

OPEN

Superparamagnetic state in $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ thin films obtained by rf-sputtering

M. C. Ramírez Camacho^{1,2}, C. F. Sánchez Valdés³, M. Curiel², J. L. Sánchez Llamazares⁴, J. M. Siqueiros¹ & O. Raymond Herrera^{1*}

A novel superparamagnetic state has been observed in high quality $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ (LSMO) thin films directly grown by rf-sputtering on $\text{SiO}_x/\text{Si}(100)$ substrates. The films are nanostructured without grain boundaries, constituted by locally epitaxial nanoregions grown layer-by-layer with *out-of-plane* (012) preferential orientation, induced by the constrain of the native silicon oxide. Low magnetic field ZFC-FC magnetization curves show a cross-over from superparamagnetic to ferromagnetic state dependent of the thickness. The thicker film (140 nm) exhibits typical ferromagnetic order. The thinner films (40 and 60 nm) exhibit superparamagnetic behavior attributed to interacting ferromagnetic monodomain nanoregions with critical size, random *in-plane* oriented, where the inter-monodomain boundaries with surface spin-glass structure regulate the blocking of magnetization depending on the magnetic field intensity. $M(H)$ hysteresis loops showed noticeable coercive fields in all samples, larger than those reported for LSMO. Such properties of half-metal LSMO film foresee potential integration in new Si-technology nanodevices in Spintronics.

Perovskite mixed valence manganites have attracted great interest since the discovery of the colossal magnetoresistance (CMR) effect¹. Film growth of oxides $\text{R}_{1-x}\text{B}_x\text{MnO}_3$, where R and B are rare-earth and alkaline metals, respectively, is particularly interesting. These oxide materials are desirable due to their chemical flexibility, which allows the study of the structural, electronic and magnetic properties and possible couplings between them that enables their use in Spintronics and novel functional electronic devices such as magnetic random access memory, disk-driven reads, magnetic field sensors and infrared detectors, among others^{1,2}.

In particular, the lanthanum strontium manganite $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{MnO}_3$ is one of the most promising materials for devices operating at room temperature (RT); when $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{MnO}_3$ is doped with the optimum Sr concentration of $x = 0.3$ (LSMO), the manganite has a Curie temperature (T_C) of $\sim 370\text{ K}$ ³. This manganite is characterized by a colossal magnetoresistance at RT range associated to the ferromagnetic ordering of manganese magnetic moments⁴, to high magnetic anisotropy and a high spin polarization (nearly 100%) as predicted by first-principles calculations^{1,3}. Usually, LSMO thin films are used as buffer layers in many device structures such as magnetic field sensing, resistive sensor and magnetic storage applications at RT^{1,5}. Moreover, differing previous studies on ultrathin (110) oriented LSMO films grown on SrTiO_3 (110) substrates have reported a room temperature insulating magnetic phase depending on thickness, induced by strain, but with ferromagnetic structure with $T_C \sim 330\text{ K}$ for thickness above 15 unit cells⁶ or a canted antiferromagnetic structure with higher $T_C \sim 560\text{ K}$ for thickness below the critical value of 10 unit cells⁷. On the other hand, direct silicon-based heterostructures greatly facilitate the fabrication of microelectronic devices. A plethora of reports have documented the high reactivity of silicon with oxygen which, in turn promotes the disruption of epitaxy due to the presence of an amorphous SiO_x native layer on the Si substrates⁸. Therefore it has been common practice to grow epitaxial buffer layers of CaTiO_3 , NdGaO_3 , DyScO_3 or $\text{Sr}_2\text{AlTaO}_6$ on different monocrystalline substrates, particularly on SrTiO_3 and LaAlO_3 substrates⁴.

¹Centro de Nanociencias y Nanotecnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, AP 14, 22860, Ensenada, Baja California, México. ²Instituto de Ingeniería, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Mexicali, Blvd. Benito Juárez s/n, 21280, Baja California, México. ³División Multidisciplinaria, Ciudad Universitaria, Universidad Autónoma de Ciudad Juárez, J. J. Macías Delgado # 18100, Ciudad Juárez, 32579, Chihuahua, México. ⁴Instituto Potosino de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica A.C., Camino a la Presa San José 2055, Col. Lomas 4ª sección, San Luis Potosí, 78216, México. *email: raymond@cnyun.unam.mx

Based on the nature of the deposition process, the methods employed for thin oxide films can be divided into two groups i.e. physical and chemical methods. Most used physical deposition processes include vacuum evaporation, pulsed laser deposition, molecular beam epitaxy (MBE), and sputtering¹. Zheng *et al.*⁹ obtained LSMO epitaxial thin films grown on ferroelectric 0.68Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃–0.32PbTiO₃ (PMN-PT) single-crystal substrates by magnetron sputtering and found that the resistivity of the LSMO films could be tuned by electric fields. Zhang *et al.*¹⁰ provided an alternative approach by growing highly oriented ferromagnetic LSMO films on PMN-PT using a physicochemical sol-gel technique. They obtained magnetic hysteresis loops with coercive field $H_C \sim 50$ Oe at RT and a typical ferromagnetic (FM) behavior at 10 K with $H_C \sim 300$ Oe. Other works that stand out are those of Gu *et al.*¹¹ and Yu *et al.*¹², focused in ferromagnetic and structural properties and novel magnetic phenomena; however, those works reported low coercive fields even at low temperatures. Studies performed by Martin *et al.*¹³ and Gajek *et al.*¹⁴ reported comparable values of $H_C = 40$ Oe at 10 K for La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO₃¹⁵ and $H_C = 310$ Oe at 10 K for La_{0.1}Bi_{0.9}MnO₃¹⁴, respectively.

