SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

Received: 4 September 2018 Accepted: 29 August 2019 Published online: 17 September 2019

OPEN TBK1 Limits mTORC1 by Promoting **Phosphorylation of Raptor Ser877**

Ricardo J. Antonia 1,7, Johnny Castillo¹, Laura E. Herring 2, D. Stephen Serafin^{1,3}, Pengda Liu^{1,4}, Lee M. Graves², Albert S. Baldwin¹ & Robert S. Hagan ^{5,6}

While best known for its role in the innate immune system, the TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) is now known to play a role in modulating cellular growth and autophagy. One of the major ways that TBK1 accomplishes this task is by modulating the mechanistic Target of Rapamycin (mTOR), a master regulator that when activated promotes cell growth and inhibits autophagy. However, whether TBK1 promotes or inhibits mTOR activity is highly cell type and context dependent. To further understand the mechanism whereby TBK1 regulates mTOR, we tested the hypothesis that TBK1 phosphorylates a key component of the mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1), Raptor. Using kinase assays coupled with mass spectrometry, we mapped the position of the TBK1 dependent phosphorylation sites on Raptor in vitro. Among the sites identified in vitro, we found that TBK1 promotes Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation in cells both basally and in response to pathogen-associated molecules known to induce TBK1 activity. The levels of Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation were inversely correlated with the levels of mTOR activity. Expression of a mutant Raptor that could not be phosphorylated at Ser877 led to an increase in mTORC1 activity. We conclude that TBK1 limits mTORC1 activity by promoting Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation.

TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) is an IKK-related kinase that is known for its role in the innate immune system¹. In response to pathogens and pathogen-associated molecules, TBK1 is recruited to the Interferon Regulatory Factor 3 (IRF3) transcription factor by adaptor molecules including TANK, STING, and TRIF. TBK1 then phosphorylates IRF3 at multiple serines including Ser396, which promotes its DNA binding and transcription activation potential. IRF3, in turn, promotes transcription of type I interferons and other host proteins to promote pathogen clearance¹. Beyond its role in innate immunity, TBK1 is known to be necessary for the pathology of diseases including cancer^{2,3} and metabolic syndromes such as obesity and diabetes⁴.

The importance of TBK1 in these diseases may be due in part to its ability regulate the mechanistic Target of Rapamycin (mTOR)^{5,6}, a kinase known as a master regulator of cell growth^{7,8}. When mTOR is active, it promotes cell growth primarily by stimulating protein synthesis and inhibiting autophagy, but also regulates a myriad of cell processes related to cell growth and metabolism⁷. mTOR is found in two distinct complexes, mTORC1 and mTORC2. In addition to mTOR, mTORC1 contains regulatory proteins Raptor, MLST8, PRAS40, and DEPTOR7. Raptor (regulatory associated protein of mTOR) promotes mTORC1 kinase activity and substrate specificity by binding to TOS-motif containing proteins and directing them towards the active site of the mTOR kinase domain for phosphorylation⁹. The canonical substrates for mTORC1 include several proteins that regulate protein translation (e.g. pS70 S6K and 4EBP-1) and autophagy (e.g. Ulk1). mTORC2 on the other hand primarily phosphorylates Akt, PKC, and SGK1¹⁰.

While mTORC1 signaling is most well-characterized in response to changes in growth factors and nutrients, it is now appreciated that many different signaling pathways, including those that activate TBK1, converge on mTOR. However, the mechanism and consequences of TBK1-mediated mTOR regulation remain incompletely understood and are likely to be highly context-dependent. Several publications indicate that TBK1 may

¹Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. ²UNC Proteomics Core Facility, Department of Pharmacology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, USA. ³Department of Cell Biology and Physiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, USA. ⁴Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, USA. ⁵Division of Pulmonary Diseases and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599, USA. ⁶Marsico Lung Institute, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599, USA. ⁷Present address: The Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, The University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.S.B. (email: albert_baldwin@med.unc.edu) or R.S.H. (email: robhagan@email.unc.edu)

repress mTOR activity, while others indicate that TBK1 may activate mTOR. For example, overexpression of wild-type but not kinase-dead TBK1 was sufficient to block mTORC1 phosphorylation of p70S6K in prostate cancer cells and TBK1-mediated suppression of mTOR was linked to prostate cancer dormancy⁶. In a model of chronic immune activation induced by knockout of the Three-prime repair exonuclease 1 (Trex1), TBK1 was linked to suppression of mTORC1 activity¹¹. TBK1 is also known to promote autophagy, a process that is strongly opposed by mTORC1¹². In contrast, several publications indicated that TBK1 promotes activation of the upstream mTORC1 activator, Akt¹³ and one recent report demonstrated that TBK1 increases mTORC1 activity through direct phosphorylation of mTOR itself⁵.

When a large panel of lung cancer cell lines was analyzed for their sensitivity to TBK1 inhibition, the authors observed a wide range of sensitivity of the cell lines to TBK1 inhibitors, with some cell lines being very resistant to TBK1 inhibition and others being highly sensitive to TBK1 inhibition³. Interestingly, in cell lines that were sensitive to TBK1 inhibitor resistant cell lines. This observation indicates that TBK1 activity has the opposite effect on mTOR activity in different cell lines. This contradiction, where some studies suggest that TBK1 activates mTORC1 while and others suggest that TBK1 inhibits mTORC1, indicates that the role of TBK1 in mTORC1 regulation is complex and context-dependent.

