SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

OPEN

Neural oscillations during cognitive processes in an *App* knock-in mouse model of Alzheimer's disease pathology

Sofia Jacob^{1,2}, Gethin Davies¹, Marijke De Bock¹, Bart Hermans¹, Cindy Wintmolders¹, Astrid Bottelbergs¹, Marianne Borgers¹, Clara Theunis¹, Bianca Van Broeck¹, Nikolay V. Manyakov³, Detlef Balschun² & Wilhelmus H.I.M. Drinkenburg^{1,4*}

Multiple animal models have been created to gain insight into Alzheimer's disease (AD) pathology. Among the most commonly used models are transgenic mice overexpressing human amyloid precursor protein (APP) with mutations linked to familial AD, resulting in the formation of amyloid β plaques, one of the pathological hallmarks observed in AD patients. However, recent evidence suggests that the overexpression of APP by itself can confound some of the reported observations. Therefore, we investigated in the present study the App^{NL-G-F}model, an App knock-in (App-KI) mouse model that develops amyloidosis in the absence of APP-overexpression. Our findings at the behavioral, electrophysiological, and histopathological level confirmed an age-dependent increase in A β 1–42 levels and plaque deposition in these mice in accordance with previous reports. This had apparently no consequences on cognitive performance in a visual discrimination (VD) task, which was largely unaffected in App^{NL-G-F} mice at the ages tested. Additionally, we investigated neurophysiological functioning of several brain areas by phase-amplitude coupling (PAC) analysis, a measure associated with adequate cognitive functioning, during the VD task (starting at 4.5 months) and the exploration of home environment (at 5 and 8 months of age). While we did not detect age-dependent changes in PAC during home environment exploration for both the wild-type and the App^{NL-G-F} mice, we did observe subtle changes in PAC in the wild-type mice that were not present in the App^{NL-G-F} mice.

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common form of progressive neurodegenerative dementia¹. Considering that the main risk factor of the disease is age² and that average life-expectancy increases, the associated personal, social and socio-economic burden will intensify if we do not find an effective treatment. Two major pathological hallmarks of AD are amyloid beta (A β) senile plaques and tau neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs)¹. These changes are accompanied by neuroinflammation, aberrant synaptic and neuronal network activities^{3–5}, and eventually dramatic brain shrinkage due to neuronal damage¹. A clear understanding of the specific role of A β plaques and NFTs and their interaction in (functional) aspects of the disease remains a topic of investigation. Available evidence suggests a model of AD progression with three phases: preclinical AD, mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and clinical AD. The preclinical AD starts around 20 years before AD full symptoms' onset and is characterized by A β accumulation in the cortex without any neurological symptoms. During MCI some cognitive symptoms as well as tauopathy start to emerge. At the clinical AD phase, severe symptoms of dementia are accompanied by irreversible neurodegeneration^{6,7}. It is presently believed that the best chance of therapeutic success in AD may be early intervention during the preclinical AD phase.

Numerous animal models mirroring some features of AD pathogenesis have been created to facilitate the understanding of the molecular mechanisms of the disease⁸. Among the most common models are transgenic mouse models overexpressing the human amyloid precursor protein (APP) with mutations linked to familial AD

¹Department of Neuroscience, Janssen Research & Development, a Division of Janssen Pharmaceutica NV, Beerse, Belgium. ²Brain & Cognition, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium. ³Digital Phenotyping, Janssen Research & Development, a Division of Janssen Pharmaceutica NV, Beerse, Belgium. ⁴Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. *email: wdrinken@its.jnj.com in APP or/and presenilin 1 and 2⁷. Although these animals have been instrumental in understanding some basic aspects of AD⁷, the overexpression of these proteins might lead to artificial phenotypes^{9,10}. At the brain network level, it has been reported by numerous studies that these mouse models demonstrate various alterations^{11–13}, even before A β accumulation¹⁴. Although these results show some phenotypical similarities with AD^{3,15,16}, it is not clear what are the underlying mechanisms that cause the aberrant neuronal activity observed in these models. Some evidence suggests that APP overexpression, and not A β overproduction might be responsible for the abnormal network activity in APP-overexpressing mouse models¹⁰. Further evidence supporting this hypothesis, comes from studies investigating the physiological role of APP or its processed fragments in non-pathological conditions^{17,18}. For instance, it has been demonstrated that sAPP α (one of the APP fragments) plays a role in the modulation of synaptic transmission¹⁷.

In 2014, Saito and colleagues developed a new generation of APP Knock-In (KI) mice that produce robust A β amyloidosis with physiological APP levels^{9,19}. These mice express humanized A β with either one (Swedish, App^{NL}), two (Swedish and Beyreuther/Iberian, App^{NL-F}), or three (Swedish, Beyreuther/Iberian, and Artic, App^{NL-G-F}) familial AD mutations. While A β plaque deposition starts at 6 months in App^{NL-F} mice¹⁹, its onset in App^{NL-G-F} mice is already at 2 months and saturates around 7 months^{19,20}. In contrast, App^{NL} mice do not develop any A β plaques, even at late ages^{19,20}. Behaviorally, App^{NL-G-F} mice demonstrate impairments in multiple cognitive domains, including declined spatial reversal learning and attention control, loss of avoidance memory, and enhanced impulsivity and compulsivity, starting at 8 months of age²¹. Studies investigating early time points show more inconclusive results. For instance, while in the original report, deficits in the Y-maze were indicated¹⁹, a later study did not find deficits in working memory using the Y-maze at 6 months of age²². Another study testing App^{NL-G-F} mice at 3, 6, and 10 months demonstrated largely unaffected behavioral readouts, with only mild changes in social and anxiety-related test performance²³. Brown *et al.*, investigated network hyperexcitability in two mouse models: App^{NL-F} and J20 (APP-overexpression). Although they were able to replicate the previously reported effect on network hyperexcitability in the J20 mice, the effect was absent in App^{NL-F} mice²⁴.

The App-KI models have not yet been fully characterized with respect to neuronal network activity. Therefore, the primary goal of this study was to investigate electrophysiological readouts in combination with a cognitive task to illuminate functional differences between App^{NL-G-F} mice and wild-type C57BL/6J (WT) controls. Mice had to perform a visual discrimination (VD) task using touch-screen operant boxes, starting at 4.5 months of age while local field potentials (LFPs) in the dorsal medial striatum (DMS), cingulate cortex (Cg), retrosplenial cortex (RSC), and dorsal CA1 (dCA1) region of the hippocampus were recorded using a wireless neurophysiological signal acquisition system. This approach has multiple advantages: Firstly, the use of a wireless LFP recording system allowed the mice to move freely in the operant box. Secondly, the touch-screen platform permitted a high level of standardization and lowered the motoric demands on the mice²⁵. Finally, the synchronization of LFPs with different behavioral parameters enabled a precise quantification of electrophysiological changes related to behavioral performance; thus, making optimal use of the high temporal resolution of LFPs recording techniques. In parallel with these recordings, we measured LFPs at two time-points during home-cage exploration, without the behavioral task to investigate neuronal network changes exclusively associated with pathology progression. The ages to perform the in vivo experiments were selected with the objective to match the preclinical AD phase. Biochemical and immunohistochemical analysis were conducted to correlate $A\beta$ plaque deposition with the electrophysiological and behavioral results at the different time-points. The electrophysiological analysis focussed on phase-amplitude coupling (PAC) between theta (4-12 Hz), gamma (30-100 Hz), and high-frequency oscillations (100-200 Hz, HFO) activity during three distinct recording conditions related to different cognitive load, the start and the end of the VD task, and exploration of the home environment. PAC has been suggested to be a potential mechanism to regulate neuronal communication in multiple brain regions²⁶⁻²⁸. Furthermore, neuronal oscillations are affected in pathological conditions. For instance, neurodegenerative diseases are characterized by, among others, a disruption of gamma oscillations, which in turn is associated with the cognitive deficits³. In addition to new insights into pathological processes of this second-generation mouse model of A β amyloid pathology, our approach provides a versatile tool to further assess the complex interplay between different frequency bands and their relationship with behavior. The combination of these techniques may therefore open new avenues for the investigation of cognition-related network perturbations in relevant animal models of AD pathology, eventually determining their translational validity and potential use as biomarkers in drug discovery and development.

Results

Performance during the visual discrimination (VD) task. *Pre-training.* During the pre-training stage there were no genotype effects on the number of sessions required in the tone association (WT: M=3.9, SD=0.7, n=10; App^{NL-G-F} : M=4.5, SD=0.5, n=8, Z=3.74, p=0.05), touch association (WT: M=1.2, SD=0.6, n=10; App^{NL-G-F} : M=1.0, SD=0.0, n=8, Z=0.8, p=0.37), must touch (WT: M=1.4, SD=0.8, n=10; App^{NL-G-F} : M=1.4, SD=1.1, n=8, Z=0.07, p=0.78) and punish incorrect (WT: M=3.9, SD=1.4, n=10; App^{NL-G-F} : M=4.3, SD=1.4, n=7, Z=0.31, p=0.57) stages of touch-screen pre-training. One App^{NL-G-F} mouse did not complete all pre-training stages within 30 days and did not progress onto the VD task.

Discrimination task. The individual learning curves of mice during acquisition of the VD task are shown in Fig. 1a. As expected, percentage of correct responses on the first day of the discrimination task was around chance level (WT: M = 49.17%, SD = 12.46, n = 10; App^{NL-G-F} : M = 52.97%, SD = 10.61, n = 7) and no difference was found between genotypes t (15) = 0.656, p = 0.52. To compare learning rates, a mixed linear model was used to fit the percentage of correct responses data ($R^2 = 0.715$). There was a significant effect of session F (1, 139.6) = 252.3, p < 0.0001, and a significant interaction of session and genotype F (1, 139.6) = 29.07, p < 0.0001.



