SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

Check for updates

OPEN

Discovery of seven novel mutations of gyrB, parC and parE in Salmonella Typhi and Paratyphi strains from Jiangsu Province of China

Huimin Qian^{1,6}, Siyun Cheng^{2,6}, Guoye Liu^{2,6}, Zhongming Tan¹, Chen Dong¹, Jinfeng Bao², Jie Hong¹, Dazhi Jin^{4,5}, Changjun Bao¹⊠ & Bing Gu^{2,3}⊠

Objective: To investigate the prevalence of *Salmonella* Typhi and Paratyphi resistance to quinolones and characterize the underlying mechanism in Jiangsu Province of China. Methods: Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed using Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion system. Quinolone resistancedetermining region (QRDR), plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance (PMQR) determinant genes were detected by PCR and sequencing. Results: Out of 239 *Salmonella* isolates, 164 were S. Typhi and 75 were S. Paratyphi. 128 (53.6%) *Salmonella* isolates were resistant to nalidixic acid; 11 (4.6%) isolates to ciprofloxacin and 66 (27.6%) isolates were intermediate to ciprofloxacin. QRDR were present in 69 S. Typhi isolates, among which mutation at codon 83 (n = 45) and 133 (n = 61) predominated. In S. Paratyphi, the most common mutations were detected in *gyrA* at codon 83(n = 24) and *parC*: T575 (n = 8). Seven mutations were first reported in *Salmonella* isolates including *gyrB*: S426G, *parC*: D79G and *parE*: [5498T, E543K, V560G, I444S, Y434S]. PMQR genes including *qnrD1*, *qnrA1*, *qnrB4*, *aac* (6')-*Ib-cr4* and *qnrS1* were detected in 1, 2, 3, 7 and 9 isolates, relatively. Conclusions: High resistance to quinolones in *Salmonella* remains a serious problem in Jiangsu, China. The presence of the novel mutations increases the complexity of quinolone-resistant genotypes and poses a threat to public health. Subject terms: *Salmonella* Typhi, *Salmonella* Paratyphi, antimicrobial resistance, QRDR, PMQR.

Enteric fever, including typhoid and paratyphoid fever, caused by *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi (S. Typhi) and Paratyphi (S. Paratyphi) A, B and C, is a global health problem. Typhoid and paratyphoid fever are transmitted primarily by the fecal-oral route and result in a variety of symptoms, including gradual onset of sustained fever, chills, nausea, rash, anorexia, abdominal pain and hepatosplenomegaly¹. Despite the improvement in personal hygiene provision of clean water and sanitation systems, the global burden of typhoid and paratyphoid fever remains considerable. Each year, an estimated 11.9–20.6 million cases of typhoid fever occur in developing countries and cause approximately 129,000–223,000 deaths, with the majority occurring in South Asia².

Antimicrobial therapy is the mainstay of treatment for enteric fever at present. Without receiving appropriate therapy, enteric fever has a case-fatality rate of 10–30%, whilst the percentage will fall to 1–4% when it is managed properly³. However, multi-drug resistant (MDR) *Salmonella* strains emerged and spread globally in the 1970s and 1980s due to the frequent use of traditional antimicrobials such as chloramphenicol, ampicillin and co-trimoxazole^{4,5}, and since then fluoroquinolones have been regarded as the preferred drugs for the clinical treatment of typhoid fever⁶. Alarmingly, after the widespread and indiscriminate use of quinolones, cases of

¹Department of Acute Infectious Disease Prevention and Control, Jiangsu Provincial Center for Disease Prevention and Control, Nanjing, 210029, China. ²Xuzhou Medical University School of Medical Technology, Xuzhou, 221004, China. ³Department of Laboratory Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, 221002, China. ⁴Centre of Laboratory Medicine, Zhejiang Provincial People Hospital, People's Hospital of Hangzhou Medical College, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310014, China. ⁵School of Laboratory Medicine, Hangzhou Medical College, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310053, China. ⁶These authors contributed equally: Huimin Qian, Siyun Cheng and Guoye Liu. ^{IM}e-mail: bao2000_cn@163.com; gb20031129@163.com *Salmonella* with resistance to nalidixic acid and decreased susceptibility to fluoroquinolones have been increasingly reported in several countries^{7–9}. The emergence of resistance to quinolones in *Salmonella* making the treatment of enteric fever more difficult or resulting in treatment failure.

Acquired quinolone resistance were attributable to mutations in quinolone resistance-determining region (QRDR) of DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV, whose subunits are encoded respectively by *gyrA*, *gyrB*, *parC*, and *parE* genes¹⁰⁻¹². In gram-negative bacteria such as *Salmonella*, the primary target of quinolones is *gyrA* sub-unit of DNA gyrase^{13,14}. Meanwhile, plasmid-mediated resistance (PMQR) determinants *qnr* genes and *aac* (6')-*Ib-cr4* have also been associated with resistance to quinolone¹⁵.