To further understand the relationship between TBK1 and mTOR signaling we tested whether TBK1 phosphorylates a critical component of the mTORC1 complex, Raptor. Raptor is regulated by phosphorylation on multiple sites by a diverse set of signaling cascades and kinases, and this allows for fine-tuning of mTORC1 signaling^{14,15}. Using a proteomics approach, we found that TBK1 regulates Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation, both in cell-free kinase assays and in intact cells. TBK1 regulated Raptor Ser877 both basally in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) and in response to stimuli known to activate TBK1. Recombinant TBK1 is sufficient for multisite phosphorylation of purified Raptor *in vitro*, and genetic deletion, siRNA depletion, and chemical inhibition reveal that TBK1 is necessary for Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation in cells. While phosphorylation of Raptor Ser877 has been documented¹⁶, the function of this phosphorylation and the upstream signals that regulate this site remained poorly characterized. The data presented herein indicates that Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation limits mTORC1 signaling and this may represent a mechanism whereby TBK1 limits mTORC1 activity.

Results and Discussion

TBK1 phosphorylates Raptor in cell-free kinase assays. While the direct phosphorylation and activation of mTOR by TBK1 has been proposed⁵, other reports have described the negative regulation of mTORC1 by TBK1 without a clear mechanism⁶. Several reports have indicated that TBK1 physically interacts with multiple components of mTORC1^{3,6}, indicating that TBK1 kinase activity might regulate a component of the mTORC1 complex in cells. We hypothesized that TBK1 suppresses mTORC1 by direct phosphorylation of a component of the mTORC1 complex.

To test this hypothesis, we immunoprecipitated myc-mTOR from HEK293T cells under conditions that preserve mTORC1 and mTORC2 components and combined the resulting complex with recombinant TBK1 in the presence of radiolabeled ATP. The addition of TBK1 to the reaction did not increase the levels of ³²P-γ-ATP incorporated into mTOR itself (Fig. 1a). However, recombinant TBK1 promoted phosphorylation of an approximately 150 kDa protein when incubated with mTOR immunoprecipitates (Fig. 1a, red arrow). This activity was not blocked by the addition of either of the mTOR inhibitors rapamycin or pp242, further suggesting that it was not due to mTOR kinase activity (Fig. 1a). Since mTOR was precipitated under conditions permissive for mTORC1 and mTORC2^{17,18} formation, we speculated that TBK1 phosphorylates an mTOR complex subunit in this size range. Two proteins, Raptor (149 kDa) and Rictor (192 kDa) were strong candidates as both proteins are known to be tightly and constitutively associated with mTOR. We immunoprecipitated tagged Raptor or Rictor from HEK293Ts and found that recombinant TBK1 showed activity towards Raptor but not towards Rictor (Fig. 1b).

Others have reported that TBK1 and IKK ε can phosphorylate mTOR at Ser 2159 to promote its kinase activity⁵. That work screened a panel of recombinant kinases *in vitro* against an immobilized 32aa fragment of mTOR (aa2114-2175) fused to GST; Raptor was absent in this schema, and when TBK1 was tested against immunoprecipitated mTOR complexes, phosphorylation was measured with an antibody specific for phospho-Ser2159 mTOR. The presence of Raptor in our cell-free reactions may explain why we observed that recombinant TBK1 preferentially phosphorylates Raptor over mTOR in this context, as it may have served as a preferential substrate for TBK1.

To determine which sites on Raptor were phosphorylated in cell-free kinase assays, we performed a reaction as in Fig. 1a, except that unlabeled ATP was used in the reaction. Three reactions were performed: (1) HA-Raptor (2) HA-Raptor +ATP or (3) HA-Raptor +ATP and +TBK1. Each reaction was separated using SDS-PAGE, stained with Coomassie and the band corresponding to Raptor was excised, trypsin digested, enriched for phosphopep-tides and then analyzed by liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). The peptides identified from the second reaction are presumed to be from another kinase that could be co-purified from cells with HA-Raptor, such as mTOR. In this way, we could tell which sites were phosphorylated specifically due to TBK1 activity and not a contaminating kinase that might co-purify with HA-Raptor. The phosphopeptides enriched in the third reaction were presumed to be due to TBK1 activity. In total, we identified five phosphopeptides that were enriched in the samples incubated with TBK1. The phosphorylation sites corresponded to Ser44, Ser122, Ser836, Ser877 and Ser982 (Table 1 and Fig. 1c). Three of the six phosphorylation sites had either leucine or isoleucine at the +1 position relative to the phosphorylation site, which matches the preferred substrate motif for TBK1^{19,20} (Fig. 1d). While TBK1 substrate motifs have been described, a significant portion of verified TBK1 substrates appear to lack this motif and are regulated by colocalization of substrate and kinase^{1,19,21,22}. It may



Figure 1. Identification of TBK1 dependent Raptor phosphorylation sites phosphorylation *in vitro*. (a) MycmTOR was immunoprecipitated from HEK293T cells under conditions that preserve mTORC1/2 complexes and subjected to *in vitro* kinase assay containing ³²P- γ -ATP with or without recombinant TBK1. mTORC1 activity was assessed by phosphorylation of 4EBP1. Indicated samples were pre-incubated for 30 minutes with the mTOR inhibitors rapamycin or pp242 (each 100 nM). Reactions were resolved with SDS-PAGE and autoradiograpy. The black arrows indicate which bands correspond to myc-mTOR and which correspond to TBK1. The red arrow indicates a putative TBK1 substrate. (b) As in A except that either HA-Raptor or HA-Rictor were immunoprecipitated and incubated with recombinant GST-TBK1. The black arrows indicate which bands correspond to which proteins (N.S = non-specific). (c) Domain structure of Raptor showing the positions of the phosphorylation sites identified by mass spectrometry. (d) Alignment of the primary amino sequence of the phosphorylation sites identified by mass spectrometry with the preferred TBK1 substrate consensus sequence. Residues that match the sequence are highlighted in yellow.

therefore be that the TBK1-dependent phosphorylation sites that match the motif are regulated by increases in TBK1 activity, whereas the others may be regulated by changes in TBK1 binding to Raptor.

