SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 3 August 2018 Accepted: 20 November 2018 Published online: 15 January 2019

OPEN Crossing exceptional points without phase transition

Qi Zhong & Ramy El-Ganainy

We show that the theoretical framework linking exceptional points (EPs) to phase transitions in paritytime (PT) symmetric Hamiltonians is incomplete. Particularly, we demonstrate that the application of the squaring operator to a Jx PT lattice dramatically alter the topology of its Riemann surface, eventually resulting in a system that can cross an EP without undergoing a symmetry breaking. We elucidate on these rather surprising results by invoking the notion of phase diagrams in higher dimensional parameter space. Within this perspective, the canonical PT symmetry breaking paradigm arises only along certainprojections of the Riemann surface in the parameter space.

Exceptional points (EPs) are peculiar singularities associated with multivalued complex function¹. They also arise as special degeneracies in the spectra of parity-time- (PT-) symmetric (and in general non-Hermitian) Hamiltonians at which the eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenvectors become identical^{2,3}, thus signaling a collapse of the eigenspace dimensionality, which in turn gives rise to a host of intriguing effects in the vicinity of these singularities^{4,5}. One particular characteristic feature that has been studied thoroughly in literature is phase transitions across EPs in PT-symmetric arrangements⁶. When the symmetry of the eigenvectors are studied as a function of one parameter of the PT Hamiltonian, one finds that on one side of the EP, the eigenvectors respect PT symmetry (i.e. they commute with the PT-symmetric operators) whereas on the other side of the EP, they violate the PT symmetry (in fact applying PT operator to one eigenvector yields another eigenvector). This phase transition between the PT phase and broken PT (BPT) phase, which is also known as PT spontaneous symmetry breaking, is accompanied by complex eigenvalue bifurcation. This behavior has been experimentally demonstrated in various physical platforms in optics⁷⁻¹⁰, electronics¹¹ and acoustics¹². For more information, see refs^{13,14}.

In light of these intense theoretical and experimental activities, it is perhaps not surprising that EPs are always associated PT phase transitions. What is surprising though, is the lack of any rigorous mathematical proof for this statement. In this work, we show that this is not a coincidence, and that this widely accepted picture of PT phase transition is in fact incomplete.

Before we proceed, we briefly review the archetypal discrete PT-symmetric Hamiltonian, which was the subject of detailed investigation in several studies^{7,9,10,15,16}. It consists of two coupled elements, having coupling coefficient κ and balanced gain/loss profile characterized by the non-Hermitian parameter γ . This Hamiltonian respects PT symmetry in the $\kappa - \gamma$ plane. However, as γ is varied from $\gamma < \kappa$ to $\gamma > \kappa$, the associated eigenvectors undergo a spontaneous symmetry breaking from the PT phase to the BPT phase^{13,14}. The transition point separating these two phases ($\gamma = \kappa$) is an EP. This behavior, which we call canonical PT phase transition, has been reported in more complex discrete and continuous systems. This in turn led to the common belief that crossing EPs along straight lines and PT phase transitions are inseparable notions.

In this work, we show that this is not the whole story and that this picture is indeed incomplete. To do so, we use the squaring operator to construct a simple Hamiltonian that violates the canonical PT phase transition in the following sense: as one parameter is varied continuously and monotonically along a straight line, the system crosses an EP without any PT symmetry breaking. As we will shortly see, this is an outcome of the non-trivial topological features incurred on the Riemann surface by the squaring operation. For more detailed discussion on how square and square root operations can give rise to altogether new topological structures, see ref.¹⁷.

To this end, consider the following family of PT Hamiltonians H_M (which can be generated by using the recursive bosonic algebra method¹⁸) whose matrix elements are given by:

$$H_M(n, l) = i2n\gamma\delta_{n,l} + \kappa g_{n+1}\delta_{n,l-1} + \kappa g_n\delta_{n,l+1}, \tag{1}$$

where γ and κ are the gain (or loss) and coupling coefficients, respectively and $g_{\mu} = \sqrt{(N+n)(N-n+1)}$; with $n, l = -N, -N + 1, \dots, N - 1, N$ and M = 2N. Note that the value of N can be integer or half-integer.

