SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

OPEN

Application of Kalman Filter Model in the Landslide Deformation Forecast

Fumin Lu* & Huaien Zeng

Nonlinear exponential trend model is linearized into the linear model, then linearized model parameters are regarded as the state vector containing the dynamic noise to erect Kalman filter model based on exponential trend model to predict the deformation of the rock landslide. Deformation observation values of the landslide are regarded as a time series to erect AR(1) model, then model parameters of AR(1) model are regarded as the state vector containing the dynamic noise to erect Kalman filter model based on AR(1) model to predict the deformation of the rock landslide. The deformation of the landslide is regarded as the function of the time, then Taylor series is used to determine the functional relationship between the deformation of the landslide and the time, and Taylor series is spread to erect Kalman filter model based on Taylor series to predict the deformation of the earthy landslide. The deformation of landslides relates to many factors, the rainfall and the temperature influence the deformation of landslides specially, thus Kalman filter model based on multiple factors is erect to predict the deformation of the basis of Taylor series. Numerical examples show that the fitting errors and the forecast errors of the four Kalman filter models are little.

The landslide is a common natural disaster, and the disaster caused by the landslide not only harms the production and the life of people, but also greatly destroys the natural resource and the natural environment¹⁻³. In order to provide the early warning of the landslide geohazard, it is important to monitor landslides and erect landslide deformation forecast models^{4,5}.

Landslide deformation prediction models include mainly grey model^{6–12}, time series model^{13–21}, neural network model^{22–29} and wavelet transform model^{30–33}.

Grey model weakens the randomness of original data by accumulating original data, and can convert complex raw data into time series consistent with the objective law. Grey model has the advantages that the calculation is simple and the forecast accuracy is high in the short time, but grey model is suitable for the situation that original data show the exponential growth³⁴. Time series model is a parametric time domain analysis model. Time series model establishes the corresponding mathematical model for all kinds of dynamic data, and analyses the mathematical model in order to predict the variation tendencey of data, but time series model is suitable for stationary data³⁵. Neural network model has featuers of the parallel computation, distributed information storage, adaptive learning. Neural network model has some advantages in the simulation about nonlinear problems³⁴, but neural network model presents some local minimum points, and its velocity of the convergence is slow³⁶. Wavelet transform model can provide the local characterization of the signal in the time and frequency domain. Wavelet transform model is suitable for processing the non-stationary signal, but wavelet transform model involve the choice of the threshold value, and the choice of the threshold value is very difficult³⁷.

The filter means that the best estimator is obtained by means of processing observation data containing errors. In order to obtain some unknown parameters, some observations must be gathered. The observation value is the function of some parameters, and the observation value contains some errors. Our aim is to obtain the estimated value of the unknown parameter by means of the observation value containing errors. Kalman filter equations are obtained by means of the maximum posterior estimation or the minimum variance estimation, and it uses the previous eatimated value or the recent observation value to estimate the current value. Klman filter uses the state to describe the physical system, and uses the state transition to reflect the inherent law of the system change³⁸. Kalman filter is a recursive filtering method. Kalman filter estimates the new state estimator on the basis of the state estimator and the observation value at the current time, and can process massive repeated observation data

National Field Observation and Research Station of Landslides in Three Gorges Reservoir Area of Yangtze River, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, 443002, People's Republic of China. *email: lfm640929@ctgu.edu.cn

quikly, and can combine the parameter estimation with the forecast³⁹, thus it is used widely in many applications, such as navigation, target trcking, control and data processing³⁹⁻⁴³.

This paper establishes four Kalman filter models, i.e. Kalman filter model based on exponential trend model, Kalman filter model based on AR(1) model, Kalman filter model based on the time factor and Taylor series, Kalman filter model based on multiple factors and Taylor series, and these models are used to forecast the deformation of some landslides. Numerical examples show that the fitting effect and forecast effect of these models are good.

Methods

Kalman filter model. The state equation and the observation equation of Kalman filter model are^{44–47}:

$$\mathbf{X}_{k+1} = \mathbf{\Phi}_{k+1,k} \mathbf{X}_k + \mathbf{\Omega}_k \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{k+1} = \mathbf{B}_{k+1}\mathbf{X}_{k+1} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{k+1} \tag{2}$$

where

 $\mathbf{X}_k =$ the state vector at the time t_k

 \mathbf{L}_k = the observation vector at the time t_k

 $\Phi_{k+1,k}$ = the state transfer matrix at the time t_k to t_{k+1}

 \mathbf{B}_{k+1} = the observation matrix at the time t_{k+1}

 Ω_k = the dynamic noise at the time t_k

 Δ_k = the observation noise at the time t_k

The random model of Kalman filter model are⁴⁴⁻⁴⁷:

$$E(\mathbf{\Omega}_k) = 0, E(\mathbf{\Delta}_k)$$

= 0, $\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{\Omega}_k, \mathbf{\Omega}_j)$
= $D_{\mathbf{\Omega}}(k)\delta_{kj}, \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{\Delta}_k, \mathbf{\Delta}_j)$
= $D_{\mathbf{\Delta}}(k)\delta_{kj}, \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{\Omega}_k, \mathbf{\Delta}_j)$
= 0, $E(\mathbf{X}_0)$
= $\mu_{\mathbf{X}}(0)$
= $\mathbf{X}(0/0), \operatorname{var}(\mathbf{X}_0)$
= $D_{\mathbf{X}}(0), \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{\Omega}_k)$
= 0, $\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{\Delta}_k)$
= 0

