SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

OPEN

Multi-Factor Regulation of the Master Modulator LeuO for the Cyclic-(Phe-Pro) Signaling Pathway in *Vibrio vulnificus*

Na-Young Park¹, In Hwang Kim¹, Yancheng Wen^{1,4}, Keun-Woo Lee¹, Sora Lee¹, Jeong-A Kim¹, Kwang-Hwan Jung^{1,3}, Kyu-Ho Lee¹ & Kun-Soo Kim^{1,2*}

LeuO plays the role of a master regulator in the cyclic-L-phenylalanine-L-proline (cFP)-dependent signaling pathway in *Vibrio vulnificus*. cFP, as shown through isothermal titration calorimetry analysis, binds specifically to the periplasmic domain of ToxR. Binding of cFP triggers a change in the cytoplasmic domain of ToxR, which then activates transcription of *leuO* encoding a LysR-type regulator. LeuO binds to the region upstream of its own coding sequence, inhibiting its own transcription and maintaining a controlled level of expression. A five-bp deletion in this region abolished expression of LeuO, but a ten-bp deletion did not, suggesting that a DNA bending mechanism is involved in the regulation. Furthermore, binding of RNA polymerase was significantly lower both in the deletion of the ToxR binding site and in the five-bp deletion, but not in the ten-bp deletion, as shown in pull-down assays using an antibody against RNA polymerase subunit α . In summary, multiple factors are involved in control of the expression of LeuO, a master regulator that orchestrates downstream regulators to modulate factors required for survival and pathogenicity of the pathogen.

Vibrio vulnificus is a gram negative, motile, curved bacterium¹. It is an opportunistic human pathogen that causes septicemia and leads to high mortality¹. This pathogenic *Vibrio* species produces a diketopiperazine (DKP) compound called cyclic-phenylalanine proline (cFP), that reaches maximum levels when cells enter stationary phase and triggers the expression of a series of genes associated with pathogenicity². This compound has been identified in the related pathogenic species *V. cholerae* and *V. parahaemolyticus*, as well as the non-pathogen *V. harveyi*^{2,3}, suggesting that it is a common signal molecule among the Vibrionaceae. Based on these findings, cFP was proposed to be a novel quorum-sensing signal molecule^{2,4}. However, cFP signaling has been reported to have a variety of biological activities including: antibacterial and antifungal activities^{5,6}, induction of an intestinal-like differentiation of human colon carcinoma cells⁷, inhibition of DNA topoisomerase⁸ and cancer cell growth, and induction of apoptosis in colon cancer cells⁹. cFP also inhibits interferon (IFN)- β production by inducing a conformational change in retinoic-acid-inducible gene-I (RIG-I)¹⁰, affects innate immune responses¹¹, and causes apoptosis of human cell lines by elevating intracellular levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS)¹². These results suggest that cFP is not only a signaling molecule but also a virulence factor.

In *V. vulnificus* and *V. cholerae*, the cFP signal is transduced via the inner membrane protein ToxR^{2,13}, which is known to form either homodimers or heterodimers with ToxS¹⁴. ToxR activates the ToxT regulon in *V. cholerae*, which includes genes encoding CT (cholera toxin), TCP (the toxin-coregulated pilus), and accessory colonization factor (ACF)¹⁵⁻¹⁷. ToxR, independent of ToxT, also activates *ompU* expression and represses *ompT* expression¹⁸⁻²⁰. cFP interacts with ToxRS to elevate levels of LeuO, which consequently represses transcription of *aphA*, decreasing the expression of CT and TCP^{2,3,13}. Recently, it was reported that cFP increases the expression of catalase, which in turn aids in the survival of *V. vulnificus* in the host environment where ROS levels are themselves enhanced by the action of cFP from the pathogen²¹. The cFP signal is transduced from ToxR to LeuO, which subsequently activates the expression of the histone-like proteins vHU α and vHU β . These proteins lead

¹Department of Life Science, Sogang University, Seoul, Korea. ²Interdisciplinary Program of Integrated Biotechnology, Sogang University, Seoul, Korea. ³Institute of Biological Interfaces3, Sogang University, Seoul, Korea. ⁴Key Laboratory of Ministry of Education for Gastrointestinal Cancer Research Center for Molecular Medicine, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, Fujian, People's Republic of China. *email: kskim@sogang.ac.kr



Figure 1. cFP induces the expression of LeuO via the membrane sensor protein ToxR. (**a**) β -Galactosidase activity of a *leuO-lacZ* fusion in the absence (open bars) and presence (solid bars) of exogenous 5 mM cFP in wild type *V. vulnificus*, a *toxR*-deletion isotype, and the deletion mutant supplemented with *toxR in trans* (pBBR12-*toxR*). The error bars denote standard deviations of the results of three independent experiments (**P < 0.005). (**b**) Western hybridization using a polyclonal antibody against LeuO of total proteins from wild type *V. vulnificus*, *toxR*-deletion, and the *toxR*-deletion mutant supplemented with *toxR in trans* (pBBR12-*toxR*) grown in the absence and the presence of cFP.

to increased intracellular levels of the alternative sigma factor RpoS, which is well known to be associated with stress responses, by stabilizing the transcript²². Expression of catalase is dependent on RpoS. Given the fact that LeuO, vHU α and vHU β , and RpoS are each responsible for the regulation of separate regulons, and that many of the target genes are associated with pathogenicity, it is clear that LeuO is a key regulatory component of the cFP-signaling network, as is the case for the human pathogen *V. cholerae*.

LeuO is a member of the LysR-type transcriptional regulator (LTTR) family, which is a well-characterized group of transcriptional regulators²³. Members of this family have been identified in many bacteria, including enteric pathogens such as *Escherichia coli, Salmonella enterica* serovar *Typhimurium, Enterobacter cloacae*, and *Vibrio* spp²³. LeuO regulates a wide variety of genes that are involved in amino acid biosynthesis, catabolism of aromatic compounds, antibiotic resistance, nitrogen fixation, oxidative stress response, quorum sensing, and virulence^{24–27}. The structure of LTTR proteins includes an N-terminal DNA-binding helix-turn-helix motif and a C-terminal co-inducer-binding domain^{23,28}. The DNA binding motif for this family is ambiguous but generally consists of AT-rich sequences²³.

This study identified elements responsible for the regulation of LeuO in the human pathogen *V. vulnificus*, pointing to its role as a master regulator for the cFP-dependent signaling pathway and elucidating the underlying mechanisms for these elements, highlighting the complexity and importance of this pathway.

