scientific reports



OPEN Urbanization favors the proliferation of Aedes aegypti and Culex quinquefasciatus in urban areas of Miami-Dade County, Florida

André B. B. Wilke^{1⊠}, Chalmers Vasquez², Augusto Carvajal², Maday Moreno², Douglas O. Fuller³, Gabriel Cardenas¹, William D. Petrie² & John C. Beier¹

Urbanization processes are increasing globally. Anthropogenic alterations in the environment have profound effects on biodiversity. Decreased biodiversity due to biotic homogenization processes as a consequence of urbanization often result in increased levels of mosquito vector species and vector-borne pathogen transmission. Understanding how anthropogenic alterations in the environment will affect the abundance, richness, and composition of vector mosquito species is crucial for the implementation of effective and targeted mosquito control strategies. We hypothesized that anthropogenic alterations in the environment are responsible for increasing the abundance of mosquito species that are adapted to urban environments such as Aedes aegypti and Culex quinquefasciatus. Therefore, our objective was to survey mosquito relative abundance, richness, and community composition in Miami-Dade County, Florida, in areas with different levels of urbanization. We selected 24 areas, 16 remote areas comprised of natural and rural areas, and 8 urban areas comprised of residential and touristic areas in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Mosquitoes were collected weekly in each area for 24 h for 5 consecutive weeks from August to October 2020 using BG-Sentinel traps baited with dry ice. A total of 36,645 mosquitoes were collected, from which 34,048 were collected in the remote areas and 2,597 in the urban areas. Our results show a clear and well-defined pattern of abundance, richness, and community composition according to anthropogenic modifications in land use and land cover. The more urbanized a given area the fewer species were found and those were primary vectors of arboviruses, Ae. aegypti and Cx. quinguefasciatus.

There are over one billion cases of mosquito-borne diseases reported worldwide every year¹. The epidemiology of mosquito-borne diseases is affected by how modifications of the natural environment alter the interactions between vector mosquito species, hosts, and pathogens². Anthropogenic changes in the environment in the form of climate change, urbanization, and biodiversity loss favor the proliferation of some vector mosquito species, such as Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus, and are important drivers for arbovirus transmission in urban areas³⁻¹¹.

The epidemiology of mosquito-borne diseases continues to be uncertain since the extent to which mosquito species are dispersing across spatiotemporal scales and how urbanization processes are affecting their presence and abundance remains unclear^{2,12,13}. Recent estimates have shown that 129 of the world's countries and territories have conducive environments for the proliferation of mosquito vector species and are at risk of dengue transmission^{1,14}.

Urbanization processes are ubiquitous in the contemporary world. Land use and land cover transformation to better suit the needs of the human population have led to the degradation of natural environments^{15,16}. The human footprint can be found in most areas of the planet, including uninhabited areas¹⁷⁻¹⁹. The human

¹Department of Public Health Sciences, Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, 1120 Northwest 14th Street, Miami, FL 33136, USA. ²Miami-Dade County Mosquito Control Division, Miami, FL, USA. ³Department of Geography and Regional Studies, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL, USA. Memail: axb1737@med.miami.edu

population continues to grow and is expected to reach 8.5 billion people in 2030, 10 billion in 2050, and 11 billion in 2100²⁰.

The urbanization of natural areas unavoidably leads to habitat fragmentation and shifts in resource availability¹⁵. The availability of resources in urban areas, and most importantly, the absence of resources that were available prior to their urbanization are responsible for either supporting or halting the proliferation of populations of vector mosquito species^{3,21-23}. Many vector mosquito species are abundant in natural areas but due to their ecology and behavior are unable to invade and colonize urban areas^{9,24}. On the other hand, mosquito vectors of anthroponotic vector-borne diseases represent a more pressing matter from the epidemiological standpoint due to their increased contact with humans^{25,26}. Therefore, understanding how mosquito community composition and abundance are impacted by urbanization is key for the development of effective mosquito control strategies.

Many drivers are responsible for the proliferation of mosquito vectors of anthroponotic vector-borne diseases in urban areas. A previous study showed that, in Africa, urbanization processes are increasing the preference of *Ae. aegypti* to humans, being mainly driven by two factors: dry season intensity and human population density²⁷. Furthermore, the levels of human exposure to mosquito vector species, especially in populations that spend a disproportioned amount of time outdoors such as the construction workforce²⁸, was found to be an important driver for Zika virus transmission^{29,30}. In this context, many socio-ecological drivers are important for mosquito proliferation in urban areas and play an important role in disease transmission^{31,32}. Low-income neighborhoods and underserved populations are often more vulnerable to bites of mosquito vectors and consequently are at a higher risk of being exposed to arboviruses^{33–35}.

Understanding the processes by which mosquito vector species adapt and thrive in urban environments is vital not only for the implementation of mosquito control strategies but also for improving and guiding policy to prevent outbreaks^{22,36,37}. This is especially true for arboviral diseases such as dengue, Zika, and chikungunya, which are transmitted primarily by mosquito vector species that can thrive in urban environments such as *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*^{38–40}.

Miami-Dade County, Florida has been the most affected county in the contiguous United States by *Aedes*borne diseases⁴¹⁻⁴⁶. Multiple introductions of the Zika virus to Miami-Dade in 2016⁴⁷, have led to 256 locally transmitted human cases⁴⁸, and in 2020, 6 cases of locally transmitted dengue virus were reported in Miami-Dade by the Florida Department of Health⁴⁹. Furthermore, the Florida Department of Health reported 59 human cases of West Nile virus in Miami-Dade in 2020⁵⁰, most of them reported in highly urbanized areas inhabited by underserved populations. Miami-Dade has suitable conditions for the proliferation of mosquitoes^{3,9}. It has a warm climate and abundant rainfall added to rapid urban development to accommodate growing immigrant populations as well as transient underserved populations⁵¹.

Vector mosquito species such as *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* are abundant in Miami-Dade yearround⁹, and are important mosquito vectors of arboviruses in urban areas⁵²⁻⁵⁴. These species are able to exploit and benefit from a vast range of widely available resources that are present in urban areas^{3,22,55}. Consistent with global population trends, current predictions indicate that approximately 700,000 people are expected to move to Miami-Dade by 2030⁵⁶. Accordingly, Miami-Dade is experiencing increased levels of urbanization, and many natural areas are being transformed into urban areas⁵⁷.

