpa. The expression of most of the genes associated with photosynthesis (81 of 117) was down-regulated in 2mlpa at the late seed developmental stage. In contrast, the expression of three genes involved in sucrose metabolism was up-regulated at the late seed developmental stage, which might explain the high sucrose content of 2mlpa soybeans. Furthermore, 604 genes related to defense mechanisms were differentially expressed between 2mlpa and 2MWT. In this study, we detected a low PA content as well as changes to multiple metabolites in the 2mlpa mutant. These results may help elucidate the regulation of metabolic events in 2mlpa. Many genes involved in PA metabolism may contribute to the substantial decrease in the PA content and the moderate accumulation of InsP3–InsP5 in the 2mlpa mutant. The other regulated genes related to photosynthesis, starch and sucrose metabolism, and defense mechanisms may provide additional insights into the nutritional and agronomic performance of 2mlpa seeds.

Phosphorus (P) is an essential element required for optimal plant growth¹. Approximately 75% of the P in grains is stored as phytic acid (PA; *myo*-inositol 1,2,3,4,5,6-hexakisphosphate)². Phytic acid is poorly digested by animals and humans, leading to low mineral bioavailability and phosphate pollution of soil and water. These problems may be addressed by the development and cultivation of crops with low PA contents^{2,3}.

To minimize the adverse effects of PA, many *low phytic acid (lpa)* mutants have been generated via mutagenesis and biotechnological methods in different crops, including soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.), rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), maize (*Zea mays* L.), and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)^{4,5}. Decreases in the PA contents are related to the various types of mutated genes. Previous research revealed that cross and selective breeding of different soybean mutants and pyramiding different gene mutations is an effective strategy for obtaining progeny soybean *lpa* lines that produce seeds with stable and substantial decreases in PA levels. For

Institute of Crop Science and Nuclear Technology Utilization, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China. ^{III}email: fiyuanhz@126.com

example, decreases in PA contents ranging from 79 to 88% were observed in double *lpa* soybean mutants with two *IPK1* mutations on chromosomes 6 and 14⁶. More recently, a 63% lowering of the PA content was detected in the progeny resulting from a cross between a rice mutant with a mutation to the *myo*-inositol kinase gene (*OsMIK*) and a rice mutant with a mutated multidrug resistance-associated protein ABC transporter 5 gene (*OsMRP5*)⁷.

The *lpa* soybean lines *Gm-lpa*-TW-1, which has a non-lethal mutation in *MIPS1* (2-bp deletion in the third exon)^{8,9}, and *Gm-lpa*-ZC-2, which has a G-A point mutation in *IPK1*, were selected to generate a double *lpa* soybean mutant with mutated *MIPS1* and *IPK1*. An earlier investigation proved *myo*-inositol phosphate synthase (MIPS; EC 5.5.1.4), which is the first and rate-limiting enzyme in the inositol and PA biosynthesis pathways, catalyzes the conversion of glucose 6-phosphate to *myo*-inositol 3-phosphate¹⁰. The *IPK1* gene encodes an enzyme (EC 2.7.1.158) that converts inositol 1,3,4,5,6-pentakisphosphate (InsP₅) to PA. An advanced generation recombinant inbred line, *2mlpa* (*mips1/ipk1*), and a sibling line designated 2MWT (*MIPS1/IPK1*) with homozygous non-mutant alleles and a normal PA content were generated. The decrease in the PA content (up to 87%) in the double *lpa* line *2mlpa* was significantly greater than that expected for the single mutants (approximately 50% decrease in phytate contents). The *2mlpa* line accumulated less inositol phosphate isomers (InsP4 and InsP5) than the mutant with only the *IPK1* mutation¹¹.

The PA biosynthesis pathway is vital for plant growth^{4,5}. Moreover, loss-of-function mutations in *MIPS1* and *IPK1* disrupt the PA biosynthesis pathway, resulting in dramatic decreases in the PA concentration. However, the mechanisms regulating PA levels and downstream gene expression in these *lpa* soybean lines are poorly characterized. Thus, the complete regulatory network associated with PA metabolism must be explored. In this study, mRNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) was used to study the effects of mutations in *MIPS1* and *IPK1* on global changes in the gene expression profiles of developing soybean seeds. The biological processes and pathways revealed by the functional enrichment analyses of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) identified in this study will further clarify the regulation of PA contents in soybean.

Results and discussion

Library sequencing and assembly. An advanced generation recombinant inbred line (*2mlpa*) with a low PA content and a sibling line (2MWT) with homozygous non-mutant alleles and a normal PA level were analyzed during five soybean seed developmental stages [7, 12, 17, 22, and 27 days after flowering (DAF)]. To comprehensively characterize the transcriptome changes in *lpa* soybeans, 30 cDNA libraries (three replicates) were sequenced. A total of 195.7 Gb of 150-bp paired-end clean reads were obtained. Each sample yielded up to 6.3 Gb of clean data with a Q20 value exceeding 98%. We mapped 85.71% of the clean reads to the annotated *Glycine_max_v2.0* soybean reference genome. The number of mapped reads ranged from 34,971,326 to 39,249,412 (Table 1). These results suggest that the data were adequate for subsequent analyses. All raw data were deposited in the NCBI database (accession number PRJNA522338; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/).

Sequence annotation and DEG analysis. The reads per kilobase per million fragments (RPKM) values were used to estimate gene expression levels and conduct DEG analyses. For each mapped soybean gene, its RPKM value was calculated for all 30 libraries. The results of a principal component analysis identified the seed developmental stage and genotype as the major contributors to the data variations (Fig. 1A). Additionally, the biological replicates of each soybean sample clustered together, suggesting there was little variance between replicates. Similarities and differences between individual sample libraries were visualized using a heatmap presenting sample-to-sample distances (Fig. 1B). The *2mlpa*-1, *2mlpa*-2, and 2MWT-1 samples were relatively similar in the early seed developmental stages, whereas *2mlpa*-4, *2mlpa*-5, 2MWT-4, and 2MWT-5 were relatively similar during the late stages. However, there were also differences in gene expression among the examined samples. More specifically, 7,945 genes were significantly differentially expressed between *2mlpa* and 2MWT during the five seed developmental stages (Fig. 2). A Venn diagram analysis was performed using the online OmicShare tools (http://www.omicshare.com/tools). Most of the DEGs were expressed during the early (stage 1) and late (stage 5) seed developmental stages. Moreover, 1380 DEGs were only differentially expressed in stage 1, whereas 4730 DEGs were only differentially expressed in stage 5. Of the identified DEGs, 96 were differentially expressed in all five seed developmental stages.

Validation of DEGs through reverse-transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). Eight randomly selected DEGs associated with biological processes were analyzed by RT-qPCR (Fig. 3). In most cases, the gene expression trends revealed by RT-qPCR were similar to those detected by the RNA-seq analysis, although the fold-changes varied. The RNA-seq and RT-qPCR expression profiles were similar for Glyma.16G065700 (Fig. 3C), Glyma.08G109300 (Fig. 3E), Glyma.12G232500 (Fig. 3F), and Glyma.16G214900 (Fig. 3G). However, in contrast to the corresponding RT-qPCR expression profiles, the RNA-seq analysis revealed the down-regulated expression of the following genes during specific seed developmental stages: Glyma.11G238800 (Fig. 3A) in stage 3, Glyma.14G072200 (Fig. 3B) in stage 5, Glyma.12G210600 (Fig. 3D) in stage 2, and Glyma.09G073600 (Fig. 3H) in stage 3. These results suggest the RT-qPCR data were relatively consistent with the RNA-seq data for 8 of the DEGs.

