# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

# **OPEN**

# A new perspective in understanding rainfall from satellites over a complex topographic region of India

Manoj Kumar Thakur<sup>1,2</sup>, T. V. Lakshmi Kumar <sup>1\*</sup>, K. Koteswara Rao <sup>3</sup>, Humberto Barbosa<sup>4</sup> & V. Brahmananda Rao<sup>5</sup>

Present study focuses on rainfall over Western Ghats (WG), a complex topographic region (elevation > 500 m) of India to evaluate and to better understand the satellite behavior in contrast with a flat region (FR) (elevation < 500 m) of central India from 1998 to 2016 using the combinatory data sets of TMPA and IMERG (satellite rainfall estimation). The categorical Intra Seasonal Oscillations (ISO) of Indian summer monsoon (ISM) namely, Madden Julian Oscillation (MJO) and Quasi Bi-Weekly Oscillation (QBWO) are tested in satellite and India Meteorological Department (IMD) gridded rainfall data sets to find out the satellite performance. As the accurate estimation of rainfall from satellites over higher elevation zones is challenging, here we propose a new perspective to select the rainfall products of satellite for better comparison with ground measurements. Considering the satellite's best capability in detecting the cold clouds resulting from deep convection and its coupling with higher-level circulation, we show that the rainfall from satellites yield fruitful comparison with ground measurements when moist static stability, tropical easterly jet is above the climatological values.

Rain gauge network over land is the most trusted instrument for accurate rainfall measurement. However, in remote areas (e.g. mountainous terrain) sparse rain gauges make the rainfall data erroneous when are averaged over a region. Hence, satellite rainfall retrieval is an alternate option for optimal spatio-temporal analysis of precipitation over such remote areas. In recent times, availability of passive microwave and space borne active precipitation radar along with Infrared technique revolutionized the rainfall estimation and its characteristic study from space<sup>1</sup>. TMPA [Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Multi-satellite Precipitation Analysis] and IMERG [Integrated Multi-satellitE Retrievals for Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM)] are the promising multi-satellite precipitation retrieval, which provide opportunities to explore more about weather and monsoon systems over tropical region. Huffman et al.<sup>2,3</sup> provide detailed information on TMPA and IMERG gridded rainfall data including their retrieval algorithm. These data sets are ideal for monsoon and hydrological studies<sup>4</sup> although they need calibration at different scales with ground truth obtained from gauge and radar. It is reported that satellite rainfall estimation (e.g. INSAT-3D, TMPA, CMORPH) shows regional, seasonal and year to year bias<sup>5-11</sup>. Gruber and Levizzani<sup>12</sup>, Kikuchi and Wang<sup>13</sup> summarized, satellite retrieval of rainfall from Infrared, microwave and its combination shows serious limitations mainly over land than over ocean. Dinku et al.<sup>14</sup> reported that high altitudinal regions pose unique challenges to satellite precipitation retrieval and found that the underestimation of rainfall from the satellite over mountain is due to their incapability in distinguishing the raining and non-raining clouds when IR technique is employed. In cases, where orographic rain is not due to ice aloft, since passive microwave rainfall retrievals are mainly from ice scattering at the upper parts of convective clouds. It also underestimates the surface rain over mountain. Ebert et al.<sup>15</sup> also confirmed that satellite generally captures convective precipitation but has difficulty to detect warm rain. Underestimation of rainfall by the satellites over mountainous region has been studied<sup>16-19</sup> in detail and reported that it is also due to the complex nature of the

<sup>1</sup>Atmospheric Science Research Laboratory, Department of Physics, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur, 603203, India. <sup>2</sup>Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal. <sup>3</sup>Centre for Climate Change Research, Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology, Pune, India. <sup>4</sup>Laboratorio de Analise e Processamento de Imagens de Satelites, Universiadade Federal de Alogoas- UFAL, Maceió, Brazil. <sup>5</sup>Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, INPE C.P. 515, São José dos Campos, SP, 12245-970, Brazil. \*email: lkumarap@hotmail.com



**Figure 1.** Region of spatial assessment over India (**a**) and distribution of IMD and IMERG rainfall at different spatial scale (**b**) [r (correlation), b (bias/mm), R (RMSE/mm)].

topography over the mountains. Mitra *et al.*<sup>8</sup> made extensive study on rainfall estimation over WG and reported that daily TRMM rainfall underestimate the heavy orographic precipitation. However, a better understanding of satellite rainfall characteristics is important for its effective utilization during the natural hazards such as land-slides, floods during active monsoon time. As the spatio-temporal resolution of the satellite data is available on finer scale, study of aforementioned hazards could be possible when the satellite data are used with care.

In the present investigation, we attempt to study rainfall behavior over a complex topographic region, WG using rainfall data of TMPA and IMERG from TRMM and GPM (a follow-on mission to TRMM) constellation of satellites respectively from 1998 to 2016. The contrast in rainfall behavior during southwest (SW) monsoon season (June to September) over the elevated region of WG with a flat region in central India is presented, using typical Intra Seasonal Oscillation (ISO) indices associated with Indian summer monsoon namely MJO (~30 to 60 days), TEJ (~14 days) and MSS (~14 days) with small periodicity between 2 to 6 days. IMD and satellite data sets were compared over the FR and WG with a perspective to bring them to close agreement in certain conditions where the satellite rainfall data sets with existing algorithm are better compared with IMD rainfall.