TBK1 promotes Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation in cells and in cell-free kinase assays. We next examined which of these candidate phosphorylation sites identified in cell-free kinase assays were dependent on TBK1 activity in intact cells. HA-Raptor was immunoprecipitated from HEK 293 T cells either unstimulated, stimulated with a known inducer of TBK1, phorbol myristoyl acetate (PMA)²³, or a combination of PMA in conjunction with the TBK1 inhibitor AZ-5E²⁴. As in Fig. 1, we excised the band that corresponded to Raptor and performed mass spectrometry. We identified five sites that were induced by PMA and blocked by AZ-5E (Table 1): Ser877, Ser863, Ser 859, Ser696, and T706, corresponding to both of the multisite phosphorylation

							Cell-Free normalized abundance			HEK293T normalized abundance		
Peptide Sequence	Phospho Site (s)	m/z [Da]	Charge	ΔM [ppm]	Localization probability	Mascot score	Control	(+ATP)	(+ATP +TBK1)	Control	РМА	PMA + AZ-5E
[39].IEGSKpSLAQSWR.[50]	S44*	721.346	2	0.93	100%	37	0.3	1.2	1.5			
[119].YKQpSLDPTVDEVK.[131]	S122*	801.377	2	0.57	100%	38	0.0	0.0	1.0			
[359].SYNCTPVpSpSPR.[369]	\$366/\$367*	674.274	2	0.05	50%	30	0.6	2.0	0.4	0.8	0.0	1.2
[691].NYALPpSPATTEGGSLTPVR.[709]	S696	1006.474	2	2.22	100%	56	1.7	1.0	0.3	0.0	1.7	0.3
[691].NYALPSPATTEGGSLpTPVR.[709]	T706	1005.981	2	1.32	100%	75	0.7	2.0	0.4	0.7	2.0	0.3
[719].SVSpSYGNIR.[727]	S722	531.735	2	0.6	100%	39	0.3	2.1	0.6	1.5	0.0	0.5
[833].VLNpSIAYK.[840]	S836*	494.249	2	0.06	100%	34	0.0	0.0	1.0			
[850].VLDTSSLTQpSAPApSPTNK.[867]	S859, S863	988.936	2	2.42	100%, 100%	88	0.9	1.3	0.9	1.1	1.6	0.3
[850].VLDTSSLTQSAPApSPTNK.[867]	S863	948.952	2	1.82	100%	104	0.9	1.6	0.5	1.1	1.4	0.5
[868].GVHIHQAGGpSPPASSTSSSSLTNDVAK.[894]	S877	891.415	3	0.66	100%	101	0.7	1.8	0.4	0.5	1.5	0.0
[868].GVHIHQAGGpSPPASSTSSpSSLTNDVAK.[894]	S877, S886	918.072	3	1.92	100%, 100%	77	0.0	0.5	2.4			
[974].IPEEHDLEpSQIR.[986]	S982	773.351	2	1.36	100%	42	0.0	0.0	1.0			
[1197].MALpSECR.[1203]	\$1200*	473.679	2	0.07	100%	46	0.8	1.9	0.3			

Table 1. Phosphorylation Sites Identified Using Mass Spectrometry. Raptor phosphorylation sites detected in cell-free and HEK293T cells. Mass spectrometry (MS) data are derived from the highest scoring phosphopeptide across the samples. For confident phosphorylation site localization within a peptide, a probability (0–100%) is calculated based on the detected product ions as determined by the phosphoRS algorithm⁴¹. Mean-normalized quantitative data (peak areas) were calculated for each phosphopeptide in either cell-free or HEK293T experiments (values in bold indicate an increase upon TBK1 inclusion in cell free assays or depleted upon AZ-5E treatment in cells). An asterisk (*) denotes a phosphorylation sites not previously listed in the PhosphoSite database.

clusters previously identified¹⁴. Only Ser877 was identified as TBK1 dependent in both cell-free kinase assays and in cells (Fig. 2a and Table 1). While we were unable to identify any of the other phosphorylation sites as TBK1 dependent in cell-free assays, these sites might be present in other cells or tissues or may be induced by different stimuli. Raptor Ser877 and the surrounding sequence share similarity with several known TBK1 target sites including IRF3 Ser398, E2F1 Ser332 and Akt Ser 473 (Fig. 2b), indicating that the amino acid sequence surrounding Raptor Ser877 is a strong candidate for TBK1 phosphorylation.

