scientific reports



OPEN Primary central nervous system lymphomas express immunohistochemical factors of autophagy

Georgia Karpathiou¹[∞], Silvia-Maria Babiuc², Florian Camy¹, Elise Ferrand¹, Alexandra Papoudou-Bai³, Jean Marc Dumollard¹, Jerome Cornillon² & Michel Peoc'h¹

Primary central nervous system lymphoma (PCNSL) is an aggressive and rare disease. Autophagy is a catabolic mechanism boosting various tumors, including lymphomas; its inhibition is thus a promising therapeutic target. Its presence has never been studied in PCNSLs. We conducted a retrospective immunohistochemical study of 25 PCNSLs for LC3B, p62, and M6PR, comparing it with clinicopathological characteristics. Fourteen (56%) and eleven (44%) PCNSLs were of low and high LC3B expression, respectively. p62 expression was present in most tumors (n = 21, 84%). M6PR was present in all tumors, with 14 (56%) and 11 (44%) cases being of low and high M6PR expression, respectively. LC3B expression was correlated with the performance status (PS) (p = 0.04). No association was found with other clinical parameters, such as deep structure invasion, multiple lesions, complete response, and recurrence after response. p62 showed a strong positive association with MUM1 expression (p = 0.0005). M6PR expression showed a positive correlation (p = 0.04) with PD-L1 expression. No association was found with p53, Ki67, CD8, BCL2, BCL6, or double MYC/BLC2 co-expressors. No association of LC3B, p62, and M6PR expression with survival was found. Our findings provide evidence for the possible presence of autophagic markers in PCNSLs and, thus, for possible treatment targets.

Primary diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) of the central nervous system (CNS) is an aggressive and rare lymphoma of the brain, spinal cord, leptomeninges, or eye¹. It accounts for < 1% of all non-Hodgkin lymphomas and around 3% of all brain tumors, with an overall annual incidence rate of 0.47/100,000 population¹. Patients with this rare lymphoma type show a median overall survival time of 37 months, with 1-, 2-, and 5-year survival rates of 76%, 63%, and 37%, respectively².

Autophagy is a mechanism leading to the isolation and degradation of intracellular damaged constituents, occurring at basal levels in healthy cells³. Enhanced autophagy seems to aid tumor cells by providing them with recycled metabolic nutrients and by eliminating cellular debris³. Thus, the inhibition of autophagy in various tumor types, including lymphomas, may be a therapeutic target³. The autophagic machinery involves several proteins that create a vesicle called an autophagosome, isolating material inside the cytoplasm which is then fused with lysosomes for degradation^{4,5}. There are autophagy receptors that bind to cargos and lead them to the autophagic vesicles by interacting with LC3—the principal component of the autophagosome—with the best studied being sequestosome 1 (SQSTM1)/p62^{4,5}. p62, along with the autophagic cargo, is degraded; thus, reduced levels of p62 are considered a surrogate marker of activated autophagy^{4,5}. Thus, the two molecules LC3 and p62 are often used together to assess autophagy: LC3 as a surrogate marker of autophagic vesicles and p62 as a surrogate marker of autophagic degradation and, thus, of the completed pathway⁵. The cation-independent mannose-6-phosphate receptor (M6PR) is the prototypical lysosome-targeting receptor and the main endosomal marker associated with the autophagic machinery⁶. To the best of our knowledge, the presence of autophagy has never been studied in PCNSL. Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the possible presence of autophagic markers in PCNSLs and to compare it with the clinicopathological prognostic features of this disease.

¹Pathology Department, University Hospital of Saint-Etienne, 42055 CEDEX2 St-Etienne, France. ²Hematology and Cell Therapy Department, Lucien Neuwirth Cancer Institute, Saint Etienne, France. ³Pathology Department, University Hospital of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece. [⊠]email: gakarpath@yahoo.gr

Materials and methods

In this single-center retrospective cohort study, we included all consecutive patients with histologically confirmed primary CNS DLBCL who were diagnosed between 2007 and 2015 and categorized according to the 2017 World Health Organization (WHO) criteria¹ by a specialized hematopathologist (MP). Patients with systemic disease prior to or synchronous with the PCNSL (n = 73), with insufficient data for formally excluding systemic disease (n = 46), or with insufficient histologic material (n = 16) were excluded, leading to a final cohort of 25 patients.

The clinicopathological characteristics of this cohort have been previously published⁷: the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) score was used as a prognostic model². According to this model, patients \leq 50 years of age (group A) have the best prognosis, followed by patients older than 50 years with a KPS of \geq 70 (group B), and finally, patients older than 50 years with a KPS of < 70 (group C) show the worst prognosis². Invasion of deep brain structures, defined as the periventricular regions, basal ganglia, corpus callosum, brainstem, and/or cerebellum, and multiplicity of the lesions were also recorded⁸.