Additionally, the physical properties of superparamagnetic (SPM) nanoparticles of La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO₃ have been reported as early as 2006¹⁶. However, such nanoparticles are still multi-domain, and their magnetic dynamics naturally differs from that of a superparamagnetic system. In the literature there seems to be no further studies in superparamagnetic LSMO thin films. As far as we know, we believe that no other authors have published on this matter besides the early efforts made by Krivoruchko *et al.*^{15,16} on superparamagnetism studies on ~ 12 nm LSMO single domain nanoparticles.

Thus, the aim of this work is to study the structural and magnetic properties of LSMO thin films of different thickness grown on SiO_x/Si substrates by rf sputtering. The observed local epitaxy, high crystalline orientation, the SPM behavior at lower applied magnetic fields and the high coercive field FM behavior at higher applied magnetic fields are presented and discussed.

Experimental Details

A stoichiometric LSMO ceramic target was fabricated by typical solid-state reaction using high purity La₂O₃, SrCO₃ and SrCO₃ precursor powders. LSMO thin films were directly grown on commercial SiO_x/Si (SOS) substrates with (100) orientation, using rf-magnetron sputtering technique. Optimal deposit parameters for LSMO films were: 2.0×10^{-5} Torr base pressure, 5 cm target-substrate distance, 773 K substrate temperature, 250 rf power, partial pressures of Ar and O totaling 20 mTorr. The deposition times were set to 15, 30 and 60 min which lead to thickness values of 40, 60 and 140 nm, respectively, measured by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (see below). Thus the sample label was chosen as L40, L60 and L140 according to the thickness values.

X-ray diffraction patterns were collected with an X-Pert PRO MRD diffractometer from PANANALYTICAL, using Cu-K α_1 radiation with wavelength of 1.540598 Å. Cross-sectional specimens were prepared using the focused ion beam (FIB) technique, available in a JEOL JIB-4500 scanning electron microscope; before, all LSMO/SOS stacks were coated with a gold film to protect from Ga beam damage. High-angle annular dark-field images and local chemical composition characterization was realized by scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) using a JEOL JEM-2100F microscope equipped with energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). Local structural analysis was realized by high resolution TEM technique using a JEOL JEM-2200FS microscope employing an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The *Diamond* software (version 4)¹⁷ was used for the structural simulation and analysis.

A DynaCool 9 T platform from QUANTUM DESIGN, equipped with vibrating sample magnetometry technique, was used for magnetic characterization. Magnetization curves as functions of temperature $M(T)$, were measured in the 2.5 K to 400 K temperature range under an applied magnetic field $H = 200$ Oe. The $M(T)$ curves, in zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) regime, were obtained in no-over-shoot mode with a heating and cooling rate of 1 K/min. High magnetic field FC curves obtained under 50 kOe were measured from 400 K to 2.5 K. Magnetization curves as functions of the applied magnetic field $M(H)$ were measured, at selected temperatures from 2.5 K to 400 K, between -40 kOe and $+40$ kOe using a step of 100 Oe/s. The $M(H)$ curves were normalized to the total volume of the LSMO layer for each sample, using the thickness values obtained by TEM. For all $M(H)$ curves, the diamagnetic contribution of the SiO_x/Si substrate was subtracted.

Results and Discussion

Structural characterization. Figure 1a shows the X-ray diffraction data of the stoichiometric La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO₃ ceramic target, which exhibits the standard polycrystalline pattern. The pattern can be indexed with the rhombohedral crystalline structure ($R\bar{3}c$ space group, SG 167) with hexagonal lattice parameters of $a_{\text{LSMO}} = 5.5213$ Å and $c_{\text{LSMO}} = 13.4130$ Å, in good correspondence with the PDF-01-089-8095 file reported for LSMO¹⁸. The obtained parameters agree with those of $a = 5.52$ Å and $c = 13.36$ Å reported by Choi *et al.*¹⁹ and according with the structural phase diagram of the La_{1-x}Sr_xMnO₃²⁰. Figure 1b illustrates the hexagonal cell of LSMO corresponding to $R\bar{3}c$ symmetry.

XRD patterns of LSMO thin films grown on SOS substrates with different thickness are shown in Fig. 2a. The peaks observed at $2\theta = 69.05^\circ$ and $2\theta = 32.50^\circ$ correspond to the (400) and (100) planes of the Si substrate. All LSMO/SOS samples show highly textured growth of LSMO thin films with the (012) *out-of-plane* preferential orientation described by the indexed peaks (012) positioned at $2\theta \sim 22.58^\circ$ and (024) at $2\theta \sim 46.25^\circ$ corresponding to those planes which have the composition and atoms arrangement illustrated in Fig. 2b. Such indexing corresponds to the rhombohedral structure ($R\bar{3}c$ space group, SG 167) in agreement with the structural description above on the LSMO target. The Table 1 shows the full width at half maximum (FWHM) values calculated from the (024) peaks. The widening of the (024) peak and the presence of the peak at $2\theta = 32.36^\circ$ corresponding to ($\bar{1}20$) and ($\bar{1}14$) planes, denotes the degree of *out-of-plane* misorientation of the film. Such misorientation grows as thickness increases as corroborated by the increase of both the (024) peak widening and the relative intensity of the peak at $2\theta = 32.36^\circ$. Moreover, grazing-incidence XRD measurements (illustrated in Supplementary Fig. S1)




Figure 1. (a) XRD pattern of stoichiometric $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ (LSMO) ceramic target. It is indexed with the rhombohedral $R\bar{3}c$ space group (167). (b) Hexagonal unit cell of LSMO; the highlighted plane corresponds to the (012) plane.




