SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

OPEN

Received: 21 February 2019 Accepted: 12 September 2019 Published online: 30 September 2019

Investigation of the core binding regions of human Werner syndrome and Fanconi anemia group J helicases on replication protein A

Gyuho Yeom, Jinwoo Kim & Chin-Ju Park

Werner syndrome protein (WRN) and Fanconi anemia group J protein (FANCJ) are human DNA helicases that contribute to genome maintenance. They interact with replication protein A (RPA), and these interactions dramatically enhance the unwinding activities of both helicases. Even though the interplay between these helicases and RPA is particularly important in the chemoresistance pathway of cancer cells, the precise binding regions, interfaces, and properties have not yet been characterized. Here we present systematic NMR analyses and fluorescence polarization anisotropy assays of both helicase-RPA interactions for defining core binding regions and binding affinities. Our results showed that two acidic repeats of human WRN bind to RPA70N and RPA70A. For FANCJ, the acidic-rich sequence in the C-terminal domain is the binding region for RPA70N. Our results suggest that each helicase interaction has unique features, although they both fit an acidic peptide into a basic cleft for RPA binding. Our findings shed light on the protein interactions involved in overcoming the DNA-damaging agents employed in the treatment of cancer and thus potentially provide insight into enhancing the efficacy of cancer therapy.

Werner syndrome protein (WRN) and Fanconi anemia group J protein (FANCJ) are DNA helicases which maintain genomic stability by participating in double-strand break (DSB) repair and interstrand crosslink repair, as well as other DNA processing events¹. Defects in WRN lead to Werner syndrome, which is characterized by premature aging and high cancer incidence². FANCJ mutations cause Fanconi anemia, early onset breast cancer, and ovarian cancer^{3–5}. Both helicases have been considered as anti-cancer targets because of their elevated expression in cancer cells and their ability to overcome DNA-damaging agents in that context^{6,7}.

WRN is one of the human RecQ helicases and is composed of an exonuclease, a helicase, a Zn-binding, a RecQ C-terminal (RQC), and a helicase and RNase D C-terminal (HRDC) domain (Fig. 1A). It has 3'-5' helicase and strand annealing activities along with 3'-5' exonuclease activity^{8,9}. FANCJ is one of a superfamily of 2 iron-sulfur (Fe-S) helicases with 5'-3' helicase activity (Fig. 1A). Both helicases interact with replication protein A (RPA), and these interactions significantly enhance the DNA unwinding activities of both helicases¹⁰⁻¹².

RPA is a eukaryotic single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) binding protein, which is composed of subunits RPA70, RPA32, and RPA14. Among the six oligonucleotide binding (OB) folds in RPA, DNA binding domains (DBDs) A, B, and C (in RPA70) and D (in RPA32) mainly bind to ssDNA^{13,14}. DBD-F in RPA70's N terminal domain (RPA70N) and RPA70A are known as regions for protein-protein interaction¹⁵ (Fig. 1B). In particular, RPA70N interacts with various DNA damage proteins such as ATRIP, RPA9, MRE11, and p53^{16,17}. Bloom syndrome protein (BLM), a human RecQ helicase, also interacts with RPA70N¹⁸. Interestingly, most RPA70N interactors contain acidic-rich sequences and bind to the basic cleft region of RPA70N.

Previous research showed that WRN could unwind longer double-stranded DNA substrates in the presence of RPA, becoming a 'superhelicase' when bound to multiple RPAs^{12,19}. Studies using truncated constructs showed that a region containing two acidic repeats in human WRN (WRN₄₂₄₋₄₇₅, 52 a.a.) and the N-terminal half of human RPA70 (RPA70N and RPA70A, RPA70₁₋₃₀₈) were essential for their physical binding^{10,12}. These findings piqued our interest because RPA70A is known as the primary ssDNA binding domain of RPA. Also, because

Department of Chemistry, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology, Gwangju, 61005, Republic of Korea. Gyuho Yeom and Jinwoo Kim contributed equally. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.-J.P. (email: cjpark@gist.ac.kr) Α



Figure 1. (**A**) Domain structure of WRN and FANCJ. The right panels depict the position of the acidic peptides (WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀, WRN₄₆₁₋₄₇₆, FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃, FANCJ₁₂₀₁₋₁₂₁₃; red) within the polypeptide sequences (WRN₄₂₂₋₄₈₄, FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₂₁₁; blue) used in this study. (**B**) Three subunits of RPA and its domain structure. Blue bars at the top indicate the general RPA regions known to bind to FANCJ and WRN.

mouse WRN contains only one acidic-rich sequence (27 a.a), highly conserved with human WRN, and because it was revealed that 15 a.a. of the acidic-rich region was sufficient to support RPA70N binding¹⁶⁻¹⁸, we wondered what purpose two acidic repeats might serve in the human WRN-RPA interaction. In order to define the core binding sequence and investigate the binding properties, we tested the binding of various WRN constructs to RPA70N and RPA70A with nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and fluorescence polarization anisotropy (FPA) assays.

