SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 3 May 2018 Accepted: 6 August 2018 Published online: 16 August 2018

OPEN Colicin F_Y inhibits pathogenic Yersinia enterocolitica in mice

Juraj Bosák¹, Lenka Micenková², Matěj Hrala¹, Katarína Pomorská¹, Michaela Kunova Bosakova¹, Pavel Krejci¹, Eduard Göpfert³, Martin Faldyna³ & David Šmajs¹

Yersiniosis belongs to the common foodborne diseases around the world, and frequently manifests as diarrhea that can be treated with probiotics. Colicin F_{y} is an antibacterial agent produced by bacteria and it is capable of specific growth inhibition of Yersinia enterocolitica, the causative agent of gastrointestinal yersiniosis. In this study, recombinant *E. coli* producing colicin Fy were constructed, using both known probiotic strains EcH22 and EcColinfant, and the newly isolated murine strains Ec1127 and Ec1145. All *E. coli* strains producing colicin F_{v} inhibited growth of pathogenic Y. enterocolitica during co-cultivation in vitro. In dysbiotic mice treated with streptomycin, E. coli strains producing colicin Fy inhibited progression of Y. enterocolitica infections. This growth inhibition was not observed in mice with normal gut microflora, likely due to insufficient colonization capacity of E. coli strains and/or due to spatial differences in intestinal niches. Isogenic Y. enterocolitica producing colicin F_y was constructed and shown to inhibit pathogenic Y. enterocolitica in mice with normal microflora. Evidence of in vivo antimicrobial activity of colicin Fy may have utility in the treatment of Y. enterocolitica infections.

A total of 6,861 confirmed cases of yersiniosis (i.e., infections caused by Yersinia enterocolitica) were reported in the European Union in 2016, making yersiniosis the third most common human zoonosis in the EU¹. In the United States, Yersinia enterocolitica causes an estimated 100,000 infections annually^{2,3}. Infections caused by Y. enterocolitica range from self-limited enteritis to life-threatening systemic infections, however, the most common manifestation is diarrhea, and occurs mainly in children⁴⁻⁷. Several studies also support the idea that Y. enterocolitica infection may be associated with the development of chronic inflammatory bowel diseases⁸. Although antibiotic treatment is recommended for serious cases, the benefits of antibiotic therapy in uncomplicated cases have not been well demonstrated^{9,10}. Instead, rehydration and use of probiotics are often suggested for simple diarrheal cases.

Probiotics are live microorganisms that confer a health benefit on the host when administrated in adequate amounts¹¹. Many probiotic products are based on particular strains of lactic acid bacteria, such as Lactobacillus, Lactococcus, or Bifidobacterium species; however, Escherichia coli and other bacteria (and even yeast species) have been used as probiotics¹². Among several probiotic strains patented for commercial applications, *E. coli* strains are part of three approved human probiotic drugs: Mutaflor (Ardeypharm GmbH; Herdecke, Germany), Symbioflor-2 (Symbiopharm GmbH; Herborn, Germany), and Colinfant New Born (Dyntec; Terezín, Czech Republic)¹².

Production of antimicrobial substances is one of the most important features in the context of bacterial fitness and also in terms of probiotic efficacy¹³. Bacteriocins are antimicrobial peptides or proteins produced by many bacterial species, including probiotic strains, and bacteriocin preparations have been successfully used in food preservation and in veterinary medicine (reviewed in^{14,15}). In the Enterobacteriaceae family, bacteriocins are frequently produced by E. coli strains^{16,17}. Among E. coli, two molecular types of bacteriocins have been described, including microcins (peptides) and colicins (proteins). To date, more than twenty colicins have been characterized in various levels of detail (reviewed in^{18,19}) and several colicin types have been shown to specifically inhibit pathogenic bacteria in vitro²⁰⁻²⁵. One of the well-characterized colicins, colicin F_y , is produced by Yersinia frederiksenii Y27601, which harbors a plasmid with colicin F_{Y} activity and immunity genes (*cfyA* and cfyI, respectively). Besides the in vitro activity against several nonpathogenic and opportunistic yersiniae (i.e., Y. frederiksenii, Y. aldovae, Y. kristensenii, and Y. intermedia), colicin F_{Y} is also very effective against Y. enterocolitica,

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic. ²Research Centre for Toxic Compounds in the Environment, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic. ³Veterinary Research Institute, Brno, Czech Republic. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.S. (email: dsmajs@med.muni.cz)

the causative agent of gastrointestinal yersiniosis. The exceptionally wide susceptibility of *Y. enterocolitica* strains to colicin F_{γ} together with an absence of activity towards bacterial strains outside the *Yersinia* genus, is a consequence of the interaction between colicin F_{γ} and the yersinia-specific outer membrane protein YiuR, which also serves as a colicin F_{γ} receptor molecule^{20,21}.

In this study, recombinant probiotic *E. coli* strains, producing colicin F_{y_0} were constructed and their therapeutic potential against *Y. enterocolitica* was analyzed *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The *in vivo* activity of colicin F_y was also studied using isogenic and recombinant colicin F_y producing *Yersinia* strain.

Results

Escherichia coli strains and their intestinal colonization capacity. Since human *E. coli* strains are weak colonizers of the murine gut^{26,27}, murine *E. coli* strains were isolated and used in this study. Among 127 murine *E. coli* isolates, pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) analysis found only two *E. coli* pulsotypes (Fig. 1A; see Methods). While strain Ec1127 was the dominant *E. coli* in mice with yersiniosis, strain Ec1145 was frequently isolated from healthy controls. In addition to Ec1127 and Ec1145, two *E. coli* strains with known probiotic features (EcH22 and EcColinfant) were used^{12,28}.