Understanding how such anthropogenic alterations in the environment will affect the abundance, richness, and composition of vector mosquito species is crucial for the implementation of effective and targeted mosquito control strategies. We hypothesized that urbanization processes are responsible for increasing the abundance of mosquito vectors of anthroponotic vector-borne diseases (e.g., Zika, chikungunya, dengue) by favoring mosquito species that are adapted to urban environments such as *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus*. Therefore, our objective was to assess differences in the mosquito community composition between urbanized and natural and rural areas in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Results

Mosquitoes were collected in 24 collection sites across Miami-Dade County, from which 16 were located in remote areas and 8 in urban areas. A total of 36,645 mosquitoes were collected, from which 34,048 were collected in the remote areas and 2,597 in the urban areas. A total of 26 species were collected in the remote sites and 20 in the urban sites. The species richness per collection site ranged from 8 to 19 species among the remote sites with an average of 11 species per site, whereas among the urban sites the species richness ranged from 3 to 18 with an average of 6 species per site. However, the urban site U8 is a new urban development and borders natural areas. If the urban site U8 had been removed from the analyses the species richness among the urban sites would have ranged from 3 to 7 species with an average of 5 species per site.

All species collected in the urban sites were also collected in the remote sites. However, the opposite was not true, *Aedes bahamensis, Anopheles atropos, Coquillettidia perturbans, Psorophora ferox, Uranotaenia lowii*, and *Uranotaenia sapphirina* were collected in the remote sites but not on the urban sites. The most abundant species in the remote areas were *Cx. nigripalpus* (13,338 females and 3 males), followed by *Anopheles crucians* (6,509 females and 7 males) and *Culex erraticus* (6,086 females and 18 males). On the other hand, the most abundant species in the urban areas were *Ae. aegypti* (762 females and 399 males), followed by *Cx. nigripalpus* (547 females and 7 males) and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* (247 females and 185 males) (Table 1).

The mean number of mosquitoes collected in the remote and urban collection sites revealed that most of the species were more commonly found in the remote areas. From the 26 species collected, only 5 species had a mean number higher than 20% in the urban areas, *Ae. aegypti, Ae. triseriatus, Cx. quinquefasciatus, Mansonia titillans,* and *Wyeomyia vanduzeei*. Furthermore, *Ae. aegypti* was the most commonly found species in urban areas with a mean value higher than 90%. On the other hand, *Ae. albopictus, An. crucians, Anopheles quadrimaculatus,* and *Cx. erraticus* were almost exclusively collected in the remote areas (Fig. 1).

	hrre			6	~	_	~	~	t0	2	10	~	~		5		~	48									~	45
Relative	s Abur	359	627	1,165	1,96	1,041	1,087	3,105	13,44	1,336	1,655	1,885	2,485	271	1,596	652	1,36	34,0	94	454	333	215	17	205	340	939	2,597	36,64
r Graties	Ridme	12	12	14	~	10	∞	10	13	6	~	6	~	14	19	13	12	26	4	5	3	9	ю	~	7	18	20	36
windizee	Ł								160	4					12			176	6					46	15	1	71	247
wy. mitchellii	н								80						2			82						_			_	83
oplurina																												
Ur. Iowii sa	F	8						1 1			4		5					9 1										9
ferex	щ			-											39			41										14
s adundiae																		8										6
tillans P.	F		4	15	-		5	2	9			2		8	4			6								1	5	6
ti ti	H		2						594 2	3 2	-				9		-	17 5								3 2	2	725 8
CUB.	F		÷						- v			3		2	94 4	62	15	176 7				1				1 &	2 8	178 7
faits	W			2		39	38						3		3	2	5	92	н	65	45	4		24	15	21	185	277
s Gqiqg	4 F	9	Ξ	e		15	19							6	9	~	ŝ	82	37	73	40	10	~	13	15	51	247	0 329
Cuigitalue	F A	119	52	567	946 1	735	800	1,814	7,092	164	24	18	17 1	85	583	142 1	180	13,338 3	7	_	_	_	2	3	2	530 7	547 7	13,885 1
Xittengetor	[7														14			14									~	9
tticus (M	e	5	-							9	e.			-			18								5	1	18
Grem	F	70	328	56	363	71		62	2,788	222	472	1,070	208	20	96	11	232	6,086							~	38	46	6,132
amtr	Ŀ	21									_		_	14	47	2	19	105		1						15	16	121
tertutars		2	2	_							_		_					10										10
untes	y																											
Argali	F 1		47		500	21	61 5	4	17	109		15		17	5	170	13	9 626								13	13	992 6
un. rucians	M	22	56	03	0	6 5	03 2	15	5	82	,078	16	,140	0	4	0	79	509 7								1	-	,520 7
atropos c	F	-	-	-	6	-	-	12 9	-	9	-	2	5	1	-	21 6	5	34 6								1	-	34 6
seriatus																								-			_	
rtilis tri	щ			9 2			\vdash							4	72 16	7 4	49	24 22						14	6	4	4 14	58 36
ntus to	MF			Ĭ					2					5	11	6,	Ň	8				-			5	3 1.	3 4:	3 84
s Attriby	н	-	9	12	16	29	33	277	69	137	68	56	115	35	465	98	17	1,434				2		2	48	36	88	1,522
Azifinat	н	2	_	2														8								-	-	6
Addunnis	F													14	=			25										25
Aadreas	EL.			328	÷	~		3	2,484			_				2		2,825								66	66	2,924
itte /	W	_		ъ .,	4	2		~)	.4					~		. 4	_	14 2								5		14
Aalup	н			16		12	-							14	б	7	~	56		-						4	ß	19
egypti	W	-		2	41	51	18		4			4		-			18	140	14	159	108	27		14	57	20	399	539
Ae a	H	~	2	4		29	5										17	62	16	154	139	169	4	88	151	38	762	824
												_	5	3	4	5	9	tal			_	-	10				tal	and tal



Figure 1. Relative proportion of mosquitoes collected at the remote and urban collection sites in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

.....