Figure 2. (a) XRD patterns of the $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ thin films L40, L60 and L140 grown on $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Si}(100)$ substrates with 40 nm, 60 nm and 140 nm thickness, respectively. (b) Structural simulation of an LSMO film using the parameters obtained from XRD data, illustrating the in-plane atomic array on the (012) and (024) planes which are perpendicular to the out-of-plane direction.

	Sample		
	L40	L60	L140
Thickness (nm)	40	60	140
a_H (Å)	5.52	5.53	5.52
c_H (Å)	13.77	13.70	13.78
FWHM (°)	0.71	0.75	1.00

Table 1. Values of the FWHM calculated from the (024) peaks, the hexagonal lattice parameters and thickness obtained for LSMO thin films.




Figure 3. Cross-section HAADF STEM analysis of LSMO/SOS stacks. (a) EDS results on the 40 nm (L40) thick LSMO thin film (the Au layer is a protective layer for FIB preparation). Representative images of LSMO films with thickness of (b) 60 nm (L60) and (c) 140 nm (L140), respectively. The dash lines in (b) and (c) indicate the silicon oxide layers.

were performed on L60 sample by means of omega-2theta ($\omega-2\theta$) scan and ω -scan (rocking curve). The $\omega-2\theta$ pattern obtained at optimal $\omega = 3^\circ$ confirms the highly (012) *out-of-plane* preferential orientation, while the ω -scan around to $\omega = 3^\circ$ at $2\theta = 22.45^\circ$ corresponding to the (012) maximum that exhibits a peak with a FWHM = 3° , demonstrates the *out-of-plane* misorientation which can be associated with a nanostructured growth. As will be discussed below, these growth features can be related with an *in-plane* random orientation of nanodomains imposed by the non-crystalline surface of the native amorphous silicon oxide film on the Si substrate. Pole figures (ψ, Φ - scan) measured at 2θ values corresponding to other planes different to those of the *out-of-plane* orientation as $(\bar{1}14)/(\bar{1}20)$ at $2\theta = 32.36^\circ$ and (030) at $2\theta = 58.17^\circ$ exhibit constant intensity profiles, evincing the *in-plane* random orientation of nanodomains (see the Supplementary Fig. S2).

Using the structural and XRD simulation and the XRD data, the hexagonal lattice parameters for each sample were obtained and are reported in Table 1. These parameters are in good correspondence with those reported by Hibble *et al.*¹⁸ (ICSD 88409) of $a = 5.5085 \text{ \AA}$ and $c = 13.717 \text{ \AA}$ at RT for $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$. The lattice parameters calculated here for the ceramic target and LSMO films are taken as evidence that the stoichiometric and chemical composition of the $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ compound is fulfilled.

As can be noted, our results show that all samples exhibit good crystalline features, regarding crystalline structure and the preferential orientation of growth, independently of the change in thickness. These features distinguish our procedure from other studies of LSMO thin films with thickness of 350 nm, grown by sputtering on SOS substrates where the crystalline quality and the growth orientation are influenced by the rf-sputtering conditions and oxygen pressure^{21,22}.




Figure 4. Cross-section high resolution TEM micrographs of the sputtered LSMO films with thickness of (a) 40 nm (L40), (b) 60 nm (L60), and (c) and (d) 140 nm (L140). Dash lines are guides for the eyes.

Figure 3 illustrates representative cross-section images of LSMO/SOS stacks obtained by high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) imaging method from STEM. It demonstrates that all LSMO films growth on SOS substrates are highly homogenous, without demarcated grain boundaries, and allow to obtain good valuation of the average thickness values reported in Table 1. Figure 3a shows the chemical mapping obtained from EDS analysis for the L40 sample in the area indicated with the rectangle. All expected elements are present. The Au signal comes from the layer for the cross-sectional sample preparation. The Au, La, Sr, Mn, O and Si signals correspond to the M_{α_1} , L_{α_1} , L_{α_2} , K_{α_1} , K_{α_2} and K_{α_3} transitions, respectively. As can be seen, a high signal is observed on the Si zone of the Sr rectangle. This effect can be explained by the strong emission coming from the monocrystalline Si due to overlapping of the Sr- L_{α_1} signal (1.807 keV for high level) with those of the Si- K_{α_1} (1.740 keV for high level).

In addition, representative cross-section high-resolution TEM micrographs of all LSMO/SOS samples are illustrated in Fig. 4. The LSMO thin films exhibit excellent crystalline growth on the native silicon oxide layer with thickness ~ 6 nm. In Fig. 4, it is possible to observe that all LSMO films have the same preferential growth orientation. The average value of 3.81 Å, obtained from the measured interplanar distances, is in good correspondence with the expected value of 3.8933 Å associated to (012) plane (Fig. 1b) in the standard XRD pattern, which confirms the (012) preferential orientation.

In our work, the LSMO thin film grew relaxed without appreciable grain boundaries, and a continuous connection between the LSMO film with the silicon oxide layer with an LSMO-SiO_x interface thickness of ~ 4 nm (Fig. 4) suggesting a local epitaxial growth of LSMO. All these features establish a noticeable distinction with the sharp grain boundaries observed in the compressive strained 50 nm LSMO thin film grown by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) on epitaxial CaTiO₃ buffered silicon substrates and the formation of a 10 nm amorphous SiO_x layer reported by Adamo *et al.*⁵

To understand such unusual epitaxial coupling between the LSMO films here obtained and the native silicon oxide of the Si wafers, structural simulation can be used. Figures 1b and 2b show that on the LSMO structure, the (012) and (024) planes are described by crossed Mn-O-Mn and La/Sr-O-La/Sr chains, respectively; where, according to $R\bar{3}c$ symmetry, the Mn³⁺/Mn⁴⁺ ions that are occupying the octahedral B-site are six-fold coordinated, while the ions La³⁺/Sr⁴⁺ occupying A-site are nine-fold coordinated. Moreover, according to Fig. 2b, the