Baseline immunohistochemical features with prognostic features according to previous studies were also recorded: The immunohistochemical classification scheme developed by Hans et al. for systemic DLBCL subdividing tumors into germinal center B-cell-like (GCB) and non-germinal-center-like (ABC-activated B cell) based on the expression patterns for CD10, BCL6, and MUM1 was used⁹. BCL2 and MYC expression levels (double co-expressors were defined by BCL2 \geq 70% and MYC \geq 30% of tumor cells¹⁰) were also assessed due to their prognostic significance in this tumor type^{10,11}. Similarly, given the importance of the immune microenvironment in PCNSLs¹², PD-L1 (22C3, Dako Agilent) and CD8 expression were analyzed: the percentage of PD-L1 membranous staining of tumor cells and the percentage of CD8 tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes were recorded¹³.

Immunohistochemistry for the autophagic markers was performed on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded 4 µm thick full tumor sections using an automated staining system (OMNIS, Dako-Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The primary antibodies used were: LC3B (Rabbit monoclonal, ab192890, abcam, dilution 1/1000, pH 6, 20 min), SQSTM1/p62 (Rabbit monoclonal, ab109012, abcam, dilution 1/2000, pH 6, 20 min), and M6PR (cation independent) (Rabbit monoclonal, ab124767, abcam, dilution 1/2000, pH 6, 20 min). Positive immunoreactions were visualized using 3,3'-diaminobenzidine as the chromogenic substrate. The antibodies were initially tested in a large variety of normal and neoplastic tissues to decide the best immunohistochemical protocol giving no background staining and a range of staining intensities¹⁴. Thereafter, nerve fibers and normal tonsillar tissue were used as positive controls for LC3B and p62¹⁵, respectively, as well as tonsillar tissue for M6PR, while omission of the primary antibody was used as a negative control¹⁴. Normal brain tissue also served as an internal positive control for LC3B and p62 in the current series. The intensity and percentage of the immunohistochemical staining of each case were recorded. LC3B¹⁵ and M6PR staining was presented as cytoplasmic punctae and according to the density of dots per cell; it was recorded as negative (intensity score 0, no staining or ≤ 10 dots per cell), mild (intensity score 1, 11–20 dots per cell), moderate (intensity score 2, >20 dots per cell without clusters), or strong (intensity score 3, > 20 dots per cell with clusters). The intensity of p62 staining was recorded as negative, weak, moderate, or strong¹⁶. The percentage of cells positive for LC3B and M6PR was recorded from 0 to 100% and presented as the H score (percentage of positive cells multiplied by the intensity). Staining for p62 was uniform across all the cells of the same tumor, and both a four-tiered system (negative, weak, moderate, and strong) and a two-tiered system (negative/mild/moderate vs. strong¹⁶) were used for statistical analyses. We further combined the immunoexpressions of LC3B and p62 into basal autophagy characterized by low LC3B and low or high p62, activated autophagy showing high LC3B and low p62, and activated but then blocked autophagy, showing high LC3B and high p62 expression^{5,15}.

Data were analyzed using the StatView software (Abacus Concepts, Berkley, California): we used the x^2 test to explore the relationship between two groups (categorical data), factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) to explore the relationship between a factor (categorical data) and a continuous parameter, and simple regression analysis between two continuous parameters. We considered immunohistochemical factors both as continuous variables and as ordinal variables, using their mean value as the cut-off level. Survival probability was estimated via Kaplan–Meier analysis with log-rank product limit estimation. For all analyses, statistical significance was indicated at a p value of < 0.05.

Results

Clinical data. The current cohort (Table 1), as also previously described⁷, included 12 (48%) female and 13 (52%) male patients with a median age at diagnosis of 66 years (35–85 years). None of the patients showed HIV infection. Performance status was \geq 70 in 12 (48%) patients, and the MSKCC score was of group A in 3 patients (12%), group B in 10 (40%) patients, and group C in 12 (48%). The invasion of deep structures and multiplicity were seen in 16 (64%) and 9 (36%) patients, respectively. Complete response was seen in 11 patients (47.8%), and recurrence occurred in 4 of them (36.3%). Fourteen patients died of the disease during follow-up, which ranged from 1 to 108 months (median 24 months). Overall survival (log-rank) ranged from 1 to 108 months with a median of 36 months. These demographic data are generally in line with those reported in the literature^{2,8}.