Functional enrichment analyses of DEGs. We annotated 4,980 genes that were differentially expressed between *2mlpa* and 2MWT (62.67% of all DEGs) during the five seed developmental stages with at least one Gene Ontology (GO) term. The GO terms included 345 associated with biological processes (Supplementary Table S1). Some terms were included in more than one stage, whereas others were exclusive to one stage. For example, establishment of localization (23% of DEGs in stage 1), single-organism metabolic process (13.39% of DEGs in stage 1), and localization (8.05% of DEGs in stage 1) were specific to early seed developmental stages

Sample ID	Total clean reads	Total base pairs	Total mapped reads	Perfect match	Total unmapped reads
2mlpa-1-I	47,376,510	7,106,476,500	39,217,355	29,254,240	8,159,155
2mlpa-1-II	46,147,142	6,922,071,300	38,174,762	28,505,756	7,972,380
2mlpa-1-III	45,752,784	6,862,917,600	37,927,606	28,179,059	7,825,178
2mlpa-2-I	47,766,190	7,164,928,500	38,332,152	28,840,283	9,434,038
2mlpa-2-II	46,019,862	6,902,979,300	36,858,472	27,854,925	9,161,390
2mlpa-2-III	47,627,596	7,144,139,400	37,613,372	28,285,902	10,014,224
2mlpa-3-I	45,237,408	6,785,611,200	36,559,719	27,658,430	8,677,689
2mlpa-3-II	46,939,696	7,040,954,400	38,163,913	28,687,412	8,775,783
2mlpa-3-III	47,094,904	7,064,235,600	39,249,412	29,157,151	7,845,492
2mlpa-4-I	46,624,724	6,993,708,600	38,733,400	28,405,148	7,891,324
2mlpa-4-II	45,328,594	6,799,289,100	37,799,964	27,797,006	7,528,630
2mlpa-4-III	46,884,742	7,032,711,300	37,231,517	28,195,905	9,653,225
2mlpa-5-I	46,770,398	7,015,559,700	38,807,109	29,708,501	7,963,289
2mlpa-5-II	45,679,520	6,851,928,000	36,180,381	26,871,446	9,499,139
2mlpa-5-III	45,845,714	6,876,857,100	37,756,564	28,344,742	8,089,150
2MWT-1-I	47,105,886	7,065,882,900	35,450,056	25,998,470	11,655,830
2MWT-1-II	46,892,294	7,033,844,100	35,547,215	25,864,330	11,345,079
2MWT-1-III	47,828,524	7,174,278,600	35,999,905	26,378,660	11,828,619
2MWT-2-I	47,286,614	7,092,992,100	36,019,630	26,110,062	11,266,984
2MWT-2-II	46,048,510	6,907,276,500	35,423,782	26,086,498	10,624,728
2MWT-2-III	46,622,194	6,993,329,100	34,971,326	25,850,610	11,650,868
2MWT-3-I	47,317,516	7,097,627,400	37,157,999	27,267,786	10,159,517
2MWT-3-II	46,063,542	6,909,531,300	36,372,452	26,833,737	9,691,090
2MWT-3-III	47,549,838	7,132,475,700	37,382,695	27,523,661	10,167,143
2MWT-4-I	45,889,736	6,883,460,400	36,197,074	26,470,608	9,692,662
2MWT-4-II	46,699,880	7,004,982,000	37,777,280	27,945,411	8,922,600
2MWT-4-III	47,302,502	7,095,375,300	37,508,685	27,875,751	9,793,817
2MWT-5-I	47,662,516	7,149,377,400	38,108,064	28,405,856	9,554,452
2MWT-5-II	46,925,520	7,038,828,000	38,110,466	28,209,436	8,815,054
2MWT-5-III	46,929,246	7,039,386,900	36,868,656	27,610,343	10,060,590

Table 1. Summary of the library read analysis.

(stages 1 and 2). In contrast, organic substance metabolic process (28.95% of DEGs in stage 5), macromolecule metabolic process (21.23% of DEGs in stage 5), and response to abiotic stimulus (4.44% of DEGs in stage 5) were exclusive to the late seed developmental stage (stage 5).

The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis is useful for elucidating the significantly enriched metabolic and signal transduction pathways among DEGs as well as the associated biological functions¹². A total of 3316 DEGs (41.74% of all DEGs) between the 2*mlpa* and 2MWT lines in five seed developmental stages were involved in 128 metabolic and signal transduction pathways (Supplementary Table S2). The highly represented pathways included metabolic pathways, plant–pathogen interaction, plant hormone signal transduction, photosynthesis, flavonoid biosynthesis, phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, and starch and sucrose metabolism (Table 2). The DEGs assigned to KEGG pathways varied among the seed developmental stages. For example, none of the DEGs in stages 2 and 3 were associated with KEGG pathway (ko00196), whereas 22 DEGs in stage 5 were.

The GO and KEGG pathway functional enrichment analyses indicated that the enriched DEGs were mainly involved in PA metabolism, photosynthesis, starch and sucrose metabolism, and defense mechanisms. These findings were somewhat consistent with those of earlier investigations on other plants, including *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Arabidopsis)^{13,14}, rice¹⁵, poplar¹⁶, and sweet potato¹⁷. Moreover, the experimental design and results of a study by Redekar et al.¹⁸ were similar to those of this study. However, Redekar et al. analyzed a triple *lpa* mutant (*mips1/mrp-1*), but our investigation was completed with *2mlpa* (*mips1/ipk1*). Thus, the *mips1* mutation was common to both studies, whereas the other mutations differed (*mrp-1/mrp-n* vs *ipk1*). Both IPK1 and MRP function at very similar stages: the last step of the PA biosynthesis pathway (IPK1) as well as immediately after PA is synthesized, when PA is transported to the vacuole (MRP). Many of the DEGs revealed by the two studies are involved in similar pathways (e.g., photosynthesis and defense), which might be related to the common mutation (*mips1*) in the analyzed plants. Some of the DEGs are involved in different pathways, including glucan metabolism and apoptosis in the study by Redekar et al., which might be associated with the different mutations in the examined plants. The two studies are further compared in the following sections.

Low phytate contents often have undesirable effects on seed development and germination potential^{13,14,16}. We observed that the *2mlpa* plants grew and developed more poorly (lower emergence, thinner stems, and greater





susceptibility to soybean mosaic virus) than the 2MWT plants under field conditions. This is consistent with the results of previous studies^{13,14,16} and is likely related to the biological processes discussed in the following section.