Of note, in cell-free kinase assays Raptor peptides with monophosphorylated Ser877 were detected in reactions that contained ATP but lacked recombinant TBK1 (Table 1). This may occur by several mechanisms. First, endogenous TBK1 may promote basal phosphorylation at this site prior to any stimulus, as we observe later in Fig. 2c,e. Second, given that several groups have found that Raptor can co-precipitate TBK1 in cells^{3,6}, it is possible that endogenous TBK1 is present in the kinase reaction. Third, it is also possible that another kinase present in the reaction, such as mTOR, was responsible for the phosphorylation of Raptor Ser877. One study indicates that Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation was decreased in response to the mTOR inhibitors Torin 1 and rapamycin, and another demonstrated that Rheb overexpression could lead to increases in Raptor S877 phosphorylation, each consistent with the view that mTOR itself phosphorylates Ser877^{25,26}. However, another study indicated that Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation was insensitive to Rapamycin or mTOR activity induced by insulin¹⁴. In the context of TBK1, we have found situations where inhibition of TBK1 led to an increase in mTOR activity but a decrease in the levels of Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation (see Figs 3a and 4b). This indicates that TBK1 does not increase Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation by increasing mTOR activity.

To validate the mass spectrometry findings, we used a phosphospecific antibody that specifically recognizes phosphorylated Raptor Ser877. In A549 cells, a 1 hour treatment with AZ-5E led to a decrease in Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation (Fig. 2c). Overexpression of wildtype (wt) TBK1 in HEK293T cells induced Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation (Fig. 2d), but overexpression of kinase-dead (kd) TBK1 K38A did not, suggesting that the kinase activity of TBK1 is required for Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation in this context (Fig. 2d). Notably, the signal from the antibody was highly specific to Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation as mutating Ser877 to Ala completely erased the signal (Fig. 2d).

In cell-free kinase assays, TBK1 strongly promoted the abundance of a doubly phosphorylated Ser877/Ser886 peptide, while in PMA-stimulated cells we observed primarily the AZ-5E sensitive accumulation of monophosphorylated Ser877.

Since TBK1 is known to be activated by pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPS) such as LPS¹, we hypothesized that treatment of cells with these agonists would induce Raptor Ser877 in a TBK1-dependent manner. We treated wildtype or TBK1 knockout MEFs (TBK1 -/-) with LPS for 1 hour after 1 hour of serum starvation. Under these conditions, TBK1 KO MEFs exhibited decreased basal and LPS-induced Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation as compared to wildtype (Fig. 2e). Interestingly, TBK1 -/- MEFs had greater levels of p70S6K Thr389 phosphorylation, both at baseline and in response to LPS. We focused on Thr389 p70S6K phosphorylation because it is a well-characterized and direct phosphorylation target of mTORC1, but it remains possible that TBK1 differentially regulates the various targets of mTORC1.





Figure 2. TBK1 promotes Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation in cells. (a) MS/MS spectra of the phosphopeptide containing phospho-Raptor Ser877 that was found to be less abundant in cells treated with the TBK1 inhibitor AZ-5E. (b) Alignment of Raptor Ser877 with known TBK1 target phosphorylation sites. The amino acids in red indicate amino acids that are conserved relative to optimized TBK1 motifs. (c) A549 cells were serum starved for 1 hour and then were treated with the AZ-5E (5μ M) for 1 hour. Whole cell lysates were prepared and analyzed by western blotting with the indicated antibodies. Bar graph depicts ratio of phosphorylated:total Raptor, n = 4 independent replicates. (d) Either GST-wt or GST-kd TBK1 was overexpressed in HEK293T cells along with either HA-wt-Raptor or HA-S877A-Raptor. HA-Raptor was then immunoprecipitated and analyzed by western blot using either the phospho-Raptor Ser877 antibody or an antibody that recognizes the HA-tag. (e) Serum starved wild type or TBK1 knockout mouse embryonic fibroblasts were either untreated or treated with LPS for 1 hour. Whole cell lysates were prepared and then analyzed by western blotting with the indicated and then analyzed by western blotting with the indicated and then analyzed by western blotting with the indicated and then analyzed by western blotting with the indicated and then analyzed by western blotting with the indicated and then analyzed by western blotting with the indicated antibodies. Bar graph depicts ratio of phosphorylated:total Raptor, n = 3 independent replicates.

The finding that TBK1 limits mTORC1 activity induced by LPS contrasts with prior reports that TBK1 is required for mTORC1-mediated p7086K Thr389 phosphorylation in response to LPS⁵. This difference may result from a difference in the serum starvation protocol used. Whereas the previous studies removed the serum overnight, we serum starvation can induce many



Figure 3. Location of TBK1 phosphorylation targets within the Raptor/mTORC1 structure. Cryo-EM structure derived from Yang *et al.*³⁴ depicting mTORC1 complex with the position of TBK1-dependent Raptor phosphorylation sites. mTOR (green), mLST8 (purple), and Raptor (red) are depicted. The loop containing Raptor Ser877 is between the blue (T809-V814) and light green (P878-S882) strands of the distal WD40 domain. Raptor Ser696 and S704 on the adjacent armadillo domain are also depicted.

.....

changes in cells grown in culture. For instance, serum starvation induces degradation of the Hippo pathway components YAP/TAZ²⁷ and these proteins are known to modulate TBK1/IKK€-meditated activation of IRF3 in response to cytosolic DNA²⁸. Moreover, YAP/TAZ can regulate mTOR activity by promoting the transcription of SLC38A1²⁹. Another important consequence of serum starvation is cell-cycle arrest. Both TBK1 activity and Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation are known to be regulated by the cell cycle^{30–32}, and overnight serum starvation induces cell cycle arrest.

We also observed that total Raptor protein levels were increased in TBK1 -/- MEFS that were stimulated with LPS (Fig. 2e). This suggests that TBK1, possibly through Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation, may negatively regulate Raptor protein abundance in certain contexts.

