scientific reports



OPEN Rainfall increasing offsets the negative effects of nighttime warming on GHGs and wheat yield in North China Plain

Yaojun Zhang^{1\2/2}, Wenkai Shou^{2,3}, Carmelo Maucieri⁴ & Feng Lin^{5\2/2}

The effects of nighttime warming and rainfall increasing on crop productivity and soil greenhouse gas emissions are few studied. This study was conducted with a field experiment to investigate the effects of nighttime warming, rainfall increasing and their interaction on wheat grain yield, methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions during a winter wheat growing season in the North China Plain (NCP). The results showed that nighttime warming and rainfall increasing significantly altered soil temperature and moisture, and thus the CH₄ and N₂O emissions from the soil. Nighttime warming significantly promoted soil CH₄ uptake by 21.2% and increased soil N₂O emissions by 22.4%. Rainfall increasing stimulated soil N₂O emissions by 15.7% but decreased soil CH₄ uptake by 18.6%. Nighttime warming significantly decreased wheat yield by 5.5%, while rainfall increasing enhanced wheat yield by 4.0%. The results indicate that the positive effect of nighttime warming on CH₄ uptake and negative effect on wheat yield can be offset by rainfall increasing in the NCP. Generally, rainfall increasing significantly raised the global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity induced by CH4 and N₂O emissions. Overall, this study improves our understanding of agroecosystem C and N cycling in response to nighttime warming and rainfall increasing under future climate change.

Climate change, mainly induced by greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs), is expected to increase temperature and alter rainfall pattern worldwide in the future^{1,2}. Methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions from soils are two important GHGs contributing to global warming and are in turn virtually affected by climate change³. Agricultural soil is a major contributor to CH_4 and N_2O emissions^{4,5}. Therefore, it is crucial to have a comprehensive understanding of feedback between CH₄ and N₂O emissions from agricultural soil and the ongoing climate change crisis.

The global annual mean air temperature is predicted to increase by 1.0-1.7 °C by 2050, which could have a profound influence on crop growth and GHGs emissions from agricultural soils^{6,7}. Most studies on GHGs emissions response to warming have concentrated on grassland, forest and tundra, and the results have shown inconsistent responses of CH₄ and N₂O emissions to warming from positive^{8,9}, to negative^{10,11} and no change^{12,13}. Meanwhile, studies examining CH₄ and N₂O emissions response to warming are still lacking in agricultural field, and they mainly focused on the daily mean temperature increasing⁹. However, long-term datasets and global change models have demonstrated that climate warming presents asymmetry, with nighttime temperature increasing more rapidly than daytime temperature^{14,15}. To our knowledge, field studies examining CH₄ and N₂O emissions response to nighttime warming rarely enlist for agricultural soils.

The North China Plain (NCP) is one of the most important agricultural regions in China and the production of winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) accounts for approximately 70% of total wheat production in China¹⁶. Winter wheat is sensitive to changes in temperature and rainfall derived from climate change¹⁷. The mean air temperature in the NCP is predicted to increase approximately 1.5 °C by 2050, mainly caused by the increase

¹International Joint Research Laboratory for Global Change Ecology, School of Life Sciences, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, Henan, China. ²Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 72 Wenhua Road, Shenyang 110016, Liaoning, China. ³College of Forestry, Henan Agricultural University, 63 Agriculture Road, Zhengzhou 450002, Henan, China. ⁴Department of Agronomy, Food, Natural Resources, Animals and Environment-DAFNAE, University of Padua, Agripolis Campus, Viale dell'Università 16, 35020 Legnaro, PD, Italy. ⁵School of Environmental Engineering, Nanjing Institute of Technology, Nanjing 210000, Jiangsu, China. [™]email: njauyjzhang@163.com; linfeng@njit.edu.cn

in nighttime temperature during winter and spring seasons, which will give rise to profound impact on wheat production and the soil GHGs emissions^{16,18,19}. Furthermore, rainfall is predicted to increase during winter wheat growing season in this region¹⁷. Additionally, soil temperature and moisture are two key drivers influencing CH_4 and N_2O emissions⁸. Unfortunately, few studies explored the CH_4 and N_2O emissions from agricultural soil as response to rainfall increasing and the combined effects with nighttime warming. Thus, understanding the winter wheat productivity and GHGs emissions response to nighttime warming and increased rainfall for predicting the climate-driven changes in agroecosystem is necessary.

In this study, we carried out a field plot experiment to examine the effects of nighttime warming and rainfall increasing on CH_4 and N_2O emissions and wheat yield. We hypothesized that: (1) both nighttime warming and increased rainfall could stimulate CH_4 and N_2O fluxes, (2) nighttime warming could decrease wheat yield while rainfall increasing could alleviate the negative effect.