All four *E. coli* strains were transformed to stably maintain the recombinant colicinogenic plasmid and then tested for their capacity to colonize the murine gastrointestinal tract. While human EcH22 and murine Ec1127 showed similar colonization capacities, the colonization capacity of EcColinfant was considerably lower (*p < 0.05). EcColinfant was not detectable after day three of the experiment and lower amounts of bacteria were shed compared to EcH22 (**p < 0.01; Figs 1B and S1). The best colonization capacity was observed for murine Ec1145, which was detected up to fifteen days post infection (*p < 0.05) and the number of bacteria in mice feces during the first five days was approximately 40-times higher than for EcH22 and Ec1127 (***p < 0.001 and **p = 0.001, respectively; Figs 1C and S1). In addition, recombinant colicin producers showed similar colonization capacity compared to isogenic nonproducers (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Recombinant expression and intestinal stability of colicin F_Y. Recombinant expression of colicin F_Y was tested *in vitro* using two recombinant expression systems, i.e., one with the basal *lac* expression of colicin F_Y and the second was with colicin F_Y expression controlled by the *lac* promoter and also by the gut inflammation-dependent promoter of colicin Ib (*pcib*) (constructs pDS1006 and pDS1281, respectively; see Methods). The presence of the *pcib* promoter enhanced colicin F_Y expression compared to expression from the *lac* promoter alone; moreover, colicin F_Y expression was inducible with iron limitation and the SOS response *in vitro* (Fig. 2A). Colicin F_Y recombinant expression controlled by both promoters was used throughout this study.

To analyze colicin activity under gastrointestinal tract conditions, the contents of murine stomach, ileum, cecum, and colon were collected. Colicin F_Y was incubated with gastrointestinal fractions and its activity was analyzed over time. While ileum contents inactivated colicin F_Y within a few minutes, colicin F_Y stayed active for more than 45 minutes when cultivated with the colon contents (Fig. 2B).

In vitro activity of recombinant colicinogenic *E. coli* against pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica*. The activity of recombinant colicin producers and isogenic colicin F_Y -nonproducing controls against *Y. enterocolitica* was tested on agar plates and also during continuous *in vitro* co-cultivation in broth (Fig. 3). On agar plates, production of colicin F_Y resulted in inhibition of *Y. enterocolitica*. In broth, *Y. enterocolitica* retained a stable concentration of approximately 10⁹ CFU/ml when grown alone, while the presence of *E. coli* producing colicin F_Y significantly reduced the numbers of pathogen after 48 h of co-cultivation (Fig. 3). Due to competition, colicin F_Y nonproducers were also able to decrease the numbers of pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica* in co-cultivation; however, production of colicin F_Y enhanced inhibition activity of the tested *E. coli* strains and the *Y. enterocolitica* was completely or nearly completely eliminated after five days of co-cultivation (Fig. 3). In the presence of colicin F_Y , pathogen elimination was observed for strains EcH22, Ec1127, and Ec1145, but not for EcColinfant, where *Y. enterocolitica* persisted at detectable levels.

In vivo activity of recombinant colicinogenic *E. coli* against pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica*. Colicin F_Y activity was tested *in vivo* under several experimental settings. First, colicin F_Y activity was tested in mice with normal microflora. Since EcColinfant showed low colonization capacity and had weak inhibition during co-cultivation, only the remaining three colicin F_Y -producing *E. coli* strains were used during experimental *Y. enterocolitica* infection of mice. Recombinant *E. coli* was applied to experimental animals via drinking water and after 48 hours, animals were infected with pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica* in the same way. Clinical manifestations and the number of pathogenic *Yersinia* (and also recombinant *E. coli*) in the feces were monitored daily; both parameters stayed unaffected during the 15 days of the experiment (Figs 4A and S4). The use of different applications, inoculation doses, administration times, and application of various recombinant *E. coli* strains had no effect on *Y. enterocolitica* (Supplementary Fig. S4).

Second, the *in vivo* activity of colicin F_Y was tested using a mouse model, in which streptomycin was used to decrease the gut microflora and to promote gut inflammation²⁶. Four recombinant *E. coli* (including EcColinfant) strains with colicin F_Y expression regulated by *lac-pcib* promoters were used during experimental *Y. enterocolitica* infection of streptomycin-treated mice. Twenty-four hours after streptomycin application, recombinant colicinogenic *E. coli* was applied to experimental animals via drinking water, and after another 24hours, the animals were infected with pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica* in the same way. The clinical manifestation and number of pathogenic *Yersinia* (and also recombinant *E. coli*) in feces were monitored daily. *Y. enterocolitica* infection was decreased by the presence of colicinogenic *E. coli* in the gastrointestinal tract of streptomycin-treated mice by one to two orders of magnitude (*p < 0.05; Fig. 4B). The levels of all tested recombinant *E. coli* strains remained stable throughout the experiments (Fig. 4B). Based on the pathogen-to-probiotic ratio, the relative amount of



Figure 1. Characterization of murine *E. coli* isolates and colonization capacity of recombinant *E. coli* strains producing colicin F_{Y} . (**A**) Feces from four healthy mice and five mice with yersiniosis were collected for five days. A set of 127 *E. coli* isolates was obtained and resolved using PFGE, which found two pulsotypes. Strain Ec1127 was predominant in mice with yersiniosis, while strain Ec1145 was frequently isolated from healthy mice. The original gel is in Supplementary Fig. S1. (**B**,**C**) Mice (n = 5; each group) were inoculated with 10⁷ CFU of *E. coli* and the fecal counts of recombinant *E. coli* were monitored for 15 days. The duration of shedding (top; red bar, mean) and the numbers of shed *E. coli* during the first five days (bottom; mean \pm SEM) were plotted. EcH22 showed longer and stronger colonization capacity than EcColinfant (**B**). While Ec1127 showed colonization comparable to EcH22, Ec1145 displayed superior length and strength relative to *E. coli* shedding (**C**). The end of colonization was defined as two consecutive days without shedding of recombinant *E. coli*. Two-tailed Mann–Whitney–U test was used for statistical comparisons (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001). Raw data for colonization capacity of *E. coli* strains are shown in Supplementary Fig. S1.



Incubation	0	4´	8´	10′	20´	30´	45´	60´	120´
Stomach	nt	nt	nt	nt	++	++	+	+	-
lleum	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cecum	nt	nt	nt	nt	++	+	-	-	-
Colon	nt	nt	nt	nt	++	++	++	+	-
PBS	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++

Figure 2. Recombinant expression and stability of colicin F_Y under gastrointestinal conditions. (A) Colicin F_Y expression from the *lac* promoter alone, or in combination with *pcib* promoter was analyzed *in vitro* by spotting dilutions of colicin F_Y extracts on agar plates with susceptible *Yersinia*. The *pcib* promoter enhanced colicin F_Y expression and allowed the inducible expression of colicin F_Y by iron limitation (dipyridyl) and the SOS response (mitomycin). Three independent experiments are shown (red bar, mean). A.U. (arbitrary unit) – an inverted value of the highest dilution of crude colicin extract causing growth inhibition. (B) Colicin F_Y extract was incubated with murine gastrointestinal fractions, and the residual activity of colicin F_Y , at various timepoints, was measured by spotting diluted suspensions on agar plates with susceptible *Yersinia*. Colicin F_Y stayed active for more than forty-five minutes in the colon contents. A representative result from three independent experiments is shown. ⁺⁺ activity of 10-fold diluted colicin F_{YS} ⁺ activity of non-diluted colicin F_{YS} ⁻ undetectable activity; nt, not tested.