Figure 1. Acquisition of the visual discrimination (VD) touch-screen task in App^{NL-G-F} and WT mice. (a) Learning curves of mice during discrimination learning for WT (left panel) and App^{NL-G-F} (right panel) plotting percentage correct responses by sessions where each colored line represents an individual mouse. (b) Number of sessions to achieve learning criterions of two consecutive sessions of 80% correct or higher responses did not differ between WT and App^{NL-G-F} mice. (c) Percentage of correction trials did not differ between genotypes. Latencies, (d) to initiate trial, (e) response to the stimulus, (f) collect the reward did not differ between genotypes. In figures b, c, d, e, f data are represented in box plots with individual points for each subject. Statistically significance level at p < 0.05. ns: non-significant.

The main genotype effect did not reach significance F(1, 14.7) = 2.375, p = 0.1445. One mouse in the App^{NL-G-F} group needed 24 sessions to reach the VD criterion, twice that of the slowest learner in the WT group. Excluding this extreme value from the model fit resulted in a non-significant interaction term between genotype and session F(1, 115.5) = 3.668, p = 0.0580. Analysis of the number of sessions required to reach the learning criterion of two consecutive sessions of 80% correct responses or higher did not identify a significant difference between groups (WT: M = 7.4%, SD = 2.91, n = 10; App^{NL-G-F} : M = 11.43%, SD = 5.94, n = 7, Z = 2.95, p = 0.08, Fig. 1b). Similarly, a comparison of the percentage of correction trials (CTs) did not indicate a genotype difference (WT: M = 32.24%, SD = 5.24, n = 10; App^{NL-G-F} : M = 33.54%, SD = 6.08, n = 7, Z = 0.15, p = 0.69, Fig. 1c). Analysis of latencies to initiate trials (WT: M = 4.54%, SD = 1.86, n = 10; App^{NL-G-F} : M = 5.51%, SD = 2.9, n = 7, Z = 0.61, p = 0.43, Fig. 2d), response to the stimulus (WT: M = 5.07%, SD = 0.87, n = 10; App^{NL-G-F} : M = 7.69%, SD = 6.07, n = 7, Z = 0.95, p = 0.33, Fig. 1e), and to collect the food reward (WT: M = 2.04%, SD = 0.34, n = 10; App^{NL-G-F} : M = 2.28%, SD = 0.89, n = 7, Z = 0.15, p = 0.69, Fig. 1f) indicated no alterations in any response latencies for the App^{NL-G-F} mice. Together these results suggest that the ability to discriminate visual stimuli and to form stimulus-reward associations was largely unaffected in the App^{NL-G-F} mice.

Analysis of brain oscillations during the VD task. *Relative Power Spectral Density (PSD).* Before investigating the relative PSD in the four brain areas of interest during the start and end of the VD task, we grouped frequencies on three bands: theta (4 to 12 Hz), gamma (30 to 100 Hz) and HFO (101 to 200 Hz), based on previous proposed guidelines²⁹. A within-subject analysis between the end and start of the VD task revealed no significant difference in relative PSD for any of the frequency bands for both genotypes (see Fig. 3 with Cg brain area as an example and Table 1 for all descriptive statistics and statistical tests). We also investigated relative PSD between WT and *App^{NL-G-F}*, but no significant differences were found for any of the brain areas and frequency bands (Supplementary Table 1).

а





Correction trial = Re-present trial type

Figure 2. Visual discrimination (VD) touch-screen task. (a) The spider/plane stimulus image pair used in the VD²⁵. In this example, the spider was the rewarded image (*conditioned stimulus*: S+) and the plane the unrewarded (*unconditioned stimulus*: S-) image. Image contingency was counterbalanced across animals. (b) Illustration of the VD task. A trial began with the delivery of a food pellet in the food magazine opposite to the touchscreen. Collection of the pellet initiated the trial where the two images appeared on the touchscreen. Nose-poking on the S+ stimulus activated the delivery of a food reward and the trial was registered as a correct response. Nose-poking the S- stimulus did not delivery a food reward, the light of the operant chamber went off for 5 seconds, and the response was registered as incorrect. Incorrect trials were followed by correction trials. Grey area represents local field potentials (LFPs) analysis. Epochs of 1.4 seconds immediately preceding activation of the touch-screen during correct responses were selected for electrophysiological analysis.

.....

Phase-Amplitude Coupling (PAC). Similarly to relative PSD analysis, we investigated whether the modulation index (MI) changed as the animals progressed on the VD task and if this modulation was different for the App^{NL-G-F} compared to the WT mice. For this analysis, we grouped amplitude frequencies in three bands: Low Gamma (LG, 30-60 Hz), High Gamma (HG, 61-100 Hz), and HFO (101-200 Hz). This banding was selected as it has been suggested that different frequency bands act as different channels for communication. For instance, in the CA1 region of the hippocampus, gamma oscillations split into two different components indicating different origins. Low-gamma arises from interactions with the CA3 region of the hippocampus, while high-gamma is thought to arise from interactions with the entorhinal cortex 30 . A visual inspection of the phase-amplitude comodulograms of the different brain areas indicates that PAC occurs in different frequency bands. For instance, Cg (Fig. 4a) and RSC (Fig. 5a) show coupling between HFO and higher theta, while the DMS (Fig. 6a) and dCA1 (Fig. 7a) show coupling between HG and high theta. When investigating the changes in the MI between the end and the start of the VD task the most prominent effect was observed in the Cg (Fig. 4b) and RSC (Fig. 5b) for WT mice where the MI decreased by the end of the VD. WT mice also demonstrated a similar effect in HFO for the DMS (Fig. 6b) and dCA1 (Fig. 7b). Importantly, during the start of the task, the coupling seems to be associated with more frequency bands, suggesting that as the mice learned the task, the coupling became more localized. These differences were not clearly observed for the App^{NL-G-F} mice, where they only demonstrated a decreased coupling for HG in the Cg (Fig. 4b). See Table 2, for completed descriptive statistics and statistical analysis. Next,



Figure 3. Mean relative power spectral density (PSD) during the visual discrimination (VD) task for the cingulate cortex (Cg). Mean relative PSD in the 4 to 200 Hz band during start of the VD task (Task_Start, black and blue curves) and end of the VD task (Task_End, green and red curves) for (**a**) WT and (**c**) App^{NL-G-F} mice. Delta between Task_End and Task_Start is represented in box plots were individual points indicate delta values for each subject for (**b**) WT and (**d**) App^{NL-G-F} mice. No significant difference was observed between the end and the start of the task for the two genotypes at the three different frequency bands. Significance level at q = 0.05, false discovery rate (FDR) corrected for multiple comparisons. ns: non-significant.

we investigated genotype differences within each condition. For this, we compared the MI for the three different amplitude bands at the start and the end of the task and corrected for multiple comparisons using a false discovery rate (FDR), as done with all previous comparisons (see "Methods" section). Table 3 shows that no significant differences were observed between *App*^{NL-G-F} and WT mice for any of the brain areas and frequency bands. Note that for simplicity, panel c of Figs 4–7 shows box plots of the full amplitude range, but the statistical analysis was done for each separate frequency band. It should be noted that some of the mean phase-amplitude comodulograms might visually suggest changes between genotypes, but as it can be seen in the box plots, some of these measurements had a high level of variability. The different results obtained for the within and between-subject analysis might reflect difference in the experimental design. Importantly, these designs are addressing different questions, therefore, they may provide different patterns of results³¹.

Brain oscillations analysis during home environment exploration. *Relative PSD.* Our next analysis was conducted to determine if we could observe different brain oscillatory activity when animals were exploring the home environment at an age of 5 months compared to 8 months. As with the relative PSD during the task, we did not observe difference for any of the frequency bands between genotypes (Table 4). Furthermore, age did not have an effect of the PSD for either the App^{NL-G-F} and WT mice (Supplementary Table 2).

Phase-amplitude coupling (PAC). As a with the relative PSD, no significant differences were observed between genotypes during the home environment exploration (Table 5, Supplementary Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4) for any of the brain areas. Furthermore, we did not observe an effect on age for either the WT and App^{NL-G-F} mice (Supplementary Table 3). Taken together, these results suggest that the App^{NL-G-F} mice demonstrate up to 8 months of age normal PAC in a freely moving condition without task performance (investigation of further age points were beyond the scope of this study).

Pathology. Biochemical analysis of App^{NL-G-F} forebrain tissue revealed that $A\beta 1-42$ increased in an age-dependent manner (Fig. 8a) and was accompanied by progressive $A\beta$ plaque pathology as seen by immunohistochemistry (Fig. 8b,c). $A\beta 1-42$ levels in the brain seemed to be minor at 2 months of age, but progressively increased starting from 4 months of age up to 12–15 months. This can also be deduced from the immunohistochemical evaluation of cortical and hippocampal pathology (% $A\beta$ plaque area as detected by antibodies JRF/ $cA\beta 42/26$ and JRF/ $A\beta N/25$) that showed a limited number of $A\beta$ deposits at 2 months of age in cortex, further progressing up to 8–15 months as observed by others^{19,21,32}. Altogether, our data indicate that between 4 and 8 months of age, the period where mice were used for the *in vivo* behavioural and neurophysiological characterisation, increased $A\beta 1-42$ levels and $A\beta$ plaques were present in both cortex and hippocampus.