It has been reported that FANCJ co-localizes with RPA in nuclear foci that contain BRCA1 after DNA damage¹¹. It was also revealed that interaction with RPA restores the unwinding activity of FANCJ, which can be blocked by TTAGGG repeat binding factors in the forked duplex telomeric substrate²⁰. These results show that the FANCJ-RPA interaction plays an essential role in DNA metabolism, including replication and repair. However, the details of the binding properties, such as the binding region of each partner, have not been studied. Interestingly, FANCJ is the only member of the human 2 Fe-S helicase superfamily that physically interacts with RPA70¹¹, and unlike other family members, FANCJ has an unstructured C-terminal region which contains acidic-rich sequences. Two acidic sequences in the C-terminus of FANCJ (residues 1120–1133 and 1201–1213) have similar sequence compositions to known RPA70N interactors such as p53²¹, ATRIP¹⁷, BLM¹⁸, SV40²², and ETAA1²³. Based on this, we hypothesized that acidic regions of the C-terminus of FANCJ could interact with RPA70N, and we tested this hypothesis with NMR spectroscopy and FPA assays.

In this study, we performed chemical shift perturbation (CSP) analyses of RPA70N and RPA70A using titrations of various constructs from WRN and FANCJ helicases. We mapped the binding interfaces and measured dissociation constants of each binding pair using FPA assays. We found that the acidic WRN peptide binds not only to RPA70N but also, weakly, to RPA70A. Our FPA analysis showed that the two tandem acidic repeats bind to a dual RPA70N-A construct tighter than the single acidic peptide binds to RPA70N alone. We also found that FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ specifically interacts with RPA70N, and that two aromatic residues of the sequence are crucial for the binding. Our analysis provides detailed information on the WRN-RPA and FANCJ-RPA interactions that may inform inhibitory strategies for each helicase.

Results

Chemical shift perturbation analysis of RPA70N upon binding to WRN_{422–484}. Previous studies have shown that two acidic peptide repeats of WRN located in the N-terminus (WRN_{422–484}. Previous studies interact with RPA70_{1–326}^{10,12}. We first performed a series of ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC experiments for the CSP analysis of ¹⁵N-labeled RPA70N with WRN_{422–484} (64 a.a.) to confirm WRN-RPA70N binding. Figure 2A shows the overlaid ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra of ¹⁵N-labeled RPA70N in the absence or presence of increasing molar ratios of WRN_{422–484}. Several peaks gradually shifted upon the addition of WRN_{422–484}. In the graph of the average CSPs ($\Delta \delta_{avg}$) of RPA70N upon binding to WRN_{422–484}, T34, T35, L45, S55, F56, V94, and E120 were perturbed by more than two standard deviations above the average (Fig. 2B). Figure 2C shows those residues in red and residues with $\Delta \delta_{avg}$ greater than one standard deviation above the average in green on the crystal structure of RPA70N (PDB ID: 2B29)²¹. The region is largely overlapped with the basic cleft of RPA70N, which is responsible for the binding of several DNA damage response proteins. Perturbation of the isolated E120 in the flexible C-terminal end is likely due to allosteric effects of peptide binding rather than direct interaction.



Figure 2. (A) Overlaid ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra of ¹⁵N-labeled RPA70N in the absence or presence of increasing molar ratios of WRN₄₂₂₋₄₈₄. (B) Averaged chemical shift perturbations ($\Delta \delta_{avg}$) in RPA70N upon interaction with WRN₄₂₂₋₄₈₄. Residues that have $\Delta \delta_{avg}$ greater than one standard deviation (green bars) or greater than two standard deviations (red bars) are indicated. The average CSP (black), one standard deviation over the average (green), and two standard deviations over the average (orange) are shown as dotted lines. (C) Residues significantly shifted by WRN₄₂₂₋₄₈₄ are mapped onto the crystal structure of RPA70N (PDB ID: 2B29). Residues perturbed by more than one standard deviation are colored in green and those perturbed by more than two standard deviations are colored in red.

Binding affinity of WRN peptides for RPA70N and RPA70A. Our CSP analysis showed that WRN₄₂₂₋₄₈₄, a region containing two acidic peptide repeats, interacts with RPA70N. In order to define the core region of WRN for RPA binding and examine whether RPA70A also binds to WRN, we performed FPA assays of three FITC-tagged peptides—WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀, WRN₄₂₆₋₄₃₆, and WRN₄₄₁₋₄₅₀—upon addition of increasing concentrations of RPA70N or RPA70A (Fig. 3A). We obtained the K_d value for each peptide upon titration with RPA70N (Fig. 3B) or RPA70A (Fig. 3C). Table 1 shows the K_d values of all the samples we tested. All three peptides bound to RPA70N with K_d values in the micromolar range, while they showed weaker interactions with RPA70A than RPA70N. WRN₄₄₁₋₄₅₀, which solely contains acidic residues, showed the lowest K_d (29.3 \pm 1.2 μ M) for RPA70N.