Results

Rainfall, soil moisture, and soil temperature. The seasonal changes in rainfall, soil moisture and soil temperature are shown in Fig. 1. Total rainfall amount during wheat growing season was 408 mm with more than 87% occurring in spring (from March to May). Due to winter drought, an irrigation event was required during the wintering stage (February 11, 2019), which accounted for 11% of the seasonal total rainfall (Figs. 1a and 1b).

Soil moisture showed a prompt response to rainfall and irrigation events during the growing season (Fig. 1c). The average soil moisture content of WFPS (water filled pore space) were 37.5%, 35.8%, 41.6% and 40.6% for the control, + NW, + R, and + NW + R treatments, respectively. The + R treatment significantly increased soil moisture by 16.4% compared with + NW treatment (Fig. 1d).

Soil daily and nighttime temperature are shown in Fig. 1e,f. The nighttime soil temperatures were significantly different among treatments with average values of 11.6 °C, 11.1 °C, 10.5 °C, and 10.2 °C for the + NW, + NW + R, control, and + R treatments, respectively. On average, the warming device significantly increased the nighttime soil temperature at 10 cm depth by approximately 1.1 °C under typical environmental conditions (+ NW *vs.* Control) and 0.8 °C under higher rain simulated conditions (+ NW + R *vs.* + R).

Soil CH₄ **emissions.** Soil CH₄ fluxes presented a similar pattern among the different treatments without a clear seasonal variation (Fig. 2a). Over the whole wheat growing season, CH₄ fluxes showed almost exclusive uptake, except for some sporadic CH₄ releases. Seasonal mean CH₄ fluxes showed an average highest value of -0.04 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ (ranging from -0.14 to 0.07 mg m⁻² h⁻¹) and an average lowest value of -0.07 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ (ranging from -0.14 to 0.04 mg m⁻² h⁻¹), and occurring in + R and + NW treatments, respectively.

Soil CH_4 fluxes were positively associated with soil moisture and showed more sensitive response to variation in rainfall (Fig. 3a). However, soil CH_4 fluxes were temperature-dependent and exhibited generally higher with nighttime warming (Fig. 3b). Generally, CH_4 fluxes primarily dependent on soil temperature and can neglect the soil moisture effects in the present study (Fig. 4a).

Over the whole wheat growing season, the cumulative CH_4 emission was significantly affected by the nighttime warming and rainfall increasing but not their interaction (Table 1). Compared with the control, + R treatment decreased soil CH_4 uptake by 18.6% and + NW promoted soil CH_4 uptake by 21.2%.

Soil N₂O emissions. Soil N₂O fluxes showed a typical pattern with a clear seasonal variation and seemed to be affected by soil moisture and temperature (Figs. 1c,e and 2b). Substantial N₂O emissions occurred in the seedling stage and after jointing stage with warm temperature, while N₂O fluxes were relatively lower during the wintering period with low temperature. Several N₂O flux peaks were mainly observed following with rainfall or irrigation. The highest N₂O flux emission rate came from the + NW + R treatment with a value of 30.0 μ g m⁻² h⁻¹, and the lowest was from the control treatment with a value of 1.2 μ g m⁻² h⁻¹, which is an approximately 25-fold difference.

Although N_2O fluxes were depended on soil moisture, rainfall increase had no effect on N_2O fluxes (Fig. 3c). However, nighttime warming exhibited a strong effect on soil N_2O emissions, where soil N_2O fluxes showed more sensitive response to the increased temperature (Fig. 3d). Generally, nighttime warming and rainfall increasing showed a comprehensive effects on N_2O fluxes, with N_2O fluxes increased with soil temperature companied with soil moisture (Fig. 4b).

Over the whole wheat growing season, the cumulative N_2O emission was significantly affected by the nighttime warming and rainfall increasing but not their interaction (Table 1). In general, compared with control, + NW, and + NW + R treatments significantly increased soil N_2O emissions by 22.4% and 32.1%, respectively.

Wheat yield, GWP and GHGI. Nighttime warming and rainfall increasing showed significant effects on wheat grain yield but not their interaction (Table 1). The increase of nighttime warming significantly decreased (-7.5%) the wheat yield than other treatments (4261.8 kg ha⁻¹). In a context with higher rain quantity the negative effect of nighttime warming was annulled showing the same yield obtained under typical environmental conditions (no significant differences among + R, + NW + R and Control).