Results

cFP induces the expression of LeuO in a ToxR-dependent manner. We showed previously, both through DNA microarray analysis²⁹ and next-generation sequencing of total mRNA³⁰, that a gene encoding a homolog of the cytoplasmic transcriptional regulator LeuO (GenBank VVM06_02645) was induced by cFP in V. vulnificus. Quantitative measurements of leuO expression using a lacZ-transcriptional fusion indicated that cFP induced the expression of leuO about three-fold in wild type V. vulnificus strain MO6-24/O, but not in a toxR-deletion mutant (Fig. 1a). Re-introduction of toxR in trans on a plasmid into the mutant restored the cFP-dependent induction of *leuO*. We then employed western hybridization using an antibody against LeuO, and observed the same result at the protein level. In a wild type strain, LeuO was expressed at higher levels in the presence of cFP, while in the absence of cFP or in a toxR-deletion mutant with or without cFP, there was no detectable LeuO (Fig. 1b). When toxR was re-introduced in trans on a plasmid, the expression of LeuO is restored to the wild type at the protein level. From these results, we concluded that *leuO* is induced by cFP in a ToxR-dependent manner. It has been proposed that the C-terminal periplasmic domain of ToxR acts as a sensor of environmental stimuli¹⁴, therefore we predicted that this is also the domain to which cFP binds. To test this prediction, a His-tagged version of the C-terminal domain of ToxR (ToxR-C) was overexpressed in E. coli and purified. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) was employed to measure the binding parameters of 0.2 mM of the purified ToxR-C in combination with increasing amounts of cFP. The results, as shown Fig. 2a, suggest that ToxR-C bound to cFP at a



Figure 2. Isothermal titration calorimetric analysis shows binding between the periplasmic domain of ToxR (ToxR-C) and cFP. The change in heat capacity was measured for: (**a**) purified ToxR-C (0.2 mM) with increasing amounts of cFP, (**b**) purified ToxR-C (0.2 mM) with increasing amounts of the linear dipeptide phenylalanine-proline, or (**c**) increasing amounts of cFP in the absence of ToxR-C.

ratio of approximately 1:1, with a K_d of $4.2 \times 10^{-5} \pm 0.26 \times 10^{-5}$ M. In contrast, the linear dipeptide phenylalanyl proline, used as a control, showed nonspecific binding to ToxR-C (Fig. 2b) and no binding signal was detected in the presence of the reference buffer alone (Fig. 2c). These results indicated that cFP does indeed bind specifically to the periplasmic domain of ToxR.

The N-terminal domain of ToxR binds to a *cis*-element upstream of *leuO*. We predicted that ToxR induces the expression of *leuO* by binding to a *cis*-element upstream of *leuO* as it does for *ompU* in *V. cholerae*¹⁸. ToxR is an inner membrane protein that has both an N-terminal cytoplasmic domain (ToxR-N) with a DNA binding motif³¹ and a C-terminal periplasmic domain (ToxR-C). To measure ToxR binding upstream of *leuO*, we prepared purified ToxR in detergent (see Methods) and performed gel-mobility shift assays. As shown in Fig. 3a, ToxR bound to this DNA fragment, and non-labeled DNA outcompeted the labeled DNA, showing that the protein specifically binds to the region. Footprinting analysis using ToxR-N pointed to a specific binding site between -229 and -215 with respect to the start codon (Fig. 3b), and defined the binding site as '<u>TAAAAAACTATAAAAACAGAAAATA</u>' (underlined sequences coincide with the known consensus ToxR binding site; 5'-TNAAA-N₅-TNAAA-3' in *V. cholerae*³²). We also defined the transcriptional start site of *leuO* as 73 bases upstream of the translational start site and identified putative -35 and -10 promoter regions that have a low similarity to the canonical promoter consensus sequences (Fig. 3c). The location of each these sites in the *leuO* DNA sequence are shown in Fig. 3d.

cFP enhances the affinity of ToxR binding to the *cis*-acting element of *leuO*. Knowing that cFP binds to the C-terminal periplasmic domain of ToxR and that the N-terminal cytoplasmic domain of ToxR binds upstream of *leuO* led to the hypothesis that cFP is a signaling molecule that drives intracellular expression of target genes such as *leuO*. To test this hypothesis, we employed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis³³ using an antibody against ToxR, and observed a higher level of binding of ToxR to the *leuO* upstream DNA in the presence of cFP than in the absence of cFP (Fig. 4). The same effect was observed for *ompU*, a gene known to be induced by cFP-ToxR signaling², and no effect was seen for the negative control, a non-specific IgG.

LeuO represses transcription of its own coding gene. In some organisms, LeuO has been shown to be self-regulated^{23,34}. To determine whether or not this is the case in *V. vulnificus*, we introduced a *leuO-lacZ* transcriptional fusion vector into both wild type and *leuO*-deletion mutant cells and quantitatively measured β -galactosidase activity in the presence or absence of exogenous cFP. In the *leuO*-deletion mutant, β -galactosidase levels were much higher than that of wild type (Fig. 5a). When *leuO* was re-introduced *in trans* on another plasmid, β -galactosidase activity was nearly as low as wild type. We then measured β -galactosidase activity from a chromosomal *leuO-lacZ* fusion in a $\Delta leuO$ isotype harboring an arabinose-inducible LeuO overexpression vector



→ Transcriptional start site

GGGGCGATAAAT<mark>A</mark>TAAGAAATACAGGTTATCTATTCCTGACTATCAAATTCAAATTTG TTGACCGTTACACGAGAGGCGCACTAG**ATG**TTAGATAAAAAAGATGCGATG....3'

Translational start start

Figure 3. Analysis of the ToxR-binding region and transcription start site in the DNA region upstream of *leuO*. (a) Gel-mobility shift assay of a labeled 412-bp DNA fragment from the *leuO* promoter region using purified ToxR. Lanes 1-5: ToxR at concentrations of 0, 200, 400, 600, and 800 nM. Lanes 6-7: 800 nM ToxR with 10, and 100 ng of the same DNA unlabeled. (b) DNaseI protection assay of the region upstream of *leuO* using ToxR-N. Lanes 1-4: ToxR-N at 0, 1, 2, and 4 μ M incubated with 200 ng of labeled *leuO* promoter DNA and separated by gel electrophoresis alongside a sequencing ladder (left four lanes). The sequence that matched the consensus ToxR binding site is shown in bold text. (c) Localization of the *leuO* transcription start site by primer extension. A primer complementary to the 5'-end of the gene was used, as described Materials and Methods. (d) Genetic map of the DNA region upstream of *leuO*. The ToxR binding sequences are highlighted in yellow and the consensus ToxR binding sequences³² are shown in the box above. The promoter and transcriptional start site are underlined in green and red text, respectively, and the translational start site is bolded and underlined.

.....

(Fig. 5b). When measured by western hybridization using antibody against the expressed protein, expression of LeuO increased as concentrations of arabinose increased. As the LeuO expression level increased, β galactosidase activity from the *leuO-lacZ* fusion was gradually decreased. These results indicate that LeuO represses its own expression in *V. vulnificus*.



Figure 4. ChIP analysis showing that cFP enhances the ToxR binding affinity for the *cis*-acting elements in the DNA region upstream of *leuO* and *ompU*. The *toxR* null mutant cells expressing strep-tagged ToxR were cross-linked, washed, and sonicated as described in Materials and Methods. Lysates were then treated with either anti-Strep-tag II monoclonal antibody or normal mouse IgG as a control. Free DNA was purified and analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) on a Light Cycler 480 II real-time PCR system. The relative enrichment was calculated as the amount of transcript compared to transcript from cells without cFP. Values are averages from biological experiments done in triplicate. Error bars indicate the standard deviations (**P < 0.005).



Figure 5. LeuO regulates the transcription of its own coding gene. (a) β -Galactosidase activity of a *leuO-lacZ* fusion in the absence (open bars) and presence (solid bars) of exogenous 5 mM cFP in wild type *V. vulnificus*, a *leuO*-deletion isotype, and the deletion mutant with *leuO in trans* (pBBR12-*leuO*). The error bars denote standard deviations of three independent experiments (**P < 0.005). (b) Transcription levels of *leuO* as measured by β -Galactosidase activities from $\Delta leuO$ (pBBR12-leuO-ara) harboring pMZtc-*leuO* (upper panel), and translation levels as determined by western hybridization using an antibody against LeuO (lower panel). Culture conditions are described in Materials and Methods. To induce the expression of LeuO, the culture was split into six aliquots after reaching an A₆₀₀ of 0.4, and then arabinose was added to each at concentrations ranging from 0–0.1%. Error bars denote standard deviations of three independent experiments.