LSMO growth with (012) *out-of-plane* orientation could be considered as a layer-by-layer growth of the (012) and (024) planes as is observed by HRTEM in Fig. 4. Thus, such a highly textured (012) growth of the LSMO thin films and specially the increase of the a_H lattice parameter respect to those reported for LSMO, could be a result of the local incommensurate coupling of a crossed Mn–O–Mn chains arrangement with the two-dimensional Si–O–Si array at the surface of the native amorphous ultrathin silicon oxide film on the Si wafers. Previous theoretical studies using reactive molecular dynamics^{8,23} have demonstrated that the upper layers of the native silicon oxide have the SiO₂ stoichiometry and the planar rings with lowest energy formation are four-membered rings²³. Consequently, the Mn–O–Mn arrangement of (012) planes conforming four-membered rings (Fig. 2b), and characterized by a Mn–O bond length of 1.94 Å, O–Mn–O angles of 89.96° and 90.04°, and Mn–O–Mn angle of 166.50°, can be constrained by the local ordering parameters of the Si–O–Si surface characterized by a Si–O bond length of 1.61 Å, O–Si–O angle of ~110°, and Si–O–Si angle of 160° as reported by previous theoretical studies^{8,23}. Such coupling between the Mn–O and Si–O four-membered rings could be the mechanism that explain the strain relaxation close to the LSMO/SiO₂ interface and the local epitaxial growth of highly (012) *out-of-plane* oriented nanoregions, random *in-plane* oriented, which are very difficult to be observed by TEM due to the amorphous nature of the silicon oxide layer. This coupling at the LSMO/SiO₂ interface release the strain and stress interactions inhibiting the grain boundaries formation.

Magnetic characterization. Figures 5a,c show the *in-plane* M(T) behaviors under a magnetic field of 200 Oe, measured from 2.5 K to 400 K, of the LSMO/SOS samples with different thicknesses of the LSMO thin films, and they were not corrected due to the very small magnetic contribution of the SiO₂/Si(100) substrate around of 6×10^{-6} emu at 200 Oe. L140 exhibits a typical ferromagnetic behavior (Fig. 5a) as the ZFC and FC curves follow the same path, characterized by the Curie temperature $T_C = 218$ K determined from the reciprocal susceptibility (χ^{-1}) plot using the ZFC magnetization data as illustrated in the inset in Fig. 5a. Previous studies on LSMO films grown on dissimilar substrates have reported ZFC curves exhibiting a FM behavior with Curie temperatures between 330 K to 560 K for thin films^{5–7,24} and 370 K for bulk samples²⁵; but recently, studies on LSMO:CuO nanocomposite films have reported T_C values around 220 K and 250 K²⁶. The lower value of 218 K here obtained could be correlated with the *in-plane* misorientation and the inter-nanoregions disordered phase boundaries with random *in-plane* orientations inherited from the two-dimensional Si–O–Si array at the surface of the native amorphous where the LSMO thin film grew.

The thinner films L40 and L60 exhibit strong splitting between the ZFC and FC magnetization curves as can be seen in Fig. 5b,c, respectively, where the ZFC curves exhibit a magnetization maximum followed by a magnetization descent when temperature decreases down to 2.5 K. Moreover, it can be observed that the split occurs at higher temperatures than those corresponding to maximum values of magnetization in ZFC curves. Such behavior of the ZFC curves for L40 and L60 samples correspond to a typical superparamagnetic (SPM) behavior described by four characteristic temperatures: i. the paramagnetic-SPM transition temperature T_C , ii. the blocking temperature T_B corresponding to maximum value of ZFC data which is proportionally correlated to the critical particle size¹⁶, iii. the irreversibility temperature T_{irr} at which ZFC magnetization departs from that of FC, and iv. the temperature of the maximum of the derivative $d(M_{FC}-M_{ZFC})/dT$ vs. T curve, known as the mean blocking temperature \bar{T}_B ²⁷. The SPM behavior for the LSMO systems has been reported in studies on 12 nm single-domain nanoparticles obtained by joint deposition¹⁶ or 20 nm multidomain nanoparticles prepared via sol-gel²⁸; but, as far as it has been possible to review, such behavior in LSMO thin films have only been reported in our own previous report²⁹.

The observed SPM state on the nanostructured LSMO layers can be associated to interacting ferromagnetic monodomain nanoregions, whose average size should be smaller than a certain critical size as a condition to transit from a macroscopic ferromagnetic interaction to a macroscopic paramagnetic behavior^{27–32}. These nanoregions, delimited with dashed lines in Fig 4b,d, are induced by the local constrain of the SiO₂ surface as was discussed above, but without appreciable grain boundaries for both samples. It is known that the SPM nanoparticles have a characteristic surface layer with spin-glass structure, in correspondence with the larger surface-to-volume ratio, which produces an anisotropic field that frustrates the inner magnetic moments^{30,31}. Thus, the SPM behavior here observed at low magnetic field, is attributed to the antiparallel coupling between the surface spin-glass layers at both sides of the nanoregions interface, that shield the inner FM magnetic moments and weakens the inter-monodomain exchange. Such magnetic energy barrier, associated with the surface anisotropic field at the nanoregions boundaries, can be overcome with the increase of the external magnetic field intensity. With this, in ZFC curves, for $T < T_B$ the magnetization of the blocked monodomains is oriented antiparallel with each other so that the free energy is minimized causing the total magnetization to drop sharply with the temperature decrease. When $T > T_B$, thermal vibrations promote the unblocking of monodomains which will no longer contribute to the raise of the total magnetization because their magnetizations are randomly oriented. For $T > T_C$ the monodomain nanoregions lose their spontaneous magnetization, each atomic magnetic moment is randomly oriented, and the system behaves as a classic paramagnetic material.