The GWP induced by CH_4 and N_2O emissions was significantly increased than Control by the rainfall increasing under both conditions, with (+ NW + R; + 84.1%) and without (+ R; + 72.5%) nighttime warming (Table 1).

Rainfall increasing also significantly increased the GHGI (by 65.7%) and nighttime warming aggravated its effect (by 79.5%) relative to the control (0.014 kg CO_2 equivalent kg⁻¹).



Figure 1. Dynamic changes and mean account of rainfall (**a**,**b**), soil moisture (WFPS, **c**,**d**) and soil temperature (**e**,**f**) during observation periods. The bars indicate the standard error of the means (\pm SE). Different letters indicate significant differences among treatments (Fisher's LSD Tukey HSD test at *p* < 0.05).

Microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen. Rainfall increasing and nighttime warming significantly affected microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and nitrogen (MBN) in the soil (Fig. 5). Compared with control treatment, + NW and + NW + R significantly increased MBC by 8.0% and 5.9%, respectively. The rainfall increasing alone (+ R) did not show significant differences with the MBC measured under typical environmental conditions (Control) (Fig. 5a). Although rainfall increasing had no effect on MBN, nighttime warming enhanced MBN content by 5.2% in soil than Control. It is worth noting that the interactive of nighttime warming and rainfall increasing had significant effects on MBC, but had no effect on MBN (Table 2).

Discussion

Temperature and soil moisture are two crucial factors influencing CH_4 emissions from soils^{20,21}. Enhanced CH_4 emissions from soils with rising temperature have been well reported in previous studies, and most of the studies by using free-air CO_2 enrichment system or open-top chambers^{21–23}. The positive effect of warming enhanced CH_4 emissions was mainly due to the canopy warming could increase soil temperature, thus enhanced soil organic matter decomposition providing abundant substrate for methanogen producing CH_4^9 . In contrast, our results showed that nighttime warming increased CH_4 uptake by 21.2% during winter wheat growing season



Figure 2. Seasonal dynamics of soil CH_4 (a) and N_2O (b) fluxes (mean ± SE) during observation periods.



Figure 3. CH_4 (**a**,**b**) and N_2O (**c**,**d**) emissions related tolinear relationship with soil moisture (WFPS) and or soil temperature.

and CH_4 emission was significantly correlated with soil temperature under nighttime warming (Table 1, Fig. 1b). Generally, the main processes of CH_4 turnover in soil including CH_4 production, oxidation, transportation and emission, any factor influencing the processes would affect CH_4 flux in soil²⁴. Previous studies confirmed that the stimulation of warming on CH_4 uptake was mainly attribute to its effects on the abundance of methanotrophs^{25,26}. In addition, soil temperature might be the primary driving factor for CH_4 emission/uptake in dryland when soil moisture is relatively low²⁷. Soil aerobics and gas diffusivity increased when the soil water content decreased,



Figure 4. CH_4 (**a**) and N_2O (**b**) emissions responded response to both soil moisture and temperature during wheat growing season.

thus CH_4 consumption enhanced^{28,29}. However, when soil moisture is too low, CH_4 diffuse without restriction but microbes are physiologically stressed and its activity, including methanotrophs, is reduced, which reduces the CH_4 uptake³⁰. In the present study, soil moisture was mostly below 60% WFPS, and especially less than 40% during the winter growing season (Fig. 1c). Nighttime warming reduced soil moisture (Fig. 1d), thus could be the main reason resulting in most CH_4 uptake by the soil.

Relative to temperature, soil moisture is a more vital factor influencing CH_4 emissions in soil²⁸. In the present study, rainfall increasing by 30% reduced the CH_4 uptake by 18.5%. Consistent with our study, Guo et al. (2015) ³¹ reported that increasing rainfall resulted in an 18.2% reduction in the CH_4 uptake rate. The slope of simulated regression was higher for the treatments with increased rainfall than the controls, suggesting rainfall increasing had intensified the response of CH_4 emissions to soil moisture (Fig. 3a). Actually, the + R treatments with rainfall increasing give rise to a higher soil moisture. Although the confidence interval with + R greater than that without + R, the regressions could partially explain the results. High soil moisture might decrease soil O_2