Genotype	Brain Area	Freq	Condition	Mean	SD	Median	Range	N	z	Prob > [Z]	p value rank	FDR threshold
WT		Thete	Task_Start	0.03879	0.01048	0.04082	0.03418	8	11	0.1484	13	0.02708
		Ineta	Task_End	0.03639	0.00094	0.03746	0.02788		-11			
	Ca	Commo	Task_Start	0.00540	0.00110	0.00510	0.00360	0	10	0.1052	16	0.03333
	Cg	Gamma	Task_End	0.00560	0.00100	0.00550	0.00300	8	10	0.1953		
		LIEO	Task_Start	0.00120	0.00034	0.00120	0.00078	0	12	0.0791	0	0.01975
		пго	Task_End	0.00130	0.00036	0.00120	0.00082	°	15	0.0781	9	0.018/5
App ^{NL-G-F}		Thata	Task_Start	0.04875	0.00666	0.04702	0.01918	7	-10	0.1094	11	0.02292
		Illeta	Task_End	0.04600	0.00860	0.04278	0.02300]′			11	
		Gamma	Task_Start	0.00450	0.00077	0.00460	0.00220	7	12	0.0469	4	0.00833
			Task_End	0.00480	0.00083	0.00530	0.00220]′			-	0.00855
		HEO	Task_Start	0.00093	0.00020	0.00100	0.00049	7	11	0.0781	8	0.01667
		Ino	Task_End	0.00099	0.00022	0.00100	0.00059	ĺ.	11			0.01007
		Theta	Task_Start	0.04992	0.01000	0.05291	0.03031	10	-1.5	0.9219	23	0.04792
		Incta	Task_End	0.04901	0.00896	0.05110	0.02459	10				
WT		Gamma	Task_Start	0.00420	0.00062	0.00440	0.00200	10	4.5	0.6953	22	0.04583
		Guinnu	Task_End	0.00440	0.00068	0.00430	0.00190	10				
		HEO	Task_Start	0.00019	0.00006	0.00020	0.00017	10	25.5	0.0059	1	0.00208
	dCA1		Task_End	0.00025	0.00013	0.00023	0.00049					
		Theta Gamma	Task_Start	0.05863	0.00790	0.06198	0.01952	6	-8.5 9.5	0.0938	10	0.02083
			Task_End	0.05361	0.00862	0.05439	0.02604					
App ^{NL-G-F}			Task_Start	0.00360	0.00100	0.00330	0.00300	6			5	0.01042
			Task_End	0.00410	0.00120	0.00380	0.00380	·				
		HFO	Task_Start	0.00026	0.00011	0.00026	0.00031	6	9.5	0.0625	6	0.01250
			Task_End	0.00031	0.00013	0.00032	0.00038					
WT		Theta	Task_Start	0.06310	0.00684	0.06254	0.02294	8	17	0.0156	2	0.00417
			Task_End	0.06634	0.00559	0.06502	0.01872					
		Gamma	Task_Start	0.00320	0.00076	0.00300	0.00250	8	5	0.5469	20	0.04167
			Task_End	0.00330	0.00064	0.00340	0.00220					
		IS HFO Theta	Task_Start	0.00042	0.00013	0.00037	0.00043	8	-7 -7 9 7	0.3828 0.2969 0.1563 0.2969	19 18 14 17	0.03958
	DMS		Task_End	0.00041	0.00012	0.00039	0.00037					
			Task_Start	0.06536	0.00494	0.06540	0.01470	7				0.03750
			Task_End	0.06330	0.01026	0.06251	0.02893					
App ^{NL-G-F}		Gamma	Task_Start	0.00320	0.00069	0.00310	0.00210	7				0.02917
			Task_End	0.00360	0.00098	0.00320	0.00250					
		HFO	Task_Start	0.00040	0.00014	0.00039	0.00041	7				0.03542
		Theta Gamma HFO	Task_End	0.00041	0.00014	0.00036	0.00041		-13.5 15.5 19.5	0.1289 0.0742 0.0195	12 7	0.02500 0.01458 0.00625
			Task_Start	0.04110	0.00833	0.03904	0.02734	9				
WT			Task_Ellu	0.03913	0.00799	0.03030	0.02338					
			Task_Start	0.00400	0.00088	0.00490	0.00300	9				
			Tack Start	0.00119	0.00031	0.00125	0.00280					
			Task End	0.00132	0.00031	0.00123	0.00071	9			3	
	RSC	GC Theta Gamma	Task Start	0.04553	0.01188	0.04353	0.03560		-1 3	0.9375		0.05000
			Task End	0.04530	0.01254	0.04611	0.03356	7			24	
			Task Start	0.00460	0.00120	0.00460	0.00370					
App ^{NL-G-F}			Task End	0.00470	0.00120	0.00480	0.00330	7			21	
			Task Start	0.00109	0.00027	0.00098	0.00073				15	
		HFO	Task_End	0.00103	0.00028	0.00110	0.00078	7	9	0.1563		0.03125

Table 1. Relative power spectral density (PSD, $\mu V^2/Hz$) during the visual discrimination (VD) task. Brain areas: Cingulate Cortex (Cg), dorsal CA1 region of the hippocampus (dCA1), dorsal medial striatum (DMS), and retrosplenial cortex (RSC). Frequencies: theta, gamma and high frequency oscillations (HFO). Conditions: Task_Start = start of the VD task, Task_End = end of the VD task. Descriptive statistics for PSD ($\mu V^2/Hz$), N: sample size, Z: Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Significance level at q = 0.05, false discovery rate (FSD) corrected for multiple comparisons.



Figure 4. Mean phase-amplitude coupling (PAC) during the visual discrimination (VD) task for the cingulate cortex (Cg). (a) Phase-amplitude comodulograms plotted for WT mice (top panels) and App^{NL-G-F} mice (lower panels) for start of the VD task (Task_Start, left) and end of the VD task (Task_End, right). (b) Modulation index (MI) delta between Task_End and Task_Start for low gamma (LG, left), high gamma (HG, middle) and high frequency oscillations (HFO, right) for WT mice (top panels) and App^{NL-G-F} mice (lower panels). Comparison at each frequency band showed a significant different for WT mice, but only at HG for App^{NL-G-F} mice (c) MI between WT and App^{NL-G-F} mice for Task_Start (left) and Task_End (right). Comparison at each frequency band showed no significant difference. Values are represented in box plots were individual points indicate (b) delta or (c) absolute values for each subject. Significance level at q = 0.05, false discovery rate (FSD) corrected for multiple comparisons. ns: non-significant.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to characterize App^{NL-G-F} mice, a second-generation mouse model of A β amyloidosis¹⁹, by performing behavioral, electrophysiological, and pathological investigations in an age-dependent manner. Previous research using APP-overexpressing models (first-generation mouse models) has led to the hypothesis that aberrant network and altered oscillatory rhythmic activity caused by A β amyloidosis reflect and underlie the early cognitive disturbances observed in AD^{13,16,33}. In our study, we tested this hypothesis using a more relevant model of AD pathology, as the App^{NL-G-F} mice produce robust A β amyloidosis, like the first-generation models³⁴ and AD patients³⁵, but without potential undesirable side effects caused by the overexpression of APP^{9,10}.

¹ Behaviorally, the App^{NL-G-F} mice did not show strong associative learning impairments in the VD task. Overall App^{NL-G-F} mice demonstrated a higher variability than the controls in learning the task. Mice were 4.5 months old at the start of the VD task, which correlates with early plaque deposition. Previous studies characterizing the new-generation of AD mouse models have identified some minimal behavioral changes at younger ages²³, though impaired performance on cognitive tests has only been reported from the age of six months onwards^{19,21,22}. Our study, using a different behavioral task than those already investigated in this model, contributes to an increasing body of evidence indicating that the App^{NL-G-F} mice do not show severe cognitive impairments at early plaque stages. On the other hand, APP-overexpressing models show more variability with respect to the onset of cognitive deficits in relation to pathology^{8,36}.

To investigate the electrophysiological correlates, we used advanced techniques to explore the effects of AD-related pathology on neural oscillations in different brain areas during cognition. Electrode placement targeting different brain areas was motivated by two main factors: topographic distribution of amyloid plaques in AD patients³⁷ and animal models with A β pathology⁷, and the functional role of these areas on cognitive processes associated with the VD task, especially for the DMS, which plays a role in establishing action-reward associations³⁸. We focussed our analysis on PAC, as this measurement has been suggested to be associated with normal cognitive functioning in humans^{26,39,40} and rodents^{27,41-43}, and to be disrupted in patients with AD and MCI^{15,44}. Our analysis was centered on comparing the start and end of the VD task. At the end of the task,



Figure 5. Mean phase-amplitude coupling (PAC) during the visual discrimination (VD) task for the retrosplenial cortex (RSC). (a) Phase-amplitude comodulograms plotted for WT mice (top panels) and App^{NL-G-F} mice (lower panels) for start of the VD task (Task_Start, left) and end of the VD task (Task_End, right). (b) Modulation index (MI) delta between Task_End and Task_Start for low gamma (LG, left), high gamma (HG, middle) and high frequency oscillations (HFO, right) for WT mice (top panels) and App^{NL-G-F} mice (lower panels). Comparison at each frequency band showed a significant different for WT mice at LG and HG, but no significant difference for App^{NL-G-F} mice. (c) MI between WT and App^{NL-G-F} mice for Task_Start (left) and Task_End (right). Comparison at each frequency band showed no significant difference. Values are represented in box plots were individual points indicate (b) delta or (c) absolute values for each subject. Significance level at q = 0.05, false discovery rate (FSD) corrected for multiple comparisons. ns: non-significant.

the relationship between decision making and coupling could be easily assessed, as mice were at 80% or higher accuracy. For the start of the task, the interpretation was more difficult, as mice were responding at chance level; therefore, some of the correct trial responses analysis could include brain activity that may or may not pertain to decision making. We believe that some of the non-specific coupling observed at the start of the task could be associated with this mentioned variability within correct responses. Our results indicate a decrease in coupling for the WT mice as they learned the task, especially for the Cg and RSC. This result is in accordance with previous research indicating that PAC increases with task difficulty^{26,45}. For the App^{NL-G-F} mice, this decrease was less pronounced, only reaching statistical significance for HG in the Cg. Importantly, between-subject comparisons did not reveal significant differences between the two genotypes. Notable there was no effect on power for any of the tested comparisons. On the other hand, multiple studies using different transgenic rodent models including human APP-overexpression transgenes with different familial AD mutations have demonstrated gamma power alterations⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ as well as PAC dysfunctions^{14,48} before major amyloid plaque accumulation. Furthermore, studies, such as the ones carried out by Poza and colleagues¹⁵ or Dimitriadis and colleagues⁴⁴ provide valuable insights to better understand PAC changes associated with the disease, but both studies reported PAC alterations in AD and MCI patients respectively. Considering the difficulty to recruit patients in the preclinical phase, relevant animal models could help to further understand the potential use of PAC measurement as a biomarker for AD. The App^{NL-G-F} mice at the ages investigated in our study demonstrate Aβ accumulation without clear cognitive symptoms, making them a relevant preclinical AD model. Altogether our results indicate very subtle changes in coupling, suggesting PAC might not be affected in the preclinical phase of AD based on amyloidosis pathology only. It remains to be tested if other brain areas or the interaction between them might reveal changes in PAC at these early stages. Furthermore, other complex functional connectivity analysis that has been explored in patients⁴⁹, should be investigated in this and other animal models of AD pathology.