If j = k, $\delta_{kj} = 1$, if $j \neq k$, $\delta_{kj} = 0$ where $E(\Omega_k) =$ the mathematical expectation of Ω_k $E(\Delta_k) =$ the mathematical expectation of Δ_k $\operatorname{cov}(\Omega_k, \Omega_j) =$ the covariance of Ω_k and Ω_j $D_{\Omega}(k) =$ the variance of Ω_k $\operatorname{cov}(\Delta_k, \Delta_j) =$ the covariance of Δ_k and Δ_j $D_{\Delta}(k) =$ the variance of Δ_k $\operatorname{cov}(\Omega_k, \Delta_j) =$ the covariance of Ω_k and Δ_j $E(\mathbf{X}_0) =$ the mathematical expectation of \mathbf{X}_0 $\operatorname{var}(\mathbf{X}_0) =$ the covariance of \mathbf{X}_0 and Ω_k $\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}_0, \Omega_k) =$ the covariance of \mathbf{X}_0 and Ω_k $\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}_0, \Delta_k) =$ the covariance of \mathbf{X}_0 and Δ_k

On the basis of the state equation and the observation equation and the random model, the solution of Kalman filter equations are obtained⁴⁴⁻⁴⁷:

$$\mathbf{X}(k/k) = \mathbf{X}(k/k-1) + \mathbf{J}_k[\mathbf{L}_k - \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{X}(k/k-1)]$$
(3)

$$D_{\mathbf{X}}(k/k) = [\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{J}_k \mathbf{B}_k] D_{\mathbf{X}}(k/k - 1)$$
(4)

where I is a unit matrix, and

$$\mathbf{X}(k/k-1) = \mathbf{\Phi}_{k,k-1}\mathbf{X}(k-1/k-1)$$
(5)

$$D_{\mathbf{X}}(k/k-1) = \Phi_{k,k-1}D_{\mathbf{X}}(k-1/k-1)\Phi_{k,k-1}^{T} + D_{\Omega}(k-1)$$
(6)

$$\mathbf{J}_{k} = D_{\mathbf{X}}(k/k - 1)\mathbf{B}_{k}^{T} [\mathbf{B}_{k} D_{\mathbf{X}}(k/k - 1)\mathbf{B}_{k}^{T} + D_{\Delta}(k)]^{-1}$$
(7)

Kalman filter model based on exponential trend model. Wang used exponential trend model to analyze the deformation of the rock landslide⁴⁸. Exponential trend model is a nonlinear model as follows:

$$y = ae^{bt} \tag{8}$$

where

a = the parameter of the model

b = the parameter of the model

t = the observation time of the model

y = the fitting value of the model

Equation (8) can be written as follows:

$$\ln y = \ln a + bt \tag{9}$$

Denoting

$$y' = \ln y, a' = \ln a$$

Equation (9) can be rewritten as follows:

$$y' = a' + bt \tag{10}$$

On the basis of deformation observation data, a' and b can be obtained by means of least square method, then a can be obtained by means of a'.

In order to improve the fitting precision of exponential trend model, we can regard a' and b of Eq. (10) as the state vector containing the dynamic noise to erect Kalman filter model, and then we can obtain following equation:

$$y'_k = a'_k + b_k t_k + \Delta_k \tag{11}$$

where

 a'_{κ} = the parameters of the linearized exponential trend model

 b_k = the parameters of the linearized exponential trend model

 t_k = the observation time

 y'_k = the natural logarithm of the deformation observation value

 Δ_k = the observation noise at the observation time t_k

Denoting

$$\mathbf{L}_k = y'_k, \ \mathbf{B}_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_k \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{X}_k = \begin{vmatrix} a'_k \\ b_k \end{vmatrix}, \ \mathbf{\Delta}_k = \Delta_k$$

Equation (11) can be expressed as follows:

$$\mathbf{L}_k = \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{X}_k + \mathbf{\Delta}_k \tag{12}$$

For the next time t_{k+1} , Eq. (12) can be written as follows:

$$\mathbf{L}_{k+1} = \mathbf{B}_{k+1}\mathbf{X}_{k+1} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{k+1} \tag{13}$$

In order to make Kalman filter, X_k is regarded as the state vector containing the dynamic noise, thus the following equation is obtained:

$$\mathbf{X}_{k+1} = \mathbf{X}_k + \mathbf{\Omega}_k \tag{14}$$

Equation (14) can be written as follows:

$$\mathbf{X}_{k+1} = \mathbf{\Phi}_{k+1,k} \mathbf{X}_k + \mathbf{\Omega}_k \tag{15}$$

where $\mathbf{\Phi}_{k+1, k} = \mathbf{I}$, i.e. $\mathbf{\Phi}_{k+1, k}$ is a unit matrix.

On the basis of the state Eq. (15) and the observation Eq. (13), by means of Kalman filter equation, Kalman filter can be performed.

Kalman filter model based on AR(1) model. The deformation value of the landslide at the time t_k can be regarded as a time series⁴⁹ { y_k }, thus AR(n) model of the time series is:

$$y_k = \varphi_{\mathcal{Y}_{k-1}} + \varphi_{\mathcal{Y}_{k-2}} + \dots + \varphi_n y_{k-n} + a_k \tag{16}$$

In Eq. (16) let k = n + 1, n + 2, ..., N, we have:

$$\begin{cases} y_{n+1} = \varphi_{i}y_{n} + \varphi_{2}y_{n-1} + \dots + \varphi_{n}y_{1} + a_{n+1} \\ y_{n+2} = \varphi_{i}y_{n+1} + \varphi_{2}y_{n} + \dots + \varphi_{n}y_{2} + a_{n+2} \\ \dots \\ y_{N} = \varphi_{i}y_{N-1} + \varphi_{2}y_{N-2} + \dots + \varphi_{n}y_{N-n} + a_{N} \end{cases}$$
(17)