From the ZFC curves the values of $T_B = 41$ K, $T_{irr} = 98$ K and $\bar{T}_B = 24$ K were obtained for L60 (Fig. 5b) and of $T_B = 53$ K, $T_{irr} = 68$ K and $\bar{T}_B = 38$ K for L40 (Fig. 5c). Meanwhile, the T_C values for the SPM L60 ($T_C = 189$ K) and L40 ($T_C = 196$ K) samples were determined from the reciprocal susceptibility (χ^{-1}) plot according to the Curie–Weiss law using the FC magnetization curve (see the Supplementary Fig. S3). In comparison, it can be seen that the L60 sample has a wider particle size distribution as its T_{irr} value is higher and its T_B value is lower respect to those of the L40 film and thus, since T_B is proportional to the critical particle size, the size of monodomains in L60 film can be assumed smaller than those for the L40 film which is thinner. Therefore, for L60 there is a higher fraction of unblocked nanoregions at temperatures above T_B and below T_{irr} that do not contribute to the increase of magnetization in the ZFC curve but can contribute in the FC curve. Meanwhile, for L40 such fraction of




Figure 5. Magnetic characterization of the LSMO/SOS stacks. Temperature dependence of the magnetization at low (200 Oe) and high (50 kOe) applied magnetic fields of (a) L140, (b) L60, and (c) L40 samples. The insets are the (a) reciprocal susceptibility (χ^{-1}) plot, and (b) and (c) are the derivate $d(\Delta M)/dT$ vs T plots, with $\Delta M = M_{ZFC} - M_{FC}$. $M(H)$ hysteresis loops measured at different temperatures with $H_{MAX} = 40$ kOe for (d) L140, (e) L60, and (f) L40. Inside all figures, the complete $M(H)$ loop measured at 2.5 K.

unblocked nanoregions is smaller as T_{irr} is closer to T_B and the size distribution is more narrow, i.e. the *in-plane* monodomain size is more homogeneous. However, for L40 the derivate $d(M_{FC} - M_{ZFC})/dT$ (inset in Fig. 5c) exhibits a broader peak and higher values of the T_B and T_{irr} temperatures than those of L60 (inset in Fig. 5b) which can be correlated with a higher effective magnetic energy barrier for L40.




Figure 6. Coercive field (H_C) values as function of temperature for the LSMO/SOS stacks.

Moreover, the FC curves measured at 200 Oe after ZFC curves for L40 and L60 (Fig. 5b,c, respectively), are similar to each other. In comparison with the FC curve of L140 (Fig. 5a), the magnetization values of L40 and L60 follow the same ferromagnetic-like behavior; however, they are noticeably higher than those of the L140. In both cases, the contribution to the FC curve coming from the SPM nanoregions does not depend on temperature; that is, when the temperature decreases below T_{irr} , the monodomain nanoregions coming from an oriented state of magnetization (in contrast to random orientations on ZFC) are cooled and contribute to rise the magnetization as temperature decreases. All this was confirmed with the field-heated magnetization curves (FH), measured after the FC curves, which follow the same path of the FC data as can be seen for the L40 sample in Fig. 5c. Therefore, as was mentioned above, it is expected that under a high applied magnetic field, the LSMO thin films will exhibit FM-like behavior due to the monodomain nanoregions, when touching each other, interact strongly across their boundaries making the SPM behavior disappear when the magnetic field intensity overcomes the magnetic energy barrier associated with the anisotropic field of the SPM monodomain boundaries³⁰.

The FC curves obtained when cooling the sample from 400 to 2.5 K under a high dc applied magnetic field of 50 kOe are illustrated in Fig. 5a,c, and they were corrected by subtracting the magnetic signal of the substrate at 50 kOe as illustrated in the Supplementary Fig. S4. Such curves correspond with to maximum magnetization reached at each temperature, and exhibit an expected FM-like behavior for all samples. However, surprising high values of magnetization are observed for the thinner L40 and L60 samples in comparison with those of the thicker L140 sample, especially in the high temperature region. This can be taken as evidence of the quality of the crystalline and magnetic structure of the L40 and L60 samples. Additionally, for L40 and L60 such high field FC curves exhibit some features that could be correlated with the transitions observed in their ZFC data. For the L40 sample, anomalies are observed at 202 K and 185 K (Fig. 5c) around the Curie temperature $T_C = 196$ K which could be associated to a second order transition as reported for the $\text{La}_{0.67}\text{Sr}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$ ³¹. Meanwhile, the L60 sample shows a noticeable change of magnetization at 71 K and 36 K around the blocking temperature of 41 K (Fig. 5b), and barely observed around 70 K for L40 (Fig. 5c). These features can be correlated with a strong antiparallel interaction between the monodomain nanoregions by demagnetization energy barriers on their boundaries in correspondence with the wider size distribution discussed above.

On the other hand, Fig. 5d,e,f show representative curves of the *in-plane* magnetization as function of the applied magnetic field, $M(H)$ loops, for L40, L60 and L140, respectively, measured after zero field cooling at different temperatures between 2.5 K and 300 K with a maximum applied magnetic field $H_{MAX} = \pm 40$ kOe. The $M(H)$ loops were corrected by subtracting the negative-slope straight line obtained from the diamagnetic response of the $\text{SiO}_x/\text{Si}(100)$ substrate as it is illustrated in the Supplementary Fig. S5, determining its slope at different temperatures as the Supplementary Fig. S6 shows for 2.5, 5 and 300 K for L40 sample. The very small substrate paramagnetic contribution ($\sim 3 \times 10^{-3}$ emu/cm³), here neglected, can be attributed to SiO_x layer (see the Supplementary Fig. S7).