.....

В

probiotic *E. coli* was elevated by almost 6-orders in the streptomycin model compared to mice with normal gut microflora (***p < 0.001; Fig. 4C). In addition, promoter activity regulating colicin F_Y expression in mice intestines was analyzed using the luciferase assay. While the reporter gene expression by a single bacterium was similar (i.e., difference between signals within one order of magnitude) in mice with normal and streptomycin-treated microflora, the total reporter signal in the colon contents was raised by several orders of magnitude in the streptomycin-treated mice (**p < 0.01; Fig. 4D). Taken together, intestinal dysbiosis increased the colonization capacity and allowed *in vivo* activity of the colicinogenic *E. coli* against the pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica*.

In vivo activity of colicin F_y using recombinant isogenic *Y*. *enterocolitica* strains. Besides the colonization resistance shown by intestines with normal microflora, the activity of recombinant *E. coli* against *Y. enterocolitica* could also be limited by spatial differences in their intestinal niches, i.e., avoiding their direct interaction. Therefore, two isogenic strains of *Y. enterocolitica*, a colicin producer and a colicin-susceptible indicator, were constructed (see Methods) and tested in mice with normal gut microflora using simultaneous administration. Compared to the control group (without a colicin producer), administration of colicin-producing *Y. enterocolitica* limited the numbers of the colicin-susceptible strain (*p < 0.05 on day four; Fig. 5A), shortened the infection period of the susceptible strain (Fig. 5C). While the infection period of susceptible *Y. enterocolitica* was decreased in the presence of colicin F_y , the infection period of the colicin producer was not affected (Fig. 5D); thus, the infection period limitation of colicin-susceptible strain was not due to co-cultivation.

Discussion

In this study, recombinant probiotic *E. coli* strains producing colicin F_Y were shown to inhibit *Y. enterocolitica in vitro* and *in vivo*. Besides colicin F_Y^{20} , pesticin I and enterocoliticin were characterized at various levels of detail and both showed activity against pathogenic yersiniae *in vitro*^{29,30}; however, their activity was not clearly confirmed *in vivo*³¹. As shown in a previous study, colicin F_Y inhibited all tested *Y. enterocolitica* isolates belonging to the most common serotypes²¹ and therefore production of colicin F_Y was predicted as a promising feature of probiotic strains in the treatment of gastrointestinal yersiniosis²¹. To date, production of colicin F_Y had not been identified in *E. coli* isolates; therefore, recombinant *E. coli* strains producing colicin F_Y had to be constructed for this study.

Out of four *E. coli* strains used, probiotic features were previously ascribed to EcH22 and EcColinfant. The human probiotic EcH22 was shown to produce microcin C7 (and several other antimicrobial substances), which mediated an inhibition of pathogenic *Shigella* in the gnotobiotic mice model²⁸. The EcColinfant strain is a component of the probiotic product "Colinfant New Born" marketed by Dyntec (Terezín, Czech Republic). In the Czech Republic, it is used for several conditions in newborns and infants, such as disorders in the composition of intestinal microflora after antibiotic treatment (reviewed in¹²). Since human *E. coli* strains were shown to be weak colonizers of the murine gut^{26,27}, two additional strains, Ec1127 and Ec1145, were isolated from mice and used in this study. The mice model used to study of colicin F_Y activity against pathogenic *Yersinia* is well-established model for human yersiniosis^{32,33}.

As shown in this study, recombinant *E. coli* producing F_Y eliminated the growth of *Y. enterocolitica* serotype 0:3 during *in vitro* cultivation over five days. In general, production of bacteriocins is regarded as an important feature for probiotic efficacy¹³ and several theoretical studies have suggested that bacteriocin producers have a



--- Control -O- Probiotic without F_Y --- Probiotic with F_Y

Figure 3. *In vitro* activity of colicin F_Y -producing *E. coli* strains against pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica. Y. enterocolitica* was co-cultivated (37 °C, 200 rpm) with recombinant *E. coli* strains that either produced or did not produce colicin F_Y . Numbers of *Y. enterocolitica* were counted at various time-points and plotted (mean \pm SEM). Production of colicin F_Y enhanced inhibition activity of the *E. coli* strains. *Y. enterocolitica* was eliminated within five days of co-cultivation, with the exception of EcColinfant where a small subpopulation of *Y. enterocolitica* persisted. Two-tailed Student's t-test was used for statistical comparisons (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001). Data were obtained from three independent experiments. The detection limit of the method was 25 CFU/ml. The microphotographs show inhibition zones resulting from probiotic colicin F_Y production on agar plates with susceptible *Y. enterocolitica*; isogenic colicin F_Y nonproducers did not form inhibition zones (except for a presence of a weak halo around EcColifant). The numbers of recombinant colicinogenic *E. coli* strains during co-cultivation are shown in Supplementary Fig. S3.

.....

competitive advantage over non-producers when colonizing the same ecological niche³⁴⁻³⁶. In fact, activity of bacteriocins against other (pathogenic) bacteria has been described in many experimental *in vitro* studies, but bacteriocin activity in animal models is not well established (reviewed in^{13,37,38}). Studies of colicin activity *in vivo* often show no or only subtle effects of colicin synthesis^{35,39,40}. Inactivation of colicins by intestinal proteases or reduced colicin activity under anaerobic conditions have been suggested as the underlying reasons for their *in vivo* inactivity^{41,42}. Using the luciferase assay, we showed that colicin F_Y recombinant promoters (i.e., *lac-pcib*) were active in mice guts and moreover, colicin F_Y stayed fully active for more than forty-five minutes when cultivated with the contents of the murine colon.