### **Results and Discussion**

The spatial correspondence of newly released IMERG V5 and IMD is assessed by considering the different size grid boxes starting from  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  to  $9^{\circ} \times 9^{\circ}$  grids [Fig. 1(a)] from 2014–2016 during SW monsoon season. As the grid size increases from  $1^{\circ}$  to  $9^{\circ}$ , pearson correlation (r) between the two rainfall data sets increases from 0.11 to 0.66 [Fig. 1(b)] and mean daily bias is gradually decreased in  $1^{\circ}$  to  $5^{\circ}$  grid boxes from 2.58 mm to 0.99 mm, there after it increased in  $7^{\circ} \times 7^{\circ}$  and  $9^{\circ} \times 9^{\circ}$  boxes (1.21 and 1.16 mm respectively) though the correlation (r) is higher (0.56 and 0.66 respectively) over these regions. The observed increase in bias (by ~20%) might be due to the diverse topography comprising of flat and elevated regions where satellite behaves differently even in short distances<sup>20,21</sup>. This analysis has been done for understanding the agreement between IMERG and IMD rainfall data sets over India.

Prime aim of this study is to characterize rainfall from satellites over the complex topographic region, WG in order to use these data sets for research and application studies more reliably. For this purpose, we have selected a  $5^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$  box (23°N–28°N, 75°E–80°E) in central India region where the elevation is less than 500 m to examine the changes with respect to an elevated region greater than 500 m over WG [Fig. 2 left panel].

The daily time series of all India/WG/FR for 19-year (1998–2016) mean satellite retrieved rainfall, IMD rainfall and climatological IMD rainfall (1961–1990) during SW monsoon season are shown in [Fig. 2] right panel. Rainfall above 8 mm/day over WG is underestimated by satellite [Fig. 2b], as reported in the other studies over mountainous region of Iran as well as WG<sup>22,23</sup>. In order to verify the underestimation of daily satellite rainfall over the complex topographic region, a bootstrapped Kolnogorov-Smirnov test (hypothesis: greater) is performed to compare IMD and satellite daily rainfall over WG each year (1998–2016) during SW monsoon and it is found that the returned value of test statistics: h = 0 indicates that the K-S test accept the null hypothesis at the 5% significance level confirming underestimation of satellite rainfall over WG. An important requirement before the use of satellite data for verifying the rainfall characteristics is their uncertainties in the difference with the ground data<sup>24</sup>. If the bias is high, then it has to be assessed and evaluated. In the present study, the systematic bias of satellite rainfall over all India, WG and FR is 2.31, 1.27 and 0.44 mm/day respectively.

Intra seasonal oscillation (ISO) of SW monsoon rainfall observed in IMD and satellite data sets.

The time scale of ISO during monsoon season over India is 10 to 90 days and it has some preferred bands between 30 to 60 days, and less than 20 days<sup>25–27</sup>. Here we analyze the above-mentioned oscillations over all India, WG and FR separately, using Lomb Scargle Periodogram (LSP) a well-known algorithm for detecting periodic signals<sup>28</sup>.



**Figure 2.** Study area (left panel) and Time series plot of daily rainfall (**a**) all India, (**b**) WG and (**c**). FR from 1998–2016 (right panel).



**Figure 3.** Lomb Scargle Periodogram (LSP) of IMD and satellite mean daily rainfall over (**a**) all India (**b**) WG and (**c**) FR during SW monsoon season from 1998–2016.

-

Figure 3(a–c) depicts LSP of IMD and satellite mean daily rainfall over all India [Fig. 3a], WG [Fig. 3b] and FR [Fig. 3c] respectively. From [Fig. 3], the preponderant features of ISO such as (MJO), and Quasi Bi-Weekly Oscillation (QBWO) are evident from the significant peaks observed between 30–60 days and less than 20 days time period respectively. The normalized power of rainfall during MJO (30–60 days period) is high in FR compared to that of WG [Fig. 3b]. This is in contrast to the IMD rainfall behavior where the normalized power of rainfall during MJO is low over FR and high over WG. In lower side of the 20 days period, significant peaks are



**Figure 4.** Scatter plot IMD rainfall and Satellite rainfall when (**a**) MJI > 1 (**b**) MJI < 1 (**c**) TEJ > climatology (**d**) MSS > climatology value over FR and WG.

.....

observed in satellite rainfall normalized power over WG than that of FR where IMD rainfall normalized power is significant.