Immunohistochemical findings. Regarding the baseline characteristics of the lymphomas (Table 1), 70.8% of the tumors expressed MUM1, 65.2% expressed BCL2, and 72.7% expressed BCL6 (Fig. 1). Most cases (n = 18, 75%) were of the ABC type. All lymphomas were CD10 negative. Only three (12%) were double C-MYC/BCL2 co-expressors according to the aforementioned cut-off value. PD-L1 tumor cell expression (Fig. 2) was found in 19 tumors (76%); it showed a median of 10% (range 0–90) expression, with 9 tumors (36%) showing a positivity of at least 30% of tumor cells. These characteristics are in line with their reported frequency in previous studies^{11,12,17}.

n, %
35-85
63.7±12.6
66
3, 12%
22, 88%
12, 48%
13, 52%
12, 48%
13, 52%
3,12%
10, 40%
12, 48%
,
16.64%
9, 36%
2,0070
9 36%
16 6404
10, 04%
11 47 90/
11, 47.8%
12, 52.2%
4.04.00/
4, 36.3%
7, 63.6%
1
17, 70.8%
7, 29.2%
15,65.2%
8, 34.8%
8, 34.8%
8, 34.8%
8, 34.8% 0 25, 100%
8, 34.8% 0 25, 100%
8, 34.8% 0 25, 100% 16, 72.7%
8, 34.8% 0 25, 100% 16, 72.7% 6, 27.3%
0 25, 100% 16, 72.7% 6, 27.3%
0 25, 100% 16, 72.7% 6, 27.3% 0-80
0 25, 100% 16, 72.7% 6, 27.3% 0-80 15.4 ± 18
0 25, 100% 16, 72.7% 6, 27.3% 0-80 15.4 ± 18 10
8, 34.8% 0 25, 100% 16, 72.7% 6, 27.3% 0-80 15.4±18 10
8, 34.8% 0 25, 100% 16, 72.7% 6, 27.3% 0-80 15.4 ± 18 10 0-100
0 25, 100% 16, 72.7% 6, 27.3% 0-80 15.4 ± 18 10 0-100 26 ± 30.2
0 25, 100% 16, 72.7% 6, 27.3% 0-80 15.4±18 10 0-100 26±30.2 10
$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 8, 34.8\%\\ \hline \\ 0\\ 25, 100\%\\ \hline \\ 16, 72.7\%\\ 6, 27.3\%\\ \hline \\ 0-80\\ 15.4\pm18\\ 10\\ \hline \\ 0-100\\ 26\pm30.2\\ 10\\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 8, 34.8\%\\ \hline \\ 0\\ 25, 100\%\\ \hline \\ 16, 72.7\%\\ 6, 27.3\%\\ \hline \\ 0-80\\ 15.4\pm18\\ 10\\ \hline \\ 0-100\\ 26\pm30.2\\ 10\\ \hline \\ 1-50\\ \hline \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 25,100\%\\ \hline \\ 16,72.7\%\\ 6,27.3\%\\ \hline \\ 0-80\\ 15.4\pm18\\ 10\\ \hline \\ 0-100\\ 26\pm30.2\\ 10\\ \hline \\ 1-50\\ 11.2\pm11.9\\ \hline \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & \\ &$
$\begin{array}{c c} & 0 \\ & 8, 34.8\% \\ \hline \\ & 0 \\ & 25, 100\% \\ \hline \\ & 16, 72.7\% \\ & 6, 27.3\% \\ \hline \\ & 0-80 \\ & 15.4 \pm 18 \\ & 10 \\ \hline \\ & 0-100 \\ & 26 \pm 30.2 \\ & 10 \\ \hline \\ & 1-50 \\ & 11.2 \pm 11.9 \\ & 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 25,100\%\\ \hline \\ 16,72.7\%\\ 6,27.3\%\\ \hline \\ 0-80\\ 15.4\pm 18\\ 10\\ \hline \\ 0-100\\ 26\pm 30.2\\ 10\\ \hline \\ 1-50\\ 11.2\pm 11.9\\ 10\\ \hline \\ 0-0.26\\ \hline \\ 0,02\\ \hline \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 25, 100\%\\ \hline \\ 0\\ 25, 100\%\\ \hline \\ 16, 72.7\%\\ 6, 27.3\%\\ \hline \\ 0-80\\ 15.4 \pm 18\\ 10\\ \hline \\ 0-100\\ 26 \pm 30.2\\ 10\\ \hline \\ 1-50\\ 11.2 \pm 11.9\\ 10\\ \hline \\ 0-90\\ 27, 5 = 57\\ \hline \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 8, 34.8\%\\ \hline \\ 0\\ 25, 100\%\\ \hline \\ 16, 72.7\%\\ 6, 27.3\%\\ \hline \\ 0-80\\ 15.4\pm 18\\ 10\\ \hline \\ 0-100\\ 26\pm 30.2\\ 10\\ \hline \\ 1-50\\ 11.2\pm 11.9\\ 10\\ \hline \\ 0-90\\ 27.6\pm 33.4\\ \hline \end{array}$

Parameter	n, %								
Ki67									
Range	15-80								
Mean	46.5 ± 13.6								
Median	50								
Germinal center (GC)-type lymphoma (n=24)									
GC type	6, 25%								
ABC type	18, 75%								
Double co-expressors MYC/BCL2									
Yes	3, 12%								
No	22, 88%								

Table 1. Clinical and immunohistochemical features of the lymphomas studied. n = 25 unless otherwisespecified.