Our results indicate a robust pattern of mosquito relative abundance and species richness and composition between remote and urban collection sites. The remote collection sites had a higher species richness with many abundant species that were not commonly found in the urban collection sites such as *Aedes atlanticus*, *An. quadrimaculatus*, and *Cx. erraticus*. On the other hand, *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* were more commonly found in the urban collection sites. Furthermore, the species richness and abundance were higher in areas less impacted by urbanization, being inversely proportional to the presence of buildings and proximity to roads (Fig. 2).

The analysis of the percent composition of vector mosquito species in the remote and urban collection sites revealed that the urban collection sites had fewer and more dominant mosquito vector species than the remote collection sites. *Aedes aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* comprised most of the mosquitoes collected in the urban sites with *Ae. aegypti* being the most abundant species collected in these sites except collection site U8, which was located in a natural-urban transition zone. On the other hand, *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* were not collected in 6 of the remote sites and apart from the remote sites R4 and R5 were collected in negligible numbers in the remote collection sites. On the remote collection sites, *Cx. nigripalpus* was the dominant species but not as dominant as *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* in the urban collection sites. These results showed a clear pattern of species richness and relative abundance in both the remote and urban collection sites according to the environment and respective resource availability. The mosquito community composition was substantially different in remote and urban collection sites U1 and R2 and R3, U2 and R15 and R16, and U7 and R14 (Fig. 3).

The Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA) yielded significant results for the comparison between mosquito species composition in remote and urban areas (F = 8.707; P = 0.0001). The PERMANOVA analysis also revealed significant differences in the mosquito species composition in areas with different land uses (F = 3.205; P < 0.0003). The subsequent SIMPER (Similarity Percentage) analysis comparing remote and urban areas showed that *Cx. nigripalpus*, *An. crucians*, *Cx. erraticus*, and *Ae. aegypti* contributed the most to the observed differences, whereas in the analysis considering different land uses (*X. nigripalpus*, *An. crucians*, *Cx. erraticus*, and *Ae. atlanticus* contributed the most to the observed differences (Supplementary Table 2).

Results from the Moran's Index of Spatial Autocorrelation were not statistically significant failing to reject the null hypothesis, therefore, indicating that autocorrelation is not present in the samples analyzed here (Observed: -0.002; Expected: -0.043; Standard Deviation: 0.050; and *P*-value: 0.420). The Generalized Linear Mixed Methods (GLMM) regression results showed a statistically significant association between the Normalized Difference



Figure 2. Impact of urbanization on species richness and mosquito relative abundance. Left: Species richness relationship with NDVI, building footprint, and mean distance from roads (in meters); Right: Relative abundance relationship with NDVI, building footprint, and mean distance from roads (in meters).

Vegetation Index (NDVI) and the mean distance from major roads and their interaction with species richness (Table 2).

Species richness and relative abundance served as the dependent variables and were analyzed using a normal distribution for each urbanization measurement (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), 2-D Building Footprint, and the mean distance from major roads) and their interaction as independent variables, and week as a random effect with collection sites nested in time (weeks).

Discussion

Our results show a clear and well-defined pattern of abundance, richness, and community composition according to anthropogenic modifications in land use and land cover in Miami-Dade. The more urbanized a given area the fewer species were found and *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* were the most dominant species. Our study shows that land use and land cover transformation of natural areas into urban areas of South Florida can affect mosquito relative abundance, richness, and community composition by favoring mosquito vector species that are adapted to thrive in urban environments. As a consequence, human populations will have increased contact with mosquito vector species, especially *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, increasing the risk of vector-borne disease transmission.



Figure 3. Percent composition of vector mosquito species in remote and urban collection site locations in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Species were organized by (**A**) red = *Aedes*, blue = *Anopheles*, yellow = *Culex*, green = *Coquillettidia*, *Deinocerites*, *Mansonia*, *Psorophora*, *Uranotaenia*, and *Wyeomyia*; (**B**) red = *Aedes aegypti*, *Aedes albopictus*, *Culex coronator*, and *Culex quinquefasciatus*, green = all other species; (**C**) red = *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus*, green = all other species; (**D**) red = *Aedes aegypti*, green = all other species; (**E**) red = *Culex nigripalpus*, green = all other species; and (**F**) red = *Culex quinquefasciatus*, green = all other species. The figure was produced using ArcGIS 10.2 (Esri, Redlands, CA), using freely available layers from the Miami-Dade County's Open Data Hub—https://gis-mdc.opendata.arcgis.com/.

	Species Ric	hness		Relative Abundance		
Covariates	Wald Chi- Square	df	P value	Wald Chi-Square	df	P value
Model	0.277	1	0.598	7.603	1	0.01
NDVI	17.78	1	<.001	2.734	1	0.1
Building Footprint	0.527	1	0.468	0.211	1	0.65
Mean Distance from Roads	12.422	1	<.001	0.758	1	0.38
NDVI * Building Footprint	0.173	1	0.677	1.637	1	0.2
NDVI * Mean Distance from Roads	15.639	1	<.001	0.65	1	0.42
Building Footprint * Mean Distance from Roads	3.158	1	0.076	1.756	1	0.19

.....

Table 2. Generalized linear mixed methods (GLMM) regression results.

Our results also show that the community composition, species richness, and relative abundance were not related to the geographic proximity of the remote and urban collection sites. Even adjacent remote and urban collection sites had completely distinct mosquito community composition, species richness, and relative abundance. These results support the hypothesis that the availability of resources at the micro-geographic scale (i. e., neighborhood-level) is a major driver for the proliferation or decrease of populations of vector mosquito species according to their specific ecology and behavior^{22,58}. For example, both *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* were collected in relatively high numbers in the remote areas R4 and R5. The remote area R4 is a conservation

zone closed to the general public, however, an abandoned checkpoint flooded with rainwater was a potentially conducive habitat for the proliferation of *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus*. A similar scenario was observed in the remote area R5, in which the presence of a human-made ditch to divert rainwater also made available suitable habitats for these vector species.