Recently, more studies have been performed to analyze the antimicrobial susceptibility and molecular characterization of *S*. Typhi and *S*. Paratyphi, but few were performed in Jiangsu Province. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the prevalence of *S*. Typhi and *S*. Paratyphi resistance to quinolones and characterize the underlying mechanism in Jiangsu, China between 2013 and 2017.

Materials and Methods

Specimen collection and isolate identification. This was a retrospective study of archived isolates (from stool samples) biobanked at Jiangsu Provincial Center for Disease Prevention and Control, China, between 2013 and 2017. API 20E test strips (bioMerieuxVitek, Marcy-l'Etoile, France) were used to confirm the identity of the isolates. All the isolates were then serotyped by slide agglutination with commercial antiserum (Tianrun Bio-Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., China) according to the Kauffmann-White scheme (WHO, 2011).

Ethical considerations. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Chinese Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CCDC) and all experiments were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. No informed consent was obtained from the patients because the study was retrospective. Informed consent has been waived off by the ethics committee of the Jiangsu Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed using Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion system on Mueller-Hinton agar in compliance with the recommendations of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines (2016) by using the following agents: ampicillin, chloramphenicol, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, nalidixic acid, ofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and ceftriaxone. E. coli ATCC 25922 was used as the reference strain.

PCR amplification and DNA sequencing. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays of QRDR of *gyrA*, *gyrB*, *parC* and *parE* genes were performed on the nalidixic acid-resistant (NAR) or intermediate resistant isolates. All *S*. Typhi and *S*. Paratyphi isolates were analyzed using PCR assays for the presence of PMQR determinants of *qnrA*, *qnrB*, *qnrC*, *qnrD*, *qnrS*, *qepA* and *aac*(6')-*Ib-cr*. The PCR amplifications were performed using the primers shown in Table 1. Purified PCR products were sequenced by the Sanger Biotech. Sequence data were then analyzed by Bioeditor and analysed by comparison with sequences obtained from NCBI GenBank.

Results

Distribution of S. Typhi and S. Paratyphi isolates. Between January 2013 to December 2017, altogether 239 *Salmonella* isolates were collected from Jiangsu, China; 106 (48.6%) were male and 112 (51.4%) were female (21 cases unknown). The age of the patients ranged from 1 month to 88 years. Among 239 *Salmonella* strains, there are 164 strains of *S*. Typhi, 44 strains of *S*. Paratyphi A, 30 strains of *S*. Paratyphi B and 1 strain of *S*. Paratyphi C. The demographic characteristics of *S*. Typhi and *S*. Paratyphi isolates are shown in Fig. 1.

During 2013–2015, enteric fever was caused mostly by *S*. Typhi (n = 83; 80.6%) and only 20 (19.4%) cases of *S*. Paratyphi (A and B) infection were identified. A double increase in the number of *S*. Paratyphi cases were observed with a total of 55 (40.4%) cases between 2016 and 2017, during which the number of *S*. Typhi cases remained relatively stable (Fig. 1).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing. As shown in Table 2, except for the 50% resistance rate to nalidixic acid, the other 10 antibacterial agents showed a relatively low resistance rate to *S*. Typhi and *S*. Paratyphi (both < 20%). The resistance to quinolones varied by subspecies. The dominating serotype resistant to nalidixic acid was *S*. Typhi (n = 94; 73.4%). Although only eleven *Salmonella* isolates showed resistance to ciprofloxacin (including 4 S. Typhi, 6 S. Paratyphi A and 1 S. Paratyphi A), intermediately resistant to ciprofloxacin were up to 66 (27.6%). The proportion of *Salmonella* isolates with susceptibility to ciprofloxacin was 100% in 2013 and down to 34.4% in 2015.

MDR was observed in 21 isolates of the *Salmonella*, in which 12, 8, 2 and 1 strains were found in *S*. paratyphoid B, *S*. Typhi, *S*. Paratyphi A and *S*. Paratyphi C respectively. Interestingly, both *S*. Typhi and *S*. paratyphoid A isolates were highly susceptible to gentamicin, ceftazidime, cefotaxime and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid. On the contrary, *S*. Paratyphi B was severely resistant to 9 antimicrobials except for gentamicin and ciprofloxacin (> 20%). In addition, a case of serotype Paratyphi C was detected in 2016 which was susceptible to nalidixic acid but intermediate to ciprofloxacin (Table 2).