Figure 1. Representative microscopic images of two different PCNSLs diagnosed in two patients with MSKCC score C. All magnifications correspond to × 400 and represent the same focus between the morphological and the immunohistochemical images. (A) Hematoxylin, eosin, safran section. (B) MYC expression. (C) BCL6 expression. (D) Hematoxylin, eosin, safran section. (E) MYC expression.



Figure 2. Representative images of PD-L1 expression. (**A**) Higher expression in a patient diagnosed with PCNSL MSKCC B group (×400). (**B**) Lower expression in a patient diagnosed with PCNSL MSKCC C group (×400).

The results for LC3B, p62, and M6PR expression are shown in Table 2. LC3B expression (Figs. 3, 4) was found in 15 (60%) tumors with a median H score of 30 (range 0–300) and a mean of 79.6 ± 102 . Using the mean as a cut-off value, 14 (56%) lymphomas were of low expression, and 11 (44%) were of high expression. p62 expression (Figs. 5, 6) was present in most tumors (n = 21, 84%), being mild, moderate, and strong in 12%, 12%, and 60% of the cases, respectively. M6PR (Fig. 7) was present in all tumors, with H scores ranging from 10 to 300 (median

Parameter	N, %								
LC3B H score									
Range	0-300								
Mean	79.6±102								
Median	30								
LC3B group (cut-off: mean value)									
Low	14, 56%								
High	11,44%								
p62									
Negative	4, 16%								
Mild	3, 12%								
Moderate	3, 12%								
Strong	15,60%								
M6PR H score									
Range	10-300								
Mean	155.6 ± 110.4								
Median	150								
M6PR group (cut-off: mean value)									
Low	14, 56%								
High	11,44%								
Autophagic status group									
Basal	14, 56%								
High	3, 12%								
Blocked	8, 32%								

 Table 2. Immunohistochemical findings of the autophagic pathway studied.



Figure 3. Representative images of LC3B expression. (A) Lower expression in a patient diagnosed with PCNSL MSKCC B group (×400). (B) Higher expression in a patient diagnosed with PCNSL MSKCC C group (×400).



Figure 4. Representative morphological images. (**A**) Hematoxylin, eosin, safran section of the PCNSL shown in Fig. 3A (×400). (**B**) Hematoxylin, eosin, safran section of the PCNSL shown in Fig. 3B (×400).



Figure 5. Representative images of p62 expression. (A) Lower p62 expression (×400). (B) Higher p62 expression (×400).



Figure 6. Representative morphological images. (**A**) Hematoxylin, eosin, safran section of the PCNSL shown in Fig. 5A (×400). (**B**) Hematoxylin, eosin, safran section of the PCNSL shown in Fig. 5B (×400).



Figure 7. Representative images of M6PR expression. (**A**) Lower expression in a patient diagnosed with PCNSL MSKCC B group (×400). (**B**) Higher expression in a patient diagnosed with PCNSL MSKCC C group (×400).

150) and a mean of 155.6 \pm 110.4. Using this cut-off value, 14 (56%) cases were of low M6PR expression, and 11 (44%) were of high expression.

Correlation of immunohistochemical findings with clinicopathological data (Table 3). The age at diagnosis was not found to be associated with the studied immunohistochemical factors by ANOVA analysis, followed by Fisher's LSD (Least square difference) or by simple regression analysis. Only p62 expression showed a strong trend (Fisher's LSD, p=0.05) between the moderate and strong group expression levels with a mean age of 76 years vs. 60.4 years, respectively. Performance status was not significantly associated with the immunohistochemical factors studied, but it showed a trend of positive correlation with LC3B expression (p=0.06, $x^2=3.3$), since higher lymphoma LC3B expression was more often seen in patients with worse PS. This was further reflected in the autophagy groups (p=0.05, $x^2=5.9$), where most lymphomas from patients with PS < 70 were classified as displaying blocked autophagy. This was also reflected in the MSKCC score, where most highly LC3B-expressing tumors and blocked-autophagy-group tumors corresponded to group C patients (p=0.08, $x^2=4.9$ and p=0.07, $x^2=8.5$, respectively). The correlation of LC3B expression with the performance status (Fig. 8) was retained after ANOVA analysis for the H score, where we found mean LC3B H scores of 36.6 (± 46.9) for PS \geq 70 and 119.2 (± 124.2) for PS < 70 (p=0.04). A strong trend (p=0.06) of association was also