*Madden julian oscillation (MJO).* Rainfall behavior of satellite datasets during MJO is evaluated, during the days when MJO cycle is in Indian Ocean i.e. in phase 2 and 3<sup>29</sup>. Pai *et al.*<sup>30</sup> study shows when MJO cycle is in phase 2/ phase 3, break/active events are most likely to occur over Indian region and hence we have selected aforementioned phases to consider both events of SW monsoon. MJO index  $\left[\text{MJI} = \sqrt{(RMM1^2 + RMM2^2)}\right]$  is used to distinguish weak MJO (MJI < 1) and strong MJO (MJI > 1) days for a year. Every year weak MJO days and strong MJO days when MJO is in phase 2 and 3 are identified during the SW monsoon season of 1998 to 2016 with maximum number of days in the year 2008 (43 days) and 2011 (31 days) when MJI > 1 and MJI < 1 respectively.

By taking the satellite and IMD seasonal mean rainfall when MJI > 1 and MJI < 1 during the study period over FR and WG, correlations were obtained to understand the pattern and discrepancy between the two data sets. Satellite and IMD rainfall have shown good agreement over WG when MJI < 1, which is evidenced by significant correlation coefficient (r = +0.61) between the two data sets as compared with FR where the correlation is not statistically significant (r = +0.21) [Fig. 4(a-b)]. The estimation of rainfall from the satellite seems to be better over WG compared to FR when MJI > 1. The pearson correlation (r) between the two rainfall data sets over FR and WG are -0.06 and +0.25 respectively which are not significant. Further, we categorized the MJO with early periodicity (less than 40 days) and late periodicity (between 40–60 days) and carried out the same analysis. When the periodicity is greater than 40 days the correlation (r) between the two rainfall products is -0.25 over FR and +0.57 over WG. As the early appearance of MJO brings more rain showers and whereas late arrival of MJO causes deficient rainfall, our analysis shows that the linear association between the two data sets is significant (r = +0.57) over WG even in dry conditions.

*Quasi Bi-weekly oscillation (QBWO).* Further, agreement between rainfall products of IMD and satellites during SW monsoon is assessed during QBWO. The elements of QBWO are: monsoon trough (~12 days period), mascarene high (~12 days period), TEJ (~14 days period), monsoon cloudiness(~14 days period), dry static stability(~15 days period) and moist static stability (~14 days period)<sup>26</sup>. In present study, we chose the elements of monsoon system TEJ and MSS as these two spectral components cover the period of QBWO (<20 days). TEJ is part of general circulation of upper troposphere and forms in the regions of Tibetan high and Equatorial Ocean,



**Figure 5.** Spatial pattern of temporal correlation during SW monsoon (i) (**a**) for all 122 days, for the days when (**b**) MSS > climatology and (**c**) TEJ > climatology from 1998 to 2016,(ii) for the year 2012 same as (i) (**a**,**b**) (iii) for the year 2007 same as (i) (**a**,**c**).

which produces rain based on its acceleration over Indian subcontinent. Being the large-scale circulation pattern, TEJ also interacts with orographic features and modify the rainfall<sup>31</sup>. MSS exhibits the stable and unstable influences during the active and break spells of Indian monsoon. TEJ, characterized by wind speed at 200 mb has been derived from reanalysis data for the study period over the respective WG and FR. Daily climatological (1998–2016) value of TEJ during SW monsoon season infers the strong winds over WG during the monsoon period. To study association between two rainfall data sets and their linkage to TEJ, daily satellite and IMD rainfall data have been picked every year during SW monsoon season from 1998–2016, when the TEJ of the day is greater than climatology value i.e. strong TEJ. When TEJ is above climatology, daily rainfall is averaged every year and correlation (r) is obtained between them. The scatter plots for the same are shown in [Fig. 4c].

The capability of satellite in estimating the rainfall over WG during above mentioned days is much better than that of FR, evidenced from significant correlation (r = +0.73) over WG and in contrast to FR (r = -0.18). As we have taken the criteria of TEJ > climatology, the present analysis infers significant relation of the two data sets during wet condition as higher TEJ corresponds to good monsoon activity. Strong TEJ allows the horizontal expansion of clouds that are forming due to the deep convection which favors the IR sensing of satellites to estimate the rainfall amounts more reliably<sup>32</sup>. Similar analysis has been done by taking the MSS over FR and WG. Ruscica *et al.*<sup>33</sup> suggests that gradient of Moist Static Energy (MSE) i.e. MSS is important for the development of precipitation. When MSE increases, the vertical gradient of MSE between boundary layer and free atmosphere also increases, favoring unstable condition, which trigger precipitation<sup>34</sup>. MSS represent the mean atmospheric conditional instability over the Indian region during the monsoon season.