DEGs involved in PA metabolism. The PA biosynthesis pathway is critical for plant growth. Plants possess two parallel PA biosynthesis pathways, with both starting with the production of inositol 3-phosphate (InsP3)¹⁹. A total of 36 genes involved in PA metabolism and inositol phosphate metabolism (ko00562) were differentially expressed between 2mlpa and 2MWT by more than twofold in the late seed developmental stage (stage 5) (Supplementary Table S3, Fig. 4A). One of the mutated genes in 2mlpa was MIPS1 (Glyma.11G238800), and its expression was down-regulated in 2mlpa throughout the seed developmental period (Table 3). The 2mlpa plants were derived from a cross between Gm-lpa-TW-1 (mutated MIPSI) and Gm-lpa-ZC-2 (mutated IPKI). We previously determined that MIPS1 is expressed at low levels in developing Gm-lpa-TW-1 seeds²⁰. Accordingly, cross-breeding with Gm-lpa-ZC-2 did not alter MIPS1 expression. Soybean includes three MIPS1 orthologs (Glyma.18G018600, Glyma.05G180600, and Glyma.08G138200)^{21,22}. Interestingly, Glyma.18G018600 and Glyma.05G180600 expression levels were up-regulated in *2mlpa* in the late seed developmental stage (Table 3). In a previous study, we observed that MIPS1 expression increased as seed organs developed in Gm-lpa-ZC-2 and the wild-type parents of Gm-lpa-TW-1 and Gm-lpa-ZC-2, peaking at 22 DAF²⁰. It seems that the up-regulated expression of Glyma.18G018600 and Glyma.05G180600 in 2mlpa compensates for a mutated MIPS1 (Glyma.11G238800) to enhance MIPS expression in the late seed developmental stage. We hypothesized that these DEGs encoding MIPS are functionally redundant.

Several studies confirmed that MIPS regulates pathways influencing seed development and plant growth^{9,23,24}. For example, in Arabidopsis, the disruption of MIPS severely decreases the inositol and PA levels, resulting in smaller plants, programmed cell death, or hypersensitivity to high-intensity light stress^{25–27}. In an earlier study,



group	Total	UP	Down
Stage1: 2MWT-1-VS-2mlpa-1	2248	802	1446
Stage2: 2MWT-2-VS-2mlpa-2	520	341	179
Stage3: 2MWT-3-VS-2mlpa-3	822	387	435
Stage4: 2MWT-4-VS-2mlpa-4	803	499	304
Stage5: 2MWT-5-VS-2mlpa-5	5648	2950	2698
Total DEG	7945		

Figure 2. Venn diagram of the co-expressed and uniquely expressed DEGs between *2mlpa* and 2MWT in five seed developmental stages. The number of DEGs between *2mlpa* and 2MWT in each stage is also presented.

.....

the *Gm-lpa*-TW-1 mutant exhibited acceptable agronomic and nutritional traits, with the exception of poor field emergence. In this study, the field emergence of 2mlpa was relatively low, but it was substantially better than that of *Gm-lpa*-TW-1. Hence, the 2mlpa seed traits may improve following a hybridization with *Gm-lpa*-ZC-2, which exhibits normal field emergence⁸.

The other mutated gene was *IPK1* (Glyma.14g07880), which encodes the enzyme (InsP5 2-kinase) that catalyzes the final step of the PA biosynthesis pathway¹⁵. The expression of this gene was unaffected in *2mlpa*, which is consistent with the RT-qPCR results for *Gm-lpa*-ZC-2. There are reportedly no obvious differences in the relative expression levels of *IPK1* (Glyma.14g07880) between *Gm-lpa*-ZC-2 and its wild-type parent²⁸. We determined that the single G-A point mutation in *Gm-lpa*-ZC-2 results in a deletion of 37 amino acids that disrupts an important motif. Thus, the mutation does not affect *IPK1* expression, but it alters the functionality of the encoded enzyme²⁸. This may explain the lack of significant changes in *IPK1* expression in *Gm-lpa*-ZC-2 and *2mlpa*. Moreover, *IPK1* expression in *2mlpa* might not be affected by cross-breeding. The expression of *IPK1* orthologs (Glyma.04G032400 and Glyma.06G033100) was also not significantly affected in *2mlpa* seeds (Table 3), in contrast to the significant up-regulated expression of Glyma.06G033100 in *Gm-lpa*-ZC-2 seeds at 15 DAF²⁸. This difference may be related to a series of chain reactions induced by the *MIPS1* mutation. Alternatively, it may be due to the low *IPK1* ortholog expression levels revealed by the transcriptome analysis.

In rice, RNAi-mediated seed-specific silencing of *IPK1* significantly decreases phytate levels and increases inorganic phosphate contents¹⁵. Although the constitutive suppression of the enzymes involved in phytate bio-synthesis may be detrimental to plant growth and development^{23,29-32}, we did not find any defects induced by the *IPK1* mutation in *Gm-lpa*-ZC-2. Furthermore, some of the traits of *Gm-lpa*-TW-1 with a single mutation to *MIPS1* were improved by the hybridization with *Gm-lpa*-ZC2.





We also observed that the expression levels of some inositol polyphosphate kinase and phosphatase genes involved in PA metabolism were up-regulated only at the late seed developmental stage (stage 5). These genes included two *ITPK1* genes (inositol-1,3,4-trisphosphate 5/6-kinase), two *PI4KA* genes (phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase A), and five *INPP5B/F* genes (inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase). These results imply that the mutations in *2mlpa* mainly affect PA biosynthesis in the late seed developmental stage and induce complex chain reactions related to PA metabolism. An earlier investigation indicated that *Gm-lpa-ZC-2* (one of the parents of *2mlpa*) and *2mlpa* mutants have significantly more inositol triphosphate (InsP3) and inositol tetraphosphate (InsP4) than the wild-type control³³. Both InsP3 and InsP4 are intermediary metabolites in PA metabolism. The up-regulated expression of the DEGs encoding inositol polyphosphate kinases and phosphatases is consistent with the increase in InsP3 and InsP4 in *lpa* lines. The effects of a single mutated gene on the expression of these PA metabolism-related genes remain to be characterized.

Pathway	Pathway ID	Stage 1	P value	Stage 2	P value	Stage 3	P value	Stage 4	P value	Stage 5	P value
Metabolic pathways	ko01100	361	1.1 E-12	108	4.2E-11	153	3.9 E-09	138	7.4 E-06	794	1.8 E-02
Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites	ko01110	210	1.9 E-08	42	8.1 E-03	66	8.6 E-03	65	9.3 E-02	374	9.9 E-03
Plant-pathogen interaction	ko04626	109	3.9 E-02	26	4.2 E-02	54	2.0 E-02	39	6.1 E-02	303	5.6 E-02
Plant hormone signal transduction	ko04075	67	9.9 E-03	12	9.9 E-01	27	9.0 E-03	38	2.1 E-01	252	4.6 E-66
Ribosome	ko03010	27	6.0 E-03	18	1.1 E-04	22	1.3 E-03	15	1.1 E-01	252	4.9 E-02
Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum	ko04141	24	9.0 E-03	2	9.9 E-03	14	2.8 E-02	5	9.9 E-03	113	2.3 E-03
Purine metabolism	ko00230	37	3.3 E-02	11	5.2 E-02	19	8.8 E-03	12	3.3 E-02	104	1.1 E-03
Pyrimidine metabolism	ko00240	39	8.7 E-03	9	1.6 E-02	19	6.3 E-03	12	2.9 E-01	100	1.8 E-03
Starch and sucrose metabolism	ko00500	30	4.8 E-02	5	8.2 E-03	14	2.4 E-02	14	2.3 E-01	75	8.8 E-02
Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis	ko00940	42	2.5 E-03	9	1.7 E-02	14	1.5 E-01	14	1.4 E-02	74	6.3 E-02
Photosynthesis	ko00195	24	1.2 E-08	33	2.2 E-35	32	7.3 E-27	28	4.1 E-22	69	3.5 E-25
Flavonoid biosynthesis	ko00941	45	3.3 E-08	18	3.4 E-07	14	1.2 E-02	12	4.8 E-02	67	9.4 E-07
Oxidative phosphorylation	ko00190	25	1.3 E-03	30	3.0 E-21	29	2.6 E-14	7	2.2 E-01	67	2.3 E-02
Photosynthesis-antenna proteins	ko00196	1	8.0 E-03	0		0		5	3.0 E-04	22	1.8 E-11

 Table 2.
 Number of DEGs in enriched KEGG pathways in five seed developmental stages.