	p62			LC3B		M6PR				Group autophagy			
	High	Low	p , x ²	High	Low	p, x ²	High	Low	p , x ²	Basal	Blocked	High	p , x ²
Sex													
Female	8	4	0.5, 0.4	8	4	0.02.4.8	6	6	05.03	4	7	1	0.02.73
Male	7	6		3	10	0.02, 4.0	5	8	0.5, 0.5	10	1	2	0.02, 7.5
PS													
≥70	6	6	0.3, 0.9	3	9	0.06.3.2	5	7	0 8 0 05	9	1	2	0.05 5 0
<70	9	4		8	5	0.00, 5.5	6	7	- 0.8, 0.05	5	7	1	- 0.03, 3.9
MSKCC score													
A	2	1	0.7, 0.6	1	2		2	1		2	0	1	
В	5	5		2	8	0.08, 4.9	4	6	0.6, 0.7	8	1	1	0.07, 8.5
С	8	4		8	4	-	5	7	1	4	7	1	1
Invasion ^a													
Yes	11	5	0214	7	9	0.0.0.001	8	8	04.06	9	5	2	0.0.001
No	4	5	0.2, 1.4	4	5	0.9, 0.001	3	6	- 0.4, 0.0	5	3	1	- 0.9, 0.01
Multiplicity													
Yes	5	4	0614	5	4	02.07	3	6	04.06	4	3	2	0.4.1.5
No	10	6	0.6, 1.4	6	10	0.3, 0.7	8	8	0.4, 0.0	10	5	1	0.4, 1.5
MUM1								•					
Yes	14	3	0.0002	8	9	0 8 0 02	9	8	0211	9	8	0	0.005 10.5
No	0	7	13.8	3	4	0.8, 0.03	2	5	- 0.2, 1.1	4	0	3 0.005,	- 0.003, 10.3
BCL2													
Yes	9	6	0.6, 0.2	5	10	01.19	7	8	0 2 2 2	10	4	1	0 8 0 02
No	4	4		5	3	0.1, 1.0	4	4	0.3, 2.3	3	3	2	0.8, 0.02
BCL6													
Yes	13	3	0.1 8	8	01.2	7	9	07.006	8	7	1	0.2.2	
No	3	3	2.1	1	5	0.1, 2	3	3	- 0.7, 0.00	5	1	0	- 0.3, 2
GC type	0	6	0.004	3	3	0.8	2	4	0.4	3	0	3	0.02
ABC type	14	4	12.8	8	10	0.05	9	9	0.5	10	8	0	11.6
Double ^b													
Yes	2	1	- 0.8, 0.06	0	3	0126	1	2	06.01	3	0	0	0226
No	13	9		11	11	0.1, 2.0	10	12	- 0.0, 0.1	11	8	3	0.2, 2.0

Table 3. Correlations of immunohistochemical findings. Bold denotes statistical significance; italics denote statistical trend. MSKCC: Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center. ^aInvasion of deep brain structures. ^bDouble MYC/BCL2 co-expressors.



Figure 8. (A) The difference in LC3B H score between the two performance status groups. (B) Simple regression analysis comparing PD-L1 and M6PR expression.



Figure 9. Representative images of CD8 expression. (**A**) Lower expression in a patient diagnosed with PCNSL MSKCC B group (×400). (**B**) Higher expression in a patient diagnosed with PCNSL MSKCC C group (×400).

found with the MSKCC score after ANOVA analysis for the LC3B H score (A group, mean = 33 ± 49.3 ; B group, mean = 35 ± 47.1 ; C group, mean = 128.3 ± 125.1). No association was found with other clinical parameters, such as deep structure invasion, multiple lesions, complete response, recurrence after response, and the immunohistochemical factors studied.

Regarding the background immunohistochemical characteristics of the PCNSLs, p62 showed a strong positive association with MUM1 expression (p = 0.0005, $x^2 = 17.5$), also reflected in the ABC subtype (p = 0.004, $x^2 = 12.8$) and the autophagy groups, since non-MUM1-expressing tumors and GC tumors were associated with the high-autophagy group (p = 0.005, $x^2 = 10.5$ for MUM1 expression and p = 0.002, $x^2 = 11.6$ for GC subtypes, using the four-tiered system of p62 categorization). These features were not associated with LC3B expression (p = 0.8, $x^2 = 0.03$ for MUM1 expression and p = 0.8, $x^2 = 0.03$ for MUM1 expression and p = 0.8, $x^2 = 0.03$ for MUM1 expression and p = 0.8, $x^2 = 0.03$ for MUM1 expression and p = 0.8, $x^2 = 0.05$ for GC subtypes). Also, M6PR expression (Fig. 8) showed a positive correlation (p = 0.04, simple regression analysis) with PD-L1 expression and a trend (p = 0.08, x^2 test) of positive association with CD8 T cell infiltration (Fig. 9). No association was found with p53, Ki67, CD8, BCL2, BCL6, or double co-expressors.