Department of Physics and Henes Center for Quantum Phenomena, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI, 49931, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.E.-G. (email: ganainy@mtu.edu)



Figure 1. Illustration of the spectral properties of H_M and $\mathcal{H}_M = H_M^2$, as discussed in details in the text.

The eigenvalues of H_M are given by $\mu_{M,m} = (M - 2m)\sqrt{\kappa^2 - \gamma^2}$ where $m = 0, 1, 2, ..., M^{18}$; and they feature higher order EPs¹⁹⁻²² at $\gamma = \kappa$ with a phase transition across this point from PT phase ($\gamma > \kappa$) to the BPT phase $(\gamma > \kappa)^{18,23}$. The crucial observation here is that the eigenvalues are pure real (imaginary) in the PT (BPT) phase. Let us now consider a new Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_M = H_M^2$. First we note that \mathcal{H}_M respects PT symmetry since $PT\mathcal{H}_M(PT)^{-1} = PT\mathcal{H}_M(PT)^{-1}PT\mathcal{H}_M(PT)^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_M$. Furthermore, The eigenvalues of \mathcal{H} are given by $\lambda = \mu^2$. The eigenspectrum of \mathcal{H}_M is thus composed of degenerate subspaces with positive real eigenvalues when $\gamma < \kappa$ and negative real eigenvalues when $\gamma > \kappa$. A schematic representation of how this degeneracy arise is shown in Fig. 1. Clearly, the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}$ does not undergo complex eigenvalue bifurcation as the parameter γ is swept across a straight line that passes through the point $\gamma = \kappa$. Additionally, an important consequence of the two-fold degeneracy of the spectrum of \mathcal{H}_M is that, it is always possible to construct PT symmetric eigenstates for any γ and κ which is not the case for H_M (see Supplementary Note A for more detailed discussion). It thus appears that the point $\gamma = \kappa$ is not associated with phase transition. Naturally, one would then ask if it is an EP. In order to check this and without in loss of generality, we consider the case where M=3 (the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_3 has dimensions 4×4) and we set $\kappa = 1$. In general the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_3 has four different eigenstates forming two degenerate subspaces. Figure 2(a) depicts the Hermitian angle (Θ) between the two planes consisting of the degenerate eigenvectors (see Supplementary Note B for the definition of Hermitian angle between two planes). One indeed sees that at $\gamma = 1$, the two planes are identical ($\Theta = 0$), which indicates a collapse of the eigenspace dimensionality as would be expected at an EP. Interestingly however, at this point \mathcal{H}_3 exhibit two different eigenvectors whereas H_3 has only one. We elaborate more on this feature in Supplementary Note A. Figure 2(b) and (c) plot the values of $\Lambda = \|(\mathcal{H}_3 - \lambda' I)^{-1}\|$ as a function of the parameter λ' for two different cases when $\gamma = 0.1$ (away from the EP) and $\gamma = 0.9$ (close to the EP). The spread of the high values of Λ in the λ' plane indicates the system sensitivity to perturbations (see Supplementary Note C for detailed definition of pseudospectrum method). As can be inferred from the figure, this is indeed the case in the vicinity of point $\gamma = 1$. Based on the above analysis, we indeed conclude that, as the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_3 is swept across the straight line passing through the point $\gamma = 1$, it crosses an EP without experiencing a phase transition. The above example thus presents a very interesting scenario that demonstrates the possibility of violating the canonical PT symmetry breaking (in Supplementary Note D we show that this example is not unique).