In Eq. (17) let n = 1, we have AR(1) model:

$$\begin{cases} y_2 = \varphi_y_1 + a_2 \\ y_3 = \varphi_y_2 + a_3 \\ \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\ y_N = \varphi_y_{N-1} + a_N \end{cases}$$
(18)

Denoting

$$\mathbf{X}_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 & \cdots & \varphi_n \end{bmatrix}^T, \ \mathbf{B}_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{k-1} & y_{k-2} & \cdots & y_{k-n} \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{\Delta}_{k+1} = a_k, \ \mathbf{L}_{k+1} = y_k$$

Equation (16) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\mathbf{L}_{k+1} = \mathbf{B}_{k+1} \mathbf{X}_{k+1} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{k+1} \tag{19}$$

To stationary random sequence AR(1), we have:

$$\mathbf{X}_{k+1} = \mathbf{X}_k + \mathbf{\Omega}_k \tag{20}$$

Equation (20) can be written as follows:

$$\mathbf{X}_{k+1} = \mathbf{\Phi}_{k+1,k} \mathbf{X}_k + \mathbf{\Omega}_k \tag{21}$$

where

 $\Phi_{k+1,k} = \mathbf{I}$

Obviously X_k and Ω_k are the one-dimensional vector to AR(1) model. On the basis of the state Eq. (21) and the observation Eq. (19), by means of Kalman filter equation, Kalman filter can be performed.

Kalman filter model based on the time factor and Taylor series. The deformation of the landslide can be regarded as the function of the time, because the time interval of the deformation observation to the landslide is very short, and the variation of the deformation value is very small, thus the deformation value of the landslide $x(t_{k+1})$ at the time t_{k+1} can be spread at the time t_k by means of Taylor series:

$$x(t_{k+1}) = x(t_k) + \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}\right)_{t_k} (t_{k+1} - t_k) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial t^2}\right)_{t_k} (t_{k+1} - t_k)^2 + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{\partial^3 x}{\partial t^3}\right)_{t_k} (t_{k+1} - t_k)^3 + g_k$$
(22)

Denoting

$$x_k = x(t_k), \ v_k = \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}\right)_{t_k}, \ a_k = \left(\frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial t^2}\right)_{t_k}, \ s_k = \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{\partial^3 x}{\partial t^3}\right)_{t_k}$$

Equation (22) can be rewritten as follows:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + v_k(t_{k+1} - t_k) + \frac{1}{2}a_k(t_{k+1} - t_k)^2 + s_k(t_{k+1} - t_k)^3 + g_k$$
(23)

where

 v_k = the deformation velocity at the time t_k

 a_k = the deformation acceleration at the time t_k

 s_k = the influence of the third power of the time variation to the deformation

 g_k = the remainder term of Taylor series

Because g_k is very small, it can be regarded as the dynamic noise whose mathematical expectation is 0. Let

$$v_{k+1} = v_k + a_k(t_{k+1} - t_k) + c_k \tag{24}$$

$$a_{k+1} = a_k + r_k \tag{25}$$

$$s_{k+1} = s_k + p_k \tag{26}$$

where

 c_k = the small perturbation

 r_k = the small perturbation

 \hat{p}_k = the small perturbation They can be regarded as the dynamic noises whose mathematical expectation are 0.

Equations (23) to (26) can be written in the matrix form as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{k+1} \\ v_{k+1} \\ a_{k+1} \\ s_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (t_{k+1} - t_k) & \frac{1}{2}(t_{k+1} - t_k)^2 & (t_{k+1} - t_k)^3 \\ 0 & 1 & (t_{k+1} - t_k) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_k \\ v_k \\ a_k \\ s_k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} g_k \\ c_k \\ r_k \\ p_k \end{bmatrix}$$
(27)

Denoting

$$\mathbf{X}_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{k+1} \\ v_{k+1} \\ a_{k+1} \\ s_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{\Phi}_{k+1,k} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (t_{k+1} - t_k) & \frac{1}{2}(t_{k+1} - t_k)^2 & (t_{k+1} - t_k)^3 \\ 0 & 1 & (t_{k+1} - t_k) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{\Omega}_k = \begin{bmatrix} g_k \\ c_k \\ r_k \\ p_k \end{bmatrix}$$

Equation (27) can be written as follows:

$$\mathbf{X}_{k+1} = \mathbf{\Phi}_{k+1,k} \mathbf{X}_k + \mathbf{\Omega}_k \tag{28}$$

Equation (28) is the state equation of Kalman filter model. To the deformation observation, the following Equation is obtained:

$$l_{k+1} = x_{k+1} + \Delta_{k+1} \tag{29}$$

Denoting

$$\mathbf{L}_{k+1} = l_{k+1}, \ \mathbf{B}_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{\Delta}_{k+1} = \Delta_{k+1}$$

Equation (29) can be be rewritten as follows:

$$\mathbf{L}_{k+1} = \mathbf{B}_{k+1} \mathbf{X}_{k+1} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{k+1} \tag{30}$$

Equation (30) is the observation equation of Kalman filter model.

On the basis of the state Eq. (28) and the observation Eq. (30), by means of Kalman filter equation, Kalman filter can be performed.