	Yield	CH ₄ emission	N ₂ O emission	GWP _{100-year}	GHGI					
	kg ha ⁻¹	kg ha ⁻¹	kg ha ⁻¹	kg CO ₂ (eq) ha ⁻¹	$\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{eq})\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$					
Control	4171.56±48.37 ba	-2.87 ± 0.11 ab	0.53±0.02 cb	$58.95 \pm 6.04 \text{ bc}$	$0.014 \pm 0.002 \ b$					
+NW	3943.66±41.11 cb	-3.48 ± 0.19 b	0.64±0.03 ab	73.35±5.68 bc	$0.019 \pm 0.001 \text{ ab}$					
+ R	4339.70±35.59 a	-2.34 ± 0.34 a	0.61±0.03 ab	101.67 ± 12.60 ab	0.023 ± 0.003 a					
+NW+R	4274.05±51.38 ab	-2.89 ± 0.27 ab	0.69±0.02 a	108.49±5.48 a	0.025 ± 0.002 a					
ANOVA										
NW	0.015	0.035	0.002	0.211	0.337					
R	<0.001	0.040	0.023	< 0.001	0.011					
NW×R	0.141	0.915	0.534	0.645	0.337					

Table 1. Grain yield, cumulative CH_4 and N_2O emissions, GWP and GHGI (mean ± SE) in winter wheat growing season. Different letters within each parameter indicate significant differences among treatments (Tukey HSD testFisher's LSD test at p < 0.05). *GWP* Global warming potential, *GHGI* Greenhouse gas intensity. Bold values indicate statistical significance with p value (p < 0.05).



Figure 5. Effects of nighttime warming and rainfall increasing on soil microbial carbon (**a**) and nitrogen (**b**). Different letters indicate significant differences among treatments (Fisher's LSDTukey HSD test at p < 0.05).

content, thus provided an anaerobic environment favoring for soil CH_4 production and emission^{32–34}. Interestingly, our results found that the interaction between nighttime warming and rainfall increasing had no effect on CH_4 emission, indicating that rainfall increasing might offset the negative effect of warming on CH_4 emission. Additionally, higher MBC content was detected under both nighttime warming and rainfall increasing, which may also contribute to the higher CH_4 consumption at + NW and + R treatments. Generally, microbial activity mainly depends on soil temperature and moisture, thus affecting soil CH_4 flux.

		MBC			MBN		
Source	df	SS	F	Р	SS	F	Р
NW	1	727.330	15.183	0.001	4.613	5.635	0.025
R	1	25.740	0.537	0.47	0.001	0.001	0.972
NW×R	1	256.738	5.359	0.028	2.576	3.147	0.087

Table 2. Two-way ANOVA (Tukey HSD test) of nighttime warming (NW) and rainfall increasing (R) on microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and nitrogen (MBN) at wheat harvest. *GWP* Global warming potential, *GHGI* Greenhouse gas intensity. Bold values indicate statistical significance with *p* value (p < 0.05).

In our study, nighttime warming significantly enhanced cumulative N₂O emissions which were positively

correlated with soil temperature for the control and nighttime warming treatments (Table 1, Fig. 3d). Our results were consistent with several previous studies^{3,10,35}. Smith et al.³⁶ mentioned that the N₂O fluxes were expected to increase with rising temperature. Denitrification, main process of N₂O formation in the soil, could be enhanced by higher aerobic respiration and higher oxygen consumption under warming³⁷. Furthermore, many studies reported that warming accelerated soil organic matter mineralization, which increased the substrate for N₂O production^{38,39}. In contrast, Liu et al.¹¹ reported that warming significantly reduced N₂O fluxes in northeast China, due to the decreased soil water content caused by higher temperature. The optimal WFPS of N₂O emissions was in the range of 70–80%. While in our study, relative sufficient rainfall occurred during wheat growing season (408 mm) and irrigation event occurred in the winter drought stage, which led to the higher N₂O emission under nighttime warming. Overall, the effect of warming on N₂O production processes.

In this study, the N₂O flux peaks mainly followed rainfall and irrigation, indicating that soil moisture primarily regulates the spatial and seasonal variability of N₂O emission. Generally, the increase in soil water content following dry conditions stimulated microbial turnover and N₂O flux⁴⁰. N₂O emissions were positively correlated with soil moisture in our study, the regression slope of with + R was higher than that of without + R, indicating that rainfall increasing had a stimulate effect on N₂O emissions (Fig. 3c). Similar to nighttime warming, rainfall increasing significantly enhanced cumulative N₂O emission and further enhanced cumulative N₂O emission under nighttime warming. It is probably due to the higher temperature and soil moisture stimulate the microbial activity and denitrification that caused the increase of N₂O emissions. The MBN contents were higher under both nighttime warming and rainfall increasing treatments, which could also contribute to the N₂O discharge.

Our results showed that nighttime warming significantly decreased wheat yields relative to the control. Similarly, previous studies reported that climatic warming might cause a substantial loss in Chinese wheat yields^{41,42}. In contrast, some other studies suggested that nighttime warming benefit winter wheat production in China^{18,19}. They attribute the positive effects of nighttime warming on winter wheat yields to more suitable temperature condition for winter wheat growth under warming treatment.