LeuO binds to multiple sites in the region upstream of *leuO*. Through DNA footprinting analysis (Supplementary Fig. S1), we identified the following five LeuO binding sites in the region upstream of *leuO* (with respect to the translational start site): -274 to -253 (LeuO-1), -244 to -207 (LeuO-2), -196 to -187 (LeuO-3), -167 to -141 (LeuO-4), and -137 to -109 (LeuO-5). A sequence alignment of these five LeuO binding sequences and other known LeuO binding sites suggests an AT-rich consensus sequences, ATNAATTTNTTTAATAAAT (more highly conserved bases noted in bold font) (Supplementary Fig. S2). The ToxR binding region overlaps with LeuO-2, and the putative -35 promoter region overlaps with LeuO-5 (Fig. 6a).

Bending of DNA in the upstream region is important for *leuO* regulation. Transcription of *leuO* was measured in a series of deletions in the upstream region, including a deletion in the ToxR binding site and either a 5-bp or 10-bp deletion between the ToxR binding site and the promoter region (Fig. 6a). A transcriptional fusion between a *lacZ*-reporter and either the wild type upstream region of *leuO* or each of the three mutants was assessed in an E. coli strain harboring an arabinose-inducible ToxRS expression vector. As shown in Fig. 6b, in the absence of ToxRS, expression of all four reporter fusions was low without any significant differences among them. When ToxRS was induced, expression levels of the reporter containing the 10-bp deletion was equivalent to that of wild type, whereas the reporter from the 5-bp deletion was expressed at levels as low as in the absence of the ToxRS. This significant difference in expression levels between DNA sequences of different lengths suggests that a curve in the DNA structure between the ToxR-binding site and the promoter region may be important. It is possible that this bending allows ToxR to come in contact with RNA polymerase and stabilize its binding to the promoter region, but only when the two are in the correct orientation. To test this hypothesis, we examined the effect of various deletions on the binding of RNA polymerase. We co-incubated purified ToxR-N and RNA polymerase holoenzyme together with each of DNA fragments shown in Fig. 6a. The precipitates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and levels of RNA polymerase were measured by western hybridization using an antibody against the α subunit. As shown in Fig. 6c, a DNA fragment with a 10-bp deletion bound to RNA Pol as well as the wild type fragment. However, both the 5-bp deletion and the deletion in the ToxR binding site led to significantly less binding of RNA Pol. When ToxR was not expressed, significantly lower levels of RNA polymerase bound to the wild type upstream region. Addition of increasing amounts of LeuO to the wild type fragment led to a gradual decrease in the amount of RNA Pol that was bound (Fig. 6d). It is arguable that the 5-bp and 10-bp deletions may result in the failure of the ToxR binding and, consequently, RNA Pol could not be invited to the promoter. To test this possibility, we compared gel-mobility shift using purified ToxR-N among radiolabeled wild type DNA fragment of the upstream region of *leuO*, the same fragment with each of the 5-bp and 10-bp deletions as well as that with deletion in the ToxR binding site as described in the Methods section. As shown in Fig. 6e, the 5-bp or 10-bp deletion did not significantly affect the binding of ToxR-N comparing to the wild type fragment meanwhile deletion in the ToxR-binding site completely abolished the binding.

In summary, these results suggest that bending of the DNA between the ToxR binding site and the promoter assists in binding of RNA polymerase, ToxR is necessary for maximal binding, and LeuO interferes with RNA polymerase binding.

Discussion

cFP has only recently been identified as a signaling molecule in Vibrio species. Even though production of this compound had been observed in Pseudomonas spp.35, the demonstration that it plays a signaling role was first shown in V. vulnificus and V. cholerae^{2,3}. Species belonging to Vibrionaceae comprise well-studied model systems for the intercellular communication phenomena represented by quorum-sensing. Homoserine lactone (autoinducer-1, AI-1)³⁶, (2S, 4S)-2-methyl-2,3,3,4-tetrahydroxytetrahydrofuran borate (AI-2), and 3-hydroxytridecan-4-one (Cholera autoinducer 1, CAI-1)³⁷ have been intensively studied as quorum sensing signaling molecules. In V. fischeri, AI-1 binds directly to a LuxR-type activator resulting in up-regulation of a variety of target genes^{38,39}. AI-2 and CAI-1 activate a two-component regulatory circuit and funnel the signal to a V. harvevi LuxR-type activator^{40,41}, which then modulates expression of target genes. The way in which cFP transduces a signal is distinct from that of these other signaling molecules. cFP binds to ToxR, which then transduces the signal to LeuO. ToxR itself also regulates a set of genes, toxT, ctxAB, and tcp, all of which are located on a pathogenic island present only in V. cholerae but not in other species. ToxR also regulates expression of ompU, the gene we show to be under the control of LeuO as well (Fig. 4). LeuO modulates the expression of numerous other genes associated with a variety of functions in pathogenic Vibrio spp., such as reactive oxygen species resistance²¹, cationic antimicrobial peptide resistance⁴², virulent protease expression⁴³, acid tolerance⁴⁴, biofilm formation⁴⁵, protein export³⁴, and exopolysaccharide gene expression⁴⁶. This points to LeuO as a master regulator that is induced by the signal transduction protein ToxR in response to signals including cFP.

ITC analysis showed that cFP binds to the periplasmic domain of ToxR with a molar ratio of approximately 1:1. It is likely that cFP binding leads to an allosteric change in the cytoplasmic domain of ToxR, which then has altered affinity for the cognate *cis*-elements of target genes. Alternatively, binding of cFP may affect the ability of ToxR to form either a homodimer or a heterodimer with ToxS, another membrane protein required for ToxR functional activities⁴⁷. The K_d of cFP binding to ToxR was approximately 4×10^{-5} M. Considering that the concentration of cFP in culture supernatants of *V. vulnificus* is ~0.2 mM in early exponential phase and increases to ~0.8 mM by stationary phase², it was predicted that the cell would sense cFP at an early stage of growth. However, it has been reported that induction of *ompU* occurs only once cells reach stationary phase². One explanation for this disparity is that cFP has poor membrane permeability and doesn't accumulate in the periplasm until extracellular concentrations are higher.

ToxR is absolutely required for the expression of *leuO*. ToxR binding sites are well conserved among ToxR target genes, but the relative position and arrangement of the ToxR binding sites in relation to the promoter sequences are quite variable^{18,32}. Unlike other ToxR-regulated genes, the regions upstream of *leuO* in V.