Interestingly, the lowest K_d for RPA70A (65.2 ± 8.1 µM) was observed with WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀. This is 1.5-fold weaker than its binding to RPA70N. Our results showed that a single acidic repeat (WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀) could bind to both RPA70N and RPA70A with different affinities. This raises the possibility that the first acidic repeat binds to RPA70N and the other repeat binds to RPA70A or *vice versa*. FPA assays of FITC-labeled WRN₄₃₅₋₄₇₆ upon addition of increasing concentrations of RPA70N-(GGGGS)₂-RPA70A (RPA70N-A) protein was performed to confirm this possibility (Fig. 3D). The K_d value of this case was estimated as $14.9 \pm 5.8 \mu$ M (Table 1). This result showed that the two acidic repeats (WRN₄₃₅₋₄₇₆) could bind to RPA70N-A 2-fold stronger than WRN₄₄₁₋₄₅₀ – RPA70N binding.

Mapping of the WRN_{435–450} **peptide binding surface on RPA70N and RPA70A.** Our FPA assays showed that WRN_{435–450} could interact with both RPA70N and RPA70A. In order to map WRN binding surfaces on RPA70N and RPA70A, we performed ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC titrations with the WRN_{435–450} peptide. The final pH of the WRN_{435–450}:RPA samples at a 2:1 molar ratio was 7.14 because of residual TFA. However, we confirmed that the chemical shifts of the backbone amide protons of RPA70N are almost the same as those at pH 7.4 (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Supplementary Fig. S2 shows the overlaid ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra of RPA70N and ¹H-¹⁵N cross-peaks of S55, M57, R92, and E120 of RPA70N upon titration with WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀. Our results showed S55, M57, N85, R92, and E120 were perturbed by more than two standard deviations above the average (see Supplementary Fig. S2). T34, T35, and L45, which were significantly perturbed by WRN₄₂₂₋₄₈₄, did not have a significant shift change. Even though the absolute magnitudes of $\Delta \delta_{avg}$ were reduced compared to WRN₄₂₂₋₄₈₄ titration, WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀ still specifically interacted with the basic cleft region of RPA70N.

In the case of RPA70A, $\Delta \delta_{avg}$ values were small, and the specifically perturbed residues (W212, N214, G219, K220, and E240) were largely overlapped with the ssDNA binding region¹⁴ (see Supplementary Fig. S2). Also, the binding surfaces were similar to the Rad51-RPA70A interaction²⁴.

Chemical shift perturbation analysis of RPA70N upon binding to FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₂₁₁. In order to examine our hypothesis that the C-terminal region of FANCJ could specifically engage RPA, we monitored CSPs of the backbone amide peaks of RPA70N in ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra upon addition of FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₂₁₁. As shown in Fig. 4A,B, FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₂₁₁ mainly perturbed residues in the basic cleft region of RPA70N. R43, S55, T60, Y118, and



В





Figure 3. (A) Sequences of WRN₄₂₂₋₄₈₄ polypeptide and WRN peptides (WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀, WRN₄₂₆₋₄₃₆, WRN₄₄₁₋₄₅₀, and WRN₄₃₅₋₄₇₆) for FPA assays and NMR experiments. (B) FPA of WRN peptides upon addition of RPA70N. FPA curves for the WRN peptides are in black (WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀), blue (WRN₄₂₆₋₄₃₆), and red (WRN₄₄₁₋₄₅₀). (C) FPA of FITC-labeled WRN peptides upon titration with RPA70A. The color scheme is the same as in panel B. (D) FPA curve for WRN₄₃₅₋₄₇₆.

	K _d (μM)	
	RPA70N	RPA70A
WRN ₄₃₅₋₄₅₀	41.4±3.3	65.2 ± 8.1
WRN ₄₂₆₋₄₃₆	63.1 ± 5.4	95.8 ± 8.4
WRN ₄₄₁₋₄₅₀	29.3 ± 1.2	86.9 ± 17.7
	RPA70N-A	
WRN ₄₃₅₋₄₇₆	14.9 ± 5.8	

Table 1. K_d values of FITC-labeled WRN peptides interacting with RPA70N or RPA70A.

E120 were significantly changed more than two standard deviations above the average. As in the WRN titration, CSPs of the C-terminal residues (Y118 and E120) are likely due to allosteric changes in the structure. The amplitudes of the $\Delta\delta_{avg}$ values were smaller upon addition of $FANCJ_{1120-1211}$ than of $WRN_{422-484}$, similar to the effects of the BLM peptides¹⁸. Figure 4C shows the location of FANCJ interacting residues on the structure of RPA70N (PDB ID: 2B29). Once again, they were clustered within the basic cleft of RPA70N.

Δ



Figure 4. (A) Overlaid ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra of ¹⁵N-labeled RPA70N in the absence or presence of increasing molar ratios of FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₂₁₁. (B) Averaged chemical shift perturbations ($\Delta \delta_{avg}$) in RPA70N upon interaction with FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₂₁₁. (C) Residues significantly shifted by FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₂₁₁ are mapped onto the crystal structure of RPA70N (PDB ID: 2B29). Interpretation of the color scheme and dashed lines are as described in Fig. 2.