In order to better understand these results within a general framework that encompass all the possible different situations, we invoke the notion of phase diagrams. In statistical mechanics and nonlinear dynamics, phase diagrams are used to classify the system's behavior into different phases as a function of some external parameters. In the context of our discussion of \mathcal{H}_3 , one should in general study the classification of the eigenstates as a function of 32 different parameters (16 complex matrix entries). Fortunately, we can gain an insight into the behavior of \mathcal{H}_3 by considering a low dimensional projection of this higher dimensional parameter space. Here we do so by fixing κ and de-correlate some of the other parameters by allowing $\mathcal{H}_3(1, 1)$ and $\mathcal{H}_3(4, 4)$ to vary as a function of γ_1 while the rest of the matrix elements vary with γ_2 . This choice provides a 2D projection of the phase diagram while at the same time guarantees that \mathcal{H}_3 still respect PT symmetry. Figure 3(a) and (b) depict the Riemann surfaces for the real and imaginary components of the eigenvalues of \mathcal{H}_3 in the $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2$ plane, where one can identify the distinct PT phases. Figure 3(c) plots the phase diagram as extracted from Fig. 3(a). Figure 3(d) presents a more detailed blow up of the area surrounded by the rectangle in (c). Figure 3(d) clearly demonstrates the different phases are separated by curved lines of EPs. As one varies one or more of the system's parameter, the behavior can be very different depending on the trajectory taken in the parameter space. For example, no phase transition is observed if γ_1 is varied while $\gamma_2 = 0$. On the other hand, fixing $\gamma_2 = 1$ and sweeping γ_1 from negative to positive values will lead to a PT-BPT phase transition followed by a BPT-PT transition (blue line). One can also fix $\gamma_2 = \sqrt{100 - 12\sqrt{69}} \approx 0.566$ to a value that guarantees that the line swept by varying γ_1 will just touch one EP without any phase transition (green line touching EP₂). The particular case we discussed earlier for \mathcal{H}_3 corresponds to the line $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ which also touches the boundary at one EP (red line crossing EP₁) without any phase transition. The concept of higher dimensional phase diagram thus provides a unified umbrella to treat all the rather special cases of phase transition, reverse phase transition, EP without phase transition, no EP and no phase



Figure 2. (a) The Hermitian angle Θ between the two planes spanned by the degenrate eigenvectors of that Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_3 as a function of the non-Hermitian parameter γ . At the point $\gamma = 1$, the two planes are parallel, indicating a reduction of the eigenspace dimensionality. (b) and (c) depict the parameter $\Lambda = ||(\mathcal{H}_3 - \lambda' I)^{-1}||$ as a function of the complex parameter λ' . Close to the point $\gamma = 1$, the system exhibits sensitivity to perturbation as indicated by the large values of Λ over a wider area in the λ' plane (see SI for discussion on pseudospectrum). These results confirm that $\gamma = 1$ is indeed an EP of \mathcal{H}_M .



Figure 3. (a) and (b) Riemann surfaces for real and imaginary components of the spectrum of \mathcal{H}_3 are depicted as a function of the two parameters $\gamma_{1,2}$ (see text for details). (c) The phase diagram associated with (a) and (b). (d) Magnified view of the central part of (c). The red line represents the trajectory $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ corresponding to Fig. 2(a) and crosses EP₁ without phase transition. Other trajectories such as that shown by the green line and cross EP₂ can also demonstrate similar behavior. On the other hand, the horizontal trajectory having $\gamma_2 = 1$ (blue line) is associated with phase transition.

transition as well as multiple phase transitions. This generalized perspective is very important to complex non-Hermitian systems and design next generation experiments.

As a side note, we emphasize that the exceptional lines separating the different phases in Fig. 3 curves in the parameter space, which are very different from previous studies that demonstrated exceptional lines in the Fourier space^{24,25}.

In summary, we have revisited the concept of PT phase transition across EPs and demonstrated that, contrary to the common belief, crossing an EP along straight lines (the case of curved trajectories is rather trivial) in the parameter space can take place without PT spontaneous symmetry breaking. We have explained these results by introducing the concept of PT phase diagram and its different projections in the parameter space that characterize a PT symmetric Hamiltonian. Our work provides a new twist and a deeper understanding for the physics of non-Hermitian systems near EPs, with potential implication in various fields such as photonics¹⁴, acoustics^{12,26} and electronics^{11,27,28}. Particularly, the recent important work on driving Floquet PT symmetric systems offer a natural platform for confirming our predictions experimentally²⁹.