Instead, rainfall increasing offset the negative effect of nighttime warming on wheat yield showing no significant differences with actual typical environmental conditions. To our knowledge, soil temperature and moisture are two main keys influencing plant productivity. Soil water availability will decrease due to enhanced evapotranspiration under climate warming, thus limiting microbial activity, nutrient availability and plant growth. Chavas et al.⁴³, using a simulation model under future climate scenario, suggested that winter wheat productivity increased significantly with the increase of precipitation in the North China Plain. However, Song et al.¹⁷ reported that excess precipitation decreased winter wheat yields due to the induced diseases such as Fusarium head blight.

It was known that both temperature and soil moisture contribute to soil microbial growth, communities, activities and processes, which affected CH_4 and N_2O fluxes^{34,44,45}. However, this study did not consider the microbial processes of nitrification and denitrification for N_2O production and the methanogenic and methanotrophic community associate with CH_4 fluxes. Thus, future studies should be focused on the microbiological level in farmland systems to clarify the effects of nighttime warming and increasing precipitation on CH_4 and N_2O fluxes. In addition, our experiment was conducted for only one winter wheat growing season. The long-term effect of nighttime warming and increased rainfall on CH_4 and N_2O fluxes remains unclear. It is necessary to assess the impact of warming and precipitation on CH_4 and N_2O emissions at large time-scale.

Conclusions

The present study showed that nighttime warming and rainfall increasing could affect wheat productivity and greenhouse gas emissions in the NCP. Nighttime warming significantly increased CH_4 uptake and N_2O emissions, but decreased wheat grain yield. Rainfall increasing reduced CH_4 uptake, but enhanced N_2O emissions and wheat grain yield. Although nighttime warming and rainfall increasing showed significant influence on wheat productivity and greenhouse gas emissions, the interaction between them had no effects. In general, the present study give us a better understanding of the agroecosystem C and N cycling in response to nighttime warming and rainfall increasing under future climate change scenarios. Furthermore, the future work is necessary to assess the impact of warming and precipitation on CH_4 and N_2O emissions at a large time-scale.

Materials and methods

Study site description. The experiment was carried out during the winter wheat growing season (from October 2018 to May 2019) in a wheat-maize rotation in a field located in the periphery of Anhui Science and Technology University (32° 86′ N, 117° 4′ E), south part of the NCP. This region has a sub-tropical and sub-humid monsoon climate with a mean annual air temperature of 14.9 °C. Mean annual precipitation was 904.4 mm and approximately 40% of the rainfall was distributed in winter wheat growing season. The soil was classified as hydromorphic, consisting of 13% sand, 47% silt, and 40% clay. The upper soil layer (0–25 cm) had an initial pH of 6.5 (1:1, water/soil, w/w) and an average bulk density of 1.26 g cm⁻³. The soil available N was 64.6 mg kg⁻¹, organic C content was 8.65 g kg⁻¹, available phosphorus was 31.6 mg kg⁻¹, and available potassium was 62.3 mg kg⁻¹.

Field experiments. Two factors (rain (R) and nighttime warming (NW)) at two levels (typical environment conditions and simulated changed conditions) with a completely randomized experimental design have been compared including the following treatments: 1) the typical environment conditions of rain and nighttime temperature (Control); 2) nighttime warming treatment (+NW); 3) simulated rainfall increasing by 30% (+R); and 4) the combination of nighttime warming and rainfall increasing (+NW + R). Each treatment was four times replicated making a total of 16 plots. Each plot was 12 m^2 ($3 \text{ m} \times 4 \text{ m}$) and there was a 0.5 m buffer zone for preventing water and nutrients exchange between any two adjacent plots. For the nighttime warming treatment, an aluminum foil coated fabric was covering 30 cm above the surface of the wheat during nighttime. It was placed manually every day from sunset to sunrise, except for rainy and windy nights. The aluminum foil coated fabric radiation and diffused radiation to the soil surface thus making a passive nighttime warming⁴⁶. For the rainfall increasing treatment, we sprayed 30% amount of the rainfall within two days after each rainfall event.

CH₄ and N₂O emissions measurement. Field in situ CH₄ and N₂O fluxes were monitored from October 20, 2018 to May 20, 2019 (215 days) using closed opaque static chamber method. The details on sampling chamber design and structure were the same as reported in our previous studies^{47,48}. Wheat plants were included within the static chamber. Specially-made boardwalks were established above the alley soil to minimize soil disturbance during gas flux measurements prior to initial gas sampling. Three parallel aluminum flux collars (50 cm length × 50 cm width × 15 cm height) were permanently installed (0.15 m in soil depth) near the boardwalks in each field plot. When the gas was sampled, the chamber was placed over the wheat with the rim of chamber fitted into the groove of the collar.