As expected from the discussion above, all samples exhibit typical FM hysteresis loops at 2.5 K (see insets in Fig. 5d,f) where the magnetization maxima M_{MAX} are in correspondence with the values of the 50 kOe-FC curves (Fig. 5a,c); however, saturation is never reached in all temperature range because of the nanostructured nature of the LSMO thin films. Moreover, the initial magnetization isotherms (not illustrated here) do not display the abrupt slope change characteristic of the first-order transition, instead, they exhibit a typical continuous change of the magnetic properties associated to a second-order transition³³.

Additionally, as can be observed in Fig. 5d,f, the values of the coercive field H_C (illustrated in Fig. 6), the remanent magnetization and the magnetization maximum, similar for all LSMO films, decrease with the temperature increase in correspondence with the low and high applied magnetic field FC curves in Fig. 5a,c. For all samples, such macroscopic ferromagnetic behavior almost disappears at temperatures above 100 K (Fig. 6). Nevertheless, surprising high values of H_C are observed for all samples at lower temperatures. The H_C values at 2.5 K of ~ 1250 Oe, ~ 746 Oe, and ~ 1120 Oe for L140, L60, and L40 samples, respectively, are significantly higher than those previously reported (from 10 Oe to 500 Oe) for LSMO thin films grown on different substrates such as LaAlO_3 (LAO)²⁰, $\text{Ba}_4\text{Ti}_3\text{O}_{12}$ (BTO)/SOS and BTO/LAO/Si¹¹, SrTiO_3 (STO)^{11,12,20,34-37}, and STO/MgO/TiN/SOS³⁸. Although the H_C values are significantly higher, our LSMO magnetic phase remains as a nearly soft ferromagnet exhibiting non-square loops at low temperatures. To evaluate between soft and hard behavior, the

remanent-to-saturation coefficient $\gamma = M_R/M_S$ is usually used as a criterion^{39,40}. $\gamma \sim 0.0$ identifies a well-soft material and $\gamma = 1$ corresponds to an optimal hard material. Many reports have established the value of $\gamma = 0.5$ or 0.6 as the limit between soft and hard materials^{39,40}. At 2.5 K the values of $\gamma = M_R(0 \text{ Oe})/M_S(40 \text{ kOe})$ are 0.35, 0.38 and 0.39 for L40, L60 and L140 samples, respectively, endorsing that our LSMO thin films are far from being hard materials.

At higher temperatures $T > 100 \text{ K}$, the $M(H)$ loops for all samples exhibit sigmoidal shapes (with very low values of H_C), which can be due to weak FM ordering near the Curie transition temperature. Curves of magnetization as function of H/T measured with $H_{\text{MAX}} = 40 \text{ kOe}$ at different temperatures between 100 and 400 K for the L40 sample (with $T_C = 196 \text{ K}$) are illustrated in the Supplementary Fig. S8. The anhysteretic $M(H/T)$ curves are superimposed for temperatures above 200 K as expected from superparamagnetic behavior for temperatures above T_C ; while decreasing below 200 K the $M(H/T)$ curves depart from the overlapped curves increasing the magnetization steeply starting from 100 K. Notice that the substrate's magnetic contribution at high magnetic field introduces a noticeable noise in the measured data.

Furthermore, the strong interaction discussed above between the monodomain nanoregions for the thinner samples is also reflected in the $M(H)$ loops, especially for the L60 sample characterized by its wider nanodomain size distribution. As can be seen in Fig. 5e, the shadowed hysteresis loop measured at 50 K exhibits a noticeable goose-neck shape which can be explained by the antiparallel interaction at lower applied magnetic field in the temperature region around the blocking temperature of 41 K (Fig. 5b). At higher magnetic field, the monodomains are oriented overcoming the demagnetization energy barriers and the magnetization follows a FM behavior.

Conclusions

Highly oriented $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ thin films were successfully grown on $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Si}(100)$ substrates by rf-magnetron sputtering, with controlled thicknesses of 40, 60 and 140 nm. In contrast with previous reports on LSMO films obtained by different techniques, our results demonstrate that not buffer layer is required to obtain high quality LSMO thin films. With our approach all sputtered LSMO films were relaxed, single phase, highly dense, growing nanostructured without appreciable grain boundaries conforming locally epitaxial nanoregions with *out-of-plane* (012) preferential orientation, as a layer by layer growth of the Mn–O–Mn and La/Sr–O–La/Sr planes, induced by the constrain of the SiO_2 surface on the native silicon oxide, with a low misorientation degree which increases with thickness.

The magnetic measurements at low applied field, represented by the $M(T)$ curves, show a cross-over of magnetic ordering from superparamagnetic to ferromagnetic state depending of the magnetic field intensity and the LSMO thickness. The thicker film (140 nm) exhibits the typical ferromagnetic order reported for LSMO thin films but with a lower $T_C = 218 \text{ K}$ ascribed to its nanostructured nature. The thinner films with 40 and 60 nm exhibit a novel superparamagnetic behavior with blocking temperatures of $T_B = 53 \text{ K}$ and 41 K , respectively, not reported before for LSMO films, attributed to interacting ferromagnetic monodomain nanoregions with an average size smaller than the critical size required for the presence of superparamagnetism. From the $M(H)$ hysteresis loops measurements, all LSMO films showed noticeable high coercive field values H_C larger than those reported for LSMO in ferromagnetic state. Our results demonstrate that sputtering it is a good fabrication technique to produce great quality magnetic nanostructured films with high coercivity superparamagnetic behavior. As a practical conclusion, we can say that the LSMO thin films here described show potential for application as bottom electrodes for Si-based nanodevices for Spintronics.