A high correlation (r = +0.90) is found between rainfall of IMD and satellite over WG during the day when MSS is greater than climatology. From the scatter plot [Fig. 4(d)], we can also observe that satellite failed to estimate the rainfall over FR which is evidenced by the insignificant correlation (r = -0.18). Higher MSE is due to increased evapotranspiration in an elevated moisture scenario, which enhances moist convection<sup>35</sup>. Studies<sup>36</sup>



**Figure 6.** Number of grids in different correlation value (r) when TEJ/MSS > climatology and for all 122 days during SW monsoon.

show that the satellite algorithms are less sensitive to shallow convection compared to deep convective events leading to underestimation of rainfall. As in the satellite based rainfall estimates, IR based techniques dominate, empirical relationship between rainfall and cloud top temperature yield better results. For the deep convective clouds when the static stability is negative, the cloud top temperature is low and the clouds extend vertically and at higher altitudes, a better discrimination on the thresholds for convective and non-convective clouds makes the satellites to estimate the rainfall more accurately<sup>36,37</sup>. Since, WG is characterized by deep convective systems in the south Asian monsoon domain<sup>38</sup> the association of satellite rainfall and IMD rainfall is better over WG compared to the FR of the present study. Further, the surface mean vertical velocity over WG and Flat regions (a  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$  grid is selected in the two regions with co-ordinates 13.75°N to 14°N & 74.75°E to 75°E and 23.75°N to 24°N & 76.75°E to 77°E respectively) show deep convection over the WG evidenced by the higher mean surface vertical velocity (1.29 m/s over WG and -0.03 m/s over flat region for the SW monsoon period of 2004 to 2015 respectively) which is much higher than that of same in the grid of flat region (Supp. Fig. 1). Also, the Outgoing Longwave Radiation (OLR) values for the heavy rainfall events over the selected grid of WG and Flat region show lower values over the WG part compared to Flat part (Supp. Table 1). The lower OLR values are directly proportional to lower brightness temperatures of the clouds and indicate the deep convection/cold clouds<sup>39,40</sup> which results in higher rainfall amounts. Deep convective clouds are the manifestation of the higher MSS and these clouds are better detected by the satellites (as discussed before). Over the flat region, lower mean surface vertical velocity and higher OLR results in shallow clouds, which are quite difficult to be detected by the satellites. However, the rainfall over WG is mainly contributed by the shallow clouds<sup>41</sup>, when monsoon currents are weak, the uncertainty in the comparison with IMD prevails. But, during the conditions of higher MSS/deep convective regimes, as it is the primary source for rainfall over WG<sup>42</sup>, the satellite shows better performance in estimating the rainfall and thus a good agreement persists with IMD data. Furthermore, the TEJ is known to be strongly connected to monsoon rainfall over the tropics<sup>43</sup> and is linked to the monsoon circulation<sup>44</sup>. A strong TEJ is a characteristic feature of upper level monsoon circulation, it couples with the low level circulation and produces rainfall<sup>45</sup>. As the TEJ interacts with the low level monsoon current over the peninsular region of India<sup>46</sup> (this will not cover FR considered in present study) satellite will be able to detect the clouds in a better way over the WG, thus providing the reliable estimates (when the TEJ is stronger i.e. above the climatology value).

[Figure 5(i)(a-c)] show the spatial pattern of temporal correlation over the WG between the daily rainfall data sets of IMD and satellite for all  $(19 \times 122 = 2318)$  days of SW monsoon season of 19 years of study period and only for the days when MSS and TEJ are greater than their climatology (1368 and 1241 days respectively) for the same period respectively. [Fig. 5(i)] indicates the agreement between IMD and satellite does not considerably vary between the two cases. As the rainfall is averaged for a longer period (1998–2016), the frequency of rainfall fluctuations was smoothen out, so that there is no variation in the correlation. But, when the correlation pattern is considered for an individual year, the scenario is different. For example [Fig. 5(ii,iii)(a,b)] depict the same as [Fig. 5(i)], for the year 2012 (for all the SW monsoon season days(122) and the days when MSS > climatology) and 2007 (for all the SW monsoon season days(122) and the days when TEJ > climatology) respectively. In this case, the spatial pattern of temporal correlation varied substantially from [Fig. 5a(ii,iii)] to [Fig. 5b(ii,iii)]. An increase in correlation between two data sets, where the rainfall occurrence is high over WG, for example along



Figure 7. Topography of Indian land mass with gauge distribution.

the Malabar coast where the correlations show r = 0.60 to 0.99 when MSS is considered greater than climatology and similarly improved agreement is witnessed over the other parts of WG when TEJ is considered greater than climatology and which is not conspicuous when all the days were considered. Similar improved spatial association (high r -value) between two data sets is found every year from 1998 to 2016 when MSS/TEJ is considered greater than its climatology over WG. Increase in spatial coverage (number of grids) showing improved correlation with proposed criteria (MSS/TEJ > climatology) is presented in [Fig. 6].

Figure 6 shows number of grids in different correlations (r = 0.30 to 0.50; 0.51 to 0.70 and 0.71 to 0.99) between IMD and satellite rainfall for all 122 days (without criteria) and when MSS/TEJ is greater than climatology (with proposed criteria) from 1998–2016. The number of grids remarkably increased with the criterion (MSS/TEJ > climatology) over all the years of the study period. It can be seen that there is a partial agreement between the two data sets, which is evident by the correlation co-efficient when the daily data are considered without the criterion. The number of grids have been increased with a very good agreement indicated by the increasing number of grid points with higher correlations of 0.50 to 0.70 and 0.71 to 0.99 respectively when the proposed criteria is adopted [Fig. 6]. The average number of grids of the study period between IMD and satellite data sets when MSS and TEJ were considered greater than climatology is 200, 12 and 5 for the correlation (r) values ranging from 0.30 to 0.50; 0.51 to 0.70 and 0.71 to 0.99 respectively. The number of grids for the same correlation is 300, 100 and 55 with the proposed criterion i.e. during the days when TEJ and MSS greater than their climatology is taken into account. The overall analysis will be helpful to develop the reliable climate data sets of rainfall over WG using satellite estimates. As the SW monsoon has high spatial variability over India, the rainfall data sets over WG with the proposed criteria forms a new series of monsoon indices<sup>47</sup>.