Figure 6. *leuO* expression is regulated by DNA bending. (a) Genetic map showing the upstream region of *leuO*. The binding region for ToxR, the five binding sites for LeuO (labeled 1-5), and the -10 and -35 promoter regions are indicated. The transcription start site (+1) also is indicated. Boxes indicate the location of the deletions. (b) Expression of *lacZ* fused to wild-type or mutagenized regions upstream of *leuO* in the presence and absence of exogenous ToxRS in wild type E. coli strain DH50. The error bars denote standard deviations of the results of three independent experiments (*P < 0.05; NS, not significant). (c) In vitro pull-down assay. Wild-type or mutagenized upstream regions of leuO were incubated with RNA polymerase holoenzyme (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) in the presence or absence of ToxR-N, and the reactions were applied to Streptavidin Magnetic Beads (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA). The resins were washed, and then treated with protein loading buffer as described in Materials and Methods. The precipitates were resolved by SDS-PAGE, and the amount of RNA polymerase was detected by western hybridization using an antibody against the α subunit. (d) In vitro pull-down assay. The wild type region upstream of leuO was incubated with RNA polymerase holoenzyme and ToxR-N with gradually increasing amounts of LeuO. Reactions were then applied to Streptavidin Magnetic Beads, washed, and treated with protein loading buffer as described in Materials and Methods. The precipitates were resolved by SDS-PAGE, and the amount of RNA polymerase was detected by western hybridization using an antibody against the α subunit. (e) Gel-mobility shift assay of a labeled wildtype or mutagenized upstream regions of the leuO promoter region using purified ToxR-N. Lanes 1, 3, 5, 7: no protein. Lanes 2, 4, 6, 8: 100 nM of ToxR-N.

vulnificus and *V. cholerae* have long gaps (approximately 95 bases) between the ToxR binding site and the promoter (Supplementary Fig. S3). The region upstream of the *toxT* gene in *V. cholerae* also has a gap between these two elements, but it is much shorter than that of *leuO*, and TcpP is known to bind in this region where it binds ToxR and stimulates transcription⁴⁸. We considered a similar mechanism for *leuO* regulation in *V. vulnificus*. However, an extensive search for a TcpP homolog in the genome of *V. vulnificus* strains was futile, leading us to consider the possibility that the bending of the DNA between the ToxR-binding site and the promoter was most relevant. The nucleotide sequences of the binding sites for ToxR and LeuO are AT rich, a property frequently associated with DNA bending mechanisms⁴⁹. Furthermore, numerous LTTRs have been shown to induce DNA bending²⁶. The number of bases between the ToxR binding site and the promoter of *leuO* is long enough to allow DNA bending to take place^{50,51}. When we constructed two different deletion mutations in this region, we observed that a 5-bp deletion abolished expression of *leuO* but a 10-bp deletion had no effect. This supports the idea that an interaction between ToxR and RNA polymerase requires a bend in the DNA because a 5-bp deletion would result in a 180° rotation of the DNA helix, disrupting the interaction. It also is noteworthy that the *leuO* promoter sequence differs significantly from the canonical consensus sequence (Fig. 3), and therefore may require ToxR for binding of RNA polymerase.

The way in which LeuO represses its own expression is complex. The simplest model is one in which binding sites for LeuO overlap with both the ToxR binding site and the promoter sequence (LeuO-2 and LeuO-5 sites in Fig. 6a and Supplementary Fig. S4), and LeuO outcompetes RNA polymerase (Fig. 6d). Because LeuO binds to three additional sites, it is possible that binding to any of these sites leads to cooperative binding to the adjacent sites. If this is the case, we predict that deletions in any one of these sites would enhance expression of LeuO. However, in contrast to our expectations, 20-bp deletions in the middle of LeuO binding sites 1, 3, and 4 each led to a significant reduction in transcription of a *lacZ*-transcriptional fusion to *leuO* (Supplementary Fig. S5). Another possibility is that binding of LeuO to sites 1, 3, and 4 may in itself contribute to the bending of the DNA. Low levels of LeuO might stimulate bending and encourage transcription, but above a certain concentration, LeuO competes with ToxR or RNA polymerase, leading to an inhibition of transcription. Further physicochemical analysis of the interactions between the *leuO* upstream DNA, ToxR, RNA polymerase, and LeuO may answer these questions.

The physiological reasons for feedback inhibition of LeuO expression are unclear. Numerous genes are regulated by LeuO, including some with regulatory functions such as HU, AphA, and RpoS^{13,21}. Continued high levels of expression of intracellular LeuO may intensify these downstream pathways and be harmful to the cell.

The nucleotide sequences of regions upstream of *leuO* in various *Vibrio* species are highly homologous (Supplementary Fig. S4). All are AT-rich and include putative LeuO binding sites in positions similar to those we have identified in *V. vulnificus*. Unlike *V. vulnificus*, *V. cholerae* has two ToxR binding sites in opposite orientations (ref. ¹³ and Supplementary Fig. S3), but the distance between these sites and the promoter is almost identical to that in *V. vulnificus*. The other species all appear to have a single ToxR binding site like *V. vulnificus*. This suggests that regulation of *leuO* is very similar among *Vibrio* species and that the cFP-ToxR-LeuO signaling circuit is well conserved, and may have evolved prior to the ToxT or TcpPH-dependent signaling proteins that are encoded on a pathogenic island unique to *V. cholerae*.

Numerous factors are involved in the regulation of *leuO* (summarized in a working model shown in Fig. 7). These *trans*-elements are individually controlled by their own signals and by other regulators. LeuO appears to play a crucial role in coordinating these complex combinations of signals to optimize the expression of numerous pathways for survival and pathogenicity. Because of this, LeuO may be a good candidate for the development of novel antibacterial agents to disrupt the normal physiology of the pathogen.

Methods

Strains, culture condition, and chemicals. Strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1. All media were purchased from Difco (Detroit, MI) and all antibiotics were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). *Escherichia coli* strains were cultured in LB medium at 37 °C with appropriate antibiotics. *V. vulnificus* strains were cultured in LB medium or in thiosulfate citrate bile salt sucrose (TCBS) agar (Difco, Detroit, MI) at 30 °C. cFP (Bachem Inc, Switzerland) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and used at a final concentration of 5 mM.

Cloning of toxR and construction of a toxRS deletion mutant derived from V. vulnificus MO6-

24/O. All of the primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S2. To clone *toxR*, a 1,111-bp DNA fragment comprising the promoter region and the coding region of *toxR* was amplified by PCR using primers toxR-comp-F and toxR-comp-R and cloned into the *XhoI* and *KpnI* sites of pBBR1-MCS2⁵² to generate pBBR12-*toxR*. To construct a *toxRS* deletion mutant, a DNA fragment comprising the upstream region of *toxRS* was amplified using primers dtoxRS-up-F and dtoxRS-up-R and ligated to the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI) to generate pGEM-toxRSup. The downstream region of *toxRS* was amplified using primers dtoxRS-down-R and ligated to the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI) to generate pGEM-toxRSup. The downstream region of *toxRS* was amplified using primers dtoxRS-down-R and ligated to the pGEM-T Easy vector to generate pGEM-toxRSdown. To construct a *toxRS* deletion, the *toxRS* upstream fragment from pGEM-toxRSup digested with *XhoI* and *Bam*HI and the *toxRS* downstream fragment from pGEM-toxRSdown digested with *XhoI* and *Bam*HI were cloned together into the pGEM-T Easy vector to construct pGEM-dtoxRS. This resulting construct has a 1,401-bp deletion in *toxRS*, which was then introduced into *E. coli* S17-1 λpir^{54} to be mobilized into *V. vulnificus* strain MO6-24/O by conjugation. Double crossover selection to construct a chromosomal deletion of *toxRS* was performed as described previously⁵³. The deletion was confirmed by PCR and DNA sequencing, and the mutant was named MO6 $\Delta toxRS$.