Identification of quinolone resistance-encoding genes in *Salmonella* **Typhi.** Number of different cases of major mutations among *Salmonella* are shown in Fig. 2. The mutations of QRDR were examined in 94 nalidixic acid-resistant *S*. Typhi strains. There were 69 (73.4%) isolates containing one or more mutations encoding at least. The most common target mutation identified was *gyrA*: E133G, accounting for 88.4%, followed by mutation at codon 83 in the *gyrA* gene (S83F/S83Y, 65.2%). 22 *S*. Typhi isolates harbored *gyrA* mutation at amino acid position 87, leading to aspartic acid replaced by asparagine or glycine. In the *gyrB*, mutation was only

Target	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Annealing temperature (°C)	Amplicon size (bp)				
QRDR of Topoisomerase genes							
gyrAF	TCT CCG AGA TGG CCT GAA GC	55	2.47				
gyrAR	TGC CGT CAT AGT TAT CCA CG	- 55	547				
gyrBF	CAA ACT GGC GGA CTG TCA GG	55	345				
gyrBR	TTC CGG CAT CTG ACG ATA GA	- 55					
parCF	CTA TGC GAT GTC AGA GCT GC	55	275				
parCR	TGA CCG AGT TCG CTT AAC AG	- 55	273				
parEF	GAC CGA GCT GTT CCT TGT GG	60	402				
parER	GCG TAA CTG CAT CGG GTT CA	- 60	492				
PMQR							
qnrAF	GAG GAT TTC TCA CGC CAG GA	60	575				
qnrAR	TGC CAG GCA CAG ATC TTG AC		3/3				
qnrB1F	GAT CGT GAA AGC CAG AAA GG	55	468				
qnrB1R	ACG ATG CCT GGT AGT TGT CC	- 55					
qnrB2F	GTT GGC GAA AAA ATT GAC AGA A	57	451				
qnrB2R	TTT GCA AGG CGT CAA ACT GG	- 57					
qnrCF	GGG TTG TAC ATT TAT TGA ATC	47	116				
qnrCR	TCC ACT TTA CGA GGT TCT	47	440				
qnrDF	CGA GAT CAA TTT ACG GGG AAT A	60	501				
qnrDR	AAC AAG CTG AAG CGC CTG		581				
qnrSF-1	GGA AAC CTA CAA TCA TAC ATA TCG GC	55	520				
qnrSR-1	TAA ATT GGC ACC CTG TAG GC	- 55	350				
qnrSF-2	ATG GAA ACC TAC CGT CAC AC	55	638				
qnrSR-2	ATA CCC AAC GCT TCG AGA AG		050				
aac(6')-ib-crF	GCA ACG CAA AAA CAA AGT TAG G	47	560				
aac(6')-ib-crR	GTG TTT GAA CCA TGT ACA	1 1	300				
qepAF	GCA GGT CCA GCA GCG GGT AG	(2) 217					
qepAR	CTT CCT GCC CGA GTA TCG TG		21/				

Table 1. Primers for PCR detection of antimicrobial resistance determinants.

.....

identified in S426G which was first reported in S. Typhi. Additionally, *parC* gene mutations were detected in 4 S. Typhi isolates including E84K (n = 1) and novel mutation D79G (n = 3). Four other novel mutations were found in *parE* in 7 isolates of S. Typhi (including E543K, V560G, I444S, Y434S). Particularly, all the mutations of *gyrB*, *parC* and *parE* were detected with the concomitant presence of E133G in *gyrA*. The correlation of quinolones and nucleotide changes within the QRDR is shown in Table 3.

In addition, qnrB4 (n = 1), qnrS1 (n = 1) and aac (6')-Ib-cr4 (n = 2), which were PMQR determinants, were detected in four S. Typhi isolates. Two aac (6')-Ib-cr4 positive isolates coexisted with the gyrA: D87N mutation, one of which was MDR and resistant to ciprofloxacin.

Identification of quinolone resistance-encoding genes in *Salmonella* Paratyphi A, B and C. Out of the 34 strains of *Salmonella* Paratyphi with resistance to nalidixic acid, high levels of mutations in QRDR were observed in *Salmonella* Paratyphi A (80%) and B (88.9%). The most common mutations in QRDR were detected in *gyrA*: S83F in *S.* paratyphoid A (n=18) and *gyrA*: S83Y in *S.* paratyphoid B (n=5) (Fig. 2). All eight isolates which carried *parC*: T57S mutation were *S.* Paratyphi A accompanied with the presence of S83F in *gyrA*. Among them, susceptible to ciprofloxacin was found in 7 strains, except one isolate carrying additional mutations in *gyrA*: D87N and *parC*: E84K. Only 2 point mutations were observed in *parE*, I444S (*S.* Paratyphi A) and S498T (*S.* Paratyphi B), which were novel. All *parC* and *parE* were detected with the concomitant presence of S83F in *gyrA*.

PMQR genes in S. Paratyphi A and S. Paratyphi C were only found in *aac* (6')-*Ib-cr4* and *qnrS*1, respectively, and both of them were MDR. Among all 30 S. Paratyphi B isolates, 11 strains contained PMQR genes including *qnrS1* (n=7), *aac* (6')-*Ib-cr4* (n=4), *qnrB4* (n=2), *qnrA1* (n=2) and *qnrD1* (n=1). None of the isolates were positive for *qnrC* and *qepA*. The five strains carrying the *aac* (6')-*Ib-cr4* gene were all MDR and had reduced susceptibility to quinolones. In addition, 5 strains of S. Paratyphi B with a single point mutation in *qnrS1* were susceptible to nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin.