### Conclusion

As most of the research reported earlier, the accuracy in satellite rainfall retrieval over mountainous terrain is a big challenge. An effort to assess the spatio-temporal scale at which the two datasets compare satisfactorily and achieved a criteria using Intra seasonal oscillation (ISO) over a terrain region, WG of India is made. This will help the users/policy makers to use the satellite data with more confidence. For this, TMPA 3B42 final and IMERG

V5 final re-gridded daily rainfall products over WG and a selected FR in Central India from 1998 to 2016 have been used. Our analysis shows that Satellite daily rainfall can be used more reliably over the complex topographic region like Western Ghats of India during SW monsoon when TEJ/MSS over the region is greater than its climatology. Seasonal rainfall amount and daily precipitation spatial pattern show significant improvement in association between satellite retrieval and IMD rainfall. The satellite's capability in providing the reliable estimate during the days of TEJ and MSS above the climatology is due to the coupling of low level circulation which arises due to the release of latent heat of cumulus convection with the upper level circulation of TEJ<sup>48</sup>. This enables the satellite to detect the lower thresholds of cloud top temperature and hence the better estimation.

#### Data and Methods

TRMM Multi-satellite Precipitation Analysis (TMPA) 3B42 V7 and IMERG V5 daily gauge calibrated rainfall products have been used from 1998 to 2016. [TMPA data from 1998 to 2013 and IMERG is from 2014 to 2016]. Earlier studies show that TMPA and IMERG are in very good agreement over land<sup>49</sup> as IMERG uses similar algorithm of TMPA. The details of the data sets used can be found from Thakur et al.<sup>50</sup>. India Meteorological Department (IMD), Govt. of India offers gridded rainfall data sets for the landmass of India, developed from the wide range of rain gauges. The data sets being used in the present study comprises with  $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$  spatial resolution and with daily temporal resolution. The basic rainfall data to develop these data sets are taken from the 6955 rain gauge stations over Indian land mass and at least 3000 rain gauges data have been used for any single day. The methodology followed to interpolate the data is Shepard interpolation technique<sup>51</sup> and more details on the data sets can be found from<sup>52</sup>. The density of the rain gauges used in developing these data set along with the topography is shown in [Fig. 7]. While developing the data sets, directional effects have been taken care of but not the orographic corrections and the data sets do not include satellite measurements. These data sets are proven as the most reliable data sets over India and are being widely used for different applications such as validation of satellite products<sup>53-55</sup>, monsoon studies<sup>56-58</sup> and extreme rainfall events<sup>59-61</sup>. For climatology of rainfall, same IMD rainfall product is used for the period 1961 to 1990. IMERG data set is re-sampled using interpolation technique from  $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$  to grid resolution of TMPA and IMD  $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ .

Pair of daily Real-time Multivariate MJO series 1 (RMM1) and 2 (RMM2)<sup>29</sup> were obtained from (http://www. bom.gov.au/climate/mjo/graphics/rmm). Meteorological parameters such as daily zonal (u) and meridional (v) component of wind at 200 mb, specific humidity and temperature profiles at different pressure level with grid resolution  $2.5^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$  were taken from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis<sup>62</sup>. These data sets have been used to estimate the daily tropical easterly Jet (TEJ) and moist static stability (MSS) using the formulae given below.

$$TEJ = wind at 200 mb = \sqrt{(u2 + v2)} \cdots$$
(i)

$$MSS = -\frac{\partial}{\partial p}(gz + c_pT + Lq)\cdots$$
(ii)

where, g is acceleration due to gravity, z is height above the surface,  $c_p$  is specific heat at constant pressure, T is absolute air temperature, L is the latent heat of condensation, and q is specific humidity<sup>26</sup>. Surface Vertical velocity is derived from ERA- interim reanalysis  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$  daily data set<sup>63</sup>. In addition Outgoing Longwave Radiation (OLR) is obtained from Very High Resolution Radiometer (VHRR) on board KALPANA-1 satellite<sup>64</sup>.