Location of TBK1 phosphorylation targets within the Raptor/mTORC1 structure. To gain insight into the function of Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation, we located the position of Raptor Ser877 and other putative TBK1 targets within the larger architecture of the mTORC1 complex. Several structural studies have shown that Raptor adopts a Z-shaped conformation within mTORC1, with the Caspase-like Raptor N-terminal Conserved (RNC) domain packing against the mTOR kinase domain and promoting mTOR dimerization³³. The RNC domain contains the TOS-binding domain that directs TOS-motif-containing substrates to the mTOR active site³³⁻³⁶. Moving towards the C-terminus of Raptor, an extended armadillo repeat domain extends away from the central mTOR dimer toroid and ends at a WD40 β -propeller. The structure of Yang et al.³⁴ reveals that a disordered region (815–877) bounded by two β strands (809–814 and 878–882) defines the polyphosphorylated region containing Raptor Ser877 as well as other observed phosphorylation sites at Ser863 at Ser859 (Fig. 3). While Ser877 cannot be visualized directly, the adjacent residue (Pro878) is ordered in the structure and implies that Ser877 and the adjacent polyphosphorylated loop point away from the center of the WD40 propeller towards the armadillo domain. Likewise, Raptor Ser696 and Ser704, which we observed to be sensitive to the TBK1 inhibitor AZ-5E in cells (Table 1), are positioned on the surface of the armadillo domain pointing up towards the space bounded by the WD40 propeller and the edge of the mTOR dimer. Raptor Ser877, Ser696, and Ser704 are all located at the end of Raptor distal to the RNC, TOS-binding site, and mTOR active site, suggesting they are unlikely to contribute to substrate selectivity or mTOR:Raptor association (Fig. 3).

Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation suppresses mTORC1 activity. To examine the function of Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation, mTORC1 complexes were immunoprecipitated using Raptor S877A or WT Raptor. mTORC1 complexes containing Raptor S877A had greater activity towards a well-established mTORC1 substrate, 4EBP1, in cell free kinase assays than wild type Raptor (Fig. 4a).

Since S877A Raptor was associated with greater levels of mTORC1 activity in cell-free assays, we hypothesized that S877 phosphorylation would limit mTORC1 activity in cells. Since the effect of TBK1 on mTORC1 signaling is apparently context-dependent, we first wished to identify growth conditions which TBK1 inhibited mTORC1³. HCT116 cells grown in full media transfected with siRNA targeting TBK1 led to an increase in the levels of phospho-p70S6K Thr389 and a decrease in the levels of Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation (Fig. 4b).

To determine whether Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation promotes or inhibits mTORC1 in HCT116 cells, we transiently expressed in CRISPR-edited Raptor knockout HCT116 cells either wildtype Raptor or mutant Raptor S877A. Expression of Raptor Ser877A promoted higher levels of p70S6K Thr389 phosphorylation relative to wildtype Raptor (Fig. 4b), confirming that Ser877 phosphorylation inhibits mTORC1 signaling.

Active mTORC1 is known to increase cell size via both activation of p70S6K and inhibition of 4EBP1³⁷. We used cell size as marker of mTORC1 function to test the relative activity of WT and nonphosphorylatable S877A Raptor. Both wildtype and Raptor knockout HCT116 cells were transfected with either empty vector, WT Raptor,



Figure 4. Expression of Raptor S877A is associated with greater levels of mTORC1 activity. Expression of Raptor S877A increases p70S6K phosphorylation. (a) HEK293T cells were transfected with either HA-tagged wt-Raptor or Raptor S877A. Raptor was then immunoprecipitated and a kinase assay was performed using His-tagged 4EBP1 as a substrate. This activity was blocked by the ATP competitive mTOR inhibitor PP242 as described in Fig. 1a. The italicized numbers beneath the autoradiograph indicate the relative level of phosphorylated 4EBP1 normalized to the total 4EBP1. (b) HCT116 cells were transfected with either siRNA either targeting a non-coding region (siN.C.) or TBK1 (siTBK1) for 48 hrs. Whole cell lysates were then prepared and analyzed with the indicated antibodies. Bar graph depicts ratios of phosphorylated:total P70S6k and phosphorylated: total Raptor, n = 3 independent replicates. (c) HCT116 Raptor -/- cells were transiently transfected with either empty vector (EV), wild type (wt) or S877A HA-Raptor. 48 hours after transfection whole cell lysates were prepared and analyzed by western blot. ratio of phosphorylated:total P70S6K, n = 3independent replicates. (d) WT HCT116 or HCT116 Raptor knockout cells were transfected with plasmids encoding GFP and either empty vector control, wild type Raptor, or S877A Raptor for 72 hours. A subset of samples were treated for 48 hours with 100 nM rapamycin starting 24 hours after transfection. Cell size was determined by flow cytometry of forward scatter area (FSC-A) gated on live (low side scatter), GFP + singlet cells. 1000–20,000 GFP + cells per sample were analyzed. Bar graph depicts 3 independent experimental replicates and is representative of 4 independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined by ordinary one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons with Tukey's test. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p<0.0001.

or Raptor S877A. All samples were cotransfected with GFP as a marker of transfection. When cell size was measured by flow cytometry, Raptor knockout HCT116 cells are clearly smaller than WT HCT 116 cells (Fig. 4d). WT Raptor increases cells size in both WT and Raptor null cells, though this effect is significant only in Raptor null cells, where WT Raptor effectively complements the knockout, returning those cells to the same size as WT cells transfected with EV plasmid (Fig. 4d). In Raptor null cells, Raptor S877A increases cells size to a greater extent than WT Raptor, signifying greater mTORC1 activity (Fig. 4d). Raptor S877A also increases the size of WT cells



Figure 5. Model demonstrating the mechanisms of TBK1 mediated mTOR regulation.

expressing endogenous Raptor, though this effect is less pronounced than in Raptor null cells. Finally, rapamycin treatment starting 24 hours after transfection completely blocks the effect of Raptor S877A (Fig. 4d), validating that the cell size effect of Raptor S877A is via mTOR activation (Fig. 4d).