Our main motivation for this study was to investigate PAC, for which a role in neuronal information processing was reported⁴⁰, in a second-generation mouse model while animals performed the task. In addition, we were also interested in investigating PAC without any influence that the VD task could have on this readout. To this end, we measured LFPs when mice were exploring their home environment at 5 and 8 months of age. Despite



Figure 6. Mean phase-amplitude coupling (PAC) during the visual discrimination (VD) task for the dorsal medial striatum (DMS). (**a**) Phase-amplitude comodulograms plotted for WT mice (top panels) and App^{NL-G-F} mice (lower panels) for start of the VD task (Task_Start, left) and end of the VD task (Task_End, right). (**b**) Modulation index (MI) delta between Task_End and Task_Start for low gamma (LG, left), high gamma (HG, middle) and high frequency oscillations (HFO, right) for WT mice (top panels) and App^{NL-G-F} mice (lower panels). Comparison at each frequency band showed a significant different for WT mice at HFO, but no significant difference for App^{NL-G-F} mice. (**c**) MI between WT and App^{NL-G-F} mice for Task_Start (left) and Task_End (right). Comparison at each frequency band showed no significant difference. Values are represented in box plots were individual points indicate (**b**) delta or (**c**) absolute values for each subject. Significance level at q = 0.05, false discovery rate (FSD) corrected for multiple comparisons. ns: non-significant.

seeing an age-dependent increase in A β pathology between these time-points, we did not observe changes in PAC for any of the brain regions. Importantly, the ages for recording were selected based on previous reports of pathology¹⁹. Our objective was to obtain two measurements: one at a relatively early plaque stage and a second one at a later time-point where amyloid pathology is at an advanced state. Our findings indicate an age-dependent increase in A β 1–42 levels and plaque deposition that are consistent with previous research^{19,21,32}. Previous reports indicated the absence of hyperexcitability in the App^{NL-G-F} at 8 and 12 months of age²⁴ and subtle synaptic alterations at around 18 months⁵⁰. However, other reports have indicated theta-gamma coupling impairments in the entorhinal cortex of App^{NL-G-F} mice at 5 months of age⁵¹ and hypersynchronous functional connectivity of brain networks using resting-state functional MRI in App^{NL-G-F} mice at 3 months (pre-plaque stage)⁵². Taken together, these studies suggest that there is evidence for disruptions in network function under certain circumstances, but these are not apparent with all methodologies. Further work is needed to better understand how the variability in the methods and measurements of these studies leads to different outcomes about different aspects of network integrity in these animals. Finally, an important consideration for the translatability of findings in second-generation models is that while they avoid potential artefacts associated with the overexpression of APP, the development of pathology relies on several mutations linked to familial forms of AD, and may not accurately recreate the pathological processes in sporadic forms of AD. Furthermore, like the first-generation models, the App^{NL-G-F} mice do not exhibit tau pathology or neurodegeneration, which are important components of AD pathology.

Altogether, our results do not support the hypothesis of early alterations in oscillations and functional neuronal activity^{13,14,33} postulated using the first-generation models. We believe that by allowing researchers to dissociate the effects of APP overexpression from the pathophysiological changes in AD, the second-generation models will facilitate a deeper understanding of the neurobiology of the disease. In AD patients changes in electroencephalography (EEG) power spectral or synchronization have been commonly reported^{3,49,53,54}. Although some of these studies used new guidelines for diagnosis and investigated preclinical (before cognitive symptoms) and clinical AD; the reliability of the AD diagnosis in other studies must be considered with caution, given the lack of available biomarkers at the time of the studies. For instance, it has been indicated that the discrepancy between



Figure 7. Mean phase-amplitude coupling (PAC) during the visual discrimination (VD) task for the dorsal CA1 region of the hippocampus (dCA1). (a) Phase-amplitude comodulograms plotted for WT mice (top panels) and App^{NL-G-F} mice (lower panels) for start of the VD task (Task_Start, left) and end of the VD task (Task_End, right). (b) Modulation index (MI) delta between Task_End and Task_Start for low gamma (LG, left), high gamma (HG, middle) and high frequency oscillations (HFO, right) for WT mice (top panels) and App^{NL-G-F} mice (lower panels). Comparison at each frequency band showed a significant different for WT mice at HFO, but no significant difference for App^{NL-G-F} mice. (c) MI between WT and App^{NL-G-F} mice for Task_Start (left) and Task_End (right). Comparison at each frequency band showed no significant difference. Values are represented in box plots were individual points indicate (b) delta or (c) absolute values for each subject. Significance level at q=0.05, false discovery rate (FSD) corrected for multiple comparisons. ns: non-significant.

clinical and pathological diagnosis is about $20\%^{55}$. Many studies have also shown that AD patients have a higher risk of developing seizures and epilepsy^{56–58}; however, the reported prevalence in the literature fluctuates from 1.5 to $64\%^5$. Furthermore, it is still unclear whether neuronal alterations occur rather at a late stage of the disease as a consequence of neurodegeneration, or at an early stage as a primary mechanism contributing to cognitive dysfunction. If the second conjecture is correct, the potential value of having a reliable biomarker of preclinical and early stages of the AD could have a major impact on the disease diagnostics. Our knowledge about the pathophysiology of neural networks in AD is limited and more research is urgently needed. In parallel to research carried-out with patients, studies investigating electrophysiological readouts of the *App^{NL-G-F}* model could also help to gain new insights and outline a timeline on network changes associated with AD pathology.

Methods

In Vivo Experiments Methods. *Animals.* Data were obtained from eight homozygous male App^{NL-G-F} mice (generated by Saito and colleagues¹⁹ and obtained from the Janssen transgenic rodent facility, Belgium) and ten age-matched non-litter mates WT C57BL/6J male mice (Charles River, France). Mice were singly housed in individually ventilated cages under a reversed 12–12 light cycle (lights off 07:00–19:00; light intensity ~100 lux) under controlled environmental conditions throughout the study (22 ± 2 °C ambient temperature and relative humidity at 60%). Home cages were equipped with corn cob bedding, a tinted polycarbonate shelter and tissue for nesting material and *ad libitum* water. Throughout pre-training and cognitive testing, mice were provided with a restricted diet (Dustless precision pellets, Bioserv, USA) to maintain them at 80% free-fed weight to ensure consistent motivation towards reward pellets. All behavioral testing was conducted during the dark phase to obtain optimal engagement in the behavioral task as this is the active phase of the circadian cycle in mice.

All *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies were performed in strict accordance with the guidelines of the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International (AAALAC) and with the European Council Directive of 24 November 1986 (86/609/EEC) and European Ethics Committee directive (2010/63/EU) for the protection of laboratory animals. In line with Belgian governmental directives all protocols were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Janssen Pharmaceutica NV.