Received: 7 June 2019; Accepted: 1 October 2019; Published online: 30 October 2019

#### References

- Hou, A. Y., Skofronick, J. G., Kummerow, C. D. & Shepherd, J. M. Global precipitation measurement. *Precip. Adv. Meas. Estim. Predict.* 353, 131–169, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-77655-0\_6 (2008).
- Huffman, G. J. *et al.* The TRMM Multisatellite Precipitation Analysis (TMPA): Quasi-Global, Multiyear, Combined-Sensor Precipitation Estimates at Fine Scales. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 8, 38–55, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM560.1 (2007).
- Huffman, G. J., Bolvin, D. T., Braithwaite, D., Hsu, K. & Joyce, R. Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD) NASA Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) Integrated Multi-satellitE Retrievals for GPM (IMERG). Nasa 29 (2013).
- Prakash, S., Mitra, A. K., Pai, D. S. & AghaKouchak, A. From TRMM to GPM: How well can heavy rainfall be detected from space? Adv. Water Resour. 88, 1–7, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.11.008 (2016).
- Lu, C., Yuan, H., Tollerud, E. I. & Wang, N. Scale-Dependent Uncertainties in Global QPFs and QPEs from NWP Model and Satellite Fields. J. Hydrometeorol. 11, 139–155, https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JHM1164.1 (2010).
- Mitra, A.K., Gupta, M. D., Singh, S. V. & Krishnamurti T. N. Daily Rainfall for the Indian Monsoon Region from Merged Satellite and Rain Gauge Values: Large-Scale Analysis from Real-Time Data. J. Hydrometeorol. 4, 769–781, doi:10.1175/1525-7541(2003)004<0769:DRFTIM>2.0.CO;2 (2003).
- Mitra, A. K., Bohra, A. K., Rajeevan, M. N. & Krishnamurti, T. N. Daily Indian Precipitation Analysis Formed from a Merge of Rain-Gauge Data with the TRMM TMPA Satellite-Derived Rainfall Estimates. J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan. 87A, 265–279, https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.87A.265 (2009).
- Mitra, A. K. *et al.* Gridded daily Indian monsoon rainfall for 14 seasons: Merged TRMM and IMD gauge analyzed values. J. Earth Syst. Sci. 122, 1173–1182, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-013-0338-3 (2013).
- Rahman, S. H., Sengupta, D. & Ravichandran, M. Variability of Indian summer monsoon rainfall in daily data from gauge and satellite. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 114, 17, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD011694 (2009).
- Uma, R. *et al.* Large scale features and assessment of spatial scale correspondence between TMPA and IMD rainfall datasets over Indian landmass. *J. Earth Syst. Sci.* **122**, 573–588, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-013-0312-0 (2013).
- Wang, J. & Wolff, D. B. Evaluation of TRMM rain estimates using ground measurements over central Florida. J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol. 51, 926–940, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-11-080.1 (2012).
- Gruber, A. & Levizzani, V. Assessment of Global Precipitation Products A project of the World Climate Research Programme Global Energy and Water Cycle Experiment (GEWEX) Radiation. 57, doi:WCRP-128 WMO/TD-No. 1430 (2008).