The transformation of natural environments into urban spaces and the increased density of urban land cover surfaces (e.g., asphalt, concrete, etc.) within existing urbanized locations is a major driver for biodiversity loss^{6,59,60}. As a result, the steep increase in urbanization in the last decades has led to biodiversity loss on a global scale¹⁵.

In this context, the mosquito community composition is greatly affected by urbanization, in which mosquito abundance and species richness are significantly affected and tend to decrease proportionally with urbanization levels^{61–64}. However, some mosquito vector species can thrive in urban environments and greatly benefit from the resources made available by the rise of transient underserved populations, absence of natural predators, human-made aquatic habitats, increased human population density, and warmer temperatures due to global warming. *Aedes aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* are among those species that can thrive in urban areas, and coincidentally are the primary vectors of chikungunya, dengue, yellow fever, West Nile, and Zika viruses^{48,65–69}. This is in agreement with the results from the GLMM analysis, in which NDVI and mean distance from roads were significantly associated with reduced species richness.

Our results also revealed the presence of many mosquito vector species in the remote areas of Miami-Dade. *Anopheles quadrimaculatus*, the primary vector of human malaria in the southeast United States and *Cx. erraticus*, the bridge vector of Eastern Equine Encephalitis in the southern United States, were almost exclusively found in the remote areas and were only collected in high numbers in the urban area U8, a new urban development bordering natural areas. *Aedes albopictus* is not commonly found in urban areas in Miami-Dade County^{9,22}, and is relegated to restricted and well-defined areas such as cemeteries⁷⁰. Therefore, it was not unexpected that approximately 90% of *Ae. albopictus* specimens were collected in the remote areas. However, despite the epidemiological importance of these species, their distant relationship with humans and inability of invading and thriving in urban areas of Miami-Dade greatly decreases their relevance in disease transmission when compared with mosquito vectors of anthroponotic vector-borne diseases. In this context, as our results revealed, *Ae. aegypti* is considerably more abundant in urban areas and is the primary vector of arboviruses that use humans as amplification hosts without the need for bridge vectors and primary hosts, such as dengue and Zika, representing a much greater public health threat⁷¹.

Urbanization has a major impact on the epidemiology of vector-borne disease transmission. It not only provides all the resources necessary for the survival of vector mosquito species such as *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinque-fasciatus*, but also provides shelter from the elements. Urban features such as tire shops or underground subway stations may allow populations of vector mosquito species to survive scorching and freezing temperatures that normally would kill them^{72,73}. Furthermore, these highly productive urban environments that are responsible for the proliferation of vector mosquitoes are often located in populous areas, increasing, even more, the contact between humans and mosquito vectors^{28,70}.

The impact of urbanization in the proliferation of vector mosquito species and arbovirus transmission must be considered under the Integrated Vector Management (IVM) framework⁷⁴. Environmental ordinances and good practices, as well as simple modifications in the urban built environment at the early stages of development, can substantially decrease human exposure to mosquito vectors by attenuating social inequities and consequently social determinants of health^{75,76}.

Even though several new technologies for controlling vector mosquito species in urban areas are being developed (e.g., genetically modified mosquitoes and *Wolbachia*-infect mosquitoes), they have not been validated to be used on large scales under real-world conditions^{77,78}, and further entomological and epidemiological validation is still needed before they can be included and implemented under the IVM framework⁷⁹. In this context, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommendation to control vector mosquito populations relies on the removal of aquatic habitats for immature mosquitoes and targeted insecticide application when needed. However, the current levels of proliferation of vector mosquito species in urban areas mediated by an overabundance of resources^{3,9,22} make it virtually impossible to achieve the desired results of a safe mosquito abundance threshold to avoid arbovirus transmission⁸⁰.

This study is not without limitations. We did not collect data across all weather and season variations that would have brought further insight into the natural variation in the mosquito community composition and abundance. We have collected mosquitoes using BG-Sentinel traps, which are the gold standard for collecting *Aedes Stegomyia* species. Even though BG-Sentinel traps have been proven effective to collect other less anthropophilic mosquito species and the fact that we have collected 26 mosquito species during this study, including many species that are notably not anthropophilic, we may have underestimated the presence and relative abundance of mosquito species that are less attracted by the BG-Sentinel traps.

Conclusion

The relationships between mosquito vectors, human hosts, and pathogens are driven by environmental conditions. Vector-borne diseases are conditioned by the environment, and anthropogenic changes have a direct influence on their epidemiology⁷. Climate change and urbanization increase the risk of arbovirus transmission by increasing the presence and abundance of mosquito vector species, therefore, increasing their contact with human populations. The findings of this study shed light on the effect of urbanization on the community composition of mosquitoes by reducing species richness and increasing the abundance of *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* in a non-random process of biotic homogenization. Large urban areas hold diverse socio-ecological conditions that can be highly conducive to both mosquito vector proliferation and local arbovirus transmission. Miami-Dade is one of the most critical entry points into the United States, with an elevated influx of people arriving and departing from endemic areas. Miami-Dade is, therefore, a sentinel or harbinger of what other cities in the contiguous United States will experience this century with climate change, population growth, regional trade, and human movement. The findings from this study highlight the importance of understanding how anthropogenic changes in the environment create an overabundance of resources that are responsible for sustaining the invasion, spread, and colonization of urban areas by vector mosquito species.

Methods

Study design. In this study, we identified and selected 24 areas: (i) 16 remote areas with high normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) values. These areas were comprised of natural and rural areas with low population density or complete absence of humans, and no or minimum urban development allowed; and (ii) 8 urban areas with low NDVI values comprised of a university campus, and residential, and touristic areas with high human population density in Miami-Dade County, Florida (Fig. 4). We quantified the change in the NDVI obtained from Landsat satellite imagery mapped at 30 m spatial resolution. NDVI, which ranges from -1 to +1, provides a direct measurement of photosynthetic activity and is positively correlated with moisture availability, evapotranspiration, and vegetation biomass⁸¹. NDVI values less than or equal to ~0.1 are typically associated with urbanized surfaces (e.g., pavement, bare soils, and rooftops) or water bodies. Thus, decreases in NDVI through time may indicate vegetation loss or degradation associated with urban and suburban development characteristics of South Florida. For example, Al Rifat and Liu⁸² found that urbanization in Miami-Dade County advanced at a rate of 96.57 km² yr⁻¹ between 1996–2001 but had decreased to 11.45 km² yr⁻¹ between 2011–2016 as available land areas for development became increasingly limited. Further, their analysis revealed that urbanized surfaces in Miami-Dade County also became more compact (i.e., dense) since the 1990s⁸².