Genotype	Brain Area	Freq	Condition	Mean	SD	Median	Range	N	z	Prob > [Z]	p value rank	FDR threshold		
WT C		IG	Task_Start	0.000136	0.000054	0.000133	0.000150		-17	0.0156	7	0.0145833		
		LG	Task_End	0.000060	0.000020	0.000066	0.000048			0.0156	/	0.0143033		
	Ca	HG	Task_Start	0.000167	0.000056	0.000164	0.000201	6	-17	0.0156	6	0.0125		
	Cg		Task_End	0.000072	0.000034	0.000065	0.000094	0			0	0.0125		
		HEO	Task_Start	0.000271	0.000060	0.000283	0.000193	-	-18	0.0078	1	0.0020833		
			Task_End	0.000138	0.000051	0.000143	0.000172				1	0.0020833		
App ^{NL-G-F}		IC	Task_Start	0.000119	0.000074	0.000124	0.000214		-8	0.2188	20	0.0416667		
		LG	Task_End	0.000073	0.000035	0.000085	0.000084	1			20			
		ИС	Task_Start	0.000219	0.000173	0.000181	0.000506	7	11	0.0781	15	0.02125		
			Task_End	0.000099	0.000040	0.000098	0.000120]′	-11	0.0781	10	0.03125		
		HEO	Task_Start	0.000247	0.000090	0.000229	0.000249]	_14	0.0156	9	0.0166667		
		lift	Task_End	0.000135	0.000065	0.000099	0.000174	1	-14	0.0150	0	0.0100007		
		IC	Task_Start	0.000852	0.000477	0.000713	0.001435		12.5	0 1024	10	0.0205922		
			Task_End	0.000719	0.000499	0.000732	0.001614]	-13.5	0.1934	19	0.0393833		
WT		ИС	Task_Start	0.003142	0.003040	0.001842	0.002389	10	14.5	0.1602	18	0.0275		
VV 1			Task_End	0.002817	0.003087	0.001674	0.008305	10	-14.5	0.1602	10	0.0373		
		LIEO.	Task_Start	0.001266	0.001129	0.000807	0.003486	1	24 5	0.0098	4	0.0093333		
	JCA1	пго	Task_End	0.001019	0.001000	0.000786	0.003212	1	-24.5	0.0070	4	0.0005555		
		IC	Task_Start	0.000332	0.000204	0.000310	0.000581		1.5	0.8438	24	0.05		
App ^{NL-G-F}		LG	Task_End	0.000371	0.000320	0.000257	0.000816	1			24	0.05		
		UC	Task_Start	0.001877	0.001494	0.001523	0.004300		2.5	0.5625	23	0.0479167		
		по	Task_End	0.001438	0.008713	0.001322	0.002162	0	-5.5	0.5625	25	0.04/916/		
		HFO	Task_Start	0.000597	0.000397	0.000438	0.001036	1	-4.5	0.4375	22	0.0459222		
			Task_End	0.000398	0.000182	0.000377	0.000465	1						
		IC	Task_Start	0.000176	0.000071	0.000156	0.000201		15	0.0391	12	0.025		
			Task_End	0.000115	0.000036	0.000119	0.000092	1						
		IIC	Task_Start	0.000434	0.000149	0.000443	0.000412		16	0.0234	10	0.0208323		
VV I		по	Task_End	0.000322	0.000130	0.000318	0.000340	0				0.0208555		
		UFO	Task_Start	0.000434	0.000112	0.000433	0.000326	1	-17	0.0156	5	0.0104167		
	DMC	HFO	Task_End	0.000276	0.000121	0.000296	0.000390	1						
		IC	Task_Start	0.000190	0.000074	0.000205	0.000205		0	0.1563 0.0781	17 14	0.0354167 0.0291667		
		LG	Task_End	0.000136	0.000047	0.000158	0.000120	1	-9					
A to to NL-G-F			Task_Start	0.000703	0.000571	0.000494	0.001642	1_	11					
App		110	Task_End	0.000535	0.000505	0.000267	0.001350	1′	-11					
		HFO	Task_Start	0.000350	0.000098	0.000360	0.000246	1	11	0.0781	13	0.0270833		
			Task_End	0.000230	0.000154	0.000188	0.000480]	-11					
		LG	Task_Start	0.000147	0.000071	0.000119	0.000218		-19.5 -21.5	0.0195 0.0078	9 3	0.01975		
			Task_End	0.000075	0.000023	0.000075	0.000067	1				0.01875		
WT		HG	Task_Start	0.000177	0.000053	0.000181	0.000189	9				0.00625		
			Task_End	0.000091	0.000034	0.000087	0.000095					0.00023		
		LIEO	Task_Start	0.000309	0.000097	0.000326	0.000332]	21.5	0.0078	2	0.0041667		
	DSC	HFO	Task_End	0.000196	0.000068	0.000182	0.000178	1	-21.5	0.0078	2	0.0041007		
	KSC	LG	Task_Start	0.000130	0.000091	0.000089	0.000268		6	0.375	21	0.04375		
			Task_End	0.000084	0.000043	0.000084	0.000129]	-6		21			
AppNL-G-F		HG	Task_Start	0.000202	0.000118	0.000188	0.000336	7	10	0.1094	16	0.0222222		
App			Task_End	0.000098	0.000044	0.000089	0.000120]′	-10		16	0.0555555		
		HFO	Task_Start	0.000272	0.000089	0.000302	0.000225]	12	0.0212	11	0.0220167		
					Task_End	0.000166	0.000096	0.000155	0.000289]	-13	0.0313	11	0.022910/

Table 2. Phase-amplitude coupling (PAC) during the visual discrimination (VD) task. Brain areas: Cingulate Cortex (Cg), dorsal CA1 region of the hippocampus (dCA1), dorsal medial striatum (DMS), and retrosplenial cortex (RSC). Frequencies: theta, gamma and high frequency oscillations (HFO). Conditions: Task_Start = start of the VD task, Task_End = end of the VD task. Descriptive statistics for Modulation Index (MI), N: sample size, Z: Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Significance level at q = 0.05, false discovery rate (FSD) corrected for multiple comparisons. Bold cells indicate statistically significant values. P value ranks lower than 8 are statistically significant different between the two conditions.

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS | (2019) 9:16363 | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51928-w

Condition	Brain Area	Freq	Z	Prob > [Z]	p value rank	FDR threshold
		LG	-0.6365	0.5244	14	0.0292
	Cg	HG	0.28932	0.7723	20	0.0417
		HFO	-0.6365	0.5244	15	0.0313
		LG	-2.22354	0.0262	1	0.0021
	dCA1	HG	-0.27116	0.7863	21	0.0438
Tack Start		HFO	-1.57275	0.1158	2	0.0042
Task_Start		LG	0.17359	0.8622	23	0.0479
	DMS	HG	0.98368	0.3253	9	0.0188
		HFO	-1.33087	0.1832	5	0.0104
		LG	-0.74096	0.4587	12	0.0250
	RSC	HG	0.10585	0.9157	24	0.0500
		HFO	-0.74096	0.4587	13	0.0271
	Cg	LG	0.86796	0.3854	11	0.0229
		HG	1.56232	0.1182	3	0.0063
		HFO	-0.6365	0.5244	16	0.0333
		LG	-1.24735	0.2123	6	0.0125
	dCA1	HG	-0.59656	0.5508	17	0.0354
Tack End		HFO	-1.24735	0.2123	7	0.0146
Task_End		LG	1.33087	0.1832	4	0.0083
	DMS	HG	0.40505	0.6854	19	0.0396
		HFO	-1.09941	0.2716	8	0.0167
		LG	0.2117	0.8323	22	0.0458
	RSC	HG	0.4234	0.672	18	0.0375
		HFO	-0.95266	0.3408	10	0.0208

Table 3. Between-subject analysis of phase-amplitude coupling (PAC) during the visual discrimination (VD) task. Conditions: Task_Start = start of the VD task, Task_End = end of the VD task. Brain areas: Cingulate Cortex (Cg), dorsal CA1 region of the hippocampus (dCA1), dorsal medial striatum (DMS), and retrosplenial cortex (RSC). Frequencies: low gamma (LG), high gamma (HG), and high frequency oscillations (HFO). Z: Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Significance level at q = 0.05, false discovery rate (FSD) corrected for multiple comparisons.

Surgery. Surgeries were carried out when mice were three months old. The surgeon was blinded to animal genotype before carrying out the procedure. Anesthesia was induced via two minutes isoflurane inhalation (O_2, N_2O) and 5% isoflurane) and animals inserted into a stereotactic frame (StereoDrive, Neurostar, Germany). During the surgical procedure, anesthesia was maintained using a continuous flow of gas (O₂, N₂O and 2% isoflurane) delivered via inhalation mask. A homeothermic blanket system was used to sustain a stable 37-38 °C body temperature. A subcutaneous injection of analgesic Piritramide (dipidolor, 0.025 mg/kg) was administered. As a further precaution to minimize pain from the surgery, a local spray analgesic (Xylocaine, 10%) was applied at the surgery site. An incision was made along the sagittal plane to expose the skull, and the scalp held open using suture thread tied to the stereotactic frame. The skull was cleaned using saline solution and dried using swabs and cotton buds. Holes were drilled for the placement of four recording electrodes; all coordinates relative to bregma, anterior-posterior (AP), medial-lateral (ML), dorsal-ventral (DV)⁵⁹. Two stainless steel screws were affixed over the left frontal and right occipital lobes to secure the implant. Depth electrodes consisted of a single forwar-insulated tungsten wire (100 µm diameter with a blunt-tipped, Peira, Belgium) and were inserted into the DMS (1.4 mm AP, -1 mm ML, 2.5 mm DV), and the dCA1 (-1.7 mm AP, -1.5 mm ML, 1.7 mm DV). Surface electrodes (500 µm diameter gold-plated pins, Z = 150) were placed in the Cg (-0.5 mm AP, 0.0 mm ML) and the RSC (-1.8 mm AP, 0.0 mm ML). All electrodes were referenced to the same ground electrode placed on the midline over the cerebellum (-1.5 mm AP, 0.5 mm ML)and grounded by a pin positioned on the midline over the occipital lobe (-5.0 mm AP, 0.0 mm ML). After placing all electrodes, a multichannel connector (Nano strip connector, Omnetics, Minneapolis, USA) was affixed using dental cement to the cranium and the wound sutured around the implant. Mice's recovery and well-being was closely monitored until they were fully recovered (approximately ten days).

Visual discrimination (VD) Task. Apparatus. Mice were tested in customized operant chambers (modified from Med Associates Inc. Fairfax, Vermont): two sides of the box were constructed of clear Perspex. One of the other two sides was equipped with a pellet receptacle containing a light and an infra-red nose-poke detector, a tone generator, and a house light. The remaining side of the box was equipped with a touch-sensitive, flat-screen, LCD computer monitor. Nose-poking on the screen was detected with an infrared touch detection system. The monitor was then covered with a "mask", a piece of black Perspex with two aperture plate dividing the touchscreen into two response fields ($75 \text{ mm} \times 75 \text{ mm}$) in which visual stimuli were presented. Boxes were placed in sound attenuated chambers fitted with a small ventilation fan that also provided a mild masking background noise. The floor of the chamber consisted of aluminum bars spaced approximately 1 cm apart. Each operant box was controlled by K-limbic software, version 1.20.2 (Conclusive Solutions, Sawbridgeworth, UK).