Kalman filter model based on multiple factors and Taylor series. The deformation of landslides relates to many factors, the rainfall and temperature influence the deformation of landslides specially. The rainfall causes the runoff of the slope, and the rainwater infiltrates into the landslide mass to increases the weight of the landslide mass, thus the sliding power of the landslide mass is augmented. The change of the temperature causes the constriction or the dilation of the crack of the landslide and influences the stability of the landslide. Thus the deformation of the landslide can be regarded as the function of the time and the rainfall of every month and the temperature, i.e.

$$F_x = x(t, j, w) \tag{31}$$

where

t = the observation time

j = the rainfall of every month at t

w = the temperature at t

 F_x = the deformation of the landslide

Because the time interval of the deformation observation to the landslide is very short, and the variation of the deformation value is very small, thus the deformation value $x(t_{k+1}, j_{k+1}, w_{k+1})$ at t_{k+1} can be expanded by means of Taylor series, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} x(t_{k+1}, j_{k+1}, w_{k+1}) &= x(t_k, j_k, w_k) + (\frac{\partial x}{\partial t})_{t_k}(t_{k+1} - t_k) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial t^2})_{t_k}(t_{k+1} - t_k)^2 + (\frac{\partial x}{\partial j})_{j_k}(j_{k+1} - j_k) + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial j^2})_{j_k}(j_{k+1} - j_k)^2 \\ &+ (\frac{\partial x}{\partial w})_{w_k}(w_{k+1} - w_k) + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial w^2})_{w_k}(w_{k+1} - w_k)^2 + g_k \end{aligned}$$
(32)

Denoting

$$v_{k} = \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}\right)_{t_{k}}, \ a_{k} = \left(\frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial t^{2}}\right)_{t_{k}}, \ b_{k} = \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial j}\right)_{j_{k}}, \ c_{k} = \left(\frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial j^{2}}\right)_{j_{k}}, \ s_{k} = \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial w}\right)_{w_{k}}, \ y_{k} = \left(\frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial w^{2}}\right)_{w_{k}}, \ x_{k} = x(t_{k}, j_{k}, w_{k})$$

Equation (32) can be rewritten as follows:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + v_k(t_{k+1} - t_k) + \frac{1}{2}a_k(t_{k+1} - t_k)^2 + b_k(j_{k+1} - j_k) + \frac{1}{2}c_k(j_{k+1} - j_k)^2 + s_k(w_{k+1} - w_k) + \frac{1}{2}y_k(w_{k+1} - w_k)^2 + g_k$$
(33)

where

 $g_k\!=\!$ the remainder term of Taylor series

Because g_k is very small, it can be regarded as the dynamic noise whose mathematical expectation is 0, Let

$$v_{k+1} = v_k + a_k(t_{k+1} - t_k) + d_k \tag{34}$$

$$a_{k+1} = a_k + r_k \tag{35}$$

$$b_{k+1} = b_k + c_k (j_{k+1} - j_k) + p_k$$
(36)

$$c_{k+1} = c_k + e_k \tag{37}$$

$$s_{k+1} = s_k + y_k (w_{k+1} - w_k) + h_k$$
(38)

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + z_k \tag{39}$$

where

 $d_k\!=\!{\rm the\,very\,small\,perturbation}$

 r_k = the very small perturbation

 $p_k =$ the very small perturbation $e_k =$ the very small perturbation

 h_k = the very small perturbation h_k = the very small perturbation

 z_k = the very small perturbation z_k = the very small perturbation

They can be regarded as the dynamic noises whose mathematical expectation are 0. Equations (33) to (39) can be written in the matrix form as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{k+1} \\ v_{k+1} \\ a_{k+1} \\ b_{k+1} \\ c_{k+1} \\ s_{k+1} \\ y_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_{k+1} - t_k & \frac{1}{2}(t_{k+1} - t_k)^2 & j_{k+1} - j_k & \frac{1}{2}(j_{k+1} - j_k)^2 & w_{k+1} - w_k & \frac{1}{2}(w_{k+1} - w_k)^2 \end{bmatrix} x_k \\ \begin{bmatrix} x_k \\ u_k \\ v_k \\ a_k \\ b_k \\ c_k \\ s_k \\ y_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_{k+1} - t_k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & j_{k+1} - j_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & w_{k+1} - w_k \end{bmatrix} x_k \\ \begin{bmatrix} y_k \\ z_k \\ z_k \\ z_k \\ z_k \\ y_k \end{bmatrix} = + \begin{bmatrix} g_k & d_k & r_k & p_k & e_k & h_k & z_k \end{bmatrix}^T$$

Denoting

$$\mathbf{X}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{k} & v_{k} & a_{k} & b_{k} & c_{k} & s_{k} & y_{k} \end{bmatrix}^{T}, \ \mathbf{\Omega}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} g_{k} & d_{k} & r_{k} & p_{k} & e_{k} & h_{k} & z_{k} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$\Phi_{k+1,k} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_{k+1} - t_k & \frac{1}{2}(t_{k+1} - t_k)^2 & j_{k+1} - j_k & \frac{1}{2}(j_{k+1} - j_k)^2 & w_{k+1} - w_k & \frac{1}{2}(w_{k+1} - w_k)^2 \\ 0 & 1 & t_{k+1} - t_k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & j_{k+1} - j_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & w_{k+1} - w_k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Equation (40) can be written as follows:

$$\mathbf{X}_{k+1} = \mathbf{\Phi}_{k+1,k} \mathbf{X}_k + \mathbf{\Omega}_k \tag{41}$$

Equation (41) is the state equation of Kalman filter model. To the deformation observation, we have

$$l_{k+1} = x_{k+1} + \Delta_{k+1} \tag{42}$$

where

(40)