Despite expression of colicin F_Y and its intestinal stability, together with *in vitro* activity, the *in vivo* activity of colicin F_Y against *Y*. *enterocolitica* using mice with normal gut microflora was not observed. On the other hand, recombinant *E. coli* producing colicin F_Y inhibited *Y*. *enterocolitica* in streptomycin-treated mice. Gut inflammation, often associated with dysbiosis and expansion of *Enterobacteriaceae*, results in stress conditions including induction of the SOS-response and iron limitation⁴³⁻⁴⁵. Expression of colicins is tightly regulated by the SOS-response promoter, and in addition, colicins Ia, Ib, and F_Y are also regulated by the iron-dependent Fur promoter^{20,46,47}. Recently, several studies showed that bacteriocin activity is associated with inflammatory conditions in the gut^{27,43,47}. Using streptomycin-treated mice (mouse *Salmonella*-colitis model), Deriu *et al.*⁴³



Figure 4. In vivo activity of recombinant colicin F_{Y} -producing E. coli against Y. enterocolitica. (A) Mice with a normal microflora (n = 5; each group) were inoculated with colicin F_{Y} -producing *E. coli* and then with *Y*. enterocolitica. Only Y. enterocolitica was administered to controls (n = 5). Numbers of shed Y. enterocolitica were counted and plotted (mean \pm SEM). No statistical differences in Y. enterocolitica numbers were observed between treated and untreated animals (top). Based on the E. coli numbers, recombinant E. coli colonized the intestines transiently (bottom). Raw data are shown in Supplementary Fig. S4. (B) Streptomycin-treated mice (n = 5; each group) were inoculated with colicin F_{y-} producing *E. coli*, and then infected with *Y. enterocolitica*. Only Y. enterocolitica was administered to the streptomycin-treated controls (n = 3). Numbers of shed Y. *enterocolitica* were counted and plotted (mean \pm SEM). For all the probiotics used, significant decreases of pathogen numbers were found between days D3 and D6 (top). At the same time, the E. coli numbers remained stable during the experiment (bottom). (C) Based on the numbers of bacteria in the first five days of infection, the ratio of Y. enterocolitica to Ec1145 in normal mice versus the streptomycin-treated mice showed that the pathogen significantly outgrew the probiotic in the normal mice, while Ec1145 dominated in the dysbiotic mice. (D) Mice (n = 5; each group) were treated or not with streptomycin and/or with Y. *enterocolitica* a day later. After another 24 h, mice were inoculated with Ec1145 expressing the luciferase under lac-pcib regulation; the colon contents were collected two days later and subjected to a luciferase assay. Reporter expression calculated for a single bacterial cell (CFU) was within a range of one order of magnitude across the treatments (left), but the total levels of reporter were significantly increased in the intestinal dysbiosis compared to intestines with normal microflora (right). Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM. RLU, relative luciferase unit per second. (A–D) Two-tailed Mann–Whitney–U test was used for statistical comparisons (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p<0.001).



Figure 5. Colicin F_Y activity in mice with normal gut microflora using two isogenic populations of *Y*. *enterocolitica*. Fifteen mice were simultaneously inoculated with two recombinant isogenic *Y*. *enterocolitica* strains, one a colicin F_Y producer and the other a colicin-susceptible indicator. In the control group (n = 15), only the colicin-susceptible *Y*. *enterocolitica* indicator strain was administered. Numbers of shed *Y*. *enterocolitica* were counted, plotted and two-tailed Mann–Whitney–U test was used for statistical comparisons (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01). Compared to the control group (black dot; red bar, mean), animals treated with the colicin-producer (white dot; blue bar, mean) showed lower numbers of colicin-susceptible *Y*. *enterocolitica* (**A**) and a shorter duration of infection (**B**). (**C**) In the presence of a colicin F_Y producer, mice showed a tendency towards less frequency of susceptible *Y*. *enterocolitica* infection than the control animals (Fisher's exact test). ns, not significant. (**D**) The ratio of the co-infection period to the single infection period for the susceptible strain and for the producer strain. While susceptible *Y*. *enterocolitica* infection was shorter in the presence of colicin F_Y than in controls, the shedding period of colicin-producing *Y*. *enterocolitica* was not affected. Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM and two-tailed Mann–Whitney–U test was used for statistical comparison (***p < 0.001). Detection limit of the method was 100 CFU/g of feces (**A**). The end of infection was defined as two consecutive days without the pathogen being detected (**B**,**D**).

showed that the probiotic strain *E. coli* Nissle 1917, producing bacteriocins mM and mH47, outcompeted and reduced *S*. Typhimurium colonization; and the probiotic activity depended on iron acquisition by *E. coli* Nissle 1917. Sassone-Corsi *et al.*²⁷ demonstrated that microcins H47 and M enabled the probiotic *E. coli* Nissle 1917 to limit the expansion of competing enterobacteria (including pathogenic *S*. Typhimurium) during intestinal inflammation using the mouse colitis model. Another study analyzed competition between colicin Ib-producing *Salmonella* Typhimurium and colicin-susceptible *E. coli* and revealed that gut inflammation promotes the effects of colicin Ib via the iron-dependent Fur promoter, which increases colicin production and also expression of its cognate receptor CirA, which mediates susceptibility of the competitor⁴⁷.

While colicin F_Y expression was shown to be *in vitro* inducible (up to approximately ten-times) by iron limitation and the SOS-response via the gut inflammation-dependent promoter of colicin Ib (Fig. 2), the luciferase assay of recombinant *E. coli* strains present in the mice colon contents showed that the expression level from a single bacterial cell (RLU/CFU) was similar for mice with normal gut microflora, for streptomycin-treated mice, and for the yersiniosis model (3 days post infection of pathogen). Moreover, the expression per cell was lower in the yersiniosis model than in healthy mice (Fig. 4D), while Nedialkova *et al.*⁴⁷ showed enhanced *in vivo* expression from the colicin Ib promotor (*pcib*) using the *Salmonella*-colitis model. These data could indicate no or

subtle inflammation caused by streptomycin or yersiniosis in animal models (contrary to the *Salmonella*-colitis model⁴⁸). In contrast, the total amount of reporter signal (RLU/g) was significantly increased (approximately 1,000,000-times) in streptomycin-treated mice (Fig. 4D). These data are consistent with a scenario in which gut inflammation in the streptomycin/yersiniosis model is not sufficient to upregulate colicin F_Y synthesis; however, the colonization capacity of recombinant colicinogenic *E. coli* is significantly enhanced as a result of dysbiosis (i.e., elimination of gram negative bacteria) in streptomycin-treated mice.