	K _d (μM)	
	RPA70N	RPA70A
FANCJ ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃	40.2 ± 1.8	175.8 ± 4.7
FANCJ ₁₂₀₁₋₁₂₁₃	107.4 ± 4.3	318.8 ± 28.9

Table 2. K_d values of FITC-labeled FANCJ peptides interacting with RPA70N or RPA70A.

Binding affinity of FANCJ peptides for RPA70N and RPA70A. We found that the C-terminal region of FANCJ (FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₂₁₁) specifically interacts with RPA70N. In order to define the core peptide region for the RPA70 binding, we performed FPA assays of two FITC-labeled peptides, FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ and FANCJ₁₂₀₁₋₁₂₁₃, with RPA70N and RPA70A. Supplementary Fig. S3 shows anisotropy changes of FITC-labeled FANCJ peptides with RPA70N. The K_d of the RPA70N-FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ complex was determined to be $40.2 \pm 1.8 \,\mu$ M, and the K_d of the RPA70N-FANCJ₁₂₀₁₋₁₂₁₃ complex was estimated as $107.4 \pm 4.3 \,\mu$ M (Table 2). This data shows that FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ has a higher binding affinity for RPA70N than FANCJ₁₂₀₁₋₁₂₁₃. Both peptides showed weaker binding to RPA70A than to RPA70N (see Supplementary Fig. S3). FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ and FANCJ₁₂₀₁₋₁₂₁₃ bind to RPA70A ~4.4-fold and ~2.9-fold weaker than to RPA70N, respectively (Table 2). Our results suggest that the FANCJ-RPA interaction is mainly achieved via FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ and RPA70N.

Mapping of the FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ **peptide binding surface on RPA70N.** In order to map the FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋ 1133 binding surface on RPA70N, we performed a CSP analysis. Figure 5A shows the overlaid ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra of RPA70N upon titration with $FANCJ_{1120-1133}$ and Fig. 5B shows $^{1}H^{-15}N$ cross-peaks of the most perturbed residues. Figure 5C shows the $\Delta \delta_{avg}$ of RPA70N upon FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ binding. The magnitudes of $\Delta \delta_{avg}$ were comparable to the values observed by the binding of the longer construct, FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₂₁₁. This implies that regions other than FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ do not contribute much to RPA70N binding. T35, Y42, R43, S55, V93, and E120 showed significant perturbations and mostly overlapped with the FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₂₁₁ binding surface in the basic cleft of RPA70N (Fig. 5D). This data supports that FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ is the main RPA70N binding region, consistent with our FPA data. Figure 5E shows the $\Delta \delta_{avg}$ of RPA70A upon titration with the same peptide. The small $\Delta \delta_{avg}$ values are consistent with the relatively large K_d value (175.8 ± 4.7 μ M) determined by our FPA assay. Residues with relatively large changes are in locations similar to as those involved in WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀ binding (Fig. 5E,F).

We also performed a titration of FANCJ₁₂₀₁₋₁₂₁₃ into samples of RPA70N and RPA70A. Neither RPA domain showed significant chemical shift changes (data not shown). This is consistent with the conclusion that $FANCJ_{1120-}$ 1133 is the main interaction partner of RPA70N. In contrast to the two acidic repeats of WRN, both of which can interact with both RPA70N and RPA70A, FANCJ₁₂₀₁₋₁₂₁₃, the second acidic region, did not contribute to RPA70 interaction.

Y1131 and F1132 of FANCJ are critical residues for RPA70N binding. Previous experiments with an ATRIP-based unnatural peptide (DFTADDLEEWFAL) showed that the aromatic residues in the C-terminus of the peptide improved its binding affinity for RPA70N²⁵. FANCJ possesses two aromatic residues, Y1131 and F1132, at the end of the first acidic repeat. In order to investigate the effects of these aromatic residues on RPA70N



Figure 5. (A) Overlaid ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra of ¹⁵N-labeled RPA70N in the absence or presence of increasing molar ratios of FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃. (B) ¹H-¹⁵N cross-peaks of T35, Y42, R43, S55, V93, and E120 of RPA70N upon titration with FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃. Chemical shift perturbations in (C) RPA70N and (E) RPA70A upon interaction with FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃. Residues significantly shifted by FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ are mapped onto the crystal structure of (D) RPA70N (PDB ID: 2B29) and (F) RPA70A (PDB ID: 1JMC). Interpretation of the color scheme and dashed lines are as described in Fig. 2.