Observation		Exponential trend model		Kalman filter model	
time (year- month)	Observation value (mm)	Fitted value (mm)	Residual (mm)	Fitted value (mm)	Residual (mm)
2007-12	10.32	20.45	10.13	13.45	3.13
2008-12	26.96	23.26	-3.70	25.60	-1.36
2009-12	34.07	26.45	-7.62	34.43	0.36
2010-12	38.65	30.08	-8.57	39.17	0.52
2011-12	42.98	34.21	-8.77	43.32	0.34
2012-12	44.93	38.90	-6.03	45.20	0.27
2013-12	47.16	44.24	-2.92	47.33	0.17
2014-12	48.38	50.31	1.93	48.52	0.14
2015-12	49.95	57.22	7.27	50.04	0.09
2016-12	51.75	65.07	13.32	51.82	0.07

Table 1. Horizontal displacement observation values and their filter values of the monitoring point F_A .

 l_{k+1} = the deformation observation value at the time t_{k+1} Δ_{k+1} = is the observation noise at the time t_{k+1} Denoting

$$\mathbf{L}_{k+1} = l_{k+1}, \mathbf{B}_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Equation (42) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\mathbf{L}_{k+1} = \mathbf{B}_{k+1}\mathbf{X}_{k+1} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{k+1} \tag{43}$$

On the basis of the state Eq. (41) and the observation Eq. (43), by means of Kalman filter equation, Kalman filter can be performed.

Results and Discussion

The example of the calculation about Kalman filter model based on exponential trend model. We choose horizontal displacement observation data of the monitoring point F_A in a rock landslide to perform some calculations, initial values are set as follows:

$$\mathbf{D}_{\Delta}(k) = \pm 1 \text{ mm}, \mathbf{X}(0/0) = \begin{vmatrix} 2.88932 \\ 0.12862 \end{vmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{D}_{X}(0/0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{D}_{\Omega}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Where

 $\mathbf{D}_{\Delta}(k)$ = the variance of horizontal displacement observation values

 $\mathbf{X}(0/0)$ = the calculation value of parameters of exponential trend model

Some calculation results are listed in Table 1.

Table 1 shows that residuals of exponential trend model are greater than 1 mm, the maximum residual is 13.32 mm, and the minimum residual is 1.93 mm. Residuals of Kalman filter model are less, two residuals are greater than 1 mm, and other residuals are less than 1 mm.

Exponential trend model predicts that the horizontal displacement value of the monitoring point F_A in December 2017 is 74.01 mm, the horizontal displacement observation value of the monitoring point F_A in December 2017 was 52.50 mm, the forecast error is 21.51 mm. Kalman filter model predicts that the horizontal displacement value of the monitoring point F_A in December 2017 is 53.27 mm, the forecast error is 0.77 mm. Computed results show that Kalman filter model based on exponential trend model is better than exponential trend model in the fitting precision and the prediction precision.

Parameters of exponential trend model are fixed values, thus the ability that the model adapts to the observation data is weaked, and the fitting precision and the prediction precision of the model are reduced.

Kalman filter model based on exponential trend model regard parameters of exponential trend model as the state vector containing the dynamic noise to carry out Kalman filter. In the process of Kalman filter, parameters of the model constantly change, thus the ability that the model adapts to the observation data is enhanced, and the fitting precision and forecast precision of the model are improved.

The example of the calculation about Kalman filter model based on AR(1) model. We choose vertical displacement observation data of the monitoring point P_{10} in a rock landslide to perform some calculations, and initial values are set as follows:

Observation		AR(1) model		Kalman filter model	
time (year- month)	Observation value (mm)	Fitted value (mm)	Residual (mm)	Fitted value (mm)	Residual (mm)
2016-01	36.4	35.21	-1.19	36.29	-0.11
2016-02	37.2	36.43	-0.77	37.27	0.07
2016-03	37.6	37.32	-0.28	37.60	0.00
2016-04	38.3	38.11	-0.19	38.28	-0.02
2016-05	38.5	38.61	0.11	38.50	0.00
2016-06	39.7	39.52	-0.18	39.73	0.03
2016-07	40.2	40.33	0.13	40.21	0.01
2016-08	41.6	41.52	-0.08	41.60	0.00
2016-09	42.0	42.17	0.17	42.02	0.02
2016-10	42.5	42.42	-0.08	42.51	0.01
2016-11	42.9	43.18	0.28	42.92	0.02
2016-12	43.7	43.56	-0.14	43.70	0.00
2017-01	43.9	43.99	0.09	43.89	-0.01
2017-02	44.2	44.15	-0.05	44.22	0.02
2017-03	44.6	44.69	0.09	44.57	-0.03
2017-04	45.1	45.00	-0.10	45.11	0.01
2017-05	45.9	45.28	-0.62	45.91	0.01
2017-06	46.7	46.93	0.23	46.70	0.00
2017-07	48.0	47.11	-0.89	48.03	0.03
2017-08	48.8	48.22	-0.58	48.76	-0.04
2017-09	49.2	49.33	0.13	49.21	0.01
2017-10	49.7	49.64	-0.06	49.70	0.00
2017-11	50.3	50.77	0.47	50.28	-0.02

Table 2. Vertical displacement observation values and their filter values of the monitoring point P_{10} .

Observation time (year-month-day)	Observation value (mm)	Fitted value (mm)	Residual (mm)
2017-01-12	468.6	468.3	-0.3
2017-02-20	471.5	471.3	-0.2
2017-03-18	487.1	486.0	-1.1
2017-04-18	498.9	499.3	0.4
2017-05-18	525.3	524.6	-0.7
2017-06-16	551.3	551.1	-0.2
2017-07-16	577.1	577.8	0.7
2017-08-17	594.3	595.6	1.3
2017-09-19	623.0	622.3	-0.7
2017-10-17	624.3	625.2	0.9
2017-11-11	639.0	638.1	-0.9

Table 3. Horizontal displacement observation values and their filter values of the monitoring point G_8 .

$$\begin{array}{rcl} {\bf D}_{\!\Delta}(k) &=& \pm 1.0 \mbox{ mm}, \\ {\bf X}(0/0) &=& 35.8, \\ {\bf D}_{\bf X}(0/0) &=& 1, \mbox{ } {\bf D}_{\Omega}(k) = 1 \end{array}$$

where

 $\mathbf{D}_{\Delta}(k) =$ the variance of vertical displacement observation values

35.8 = the vertical displacement observation value of the monitoring point P_{10} in December 2015

Some calculation results are listed in Table 2.