Discussion

In this study, we explored the correlation between the level of resistance and the associated mechanism in *S*. Typhi and *S*. Paratyphi isolates obtained from Jiangsu Province of China during 2013–2017. Among the 239 isolates, 164 (68.9%) were *S*. Typhi and 75 (31.4%) were *S*. Paratyphi (including 44 *S*. Paratyphi A, 30 *S*. Paratyphi B and 1 *S*. Paratyphi C) showing a predominance of *S*. Typhi over *S*. Paratyphi, which is consistent with the previous studies^{16–19}. However, the prevalence of *S*. Paratyphi collected between 2016 and 2017 is much higher than that



Figure 1. Distribution of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Paratyphi (**A**–**C**) isolates in patients by (**A**) number (**B**) gender (**C**) age and(**D**) months (2013–2017) in Jiangsu, China.

	S. Typhi (%) (N = 164)		S. Paratyphi(%)A (N=44)		S. Paratyphi B (%) (N = 30)		S. Paratyphi C (%) (N=1)		Aggregate (%) (N=239)	
Antimicrobial agents	I	R	I	R	I	R	I	R	I	R
Nalidixic acid	3(1.8)	94(57.3)	1(2.3)	25(56.8)	2(6.8)	9(30.0)	0	0	6(2.5)	128(53.6)
Ciprofloxacin	58(35.4)	4(2.4)	5(11.4)	6(13.6)	2(6.8)	1(3.3)	1(100)	0	66(27.6)	11(4.6)
Ceftofur	2(1.2)	10(6.1)	0	1(2.3)	0	11(36.7)	0	1(100)	2(0.8)	23(9.7)
Cefotaxime	0	2(1.2)	0	0	1(3.3)	7(23.3)	0	0	1(0.4)	9(3.8)
Ceftazidime	1(0.6)	2(1.2)	0	1(2.3)	1(3.3)	7(23.3)	0	0	3(1.3)	9(3.8)
Ceftriaxone	1(0.6)	3(1.8)	0	0	0	7(23.3)	0	0	1(0.4)	10(4.2)
Ampicillin	0	16(9.8)	0	2(4.7)	0	11(36.7)	0	1(100)	0	30(12.6)
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	3(1.8)	3(1.8)	0	2(4.7)	0	11(36.7)	0	0	5(2.1)	16(6.7)
Gentamicin	1(0.6)	2(1.2)	0	0	1(3.3)	5(16.7)	0	0	2(0.8)	7(2.9)
Tetracycline	2(1.2)	5(3.0)	2(4.7)	2(4.7)	0	15(50.0)	0	1(100)	4(1.7)	23(9.6)
Sulfamethoxazole	0	2(1.2)	2(4.7)	1(2.3)	0	12(40.0)	0	1(100)	2(0.8)	16(6.7)

Table 2. Antimicrobial sensitivity patterns of *S*. Typhi and *S*. Paratyphi A, B and C isolates in Jiangsu Province, China, between 2013 and 2017. R- Resistant, I- Intermediate.

between 2013 and 2015, showing an increasing trend and a change in species of *Salmonella* in Jiangsu Province of China. Overall, many regions in the world have already seen the increase in the prevalence of *S*. Paratyphi^{16,20,21}. The reason for this change may be related to the improvements in environmental conditions and the increased use of Vi polysaccharide vaccine in recent years²².

The continued increase in the resistance of *Salmonella* to quinolones is a global problem, especially in Asian countries including India, Pakistan and Bangladesh²³. The *S*. Typhi and *S*. Paratyphi isolates in this study showed a high resistance rate (53.8%) against nalidixic acid, similar to previous reports in Jiangsu Province²⁴. Given the emergence of resistance to nalidixic acid for *Salmonella*, ciprofloxacin has been developed as a new quinolone and proved to be a highly effective treatment alternative. However, *Salmonella* resistance to ciprofloxacin has also become a troublesome problem due to continued abuse of quinolones in patients with diarrhea in local communities without considering the cause of diarrhea. In our study, all *Salmonella* were susceptible to ciprofloxacin in 2013, but by 2015, only 34.4% remained susceptible. In these five years, a total of 77 strains have reduced susceptiblity to ciprofloxacin, which was associated with clinical failure²⁵.