DEGs involved in photosynthesis in the late seed developmental stage. Photosynthesis and photosynthesis-antenna protein (ko00195 and ko00196) pathways were enriched across the five *2mlpa* seed developmental stages, but especially in stage 5 (Supplementary Table S4). A total of 117 DEGs were associated with photosynthesis and photosynthesis-antenna proteins. The expression levels of most of the photosynthesis-related DEGs (81 of 94 DEGs) in stage 5 were lower in *2mlpa* than in 2MWT (Fig. 4B,C). These DEGs encode different subunits of photosystems I and II, the cytochrome b6/f complex, the photosynthetic electron transport system, F-type ATPase, and the light-harvesting chlorophyll protein complex (LHC). The down-regulated expression of genes encoding the photosystem complex subunits may lead to decreased photosynthetic activities in *2mlpa* mutant plants, similar to what has been observed in other *lpa* soybean mutants^{18,34}. The initial reaction of the *myo*-inositol biosynthesis pathway is catalyzed by MIPS. The subsequent dephosphorylation of L-*myo*-inositol 1-phosphate results in the production of *myo*-inositol. This compound helps maintain the photosynthesis and the down-regulated expression of photosynthesis related DEGs in *2mlpa*^{33,34}.

The photosynthesis-antenna protein pathway modulates plant defense responses induced by abiotic stimuli (e.g., light and temperature)^{15,35,36}. The LHC affects the photosynthesis-antenna protein pathway. The chief function of the LHC is to collect and transfer light energy to photosynthetic reaction centers³⁶. In this study, LHC-associated DEGs were significantly enriched in the late soybean seed developmental stage (stage 5). The expression levels of 16 DEGs encoding chlorophyll a/b-binding proteins related to 9 of 11 LHC subunits were down-regulated only in the late seed developmental stage (stage 5) in 2mlpa (Fig. 4B). Such diminished expression may inhibit light harvesting and energy production. These results suggest the mutations in 2mlpa modulate the photosynthesis-antenna protein pathway during the late seed developmental stage. Furthermore, light provides energy for photosynthesis, while also serving as a signal that influences plant growth and development. Arabidopsis mips1 mutants undergo light intensity-dependent cell death^{26,37}. We observed that most of the photosynthesis-related DEGs had down-regulated expression levels in 2mlpa in stage 5, in accordance with the results of previous research. In a study by Redekar et al. (2015), photosynthesis (GO:0015979) was an enriched GO term in the late seed developmental period (stages 3–5), and the expression of most of the photosynthesisrelated DEGs in these stages was down-regulated in the 3mlpa (mips1/mrp-l/mrp-n) mutant¹⁸. These findings imply that a mutation in MIPS1 or the lack of PA may decrease photosynthesis during the late seed developmental stages. The down-regulated expression of the genes involved in photosynthesis might contribute to some of the unfavorable traits in *lpa* mutants (e.g., decreased field emergence).

DEGs involved in starch and sucrose metabolism. In higher plants, sucrose is the main carbohydrate that is transported to provide the carbon and energy needed for growth and the synthesis of storage reserves³⁸. Furthermore, sucrose is a signaling molecule affecting plant developmental processes, including growth, defense, and the regulation of flowering and the maturation of storage organs^{3,38,39}. The *2mlpa* soybean mutant exhibits a high-sucrose phenotype. In the current study, 67 DEGs related to starch and sucrose metabolism (ko00500) were more highly expressed in *2mlpa* than in 2MWT almost exclusively in the late seed developmental stage (Fig. 4D, Supplementary Table S5). These DEGs mainly encode key enzymes involved in starch biosynthesis and degradation, sucrose metabolism, sugar and starch interconversion, and transport. The expression of a series of genes associated with starch and sucrose metabolism was altered in *2mlpa*. Additionally, *myo*-inositol is an important intermediate in pathways mediating PA biosynthesis and sucrose metabolism. It also helps facilitate the reversible addition of galactose units from galactinol to sucrose during raffinosaccharide biosynthesis⁴⁰. Variations in *myo*-inositol levels may inhibit raffinosaccharide biosynthesis, thereby increasing sucrose levels^{39,40}. A mutation in *MIPS1* decreases the PA level, induces a series of changes in the intermediate metabolites of the PA biosynthesis pathway (e.g., *myo*-inositol), and concomitantly decreases the raffinosaccharide content, which may increase the sucrose level in *Gm-lpa*-TW-1³⁹. The mutation in *MIPS1* in *2mlpa* might be responsible for



Figure 4. Fold-change (*2mlpa* over 2MWT) ratios in five seed developmental stages for the DEGs associated with (**A**) PA metabolism, (**B**) photosynthesis-antenna proteins, (**C**) photosynthesis, (**D**) starch and sucrose metabolism, and (**E**) defense mechanisms. Rows represent DEGs between the *2mlpa* and 2MWT soybean lines, whereas columns represent the five seed developmental stages. Blue and red indicate up-regulated and down-regulated expression (*2mlpa* over 2MWT), respectively.

Gene ID	log2Ratio(2mlpa- 1/2MWT-1)	log2Ratio(2mlpa- 2/2MWT-2)	log2Ratio(2mlpa- 3/2MWT-3)	log2Ratio(2mlpa- 4/2MWT-4)	log2Ratio(2mlpa- 5/2MWT-5)
Glyma.14G078800	-	-	-	-	-
Glyma.04G032400	-	-	-	-	-
Glyma.06G033100	-	-	-	-	-
Glyma.11G238800	-2.59117	- 3.01574	- 2.78554	- 2.88699	- 3.45853
P-value	2.28E-23	4.24E-13	2.93E-18	2.17E-14	2.19E-18
Glyma.18G018600	- 1.30207	-	-	-	1.765128
P-value	2.09E-10	-	-	-	0.00743
Glyma.05G180600	-	-	-	-	2.68211
P-value	-	-	-	-	6.06E-07
Glyma.08G138200	-	-	-	-	-

 Table 3. Differential expression of MIPS1 and IPK1 orthologs in five seed developmental stages.

.....

the observed increased sucrose content and the up-regulated expression of 67 starch and sucrose metabolism (ko00500)-related DEGs. However, none of the DEGs in *2mlpa* were involved in the raffinose family oligosaccharide pathway. The *Gm-lpa*-TW75-1 mutant, which is one of the parents of *2mlpa*, has lower raffinose contents (74.2% to 84.3% lower) than the wild-type cultivar Taiwan 75³⁹. The *mips* mutation reportedly down-regulates the expression of genes in the raffinose family oligosaccharide pathway^{25,37,41,42}. Redekar et al.¹⁸ observed the same phenomenon in *3mlpa* (*mips1/mrp-n*) soybean seeds. Thus, we speculated that mutations in *IPK1* and *MIPS1* gene have a complementary role affect in the raffinose family oligosaccharide pathway.