Gas samples were generally taken once a week except one more time after rainfall event or irrigation. Gas samples were taken at 0, 10, 20 min after chamber closure between 08:30 and 11:00 local time on each sampling day. Each gas sample was stored in a 100 ml gas sampling bag (Delin Gas Packing Co., LTD, Dalian, China), which was vacuumed by vacuum pump before gas sampling, and transported to laboratory for analyzing CH_4 and N_2O concentrations.

 CH_4 and N_2O concentrations in the samples were quantified by a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890 A, USA) equipped with an electron capture detector (ECD) and flame ionization detector (FID). The specific procedures for gas flux determination was detailed in our previous study⁴⁹. Seasonal cumulative amounts of CH_4 and N_2O emissions during the observation period were calculated from the emissions between every two adjacent intervals of measurements.

Wheat grain yield and other data measurements. Wheat yield was measured in each plot sampling five points $(1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m})$ in each plot at wheat maturity (30 May, 2019). Grain yield from each plot was collected in mesh bags and immediately weighted.

Soil temperature was monitored using an automatic data recorder with ibutton at a depth of 10 cm. The automatic data recorder was set to record the soil temperature every 2 h thus for calculating the mean whole day and nighttime temperature. Soil moisture was measured using a portable rod probe (MPM–160) when the soil emissions were sampled. Soil volumetric moisture was further converted into WFPS by the following equation: WFPS = [soil volumetric water content/(1 – (soil bulk density/2.65)) × 100%], where, 2.65 Mg m⁻³ was the assumed soil particle density⁴⁷.

The microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and nitrogen (MBN) were measured at wheat harvest. About 10 g fresh soil was fumigated by ethanol-free chloroform for 4 days. The fumigated soil and 10 g of unfumigated soil were mixed with 50 ml 0.5 M K₂SO₄. The total extracted organic C and N contents were then analyzed. MBC and MBN contents were determined as the organic C and N difference with fumigated minus unfumigated, and the conversion coefficients for MBC and MBN were 0.45 and 0.54, respectively.

GWP and GHGI calculation. The global warming potential (GWP) produced by CH_4 and N_2O emission was obtained at 100 – year time horizon using the following Eq.⁵⁰:

GWP (Mg CO₂equivalent ha⁻¹) =
$$34 \times CH_4 + 298 \times N_2O$$
 (1)

Greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) is a frequently used measure of GHG emissions per unit of grain production and calculated by dividing the GWP by the wheat grain yields:

$$GHGI(Mg CO_2 equivalent Mg grain^{-1}) = GWP/grain yields$$
 (2)

Data analyses. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS version 21.0 (SPSS Inc.). Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for the comparison of wheat yield, CH_4 and N_2O emissions under nighttime warming and rainfall increasing. The treatments effect on soil moisture and temperature were evaluated using repeated ANOVA. In the case of a significant F-value, the means were compared using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) test at p < 0.05. All the data are showed as mean ± SE (n=4). The single effect of soil moisture or temperature on CH_4 and N_2O emissions was evaluated through linear relationship. The 3D mesh plots for combined effect of soil moisture and temperature on CH_4 and N_2O emissions were represented using SigmaPlot version 12.5, from Systat Software, Inc., San Jose California USA, www.systatsoftware.com.

Received: 28 December 2020; Accepted: 9 March 2021 Published online: 22 March 2021