LC3B expression was not associated with p62 expression (p = 0.2, $x^2 = 1.3$) or M6PR expression (p = 0.3, $x^2 = 0.8$). M6PR expression was not associated with p62 expression (p = 0.7, $x^2 = 0.1$).

Regarding survival analysis, despite higher M6PR and p62 expression showing better median survival of 48 vs. 24 months for the low-expression groups (Fig. 10), this did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.2 and p = 0.2, respectively). LC3B expression did not show prognostic significance (p = 0.8).

Discussion

This is the first study, to the best of our knowledge, investigating the presence of autophagy in PCNSLs. Previous studies in systemic lymphomas have shown that higher expression of Beclin 1, a protein implicated in autophagy regulation, is associated with better prognosis in various forms of non-Hodgkin lymphomas¹⁸, including extranodal natural killer T-cell lymphoma¹⁹ and DLBCL²⁰; the exact mechanism underlying this observation is unknown. By contrast, no correlation between Beclin-1 expression and survival was seen in multiple myeloma patients²¹. An increase in the quantity of autophagy-related proteins was also found in Hodgkin lymphoma cells to be associated with decreased expression of p62, suggesting activated autophagy and probably intact autophagic flux²². In the current study, we showed that almost half of the PCNSLs showed high LC3B expression. We also found that higher LC3B expression was associated with worse performance status and prognostic MKSCC score, implying a pro-tumoral role of autophagy in this tumor type. This would be in line with the cytotoxic effect of the pharmaceutical inhibition of autophagy in lymphoma cells: apilimod, an inhibitor of phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate 5-kinase (PIKfyve) lipid kinase, an important regulator of endosome and lysosome function, showed maximal cytotoxic activity in malignant B cells²³. The proposed mechanism for its action is that it disrupts the completion of autophagy, as indicated by an increase in p62 and LC3 in lymphoma cells²³. This is considered to probably be provoked by impairment of endolysosomal membrane traffic, inhibiting the degradation of the autophagosomal cargo and finally leading to cellular stress and death²³.

The use of p62 expression in conjunction with LC3 was previously suggested as a surrogate marker of activated autophagy, since the absence of p62 in the presence of LC3 would indicate degradation of p62 in autophago-lysosomes and, thus, completion of the autophagic final step. However, p62 is also directly related to nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-kB) signaling. It is has been shown that NF-κB leads to p62 expression in chronic lymphocytic leukemia by controlling the expression of p62 mRNA²⁴. Characteristically, most PCNSLs, in contrast to systemic DLBCLs, are characterized by increased NF-kB signaling mediated by frequent *MYD88* mutations (9). Thus, the strong p62 expression seen in the current series could also be related to NF-kB signaling in these tumors and not only to a blocked autophagy mechanism. In line with this hypothesis is the strong association of p62 with MUM1 found in the current series. Another link of NF-kB to autophagy has been noted in mantle cell lymphoma. TG2, an enzyme encoded by the TGM2 gene, a stress-responsive gene, is associated with NF-kB expression, and its up-regulation is correlated with poor prognosis in mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) patients²⁵. Under stress, both TG2/NF-kB and their downstream IL-6 induce autophagy to promote MCL cell survival²⁵. Additionally, NF-kB inhibition in lymphoma cells leads to reduced glucose availability, which triggers autophagy, which then prolongs cell survival, suggesting that the combined inhibition of NF-kB and autophagy is an option to achieve lymphoma cell death²⁶.



Figure 10. Survival analysis. (A) Overall survival analysis. Stratification by LC3B (B), M6PR (C), and p62 (D) expression.

It is interesting to note that lymphomas with MYC overexpression induce cytoprotective autophagy to escape stressful conditions³. Also, in BCL6-driven lymphomas, inhibition of autophagy through BCL6-mediated transcriptional repression of LITAF (lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced TNF alpha (TNF α) factor) has been proposed to be implicated in their pathogenesis²⁷. However, in the current series, we did not find an association of the markers studied with MYC or BCL6 expression, probably explained by the limited number of GC-type PCNSLs.