Cell wall synthesis is modulated in soybean *lpa* mutants¹⁸. β -Glucosidase is a cell wall-associated enzyme^{43,44}. In Arabidopsis leaves, β -glucosidase may play a vital role in the breakdown of cell wall polysaccharides, which provides the soluble sugars for remobilization and the completion of energy-dependent senescence⁴⁵. In this study, the expression levels of 13 DEGs encoding β -glucosidase were higher in *2mlpa* than in 2MWT in the late seed developmental stage. Interestingly, decreased photosynthesis is accompanied by a significant increase in the activity of cell wall-bound β -glucosidase in Arabidopsis⁴⁵. This is consistent with the down-regulated expression of DEGs related to photosynthesis and the up-regulated expression of DEGs encoding β -glucosidase function in the *2mlpa* mutant is beyond the scope of this study; however, the mutations in *2mlpa* may enhance the degradation of cell wall polysaccharides.

The DEGs directly associated with sucrose biosynthesis encoded the following two enzymes: sucrose-phosphate synthase (SPS; EC 2.4.1.14), which catalyzes the biosynthesis of sucrose 6-phosphate from UDP-glucose and fructose 6-phosphate, and sucrose synthase (SuSy; EC 2.4.1.13)⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹. We identified one up-regulated DEG (Glyma.13G161600) encoding SPS and two up-regulated DEGs (Glyma.09G073600 and Glyma.15G182600) encoding SuSy in *2mlpa* in the late seed developmental stage (stage 5). These up-regulated DEGs may contribute to the high sucrose content of *2mlpa* soybean seeds. Another *lpa* soybean line, V99-5089 (mutation in *MIPS1*), also produces seeds with low PA and high sucrose contents⁵⁰. A mutation in *MIPS1* or low PA levels may increase the sucrose content of soybean seeds, which is consistent with the high-sucrose phenotype of *lpa* mutant seeds³⁹.

DEGs involved in defense mechanisms. The DEGs associated with the plant-pathogen interaction (ko04626) pathway and annotated with the response to stress (GO:0006950) GO term were enriched in *2mlpa* in the five seed developmental stages, but especially in stages 1 and 5. A total of 604 DEGs were related to defense mechanisms, and the expression levels of most of these genes were exclusively regulated in one stage (Fig. 4E, Supplementary Table S6). For example, most of the DEGs in the early seed developmental stages (105 of 146 DEGs) were not differentially expressed in the late seed developmental stage (stage 5). Moreover, most of the DEGs in stage 5 (366 of 447 DEGs) were differentially expressed exclusively in this stage. These results suggest that the genes affected by the *lpa* mutations differ depending on the seed developmental stage.

Phytic acid is a natural antioxidant^{4,51}. In plants, PA is also a key signaling molecule generated in response to the drought stress-related hormone abscisic acid, which stimulates Ca²⁺ release in guard cells^{4,5,52}. Furthermore, PA is important for maintaining the basal resistance to plant pathogens^{4,5,53}. Earlier research confirmed that InsP3 and InsP4 are intermediate metabolites in PA metabolism that act as second messengers during the regulation of cytosolic Ca²⁺ levels⁵⁴. Hence, the decrease in the PA level and the increase in InsP3 and InsP4 contents in *lpa* soybean may induce a series of complex chain reactions influencing defense mechanisms^{33,39}. In the current study, 604 DEGs were related to defense mechanisms, with about a third of these genes encoding plant disease resistance (R) proteins, including leucine-rich repeat (LRR) receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase, calmodulin, transcription factors, peroxidases, and mitogen-activated proteins kinases (MAPKs).

Plant R proteins are important components underlying the stress resistance mechanism, and several *R* genes confer resistance to diverse plant pathogens⁵⁵. In this study, 64 *R* genes were more highly expressed in *2mlpa* than in 2MWT in the late seed developmental stage. The most prevalent domain among the characterized R proteins is the LRR, which is the major pathogen recognition determinant. The LRR receptor-like R protein comprises an extracellular LRR domain and a transmembrane motif⁵⁶. On the basis of the data generated in this study, the expression of 21 DEGs encoding the LRR receptor-like serine/threonine protein kinase FLS2 was up-regulated in the late seed developmental stage. In Arabidopsis, FLS2 is a transmembrane receptor kinase that activates

antimicrobial defense responses⁵⁷. Brassinosteroid insensitive 1-associated receptor kinase 1 (BAK1) is also an LRR receptor-like protein kinase and is involved in the signaling by FLS2⁵⁸. Plants in which *BAK1* is mutated exhibit inhibited responses to various microbial elicitors and cold shock proteins^{58,59}. In this study, the expression levels of 28 DEGs encoding BAK1 were up-regulated in *2mlpa* in stage 5. The role of LRR-encoding genes during *2mlpa* soybean seed development remains unknown, and additional studies are required to confirm the effects of the up-regulated expression of LRR genes on the *2mlpa* soybean line. Similar results were reported by Redekar et al.¹⁸. Defense-related DEGs were enriched in the *3mlpa (mips1/mrp-l/mrp-n)* soybean seeds, and some of the DEGs encoded LRRs or LRR-containing disease resistance proteins. However, Redekar et al. reported that apoptosis (GO:0006915) is an enriched GO term in the early seed developmental stages, which was in contrast to our results for *2mlpa*. These findings are apparently associated with the *IPK1* mutation in *2mlpa* or the *MRP* mutations in *3mlpa*¹⁸.

Some genes encoding NAC, MYC2, and WRKY transcription factors that regulate defense-related genes were also differentially expressed at seed developmental stage 5 in the *2mlpa* mutant, including two WRKY70encoding DEGs, which were up-regulated. In Arabidopsis, WRKY70 negatively modulates cell wall-associated defenses against pathogens and leaf senescence⁶⁰⁻⁶². The MAPKs are key enzymes mediating adaptive responses to various abiotic and biotic stresses⁶³. In the current study, 10 DEGs encoding MAPKs were differentially regulated between *2mlpa* and 2MWT in the five examined seed stages. These defense-related DEGs may be affected by changes in the abundance of PA or its intermediary metabolites. Interactions between plants and pathogens involve bidirectional recognition. In response to a pathogen infection, plant defense mechanisms modulate the expression of many genes⁶⁴. Our functional characterization revealed 418 DEGs involved in plant–pathogen interactions in *2mlpa* soybean seeds, suggesting that *MIPS1*- and *IPK1*-induced processes share some common features with the defense responses to pathogens in plants. Overall, our results verify the correlation between PA and defense mechanisms.