binding by FANCJ, we prepared point mutants, Y1131A and F1132A, of FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ (Fig. 6A). In an FPA competition assay with FITC-labeled FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ and RPA70N, the fluorescence signal was not changed with increasing amounts of Y1131A and F1132A (Fig. 6B). This data showed that neither mutant could compete against the wild-type sequence for binding to RPA70N. We also performed ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC experiments on ¹⁵N-labeled RPA70N titrated with both mutants. Figure 6C,D show the $\Delta \delta_{avg}$ of RPA70N upon binding to Y1131A and F1132A, respectively. Strikingly, almost no significant chemical shift changes were observed, not only in the basic cleft region, but across the entire protein. Our FPA competition assay and CSP analysis show that both mutants have much lower affinities for RPA70N compared to the wild-type peptide. This suggests that both aromatic residues, Y1131 and F1132, at the C-terminal end of FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ are crucial for RPA70N binding.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated RPA's interactions with peptides from human helicases, WRN and FANCJ, to identify core sequences for RPA binding. Our CSP analysis showed that WRN₄₂₂₋₄₈₄, which contains two full acidic repeats, specifically interacts with RPA70N *via* the basic cleft region. We also monitored significant CSPs in the basic cleft region of RPA70N upon binding to WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀, which contains only one acidic repeat. Even though the binding affinity of WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀ for RPA70N is weaker than previously reported cases of BLM peptides¹⁸, WRN has two tandem RPA binding sites that can compensate for the lower affinity. At the same time, WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀ binds to RPA70A with a K_d of $65.2 \pm 8.1 \,\mu$ M, as determined by FPA assay. This suggests that WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀ could interact with both RPA70N and RPA70A, which are connected with a flexible linker. Thus, the proximity of the two binding sites (WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀ and WRN₄₆₁₋₄₇₆) could enhance the overall binding affinity compared to that of the individual sequences. The low K_d value ($14.9 \pm 5.8 \,\mu$ M) of WRN₄₃₅₋₄₇₆ with RPA70N-A measured in this study strongly supports this hypothesis. Our data suggest that the WRN-RPA interaction is a multivalent binding, where RPA70N serves as the primary binding site with higher affinity and RPA70A is the secondary binding site. This is consistent with previous research showing several RPA binding partners interacting through multiple contact points, with one contact *via* RPA70N or RPA32C, and a secondary weaker contact within the RPA70AB domain²⁶.



Figure 6. (A) Sequences of FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ and mutated FANCJ peptides (Y1131A and F1132A) for FPA assays and NMR experiments. (B) Competitive inhibition of FITC-FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ binding to RPA70N by mutated FANCJ peptides (Y1131A, circles with a black line; F1132A, squares with a red line). CSPs in RPA70N upon interaction with (C) Y1131A and (D) F1132A. Interpretation of the color scheme and dashed lines are as described in Fig. 2.

Regarding RPA70A's binding affinity for WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀, it is higher than for Rad51, but both of them have much lower affinity compared to the ssDNA-RPA70A complex²⁶. This implies that the interaction may not occur with ssDNA-bound RPA. However, more investigations are necessary to reveal the complex interactions between WRN, RPA, and DNA substrates.

Even though the physical and functional interaction between FANCJ and RPA was reported¹¹, their binding surfaces were not revealed yet. We hypothesized that the acidic-rich sequence in the unstructured C-terminal region of FANCJ could be a candidate for RPA70N binding based on the unique RPA binding property of FANCJ among superfamily of 2 Fe-S helicases and the sequence conservation^{16,18,25}. Our NMR and FPA results clearly showed that one of the candidates, FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃, could specifically interact with RPA70N *via* the basic cleft region. The binding had a dissociation constant of about 40 μ M, which is similar to the WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀-RPA70N interaction. This result suggests that FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ binds to RPA70N stronger than RAD9, MRE11, and p53, but weaker than BLM and ATRIP¹⁶. We also found that two aromatic residues, Y1131 and F1132, in the C-terminus of FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ are critical for RPA70N binding. This suggests that the FANCJ-RPA interaction is not only electrostatic but also hydrophobically tuned, consistent with the results for FANCJ₁₂₀₁₋₁₂₁₃, which does not have aromatic residues at the C-terminal end and has a very low affinity for RPA70N.

It has recently been recognized that weak and transient protein-protein interactions, with a K_d in the micromolar to millimolar range, are important for the cell's signaling, regulatory, and stress response mechanisms²⁷⁻²⁹. Accordingly, RPA-mediated protein-protein interactions should not be too strong or persistent, because RPA must interact with the appropriate partner depending on the cellular conditions, such as DNA damage response or repair processes. In this context, the modest binding affinities of RPA-WRN and RPA-FANCJ could be physiologically relevant. Supplementary Fig. S4 shows the sequence comparison of the RPA70N binding regions of BLM, ETAA1, ATRIP, WRN, and FANCJ. The acidic-rich region in the middle combined with the distribution of hydrophobic residues is known to be crucial for RPA binding. Both $FANCJ_{1120-1132}$ and $WRN_{437-449}$ share these common features. We also compared the binding surfaces on RPA70N for each acidic peptide from WRN, FANCJ, and BLM¹⁸ (see Supplementary Fig. S4). While residues near S55 participate in the binding of all three proteins, the T60 site does not appear to make contact with the WRN and FANCJ peptides. Thus, the BLM peptides make contact over a relatively wider area. This could be related to the fact that BLM peptides have lower K_d values. We also performed docking simulations for WRN₄₄₁₋₄₅₀–RPA70N and FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃-RPA70N on the CABS-Dock Webserver³⁰ (see Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). Supplementary Fig. S4 shows the representative model of each complex. Both peptides are located in the basic cleft region between two loops.