Figure 4. Generic phase diagram of the Bose-Hubbard model in a one dimensional lattice as a function of the hopping parameter J and the chemical potential μ_c . A trajectory along the red line can cross the critical point without any transition from the superfluid (SF) phase to the Mott insulator (MI) phase.

Our results also raise interesting questions about the evolution along closed loops³⁰⁻³⁷ in these higher dimensional parameter spaces, which we plane to investigate elsewhere. Finally it is instructive to compare the behavior discovered here in this work with other systems studied in condensed matter physics. For example, by referring to the phase diagram of the quantum phase transition associated with the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian of interacting chain³⁸⁻⁴⁰ (Fig. 4), we can see that it is possible to choose a trajectory that crosses the critical point on the boundary between the superfluid (SF) and Mott insulator (MI) phases from the SF side without having a phase transition.

References

- 1. Needham, T. Visual Complex Analysis (Clarendon Press, 1998).
- 2. Bender, C. M. & Boettcher, S. Real spectra in non-Hermitian Hamiltonians having PT symmetry. Physical Review Letters 80, 5243-5246 (1998).
- 3. Bender, C. M., Boettcher, S. & Meisinger, P. N. PT-symmetric quantum mechanics. J. Math. Phys 40, 2201-2229 (1999).
- 4. Heiss, W. D. The physics of exceptional points. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 45, 444016 (2012).
- 5. Rotter, I. Exceptional points and double poles of the S matrix. Physical Review E 67, 026204 (2003).
- 6. Bender, C. M., Gianfreda, M., Ozdemir, S. K., Peng, B. & Yang, L. Twofold transition in PT-symmetric coupled oscillators. Physical Review A 88, 062111 (2013).
- 7. Guo, A. et al. Observation of PT-symmetry breaking in complex optical potentials. Physical Review Letters 103, 093902 (2009).
- 8. Rüter, C. E. et al. Observation of parity-time symmetry in optics. Nature Physics 6, 192-195 (2010).
- 9. Peng, B. et al. Parity-time-symmetric whispering-gallery microcavities. Natature Physics 10, 394-398 (2014).
- 10. Hodaei, H., Miri, M.-A., Heinrich, M., Christodoulides, D. N. & Khajavikhan, M. Parity-time-symmetric microring lasers. Science 346, 975 (2014).
- 11. Lin, Z. et al. Unidirectional invisibility induced by PT-symmetric periodic structures. Physical Review Letters 106, 213901 (2011).
- 12. Fleury, R., Sounas, D. & Alú, A. An invisible acoustic sensor based on parity-time symmetry. Nature Communications 6, 5905 (2015). 13. El-Ganainy, R. et al. Non-Hermitian physics and PT symmetry. Nature Physics 14, 11 (2018).
- 14. Feng, L., El-Ganainy, R. & Ge, L. Non-Hermitian photonics based on parity-time symmetry. Nature Photonics 11, 752-762 (2017). 15. El-Ganainy, R., Makris, K. G., Christodoulides, D. N. & Musslimani, Z. H. Theory of coupled optical PT-symmetric structures. Optics Letters 32, 2632-2634 (2007).
- 16. Rüter, C. E. et al. Observation of parity-time symmetry in optics. Nature Physics 6, 192-195 (2010).
- 17. Arkinstall, J., Teimourpour, M. H., Feng, L., El-Ganainy, R. & Schomerus, H. Topological tight-binding models from nontrivial square roots. Physical Review B 95, 165109 (2017).
- 18. Teimourpour, M. H., El-Ganainy, R., Eisfeld, A., Szameit, A. & Christodoulides, D. N. Light transport in PT-invariant photonic structures with hidden symmetries. Physical Review A 90, 053817 (2014).
- 19. Graefe, E. M., Günther, U., Korsch, H. J. & Niederle, A. E. A non-Hermitian PT symmetric Bose-Hubbard model: eigenvalue rings from unfolding higher-order exceptional points. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 41, 255206 (2008).
- 20. Gilles, D. & Eva-Maria, G. Signatures of three coalescing eigenfunctions. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 45, 025303 (2012).
- 21. Hodaei, H. et al. Enhanced sensitivity at higher-order exceptional points. Nature 548, 187-191 (2017).
- 22. Jing, H., Ozdemir, S. K., Lü, H. & Nori, F. High-order exceptional points in optomechanics. Scientific Reports 7, 3386 (2017).
- 23. Teimourpour, M. H., Zhong, Q., Christodoulides, D. & El-Ganainy, R. Higher order exceptional points in discrete photonics platforms. In Parity-time Symmetry and Its Applications (Springer, 2018).
- Makris, K. G., El-Ganainy, R., Christodoulides, D. N. & Musslimani, Z. H. Beam dynamics in PT symmetric optical lattices. Physical Review Letters 100, 103904 (2008).
- 25. Zhen, B. et al. Spawning rings of exceptional points out of dirac cones. Nature 525, 354 (2015).
- 26. Shi, C. et al. Accessing the exceptional points of parity-time symmetric acoustics. Nature Communications 7, 11110 (2016).
- 27. Assawaworrarit, S., Yu, X. & Fan, S. Robust wireless power transfer using a nonlinear parity-time-symmetric circuit. Nature 546, 387-390 (2017)
- 28. Chen, P.-Y. et al. Generalized parity-time symmetry condition for enhanced sensor telemetry. Nature Electronics 1, 297-304 (2018).
- 29. Chitsazi, M., Li, H., Ellis, F. M. & Kottos, T. Experimental realization of Floquet PT-symmetric systems. Physical Review Letters 119, 093901 (2017).
- 30 Dembowski, C. et al. Experimental observation of the topological structure of exceptional points. Physical Review Letters 86, 787-790 (2001).
- 31. Dietz, B. et al. Exceptional points in a microwave billiard with time-reversal invariance violation. Physical Review Letters 106, 150403 (2011).