Conclusions

In this study, an analysis of the *2mlpa* mutant line revealed low PA contents as well as changes in multiple primary and secondary metabolites. We used a transcriptomics approach to compare gene expression profiles in five soybean seed developmental stages in a *lpa* soybean line (*2mlpa*) carrying two mutations (*mips1* and *ipk1*) and in a non-mutant line (2MWT). A total of 7,945 genes were identified as differentially expressed between *2mlpa* and 2MWT across the five seed developmental stages, with DEGs mainly related to 128 KEGG pathways (e.g., metabolic pathways, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, starch and sucrose metabolism, photosynthesis, flavonoid biosynthesis, and photosynthesis-antenna proteins). The results presented herein have clarified the regulation of these metabolic events in *2mlpa*. We also identified multiple DEGs involved in defense mechanisms, suggesting that *MIPS1*- and *IPK1*-induced processes and defense responses to abiotic and biotic stresses share common features in plants. On the basis of a comparison between the results of this study and those of an earlier investigation by Redekar et al.¹⁸, we conclude that the mutation in *IPK1* compensates for the mutation in *MIPS1* in the raffinose family oligosaccharide pathway. Furthermore, the mutation in *MIPS1* is a major factor adversely affecting photosynthesis. The findings of the current study further clarify the metabolic regulatory network of the *2mlpa* mutant during seed development.

Methods

Genetic materials and backgrounds. A homozygous double-mutant soybean line with low PA contents, designated 2mlpa (mips1/ipk1), and a sibling line with homozygous non-mutant alleles and normal PA levels, designated 2MWT (MIPS1/IPK1), were produced in the present study. These lines were developed by crossing Gm-lpa-TW-1 with Gm-lpa-ZC-2. Gm-lpa-TW-1 was developed earlier via the gamma irradiation of Taiwan 75 (a soybean cultivar widely grown in Zhejiang province, China), resulting in a 2-bp deletion in *GmMIPS1*⁸. A comparison with the commercial wild-type cultivar Zhechun No. 3 detected a G-A point mutation in GmIPK1 in the *Gm-lpa-ZC-2* soybean line²⁸. The F_1 plants generated by the cross were grown and harvested individually. The F₂ plants (as well as the original parents) were grown and genotyped on the basis of a high inorganic P test and a high-resolution melting curve analysis^{8,28}. Additionally, the F_2 plants were classified as homozygous wild-type (HWT), homozygous *lpa* mutant (HM), or heterozygous plants. The F₃ seeds from five heterozygous F_2 individuals were sown and the resulting plants were genotyped to identify heterozygous F_3 plants. The F_4 seeds from five heterozygous F_3 plants were sown to produce the F_4 population, which was examined to detect homozygous wild-type and *lpa* mutant plants (Fig. 5). The method used to select F_5-F_6 plants was similar to that used for identifying F_3 - F_4 plants. The F_7 population was developed from five heterozygous F_6 plants and segregated, after which their genotypes were determined. The HWT and HM seeds from $10 F_7$ plants were used to produce five HWT and five HM F_8 lines, which were subsequently analyzed. The genotypes of the F_8 plants were determined following a high-resolution melting curve analysis, after which the lpa and non-lpa lines were selected⁶⁵. The seed PA and inositol phosphate isomer contents of the F_8 lines were measured, which revealed the PA contents of the 2mlpa and 2MWT lines were 2.32 mg/g and 18.2 mg/g, respectively¹¹.

Plant growth and RNA extraction. For each of the experimental F_8 lines (*2mlpa* and 2MWT), 50 plants were grown in a greenhouse at 25 °C with a 14-h light/10-h dark photoperiod. Seed length was used as the criterion for defining the following five soybean seed developmental stages: stage 1, 7 DAF; stage 2, 12 DAF; stage 3, 17 DAF; stage 4, 22 DAF; and stage 5, 27 DAF^{28,39}. For each stage, seeds were collected from 50 plants and mixed to produce one sample. Three parallel samples collected in each stage served as biological replicates for the RNA extraction. The collected seeds were immediately ground to a fine powder, from which total RNA was extracted



Figure 5. Flowchart of soybean material production via the cross-breeding of Gm-*lpa*-TW-1 and Gm-*lpa*-ZC-2. *HM* homozygous *lpa*-mutant, *HW* homozygous wild-type, *HET* heterozygous.

using the E.Z.N.A. Plant RNA kit (Omega Bio-tek, Inc., USA). Genomic DNA contaminants were eliminated using RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega, USA).

Library construction and sequencing. The quality of the extracted total RNA was assessed using the 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) as well as by agarose gel electrophoresis. The concentrations of all RNA samples were determined and then adjusted to 500 ng/µl. High-quality RNA samples were used to construct sequencing libraries. A total of 30 cDNA libraries were generated using the SMART[™] cDNA Library

Construction Kit (Takara Bio-tek, Inc., Japan) and tested using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and the ABI StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher, USA). The libraries were then sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform (Illumina, USA) at iGENE Biological Technology (Hangzhou, China).

Sequence assembly. The raw sequencing reads were filtered by removing low-quality sequences and rRNA reads. The clean reads were assembled using SOAPaligner/SOAP2⁶⁶. The TopHat⁶⁷ program (http:// ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat/index.shtml) was used to align the clean reads for each sample with the reference genome sequence (*Glycine_max_v2.0*). Mismatches of no more than five bases were allowed for the alignment.

Gene annotation and analysis of DEGs. All genes were analyzed by aligning them with sequences in the NR (NCBI non-redundant protein), Swiss-Prot, GO, COG (Clusters of Orthologous Groups), and KEGG databases using BLAST2GO (https://www.blast2go.com/).

Gene expression levels were calculated and normalized as RPKM values. The RPKM method can eliminate the influence of gene length and sequence quantity on gene expression data. The calculated gene expression levels can be used directly to reveal differences in gene expression between samples. Differentially expressed genes were analyzed using the DESeq function of the Bioconductor software (http://www.bioconductor.org). The false discovery rate (FDR) was determined by correcting the *P* value according to the Benjamini–Hochberg method⁶⁸. The criteria used for identifying significant DEGs were as follows: FDR ≤ 0.05 and $|log_2(ratio)| \geq 1$.

The TopGO function of the Bioconductor software was used for the GO enrichment analysis of the DEGs, with a *P* value threshold of 0.01⁶⁹. Additionally, hypergeometric tests were used for the KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of the DEGs, with 0.05 FDR corrections⁷⁰.

RT-qPCR. The RNA-seq data were validated by analyzing the expression of eight DEGs in a RT-qPCR assay. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from the high-quality total RNA using the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Rockford, Illinois, USA). Gene-specific primers were designed using the Primer-Blast tool available on the NCBI website (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/). The housekeeping gene *ACT11* was used to normalize gene expression levels. All primers are listed in Supplementary Table S7. The RT-qPCR analysis of the eight selected genes was conducted in triplicate using the SYBR Green Real-time PCR Master Mix (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) and the LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). Relative gene expression levels were calculated according to the corrected relative $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method.

Data availability

All raw data were deposited in the NCBI database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/) under accession number PRJNA522338. Other study data are available upon request.