While WRN binds to RPA in its N-terminal region, RPA binding region of FANCJ (FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃) is located at the C-terminal region of the protein. A previous report showed that the spatial position of RPA70N is important for optimal stimulation of WRN's helicase activity from the 3' to 5' direction³¹. The relative orientations of the helicases to RPA may depend on the location of the binding regions. We hypothesize that the opposite directionality of FANCJ's helicase activity compared to BLM and WRN may be related to RPA's binding in its C-terminus.

In summary, we investigated whether acidic peptides of WRN and FANCJ bind to RPA70N or RPA70A through NMR spectroscopy and FPA assays. Peptides of both proteins bound to RPA70N with K_{ds} in the micromolar range, and we identified FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ as a novel RPA70N binding site. Tandem acidic repeats of WRN mediate multi-domain binding. Our study provides valuable information on RPA's interactions with WRN and FANCJ helicases, which may be useful for developing therapeutic strategies for cancer treatment.

Methods

Sample preparation. We used five fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled peptides (WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀, WRN₄₂₆₋₄₃₆, WRN₄₄₁₋₄₅₀, FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃, and FANCJ₁₂₀₁₋₁₂₁₃). All were purchased from AnyGen (Gwangju, Korea). These peptides were purified using HPLC with acetonitrile containing 0.05% TFA (trifluoroacetic acid) to 95% purity. Three unlabeled peptides (WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀, FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃, and FANCJ₁₂₀₁₋₁₂₁₃) were used for NMR experiments. These were also purchased from AnyGen and purified with the same method.

RPA70N and RPA70A and a tandem construct comprising RPA70N followed by RPA70A with $(GGGGS)_2$ linker were subcloned into a pET15b vector and transfected into BL21 (DE3) cells. The proteins were overexpressed and purified as described previously^{16,32}. ¹⁵N-labeled proteins were obtained by growing cells in M9 media containing ¹⁵NH₄Cl and unlabeled D-glucose. FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₂₁₁, WRN₄₂₂₋₄₈₄, and WRN₄₃₅₋₄₇₆ were subcloned into a pET His6 TEV LIC cloning vector (2B-T) (a gift from Scott Gradia, Addgene plasmid #29666) and then transfected into BL21 (DE3) and Rosetta (DE3) cells, respectively. The cells were grown at 37 °C to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5–0.6, at which time IPTG was added to a final concentration of 1.0 mM. Cells were incubated for an additional 20 h at 18 °C. His-tagged WRN and FANCJ proteins were purified using a Ni-NTA column (Elpis Biotech, Korea) and eluted with elution buffer (50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 300 mM NaCl, 300 mM imidazole). All proteins were loaded onto a Superdex 75-pg FPLC column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DTT for further purification.

NMR experiments. ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC experiments were performed using a Bruker 900 MHz NMR spectrometer equipped with a cryogenic probe (KBSI, Ochang). We used Bruker basic pulse sequence 'hsqctf3gpsi2'. The detailed parameters are as follows: Size of Free Induction Decay (TD), 2k (¹H dimension)/192 (¹⁵N dimension); Size of real spectrum (SI), 2k/1k. ¹⁵N-labeled RPA70N and RPA70A were dissolved in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DTT at 0.3 mM. For WRN and FANCJ titrations, WRN₄₂₂₋₄₈₄ and FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₂₁₁ were added at molar ratios of 0, 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0, and WRN₄₃₅₋₄₅₀, FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃, and FANCJ₁₂₀₁₋₁₂₁₃ were added at molar ratios of 0, 0.1, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, and 2.0. All experiments were performed at 298 K. Published amide chemical shifts of RPA70N and RPA70A were used to analyze CSP^{33,34}. Topspin was used to process the NMR spectra, and data analyses were performed with Sparky³⁵. The average chemical shift changes ($\Delta \delta_{avg}$) were calculated according to equation [1],

$$\Delta \delta_{avg} = \sqrt{(\Delta \delta_H)^2 + (\Delta \delta_N / 5.88)^2} \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta \delta_{\rm H}$ and $\Delta \delta_{\rm N}$ are the amide proton and nitrogen resonance chemical shift changes, respectively. Residues with changes greater than one or two standard deviations from the average CSP were considered to be significantly perturbed.

Fluorescence polarization anisotropy experiments. A FITC label with a 6-aminohexanoic acid spacer at the N-terminus of WRN and FANCJ peptides was used for the FPA assays. The fact that FITC does not have a substantial effect on the interaction between RPA70 and the peptides was confirmed by an experiment comparing the affinity of FITC-labeled and unlabeled ATRIP peptide, which is known to bind with RPA70N¹⁶. Because several acidic peptides for RPA binding have been tested with FITC labeling^{16,18,25}, we used the same fluorescent probe for our assays.