Table 2 shows that residuals of AR(1) model are greater, the maximum residual is -1.19 mm, the minimum residual is -0.05 mm. Residuals of Kalman filter model are less, the maximum residual is -0.11 mm, the minimum residual is 0.00 mm.

AR(1) model predicts that the vertical displacement value of the monitoring point P_{10} in December 2017 is 50.71 mm, the vertical displacement observation value of the monitoring point P_{10} in December 2017 was 50.9 mm, the forecast error is 0.19 mm. Kalman filter model predicts that the vertical displacement value of the

monitoring point P_{10} in December 2017 is 50.88 mm, the forecast error is 0.02 mm. Computed results show that Kalman filter model based on AR(1) model is better than AR(1) model in the fitting precision and the prediction precision.

The parameters of AR(1) model is a fixed value, thus the ability that the model adapts to the observation data is weaked, and the fitting precision and the prediction precision of the model are reduced.

Kalman filter model based on AR(1) model regard the parameters of AR(1) model as the state vector containing the dynamic noise to carry out Kalman filter. In the process of Kalman filter, the parameter of the model constantly change, thus the ability that the model adapts to the observation data is enhanced, and the fitting precision and forecast precision of the model are improved.

The example of the calculation about Kalman filter model based on the time factor and Taylor serie. We choose horizontal displacement observation data of the monitoring point G_8 in a earthy landslide to perform some calculations, initial values are set as follows:

$$\mathbf{D}_{\Delta}(k) = \pm 1 \text{ mm}, \mathbf{X}(0/0) = \begin{bmatrix} 448.5\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}}(0/0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{D}_{\Omega}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

 $\mathbf{D}_{\Delta}(k)$ = the variance of horizontal displacement observation values

448.5 = the horizontal displacement observation value of the monitoring point G_8 on December 10, 2016 Some calculation results are listed in Table 3.

Table 3 shows that residuals obtained by Kalman filter method are less, the maximum residual is 1.3 mm, the minimum residual is -0.2 mm, two residuals are greater than 1 mm, other residuals are less than 1 mm. Some residuals are negative, and others are positive. It shows that residuals are random and fitting errors are less.

Kalman filter model predicts that the horizontal displacement value of the monitoring point G_8 on December 8, 2017 is 648.9 mm, the horizontal displacement observation value of the monitoring point G_8 on December 8, 2017 is 650.6 mm, the forecast error is 1.7 mm, thus the forecast error is very small.

Because the state vector X_k of Kalman filter model based on the time factor and Taylor series constantly change in the process of Kalman filter, the ability that the model adapts to the observation data is enhanced, and the fitting precision and forecast precision of the model are improved.

The example of the calculation about Kalman filter model based on multiple factors and Taylor series. A landslide is a earthy, some cracks pass through the landslide, the rainfall and the temperature cause the deformation of the landslide. We use the horizontal displacement observation data of the monitoring point H_4 at the landslide to perform some calculations, initial values are set as follows:

$$\mathbf{D}_{\Delta}(k) = \pm 1 \text{ mm},$$

$$\mathbf{X}(0/0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{D}_{\Omega}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

 $\mathbf{D}_{\Delta}(k)$ = the variance of horizontal displacement observation values

115.4 = the horizontal displacement observation value of the monitoring point H_4 on March 15, 2016.

Some calculation results are listed in Table 4.

Table 4 shows that when rainfall and temperature increase, the deformation of the monitoring point H_4 increases, thus the deformation of the landslide relates to the rainfall and the temperature. Residuals of quadratic polynomial regression model are greater than 3 mm, the maximum residual is -50.38 mm, the minimum residual is -3.14 mm, fitting errors of quadratic polynomial regression model are great, while residuals of Kalman filter model based on multiple factors are less than 1 mm, and the maximum residual is 0.99 mm, the minimum residual is -0.02 mm, the fitting effect of Kalman filter model based on multiple factors is good.

Observation time (year-month-day)	Rainfall of month (mm)	Temperature (°C)	Observation value (mm)	Residual 1 (mm)	Residual 2 (mm)
2016-04-19	49.5	18.2	118.3	33.30	0.56
2016-05-19	173.0	24.6	128.2	19.48	0.07
2016-06-18	124.6	26.3	172.7	15.49	-0.92
2016-07-20	187.9	30.4	228.4	-22.21	0.84
2016-08-18	114.9	27.4	253.9	-23.93	0.95
2016-09-21	121.4	24.1	259.7	-29.09	-0.02
2016-10-18	46.0	18.9	290.3	-36.90	-0.78
2016-11-18	49.3	13.8	294.6	-35.41	-0.21
2016-12-14	8.6	8.5	298.3	-12.99	-0.36
2017-01-15	6.6	7.2	296.0	6.49	0.81
2017-02-25	20.8	9.8	299.3	16.16	-0.57
2017-03-19	40.5	14.4	298.0	24.22	0.99
2017-04-18	76.0	17.8	304.9	24.50	0.21
2017-05-16	129.4	22.8	310.9	27.60	0.75
2017-06-15	86.9	25.6	338.7	38.51	-0.11
2017-07-14	162.6	29.5	364.4	19.57	0.75
2017-08-16	198.7	28.2	380.9	-3.41	0.98
2017-09-07	44.3	25.8	438.8	-11.28	0.87
2017-10-13	102.0	19.2	449.9	-50.38	-0.51

Table 4. Horizontal displacement observation values and their filter values of the monitoring point H_4 . *Residual 1 is the residual of quadratic polynomial regression model, Residual 2 is the residual of Kalman filter model.