Condition	Brain Area	Freq	z	Prob > [Z]	p value rank	FDR threshold
		Theta	0.6365	0.5244	14	0.0291667
	Cg	Gamma	-0.05786	0.9539	24	0.05
		HFO	-0.40505	0.6854	19	0.0395833
		Theta	0.88388	0.3768	9	0.01875
	dCA1	Gamma	0.29463	0.7683	20	0.0416667
5 Months		HFO	0.76603	0.4437	11	0.0229167
3_Wolluis		Theta	0.63888	0.5229	13	0.0270833
	DMS	Gamma	-0.5111	0.6093	17	0.0354167
		HFO	-0.7665	0.4433	10	0.0208333
	RSC	Theta	0.28932	0.7723	21	0.04375
		Gamma	-0.40505	0.6854	18	0.0375
		HFO	-0.52077	0.6025	16	0.0333333
	Cg	Theta	-0.96825	0.3329	7	0.0145833
		Gamma	0.71005	0.4777	12	0.025
		HFO	1.74284	0.0814	2	0.0041667
	dCA1	Theta	-0.13333	0.8415	23	0.0479167
		Gamma	0.26667	0.7897	22	0.0458333
9 Months		HFO	1.2	0.2301	5	0.0104167
8_Monuts		Theta	-1.5	0.1336	3	0.00625
	DMS	Gamma	2.07143	0.0383	1	0.0020833
		HFO	0.92857	0.3531	8	0.0166667
		Theta	1.09735	0.2725	6	0.0125
	RSC	Gamma	-0.58095	0.5613	15	0.03125
		HFO	-1.22644	0.22	4	0.0083333

Table 4. Between-subject analysis of relative power spectral density (PSD) during the no-task condition. Conditions: 5 and 8 months of age. Brain areas: Cingulate Cortex (Cg), dorsal CA1 region of the hippocampus (dCA1), dorsal medial striatum (DMS), and retrosplenial cortex (RSC). Frequencies: theta, gamma, and high frequency oscillations (HFO). Z: Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Significance level at q = 0.05, false discovery rate (FSD) corrected for multiple comparisons.

Touchscreen pre-training stages. The shaping of animals to use touch screens to respond to stimulus images consisted of five pre-training stages²⁵. All mice began pretraining at 3.5 months of age and progressed from each stage on an individual basis based on their performance (i.e. reaching a pre-set performance criterion).

Habituation. The first stage aimed to familiarize the mice with the operant chambers and extractor fan noise. Mice were placed in the boxes, lights off, for 30 minutes and given 10 reward pellets (TestDiet, USA). Mice were required to consume all reward pellets during the session to progress to the next stage.

Tone association. In this stage mice established an association between a tone and reward delivery. A reward was delivered with a tone to begin the session. The food magazine light remained on from reward delivery until collection. The next reward and tone were delivered after a 30 second inter-trial interval (ITI) when the mouse entered the food magazine. Mice were required to complete 60 trials within 60 minutes for two consecutive days to advance to the next stage.

Touch association. Here, mice were encouraged to touch the screen to receive the reward. During trials, a white square was presented in one of the two response windows for 30 seconds. The location of the stimulus presentation was pseudo-random between trials. If mice touched the square during this time a food reward was delivered with a tone and a 10 second ITI initiated. Otherwise, the stimulus was removed, and the house light switched on for 10 seconds followed by a 10 second ITI. There was no penalty for touching the other response window. Mice were required to complete a minimum of 35 trials in 45 minutes to advance to the next stage.

Must touch. During this stage the association between screen touches and rewards was reinforced. The procedure and success criterion were the same as in the Touch Association stage, however new trials did not begin automatically after 30 seconds – animals were required to touch the illuminated square before the next trial could begin. Animals were required to complete a minimum of 35 trials in 45 minutes to advance to the next stage.

Punish incorrect. The final stage of pretraining introduced a penalty for indiscriminate screen touches. The trials proceeded as in the Must Touch stage but touches to the non-illuminated response window triggered a 5 second timeout with the house light on, followed by a 10 second ITI. These trials were recorded as incorrect and followed by a CT. During all CTs the stimulus presentation was in the same location as the preceding trial, and the trail

Condition	Brain Area	Freq	z	Prob>[Z]	p value rank	FDR threshold
		LG	-0.68264	0.4948	12	0.02609
	Cg	HG	1.31276	0.1893	6	0.01304
		HFO	-0.26255	0.7929	22	0.04783
		LG	-1.37607	0.1688	3	0.00652
	dCA1	HG	-0.52926	0.5966	14	0.03043
5 Months		HFO	-0.31755	0.7508	19	0.04130
5_Monuis		LG	1.44659	0.148	1	0.00217
	DMS	HG	0.52077	0.6025	15	0.03261
		HFO	0.28932	0.7723	21	0.04565
		LG	0.36757	0.7132	18	0.03913
	RSC	HG	1.20774	0.2271	7	0.01522
		HFO	-0.15753	0.8748	24	0.05217
	Cg	LG	-0.40505	0.6854	16	0.03478
		HG	-0.40505	0.6854	17	0.03696
		HFO	1.33087	0.1832	5	0.01087
	dCA1	LG	-1.35529	0.1753	4	0.00870
		HG	-0.88388	0.3768	10	0.02174
9 Months		HFO	-0.53033	0.5959	13	0.02826
8_Monuis		LG	0.7665	0.4433	11	0.02391
	DMS	HG	1.14998	0.2502	8	0.01739
		HFO	0.89443	0.3711	9	0.01957
		LG	-0.28932	0.7723	20	0.04348
	RSC	HG	-0.17359	0.8622	23	0.05000
		HFO	1.44659	0.148	2	0.00435

Table 5. Between-subject analysis of phase-amplitude coupling (PAC) during the no-task condition. Conditions: 5 and 8 months of age. Brain areas: Cingulate Cortex (Cg), dorsal CA1 region of the hippocampus (dCA1), dorsal medial striatum (DMS), and retrosplenial cortex (RSC). Frequencies: low gamma (LG), high gamma (HG), and high frequency oscillations (HFO). Z: Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Significance level at q = 0.05, false discovery rate (FSD) corrected for multiple comparisons.

.....

repeated until the animal responded to the correct window. Outcomes during correction trials were not included in trial count or any analyses. Mice progressed from this stage once they could achieve \geq 75% correct responses over a minimum of 30 trials for two consecutive days. The number of trials was capped at 80. During this stage of the pretraining regime mice were fitted with headstages used for the LFPs recordings on alternating test days to acclimatize them to wearing the headstage. After this step mice were ready to advance to the VD task testing.

Visual discrimination (VD) task testing. VD testing began when mice were at an age of 4.5 months old. In VD sessions, a pair of images previously validated²⁵ (Fig. 2a) were presented on screen. Based on a subject's counterbalanced group assignment, each image was designated as either the *conditioned stimulus* (S+) or the *unconditioned stimulus* (S-) and the reward-contingency of the image was kept constant for each mouse across the experiment. Responses to S+ were rewarded with a food pellet, while responses to S- were recorded as incorrect and resulted in a 5s timeout with the house light on. As in the *Punish Incorrect* pretraining stage, incorrect trials were followed by CTs in which stimulus locations were kept the same. Stimulus presentations occurred in a pseudo-random location in each trial, never appearing in the same window in more than three consecutive trials (excluding CTs) and total presentations counterbalanced between the two locations. Mice completed one 45-minute session (max 80 trials) of VD testing daily until they achieved an acquisition criterion set as two consecutive sessions at \geq 80% correct responses over a minimum of 30 trials. For an illustration of the VD task see Fig. 2b.

Local field potentials (LFPs) analysis. *Recordings.* LFPs were recorded with a sample frequency of 1000 Hz, high-pass filtered above 1 Hz, and referenced to the ground electrode placed midline above the cerebellum using small-size W4-HS wireless headstages weighing approximately 2.2 grams including battery, connected to a W2100 system (Multichannel systems, Germany. All analyses were done with built-in and custom-written routines in MATLAB (MathWorks, 2014a). Detection of artefacts and wrongly placed electrodes was carried out in three steps. Firstly, data were visually inspected and electrodes with noise were excluded for further analysis. Secondly, epochs were excluded during the Matlab analysis using an amplitude method (i.e. artefact rejection) with a standard deviation cut-off of 10. Finally, at the end of the experiment, mice were deeply anesthetized and electrolytical lesions were produced at the selected recording sites using a current generator apparatus (500 µA for 30 seconds, MC Stimulus II, Multichannel systems, Germany), then mice were euthanized, and their brain tissue was frozen in dry-ice cooled methylbutane. Coronal sections of frozen brains were obtained using a cryostat and counterstained for histological verification of subcortical electrode placements.

LFPs were recorded and analysed in two conditions:



Figure 8. Amyloid β pathology in *App^{NL-G-F}* mice. (a) Biochemical quantification of $A\beta 1-42$ in *App^{NL-G-F} fore*brains (GuHCl fraction) measured by sandwich ELISA. Standard curves for calibration were generated using synthetic human $A\beta 1-42$ peptide. Data represent the mean of 2 to 4 independent measurements of pooled samples with each pool consisting of equal volumes of extracts from 4 to 6 mice (n = 5, 6, 5, 4, 4, 6, 6 per indicated time point respectively). Star symbols indicate significance compared to 2 mo (months of age). (b,c) Example images and immunohistochemical analysis of the plaque occupancy area in cortex (inset) and hippocampus using a C-terminal antibody (JRF/cA β 42/26, b) or N-terminal antibody (JRF/A β N/25. (c) Scale bars in the photographs are 2.5 mm and 50 µm (inset). In the summarizing plots, mean and SD are presented in a bar chart in which each superimposed dot representing a single animal. Star symbols indicate significance compared to 2 mo.

- VD task: Neuronal and behavioral data were synchronized with a precision of 10 milliseconds using a transistor-transistor logic output signal generated by the food magazine light of the operant box. Recordings of the first (Task_Start) and last (Task_End) session of the VD task were included in the study. Response and collection latency data were used to select an epoch for analysis within each trial of 1.4 second prior to a correct touchscreen response (Fig. 2b). This value was used to maximize the length of the window containing brain activity related to choice-making immediately prior to screen touch while avoiding inclusion of activity related to trial initiation.
- Home-cage monitoring: Mice at 5 and 8 months of age were placed for 1 hour in their home-cage environment with a camera (UI-3140CP-C-HQ Rev.2, IDS Imaging, Germany) above the cage to record their activity for synchronization with recorded LFPs. Home-cages were placed in sound attenuated chambers fitted with a small ventilation fan that also provided a mild masking background noise. Behavioral activity was scored using Smart software v3.0 (Panlab, Barcelona) and categorized into different activity levels based on speed and only segments where the speed of the mice was between 2 and 30 cm/sec were considered for the analysis. Using a sliding window approach, we selected LFPs data at 1.4 seconds epochs for further analysis. The speed and epoch's length parameters were selected to match behavioral task conditions.