Increasing concentrations $(0-300 \,\mu\text{M})$ of RPA70N (or RPA70A) in a total 50 μ L of assay buffer (20 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, pH 7.4) and 50 nM FITC-labeled peptides were mixed in Corning 96-well black plates (polystyrene, non-treated, flat bottom) and equilibrated for \geq 1 h at 25 °C. NHS-Fluorescein (Thermo Fisher, USA) conjugated isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled protein (WRN₄₃₅₋₄₇₆) and increasing concentrations of RPA70N-A (0–200 μ M) were prepared in the same manner. Samples were excited at a wavelength of 485 nm and emission was detected at a wavelength of 528 nm using Cytation5 (BioTek) and Gene5 software (GIST, Gwangju). The emission polarization anisotropy was calculated as described in a previous report¹⁶. Data analyses were

performed using GraphPad Prism version 7.01 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA, www.graphpad.com). At increasing concentrations of RPA70N or RPA70A, anisotropy curves were plotted. All experiments were repeated three times, and each dissociation constant (K_d) and its standard error was calculated from the 'one-site specific' fitting model.

To compare the binding affinity of RPA70N and FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ with that of the FANCJ mutants (Y1131A and F1132A), an FPA competition assay was performed. To 6μ M RPA70N and 500 nM FITC-FANCJ₁₁₂₀₋₁₁₃₃ in 200 μ M assay buffer, 0–500 μ M Y1131A or F1132A were added and equilibrated as described above. The 'log[Inhibitor] *vs.* response – variable slope (four parameters)' method of the GraphPad software was applied to the plot. The equation used for the fitting is as follows:

$$Y = Bottom + \frac{Top - Bottom}{1 + 10^{(LogIC_{50} - X) \times HillSlope}}$$

Top and Bottom values are plateaus in the units of the Y axis. IC_{50} is the inhibitor concentration that generates a response half way between Bottom and Top. HillSlope represents the steepness of the curve.

However, the fitting was not possible because unlabeled mutant peptides failed to compete with the labeled one (wild-type).