In this study, recombinant colicinogenic *E. coli* colonized the gut of normal mice with yersiniosis, but only transiently, while streptomycin-treatment allowed a robust, stable, and long-term *E. coli* colonization of the mouse gut (Fig. 4). The increased number of *E. coli* found in streptomycin-treated mice suggests that colonization resistance is the major limitation of the use of mice with a normal gut microflora. Colonization resistance is defined as an inhibition of invading microorganisms by resident microflora during healthy homeostasis⁴⁹. Streptomycin treatment reduces colonization resistance and opens the *E. coli* niches, which are occupied by the resident strains during homeostasis.

To avoid the effect of *E. coli* colonization resistance and to show *in vivo* colicin F_Y activity, an alternative approach was used. Since colonization resistance was not observed for pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica*, a model of two isogenic *Y. enterocolitica* populations was used to study colicin F_Y in mice with normal microflora. In this model, colicin F_Y -producing *Y. enterocolitica* inhibited colicin-susceptible *Y. enterocolitica* (Fig. 5) and thus, *in vivo* colicin F_Y activity was, for the first time, demonstrated using animals with normal intestinal microflora.

In conclusion, the activity of colicin F_Y *in vivo* was clearly demonstrated; however, the use of probiotic *E. coli* strains synthesizing colicin F_Y in the normal mice model was not successful since the active colicin F_Y molecule was not delivered to the target *Y. enterocolitica* cells, most likely because of a combination of colonization resistance and spatially different intestinal niches. Besides attenuated/nonpathogenic *Yersinia*, other candidates for delivery of colicin F_Y to target could be tested among the relatively abundant classes of *Firmicutes* or *Bacteroidetes* (e.g., *Lactobacillus*, and *Pediococcus*^{50–55}). Alternatively, sufficient *in vivo* quantities of the active molecule could be ensured by direct application of the purified bacteriocin^{56,57}.

Moreover, this study demonstrated that colicin F_Y synthesis could be an important feature of probiotic *E. coli* strains. Although the effect of probiotic *E. coli* strains is limited under healthy conditions, the potential effect of probiotic *E. coli* strains and synthesized bacteriocin molecules appear to be more effective under dysbiotic conditions in the gut. Taken together, colicin F_Y itself appears to have sufficient activity for treatment of gastrointestinal yersiniosis, however, the suitable application form needs to be experimentally determined.

Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. *Yersinia frederiksenii* strain Y27601 producing colicin F_Y^{20} , two pathogenic *Yersinia enterocolitica* strains (serotypes O:3 and O:8)⁵⁸, and two *Escherichia coli* strains with probiotic features including *E. coli* O83:K24:H31 (EcColinfant; isolated from "Colinfant New Born") and *E. coli* H22 (EcH22)²⁸, were obtained from our laboratory stock. Two murine *E. coli* strains (i.e., Ec1127 and Ec1145) were isolated and characterized in this study (see below). The list of strains and plasmids constructed in this work is shown in Supplementary Table S1.

Tryptone-yeast (TY) broth consisting of 8 g/l tryptone (Hi-Media), 5 g/l yeast extract (Hi-Media), and 5 g/l sodium chloride in water was used throughout the study. For cultivation on solid media, TY broth was supplemented with agar powder (1.2%, w/v; Hi-Media). TY agar plates supplemented with chloramphenicol (final concentration 0.025 g/l; Sigma-Aldrich) or kanamycin (0.050 g/l; Sigma-Aldrich) were used for selection and maintenance of recombinant strains. Pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica* was cultivated on plates with selective diagnostic CIN agar (Cefsulodin-Irgasan-Novobiocin; Hi-Media). Streptomycin-resistant variants of strains used in this work were selected by cultivation on agar plates supplemented with streptomycin (0.050 g/l; Sigma-Aldrich). The cultivations of *E. coli* and *Y. enterocolitica* strains were performed at 37 °C and 30 °C, respectively.

Identification and characterization of murine *E. coli* **isolates.** For five days, the feces from four healthy control BALB/c mice and five BALB/c mice with experimental yersiniosis and stably shedding *Y. enter-ocolitica* (for twenty days) were collected. All feces were diluted in PBS, homogenized, and spread on selective diagnostic Endo agar (Hi-Media). After cultivation (overnight, 37 °C), three colonies were picked from each plate and taxonomically identified using ENTEROtest16 (Erba Lachema, Brno, Czech Republic). All 127 identified murine *E. coli* isolates were analyzed using *XbaI* digestion of genomic DNA and pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE; PulseNet protocol (CDC 2002))⁵⁹. PFGE profiles were analyzed using BioNumerics fingerprinting software (Applied Math).

For *in vivo* colonization capacity, animal experiments were performed by a licensed staff at an accredited facility of the Veterinary Research Institute (Brno, Czech Republic). Female BALB/c mice (6–9 weeks old) were kept individually in conditions without the presence of specific pathogens. Each experimental group contained five mice, which were orally infected with a single dose of recombinant *E. coli* strain (10⁷ CFU using a gastric probe). During 15 days, fresh feces from each mouse were collected daily, homogenized in PBS, 10-fold serially diluted, and spread on agar plates with kanamycin. Numbers (CFU/g feces) of shed recombinant *E. coli* were calculated.

Construction of recombinant strains. Two recombinant plasmids harbouring colicin F_Y locus were used in this study including the pDS1006 with colicin F_Y expression under the control of *lac* promoter and the pDS1281 with colicin F_Y expression under the control of *lac-pcib* promoters. pDS1006 was described previously²⁰; briefly, colicin F_Y activity and immunity genes (*cfyA* and *cfyI*, respectively) from the original producer *Y. frederiksenii* Y27601 was cloned into pCR2.1-TOPO vector using a TOPO TA Cloning Kit (Invitrogen). The pDS1281 is a modification of the pDS1006 that harbors additional colicin Ib promoter (*pcib*)⁴⁷ and it was constructed in this study using an In-Fusion HD Cloning kit (Clontech). Briefly, the *pcib* (200 nt in length) was amplified from

genomic DNA of *E. coli* 360/79 (i.e., from the original producer of colicin Ib) using *Pfu* polymerase (Fermentas) and specific primers with overlaps complementary to the pDS1006 (Supplementary Table S1). The *pcib* amplicon was cloned (via recombination) into pDS1006 upstream of colicin F_Y gene, between *lac* promoter and colicin F_Y gene. Both plasmids, pDS1006 and pDS1281, were transformed into strains EcH22, EcColinfant, Ec1127, and Ec1145, which resulted in 8 various recombinant *E. coli* strains, all producing colicin F_Y .