Only one study has examined the phenotype of a Raptor Ser877 mutant³⁸. In that study, expression of a phosphomimetic mutant of Raptor (Ser877D) did not affect the ability of Raptor to bind the Ragulator complex proteins. However, phosphomimetic S-E or S-D mutations only partly approximate serine phosphorylation, as they have only half of the negative charge of a true phosphoserine. It remains possible that phosphorylation of Raptor Ser877 disrupts binding with Ragulator or other proteins that regulate mTOR activity or substrate preference. Based on of the position of Raptor Ser877 within the mTORC1 structure, we hypothesize that this phosphorylation site is not involved in mTOR complex formation or in Raptor's ability to promote mTOR substrate recognition. Phosphorylation of Ser877 may alter the conformation of Raptor, promote its interaction with other negative regulators of mTORC1 or alter the stability of Raptor protein to limit mTORC1 signaling.

Here, we present a novel mechanism whereby TBK1 can suppress mTORC1 signaling by promoting phosphorylation of Raptor Ser877. An important future direction will be to determine how TBK1 might switch between alternate modes of promoting Akt/mTOR activation and repressing mTORC1 activity by Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation (see model Fig. 5). One possibility is that TBK1 regulates mTORC1 in an alternating or sequentially ordered process in which TBK1 promotes mTOR activity by direct phosphorylation in response to a stimulus and at later time points promotes Raptor Ser877 phosphorylation as a self-limiting feedback mechanism. This will have implications for the implementation of TBK1 inhibitors in disease models. It will also be important to determine the biological relevance of TBK1-mediated mTORC1 repression by Ser877 phosphorylation in *in vivo* models.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines, plasmids, recombinant proteins. All cells were maintained in DMEM (4.5 g/L glucose) supplemented with 10% FBS and Penicillin/Streptomycin (Gibco). For serum starvation, cells were grown in serum-free media for 1 hour before the experiment. HEK293T and HCT116 cells were obtained from the UNC Tissue culture core facility. The wt and TBK -/- MEFs were as described previously²⁴. pRK5-HA-Raptor and pRK5-myc-Rictor were obtained from Addgene (Plasmid #8513 and #1860). Genewiz performed the site directed mutagenesis of pRK5-HA-Raptor to generate an expression plasmid for Raptor S877A. The GST-Raptor 308–1019 was a kind gift from Dr. Pengda Liu (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill). For immunoprecipitation experiments, HA-tag or Myc-tag antibody-conjugated agarose beads were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. The phospho Raptor Ser877 antibody (09–107) was from Millipore, and all of the other antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology. The HCT116 CRISPR-edited Raptor knockout cells were a kind gift from Dr. Wenyi Wei (Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School). Recombinant TBK1 was purchased from Life/Invitrogen and SignalChem.

Stimulation with immune modulators. MEFs were serum starved for 1 hour prior to stimulation with 10ug/mL of LPS. LPS was purchased from Invivogen (tlrl-b5lps).

siRNA Knockdowns. siRNA targeting TBK1 was purchased from Dharmacon (Thermo Scientific). HCT116 cells were transfected using Dharmafect Reagent 1 (Thermo Scientific) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Western blot analysis. Whole cell lysates were prepared by washing cells with phosphate buffered saline and then lysed in Lysis Buffer (40 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 2 mM EDTA, 10 mM pyrophosphate, 10 mM glycerolphosphate, 0.3% CHAPs, 1x complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Roche) and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail 3 (Sigma Aldrich)) for 10 minutes on ice. The proteins were then separated using SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and incubated with primary antibodies over night (see above). The signal from each primary antibody was measured the following day using horseradish peroxidase conjugated secondary antibodies (Promega) and visualized using Bio-Rad ChemiDoc western blot imager. The images obtained represent the maximum exposure time before the chemiluminescence signal was saturated. Where applicable, densitometry analysis was performed using ImageJ³⁹.

Kinase assay. HEK23T cells were transfected with Myc-mTOR, HA-Raptor or HA-Rictor using X-Treme gene transfection reagent (Roche). Two days after transfection, cells were lysed and incubated with the HA-antibody or Myc-antibody conjugated agarose beads for 1 hour. The beads were then washed three times with Lysis Buffer (without phosphatase inhibitors) and then in kinase assay buffer (1 mM beta-glycerolphosphate, 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 12 mM MgCl₂ and 100μ M ATP). The radiolabeled ATP was from Perkin Elmer and the unlabeled ATP was from Sigma Aldrich. The beads were then incubated with the TBK1, ATP or the appropriate negative control at 30 degrees for 30 minutes. The reaction was stopped using SDS-PAGE Laemmli buffer. His-tagged recombinant 4EBP1 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Mass spectrometry. The mass spectrometry experiments were carried out at the UNC Proteomics Core Facility. Bands corresponding to Raptor were in-gel digested with trypsin overnight. Extracted peptides were enriched for phosphopeptides using TiO_2 . The TiO_2 elution for each sample was analyzed by LC/MS/MS on a ThermoScientific Q-Exactive HF mass spectrometer. Samples were fractionated by C18 (Thermo PepMap RSLC) over a 45 min gradient from 5–35%B, where mobile phase A = 0.1% formic acid and mobile phase B = acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid (ThermoScientific Easy nLC 1000). The top 15 most intense ions were chosen for HCD fragmentation. Data were searched against a reviewed Human UniProt database using Mascot. The parameters used were: 10 ppm precursor ion mass tolerance, 0.02 Da product ion mass tolerance, up to two missed trypsin cleavage sites, carbamidomethylation of Cys was set as a fixed modification and oxidation of M, deamidation of N, Q, and phospho of S, T, Y were set as variable modifications. A peptide false discovery rate of 5% was used to filter all results.