In the current study, we have analysed the sequence of *gyrA*, *gyrB*, *parC*, and *parE* genes in all the *Salmonella* isolates with resistant or intermediate to nalidixic acid. In general, the majority of mutations were found in three highly prevalent codons at 83, 87 and 133 in *gyrA*. Mutations at codon 83 and 87 in *Salmonella* isolates associated



Figure 2. Number of different cases of major mutations among *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Paratyphi isolates.

with reduced susceptibility to quinolones have been described previously^{26–29}. Nevertheless, what noteworthy is that the most frequent mutation in *S*. Typhi was observed at codon 133 in *gyrA*, outside the usual QRDR amino acid mutations between 67 and 106. Of the 70 isolates of *S*. Typhi containing at least one mutation in QRDR, 57(81.4%) shared a common mutation in *gyrA*: E133G and only 2 isolates showed a single point mutation in *gyrA*: E133G. According to previous experiments performed in *Salmonella*, mutation at codon 133 alone could not necessarily lead to quinolone resistance, unless combination with either a second mutation in the same gene at codon 83 or 87^{30-32} .

In our study, all *S*. Paratyphi A with *parC*: T57S and *gyrA*: S83F were susceptible to ciprofloxacin. The mere combination of these double mutations does not appear to reduce the susceptibility of ciprofloxacin. The data corroborated the previous demonstration that *parC*: T57S is a spontaneous compensatory mutation that makes *Salmonella* resistant to nalidixic acid but more sensitive to ciprofloxacin^{33,34}. However, when additional mutation *gyrA*: D87N and *parC*: E84K were carried with mutations in *parC*: T57S and *gyrA*: S83F, it turned to resistant. Another strain harbored the mutation *parC*: E84K in *S*. Typhi also showed resistance. Accumulation of mutations at codon 83 and 87 in *gyrA* and simultaneous mutation of *parC*: E84K may be associated with full resistance to ciprofloxacin^{35,36}.

Quinolone resistance in Salmonella is mainly mediated by mutations in gyrA and $parC^{19}$, with few reported cases of gyrB and parE³⁷. However, 8 isolates were detected containing mutations in gyrB: S426G, and parE: [S498T, E543K, V560G, I444S, Y434S] in the current study. To our knowledge, these mutations were the first reports in Salmonella. Interestingly, almost all Salmonella isolates with novel mutations were simultaneously detected common mutations in gyrA: [S83F and E133G], except one strain that had single E133G in gyrA. Five novel mutations including gyrB: S426G, parC: D79G and parE: [S498T, E543K, V560G and Y434S] showed susceptibility to ciprofloxacin. It showed a very strong correlation between novel mutations and mutations at codon 83 and 133. We speculate that the current mutations at 83,133 may induce the generation of other novel mutations, making the mutation genotype more complicated. However, whether these novel mutations are related to quinolone resistance remains to be confirmed by further experiments. In addition, 5 strains of S. Typhi with the triple mutation combination gyrA: [S83F, E133G] and parE: I444S were found intermediate to ciprofloxacin. This suggests that the novel mutation at codon 444 of *parE* gene might attenuate the activity of ciprofloxacin. The change at codon 444 could indirectly affect the combination of topoisomerase IV and fluoroquinolone, thus decreasing drug sensitivity³⁸. Overall, the presence of new mutations among S. Typhi and S. Paratyphi isolates increases the complexity of quinolone-resistant genotypes and raises a critical warning to the prevalence of resistance.

Since the PMQR determinants were initially identified in 1998, various PMQR genes have been constantly detected in *Salmonella* across the world^{39–41}. In this study, we found that the prevalence of PMQR genes was 7.1% in a collection of 239 S. Typhi and S. Paratyphi isolates. Overall, PMQR genes were detected with lower frequencies. Among them, the *qnrS1* was the most prevalent gene, followed by *aac* (6')-*Ib*-*cr4* and the *qnrB4*, *qnrA1* and *qnrD1*, which is consistent with previous reports^{42–44}. PMQR genes alone in S. Typhi and S. Paratyphi could not lead to quinolone resistance, unless they co-existed with *gyrA* QRDR mutations¹⁸. In the current study, we found that 2 strains contained PMQR genes and mutations at codons 83 or 87 had reduced susceptibility to ciproflox-acin. Additionally, almost all *Salmonella* carrying the *aac* (6')-*Ib*-*cr4* gene described in this report were MDR, indicating that *aac* (6')-*Ib*-*cr4* genes could easily lead to MDR phenotype.

Although S. Typhi and S. Paratyphi shared similarities in many aspects such as resistance and mechanisms, there are still differences between them, which is more obvious in S. Paratyphi B⁴⁵. In the dataset described herein, antibiotic resistance in S. Paratyphi B isolates was more severe. The resistance rate of S. Paratyphi B to the tested antibiotics other than nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin was 3–30 times that of S. Typhi. This suggests that the dispersed clone of S. Paratyphi B may has unique mechanisms. In the present study, PMQR genes were mainly