We selected a cloud-free, atmospherically corrected Landsat from 2017 from https://earthexplorer.usgs. gov/ during the month of November, which coincides with the approximate start of the dry season in South Florida^{82,83}. To assess vegetation conditions around the traps, we utilized GIS software to create 1-km buffers around each trap and calculated the mean and standard deviation (SD) of NDVI within 1-km radii, which coincides approximately with the maximum flight distance of many species collected at the traps. The NDVI for each trap buffer provides a measure of spatial variation in vegetation state around each trap. For traps that were proximate to the shore (i.e., within 1 km of a shoreline), we masked out any water portions of the radius so that only NDVI values from land areas were included in NDVI. The masking process reduced the sample area from 3.15 km² for inland locations (i.e., greater than 1 km from shorelines) to a minimum of 0.76 km² for one island site with an extensive shoreline (Table 3). Then, we used the same 1-km buffers around each trap to calculate the number of pixels with buildings in each buffer around the trap sites to create a 2-D Building Footprint as one measure of urban development. We also calculated the mean distance from major roads in meters for each of the buffers (i.e., mean for all the pixels that comprise a buffer) as a proxy for urbanization. The layers are freely available at the Miami-Dade County's Open Data Hub— https://gis-mdc.opendata.arcgis.com/.

Collection of mosquitoes. Mosquitoes were collected from August to October 2020 using BG-Sentinel traps (Biogents AG, Regensburg, Germany) baited with dry ice⁸⁴. Mosquitoes were collected weekly at each collection site for 24 h for 5 consecutive weeks. Traps were placed in shaded areas that were protected from direct solar radiation, wind, and precipitation to enhance mosquito collections. The collected mosquitoes were transported to the Miami-Dade County Mosquito Control Laboratory and subsequently morphologically identified to species using taxonomic keys⁸⁵.

Statistical analyses. To compare the mosquito species composition in remote and urban areas as well as in areas with different land uses we performed a PERMANOVA with 9,999 permutations based on Bray–Curtis distances^{86,87}. First, we subsetted the data into two groups to compare the mosquito species composition between rural and urban areas, and then we subsequently subsetted the data into 5 groups according to land use: natural, rural, university, residential, and touristic areas. Then we used the SIMPER method for assessing which species has contributed the most to the observed differences between groups of samples⁸⁸. Analyses were done using PAST v3.2⁸⁹.

We assessed spatial autocorrelation between samples using Moran's Index of Spatial Autocorrelation using ArcMap v10.5, in which failing to accept the alternative hypothesis indicates autocorrelation is not present in the data⁹⁰. We checked for multicollinearity between the covariates NDVI, 2-D Building Footprint, and the mean distance from major roads using the variance inflation factor (VIF) values. VIF values below 10.00 indicate the assumptions were met and the covariates were not colinear^{91,92}. There was no collinearity between the covariates, as the highest VIF value yielded 1.433 (Supplementary Table 3). We used the Eta squared (η^2) as the proportion of variance in the continuous target field explained by an effect to determine effect size⁹³ (Supplementary Table 4). Then, we performed a Generalized Linear Mixed Methods (GLMM) regression using a normal distribution considering species richness and relative abundance as the dependent variables and each urbanization measurement (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), 2-D Building Footprint, and the mean distance from major roads) and their interaction as independent variables, and week as a random effect with collection sites nested in time (weeks). Statistical analyses were done in SPSS V28.0.



Figure 4. Map showing the location of the remote and urban collection sites in Miami-Dade, Florida (latitude, 25.761681; longitude, -80.191788). The figure was produced using ArcGIS 10.2 (Esri, Redlands, CA) using freely available layers from the Miami-Dade County's Open Data Hub— https://gis-mdc.opendata.arcgis.com/.

.....

Collection Site	Location	Latitude	Longitude	Description	NDVI 2017	2-D Building Footprints	Mean Distance from Roads (m)
U1	Sweetwater	25.757452	- 80.376182	University	0.506	543	240
U2	Little River	25.844456	- 80.20358	Residential	0.465	648	90
U3	Wynwood	25.80472	- 80.196006	Touristic	0.479	868	89
U4	San Marino	25.791004	- 80.16252	Residential	0.334	176	254
U5	Convention Center	25.796003	- 80.135516	Touristic	0.544	907	86
U6	Vizcaya	25.744416	- 80.210485	Touristic	0.497	357	123
U7	Tarpon Dr	25.636065	- 80.297609	Residential	0.448	245	363
U8	Naranja	25.440493	- 80.48667	Residential	0.785	420	131
R1	Okeechobee	25.94113	- 80.43672	Natural	0.561	14	267
R2	West Doral	25.81421	- 80.44214	Natural	0.580	1	3,775
R3	Bird Road	25.72724	- 80.46365	Natural	0.481	166	611
R4	East Everglades	25.61732	- 80.58278	Natural	0.404	2	7,099
R5	Hainlin Mill	25.56462	- 80.54359	Rural	0.648	18	455
R6	Everglades Trail	25.47662	- 80.56225	Rural	0.610	6	2,016
R7	Southern Glades	25.35947	- 80.56582	Natural	0.706	8	4,012
R8	Alligator Farm	25.40366	- 80.50124	Rural	0.523	8	1,581
R9	Detention Center	25.37453	- 80.49284	Natural	0.411	0	2,898
R10	SW 137 Ave	25.40004	- 80.41216	Natural	0.421	0	3,390
R11	Card Sound	25.34158	- 80.41219	Natural	0.294	0	424
R12	Cooling Canals	25.38174	- 80.37111	Natural	0.400	0	5,892
R13	Air Force Base	25.48889	- 80.37162	Rural	0.330	3	1,733
R14	Black Point	25.55204	- 80.33118	Natural	0.535	161	424
R15	Oleta Park	25.915682	- 80.142643	Natural	0.652	119	393
R16	NW 207 St	25.96551	- 80.209737	Natural	0.564	413	154

Table 3. Description of the remote and urban collection sites in Miami-Dade, Florida.