References

- 1. Brosh, R. M. DNA helicases involved in DNA repair and their roles in cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 13, 542-558 (2013).
- 2. Multani, A. S. & Chang, S. WRN at telomeres: implications for aging and cancer. J. Cell Sci. 120, 713–721 (2007).
- Kennedy, R. D. & D'Andrea, A. D. The Fanconi Anemia/BRCA pathway: New faces in the crowd. *Genes Dev.* 19, 2925–2940 (2005).
 De Nicolo, A. *et al.* A novel breast cancer-associated BRIP1 (FANCJ/BACH1) germ-line mutation impairs protein stability and
- function. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 14, 4672–4680 (2008).
 Rebbeck, T. R. *et al.* Modification of BRCA1-Associated Breast and Ovarian Cancer Risk by BRCA1 Interacting Genes. *Cancer Res.* 71, 5792–5805 (2012).
- Aggarwal, M., Sommers, J. A., Shoemaker, R. H. & Brosh, R. M. Inhibition of helicase activity by a small molecule impairs Werner syndrome helicase (WRN) function in the cellular response to DNA damage or replication stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 108, 1525–1530 (2011).
- 7. Gupta, R. & Brosh, R. M. Helicases as prospective targets for anti-cancer therapy. Anticancer. Agents Med. Chem. 8, 390-401 (2008).
- 8. Gray, M. D. et al. The Werner syndrome protein is a DNA helicase. Nat. Genet. 17, 100 (1997).
- 9. Huang, S. *et al.* The premature ageing syndrome protein, WRN, is a $3' \rightarrow 5'$ exonuclease [3]. *Nat. Genet.* **20**, 114–116 (1998).
- Doherty, K. M. et al. Physical and functional mapping of the replication protein A interaction domain of the Werner and Bloom syndrome helicases. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 29494–29505 (2005).
- Gupta, R. et al. FANCJ (BACH1) helicase forms DNA damage inducible foci with replication protein A and interacts physically and functionally with the single-stranded DNA-binding protein. Blood 110, 2390–2398 (2007).
- Shen, J. C., Lao, Y., Kamath-Loeb, A., Wold, M. S. & Loeb, L. A. The N-terminal domain of the large subunit of human replication protein A binds to Werner syndrome protein and stimulates helicase activity. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* 124, 921–930 (2003).
- Gomes, X. V. & Wold, M. S. Functional Domains of the 70-Kilodalton Subunit of Human Replication Protein A. *Biochemistry* 35, 10558–10568 (1996).
- Bochkarev, A., Pfuetzner, R. A., Edwards, A. M. & Frappier, L. Structure of the single-stranded-DNA-binding domain of replication protein A bound to DNA. *Nature* 385, 176–181 (1997).
- 15. Gavande, N. S. et al. DNA repair targeted therapy: The past or future of cancer treatment? Pharmacol. Ther. 160, 65-83 (2016).
- Souza-Fagundes, E. M. et al. A high-throughput fluorescence polarization anisotropy assay for the 70N domain of replication protein A. Anal. Biochem. 421, 742–749 (2012).
- 17. Xu, X. *et al.* The basic cleft of RPA70N binds multiple checkpoint proteins, including RAD9, to regulate ATR signaling. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 28, 7345–7353 (2008).
- Kang, D. et al. Interaction of replication protein A with two acidic peptides from human Bloom syndrome protein. FEBS Lett. 592, 547–558 (2018).
- 19. Lee, M. et al. Multiple RPAs make WRN syndrome protein a superhelicase. Nucleic Acids Res. 46, 4689-4698 (2018).
- Sommers, J. A. et al. Novel function of the fanconi anemia group J or RECQ1 helicase to disrupt protein-DNA complexes in a replication protein A-stimulated manner. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 19928–19941 (2014).
- Bochkareva, E. et al. Single-stranded DNA mimicry in the p53 transactivation domain interaction with replication protein A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 102, 15412–15417 (2005).
- 22. Ning, B. *et al.* Simian virus Large T antigen interacts with the N-terminal domain of the 70 kD subunit of Replication Protein A in the same mode as multiple DNA damage response factors. *PLoS One* **10**, e0116093–e0116093 (2015).
- 23. Bass, T. E. et al. ETAA1 acts at stalled replication forks to maintain genome integrity. Nat. Cell Biol. 18, 1185-1195 (2016).
- Stauffer, M. E. & Chazin, W. J. Physical Interaction between Replication Protein A and Rad51 Promotes Exchange on Singlestranded DNA. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 25638–25645 (2004).
- Frank, A. O. et al. Discovery of a potent stapled helix peptide that binds to the 70N domain of replication protein A. J. Med. Chem. 57, 2455–2461 (2014).
- Sugitani, N. & Chazin, W. J. Characteristics and concepts of dynamic hub proteins in DNA processing machinery from studies of RPA. Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 117, 206–211 (2015).
- Chien, P. & Gierasch, L. M. Challenges and dreams: physics of weak interactions essential to life. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 25, 3474–3477 (2014).
- Rabouille, C. & Alberti, S. Cell adaptation upon stress: the emerging role of membrane-less compartments. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 47, 34–42 (2017).
- Sukenik, S., Ren, P. & Gruebele, M. Weak protein–protein interactions in live cells are quantified by cell-volume modulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 26, 2776–6781 (2017).
- Kurcinski, M., Jamroz, M., Blaszczyk, M., Kolinski, A. & Kmiecik, S. CABS-dock web server for the flexible docking of peptides to proteins without prior knowledge of the binding site. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, W419–W424 (2015).
- Tammaro, M., Liao, S., McCane, J. & Yan, H. The N-terminus of RPA large subunit and its spatial position are important for the 5'-3' resection of DNA double-strand breaks. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, 8790–8800 (2015).
- 32. Lee, J. H., Park, C. J., Arunkumar, A. I., Chazin, W. J. & Choi, B. S. NMR study on the interaction between RPA and DNA decamer containing cis-syn cyclobutane pyrimidine dimer in the presence of XPA: Implication for damage verification and strand-specific dual incision in nucleotide excision repair. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31, 4747–4754 (2003).
- Lee, S. & Park, C.-J. Backbone assignment of the N-terminal domain of human replication protein A 70 kDa. J. Korean Magn. Reson. Soc. 20, 138–142 (2016).

- 34. Bhattacharya, S. *et al.* 1H, 13C and 15N assignments of single-stranded DNA binding domains from the 70 kDa subunit of human replication protein A. *J. Biomol. NMR* **82**, 195–196 (2004).
- Lee, W., Tonelli, M. & Markley, J. L. Structural bioinformatics NMRFAM-SPARKY: enhanced software for biomolecular NMR spectroscopy. 31, 1325–1327 (2015).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea (grant 2018R1A2B6004388 to C.-J.P), which is funded by the Korean government (MSIT); by a GIST Research Institute grant, funded by GIST in 2019; and by the Korea Basic Science Institute under the R&D program (Project No. D39700) supervised by the Ministry of Science and ICT, Korea. We thank Mr. Sungjin Lee and the high-field NMR facility at the Korean Basic Science Institute (KBSI, Ochang) for performing the NMR experiments. We also thank Prof. Min-Gon Kim for providing access to the Cytation 5 instrument and Dr. Melissa Stauffer of Scientific Editing Solutions for editing the manuscript.

Author Contributions

G.Y., J.K. and C.-J.P. designed the study. G.Y. and J.K. prepared samples. G.Y., J.K. and C.-J.P. planned and performed experiments. G.Y., J.K., and C.-J.P. analyzed the data. G.Y., J.K., and C.-J. P. wrote the paper.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50502-8.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019