To test the colicin F_Y recombinant expression, the pJB008 harboring a reporter gene (i.e, a firefly luciferase; *luc*) downstream of *lac-pcib* promoters was constructed. Briefly, *luc* gene was amplified from the vector pGL4.17 (Promega) using *Pfu* polymerase (Fermentas) and specific primers with overlaps complementary to the pDS1281 (Supplementary Table S1). Then, colicin F_Y gene in the pDS1281 was replaced by *luc* gene (via recombination) using E. cloni[®] 10G (Lucigen Corporation).

For construction of recombinant isogenic Y. enterocolitica strains, a commercial vector, pBeloBAC11 (New England Biolabs), encoding chloramphenicol resistance was electro-transformed into the colicin F_Y -susceptible indicator Y. enterocolitica strain 8081. Alternatively, a modified suicide vector pNKBOR⁶⁰ carrying the recombinant colicinogenic locus pcib-cfyA-cfyI was constructed (pJB001) and used for stable insertion of the recombinant colicinogenic locus into the Y. enterocolitica 8081 chromosome, resulting in the Y. enterocolitica citE::cfyA-cfyI strain. The insertion was mapped to the citE gene (YE2651; NC_008800.1⁶¹, position 2,868,244) and this insertion did not significantly affect the *in vitro* grown of mutant strain (Fig. S5).

Streptomycin-resistant variants of selected bacterial strains were obtained by cultivation in the presence of streptomycin (see above). A spontaneous mutation in the *rpsL* gene (position 248T) was identified by sequencing.

Analysis of colicin F_Y recombinant expression. Crude colicin F_Y extract was prepared as previously described²⁰. Briefly, a 20-fold-diluted overnight TY culture of a colicinogenic strain was cultivated (37 °C, 200 rpm, 4 h), induced by mitomycin C (final concentration $500 \mu g/l$) or iron limitation (0.2 mM 2,2'-dipyridyl and 0.1 mM Nitrilotriacetic acid trisodium salt), cultivated for additional 4 h (37 °C, 200 rpm), and centrifuged ($15 \text{ min}, 4,000 \times g$). The bacterial pellet was resuspended in 5 ml of distilled water, washed twice, and sonicated. The resulting bacterial lysate was centrifuged for 15 min at $4,000 \times g$, and the supernatant was used as a crude colicin F_Y extract. Colicin activity was tested by spotting 2-fold serial dilutions of colicin extract on agar plates with a thin layer of 0.75% agar containing a colicin-susceptible *Yersinia* strain (inoculated with 10^8 cells). After overnight incubation of plates at 37 °C, the inhibition represented expression of colicin F_Y.

Analysis of colicin stability in the gastrointestinal tract. Female BALB/c mice (ca. 20 weeks old) were kept in conditions without the presence of specific pathogens. After cervical dislocation, mice intestinal contents from the stomach, ileum, cecum, and colon were separately collected in four fractions. For each intestine fraction, content was homogenized in PBS buffer (1 ml) by pippeting, centrifuged briefly $(1 \min, 14,000 \times g)$, and supernatants were stored at -20 °C.

For analysis of colicin F_Y stability under gastrointestinal tract conditions, crude colicin F_Y extract (see above) was mixed with isolated fractions of intestinal contents (volume 1:1), incubated at 37 °C, and stopped at various time-points (i.e., at 0, 4, 8, 10, 20, 30, 45, 60, and 120 minutes) using a protease inhibitor cocktail (cOmpleteTM; Roche). Residual colicin activity was tested by spotting 10-fold serial dilutions of suspensions on agar plates with a colicin-susceptible *Yersinia* strain. After overnight incubation, the highest dilution of suspension causing growth inhibition of *Yersinia* was determined.

Analysis of colicin activity *in vitro.* Overnight cultures of *Y. enterocolitica* Y11 (30 °C, 200 rpm) and colicin F_Y producer (kanamycin, 37 °C, 200 rpm) were separately cultivated overnight in TY broth. Then, they were mixed (100 µl each) in fresh TY broth (5 ml) and were co-cultivated (37 °C, 200 rpm). The mixed bacterial suspension (100 µl) was inoculated daily into fresh TY broth (5 ml) for 15 days. In addition, aliquots of the bacterial suspension were collected and 10-fold serial PBS dilutions were spread on selective agar plates (i.e., kanamycin plates for colicin producer and CIN plates for *Y. enterocolitica*). Plates were cultivated overnight (37 °C) and bacterial numbers were counted.

Analysis of colicin activity *in vivo.* Animal experiments and handling were performed by a licensed staff at an accredited facility of the Veterinary Research Institute (Brno, Czech Republic). Female BALB/c mice (6–9 weeks old) were kept in conditions without the presence of specific pathogens. In the experiment, each group contained at least five experimental mice and each mouse was kept in the individual cage. Mice were orally infected (10^8 CFU/ml of the drinking water) with pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica* Y11. Recombinant strains producing colicin F_Y were also orally administered (10^8 CFU/ml) to experimental animals. Mice were monitored for weight, for condition of feces, and for clinical manifestation of yersiniosis. In addition, fresh feces were collected daily and processed, within 2 hours, for microbiological analysis. Fecal sample from each mouse was homogenized in PBS, 10-fold serially diluted, and spread on selective agar plates. While *Y. enterocolitica* was cultivated on CIN agar, recombinant *E. coli* producing colicin F_Y were selected on agar plates with kanamycin. Numbers (CFU/g feces) of pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica* and colicin-producers were calculated. In the streptomycin-treated mice model, streptomycin (5 g/l) was added to the drinking water 24 hours before inoculation and was applied during the whole experiment.