- Kikuchi, K. & Wang, B. Global perspective of the quasi-biweekly oscillation. J. Clim. 22, 1340–1359, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JCLI2368.1 (2009).
- Dinku, T., Ceccato, P. & Connor, S. J. Challenges of satellite rainfall estimation over mountainous and arid parts of east africa. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 32, 5965–5979, https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2010.499381 (2011).
- 15. Ebert, B., Janowiak, J. E. & Kidd, C. Numerical Models. 47-64, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-88-I-47 (2007).
- Diem, J. E., Hartter, J., Ryan, S. J. & Palace, M. W. Validation of Satellite Rainfall Products for Western Uganda. J. Hydrometeorol. 15, 2030–2038, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-13-0193.1 (2014).
- Xia, T., Wang, Z. J. & Zheng, H. Topography and data mining based methods for improving satellite precipitation in mountainous areas of China. *Atmosphere (Basel)*. 6, 983–1005, https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos6080983 (2015).
- Xu, R. et al. Ground validation of GPM IMERG and trmm 3B42V7 rainfall products over Southern Tibetan plateau based on a highdensity rain gauge network. J. Geophys. Res. 122, 910–924, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025418 (2017).
- Nair, S., Srinivasan, G. & Nemani, R. Evaluation of Multi-Satellite TRMM Derived Rainfall Estimates over a Western State of India. J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan. 87(6), 927–939, https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.87.927 (2009).
- Lee, K. O., Uyeda, H. & Lee, D. I. Microphysical structures associated with enhancement of convective cells over Mt. Halla, Jeju Island, Korea on 6 July 2007. Atmos. Res. 136–136, 76–90, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.08.012 (2014).
- Rahmawati, N. & Lubczynski, M. W. Validation of satellite daily rainfall estimates in complex terrain of Bali Island, Indonesia. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* 1–20, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-017-2290-7 (2017).
- Sharifi, E., Steinacker, R. & Saghafian, B. Assessment of GPM-IMERG and other precipitation products against gauge data under different topographic and climatic conditions in Iran: Preliminary results. *Remote Sens.* 8(2), 135, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8020135 (2016).
- Tawde, S. A. & Singh, C. Investigation of orographic features influencing spatial distribution of rainfall over the Western Ghats of India using satellite data. *Int. J. Climatol.* 35(9), 2280–2293, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4146 (2015).
- Clarke, R. T., Buarque, D. C., Paiva, R. D. & Collischonn, W. İssues of spatial correlation arising from the use of TRMM rainfall estimates in the Brazilian Amazon. Water Resour. Res 47, 1–10, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010WR010334 (2011).
- Goswami, B. N. & Ajayamohan, R. S. Intraseasonal oscillations and interannual variability of the Indian summer monsoon. J. Clim. 14(6), 1180–1198, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<1180:IOAIVO>2.0.CO;2 (2001).
- Krishnamurti, T. N. & Bhalme, H. N. Oscillations of a Monsoon System. Part I. Observational Aspects. J. Atmos. Sci. 33, 1937–1954, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1976)033<1937:OOAMSP>2.0.CO;2 (1976).
- Yasunari, T. A Quasi-Stationary Appearance of 30 to 40 Day Period in the Cloudiness Fluctuations during the Summer Monsoon over India. J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan Ser II. 58(3), 225–229, https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj1965.58.3\_225 (1980).
- Vanderplas, J. T. & Ivezić, Ž. Periodograms for multiband astronomical time series. Astrophys. J. 812, 18, https://doi. org/10.1088/0004-637X/812/1/18 (2015).
- 29. Wheeler, M. C. & Hendon, H. H. An All-Season Real-Time Multivariate MJO Index: Development of an Index for Monitoring and Prediction. *Mon. Weather Rev.* 132, 1917–1932 (2004).
- Pai, D. S., Bhate, J., Sreejith, O. P. & Hatwar, H. R. Impact of MJO on the intraseasonal variation of summer monsoon rainfall over India. *Clim. Dyn.* 36(1–2), 14–55, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-009-0634-4 (2011).
- Sandeep, S. & Ajayamohan, R. S. Poleward shift in Indian summer monsoon low level jetstream under global warming. *Clim. Dyn.* 45(1–2), 337–351, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2261-y (2015).
- Sathiyamoorthy, V., Pal, P. K. & Joshi, P. C. Influence of the upper-tropospheric wind shear upon cloud radiative forcing in the Asian monsoon region. J. Clim. 17, 2725–2735, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2004)017<2725:IOTUWS>2.0.CO;2 (2004).
- Ruscica, R. C., Sörensson, A. A. & Menéndez, C. G. Pathways between soil moisture and precipitation in southeastern South America. Atmos. Sci. Lett. 16, 267–272, https://doi.org/10.1002/asl2.552 (2014).
- 34. Elfatih, A. B. E. A soil moisture-rainfall feedback mechanism 1. Theory and Observations. Water Resour. Res. 34, 765-776 (1998).
- Baisya, H., Pattnaik, S. & Rajesh, P. V. Land surface-precipitation feedback analysis for a landfalling monsoon depression in the Indian region. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst. 9, 712–726, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016MS000829 (2017).
- Young, M. P., Williams, C. J. R., Chiu, J. C., Maidment, R. I. & Chen, S. H. Investigation of Discrepancies in Satellite Rainfall Estimates over Ethiopia. J. Hydrometeorol. 15, 2347–2369, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-13-0111.1 (2014).
- Arkin, P. A. & Meisner, B. N. The Relationship between Large-Scale Convective Rainfall and Cold Cloud over the Western Hemisphere during 1982-84. Mon. Weather Rev. 115, 51–74, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1987)115<0051:trblsc>2.0.co;2 (2002).
- Grossman, R.L., Garcia, O., Grossman, R.L. & Garcia, O. The Distribution of Deep Convection over Ocean and Land during the Asian Summer Monsoon. J. Clim. 3, 1032–1044, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1990)003<1032:TDODCO>2.0.CO;2 (1990).
- Hall, T. J. & Yonder Haar, T. H. The diurnal cycle of west pacific deep convection and its relation to the spatial and temporal variation of tropical MCSs. J. Atmos. Sci. 36, 3401–3415, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1999)056<3401:TDCOWP>2.0.CO;2 (1999).
- Liu, C., Zipser, E. J. & Nesbitt, S. W. Global distribution of tropical deep convection: Different perspectives from TRMM infrared and radar data. J. Clim. 20, 489–503, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCL14023.1 (2007).
- Kumar, S., Hazra, A. & Goswami, B. N. Role of interaction between dynamics, thermodynamics and cloud microphysics on summer monsoon precipitating clouds over the Myanmar Coast and the Western Ghats. *Clim. Dyn.* 43, 911–924 (2014).
- Mahen Konwar, S. K., Das, S. M., Deshpande, K. & Chakravarty, B. N. G. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres. J. Geophys. Res. 119, 6196–6206 (2014).
- 43. Kobayashi, N. Interannual variations of tropical easterly jet stream and rainfall in South Asia. Geophys Mag 37, 123-134 (1974).
- 44. Flohn, H. Investigations on the Tropical Easterly Jet. Bonner Meteorol. Abhandlungen. 4, 1-69 (1964).
- Pattanaik, D. R. & Satyan, V. Fluctuations of Tropical Easterly Jet during contrasting monsoons over India: A GCM study. *Meteorol.* Atmos. Phys. 75, 51–60 (2000).
- Madhu, S., Kumar, T. V. L., Barbosa, H., Rao, K. K. & Bhaskar, V. V. Trend analysis of evapotranspiration and its response to droughts over India. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* 121(1–2), 41–51, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-014-1210-3 (2015).
- Dwivedi, S. et al. New spatial and temporal indices of Indian summer monsoon rainfall. Theor. Appl. Climatol. 1–12, https://doi. org/10.1007/s00704-018-2428-2 (2018).
- Rai, P. & Dimri, A. P. Effect of changing tropical easterly jet, low level jet and quasi-biennial oscillation phases on Indian summer monsoon. Atmos. Sci. Lett. 18, 52–59, https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.723 (2017).
- Liu, Z. Comparison of Integrated Multisatellite Retrievals for GPM (IMERG) and TRMM Multisatellite Precipitation Analysis (TMPA) Monthly Precipitation Products: Initial Results. J. Hydrometeorol. 17, 777–790, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-15-0068.1 (2016).
- Thakur, M. K., Lakshmi, K. T. V., Dwivedi, S. & Narayanan, M. S. On the rainfall asymmetry and distribution in tropical cyclones over Bay of Bengal using TMPA and GPM rainfall products. *Nat. Hazards.* 94, 819–832, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-018-3426-5 (2018).
- Rajeevan, M., Bhate, J., Kale, J. D. & Lal, B. High resolution daily gridded rainfall data for the Indian region: Analysis of break and active monsoon spells. Curr. Sci. 9(3), 296–306 (2006).
- 52. Pai, D. S. *et al.* Development of a new high spatial resolution (0.25° × 0.25°) long period (1901–2010) daily gridded rainfall data set over India and its comparison with existing data sets over the region. *Mausam.* 65(1), 1–18 (2014).
- Prakash, S. *et al.* A preliminary assessment of GPM-based multi-satellite precipitation estimates over a monsoon dominated region. *J. Hydrol.* 556, 865–876 (2018).