Structural modeling. The structural model of the mTORC1 phosphorylation sites was constructed with UCSF Chimera using PDB coordinates 5H64^{34,40}.

Determination of cell size by flow cytometry. WT HCT116 and Raptor knockout HCT116 cells (1×105) were seeded in 24 well plates overnight prior to transfection with pEGFP (200 ng) and 500 ng of either empty vector (pCDNA3), WT Raptor, or Raptor S877A. After 24 hours, 100 nM rapamycin or vehicle was added to samples for 48 hours. At 72 hours, cells were washed, trypsinized, and collected for flow cytometry. Dead cells were gated away by high side scatter (SSC-A) and two singlet gates were used to exclude doublets and larger clumps. Transfected cells were gated by GFP signal and cell size was determined by sample median FSC-A. Identical results are seen with FSC-W. Data is indicative of 4 independent experiments.

Data Availability

The proteomics data will be made available through the ProteomeXchange consortium using the PRoteomics IDEntifications (PRIDE) database.

References

- Helgason, E., Phung, Q. T. & Dueber, E. C. Recent insights into the complexity of Tank-binding kinase 1 signaling networks: the emerging role of cellular localization in the activation and substrate specificity of TBK1. FEBS Lett. 587, 1230–1237 (2013).
- 2. Barbie, D. A. *et al.* Systematic RNA interference reveals that oncogenic KRAS-driven cancers require TBK1. *Nature* **462**, 108–112 (2009).
- Cooper, J. M. et al. TBK1 Provides Context-Selective Support of the Activated AKT/mTOR Pathway in Lung Cancer. Cancer Res. 77, 5077–5094 (2017).
- 4. Zhao, P. et al. TBK1 at the Crossroads of Inflammation and Energy Homeostasis in Adipose. Tissue. Cell 172, 731-743.e12 (2018).
- Bodur, C. et al. The IKK-related kinase TBK1 activates mTORC1 directly in response to growth factors and innate immune agonists. EMBO J. e201696164, https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201696164 (2017).
- 6. Kim, J. K. et al. TBK1 regulates prostate cancer dormancy through mTOR inhibition. Neoplasia 15, 1064–1074 (2013).
- 7. Saxton, R. A. & Sabatini, D. M. mTOR Signaling in Growth, Metabolism, and Disease. Cell 168, 960–976 (2017).
- 8. González, A. & Hall, M. N. Nutrient sensing and TOR signaling in yeast and mammals. *EMBO J.* **36**, 397–408 (2017).
- 9. Schalm, S. S. & Blenis, J. Identification of a conserved motif required for mTOR signaling. Curr. Biol. 12, 632–639 (2002).
- Linke, M., Fritsch, S. D., Sukhbaatar, N., Hengstschläger, M. & Weichhart, T. mTORC1 and mTORC2 as regulators of cell metabolism in immunity. FEBS Lett. 591, 3089–3103 (2017).
- Hasan, M. et al. Chronic innate immune activation of TBK1 suppresses mTORC1 activity and dysregulates cellular metabolism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 114, 746–751 (2017).
- 12. Pilli, M. *et al.* TBK-1 promotes autophagy-mediated antimicrobial defense by controlling autophagosome maturation. *Immunity* **37**, 223–234 (2012).
- 13. Ou, Y.-H. *et al.* TBK1 directly engages Akt/PKB survival signaling to support oncogenic transformation. *Mol. Cell* **41**, 458–470 (2011).
- 14. Foster, K. G. et al. Regulation of mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) by raptor Ser863 and multisite phosphorylation. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 80–94 (2010).
- Wang, L., Lawrence, J. C., Sturgill, T. W. & Harris, T. E. Mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) activity is associated with phosphorylation of raptor by mTOR. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 14693–14697 (2009).
- Hornbeck, P. V., Chabra, I., Kornhauser, J. M., Skrzypek, E. & Zhang, B. PhosphoSite: A bioinformatics resource dedicated to physiological protein phosphorylation. *Proteomics* 4, 1551–1561 (2004).
- 17. Kim, D.-H. *et al.* mTOR interacts with raptor to form a nutrient-sensitive complex that signals to the cell growth machinery. *Cell* **110**, 163–175 (2002).
- Sarbassov, D. D. et al. Rictor, a novel binding partner of mTOR, defines a rapamycin-insensitive and raptor-independent pathway that regulates the cytoskeleton. Curr. Biol. 14, 1296–1302 (2004).
- Hutti, J. E. *et al.* Development of a high-throughput assay for identifying inhibitors of TBK1 and IKKε. *PLoS ONE* 7, e41494 (2012).
 Soulat, D. *et al.* The DEAD-box helicase DDX3X is a critical component of the TANK-binding kinase 1-dependent innate immune
- response. *EMBO J.* 27, 2135–2146 (2008).
 21. Liu, Y., Fang, S., Sun, Q. & Liu, B. Anthelmintic drug ivermectin inhibits angiogenesis, growth and survival of glioblastoma through inducing mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 480, 415–421 (2016).