Received: 21 September 2019; Accepted: 13 January 2020;

Published online: 13 February 2020

References

- Coll, M. *et al.* Towards oxide electronics: a roadmap. *Applied Surface Science* **482**, 1–93, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2019.03.312> (2019).
- Hu, J., Nan, T., Sun, N. & Chen, L. Multiferroic magnetoelectric nanostructures for novel device applications. *MRS Bulletin*. **40**, 728–735, <https://doi.org/10.1557/mrs.2015.195> (2015).
- Yamada, Y., So, J., Asano, H., Tazawa, M. & Yoshimura, K. Temperature dependence of optical constants of $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ thin films. *Applied Surface Science* **421**, 866–869, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2017.02.061> (2017).
- Li, Z., Song, *et al.* Competing interfacial reconstruction mechanisms in $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3/\text{SrTiO}_3$ heterostructures. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* **8**, 24192–24197, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b07569> (2016).
- Adamo, *et al.* Enhanced electrical and magnetic properties in $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ thin films deposited on CaTiO_3 -buffered silicon substrates. *APL Materials* **3**, 062504, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4915486.18> (2015).
- Quan, Z. *et al.* Room temperature insulating ferromagnetism induced by charge transfer in ultrathin (110) $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ films. *App. Phys. Letters* **110**, 072405, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4976699> (2017).
- Boschker, H. *et al.* High-Temperature Magnetic Insulating Phase in Ultrathin $\text{La}_{0.67}\text{Sr}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$ Films. *Phys. Rev. Letters* **109**, 157207, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.157207> (2012).
- Khalilov, U., Neyts, E., Pourtois, G. & van Duin, A. Can we control the thickness of ultrathin silica layers by hyperthermal silicon oxidation at room temperature? *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **115**, 24839–24848 (2011).
- Zheng, R. *et al.* Effects of ferroelectric polarization and converse piezoelectric effect induced lattice strain on the electrical properties of $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ thin films. *Journal of Applied Physics* **99**, 123714, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2202693> (2006).
- Zhang, J. *et al.* Structural and magnetic properties of $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ ferromagnetic thin film grown on PMNPT by sol–gel method. *Journal of Advanced Dielectrics* **07**, 1750029, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S2010135X17500291> (2017).
- Gu, J. *et al.* Growth and properties of c-axis textured $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ films on SiO_2/Si substrates with a $\text{Bi}_4\text{Ti}_3\text{O}_{12}$ template layer. *Applied Physics Letters* **70**, 1763–1765, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.118649> (1997).
- Yu, P. *et al.* Interface Ferromagnetism and Orbital Reconstruction in BiFeO_3 – $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ Heterostructures. *Physical Review Letters*. **105**, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.027201> (2010).
- Martin, L. *et al.* Multiferroics and magnetoelectrics: thin films and nanostructures. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **20**, 434220, <https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/20/43/434220> (2008).