Figure 7. Working model of the combined *trans*- and *cis*-acting elements that regulate expression of *leuO*. When the cell receives a signal such as cFP, ToxR-signal transduction ensues. cFP binds to the periplasmic domain of ToxR, leading to a conformational change in the cytoplasmic domain of ToxR such that it binds to the ToxR box upstream of *leuO*. A curve in the region between the ToxR binding site and the promoter allows ToxR to directly interact with RNA polymerase and recruit it to the poor promoter sequence. High level of expression of LeuO leads to feedback inhibition of its own transcription, possibly through binding to the five sites in the upstream region and displacing ToxR and/or RNA polymerase. Alternatively, full occupation of the LeuO binding sites may interfere with DNA bending such that ToxR can no longer recruit RNA polymerase.

Cloning of *leuO* and construction of a *leuO* deletion mutation and a *toxRS/leuO* triple deletion in V. *vulnificus* MO6-24/O. For cloning of *leuO*, a 960-bp DNA fragment of the coding region of *leuO* was amplified by PCR using primers leuO-comp-F and leuO-comp-R. The resulting product was cloned into pBBR1-MCS2 to construct pBBR12-*leuO*. To construct a *leuO* deletion mutant, DNA fragments comprising the upstream and downstream regions of *leuO* were amplified using primers dleuO-F1 and dleuO-R1, and primers dleuO-F2 and dleuO-R2, respectively. The upstream region fragment was digested with *Bam*HI and the downstream region fragment was digested with *Bgl*II. The resulting DNA fragments containing the *leuO* upstream region and downstream region were ligated to the pGEM-T Easy vector to generate pGEM-d*leuO*. This resulting construct has a 492-bp deletion in the middle of *leuO*. Plasmid pGEM-d*leuO* was digested with *Sal*I and *Sph*I, and cloned into pDM4 to generate pDM4-d*leuO*, which was then introduced into *E. coli* S17-1 λ pir from which it was mobilized into either wild type *V. vulnificus* MO6-24/O or MO6-d*toxRS* by conjugation. Exconjugants were grown on LB agar containing chloramphenicol (2µg/ml) to select for a double crossover as previously described⁵³. The chromosomal *leuO* deletion mutations were confirmed by PCR and DNA sequencing, and the mutants were named MO6 $\Delta leuO$ and MO6 $\Delta toxRS\Delta leuO$, respectively.

Construction of *lacZ***-transcriptional reporter fusions to** *leuO***.** A 540-bp and a 405-bp DNA fragment of the region upstream of *leuO* (-387 to +153 and -252 to +153 with respect to the translational start site, respectively) were amplified by PCR using primers lacZ-leuO-F and lacZ-leuO-R, and primers lacZ-sleuO-F and lacZ-leuO-R, respectively. The PCR products were cloned into pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI) to construct pGEM-*leuO* and pGEM-*sleuO*. After verifying the sequences, the construct was digested with *Pst*I and *Bam*HI, and the fragments containing the upstream region of *leuO* were cloned into the *Pst*I and *Bam*HI sites of pRK $\Omega lacZ^2$. The resulting constructs were named pRK-*leuO*::*lacZ* and pRK-*sleuO*::*lacZ*, and mobilized into appropriate *V. vulnificus* strains via conjugation using *E. coli* strain S17-1.

A 540-bp DNA fragment of the region upstream of leuO (-387 to +153 with respect to the translational start site) was amplified by PCR using primers pMZtc-leuO-F and pMZtc-leuO -R. The PCR product was cloned into pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI) to construct pGEM-pmZtc-leuO. After verifying the sequences, the construct was digested with *XbaI* and *XhoI*, and fragments containing the upstream region of *leuO* were cloned into the *XbaI* and *XhoI* site of pMZtc²¹. The resulting construct was named pMZtc-leuO. This construct was conjugated into *V. vulnificus* MO6-24/O wild type or appropriate strains, and a single crossover was obtained by selecting chloramphenicol-resistant colonies.

 β -Galactosidase assays. β -Galactosidase activity was measured as described previously⁵⁵. Briefly, *V. vulnificus* strains were cultured overnight in LB medium and then washed and subcultured in fresh LB medium. To assess the effect of cFP, either 5 mM cFP or DMSO as a negative control was added, and samples were diluted to an A₆₀₀ of 0.005.

Purification of recombinant LeuO and western hybridization. Two primers, LysR-over2-F and LysR-over2-R, were used to amplify a 984-bp DNA fragment containing the complete open reading frame of leuO from the genomic DNA of V. vulnificus. NdeI and BamHI sites located at the ends of the resulting leuO DNA were used for cloning into the pRE expression plasmid⁴³ to generate plasmid pRE-LeuO. Recombinant LeuO was overexpressed in E. coli GI698 by adding tryptophan (final concentration 100 µg/ml) (Sigma) in M9 salt-based induction medium (0.2% casamino acids, 1% glycerol, and M9 salts) and purified using a Ni⁺-NTA affinity column as directed by the manufacturer (Qiagen). Purified LeuO was used to generate polyclonal antibodies through three consecutive immunizations of Sprague-Dawley rats (200 µg LeuO protein per immunization) at 3-week intervals. The polyclonal antibodies against LeuO were obtained as described previously⁴³. For LeuO expression analysis, overnight cultures of V. vulnificus MO6-24/O, $\Delta leuO$, or $\Delta toxR$ grown in LB were subcultured and, when necessary, treated with 5 mM cFP. Cells were collected when the A_{600} value of the culture reached approximately 0.6–0.8, and were resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Then, $50 \mu g$ of each lysate was subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to a Hybond P membrane (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ). The membrane was incubated with polyclonal antibody against purified LeuO (1:2,000 dilution in blocking solution) and subsequently with goat anti-rat IgG-HRP (1:2000) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). LeuO expression was visualized using the NBT/BCIP color development substrate (Promega, Madison, WI) or the ECL western blotting detection reagent (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ).

Expression and purification of the cytoplasmic and periplasmic domains of ToxR. DNA fragments encoding 174 amino acids of the N-terminal cytoplasmic domain (named ToxR-N) and 98 amino acids of the C-terminal periplasmic domain (named ToxR-C) of ToxR were PCR-amplified using primers toxR-N-F and toxR-N-R and primers toxR-C-F and toxR-C-R, respectively. The amplified fragments were cloned into pET21a (Novagen, Madison, WI) using restriction enzymes *Sal*I and *Xho*I, to construct pET-21a::*toxR*-N and pET-21a::*toxR*-C, respectively. The resulting constructs encode ToxR-N and ToxR-C, each fused to a His-tag at the C-terminus. These plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) (Novagen, Madison, WI), and expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG. After centrifugation, bacterial pellets were suspended in 1 × binding buffer (0.5 M NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) (Novagen, Madison, WI) sonicated, and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was applied to His·Bind resin (Novagen, Madison, WI) and bound proteins were eluted with 1 × elution buffer (1 M imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0). The purity of the eluted protein was confirmed by 15% SDS-PAGE.

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) for binding of cFP and the periplasmic domain of ToxR (ToxR-C). The purified periplasmic domain of ToxR (ToxR-C) was used for the Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC) analysis. ITC measurements were carried out using an automated ITC_{200} (MicroCal, GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ). The reference cell was filled with buffer (20 mM Na₂HPO₄ pH 7.8 and 1 mM EDTA). The reaction cell was filled with 0.2 mM of the periplasmic domain of ToxR, and a syringe was filled with either 10 mM cFP or 10 mM linear phenylalanine-proline dipeptide (FP). Two microliters of either cFP or FP was injected into the reaction cell containing ToxR-C at a concentration of 0.2 mM until saturated. Titrations were performed to give a series of 2 µl injections at 2 min intervals with 1,000 rpm stirring at 25 °C. As a control, cFP was titrated into the reference buffer (20 mM Na₂HPO₄ pH 7.8 and 1 mM EDTA). Data was evaluated in terms of a simple binding model using the ORIGIN software package (OriginLab, Northampton, MA).