Relative power spectral density (PSD) analysis. Welch's power spectral density was estimated and analyzed for frequencies ranging from 4 to 200 Hz for each epoch for the different conditions. PSD values were averaged in 1 Hz frequency bins across trials within a session for each subject and brain region and power was expressed as relative power to the total power over 4 to 200 Hz. For statistical analysis relative PSD data were averaged across theta (4–12 Hz), gamma (30–100 Hz) and HFO (101–200 Hz).

Phase amplitude coupling (PAC). The signal was convoluted with complex Morlet wavelets to extract estimates of time-varying frequency band-specific amplitude and phase from the LFPs data. Theta-gamma PAC was calculated using an algorithm described previously²⁷. The MI was used to quantify the modulation of the high frequency amplitude signal (30–200 Hz, estimated in 5 Hz steps) by a low frequency phase signal (4–12 Hz, estimated in 0.5 Hz steps). While a MI value close to zero indicates no relationship between low frequency phase and high frequency amplitude, a higher value results from stronger phase-to-amplitude modulation. Due to the short duration of the analysis window (1.4 seconds), within each epoch a lengthiest segment with integer number of cycles for the considered low frequency phase was extracted, and values of analytical signals for all the segments within a session were aggregated (over time) in a complex phase space prior to MI estimations for each mice and electrode. For statistical analysis MI were averaged across the amplitude frequency low gamma (30–60 Hz), high gamma (61–100 Hz) and HFO (101–200 Hz).

Statistics. Statistical analyses were conducted using JMP®, version 12 (SAS Institute Inc, NC, US). For behavioral testing, the distributions of dependent variables were assessed for meeting the assumption of normality required in parametric statistical tests. Group comparisons were made using a two-tailed two-sample t-test, or the normal approximation to the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (reported as Z) was used if the data did not meet the normality assumption. A mixed-effect model for repeated measures with genotype, and session as fixed effects and subject as a random effect was used to investigate percentage of correct responses during the VD task. Residuals of models were inspected for normality and an alpha level of p = 0.05 was used to determine significance in all statistical tests. For electrophysiological statistical testing, most data were non-normally distributed and nonparametric test were applied. For within-subject analysis the delta value between the two conditions was calculated and compared to zero. Significance was tested using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (reported as Z). Correction for multiple comparison was performed using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure with a false discovery rate threshold of $q = 0.05^{60,61}$. For clear representation of the data all descriptive statistics, such as mean, median, SD, and ranges, together with p values and thresholds are presented in tables (either in the text or as supplementary material) and box plots with individual points for each subject are used, rather than commonly reported bars graphs⁶². Box plots represent the interquartile range; the solid line inside the box indicates the median, the box represents 50% of data points between the first and third quartile, and the upper and lower whiskers represent scores outside the middle 50%.

In Vitro experimental methods. *Animals.* A separate, satellite group of App^{NL-G-F} male mice were group-housed in individually ventilated cages under a reversed 12–12 light cycle (lights off 07:00–19:00; light intensity ~100 lux) and controlled environmental conditions throughout the study (22 ± 2 °C ambient temperature and relative humidity at 60%). Home cages were equipped with corn cob bedding, a tinted polycarbonate shelter and tissue for nesting material. Food and water were provided *ad libitum*.

Tissue collection and processing. Mice were euthanized by decapitation after which brains were excised. Olfactory lobes and hindbrain were removed from tissue processed for biochemistry. Brain hemispheres were weighed, immediately frozen on dry ice and stored at -80 °C prior to biochemical (right hemisphere) analysis. For the preparation of brain homogenates, tissue was thawed on ice in pre-cooled GuHCl extraction buffer (5 M Guanidin-hydrochloride, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mL/100 mg tissue). Homogenization was done utilizing 4.5 mL TallPrep tubes (MP Biomedicals) containing Lysing matrix D (1.4 mm ceramic beads) and FastPrep-24 5G homogenizer (MP Biomedicals). Subsequently, homogenates were shaken for 3 hours at room temperature and stored at -80 °C until further use. The left hemisphere was post-fixed overnight in a formalin-based fixative, embedded in paraffin and sliced (5 μ m) with a microtome.

Brain A β **1–42 elisa.** A β 1–42 in *App*^{*NL-G-F*} brain extracts were quantified in a one-step direct sandwich ELISA with capture antibody JRF/cA β 42/26 that specifically recognizes A β ending at amino acid 42, and detection with SULFOTAGTM-labelled 3D6 antibody that recognizes A β starting with amino acid 1. Equal volumes of brain homogenates of different mice belonging to the same age group (4 to 6 mice per time point) were pooled and then further diluted 1:10 in casein buffer (0.1% casein in PBS). Next, samples were spun for 20 minutes at 20.000 g (4 °C) and supernatants was collected to be further diluted in 0.5 M GuHCl buffer to optimal dilutions for use in the assay. Human A β 1–42 standard peptide (Anaspec, San Jose, CA, USA) was dissolved in DMSO at 0.1 mg/mL and stored at -80 °C. For use in ELISA, standards were diluted in 0.5 M GuHCl buffer from 20.000 pg/mL down to 0 pg/mL.

Capture antibody was diluted in PBS ($1.5 \mu g/mL$), coated onto Multi-array 96-well SECTOR plates (Meso Scale Discovery, L15XA, $30 \mu L$ /well) and incubated overnight at 4 °C. After 5 washes with PBS containing 0.5% Tween20 (wash buffer), the plates were blocked in 0.1% casein buffer (2 hours at room temperature while shaking) and washed again with wash buffer (5x). Prior to their addition to the plates, samples and standards were mixed in an equal volume of SULFOTAGTM-labelled detection antibody (diluted in 0.1% casein buffer) and were subsequently incubated on the plates overnight at 4 °C. The next day, plates were washed 5 times with wash buffer, $2 \times MSD$ Read Buffer T was added to the wells and plates were immediately read with the MESO SECTOR S 600 plate reader. Using Meso Scale software, raw signals were normalized against the standard curve.

Immunohistochemistry. Following deparaffinization and rehydration of the sections, antigen retrieval was performed (10 minutes incubation in 70% formic acid) and endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide. Samples were incubated overnight with biotinylated primary antibodies (JRF/cA β 42/26 2 µg/mL; JRF/A β N/25 1 µg/mL⁶³), diluted in antibody diluent with background reducing components (DAKO,

Glostrup, Denmark). After extensive washing, streptavidin-HRP solution (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, USA) was applied for 30 minutes, followed by chromogenic labelling with 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB, DAKO). Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated and permanently mounted (Vectamount, Vector Labs). Imaging was performed with a NanoZoomer slide scanner (Hamamatsu Photonics, Shizuoka, Japan) and analysed with Matlab/Phaedra. Regions-of-interest (ROIs) were manually delineated in accordance with the Franklin and Paxinos atlas⁵⁹ and for each ROI the percentage of DAB-labelled area per total area was calculated.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism, version 8 (GraphPad Software, Inc.). Using the Shapiro-Wilk test, the distributions of dependent variables were assessed for meeting the assumption of normality required in parametric statistical tests. Multiple group comparisons were made using the Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA with Dunnett's T3 multiple comparisons test. Data were considered significant when p < 0.05 (one symbol p < 0.05, two symbols, p < 0.01, three symbols p < 0.001, four symbols p < 0.0001).

Received: 2 August 2019; Accepted: 10 October 2019; Published online: 08 November 2019