Received: 6 April 2021; Accepted: 9 November 2021 Published online: 26 November 2021

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Vector-borne diseases. Available at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/dengue-and-severe-dengue (2020).
- Wilke, A. B. B., Beier, J. C. & Benelli, G. Complexity of the relationship between global warming and urbanization—an obscure future for predicting increases in vector-borne infectious diseases. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 35, 1–9 (2019).
- 3. Wilke, A. B. B. et al. Proliferation of Aedes aegypti in urban environments mediated by the availability of key aquatic habitats. Sci. Rep. 10, 12925 (2020).

- Wilke, A. B. B., Wilk-da-Silva, R. & Marrelli, M. T. Microgeographic population structuring of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). PLoS ONE 12, e0185150 (2017).
- 5. Gubler, D. J. Dengue, urbanization and globalization: The unholy trinity of the 21st Century. Trop. Med. Health 39, S3-S11 (2011).
- 6. Johnson, M. T. J. & Munshi-South, J. Evolution of life in urban environments. Science 358, 8327 (2017).
- 7. Zohdy, S., Schwartz, T. S. & Oaks, J. R. The coevolution effect as a driver of spillover. Trends Parasitol. 35, 399-408 (2019).
- 8. Rochlin, I., Faraji, A., Ninivaggi, D. V., Barker, C. M. & Kilpatrick, A. M. Anthropogenic impacts on mosquito populations in North America over the past century. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 13604 (2016).
- 9. Wilke, A. B. B. *et al.* Community composition and year-round abundance of vector species of mosquitoes make Miami-Dade County, Florida a receptive gateway for arbovirus entry to the United States. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 8732 (2019).
- Burkett-Cadena, N. D. & Vittor, A. Y. Deforestation and vector-borne disease: Forest conversion favors important mosquito vectors of human pathogens. *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 26, 101–110 (2018).
- 11. Rochlin, I., Harding, K., Ginsberg, H. S. & Campbell, S. R. Comparative analysis of distribution and abundance of West Nile and eastern equine encephalomyelitis virus vectors in Suffolk County, New York, using human population density and land use/cover data. *J. Med. Entomol.* **45**, 563–571 (2008).
- Monaghan, A. J. et al. Consensus and uncertainty in the geographic range of Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus in the contiguous United States: Multi-model assessment and synthesis. PLoS Comput. Biol. 15, 1–19 (2019).
- Wilke, A. B. B., Benelli, G. & Beier, J. C. Beyond frontiers: On invasive alien mosquito species in America and Europe. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 14, e0007864 (2020).
- 14. Kraemer, M. U. G. et al. The global compendium of Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus occurrence. Sci. Data 2, 150035 (2015).
- 15. Dirzo, R. et al. Defaunation in the anthropocene. Science 345, 401-406 (2014).
- 16. Lewis, S. L. & Maslin, M. A. Defining the anthropocene. Nature 519, 171-180 (2015).
- 17. Law, K. L. & Thompson, R. C. Microplastics in the seas. Science 345, 144-145 (2014).
- 18. Jambeck, J. R. et al. Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. Science 347, 768-771 (2015).
- 19. Turner, W. R., Oppenheimer, M. & Wilcove, D. S. A force to fight global warming. Nature 462, 278-279 (2009).
- United Nations. World population prospects 2019. Department of Economic and Social Affairs. World Population Prospects 2019. (2019).
- 21. Multini, L. C., de Souza, A. L. & da S., Marrelli, M. T. & Wilke, A. B. B., The influence of anthropogenic habitat fragmentation on the genetic structure and diversity of the malaria vector *Anopheles cruzii* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 18018 (2020).
- 22. Wilke, A. B. B. et al. Urbanization creates diverse aquatic habitats for immature mosquitoes in urban areas. Sci. Rep. 9, 15335 (2019).
- Pernat, N., Kampen, H., Jeschke, J. M. & Werner, D. Buzzing homes: Using citizen science data to explore the effects of urbanization on indoor mosquito communities. *Insects* 12, 1–13 (2021).
- Blosser, E. M. & Burkett-cadena, N. D. Acta Tropica Culex (Melanoconion) panocossa from peninsular Florida, USA. Acta Trop. 167, 59–63 (2017).
- 25. Bhatt, S. et al. The global distribution and burden of dengue. Nature 496, 504-507 (2013).
- Sun, K. *et al.* Quantifying the risk of local Zika virus transmission in the contiguous US during the 2015–2016 ZIKV epidemic. BMC Med. 16, 195 (2018).
- 27. Rose, N. H. et al. Climate and urbanization drive mosquito preference for humans. Curr. Biol. 30, 3570-3579.e6 (2020).
- 28. Wilke, A. B. B. et al. Mosquito adaptation to the extreme habitats of urban construction sites. Trends Parasitol. 35, 607-614 (2019).
- 29. Ajelli, M. et al. Host outdoor exposure variability affects the transmission and spread of Zika virus: Insights for epidemic control. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 11, e0005851 (2017).
- Mutebi, J.-P. et al. Zika virus MB16-23 in mosquitoes, Miami-Dade County, Florida, USA, 2016. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 24, 808–810 (2018).
- Little, E. et al. Socio-ecological mechanisms supporting high densities of Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) in Baltimore, MD. J. Med. Entomol. 54, 1183–1192 (2017).
- Burkett-Cadena, N. D., McClure, C. J. W., Estep, L. K. & Eubanks, M. D. What drives the spatial distribution of mosquitoes?. Ecosphere 4, 1-16 (2013).
- LaDeau, S. L., Leisnham, P. T., Biehler, D. & Bodner, D. Higher mosquito production in low-income neighborhoods of Baltimore and Washington, DC: Understanding ecological drivers and mosquito-borne disease risk in temperate cities. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 10, 1505–1526 (2013).
- 34. Dowling, Z. et al. Linking mosquito infestation to resident socioeconomic status, knowledge, and source reduction practices in Suburban Washington, DC. EcoHealth 10, 36–47 (2013).
- Scavo, N. A., Barrera, R., Reyes-Torres, L. J. & Yee, D. A. Lower socioeconomic status neighborhoods in Puerto Rico have more diverse mosquito communities and higher *Aedes aegypti* abundance. J. Urban Ecol. 7, 1–11 (2021).
- 36. Trewin, B. J. et al. The elimination of the dengue vector, Aedes aegypti, from Brisbane, Australia: The role of surveillance, larval habitat removal and policy. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 11, e0005848 (2017).
- Multini, L. C., de Souza, A. L. & da S., Marrelli, M. T. & Wilke, A. B. B., Population structuring of the invasive mosquito Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) on a microgeographic scale. PLoS ONE 14, e0220773 (2019).
- Leta, S. et al. Global risk mapping for major diseases transmitted by Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 67, 25–35 (2018).
- 39. Benelli, G., Wilke, A. B. B. & Beier, J. C. Aedes albopictus (Asian Tiger Mosquito). Trends Parasitol. 36, 942-943 (2020).
- Benelli, G. & Mehlhorn, H. Declining malaria, rising of dengue and Zika virus: Insights for mosquito vector control. *Parasitol. Res.* 115, 1747–1754 (2016).
- Danauskas, J. X., Ehrenkranz, N. J., Davies, J. E. & Pond, W. L. Arboviruses and human disease in South Florida. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 15, 205–210 (1966).
- 42. Gill, J., Stark, L. M. & Clark, G. G. Dengue surveillance in Florida, 1997-98. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 6, 30-35 (2000).
- 43. Rey, J. Dengue in Florida (USA). Insects 5, 991-1000 (2014).
- Vitek, C. J., Richards, S. L., Mores, C. N., Day, J. F. & Lord, C. C. Arbovirus transmission by *Culex nigripalpus* in Florida, 2005. J. Med. Entomol. 45, 483–493 (2008).
- Messenger, A. M. et al. Serological evidence of ongoing transmission of dengue virus in permanent residents of Key West, Florida. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 14, 783–787 (2014).
- 46. Patterson, K. D. Yellow fever epidemics and mortality in the United States, 1693–1905. Soc. Sci. Med. 34, 855–865 (1992).
- Grubaugh, N. D. *et al.* Genomic epidemiology reveals multiple introductions of Zika virus into the United States. *Nature* 546, 401–405 (2017).
- Likos, A. et al. Local mosquito-borne transmission of zika virus—Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, Florida, June–August 2016. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 65, 1032–1038 (2016).
- Florida Department of Health. Available at: http://www.floridahealth.gov/diseases-and-conditions/mosquito-borne-diseases/_ documents/week52arbovirusreport-12-31-16.pdf (2016).
- Florida Department of Health. Available at: http://www.floridahealth.gov/diseases-and-conditions/mosquito-borne-diseases/_ documents/alert-dade-wnv-human-10-19-20.pdf (2020)
- 51. Wilke, A. B. B. et al. Local conditions favor dengue transmission in the contiguous United States. Entomol. Gen. 41, 523-529 (2021).