Quadratic polynomial regression model predicts that the horizontal displacement value of the monitoring point H_4 on November 15, 2017 is 431.84 mm, the horizontal displacement observation value of the monitoring point H_4 on November 15, 2017 is 454.9 mm, the forecast error is 23.06 mm. Kalman filter model based on multiple factors predicts that the horizontal displacement value of the monitoring point H_4 on November 15, 2017 is 456.20 mm. Computed results show that Kalman filter model based on multiple factors is better than quadratic polynomial regression model in the fitting precision and the prediction precision.

The parameters of quadratic polynomial regression model is a fixed value, thus the ability that the model adapts to the observation data is weaked, and the fitting precision and the prediction precision of the model are reduced.

Because the state vector X_k of Kalman filter model based on multiple factors and Taylor series series constantly change in the process of Kalman filter, the ability that the model adapts to the observation data is enhanced, and the fitting precision and forecast precision of the model are improved.

This paper establishes four Kalman filter models, i.e. Kalman filter model based on exponential trend model, Kalman filter model based on AR(1) model, Kalman filter model based on the time factor and Taylor series, Kalman filter model based on multiple factors and Taylor series, and these models are used to forecast the deformation of some landslides.

Nonlinear exponential trend model is linearized into the linear model, then linearized model parameters are regarded as the state vector containing the dynamic noise to erect Kalman filter model based on exponential trend model, and computed results show that Kalman filter model based on exponential trend model is better than exponential trend model in the fitting precision and the prediction precision. The model parameters of AR(1) model are regarded as the state vector containing the dynamic noise to erect Kalman filter model based on AR(1) model, and computed results show that Kalman filter model based on AR(1) model is better than AR(1) model, and computed results show that Kalman filter model based on AR(1) model is better than AR(1) model in the fitting precision and the prediction precision. Taylor series is used to establish the functional relationship between the deformation of the landslide and the time, then Kalman filter model based on Taylor series is goog in the fitting precision and the prediction of landslides relates to many factors, the effect of the atmospheric rainfall and the air temperature to the deformation of the landslide is significant, thus the deformation of the landslide is regarded as the function of the time and the rainfall of every month and the temperature to establish Kalman filter model based on multiple factors is better than quadratic polynomial regression model in the fitting precision and the prediction precision.

Because the state vector of Kalman filter model constantly change in the process of Kalman filter, the ability that the model adapts to the observation data is enhanced, thus the fitting precision and prediction precision of these Kalman filter model are improved.

Received: 9 August 2019; Accepted: 26 December 2019; Published online: 23 January 2020