Phenotypic profile		Genetic resistance determinant						
NAL	CIP	S. Typhi (N=94)	S. Paratyphi A (N=25)	S. Paratyphi B (N=9)				
R	S	WT (8)	WT (2)	WT (0)				
		qnrS1 (1)	gyrA: S83F (6)	gyrA: D87G (1)				
		qnrB4 (1)	gyrA: S83F; parC: T57S (7)	gyrA: D87N (1)				
		gyrA: \$83Y (1)	gyrA: S83F; parE: I444S (1)	gyrA: \$83Y (4)				
		gyrA: E133G (1)		parE: S498T (1)				
		<i>gyrA</i> : D87N (2)						
		gyrA: D87N; gyrA: E133G (10)						
		gyrA: S83F; gyrA: E133G (13)						
		gyrA: \$87G; gyrA: E133G (1)						
		gyrA: E133G; gyrB: S426G (1)						
		gyrA: D87N; aac(6')-ib-cr4 (1)						
		<i>gyrA</i> : S83F; <i>gyrA</i> : E133G; <i>gyrA</i> : D79G (3)						
Total		43	16	7				
R	Ι	WT (15)	WT (1)	WT (0)				
		gyrA: E133G (1)	gyrA: S83F (1)	<i>qnrA1; gyrA</i> : S83Y (1)				
		<i>gyrA</i> : D87N (1)	aac(6')-ib-cr4 (1)	qnr\$1; qnrD1 aac(6')- ib-cr4 (1)				
		<i>gyrA</i> : S83Y (3)						
		gyrA: S83F; gyrA: E133G (18)						
		gyrA: D87G; gyrA: E133G (2)						
		gyrA: D87N; gyrA: E133G (2)						
		<i>gyrA</i> : S83F; <i>gyrA</i> : E133G; <i>parE</i> : I444S (4)						
		gyrA: S83F; gyrA: E133G; parE: I444S; parE: Y434S (1)						
Total		47	3	2				
R	R	WT (1)	WT (1)	WT (0)				
		gyrA: D87G; gyrA: E133G (1)	gyrA: S83F (2)					
		gyrA: D87N; aac(6')-ib-cr4 (1)	gyrA: S83Y (1)					
		gyrA: S83Y; gyrA: D87N; gyrA: E133G; parC: E84K (1)	gyrA: E133G (1)					
			gyrA: S83F; gyrA: D87G; parC: T57S; parC: E84K (1)					
Total	_	4	6	0				

Table 3. Combinations of quinolone phenotypes and genotypes identified in *Salmonella* Typhi and Salmonella Paratyphi A and B in Jiangsu, China between 2013 and 2017. NAL, nalidixic acid; CIP, ciprofloxacin; R – Resistant; I – Intermediate; S – Susceptible; WT – Wild Type.

detected in *S*. Paratyphi B, accounting for 64.7% and all *S*. Paratyphi B resistant to nalidixic acids can detect mutations at QRDR or PMQR. As a result, the ratio of MDR in *S*. Paratyphi B was about 10 times that of *S*. Paratyphi A and *S*. Typhi. This means that typhoid fever caused by *S*. Paratyphi B is more difficult to treat clinically, so typhoid and paratyphoid fever should be considered as different diseases^{5,46}.

In conclusion, this study described antimicrobial resistance and the mechanisms of *S*. Typhi and *S*. Paratyphi strains isolated between 2013 and 2017 in Jiangsu Province of China. Because of the increasing prevalence of *S*. Typhi and *S*. Paratyphi isolates and indiscriminate use of antimicrobials, the rise in quinolone-resistant *Salmonella* strains and the spread of quinolone resistance-encoding genes are extremely worrying. Moreover, the emergence of novel mutations in *gyrB*, *parC* and *parE* genes increases the complexity of quinolone-resistant genotypes and poses a threat to public health. To better manage and prevent the spread of antimicrobial resistance, it is necessary to provide clinicians and local governments with accurate epidemiological information concerned. Further research and continuous dynamic monitoring of antibiotic susceptibility would be useful in the treatment and control of this infection.

Data availability

The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 22 November 2019; Accepted: 15 April 2020; Published online: 30 April 2020