- Alto, B. W., Connelly, C. R., O'Meara, G. F., Hickman, D. & Karr, N. Reproductive biology and susceptibility of Florida Culex coronator to infection with West Nile virus. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 14, 606–614 (2014).
- Honório, N. A., Wiggins, K., Câmara, D. C. P., Eastmond, B. & Alto, B. W. Chikungunya virus vector competency of Brazilian and Florida mosquito vectors. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 12, 1–16 (2018).
- Richards, S. L., Anderson, S. L. & Lord, C. C. Vector competence of *Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) for West Nile virus isolates from Florida. *Trop. Med. Int. Heal.* 19, 610–617 (2014).
- Hribar, L. J., Smith, J. M., Vlach, J. J. & Verna, T. N. Survey of container-breeding mosquitoes from the Florida Keys, Monroe County, Florida. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 17, 245–248 (2001).
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. Growing for a sustainable future: Miami-Dade County urban development boundary assessment. Available at: http://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/pdf/Miami-Dade_Final_Report_12-12-12.pdf (2012).
- 57. Miami-Dade County Building Permits. Available at, http://www.miamidade.gov/permits/.
- 58. Wilke, A. B. B., Carvajal, A., Vasquez, C., Petrie, W. D. & Beier, J. C. Urban farms in Miami-Dade County, Florida have favorable environments for vector mosquitoes. *PLoS ONE* 15, e0230825 (2020).
- 59. Reba, M., Reitsma, F. & Seto, K. C. Spatializing 6,000 years of global urbanization from 3700 BC to AD 2000. Sci. Data 3, 1–16 (2016).
- 60. Ceretti-Júnior, W. et al. Mosquito faunal survey in a central park of the city of São Paulo, Brazil. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 31, 172–176 (2015).
- 61. Ferraguti, M. *et al.* Effects of landscape anthropization on mosquito community composition and abundance. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 29002 (2016).
- 62. Zahouli, J. B. Z. *et al.* Effect of land-use changes on the abundance, distribution, and host-seeking behavior of *Aedes* arbovirus vectors in oil palm-dominated landscapes, southeastern Côte d'Ivoire. *PLoS ONE* **12**, e0189082 (2017).
- Westby, K. M., Adalsteinsson, S. A., Biro, E. G., Beckermann, A. J. & Medley, K. A. Aedes albopictus populations and larval habitat characteristics across the landscape: Significant differences exist between urban and rural land use types. Insects 12, 196 (2021).
- Estallo, E. L. et al. Modelling the distribution of the vector Aedes aegypti in a central Argentine city. Med. Vet. Entomol. 32, 451–461 (2018).
- 65. Messina, J. P. et al. A global compendium of human dengue virus occurrence. Sci. Data 1, 140004 (2014).
- 66. Cunha, M. S. *et al.* Epizootics due to yellow fever virus in São Paulo State, Brazil: viral dissemination to new areas (2016–2017). *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 5474 (2019).
- Ronca, S. E., Murray, K. O. & Nolan, M. S. Cumulative incidence of West Nile virus infection, continental United States, 1999–2016. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 25, 325–327 (2019).
- Poletti, P. et al. Transmission potential of chikungunya virus and control measures: The case of Italy. PLoS ONE 6, e18860 (2011).
 Wilk-da-Silva, R. & de Souza Leal Diniz, M. M. C., Marrelli, M. T. & Wilke, A. B. B., Wing morphometric variability in Aedes
- *aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) from different urban built environments. *Parasit. Vectors* **11**, 561 (2018). 70. Wilke, A. B. B. *et al.* Cemeteries in Miami-Dade County, Florida are important areas to be targeted in mosquito management and
- Wilke, A. B. B. et al. Cometeries in Miami-Dade County, Florida are important areas to be targeted in mosquito management and control efforts. PLoS ONE 15, e0230748 (2020).
- 71. Weaver, S. C. Urbanization and geographic expansion of zoonotic arboviral diseases: Mechanisms and potential strategies for prevention. *Trends Microbiol.* **21**, 360–363 (2013).
- 72. Wilke, A. B. B., Vasquez, C., Petrie, W. & Beier, J. C. Tire shops in Miami-Dade County, Florida are important producers of vector mosquitoes. *PLoS ONE* 14, 2 (2019).
- Kothera, L., Godsey, M., Mutebi, J. P. & Savage, H. M. A comparison of aboveground and belowground populations of *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae) mosquitoes in Chicago, Illinois, and New York City, New York, using microsatellites. *J. Med. Entomol.* 47, 805–813 (2010).
- 74. World Health Organization. Handbook for Integrated Vector Management (World Health Organization, 2012).