Analysis of colicin *in vivo* **expression using luciferase assay.** Luciferase assays were performed using a Luciferase assay system (E1500; Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions and as previously described Nedialkova *et al.*⁴⁷. Briefly, the mice (i.e., conventional mice, streptomycin-treated mice, and mice infected by *Y. enterocolitica* Y11; 5 mice per group) were inoculated with Ec1145 expressing a luciferase reporter (pJB008). Two days after application of reporter Ec1145, the colon contents were aseptically harvested from mice,

thoroughly suspended in PBS (1,000 µl), and filtered through a 40 µm cell filter (Corning Cell Strainer). A defined volume of colon suspension (500 µl) was pelleted (4 °C, 10 min, 14,000 × g), the pellet was suspended in 1 M K₂HPO₄/20 mM EDTA (10µl), frozen on dry ice (1 min), and stored at -80 °C. After thawing at room temperature, suspensions were mixed with 300 µl of fresh lysis buffer (1xCell Culture Lysis Reagent [E1531, Promega], 1,25 mg/ml lysozyme, and 2,5 mg/ml BSA), incubated (10 min, RT), and bacterial lysates (10µl) were transferred into 96-well plates (3922; Costar). Using a TriStar² LB 942 Modular Multimode Microplate Reader (Berthold Technologies), luciferase reagent was added to each well (40 µl), luminescence was measured, and relative light units per second (RLU) were calculated. Only values above the detection limit (control caecum contents) were considered. In addition, aliquots (50 µl) of colon suspensions were 10-fold serially diluted in PBS and spread on selective agar plates. After overnight cultivation, the amount (CFU) of reporter strain was determined and RLU per CFU of reporter strain was calculated.

Statistical analysis. Prism 5 software (GraphPad) was used for statistical analyses. Bacterial growth during *in vitro* experiments was analyzed using the unpaired Student's *t*-test. The non-parametric Mann–Whitney–U test was used to analyze bacterial CFUs in feces, RLU/CFU in colon contents, and infection length. In addition, Fisher's exact test was used for analysis of the frequency of yersiniosis. P-values less than 0.05 (2-tailed) were considered statistically significant, and were denoted with asterisks (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001).

Ethical approval and informed consent. The experimental protocol was approved by the Branch Commission for Animal Welfare of the Ministry of Agriculture of the Czech Republic (permission 22019/2016-MZe-17214) in accordance with Act No. 246/1992 Coll., on the protection of animals against torture, as subsequently amended, and with Decree 419/2012 Coll. on the protection, breeding and use of experimental animals.

Data availability All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

References

- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) and ECDC (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control) The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2016. EFSA J. 15, https://doi. org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5077 (2017).
- 2. Scallan, E. et al. Foodborne illness acquired in the United States-major pathogens. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 17, 7–15 (2011).
- Ong, K. L. et al. Changing epidemiology of Yersinia enterocolitica infections: markedly decreased rates in young black children, Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (Food Net), 1996–2009. Clin. Infect. Dis. 54, S385–S390, https://doi.org/10.1093/ cid/cis053 (2012).
- 4. Bottone, E. J. Yersinia enterocolitica: the charisma continues. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 10, 257-276 (1997).
- Ray, S. M. et al. Population-based surveillance for Yersinia enterocolitica infections in FoodNet Sites, 1996–1999: higher risk of disease in infants and minority populations. Clin. Infect. Dis. 38, S181–S189, https://doi.org/10.1086/381585 (2004).
- Duan, R. et al. Prevalence of Yersinia enterocolitica Bioserotype 3/O:3 among children with diarrhea, China, 2010–2015. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 23, 1502–1509 (2017).
- Marimon, J. M. et al. Thirty years of human infections caused by Yersinia enterocolitica in northern Spain: 1985–2014. Epidemiol. Infect. 145, 2197–2203 (2017).
- Saebo, A., Vik, E., Lange, O. J. & Matuszkiewicz, L. Inflammatory bowel disease associated with Yersinia enterocolitica O:3 infection. Eur. J. Intern. Med. 16, 176–182 (2005).
- 9. Bottone, E. J. Yersinia enterocolitica: overview and epidemiologic correlates. Microbes Infect. 1, 323-333 (1999).
- 10. Abdel-Haq, N. M., Asmar, B. I., Abuhammour, W. M. & Brown, W. J. Yersinia enterocolitica infection in children. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J. 19, 954–958 (2000).
- Pineiro, M. & Stanton, C. Probiotic bacteria: legislative framework-requirements to evidence basis. J. Nutr. 137, 850S–3S, https:// doi.org/10.1093/jn/137.3.850S (2007).
- 12. Wassenaar, T. M. Insights from 100 years of research with probiotic E. coli. Eur. J. Microbiol. Immunol. 6, 147-161 (2016).
- 13. Dobson, A., Cotter, P. D., Ross, R. P. & Hill, C. Bacteriocin production: a probiotic trait? Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 78, 1-6 (2012).
- Gillor, O., Kirkup, B. C. & Riley, M. A. Colicins and microcins: the next generation antimicrobials. Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 54, 129–146 (2004).
- 15. Diez-Gonzalez, F. Applications of bacteriocins in livestock. Curr. Issues Intest. Microbiol. 8, 15-23 (2007).
- Micenková, L., Bosák, J., Vrba, M., Ševčíková, A. & Šmajs, D. Human extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains differ in prevalence of virulence factors, phylogroups, and bacteriocin determinants. *BMC Microbiol.* 16, 218, https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12866-016-0835-z (2016).
- Micenková, L. et al. Bacteriocin-encoding genes and ExPEC virulence determinants are associated in human fecal Escherichia coli strains. BMC Microbiol. 14, 109, https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-14-109 (2014).
- 18. Šmarda, J. & Šmajs, D. Colicins-exocellular lethal proteins of Escherichia coli. Folia Microbiol. (Praha). 43, 563-582 (1998).
- 19. Cascales, E. et al. Colicin biology. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 71, 158–229 (2007).
- Bosák, J., Laiblová, P., Šmarda, J., Dědičová, D. & Šmajs, D. Novel colicin F_Y of *Yersinia frederiksenii* inhibits pathogenic *Yersinia* strains via YiuR-mediated reception, TonB import, and cell membrane pore formation. *J. Bacteriol.* 194, 1950–1959 (2012).
- Bosák, J. et al. Unique activity spectrum of colicin F_Y: All 110 characterized Yersinia enterocolitica isolates were colicin F_Y susceptible. Plos One 8, e81829, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.008182 (2013).
- Bosák, J., Micenková, L., Doležalová, M. & Šmajs, D. Colicins U and Y inhibit growth of *Escherichia coli* strains via recognition of conserved OmpA extracellular loop 1. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* **306**, 486–494 (2016).
- Patton, B. S., Dickson, J. S., Lonergan, S. M., Cutler, S. A. & Stahl, C. H. Inhibitory activity of colicin E1 against Listeria monocytogenes. J. Food Prot. 70, 1256–1262 (2007).
- 24. Šmajs, D., Pilsl, H. & Braun, V. Colicin U, a novel colicin produced by Shigella boydii. J. Bacteriol. 179, 4919–4928 (1997).
- Šmajs, D. & Weinstock, G. M. The iron- and temperature-regulated *cjrBC* genes of *Shigella* and enteroinvasive *Escherichia coli* strains code for colicin Js uptake. J. Bacteriol. 183, 3958–3966 (2001).
- Spees, A. M. et al. Streptomycin-induced inflammation enhances Escherichia coli gut colonization through nitrate respiration. MBio 4, e00430–13, https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00430-13 (2013).