References

- 1. Chadwick, R., Good, P., Martin, G. & Rowell, D. Large rainfall changes consistently projected over substantial areas of tropical land. *Nat. Clim. Change* 6, 177–182 (2016).
- Conway, D. et al. The need for bottom-up assessments of climate risks and adaptation in climate-sensitive regions. Nat. Clim. Change 9, 503-511 (2019).
- 3. Li, L. *et al.* Terrestrial N₂O emissions and related functional genes under climate change: a global meta-analysis. *Global Change Biol.* **00**, 1–13 (2019).
- 4. Pollock, C. Agricultural greenhouse gases. Nat. Geosci. 4, 277–278 (2011).
- 5. Zhou, F. et al. A new high-resolution N₂O emission inventory for China in 2008. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 8538–8547 (2014).
- IPCC. Summary for Policymakers in Climate Change 2014. Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability (eds Field, C. B. et al.) (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2014).
- 7. Zhao, C. *et al.* Temperature increase reduces global yields of major crops in four independent estimates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **114**, 9326–9331 (2017).
- Dijkstra, F., Morgan, J., Follett, R. & Lecain, D. Climate change reduces the net sink of CH₄ and N₂O in a semiarid grassland. *Glob. Change Biol.* 19, 1816–1826 (2013).
- Wang, C. et al. An additive effect of elevated atmospheric CO₂ and rising temperature on methane emissions related to methanogenic community in rice paddies. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 257, 165–174 (2018).
- Hu, Z. et al. Effects of warming and reduced precipitation on soil respiration and N₂O fluxes from winter wheat-soybean cropping systems. Geoderma 337, 956–964 (2019).
- Liu, L. et al. Experimental warming-driven soil drying reduced N₂O emissions from fertilized crop rotations of winter wheatsoybean/fallow, 2009–2014. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 219, 71–82 (2016).
- 12. Niboyet, A. et al. Global change could amplify fire effects on soil greenhouse gas emissions. PLoS ONE 6, e20105 (2011).
- 13. Li, L. et al. Responses of greenhouse gas fluxes to climate extremes in a semiarid grassland. Atmos. Environ. 142, 32-42 (2016).
- Davy, R., Esau, I., Chernokulsky, A., Outten, S. & Zilitinkevich, S. Diurnal asymmetry to the observed global warming. *Int. J. Climatol.* 37, 79–93 (2017).
- Speights, C., Wolf, C., Barton, M. & Barton, B. Why and how to create nighttime warming treatments for ecological field experiments. Yale J. Biol. Med. 91, 471–480 (2018).
- Zhang, H. *et al.* Challenges and adaptations of farming to climate change in the North China Plain. *Clim. Change* 129, 213–224 (2015).
- 17. Song, Y. *et al.* The influence of excess precipitation on winter wheat under climate change in China from 1961 to 2017. *Sci. Total Environ.* **690**, 189–196 (2019).
- 18. Chen, J. *et al.* Nighttime warming will increase winter wheat yield through improving plant development and grain growth in North China. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* **33**, 397–407 (2014).
- 19. Zheng, C. *et al.* Nighttime warming increases winter-sown wheat yield across major Chinese cropping regions. *Field Crop Res.* **214**, 202–210 (2017).
- Dijkstra, F., Morgan, J., von Fischer, J. & Follett, R. Elevated CO₂ and warming effects on CH₄ uptake in a semiarid grassland below optimum soil moisture. J. Geophys. Res. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JG001288 (2011).
- D'imperio, L., Nielsen, C., Westergaard-Nielsen, A., Michelsen, A. & Elberling, B. Methane oxidation in contrasting soils types: responses to experimental warming with implication for landscape-integrated CH₄ budget. *Glob. Change Biol.* 23, 966–976 (2017).
- Tokida, T. et al. Effects of freeair CO₂ enrichment (FACE) and soil warming on CH₄ emission from a rice paddy: impact assessment and stoichiometric evaluation. Biogeosciences 7, 2639–2653 (2010).
- Liu, G. et al. Microbial community composition controls the effects of climate change on methane emission from rice paddies. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 4, 648–654 (2012).
- Jia, Z., Cai, Z. & Tsuruta, H. Effect of rice cultivar on CH₄ production potential of rice soil and CH₄ emission in a pot experiment. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 52, 341–348 (2006).
- 25. Zheng, Y. *et al.* Methanotrophic community structure and activity under warming and grazing of alpine meadow on the Tibetan Plateau. *Appl. Microbiol. Biot.* **93**, 2193–2203 (2012).
- Zhu, X. et al. Effects of warming, grazing/cutting and nitrogen fertilization greenhouse gas fluxes during growing seasons in an alpine. Agric. For. Meteorol. 214–215, 506–514 (2015).
- Wang, Y. et al. Effects of environmental factors on N₂O emission from and CH₄ uptake by the typical grasslands in the Inner Mongolia. Chemosphere 58, 205–215 (2005).
- Wu, X. *et al.* Effects of soil moisture and temperature on CO₂ and CH₄ soil-atmosphere exchange of various land use/cover types in a semi-arid grassland in Inner Mongolia, China. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 42, 773–787 (2010).
- Smith, K., Ball, T., Conen, F., Dobbie, K. & Rey, A. Exchange of greenhouse gases between soil and atmosphere: interactions of soil physical factors and biological processes. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 69, 2–4 (2018).
- 30. Price, S. et al. Pristine New Zealand forest soil is a strong methane sink. Glob. Change Biol. 10, 16-26 (2004).
- Guo, X. et al. Effects of land use change on CH₄ soil-atmospheric exchange in alpine meadow on the Tibetan Plateau change in CH₄ flux to degradation and reclamation. Pol. J. Environ. Stud. 24, 1593–1602 (2015).
- 32. Fang, H. *et al.* Low-level nitrogen deposition significantly inhibits methane uptake from an alpine meadow soil on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Geoderma* **213**, 444–452 (2014).
- 33. Prem, E., Reitschuler, C. & Illmer, P. Livestock grazing on alpine soils causes changes in abiotic and biotic soil properties and thus in abundance and activity of microorganisms engaged in the methane cycle. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* **62**, 22–29 (2014).
- Ran, Y. Effect of Simulated Climate Change on Field CH₄ Fluxes and Related Microbes in the Soil (in Chinese). Zhejiang University p. 30 (2016).
- 35. Wu, H. *et al.* Effects of increased precipitation combined with nitrogen addition and increased temperature on methane fluxes in alpine meadows of the Tibetan Plateau. *Sci. Total Environ.* **705**, 135818 (2020).