- Richter, B. et al. Phosphorylation of OPTN by TBK1 enhances its binding to Ub chains and promotes selective autophagy of damaged mitochondria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 113, 4039–4044 (2016).
- 23. Tojima, Y. et al. NAK is an IkappaB kinase-activating kinase. Nature 404, 778-782 (2000).
- Hsia, H.-C., Hutti, J. E. & Baldwin, A. S. Cytosolic DNA Promotes Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 (STAT3) Phosphorylation by TANK-binding Kinase 1 (TBK1) to Restrain STAT3 Activity. J. Biol. Chem. 292, 5405–5417 (2017).
- Rapley, J., Oshiro, N., Ortiz-Vega, S. & Avruch, J. The mechanism of insulin-stimulated 4E-BP protein binding to mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) complex 1 and its contribution to mTOR complex 1 signaling. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 38043–38053 (2011).
- Langlais, P., Yi, Z. & Mandarino, L. J. The identification of raptor as a substrate for p44/42 MAPK. *Endocrinology* 152, 1264–1273 (2011).
- 27. Plouffe, S. W. et al. Characterization of Hippo Pathway Components by Gene Inactivation. Mol. Cell 64, 993–1008 (2016).
- Zhang, Q. *et al.* Hippo signalling governs cytosolic nucleic acid sensing through YAP/TAZ-mediated TBK1 blockade. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 19, 362–374 (2017).
- 29. Liu, P. et al. Central role of mTORC1 downstream of YAP/TAZ in hepatoblastoma development. Oncotarget 8, 73433-73447 (2017).
- 30. Gwinn, D. M., Asara, J. M. & Shaw, R. J. Raptor is phosphorylated by cdc2 during mitosis. *PLoS ONE* 5, e9197 (2010).
- Pillai, S. et al. Tank binding kinase 1 is a centrosome-associated kinase necessary for microtubule dynamics and mitosis. Nat Commun 6, 10072 (2015).
- 32. Kim, J.-Y. et al. Dissection of TBK1 signaling via phosphoproteomics in lung cancer cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110, 12414-12419 (2013).
- 33. Aylett, C. H. S. et al. Architecture of human mTOR complex 1. Science 351, 48-52 (2016).
- 34. Yang, H. et al. 4.4 Å Resolution Cryo-EM structure of human mTOR Complex 1. Protein Cell 7, 878-887 (2016).
- 35. Baretić, D., Berndt, A., Ohashi, Y., Johnson, C. M. & Williams, R. L. Tor forms a dimer through an N-terminal helical solenoid with a complex topology. *Nat Commun* 7, 11016 (2016).
- Yip, C. K., Murata, K., Walz, T., Sabatini, D. M. & Kang, S. A. Structure of the human mTOR complex I and its implications for rapamycin inhibition. *Mol. Cell* 38, 768–774 (2010).
- Fingar, D. C., Salama, S., Tsou, C., Harlow, E. & Blenis, J. Mammalian cell size is controlled by mTOR and its downstream targets S6K1 and 4EBP1/eIF4E. Genes Dev. 16, 1472–1487 (2002).
- 38. Yuan, H.-X. et al. NLK phosphorylates Raptor to mediate stress-induced mTORC1 inhibition. Genes Dev. 29, 2362-2376 (2015).
- 39. Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S. & Eliceiri, K. W. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat. Methods 9, 671-675 (2012).
- 40. Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera-a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem* 25, 1605–1612 (2004).
- 41. Taus, T. et al. Universal and confident phosphorylation site localization using phosphoRS. J. Proteome Res. 10, 5354–5362 (2011).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the members of the Baldwin lab for their thoughtful discussions throughout this project. Funding for this project was provided by predoctoral fellowships to R.J.A. from the National Institutes of Health (T32CA071341-17) and the American Heart Association; a National Institute of Health/National Cancer Institute Grant to A.S.B. (R35CA197684) and funding from the Waxman Cancer Foundation to A.S.B. and a National Institute of Health/National Heart Lung and Blood Institute grant to RSH (5K12HL11998) and National Institute of Health/National Heart Lung and Blood Institute grants to RSH (5K12HL11998 and 1K08HL143271-01A1). This research is based in part upon work conducted using the UNC Proteomics Core Facility, which is supported in part by the P30 CA016086 Cancer Center Core Support Grant to the UNC Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center.

Author Contributions

We have listed each author's contributions according to the CRediT taxonomy as follows. Conceptualization: R.J.A., R.S.H. Formal analysis: R.J.A., L.E.H., R.S.H. Funding acquisition: A.S.B., R.S.H. Investigation: R.J.A., R.S.H., J.C., D.S.S., L.E.H. Methodology: R.J.A., R.S.H., L.E.H., L.M.G., P.L. Project administration: R.J.A. Resources: R.S.H., A.S.B. L.E.H., L.M.G. Supervision: A.S.B., R.S.H. Validation: J.C., D.S.S. Visualization: R.J.A., R.S.H., L.E.H. Writing – original draft: R.J.A. Writing – review & editing: L.E.H., L.M.G., A.S.B., R.S.H. All authors have reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019