As mentioned earlier, endosomal trafficking is also important in the autophagic machinery. Cell-surface receptors that facilitate the transport of their target proteins to lysosomes for degradation have been recognized, with the prototypical being the cation-independent mannose-6-phosphate receptor (CI-M6PR)²⁸, an endosomal pathway marker associated with the autophagic machinery⁶. This receptor cycles constitutively between endosomes, the cell surface, and the Golgi complex and guides its cargos to the lysosomes, while M6PR is recycled²⁸. This molecule relates chemotherapy and immunotherapy to autophagy^{29,30}. Its upregulation on the tumor cell surface after chemotherapy augments T cytotoxic activity against tumor cells, thus enhancing chemotherapy and immunotherapy results²⁹⁻³¹. Its upregulation is not related to de novo M6PR synthesis; rather, it is considered the result of increased M6PR transport from the cytoplasm to the cell surface, mediated by the autophagic process²⁹⁻³¹. We investigated, for the first time, to the best of our knowledge, its expression in lymphomas, showing that it is present in most tumors and that its expression is associated with PD-L1 tumor cell expression and CD8 cytotoxic T cell infiltration; this suggests a possible role of immunomodulatory treatments in PCNSLs. Our results also add information on the immune microenvironment of brain tumors, confirming that, under certain conditions, this is not an immune-privileged compartment¹³.

The current study has certain limitations, such as its retrospective nature and the limited number of cases, both of which are difficult to avoid given the rarity of this disease. Furthermore, autophagy is a flux and should ideally be measured in functional assays⁵, because immunohistochemistry reveals the presence of autophagy constituents but not the whole system flow. Thus, other techniques that require fresh tissue or at least cell lines will be necessary to confirm these results. However, immunohistochemistry is the method of choice for tissue-based retrospective analysis⁵, and it at least provides a basis for a previously unexplored question, prompting further investigations.

Despite these limitations, this is the first study examining the expression of autophagy markers in PCNSLs, showing activated machinery in half of the tumors and p62 accumulation in most of them. Additionally, M6PR is a frequent finding in PCNSLs and is associated with the tumor immune microenvironment's features. These findings provide evidence for the possible role of autophagy in PCNSLs and, thus, possible treatment targets.

Compliance with ethical standards. The Local Ethics Committee of the University Hospital of Saint-Etienne, France ("Terre déthique", Insitutional Review Board IORG0007394) approved the study (IRBN122021/CHUSTE); the acquisition of written informed consent was waived by the institutional review board given the

retrospective nature of the study and the anonymization of all data. The study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Data availability

Data are available upon reasonable request.

Received: 13 March 2021; Accepted: 30 September 2021 Published online: 15 November 2021