Received: 20 November 2020; Accepted: 8 April 2021 Published online: 22 April 2021

References

- 1. Rose, T. J., Liu, L. & Wissuwa, M. Improving phosphorus efficiency in cereal crops: Is breeding for reduced grain phosphorus concentration part of the solution?. *Front. Plant Sci.* **4**, 444 (2013).
- 2. Raboy, V. Approaches and challenges to engineering seed phytate and total phosphorus. Plant Sci. 177, 281-296 (2009).
- 3. Singh, S. K., Barnaby, J. Y., Reddy, V. R. & Sicher, R. C. Varying response of the concentration and yield of soybean seed mineral elements, carbohydrates, organic acids, amino acids, protein, and oil to phosphorus starvation and CO2 enrichment. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1967. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01967 (2016).
- Raboy, V. Low phytic acid crops: Observations based on four decades of research. *Plants* https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9020140 (2020).
- Colombo, F. et al. MRP transporters and low phytic acid mutants in major crops: Main pleiotropic effects and future perspectives. Front. Plant Sci. 11, 1301. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.01301 (2020).
- Vincent, J. A., Stacey, M., Stacey, G. & Bilyeu, K. D. Phytic acid and inorganic phosphate composition in soybean lines with independent IPK1 mutations. *Plant Genome* 8, 1 (2015).
- Tan, Y. et al. Phytic acid contents and metabolite profiles of progenies from crossing low phytic acid OsMIK and OsMRP5 RICE (Oryza sativa L.) mutants. J. Agric. Food Chem. 67, 11805–11814. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b05098 (2019).
- Yuan, F. J. et al. Generation and characterization of two novel low phytate mutations in soybean (Glycine max L. Merr.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 115, 945–957. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-007-0621-2 (2007).
- 9. Yuan, F. *et al.* Whole genome-wide transcript profiling to identify differentially expressed genes associated with seed field emergence in two soybean low phytate mutants. *BMC Plant Biol.* **17**, 16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-016-0953-7 (2017).
- Loewus, M. W. & Loewus, F. myo-inositol 1-phosphate synthase inhibition and control of uridine diphosphate-D-glucuronic acid biosynthesis in plants. *Plant Physiol.* 54, 368–371. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.54.3.368 (1974).
- Goßner, S. *et al.* Impact of cross-breeding of low phytic acid MIPS1 and IPK1 soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) mutants on their contents of inositol phosphate isomers. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 67, 247–257. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.8b06117 (2019).
- Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., Kawashima, S., Okuno, Y. & Hattori, M. The KEGG resource for deciphering the genome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, D277 (2003).
- Ho-Seok, L. et al. InsP6-sensitive variants of the Gle1 mRNA export factor rescue growth and fertility defects of the ipk1 lowphytic-acid mutation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 27, 417–431 (2015).
- Chaouch, S. & Noctor, G. Myo-inositol abolishes salicylic acid-dependent cell death and pathogen defence responses triggered by peroxisomal hydrogen peroxide. New Phytol. 188, 711–718 (2010).
- Ali, N. *et al.* Development of low phytate rice by RNAi mediated seed-specific silencing of inositol 1,3,4,5,6-pentakisphosphate 2-kinase gene (IPK1). *PLoS ONE* 8, e68161 (2013).
- Zhang, J. et al. Overexpression of PeMIPS1 confers tolerance to salt and copper stresses by scavenging reactive oxygen species in transgenic poplar. Tree Physiol. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpy028 (2018).

- 17. Zhai, H. *et al.* A myo-inositol-1-phosphate synthase gene, IbMIPS1, enhances salt and drought tolerance and stem nematode resistance in transgenic sweet potato. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **14**, 592–602. https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12402 (2016).
- Redekar, N. R. et al. Genome-wide transcriptome analyses of developing seeds from low and normal phytic acid soybean lines. BMC Genom. 16, 1074. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-2283-9 (2015).
- 19. Raboy, V. Forward genetics studies of seed phytic acid. Israel J. Plant Sci. 55, 171-181 (2007).
- Yuan, F. J. Characterization of D-myo-inositol 3-phosphate synthase gene expression in two soybean low phytate mutants. J. Nuclear Agric. Sci. (2013).
- Hegeman, C. E., Good, L. L. & Grabau, E. A. Expression of D-myo-inositol-3-phosphate synthase in soybean: Implications for phytic acid biosynthesis. *Plant Physiol.* 125, 1941–1948 (2001).
- 22. Chappell, A. in Southeastcon 90, IEEE.
- Zhao, H. et al. Disruption of OsSULTR3;3 reduces phytate and phosphorus concentrations and alters the metabolite profile in rice grains. New Phytol. 211, 926–939. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13969 (2016).
- Zhang, S. *et al.* Analysis of weighted co-regulatory networks in maize provides insights into new genes and regulatory mechanisms related to inositol phosphate metabolism. *BMC Genom.* 17, 129. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-2476-x (2016).
- 25. Luo, Y. *et al.* D-myo-inositol-3-phosphate affects phosphatidylinositol-mediated endomembrane function in Arabidopsis and is essential for auxin-regulated embryogenesis. *Plant Cell* 23, 1352–1372 (2011).
- Donahue, J. L. et al. The Arabidopsis thaliana Myo-inositol 1-phosphate synthase1 gene is required for Myo-inositol synthesis and suppression of cell death. Plant Cell 22, 888–903 (2010).
- Chen, H. & Xiong, L. M. Myo-inositol-1-phosphate synthase is required for polar auxin transport and organ development. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 24238–24247 (2010).
- Yuan, F. J. et al. Identification and characterization of the soybean IPK1 ortholog of a low phytic acid mutant reveals an exonexcluding splice-site mutation. Theor. Appl. Genet. 125, 1413–1423. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-012-1922-7 (2012).
- Marathe, A. et al. Exploring the role of Inositol 1,3,4-trisphosphate 5/6 kinase-2 (GmITPK2) as a dehydration and salinity stress regulator in *Glycine max* (L.) Merr. through heterologous expression in *E. coli. Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 123, 331–341. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2017.12.026 (2017).
- Zhao, H. J. et al. Characterization of OsMIK in a rice mutant with reduced phytate content reveals an insertion of a rearranged retrotransposon. Theor. Appl. Genet. 126, 3009–3020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-013-2189-3 (2013).
- Nunes, A. C. et al. RNAi-mediated silencing of the myo-inositol-1-phosphate synthase gene (GmMIPS1) in transgenic soybean inhibited seed development and reduced phytate content. Planta 224, 125–132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-005-0201-0 (2006).
- Denstadli, V., Vestre, R., Svihus, B., Skrede, A. & Storebakken, T. Phytate degradation in a mixture of ground wheat and ground defatted soybeans during feed processing: Effects of temperature, moisture level, and retention time in small- and medium-scale incubation systems. J. Agric. Food Chem. 54, 5887–5893. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0605906 (2006).
- Frank, T., Norenberg, S. & Engel, K. H. Metabolite profiling of two novel low phytic acid (lpa) soybean mutants. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 6408-6416. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf901019y (2009).
- Nelson, D. E., Rammesmayer, G. & Bohnert, H. J. Regulation of cell-specific inositol metabolism and transport in plant salinity tolerance. *Plant Cell* 10, 753–764 (1998).
- Kumar, V. et al. Probing phosphorus efficient low phytic acid content soybean genotypes with phosphorus starvation in hydroponics growth system. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 177, 689–699. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-015-1773-1 (2015).
- Xia, Y. et al. Allelic variations of a light harvesting chlorophyll a/b-binding protein gene (Lhcb1) associated with agronomic traits in barley. PLoS ONE 7, e37573. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037573 (2012).
- Ma, L. et al. Arabidopsis FHY3 and FAR1 regulate light-induced myo-inositol biosynthesis and oxidative stress responses by transcriptional activation of MIPS1. Mol. Plant 9, 541–557. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2015.12.013 (2016).
- Tognetti, J. A., Pontis, H. G. & Martinez-Noel, G. M. Sucrose signaling in plants: a world yet to be explored. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 8, e23316. https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.23316 (2013).
- Yuan, F. J. et al. Effects of two low phytic acid mutations on seed quality and nutritional traits in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr). J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 3632–3638. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf803862a (2009).
- Karner, U. *et al.* myo-Inositol and sucrose concentrations affect the accumulation of raffinose family oligosaccharides in seeds. J. Exp. Bot. 55, 1981–1987. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erh216 (2004).
- Fleet, C. M., Yen, J. Y., Hill, E. A. & Gillaspy, G. É. Co-suppression of AtMIPS demonstrates cooperation of MIPS1, MIPS2 and MIPS3 in maintaining myo-inositol synthesis. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 97, 253–263. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-018-0737-6 (2018).
- Kusuda, H. *et al.* Ectopic expression of myo-inositol 3-phosphate synthase induces a wide range of metabolic changes and confers salt tolerance in rice. *Plant Sci.* 232, 49–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.12.009 (2015).
- 43. Minic, Z. Physiological roles of plant glycoside hydrolases. Planta 227, 723-740 (2008).
- Lee, E. J., Matsumura, Y., Soga, K., Hoson, T. & Koizumi, N. Glycosyl hydrolases of cell wall are induced by sugar starvation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 48, 405–413. https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcm009 (2007).
- Mohapatra, P. K. et al. Senescence-induced loss in photosynthesis enhances cell wall beta-glucosidase activity. Physiol. Plant. 138, 346–355 (2010).
- 46. Bahaji, A. et al. Characterization of multiple SPS knockout mutants reveals redundant functions of the four Arabidopsis sucrose phosphate synthase isoforms in plant viability, and strongly indicates that enhanced respiration and accelerated starch turnover can alleviate the blockage of sucrose biosynthesis. Plant Sci. 238, 135–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.06.009 (2015).
- But, S. Y., Khmelenina, V. N., Reshetnikov, A. S. & Trotsenko, Y. A. Bifunctional sucrose phosphate synthase/phosphatase is involved in the sucrose biosynthesis by *Methylobacillus flagellatus* KT. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 347, 43–51. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1574-6968.12219 (2013).
- Stein, O. & Granot, D. An overview of sucrose synthases in plants. Front. Plant Sci. 10, 95. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00095 (2019).
- Daloso, D. M., Dos Anjos, L. & Fernie, A. R. Roles of sucrose in guard cell regulation. New Phytol. 211, 809–818. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/nph.13950 (2016).
- Mozzoni, L., Shi, A. & Chen, P. Genetic analysis of high sucrose, low raffinose, and low stachyose content in V99–5089 soybean seeds. J. Crop Improv. 27, 606–616 (2013).
- 51. Graf, E. & Eaton, J. W. Antioxidant functions of phytic acid. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 8, 61-69 (1990).
- Lemtiri-Chlieh, F. et al. Inositol hexakisphosphate mobilizes an endomembrane store of calcium in guard cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 10091–10095 (2003).
- 53. Alex, M. M., Bettina, O., Charles, A. B., John, P. C. & David, E. H. A role for inositol hexakisphosphate in the maintenance of basal resistance to plant pathogens. *Plant J.* 56, 638–652 (2010).
- Cancela, J. M., Gerasimenko, O. V., Gerasimenko, J. V., Tepikin, A. V. & Petersen, O. H. Two different but converging messenger pathways to intracellular Ca(2+) release: the roles of nicotinic acid adenine dinucleotide phosphate, cyclic ADP-ribose and inositol trisphosphate. *EMBO J.* 19, 2549–2557. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/19.11.2549 (2000).
- 55. Wan, H. et al. Analysis of TIR- and non-TIR-NBS-LRR disease resistance gene analogous in pepper: Characterization, genetic
- variation, functional divergence and expression patterns. *BMC Genom.* 13, 502. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-502 (2012).
 56. Zhang, H. *et al.* A pair of orthologs of a leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase-like disease resistance gene family regulates rice response to raised temperature. *BMC Plant Biol.* 11, 160. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-11-160 (2011).