- Kumar Singh, A., Tripathi, J. N., Singh, K. K., Singh, V. & Sateesh, M. Comparison of different satellite-derived rainfall products with IMD gridded data over Indian meteorological subdivisions during Indian Summer Monsoon (ISM) 2016 at weekly temporal resolution. J. Hydrol. 0–1, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.02.016 (2019).
- Mondal, A., Lakshmi, V. & Hashemi, H. Intercomparison of trend analysis of Multisatellite Monthly Precipitation Products and Gauge Measurements for River Basins of India. J. Hydrol. 565, 779–790, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.08.083 (2018).
- Venugopal, T. *et al.* Statistical Evidence for the Role of Southwestern Indian Ocean Heat Content in the Indian Summer Monsoon Rainfall. *Sci. Rep.* 8(1), https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30552-0 (2018).
- Utsav, B., Deshpande, S. M., Das, S. K., Pandithurai, G. & Niyogi, D. Observed Vertical Structure of Convection During Dry and Wet Summer Monsoon Epochs Over the Western Ghats. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 124, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028960 (2019).
- Bhardwaj, A. & Misra, V. Monitoring the Indian summer monsoon evolution at the granularity of the Indian meteorological subdivisions using remotely sensed rainfall products. *Remote Sensing* 11(9), 1080, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11091080 (2019).
- Swain, M., Pattanayak, S., Mohanty, U. C. & Sahu, S. C. Prediction of extreme rainfall associated with monsoon depressions over Odisha: an assessment of coastal zone vulnerability at district level. *Nat. Hazards.*, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-019-03633-0 (2019).
- Suthinkumar, P. S., Babu, C. A. & Varikoden, H. Spatial Distribution of Extreme Rainfall Events During 2017 Southwest Monsoon over Indian Subcontinent. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02282-5 (2019).
- Varikoden, H. & Revadekar, J. V. On the extreme rainfall events during the southwest monsoon season in northeast regions of the Indian subcontinent. *Meteorol. Appl.*, https://doi.org/10.1002/met.1822 (2019).
- Kistler, R. et al. The NCEP-NCAR 50-year reanalysis: Monthly means CD-ROM and documentation. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.82, 247–267, doi:10.1175/1520-0477(2001)082<0247:TNNYRM>2.3.CO;2 (2001).
- 63. Dee, D. P. et al. The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 137, 553–597, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.828 (2011).
- Mahakur, M. et al. A high-resolution outgoing longwave radiation dataset from kalpana-1 satellite during 2004–2012. Curr. Sci. 105, 1124–1133 (2013).

# Acknowledgements

Authors are thankful to two anonymous reviewers for their very constructive comments that improved the quality of the article. We are also thankful to the open source data sets centers mentioned in this study. The author Mr. Manoj Kumar Thakur is thankful to Silver Jubilee Scholarship Scheme-Govt. of India under which he is sponsored.

# **Author contributions**

M.K.T.: analysed the whole data and written the draft paper. T.V.L.K.: conceived the idea and helped in manuscript writing part. K.K.R.: corrected the manuscript and helped in analysis. H.B.: gave ideas in the analysis part. V.B.R.: helped in improving the manuscript.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

# Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52075-y.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.V.L.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019