References

- 1. Scheltens, P. et al. Alzheimer's disease. Lancet 388, 505-517 (2016).
- 2. Querfurth, H. M. & LaFerla, F. M. Mechanisms of Disease Alzheimer's. new engl J. Med. 56, 687-696 (2011).
- Nimmrich, V., Draguhn, A. & Axmacher, N. Neuronal Network Oscillations in Neurodegenerative Diseases. *NeuroMolecular Med.* 17, 270–284 (2015).
- Başar, E. et al. What does the broken brain say to the neuroscientist? Oscillations and connectivity in schizophrenia, Alzheimer's disease, and bipolar disorder. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 103, 135–148 (2016).
- 5. Friedman, D., Honig, L. S. & Scarmeas, N. Seizures and Epilepsy in Alzheimer's Disease. CNS Neurosci Ther. 18, 285-294 (2013).
- 6. Jack, C. R. *et al.* Hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers of the Alzheimer's pathological cascade. *Lancet Neurol.* 9, 119–128 (2010).
- 7. Sasaguri, H. et al. APP mouse models for Alzheimer's disease preclinical studies. EMBO J. 36, e201797397 (2017).
- Jankowsky, J. L. & Zheng, H. Practical considerations for choosing a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Mol. Neurodegener. 1–22 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13024-017-0231-7 (2017).
- 9. Nilsson, P., Saito, T. & Saido, T. C. New Mouse Model of Alzheimer's. 16-19 (2014).
- Born, H. A. et al. Genetic Suppression of Transgenic APP Rescues Hypersynchronous Network Activity in a Mouse Model of Alzeimer's Disease. J. Neurosci. 34, 3826–3840 (2014).
- Palop, J. J. et al. Aberrant Excitatory Neuronal Activity and Compensatory Remodeling of Inhibitory Hippocampal Circuits in Mouse Models of Alzheimer's Disease. Neuron 55, 697–711 (2007).
- Palop, J. J. & Mucke, L. Amyloid-B-induced neuronal dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease: From synapses toward neural networks. Nat. Neurosci. 13, 812–818 (2010).
- 13. Busche, M. A. & Konnerth, A. Neuronal hyperactivity A key defect in Alzeimer's disease? Bioessays J. 37, 624-632 (2015).
- 14. Goutagny, R., Gu, N., Cavanagh, C., Jackson, J. & Chabot, J. Alterations in hippocampal network oscillations and theta gamma coupling arise before A b overproduction in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. 37, 1896–1902 (2013).
- Poza, J. et al. Phase-Amplitude Coupling Analysis of Spontaneous EEG Activity in Alzheimer's Disease. IEEE 2259–2262 https://doi. org/10.1109/EMBC.2017.8037305 (2017).
- 16. Palop, J. J. & Mucke, L. Epilepsy and Cognitive Impairments in Alzheimer Disease. Arch Neurol. 66 (2009).
- 17. Rice, H. C. *et al.* Secreted amyloid-b precursor protein functions as a GABA B R1a ligand to modulate synaptic transmission. *Science* (80-.). **363** (2019).
- 18. Nicolas, M. & Hassan, B. A. Amyloid precursor protein and neural development. Development 141, 2543–2548 (2014).
- 19. Saito, T. et al. Single App knock-in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. Nat. Neurosci. 17, 661-3 (2014).
- Sakakibara, Y., Sekiya, M., Saito, T., Saido, T. C. & Iijima, K. M. Cognitive and emotional alterations in App knock-in mouse models of Aβ amyloidosis. *BMC Neurosci.* 19, 1–17 (2018).
- 21. Masuda, A. et al. Cognitive deficits in single App knock-in mouse models. Neurobiol. Learn. Mem. 135, 73-82 (2016).
- 22. Whyte, L. S. *et al.* Reduction in open field activity in the absence of memory deficits in the AppNL–G–F knock-in mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Behav. Brain Res.* **336**, 177–181 (2018).
- Latif-Hernandez, A. et al. Subtle behavioral changes and increased prefrontal-hippocampal network synchronicity in APP NL– G–F mice before prominent plaque deposition. Behav. Brain Res. 364, 431–441 (2019).
- 24. Brown, R. *et al.* Circadian and Brain State Modulation of Network Hyperexcitability in Alzheimer's Disease. *eneuro* 5, ENEURO.0426-17.2018 (2018).
- Horner, A. E. *et al.* The touchscreen operant platform for testing learning and memory in rats and mice. *Nat. Protoc.* 8, 1961–1984 (2013).
- 26. Axmacher, N. *et al.* Cross-frequency coupling supports multi-item working memory in the human hippocampus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 3228–3233 (2010).
- Tort, A. B. L. et al. Dynamic cross-frequency couplings of local field potential oscillations in rat striatum and hippocampus during performance of a T-maze task. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 105, 20517–20522 (2008).
- 28. Lisman, J. E. & Jensen, O. The Theta-Gamma Neural Code. Neuron 77, 1002-1016 (2013).
- 29. Jobert, M. *et al.* Guidelines for the Recording and Evaluation of Pharmaco-EEG Data in Man: The International Pharmaco-EEG Society (IPEG). *Neuropsychobiology* **66**, 201–220 (2012).
- 30. Colgin, L. L. et al. Frequency of gamma oscillations routes flow of information in the hippocampus. Nature 462, 353 (2009).
- 31. Keren, G. Between-or within-subjects design: A methodological dilemma. A Handbook for Data Analysis in the Behaviorial Sciences. (2014).
- Mehla, J. et al. Age-dependent behavioral and biochemical characterization of single APP knock-in mouse (APP NL-G-F / NL-G-F) model of Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Aging 75, 25–37 (2019).
- Palop, J. J. & Mucke, L. Network abnormalities and interneuron dysfunction in Alzheimer disease. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 17, 777–792 (2016).
- 34. LaFerla, F. M. & Green, K. N. Animal models of Alzheimer disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2, 1-13 (2012).
- 35. Scheltens, P. et al. Alzheimer's disease. Lancet 388, 505-517 (2016).
- Webster, S. J., Bachstetter, A. D., Nelson, P. T., Schmitt, F. A. & Van Eldik, L. J. Using mice to model Alzheimer's dementia: An overview of the clinical disease and the preclinical behavioral changes in 10 mouse models. *Front. Genet.* 5, 1–23 (2014).

- Serrano-Pozo, A., Frosch, M. P., Masliah, E. & Hyman, B. T. Neuropathological alterations in Alzheimer disease. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med. 1, 1–23 (2011).
- Thorn, C. A., Atallah, H., Howe, M. & Graybiel, A. M. Differential dynamics of activity changes in dorsolateral and dorsomedial striatal loops during learning. *Neuron* 66, 781–95 (2010).
- Canolty, R. T. et al. High Gamma Power Is Phase-Locked to Theta Oscillations in Human Neocortex. Science (80-.). 313, 1626–1628 (2006).
- Lega, B., Burke, J., Jacobs, J. & Kahana, M. J. Slow-Theta-to-Gamma Phase-Amplitude Coupling in Human Hippocampus Supports the Formation of New Episodic Memories. Cereb. Cortex 26, 268–278 (2016).
- Amemiya, S. & Redish, A. D. Hippocampal Theta-Gamma Coupling Reflects State-Dependent Information Processing in Decision Making. Cell Rep. 22, 3328–3338 (2018).
- Belluscio, M. A., Mizuseki, K., Schmidt, R., Kempter, R. & Buzsáki, G. Cross-frequency phase-phase coupling between θ and γ oscillations in the hippocampus. J. Neurosci. 32, 423–35 (2012).
- Tort, A. B. L., Komorowski, R. W., Manns, J. R., Kopell, N. J. & Eichenbaum, H. Theta-gamma coupling increases during the learning of item-context associations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 106, 20942–20947 (2009).
- 44. Dimitriadis, S. I., Laskaris, N. A., Bitzidou, M. P., Tarnanas, I. & Tsolaki, M. N. A novel biomarker of amnestic MCI based on dynamic cross-frequency coupling patterns during cognitive brain responses. *Front. Neurosci.* **9**, 1–17 (2015).
- Tamura, M., Spellman, T. J., Rosen, A. M., Gogos, J. A. & Gordon, J. A. Hippocampal-prefrontal theta-gamma coupling during performance of a spatial working memory task. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 2182 (2017).
- 46. laccarino, H. F. et al. Gamma frequency entrainment attenuates amyloid load and modifies microglia. Nature 540, 230-235 (2016).
- Klein, A. S., Donoso, J. R., Kempter, R., Schmitz, D. & Beed, P. Early Cortical Changes in Gamma Oscillations in Alzheimer's Disease. Front. Syst. Neurosci. 10, 1–11 (2016).
- 48. Bazzigaluppi, P. *et al.* Early-stage attenuation of phase-amplitude coupling in the hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex in a transgenic rat model of Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neurochem.* **144**, 669–679 (2018).
- Engels, M. M. et al. Declining functional connectivity and changing hub locations in Alzheimer's disease: an EEG study. BMC Neurol. 15, 145 (2015).
- Chen, L., Saito, T., Saido, T. C. & Mody, I. Novel Quantitative Analyses of Spontaneous Synaptic Events in Cortical Pyramidal Cells Reveal Subtle Parvalbumin-Expressing Interneuron Dysfunction in a Knock-In Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease. *eneuro* 5, ENEURO.0059–18.2018 (2018).
- Nakazono, T., Jun, H., Blurton-Jones, M., Green, K. N. & Igarashi, K. M. Gamma oscillations in the entorhinal-hippocampal circuit underlying memory and dementia. *Neurosci. Res.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neures.2018.02.002 (2018).
- Shah, D. et al. Spatial reversal learning defect coincides with hypersynchronous telencephalic BOLD functional connectivity in APPNL-F/NL-F knock-in mice. Sci. Rep. 8, 1–11 (2018).
- Voevodskaya, O. et al. Altered structural network organization in cognitively normal individuals with amyloid pathology. Neurobiol. Aging 64, 15–24 (2018).
- Coben, L. A., Danziger, W. & Storandt, M. A longitudinal EEG study of mild senile dementia of Alzheimer type: changes at 1 year and at 2.5 years. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 61, 101–112 (1985).
- 55. Fischer, C. E. et al. Determining the impact of psychosis on rates of false-positive and false-negative diagnosis in Alzheimer's disease. In Alzheimer's and Dementia: Translational Research and Clinical Interventions **3**, 385–392 (Elsevier Inc., 2017).
- 56. Vossel, K. A. et al. Incidence and impact of subclinical epileptiform activity in Alzheimer's disease. Ann. Neurol. 80, 858-870 (2016).
- 57. Vossel, K. A. et al. Seizures and epileptiform activity in the early stages of Alzheimer disease. JAMA Neurol. 70, 1158-1166 (2013).
- Lam, A. D. *et al.* Silent hippocampal seizures and spikes identified by foramen ovale electrodes in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Med.* 23, 678–680 (2017).
- 59. Franklin, K. B., & Paxinos, G. The mouse brain in stereotaxic coordinates. (Academic press., 1997).
- 60. Benjamini & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B 289–300 (1995).
- Glickman, M. E., Rao, S. R. & Schultz, M. R. False discovery rate control is a recommended alternative to Bonferroni-type adjustments in health studies. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 67, 850–857 (2014).
- 62. Weissgerber, T. L., Milic, N. M., Winham, S. J. & Garovic, V. D. Beyond bar and line graphs: time for a new data presentation paradigm. *PLoS Biol.* 13, e1002128 (2015).
- Mercken, M. et al. Specific ELISA systems for the detection of endogenous human and rodent ABETA40 and ABETA42. Neurobiol. Aging 21, 41 (2000).

Acknowledgements

We thank Tom Van de Casteele for his input on statistical analysis and Dries Crauwels for providing a format conversion tool for the electrophysiological data.

Author contributions

S.J., W.D. and D.B., conceived and designed *in vivo* research. B.V.B., C.T. and A.B. conceived and designed *in vitro* research. G.D. performed the *in vivo* experimental work, S.J. performed surgeries and analyzed of *in vivo* data. N.M. provided the custom-written routines in MATLAB. M.D.B., B.H. and C.W. performed *in vitro* experiments. S.J. and M.D.B. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51928-w.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to W.H.D.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019