- Sun, W. et al. Probing the arabidopsis flagellin receptor: FLS2-FLS2 association and the contributions of specific domains to signaling function. Plant Cell 24, 1096–1113. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.095919 (2012).
- Chinchilla, D. et al. A flagellin-induced complex of the receptor FLS2 and BAK1 initiates plant defence. Nature 448, 497–500. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05999 (2007).
- Heese, A. et al. The receptor-like kinase SERK3/BAK1 is a central regulator of innate immunity in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 104, 12217–12222. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0705306104 (2007).
- Zhao, H., Jiang, J., Li, K. & Liu, G. Populus simonii x Populus nigra WRKY70 is involved in salt stress and leaf blight disease responses. *Tree Physiol.* 37, 827–844. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpx020 (2017).
- Li, J., Zhong, R. & Palva, E. T. WRKY70 and its homolog WRKY54 negatively modulate the cell wall-associated defenses to necrotrophic pathogens in Arabidopsis. *PLoS ONE* 12, e0183731. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183731 (2017).
- Besseau, S., Li, J. & Palva, E. T. WRKY54 and WRKY70 co-operate as negative regulators of leaf senescence in Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Exp. Bot. 63, 2667–2679. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err450 (2012).
- 63. Pitzschke, A., Schikora, A. & Hirt, H. MAPK cascade signalling networks in plant defence. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **12**, 421–426 (2009).
- 64. Abdin, M. Z. et al. Signal Transduction and Regulatory Networks in Plant-Pathogen Interaction: A Proteomics Perspective (Springer, 2013).
- 65. Tan, Y. Y. *et al.* Development of an HRM-based, safe and high-throughput genotyping system for two low phytic acid mutations in soybean. *Mol. Breeding* **36**, 1–9 (2016).
- 66. Gu, S., Fang, L. & Xu, X. Using SOAPaligner for short reads alignment. Curr. Protoc. Bioinform. 44, 11–17 (2013).
- 67. Ghosh, S. & Chan, C. K. K. Analysis of RNA-seq data using tophat and cufflinks. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1374, 339–361 (2016).
- Thissen, D., Steinberg, L. & Kuang, D. Quick and easy implementation of the benjamini-hochberg procedure for controlling the false positive rate in multiple comparisons. *J. Educ. Behav. Stat.* 27, 77–83 (2002).
- 69. Alexa, A., Rahnenführer, J. & Lengauer, T. Improved scoring of functional groups from gene expression data by decorrelating GO graph structure. *Bioinformatics* 22, 1600 (2006).
- 70. Falcon, S. & Gentleman, R. Using GOstats to test gene lists for GO term association. *Bioinformatics* 23, 257–258 (2007).

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China (2017YFE0111000), EUCLEG Horizon 2020 of the European Union (727312), the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFD0101500), and the Science and Technology Department of Zhejiang Province (2016C02050-10). All grants were provided to FJY. Our heartfelt thanks go to the anonymous reviewers who offered their critical comments, which improved this manuscript.

Author contributions

H.J. performed the statistical analysis and wrote a draft of the manuscript. X.Y. completed the seed germination experiment. Q.Y. helped develop the study population. X.F. participated in the cultivation and collection of plant materials. F.Y. designed the study and helped draft the manuscript. All authors have read and approved this manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-021-88055-4.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.Y.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021