- 32. Gao, T. et al. Observation of non-Hermitian degeneracies in a chaotic exciton-polariton billiard. Nature 526, 554 (2015).
- Raam, U. & Alexei, M. & Nimrod, M. On the observability and asymmetry of adiabatic state flips generated by exceptional points. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 44, 435302 (2011).
- Berry, M. V. & Uzdin, R. Slow non-Hermitian cycling: exact solutions and the stokes phenomenon. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 44, 435303 (2011).
- Hassan, A. U., Zhen, B., Soljačić, M., Khajavikhan, M. & Christodoulides, D. N. Dynamically encircling exceptional points: Exact evolution and polarization state conversion. *Physical Review Letters* 118, 093002 (2017).
- 36. Doppler, J. et al. Dynamically encircling an exceptional point for asymmetric mode switching. Nature 537, 76–79 (2016).
- Xu, H., Mason, D., Jiang, L. & Harris, J. G. E. Topological energy transfer in an optomechanical system with exceptional points. *Nature* 537, 80–83 (2016).
- 38. Kühner, T. D. & Monien, H. Phases of the one-dimensional Bose-Hubbard model. Physical Review B 58, R14741–R14744 (1998).
- 39. Greiner, M., Mandel, O., Esslinger, T., Hänsch, T. W. & Bloch, I. Quantum phase transition from a superfluid to a mott insulator in a gas of ultracold atoms. *Nature* **415**, 39 (2002).
- 40. Kühner, T. D., White, S. R. & Monien, H. One-dimensional Bose-Hubbard model with nearest-neighbor interaction. *Physical Review* B 61, 12474–12489 (2000).

Acknowledgements

R.E. acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation (ECCS 1807552), and the Army Research Office (W911NF-17-1-0481). Q.Z. acknowledges support from China Scholarship Council (CSC).

Author Contributions

R.E. conceived the project, Q.Z. and R.E. performed the theoretical analyses and wrote the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36701-9.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019