Expression and purification of ToxR. A DNA fragment encoding the entire 290 amino acids of ToxR was PCR-amplified using primers pASK-IBA3-toxR_F and pASK-IBA3-toxR_R. The amplified fragment was cloned into pASK-IBA3 vector, resulting in expression of ToxR fused to a strep-tag at the C-terminus. This plasmid was transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) (Novagen, Madison, WI), and expression was induced with $0.2 \, \mu g/$ ml anhydrotetracycline. After harvesting the cells, the membrane protein ToxR was purified in the detergent *n*-dodecyl- β -D-maltopyranoside (DDM) as previously described with minor modifications^{56,57}. Briefly, bacterial pellets were suspended in lysis/wash buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.8% DDM, 10% glycerol, pH 8.0), sonicated, and then centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant was applied to Strep-Tactin affinity resin (IBA Lifesciences, Göttingen, Germany), washed three times with lysis/wash buffer, and then specifically bound protein was loaded onto 12% SDS-PAGE to assess purity.

Determination of the transcription start sites of *leuO* by primer extension. RNA was extracted from wild type *V. vulnificus* strain MO6-24/O grown in LB broth to an A_{600} of 1.0. The primer PE-leuO, which is complementary to the coding strand of *leuO*, was ³²P-end labeled and used to synthesize cDNA from 1 µg of total RNA using PrimeScript RT Enzyme mix I (Takara Bio Inc., Japan). The same primer was used to generate a sequencing ladder using the Top DNA Sequencing Kit (Bioneer, Daejeon, Korea). The resulting primer extension product and sequencing ladders were resolved on a 6% polyacrylamide sequencing gel. The gel was dried and read using a BAS-1500 Imaging Plate (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

Gel-mobility shift assays. To assess the binding of the ToxR to a *cis*-acting element of *leuO*, a 412-bp DNA fragment containing the upstream region of the *leuO* promoter (nucleotides +60 to -352 with respect to the translation start site of *leuO*) was PCR-amplified using leuO-F and leuO-R primers. To assess the binding of the

ToxR-N to DNA fragment containing the region upstream of *leuO* including each of a 312-bp wild type fragment, the same fragments with a 5-bp or a 10-bp deletion between the promoter and the fragment with a deletion in the ToxR-binding site, and a 20-bp deletion in the ToxR binding site were amplified using primers lacZ-sleuO-F and leuO_R2. The PCR products were subsequently labeled with $[\gamma-^{32}P]$ ATP using T4 polynucleotide kinase (Enzynomics, Daejeon, Korea). For gel-mobility shift assays, 10 ng of the labeled DNA fragment was incubated with increasing amounts of purified ToxR (0 to 800 nM) or ToxR-N (0 and 100 nM) in a 20 µl reaction in binding buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 10 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM DTT, 50 µg/ml bovine serum albumin, and 5% glycerol] for 30 min at 30 °C. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 4 µl loading buffer, and samples were resolved on a 6% neutral polyacrylamide gel. The DNA was visualized using the BAS 1500 imaging system (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

DNasel footprinting assay. To determine the ToxR binding site on the upstream region of *leuO*, an end-labeled 266-bp DNA fragment of the leuO promoter region (nucleotides - 86 to - 352 relative to the translation initiation site) was amplified using primers leuO-F and ³²P-labeled FP-leuO-R. To identify the LeuO binding site within the leuO promoter region, an end-labeled 244-bp DNA fragment of the leuO promoter region (nucleotides -143 to -387 relative to the translation initiation site), and an end-labeled 291-bp DNA fragment of the *leuO* promoter region (nucleotides +60 to -231 relative to the translation initiation site) were amplified using primers lacZ-leuO-F and ³²P-labeled FP-leuO-R2, and leuO-F and ³²P-labeled FP-leuO-R1, respectively. 200 ng of the amplified leuO promoter region was incubated with increasing amounts of purified ToxR and LeuO at 30 °C for 30 min in 50 µl of buffer (10 mM HEPES, 100 mM KCl, 200 µM EDTA, 10% glycerol, pH 7.5). After 30 min, $50 \,\mu$ l of CaCl₂-MgCl₂ solution (10 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM CaCl₂) was added to the reaction. Then, 0.25 U of DNase I (Promega, Madison, WI) was added and the reaction was incubated at room temperature for 1 min. These reactions were terminated through the addition of 90 µl stop solution (200 mM NaCl, 30 mM EDTA, 1% SDS). After addition of 500 µl ethanol, samples were precipitated on ice for more than 30 min and centrifuged. DNA pellets were washed with 70% ethanol, and resuspended in 10µl loading buffer [0.1 M NaOH:formamide (1:2), 0.1% xylene cyanol, 0.1% bromophenol blue]. The samples and the sequencing ladder generated with ³²P-labeled leuO-R were denatured for 5 min at 95 °C, chilled on ice, and loaded on to a 6% sequencing gel. The sequencing ladders were prepared using an AccuPower DNA sequencing kit (Bioneer, Daejeon, Korea). The DNA was visualized using the BAS 1500 imaging system (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

ChIP analysis. ChIP analysis was performed following the manufacturer's instructions (EZ ChIP, Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY). Briefly, the toxR deletion mutant of V. vulnificus harboring pRK-toxR-strep-tag was grown overnight in LB broth, then subcultured to an A_{600} of 0.005 in fresh LB broth containing either 5 mM cFP or DMSO. When the culture reached an A₆₀₀ of 2.0, cells were treated with 1% formaldehyde and incubated at room temperature (RT) for 15 minutes. After incubation, 0.125 M glycine was added to quench unreacted formaldehyde, then incubated for 5 min at room temperature. Cells were harvested and washed with cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Concentrated cells were sonicated, and cell lysates were incubated with Protein G Agarose beads (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) in dilution buffer for 1 hour at 4 °C. Protein G agarose beads were collected by centrifugation, supernatants were removed, and pellets were treated with either Anti Strep-tag II monoclonal antibody (IBA Lifesciences, Göttingen, Germany) or normal mouse IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). After incubation at 4°C overnight, protein G agarose beads were added and further incubated for 1 hour at 4 °C. Protein G agarose beads were pelleted and then washed with low salt immune complex buffer, high salt immune complex wash buffer, LiCl immune complex wash buffer, and TE buffer, sequentially. Washed agarose beads were treated with elution buffer, and then the protein/DNA complex was reverse cross-linked by incubation at 65 °C for 5 hrs. Free DNA was purified using a DNA purification kit (Biofact, Deajeon, Korea) following the manufacturer's directions. DNA was analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) on a Light Cycler 480 II real-time PCR system (Roche Applied Science, Upper Bavaria, Germany). gRT-PCR was carried out in triplicate in a 96-well plate (Roche Applied Science) using the primers in Supplementary Table S2. The gene encoding NAD-dependent glyceraldehyde-3-phosphatase of Vibrio species was used as an endogenous loading control for the reactions. Quantification was carried out using the Light Cycler 480 II real-time PCR system software program.

Construction of pBAD-toxRS. A 1431-bp fragment of *toxRS* was amplified by PCR using primers pBAD_toxRS_F and pBAD_toxRS_R using the genomic DNA of *V. vulnificus* as a template. The resulting product was cloned into the pBAD-TOPO (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) vector to generate pBAD-toxRS.