References

- 1. Crump, J. A. & Mintz, E. D. Global trends in typhoid and paratyphoid Fever. Clin. Infect. Dis. 50, 241-246 (2010).
- Salerno-Goncalves, R., Kayastha, D., Fasano, A., Levine, M. M. & Sztein, M. B. Crosstalk between leukocytes triggers differential immune responses against Salmonella enterica serovars Typhi and Paratyphi. PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis. 13, e0007650 (2019).
- 3. Crump, J. A., Luby, S. P. & Mintz, E. D. The global burden of typhoid fever. Bull. W. H. O. 82, 346–53 (2004).
- 4. Rowe, B., Ward, L. R. & Threlfall, E. J. Multidrug-Resistant Salmonella *typhi*: A Worldwide Epidemic. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 24, S106–S109 (1997).
- 5. Wain, J. et al. Molecular Analysis of incH11 Antimicrobial Resistance Plasmids from Salmonella Serovar Typhi Strains Associated with Typhoid Fever. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 47, 2732–2739 (2003).
- 6. Wain, J., Hendriksen, R. S., Mikoleit, M. L., Keddy, K. H. & Ochiai, R. L. Typhoid fever. Lancet. 385, 1136-1145 (2015).
- 7. Chitnis, S., Chitnis, V., Hemvani, N. & Chitnis, D. S. Ciprofloxacin therapy for typhoid fever needs reconsideration. J. Infec. Chemother, 12, 402-404 (2006).
- Menezes, G. A., Harish, B. N., Khan, M. A., Goessens, W. & Hays, J. P. Antimicrobial resistance trends in blood culture positive Salmonella Paratyphi A isolates from Pondicherry, India. Indian. J. Med. Microbiol. 16, 222–7 (2016).
- 9. Rahman, B. A. *et al.* Multi-drug resistance and reduced susceptibility to ciprofloxacin among *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi isolates from the Middle East and Central Asia. *New Microbes New Infect.* **2**, 88–92 (2014).
- Giraud, E., Brisabois, A., Martel, J. L. & Chaslus-Dancla, E. Comparative studies of mutations in animal isolates and experimental *in vitro-* and *in vivo* selected mutants of *Salmonella* spp. suggest a counterselection of highly fluoroquinolone-resistant strains in the field. *Antimicrob. Agents. Chemother.* 43, 2131–2137 (1999).
- Park, C. H., Robicsek, A., Jacoby, G. A., Sahm, D. & Hooper, D. C. Prevalence in the United States of *aac(6')-Ib-cr* encoding a ciprofloxacin modifying enzyme. *Antimicrob. Agents. Chemother.* 50, 3953–3955 (2006).
- 12. Cui, X. *et al.* Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Shigella* flexneri serotype 2 variant in China. *Frontiers in Microbiology.* **6**, 435 (2015).
- 13. Hooper, D. C. Mechanisms of fluoroquinolone resistance. Drug Resist Updat. 2, 38-55 (1999).
- 14. Nordmann, P. & Poirel, L. Emergence of plasmid-mediated resistance to quinolones in Enterobacteriaceae. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 56, 463–469 (2005).
- 15. Poirel, L., Cattoir, V. & Nordmann, P. Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance; interactions between human, animal, and environmental ecologies. *Front Microbiol.* **3**, 24 (2012).
- 16. Punjabi, N. H. *et al.* Enteric fever burden in North Jakarta, Indonesia: a prospective, community-based study. J. Infect. Dev. Ctries. 7, 781–787 (2013).
- 17. Lugito, N. P. H. & Cucunawangsih. Antimicrobial Resistance of *Salmonella enterica* Serovars Typhi and Paratyphi Isolates from a General Hospital in Karawaci, Tangerang, Indonesia: A Five-Year Review. *Int. J. Microbiol.* **2017**, 6215136 (2017).
- Day, M. R. et al. Comparison of phenotypic and WGS-derived antimicrobial resistance profiles of Salmonella enterica serovars Typhi and Paratyphi. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 73, 365–372 (2017).
- Misra, R. et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern and sequence analysis of DNA gyrase and DNA topoisomerase IV in Salmonella enterica serovars Typhi and Paratyphi A isolates with decreased susceptibility to ciprofloxacin. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 110, 472–479 (2016).
- Pramod, P., Binod, L., Ritu, A., Sharad, B. & Prakash, P. Enteric fever caused by Salmonella enterica serovar paratyphi A: an emerging health concern in Nepal. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res. 10, 1784–1791 (2016).
- Verma, S., Thakur, S., Kanga, A., Singh, G. & Gupta, P. Emerging Salmonella Paratyphi A enteric fever and changing trends in antimicrobial resistance pattern of Salmonella in Shimla. Indian J. Med. Microbiol. 28, 51–53 (2010).
- Dutta, S. et al. Antimicrobial Resistance, Virulence Profiles and Molecular Subtypes of Salmonella enterica Serovars Typhi and Paratyphi A Blood Isolates from Kolkata, India during 2009–2013. PLoS ONE. 9, e101347 (2014).
- Chau, T. T. et al. Antimicrobial Drug Resistance of Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhi in Asia and Molecular Mechanism of Reduced Susceptibility to the Fluoroquinolones. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 51, 4315–4323 (2007).
- Zhuang, L. et al. Epidemiologic characteristics of typhoid and paratyphoid fever on related drug resistance and molecular types regarding Salmonella typhi and S. paratyphi, in Jiangsu province. Zhonghua liu xing bing xue za zhi. 33, 1269–1272 (212).
- Threlfall, E. J., Ward, L. R., Skinner, J. A., Smith, H. R. & Lacey, S. Ciprofloxacin-resistant Salmonella typhi and treatment failure. Lancet. 353, 1590–1591 (1999).
- Lunguya, O. *et al.* Antimicrobial resistance in invasive non-typhoid Salmonella from the Democratic Republic of the Congo: emergence of decreased fluoroquinolone susceptibility and extended-spectrum beta lactamases. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* 7, e2103 (2013).
- 27. Joaquim, R. Mechanisms of resistance to quinolones: target alterations, decreased accumulation and DNA gyrase protection. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 5, 1109–17 (2003).
- Wasyl, D., Hoszowski, A. & Zajc, M. Prevalence and characterisation of quinolone resistance mechanisms in Salmonella spp. Vet. Microbiol. 171, 307–314 (2014).
- 29. Eguale, T. et al. Genetic markers associated with resistance to beta-lactam and quinolone antimicrobials in non-typhoidal Salmonella isolates from humans and animals in central Ethiopia. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 6, 13 (2017).
- Al-Emran, H. M. et al. A Multicountry Molecular Analysis of Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhi With Reduced Susceptibility to Ciprofloxacin in Sub-Saharan Africa. Clin. Infect. Dis. 62(suppl 1), S42–S46 (2016).
- García, C. et al. Intermediate susceptibility to ciprofloxacin among Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi isolates in Lima, Peru. J. Clin. Microbiol. 52, 968–970 (2014).
- 32. Acheampong, G. et al. Chromosomal and plasmid-mediated fluoroquinolone resistance in human Salmonella enterica infection in Ghana. BMC Infect. Dis. 19, 898 (2019).
- Smith, A. M., Govender, N. & Keddy, K. H. Short Report: Quinolone-Resistant Salmonella Typhi in South Africa, 2003–2007. Epidemiol. Infect. 138, 86–90 (2010).
- 34. Eaves, D. J. *et al.* Prevalence of Mutations within the Quinolone Resistance-Determining Region of *gyrA*, *gyrB*, *parC*, and *parE* and Association with Antibiotic Resistance in Quinolone-Resistant Salmonella enterica. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **48**, 4012–4015 (2004).
- 35. Jacoby, G. A. Mechanisms of Resistance to Quinolones. Clin. Infect. Dis. 41, S120-S126 (2005).
- 36. Piekarska, K. *et al.* Co-existence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance determinants and mutations in *gyrA* and *parC* among fluoroquinolone-resistant clinical Enterobacteriaceae isolated in a tertiary hospital in Warsaw, Poland. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents.* **45**, 238–243 (2015).
- Thong, K. L., Ngoi, S. T., Chai, L. C. & Teh, C. S. Quinolone Resistance Mechanisms Among Salmonella enterica in Malaysia. Microb. Drug Resist. 22, 259–272 (2016).
- Giedraitienė, A., Vitkauskienė, A., Naginienė, R. & Pavilonis, A. Antibiotic resistance mechanisms of clinically important bacteria. *Medicina*. 47, 137–146 (2011).