14. Gajek, M., Bibes, M., Barthélémy, A., Varela, M. & Fontcuberta, J. Perovskite-based heterostructures integrating ferromagnetic-insulating $\text{La}_{0.1}\text{Bi}_{0.9}\text{MnO}_3$. *Journal of Applied Physics* **97**, 103909, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1899227> (2005).
15. Krivoruchko, V., Marchenko, A. & Prokhorov, A. Superparamagnetic resonance of single-domain nanoparticles of LaSrMnO_3 . *Low Temperature Physics* **33**, 433–438, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2737553> (2007).
16. Krivoruchko, V., Konstantinova, T., Mazur, A., Prokhorov, A. & Varyukhin, V. Magnetic resonances spectroscopy of nanosize particles $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*. **300**, e122–e125, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2005.10.163> (2006).
17. Putz, H. & Brandenburg, K. Diamond-crystal and molecular structure visualization. Cryst. Impact. Kreuzherrenstr, 102, 53227, Bonn, Germany. <http://www.crystalimpact.com/diamond>.
18. Hibble, S., Cooper, S., Hannon, A., Fawcett, I. & Greenblatt, M. Local distortions in the colossal magnetoresistive manganates $\text{La}_{0.70}\text{Ca}_{0.30}\text{MnO}_3$, $\text{La}_{0.80}\text{Ca}_{0.20}\text{MnO}_3$ and $\text{La}_{0.70}\text{Sr}_{0.30}\text{MnO}_3$ revealed by total neutron diffraction. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **11**, 9221–9238 (1999).
19. Choi, S. *et al.* Low temperature grown polycrystalline $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ thin films on amorphous SiO_2 substrates by rf magnetron sputtering. *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A: Vacuum, Surfaces, and Films* **27**(4), 595, <https://doi.org/10.1116/1.3125265> (2009).
20. Haghiri-Gosnet, A. *et al.* Microstructure and magnetic properties of strained $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ thin films. *Journal of Applied Physics* **88**, 4257, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1309040> (2000).
21. Sahu, D. Lateral parameter variations on the properties of $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ films prepared on Si(100) substrates by dc magnetron sputtering. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* **503**, 163–169, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2010.04.225> (2010).
22. Singh, S. *et al.* Induced magnetization in $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3/\text{BiFeO}_3$ superlattices. *Physical Review Letters*. **113**, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.04720419> (2014).
23. Awazu, K. & Kawazoe, H. Strained Si–O–Si bonds in amorphous SiO_2 materials: A family member of active centers in radio, photo, and chemical responses. *Journal of Applied Physics* **94**, 6243–6262, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1618351> (2003).
24. Qin, Q. *et al.* Ultra-low magnetic damping of perovskite $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ thin films. *Applied Physics Letters* **110**, 112401, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4978431> (2017).
25. Fontcuberta, J. *et al.* Epitaxial growth of magnetoresistive (00h), (0hh), and (hhh) $\text{La}_{2/3}\text{Sr}_{1/3}\text{MnO}_3$ thin films on (001)Si substrates. *Applied Physics Letters* **74**, 1743–1745, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.123674> (1999).
26. Fan, M. *et al.* Microstructure, magnetic, and magnetoresistance properties of $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3/\text{CuO}$ nanocomposite thin films. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* **10**, 5779–5784, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b17398> (2018).
27. Knobel, M. *et al.* Superparamagnetism and other magnetic features in granular materials: A review on ideal and real Systems. *Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology* **8**, 2836–2857, <https://doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2008.15348> (2008).
28. Rostamnejadi, A., Salamati, H., Kameli, P. & Ahmadvand, H. Superparamagnetic behavior of $\text{La}_{0.67}\text{Sr}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$ nanoparticles prepared via sol–gel method. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* **321**, 3126–3131, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2009.05.035> (2009).
29. Ramírez-Camacho, M. *et al.* Room temperature ferromagnetism and ferroelectricity in strained multiferroic BiFeO_3 thin films on $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3/\text{SiO}_2/\text{Si}$ substrates. *Acta Materialia*. **128**, 451–464, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2017.02.030> (2017).
30. Hsieh, C. & Lue, J. Anisotropy-induced quantum superparamagnet state in cobalt-ferrite nanoparticles at low temperatures. *Physics Letters A* **316**, 329–335, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2003.08.004> (2003).
31. Zheng, W. *et al.* Quantum phase transition from superparamagnetic to quantum superparamagnetic state in ultrasmall $\text{Cd}_{1-x}\text{Cr}(\text{II})x\text{Se}$ quantum dots? *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **134**, 2172–2179, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja2088426> (2012).
32. Xiao, *et al.* Quantum tunneling of magnetization in ultrasmall half-metallic V_3O_4 quantum dots: displaying quantum superparamagnetic state. *Scientific Reports*. **2**, <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00755> (2012).
33. Mira, J., Rivas, J., Rivadulla, F., Vázquez-Vázquez, C. & López-Quintela, M. Change from first- to second-order magnetic phase transition in $\text{La}_{2/3}(\text{Ca},\text{Sr})_{1/3}\text{MnO}_3$ perovskites. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics*. **60**(5), 2998–3001, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.2998> (1999).
34. Vafae, M. *et al.* The effect of interface roughness on exchange bias in $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3\text{-BiFeO}_3$ heterostructures. *Applied Physics Letters* **108**, 072401, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4941795> (2016).
35. Kumar, V., Gaur, A., Choudhary, R. & Gupta, M. Surface and grain boundary interdiffusion in nanometer-scale LSMO/BFO bilayer. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* **405**, 72–77, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2015.12.041> (2016).
36. Wang, L. *et al.* Effect of the thickness of BiFeO_3 layers on the magnetic and electric properties of $\text{BiFeO}_3/\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ heterostructures. *Applied Physics Letters* **102**, 242902, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4811439> (2013).
37. Hunter, D. *et al.* Self-assembled nanocrystalline epitaxial manganese films on SrTiO_3/Si heterostructures. *Journal of Applied Physics*. **99**, 08Q307, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2162088> (2006).
38. Rao, S. *et al.* Interface magnetism in epitaxial $\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ heterostructures integrated on Si(100). *Nano Letters* **13**, 5814–5821, <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl4023435> (2013).
39. Advanced Magnetic Nanostructures. Editors David Sellmyer and Ralph Skomski. Springer Science-Business Media, Inc. USA. ISBN 10: 0-387-23316-4 (2006).
40. David, S. *et al.* Analysis of magnetization processes in nanocomposite hard magnetic materials from macroscopic magnetic measurements and x-ray magnetic circular dichroism. *Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* Vol. 577 (1999).

Acknowledgements

M.C. Ramírez Camacho thanks CONACYT for supporting her postdoctoral position. This work was supported by DGAPA-PAPIIT-UNAM Grant IN107918 and IN105317. The authors thank the technical support of Gilberto Francisco Hurtado from Centro de Investigación en Química Aplicada (CIQA, Saltillo); Eloisa Aparicio, Francisco Ruíz, Israel Gradilla, Pedro Casillas, Eduardo Murillo, Aldo G. Guerrero Rodríguez from the Centro de Nanociencias y Nanotecnología (CNyN-UNAM); Laboratorio Nacional de Investigaciones en Nanociencias y Nanotecnología (LINAN, IPICYT, San Luis Potosí); José Murillo and Óscar Solís from Laboratorio Nacional de Nanotecnología (CIMAV-Chihuahua), in México.

Author contributions

The LSMO ceramic target was prepared by M.C.R.C. and O.R.H. The LSMO thin films were prepared by M.C.R.C., M.C. and O.R.H. The XRD and HRTEM experiments and analysis were performed by M.C.R.C., M.C. and O.R.H. Grazing-incidence XRD and pole figures measurements and analysis was performed by O.R.H. Magnetic characterization experiments were performed by C.F.S.V. and J.L.S.LL. and the results were analyzed by C.F.S.V., J.L.S.LL. and O.R.H. The manuscript was written by M.C.R.C., J.M.S. and O.R.H. All authors discussed the results and reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59334-3>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to O.R.H.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2020