In vitro pull-down assay to assess the binding of RNA polymerase to the LeuO promoter. DNA fragments containing the region upstream of *leuO* including each of a 5-bp and a 10-bp deletion between the promoter and the ToxR-binding site, and a 20-bp deletion in the ToxR binding site were amplified using primers lacZ-leuO-F and leuO_pull down_R. 50 nM of each DNA fragment was incubated with 100 nM of purified ToxR-N, 50 nM of RNA polymerase holoenzyme (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA), and LeuO (0 to 200 nM) in a 30µl reaction in binding buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 10 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM DTT, 50 µg/ml bovine serum albumin, and 5% glycerol] for 1 hour at 30 °C. The reaction was applied to Streptavidin Magnetic Beads (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA), and then incubated for 4 hours at room temperature. The streptavidin magnetic beads were washed twice with wash buffer [12 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.9), 4 mM Tris-Cl, 30 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 12% glycerol]. Washed resins were treated with 30µl of protein loading buffer, and samples were boiled for 5 min. The samples were centrifuged to pellet the streptavidin magnetic beads and SDS-PAGE was used to analyze the supernatant. After transfer to a Hybond P membrane (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ), the membrane was incubated with anti-*E. coli* RNA Polymerase

alpha monoclonal antibody (1:2,000 dilution in blocking solution) (Biolegend, San Diego, CA) and subsequently with goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP (1:2000) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). The amount of RNA polymerase alpha subunit was visualized using ECL Western blotting detection reagent (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ).

Ethical approval and informed consents. This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations in the Animal Protection Act of Korea. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Commit Sogang University (Approval number: IACUCSGU2019_07). Rats were consistently monitored for signs of distress over the course of the experiments to be removed from the experiment and euthanized using carbon dioxide inhalation to prevent unnecessary suffering.

Data availability

Data and associated protocols are promptly available to readers as requested.

Received: 9 July 2019; Accepted: 30 November 2019; Published online: 27 December 2019

References

- 1. Baker-Austin, C. et al. Vibrio spp. infections. Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers. 4, 8, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-018-0005-8 (2018).
- 2. Park, D. K. *et al.* Cyclo(Phe-Pro) modulates the expression of *ompU* in *Vibrio* spp. *J. Bacteriol.* **188**, 2214–2221, https://doi. org/10.1128/JB.188.6.2214-2221.2006 (2006).
- Bina, X. R. & Bina, J. E. The cyclic dipeptide cyclo(Phe-Pro) inhibits cholera toxin and toxin-coregulated pilus production in O1 El Tor Vibrio cholerae. J. Bacteriol. 192, 3829–3832, https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00191-10 (2010).
- Klose, K. E. Increased chatter: cyclic dipeptides as molecules of chemical communication in Vibrio spp. J. Bacteriol. 188, 2025–2026, https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.188.6.2025-2026.2006 (2006).
- 5. Graz, M., Hunt, A., Jamie, H., Grant, G. & Milne, P. Antimicrobial activity of selected cyclic dipeptides. *Pharmazie*. 54, 772–775 (1999).
- Strom, K., Sjogren, J., Broberg, A. & Schnurer, J. Lactobacillus plantarum MiLAB 393 produces the antifungal cyclic dipeptides cyclo(L-Phe-L-Pro) and cyclo(L-Phe-trans-4-OH-L-Pro) and 3-phenyllactic acid. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68, 4322–4327 (2002).
- 7. Milne, P. J., Hunt, A. L., Rostoll, K., Van Der Walt, J. J. & Graz, C. J. The biological activity of selected cyclic dipeptides. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 50, 1331–1337 (1998).
- Rhee, K. H. Inhibition of DNA topoisomerase I by cyclo(L-prolyl-L-phenylalanyl) isolated from *Streptomyces* sp AMLK-335. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 12, 1013–1016 (2002).
- 9. Brauns, S. C., Dealtry, G., Milne, P., Naude, R. & Van de Venter, M. Caspase-3 activation and induction of PARP cleavage by cyclic dipeptide cyclo(Phe-Pro) in HT-29 cells. *Anticancer Res.* 25, 4197–4202 (2005).
- Lee, W. et al. Vibrio vulnificus quorum-sensing molecule cyclo(Phe-Pro) inhibits RIG-I-mediated antiviral innate immunity. Nat. Commun. 9, 1606, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04075-1 (2018).
- Kim, K. *et al.* Cyclo (Phe-Pro) produced by the human pathogen *Vibrio vulnificus* inhibits host innate immune responses through the NF-κB pathway. *Infect. Immun.* 83, 1150–1161, https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.02878-14 (2015).
- Lee, K., Jeong, J. E., Kim, I. H., Kim, K. S. & Ju, B. G. Cyclo (phenylalanine-proline) induces DNA damage in mammalian cells via reactive oxygen species. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 19, 2851–2864, https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.12678 (2015).
- Bina, X. R., Taylor, D. L., Vikram, A., Ante, V. M. & Bina, J. E. Vibrio cholerae ToxR downregulates virulence factor production in response to cyclo (Phe-Pro). mBio 4, e00366–00313, https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00366-13 (2013).
- Ottemann, K. M. & Mekalanos, J. J. The ToxR protein of Vibrio cholerae forms homodimers and heterodimers. J. Bacteriol. 178, 156–162, https://doi.org/10.2217/17460913.2.3.335 (1996).
- Childers, B. M. & Klose, K. E. Regulation of virulence in Vibrio cholerae: the ToxR regulon. Future Microbiol. 2, 335–344, https://doi. org/10.2217/17460913.2.3.335 (2007).
- Matson, J. S., Withey, J. H. & DiRita, V. J. Regulatory networks controlling Vibrio cholerae virulence gene expression. Infect. Immun. 75, 5542–5549, https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01094-07 (2007).
- Skorupski, K. & Taylor, R. K. Control of the ToxR virulence regulon in Vibrio cholerae by environmental stimuli. Mol. Microbiol. 25, 1003–1009 (1997).
- Crawford, J. A., Kaper, J. B. & DiRita, V. J. Analysis of ToxR-dependent transcription activation of *ompU*, the gene encoding a major envelope protein in *Vibrio cholerae. Mol. Microbiol.* 29, 235–246 (1998).
- Li, C. C., Crawford, J. A., DiRita, V. J. & Kaper, J. B. Molecular cloning and transcriptional regulation of *ompT*, a ToxR-repressed gene in *Vibrio cholerae*. Mol. Microbiol. 35, 189–203 (2000).
- Morgan, S. J. et al. The two faces of ToxR: activator of ompU, co-regulator of toxT in Vibrio cholerae. Mol. Microbiol. 81, 113–128, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07681.x (2011).
- Kim, I. H. et al. Cyclo-(l-Phe-l-Pro), a quorum-sensing signal of Vibrio vulnificus, induces expression of hydroperoxidase through a ToxR-LeuO-HU-RpoS signaling pathway to confer resistance against oxidative stress. Infect. Immun. 86, https://doi.org/10.1128/ IAI.00932-17 (2018).
- Battesti, A., Majdalani, N. & Gottesman, S. The RpoS-mediated general stress response in *Escherichia coli. Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 65, 189–213, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-090110-102946 (2011).
- Maddocks, S. E. & Oyston, P. C. Structure and function of the LysR-type transcriptional regulator (LTTR) family proteins. *Microbiology* 154, 3609–3623, https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2008/022772-0 (2008).
- Guadarrama, C., Villaseñor, T. & Calva, E. The subtleties and contrasts of the LeuO regulator in Salmonella Typhi: implications in the immune response. Front. Immunol. 5, 581, https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2014.00581 (2014).
- Schell, M. A. Molecular biology of the LysR family of transcriptional regulators. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 47, 597–626, https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev.mi.47.100193.003121 (1993).
- Tropel, D. & Van Der Meer, J. R. Bacterial transcriptional regulators for degradation pathways of aromatic compounds. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 68, 474–500, https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.68.3.474-500.2004 (2004).
- Pareja, E. *et al.* Extratrain: a database of extragenic regions and transcriptional information in prokaryotic organisms. *BMC Microbiol.* 6, 29, https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-6-29 (2006).
- Hernández-Lucas, I. & Calva, E. The coming of age of the LeuO regulator. Mol. Microbiol. 85, 1026–1028, https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-2958.2012.08175.x (2012).
- Kim, B. S., Kang, S. J., Lee, S. B., Hwang, W. & Kim, K. S. Simple method to correct gene-specific dye bias from partial dye swap information of a DNA microarray experiment. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 15, 1377–1383 (2005).
- Kim, I. H. et al. Transcriptomic analysis of genes modulated by exogenous cyclo (L-phenylalanine-L-proline) in Vibrio vulnificus. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 23, 1791–1801 (2013).