- 39. Martínez-Martínez, L., Pascual, A. & Jacoby, G. A. Quinolone resistance from a transferable plasmid. Lancet. 351, 797 (1998).
- 40. Cattoir, V. et al. Prevalence of qnr genes in Salmonella in France. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 59, 751–754 (2007).
- Kim, J. H., Cho, J. K. & Kim, K. S. Prevalence and characterization of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance genes in Salmonella isolated from poultry in Korea. Avian Pathol. 42, 221–229 (2013).
- Luo, Y. et al. Prevalence of β-lactamases and 16S rRNA methylase genes amongst clinical Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates carrying plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance determinants. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents. 37, 352–355 (2011).
- 43. Jiang, H. X. *et al.* Multiple transmissible genes encoding fluoroquinolone and third-generation cephalosporin resistance co-located in non-typhoidal Salmonella isolated from food-producing animals in China. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents.* 43, 242–247 (2014).
- Wong, M. H., Yan, M., Chan, E. W., Biao, K. & Chen, S. Emergence of Clinical Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium Isolates with Concurrent Resistance to Ciprofloxacin, Ceftriaxone, and Azithromycin. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 58, 3752–3756 (2014).
 Doublet, B. et al. Extended-spectrum β-lactamase- and AmpC β-lactamase-producing D-tartrate-positive Salmonella enterica
- serovar Paratyphi B from brollers and human patients in Belgium, 2008–2010. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 69, 1257–1264 (2014).
 46. Qamar, F. N., Azmatullah, A., Kazi, A. M., Khan, E. & Zaidi, A. K. A three year review of antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella
 - enterica serovars Typhi and Paratyphi A in Pakistan. Int J Antimicrobial Agents. 8, 981–986 (2014).

Acknowledgements

We thank all our colleagues who participated in this survey. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81871734); Jiangsu Provincial Medical Talent Project (ZDRCA2016053); the Six talent peaks project of Jiangsu Province (WSN-135); the Advanced Health Talents of Six-one Project of Jiangsu Province (LGY2016042) and National Major S&T Projects (2018ZX10714-002).

Author contributions

C.B. and B.G. conceived and designed the experiments. H.Q. and G.L. performed the experiments. S.C. and J.B. wrote the manuscript. Z.T., C.D., J.H. and D.J. assisted with experiments. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.B. or B.G.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020