References

- 1. Kluin, P., Deckert, M. & Ferry, J. Primary diffuse large B-cell lymphoma of the CNS. In WHO Classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues (eds Swerdlow, S. H. et al.) (IARC, 2017).
- Abrey, L. E. et al. Primary central nervous system lymphoma: The memorial Sloan-Kettering cancer center prognostic model. J. Clin. Oncol. 24, 5711–5715 (2006).
- 3. Alinari, L. Toward autophagy-targeted therapy in lymphoma. *Blood* **129**, 1740–1742 (2017).
- 4. Al-Bari, M. A. A. A current view of molecular dissection in autophagy machinery. J. Physiol. Biochem. **76**, 357–372 (2020).
- Schläfli, A. M. et al. Prognostic value of the autophagy markers LC3 and p62/SQSTM1 in early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. Oncotarget 7, 39544–39555 (2016).
- Han, J., Goldstein, L. A., Hou, W., Watkins, S. C. & Rabinowich, H. Involvement of CASP9 (caspase 9) in IGF2R/CI-MPR endosomal transport. *Autophagy* https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2020.1761742 (2020).
- Karpathiou, G. et al. Expression of STAT6 and phosphorylated STAT6 in primary central nervous system lymphomas. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnen/nlab080 (2021).
- Jahr, G., Broi, M. D., Holte, H., Beiske, K. & Meling, T. R. Evaluation of memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center and International Extranodal Lymphoma Study Group prognostic scoring systems to predict overall survival in intracranial primary CNS lymphoma. Brain Behav. 8, e00928 (2018).
- 9. Hans, C. P. et al. Confirmation of the molecular classification of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma by immunohistochemistry using a tissue microarray. Blood 103, 275–282 (2004).
- Hatzl, S. et al. Immunohistochemistry for c-myc and bcl-2 overexpression improves risk stratification in primary central nervous system lymphoma. Hematol. Oncol. 38, 277–283 (2020).
- Kim, S. *et al.* MYC and BCL2 overexpression is associated with a higher class of Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center prognostic model and poor clinical outcome in primary diffuse large B-cell lymphoma of the central nervous system. *BMC Cancer* 16, 363 (2016).
- Kim, S. et al. High tumoral PD-L1 expression and low PD-1 + or CD8 + tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes are predictive of a poor prognosis in primary diffuse large B-cell lymphoma of the central nervous system. Oncoimmunology 8, e1626653 (2019).
- Camy, F. et al. Brain metastasis PD-L1 and CD8 expression is dependent on primary tumor type and its PD-L1 and CD8 status. J. Immunother. Cancer 8, e000597 (2020).
- Karpathiou, G. et al. Autophagic markers in chordomas: Immunohistochemical analysis and comparison with the immune microenvironment of chordoma tissues. Cancers (Basel). 13, 2169 (2021).
- Liu, J.-L. et al. Prognostic significance of p62/SQSTM1 subcellular localization and LC3B in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Br. J. Cancer 111, 944–954 (2014).
- 16. Iwadate, R. et al. High expression of p62 protein is associated with poor prognosis and aggressive phenotypes in endometrial cancer. Am. J. Pathol. 185, 2523–2533 (2015).
- 17. Nayyar, N. *et al.* MYD88 L265P mutation and CDKN2A loss are early mutational events in primary central nervous system diffuse large B-cell lymphomas. *Blood Adv.* **3**, 375–383 (2019).
- 18. Huang, J.-J. et al. Beclin 1 expression predicts favorable clinical outcome in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP. Hum. Pathol. 42, 1459–1466 (2011).
- 19. Huang, J.-J. *et al.* Beclin 1 expression: A predictor of prognosis in patients with extranodal natural killer T-cell lymphoma, nasal type. *Autophagy* **6**, 777–783 (2010).
- Nicotra, G. *et al.* Autophagy-active beclin-1 correlates with favourable clinical outcome in non-Hodgkin lymphomas. *Mod. Pathol.* 23, 937–950 (2010).
- 21. Willenbacher, W. *et al.* Low Beclin-1 expression predicts improved overall survival in patients treated with immunomodulatory drugs for multiple myeloma and identifies autophagy inhibition as a promising potentially druggable new therapeutic target: An analysis from The Austrian. *Leuk. Lymphoma* **57**, 2330–2341 (2016).
- 22. Birkenmeier, K. *et al.* Basal autophagy is pivotal for Hodgkin and Reed-Sternberg cells' survival and growth revealing a new strategy for Hodgkin lymphoma treatment. *Oncotarget* 7, 46579–46588 (2016).
- 23. Gayle, S. *et al.* Identification of apilimod as a first-in-class PIKfyve kinase inhibitor for treatment of B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood* **129**, 1768–1778 (2017).
- Sanchez-Lopez, E. et al. NF-κB-p62-NRF2 survival signaling is associated with high ROR1 expression in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Cell Death Differ. 27, 2206–2216 (2020).
- Zhang, H., Chen, Z., Miranda, R. N., Medeiros, L. J. & McCarty, N. TG2 and NF-κB signaling coordinates the survival of mantle cell lymphoma cells via IL6-mediated autophagy. *Cancer Res.* 76, 6410–6423 (2016).
- Sommermann, T. G., Mack, H. I. D. & Cahir-McFarland, E. Autophagy prolongs survival after NFκB inhibition in B-cell lymphomas. Autophagy 8, 265–267 (2012).
- 27. Bertolo, C. et al. LITAF, a BCL6 target gene, regulates autophagy in mature B-cell lymphomas. Br. J. Haematol. 162, 621–630 (2013).
- 28. Banik, S. M. *et al.* Lysosome-targeting chimaeras for degradation of extracellular proteins. *Nature* 584, 291–297 (2020).
- Ramakrishnan, R. et al. Autophagy induced by conventional chemotherapy mediates tumor cell sensitivity to immunotherapy. Cancer Res. 72, 5483–5493 (2012).
- Ramakrishnan, R. & Gabrilovich, D. I. The role of mannose-6-phosphate receptor and autophagy in influencing the outcome of combination therapy. Autophagy 9, 615–616 (2013).
- 31. Wahba, J. et al. Chemotherapy-induced apoptosis, autophagy and cell cycle arrest are key drivers of synergy in chemo-immunotherapy of epithelial ovarian cancer. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother.* 67, 1753–1765 (2018).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mr Philippe Cosmo from the Tumorothèque/Centre de Ressources Biologiques de CHU Saint-Etienne (BRIF no. BB-0033-00041), as well as Ms Suzy Bugnazet and Christiane Sigot for their excellent technical assistance.

Author contributions

G.K. and M.P. conceived the idea and designed the study; all authors participated in data acquisition and interpretation; G.K. drafted the manuscript; all authors approved the final form.

Funding

None.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021