- Smith, K., Thomson, P., Clayton, H., McTaggart, I. & Conen, F. Effects of temperature, water content and nitrogen fertilisation on emissions of nitrous oxide by soils. *Atmos. Environ.* 3, 3301–3309 (1998).
- Maag, M. & Vinther, F. Effects of temperature and water on gaseous emissions from soils treated with animal slurry. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 63, 858–865 (1999).
- Kamp, T., Steindl, H., Hantschel, R., Beese, F. & Munch, J. Nitrous oxide emissions from a fallow and wheat field as affected by increased soil temperatures. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 27, 307–314 (1998).
- Patil, R., Laegdsmand, M., Olesen, J. & Porter, J. Effect of soil warming and rainfall patterns on soil N cycling in Northern Europe. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 139, 195–205 (2010).
- Chatskikh, D., Olesen, J., Hansen, E., Elsgaard, L. & Petersen, B. Effects of reduced tillage on net greenhouse gas fluxes from loamy sand soil under winter crops in Denmark. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 128, 117–126 (2008).
- 41. Lobell, D., Schlenker, W. & Costa-Roberts, J. Climate trends and global crop production since 1980. *Science* **333**, 616–620 (2011). 42. Tao, F., Zhang, S. & Zhang, Z. Spatiotemporal changes of wheat phenology in China under the effects of temperature, day length
- and cultivar thermal characteristics. *Eur. J. Agron.* 43, 201–212 (2012).
 43. Chavas, D., Izaurralde, R., Thomson, A. & Gao, X. Long-term climate change impacts on agricultural productivity in eastern China. *Agr. For. Meteorol.* 149, 1118–1128 (2009).
- Barnard, R. et al. Several components of global change alter nitrifying and denitrifying activities in an annual grassland. Funct. Ecol. 20, 557–564 (2006).
- 45. Liu, W., Zhang, Z. & Wan, S. Predominant role of water in regulating soil and microbial respiration and their responses to climate change in a semiarid grassland. *Glob. Change Biol.* **15**, 184–195 (2009).
- Beier, C. et al. Novel approaches to study climate change effects on terrestrial ecosystems in the field: Drought and passive nighttime warming. Ecosystems 7, 583–597 (2004).
- Zhang, Y., Wang, H., Maucieri, C., Liu, S. & Zou, J. Annual nitric and nitrous oxide emissions response to biochar amendment from an intensive greenhouse vegetable system in southeast China. Sci. Hortic. 246, 879–886 (2019).
- 48. Wang, H. *et al.* Water-saving irrigation is a 'win-win' management strategy in rice paddies—with both reduced greenhouse gas emissions and enhanced water use efficiency. *Agric. Water Manag.* **228**, 105889 (2020).
- 49. Zhang, Y., Lin, F., Wang, X., Zou, J. & Liu, S. Annual accounting of net greenhouse gas balance response to biochar addition in a coastal saline bioenergy cropping system in China. *Soil Till. Res.* **158**, 39–48 (2016).
- Maucieri, C., Zhang, Y., McDaniel, M., Borin, M. & Adams, M. Short-term effects of biochar and salinity on soil greenhouse gas emissions from a semi-arid Australian soil after re-wetting. *Geoderma* 307, 267–276 (2017).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41701283, 31800412), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2019T120621, 2018M632760) and the Fund of Nanjing Institute of Technology (CKJB202006).

Author contributions

Y.Z. and F.L. conceptualized and conducted the experiments. Y. Z., W. S., C. M. and F. L. analyzed the data and wrote first draft of the manuscript. All authors subsequently contributed to editing and improving the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.Z. or F.L.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021