- Miller, V. L., Taylor, R. K. & Mekalanos, J. J. Cholera toxin transcriptional activator ToxR is a transmembrane DNA binding protein. *Cell.* 48, 271–279 (1987).
- 32. Goss, T. J., Morgan, S. J., French, E. L. & Krukonis, E. S. ToxR recognizes a direct repeat element in the toxT, ompU, ompT, and ctxA promoters of Vibrio cholerae to regulate transcription. Infect. Immun. 81, 884–895, https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00889-12 (2013).
- Jeong, H. S., Kim, S. M., Lim, M. S., Kim, K. S. & Choi, S. H. Direct interaction between quorum-sensing regulator SmcR and RNA polymerase is mediated by integration host factor to activate vvpE encoding elastase in Vibrio vulnificus. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 9357-9366, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.089987 (2010).
- Osei-Adjei, G. et al. Regulatory actions of ToxR and CalR on their own genes and type III secretion system 1 in Vibrio parahaemolyticus. Oncotarget. 8, 65809, https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.19498 (2017).
- 35. Holden, M. T. et al. Quorum-sensing cross talk: isolation and chemical characterization of cyclic dipeptides from *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa and other gram-negative bacteria. *Mol. Microbiol.* **33**, 1254–1266 (1999).
- Cao, J. G. & Meighen, E. Purification and structural identification of an autoinducer for the luminescence system of *Vibrio harveyi*. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 21670–21676 (1989).
- Higgins, D. A. et al. The major Vibrio cholerae autoinducer and its role in virulence factor production. Nature. 450, 883, https://doi. org/10.1038/nature06284 (2007).
- Fuqua, C., Parsek, M. R. & Greenberg, E. P. Regulation of gene expression by cell-to-cell communication: acyl-homoserine lactone quorum sensing. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 35, 439–468, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.genet.35.102401.090913 (2001).
- Wellington, S. & Greenberg, E. P. Quorum sensing signal selectivity and the potential for interspecies cross talk. *mBio* 10, e00146–00119, https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00146-19 (2019).
- Jobling, M. G. & Holmes, R. K. Characterization of hapR, a positive regulator of the Vibrio cholerae HA/protease gene hap, and its identification as a functional homologue of the Vibrio harveyi luxR gene. Mol. Microbiol. 26, 1023–1034 (1997).
- Lee, J. H. et al. Identification and functional analysis of Vibrio vulnificus SmcR, a novel global regulator. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 17, 325 (2007).
- Bina, X. R., Howard, M. F., Ante, V. M. & Bina, J. E. Vibrio cholerae LeuO links the ToxR regulon to expression of lipid A remodeling genes. Infect. Immun. 84, 3161–3171, https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00445-16 (2016).
- Kim, J. A. et al. Stationary-phase induction of vvpS expression by three transcription factors: repression by LeuO and activation by SmcR and CRP. Mol. Microbiol. 97, 330–346, https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13028 (2015).
- Ante, V. M. et al. Vibrio cholerae leuO transcription is positively regulated by ToxR and contributes to bile resistance. J. Bacteriol. 197, 3499–3510, https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00419-15 (2015).
- Moorthy, S. & Watnick, P. I. Identification of novel stage-specific genetic requirements through whole genome transcription profiling of *Vibrio cholerae* biofilm development. *Mol. Microbiol.* 57, 1623–1635, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04797.x (2005).
- Ayala, J. C., Wang, H., Benitez, J. A. & Silva, A. J. Molecular basis for the differential expression of the global regulator VieA in Vibrio cholerae biotypes directed by H-NS, LeuO and quorum sensing. Mol. Microbiol. 107, 330–343, https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13884 (2018).
- Hung, D. T. & Mekalanos, J. J. Bile acids induce cholera toxin expression in *Vibrio cholerae* in a ToxT-independent manner. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102, 3028–3033, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0409559102 (2005).
- Krukonis, E. S. & DiRita, V. J. DNA binding and ToxR responsiveness by the wing domain of TcpP, an activator of virulence gene expression in *Vibrio cholerae*. Mol. Cell. 12, 157–165 (2003).
- Hizver, J., Rozenberg, H., Frolow, F., Rabinovich, D. & Shakked, Z. DNA bending by an adenine-thymine tract and its role in gene regulation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98, 8490–8495, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.151247298 (2001).
- Saiz, L. & Vilar, J. M. Ab initio thermodynamic modeling of distal multisite transcription regulation. Nucleic Acids Res. 36, 726–731, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm1034 (2007).
- 51. Schleif, R. DŇA looping. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 61, 199-223, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.61.070192.001215 (1992).
- Kovach, M. E. et al. Four new derivatives of the broad-host-range cloning vector pBBR1MCS, carrying different antibiotic-resistance cassettes. Gene. 166, 175–176 (1995).
- Milton, D. L., O'Toole, R., Horstedt, P. & Wolf-Watz, H. Flagellin A is essential for the virulence of Vibrio anguillarum. J. Bacteriol. 178, 1310–1319 (1996).
- Simon, R., Priefer, U. & Pühler, A. A broad host range mobilization system for *in vivo* genetic engineering: transposon mutagenesis in gram negative bacteria. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 1, 784, https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1183-784 (1983).
- 55. Miller, J. Assay of β-galactosidase (ed. Miller, J.) 352–355 (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory,1972).
- Lee, C. et al. Inhibition of phospholipase D by amphiphysins. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 18751–18758, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M001695200 (2000).
- VanAken, T., Foxall-VanAken, S., Castleman, S. & Ferguson-Miller, S. Alkyl glycoside detergents: synthesis and applications to the study of membrane proteins. *Methods Enzymol.* 125, 27–35, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(86)25005-3 (1986).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (2017R1A2B2006966 and 2019R1A2C2084282), Republic of Korea. We thank So-Young Kim for assistance with ITC analysis. We declare that we have no conflicts of interest regarding the contents of this article.

Author contributions

N.P., I.K., Y.W., K.L., S.L. and J.K. performed the experiments. K.J., K.L. and K.K. were involved in the conception and design of the study. N.P. and K.K. were involved in drafting the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-56855-4.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.-S.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019