References

- Li, J. et al. Design and realization of data management system for landslide deformation monitoring based on Web. Bulletin of Surveying and Mapping 5, 92–95 (2016).
- Guo, Z. Z. et al. Landslide displacement prediction based on surface monitoring data and nonlinear time series combination model. Chinese Journal of Rock Mechanics and Engineering \$1, 3392–3399 (2018).
- Wang, K. et al. Monitoring methods of landslide deep deformation and discussion on their application. Chinese Journal of Underground Space and Engineering 1, 204–209 (2015).
- Intrieri, E. et al. The Maoxian landslide as seen from space: detecting precursors of failure with sentinel-1. data. Landslides 15, 123–133 (2018).
- 5. Keefer, D. et al. Real-time landslide warning during heavy rainfall. Science 238, 921-925 (1987).
- Zhang, Q. Y. Landslide deformation prediction based on grey model. Journal of Tianjin Institute of Urban Construction 2, 114–117 (2008).
- 7. Jiang, G. *et al.* Application of gray model in landslide deformation forecast of mining areas. *Coal Geology and Exploration* **3**, 49–51 (2011).
- 8. Jiang, G. et al. Grey prediction model for slope deformation. Rock and Soil Mechanics 3, 244-246 (2000).
- 9. Cai, J. Application of grey renewal model on landslide dynamic monitoring. *Journal of Chongqing Jiaotong University (nature Science)* 5, 92–94 (2014).
- 10. Wang, D. *et al.* Application of gray GM(2, 1) model to predict the landslide deformation. *Hydrogeology and Engineering Geology* **3**, 121–125 (2013).
- 11. Li, X. H. et al. Application of optimal GM(1, 1) model in the landslide forecast. Journal of Mountain Science 3, 265–269 (2001).
- Gao, N. Identification of parameters of semi-parametric GM(1,1) and its application in landslide deformation prediction. Journal of Liaoning Technical University(Natural Science) 3, 326–331 (2016).
- Miao, H. B. et al. Deformation prediction of landslide based on the analysis of non-stationary time series. Geological Science and Technology Information 4, 107–111 (2009).
- 14. Xu, F. et al. Study of displacement prediction model of landslide based on time series analysis. Chinese Journal of Rock Mechanics and Engineering 4, 746–751 (2011).
- Deng, J. H. et al. Dynamic prediction of landslide deformation based on time series analysis. Journal of Yangtze River Scientific Research Institute 10, 78–81 (2012).
- Peng, L. et al. Time series analysis and support vector machine for landslide displacement prediction. Journal of Zhejiang University (Engineering Science) 9, 1672–1679 (2013).
- Chen, J. S. *et al.* Research of landslide pre-warning based on Fourier Analysis for displacement time series. *Yangtze River* 22, 64–68 (2013).
- Yan, L. C. et al. Application for landslide deformation monitoring and prediction based on time-series analysis. Railway Investigation and Surveying 5, 15–18 (2014).
- Li, F. A. et al. Landslide deformation prediction by analysis of multivariate non-stationary time series. Journal of Yangtze River Scientific Research Institute 4, 31–34 (2014).
- Zhang, J. et al. Displacement prediction of of Baishuihe landslide based on time series and PSO-SVR model. Chinese Journal of Rock Mechanics and Engineering 2, 382–391 (2015).
- 21. Liu, Y. et al. Study of landslide deformation characteristics based on time series analysis. Acta Geologica Sichuan 2, 300-303 (2017).
- Gao, W. et al. Study on displacement predication of landslide based on grey system and evolutionary neural network. Rock and Soil Mechanics 4, 514–517 (2004).
- 23. Wu, Y. P. et al. Application of grey-neural network model to landslide deformation prediction. Chinese Journal of Rock Mechanics and Engineering 3, 632–636 (2007).
- 24. Wei, Y. M. et al. Application of BP neural network model in unequal time interval landslide deformation prediction. Science Technology and Engineering 12, 2959–2962 (2010).
- Kong, X. L. et al. Prediction and analysis of landslide deformation based on BP neural network and time series. Modern Mining 12, 80–82 (2011).
- Cao, Y. B. et al. Study of landslide deformation prediction based on gray model-evolutionary neural network model considering function of environmental variables. Rock and Soil Mechanics 3, 848–852 (2012).
- 27. Wang, X. L. *et al.* Research on prediction model of regression-neural network for landslide deformation. *Yellow River* 7, 90–92 (2012).
- Yi, Q. L. et al. Reservoir landslide deformation forecast using BP neural network. Hydrogeology and Engineering Geology 1, 124–128 (2013).
- Liu, Y. L. et al. Study of landslide deformation prediction based on EMD and neural network. Safety and Environmental Engineering 4, 14–17 (2013).
- Li, X. Z. et al. Multiple factors model for landslide deformation prediction based on wavelet neural network. Bulletin of Soil and Water Conservation 5, 235–238 (2012).
- Xia, W. W. et al. Deep displacement prediction of landslide based on wavelet de-noising and regression analysis. Water Resources and Power 10, 109–112 (2014).
- Wang, M. et al. Application of wavelet difference index smoothing model in displacement predication of landslides. Yangtze River 12, 45–47 (2015).
- 33. Li, X. Z. Wavelet identification method for deformation abnormality of landslides. Journal of Natural Disasters 6, 50-56 (2015).
- Lu, X. J. et al. Dam deformation prediction based on grey genetic algorithm neural network. Journal of Guilin University of Technology 4, 647-652 (2017).
- Zhao, L. et al. Prediction of dam early deformation based on time series. Journal of Water Resources and Architectural Engineering 3, 76–78 (2012).
- Ma, L. X. et al. Modified algorithm of BP neural network and its application on analysis and prediction of dam deformation. Journal
 of Jilin Jianzhu University 4, 31–34 (2016).
- 37. Tian, S. L. et al. Wavelet transform analysis and denoising of monitoring data of horizontal dam displacement. Hydropower Automation and Dam Monitoring 1, 49–53 (2004).
- Zhang, J. et al. Landslide deformation monitoring analysis and forecast using Kalman filtering considering rainfall. Science of Surveying and Mapping 6, 58-61 (2012).
- 39. Liu, K. et al. Application of discrete Kalman filter in dam deformation monitoring. Northwest Hydropower 3, 95–97 (2017).
- Huang, Y. L. et al. A Novel Adaptive Kalman Filter With Inaccurate Process and Measurement Noise Covariance Matrices. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control 2, 594–601 (2018).
- 41. Huang, Y. L. et al. A Novel Robust Student's t-Based Kalman Filter. IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems 3, 1545–1554 (2017).
- Huang, Y. L. et al. A Novel Robust Gaussian–Student's t Mixture Distribution Based Kalman Filter. IEEE Transactions on signal Processing 13, 3606–3620 (2019).
- Huang, Y. L. et al. A Novel Kullback–Leibler Divergence Minimization-Based Adaptive Student's t-Filter. IEEE Transactions on signal Processing 15, 5417–5432 (2019).

- 44. Koch, K. et al. Robust Kalman filter for rank deficient observation models. Journal of Geodesy 72, 436-441 (1998).
- 45. Cankut, D. et al. Real-time deformation monitoring with GPS and Kalman filter. Earth Planets Space 52, 837–840 (2000).
 - Lu, F. M. *et al.* Application of Kalman filter model with the time and excavation depth to building deformation analysis. *Bulletin of Surveying and Mapping* 2, 59–61 (2012).
 - Xu, Y. M. et al. Kalman filter model considering pit construction simulation evaluation for tunnel deformation prediction. Geomatics and Information Science of Wuhan University 11, 322–1325 (2013).
 - Wang, H. X. *et al.* Application of exponential trend model to forecast of displacements of slope deformation. *Rock and Soil Mechanics* 5, 808–810 (2004).
 - 49. Wu, D. H. Study on adaptive following prediction model of slope displacement. *Dam Observation and Geotechnical Test* **3**, 9–14 (1995).

Acknowledgements

The study are supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (41172298), the Scientific Research Foundation for NASG Key Laboratory of Land Environment and Disaster Monitoring (LEDM2013B03).

Author contributions

Fumin Lu carried out the theoretic studies including establishing all Kalman filter models, calculating and analysing some data. Huaien Zeng calculated some data in Kalman filter model based on AR(1) model.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.L.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020