- Lizzi, K. M., Qualls, W. A., Brown, S. C. & Beier, J. C. Expanding Integrated Vector Management to promote healthy environments. Trends Parasitol. 30, 394–400 (2014).
- Souza, R. L. et al. Effect of an intervention in storm drains to prevent Aedes aegypti reproduction in Salvador, Brazil. Parasit. Vectors 10, 1–6 (2017).
- 77. Wilke, A. B. B., Beier, J. C. & Benelli, G. Transgenic mosquitoes-Fact or fiction?. Trends Parasitol. 34, 456-465 (2018).
- Beier, J. C., Wilke, A. B. B. & Benelli, G. Newer approaches for malaria vector control and challenges of outdoor transmission. *Towards Malaria Elimination - A Leap Forward* https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.75513 (2018).
- 79. World Health Organization. Tenth Meeting of the WHO Vector Control Advisory Group. (2019).
- Wilke, A. B. B. et al. Effectiveness of adulticide and larvicide in controlling high densities of Aedes aegypti in urban environments. PLoS ONE 16, e0246046 (2021).
- Vicente-Serrano, S. M. et al. Response of vegetation to drought time-scales across global land biomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110, 52–57 (2013).
- Rifat, S. A. & Al & Liu, W., Quantifying spatiotemporal patterns and major explanatory factors of urban expansion in Miami metropolitan area during 1992–2016. *Remote Sens.* 11, 2493 (2019).
- Fuller, D. O. & Wang, Y. Recent trends in satellite vegetation index observations indicate decreasing vegetation biomass in the southeastern saline Everglades wetlands. Wetlands 34, 67–77 (2014).
- Wilke, A. B. B. et al. Assessment of the effectiveness of BG-Sentinel traps baited with CO₂ and BG-Lure for the surveillance of vector mosquitoes in Miami-Dade County. Florida. PLoS One 14, e0212688 (2019).
- Darsie, R. F. Jr. & Morris, C. D. Keys to the adult females and fourth-instar larvae of the mosquitoes of Florida (Diptera, Culicidae). 1st ed. Vol. 1. Tech Bull Florida Mosq Cont Assoc (2000).
- Anderson, M. J. Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA). Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online. 1–15 (2017) DOI:https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118445112.stat07841.
- Alencar, J. et al. Culicidae community composition and temporal dynamics in Guapiaçu ecological reserve, Cachoeiras de Macacu, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. PLoS ONE 10, 1–16 (2015).
- 88. Clarke, K. R. Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. Austral Ecol. 18, 117-143 (1993).
- Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. T. T. & Ryan, P. D. PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontol. Electron. 4, 9 (2001).
- Ryan, P. A., Lyons, S. A., Alsemgeest, D., Thomas, P. & Kay, B. H. Spatial statistical analysis of adult mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) counts: An example using light trap data, in Redland Shire, southeastern Queensland, Australia. J. Med. Entomol. 41, 1143–1156 (2004).
- 91. O'Brien, R. M. A caution regarding rules of thumb for variance inflation factors. Qual. Quant. 41, 673-690 (2007).
- 92. Wilke, A. B. B., Medeiros-Sousa, A. R., Ceretti-Junior, W. & Marrelli, M. T. Mosquito populations dynamics associated with climate variations. *Acta Trop.* 166, 343–350 (2016).
- 93. Cohen, J. Eta-squared and partial eta-squared in fixed factor ANOVA designs. Educ. Psychol. Meas. 33, 107-112 (1973).

Acknowledgements

We thank the staff of the Miami-Dade County Mosquito Control Division for their help in the processing and identification of the mosquitoes.

Author contributions

A.B.B.W., C.V., D.O.F., J.C.B. conceived of and designed the study. A.B.B.W., and C.V., were responsible for the mosquito collection. M.M. was responsible for the taxonomic identification. A.B.B.W., D.O.F., developed the study methodology and data analysis methodologies. A.B.B.W. and D.O.F., collected and analyzed the data and prepared the original figures. G.C. was responsible for the statistical analyses. A.B.B.W. wrote the original draft of the paper. All authors contributed to reviewing and editing the paper. C.V., J.C.B., W.D.P. were responsible for the project administration, funding acquisition, resources, supervision and validation of this study.

Funding

This research was supported by the Miami-Dade Mosquito Control Division and by the CDC (https://www.cdc.gov/) grant 1U01CK000510-05: Southeastern Regional Center of Excellence in Vector-Borne Diseases: The Gateway Program. CDC had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-021-02061-0.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.B.B.W.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021