- Sassone-Corsi, M. et al. Microcins mediate competition among Enterobacteriaceae in the inflamed gut. Nat. Publ. Gr. 540, https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature20557 (2016).
- Cursino, L. et al. Exoproducts of the Escherichia coli strain H22 inhibiting some enteric pathogens both in vitro and in vivo. J. Appl. Microbiol. 100, 821–829 (2006).
- 29. Hu, P. C., Yang, G. C. & Brubaker, R. R. Specificity, induction, and absorption of pesticin. J. Bacteriol. 112, 212-219 (1972).
- 30. Strauch, E. et al. Characterization of enterocoliticin, a phage tail-like bacteriocin, and its effect on pathogenic Yersinia enterocolitica strains. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67, 5634–5642 (2001).
- Damasko, C. et al. Studies of the efficacy of enterocoliticin, a phage-tail like bacteriocin, as antimicrobial agent against Yersinia enterocolitica serotype O3 in a cell culture system and in mice. J. Vet. Med. Ser. B 52, 171–179 (2005).
- Heesemann, J., Gaede, K. & Autenrieth, I. B. Experimental Yersinia enterocolitica infection in rodents: a model for human yersiniosis. APMIS 101, 417–429 (1993).
- 33. Schippers, A. *et al.* Susceptibility of four inbred mouse strains to a low-pathogenic isolate of *Yersinia enterocolitica. Mamm. Genome* **19**, 279–291 (2008).
- Kerr, B., Riley, M. A., Feldman, M. W. & Bohannan, B. J. M. Local dispersal promotes biodiversity in a real-life game of rock-paper-scissors. *Nature* 418, 171-174 (2002).
- 35. Kirkup, B. C. & Riley, M. A. Antibiotic-mediated antagonism leads to a bacterial game of rock-paper-scissors *in vivo. Nature* **428**, 412-414 (2004).
- 36. Riley, M. A. & Wertz, J. E. Bacteriocins: evolution, ecology, and application. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 56, 117-137 (2002).
- Hegarty, J. W., Guinane, C. M., Ross, R. P., Hill, C. & Cotter, P. D. Bacteriocin production: a relatively unharnessed probiotic trait? F1000Research 5, 2587, https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.9615.1 (2016).
- Sassone-Corsi, M. & Raffatellu, M. No vacancy: how beneficial microbes cooperate with immunity to provide colonization resistance to pathogens. J. Immunol. 194, 4081–4087 (2015).
- Ikari, N. S., Kenton, D. M. & Young, V. M. Interaction in the germfree mouse intestine of colicinogenic and colicin-sensitive microorganisms. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 130, 1280–1284 (1969).
- Gillor, O., Giladi, I. & Riley, M. A. Persistence of colicinogenic *Escherichia coli* in the mouse gastrointestinal tract. *BMC Microbiol.* 9, 165, https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-9-165 (2009).
- 41. Kelstrup, J. & Gibbons, R. J. Inactivation of bacteriocins in the intestinal canal and oral cavity. J. Bacteriol. 99, 888-890 (1969).
- 42. Braun, V., Frenz, J., Hantke, K. & Schaller, K. Penetration of colicin M into cells of Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 142, 162–168 (1980).
- 43. Deriu, E. *et al.* Probiotic bacteria reduce *Salmonella* Typhimurium intestinal colonization by competing for iron. *Cell Host Microbe* 14, 26–37 (2013).
- Schumann, S., Alpert, C., Engst, W., Loh, G. & Blaut, M. Dextran sodium sulfate-induced inflammation alters the expression of proteins by intestinal Escherichia coli strains in a gnotobiotic mouse model. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 1513–1522 (2012).
- Stecher, B. The roles of inflammation, nutrient availability and the commensal microbiota in enteric pathogen infection. *Metabolism and Bacterial Pathogenesis* 3, 297–320, https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.MBP-0008-2014 (2015).
- Gillor, O., Vriezen, J. A. C. & Riley, M. A. The role of SOS boxes in enteric bacteriocin regulation. *Microbiology* 154, 1783–1792 (2008).
- Nedialkova, L. P. *et al.* Inflammation fuels colicin Ib-dependent competition of *Salmonella* serovar Typhimurium and *E. coli* in enterobacterial blooms. *Plos Pathog.* 10, e1003844, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003844 (2014).
- Barthel, M. et al. Pretreatment of mice with streptomycin provides a Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium colitis model that allows analysis of both pathogen and host. Infect. Immun. 71, 2839–2858 (2003).
- 49. Lawley, T. D. & Walker, A. W. Intestinal colonization resistance. Immunology 138, 1-11 (2013).
- Corr, S. C. et al. Bacteriocin production as a mechanism for the antiinfective activity of Lactobacillus salivarius UCC118. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104, 7617–7621 (2007).
- Frick, J. S. et al. Lactobacillus fermentum attenuates the proinflammatory effect of Yersinia enterocolitica on human epithelial cells. Inflamm. Bowel Dis. 13, 83–90 (2007).
- Frick, J. S. et al. Identification of commensal bacterial strains that modulate Yersinia enterocolitica and dextran sodium sulfateinduced inflammatory responses: implications for the development of probiotics. Infect. Immun. 75, 3490–3497 (2007).
- De Montijo-Prieto, S. et al. A Lactobacillus plantarum strain isolated from kefir protects against intestinal infection with Yersinia enterocolitica O9 and modulates immunity in mice. Res. Microbiol. 166, 626–632 (2015).
- 54. Millette, M. *et al.* Capacity of human nisin- and pediocin-producing lactic acid bacteria to reduce intestinal colonization by vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **74**, 1997–2003 (2008).
- 55. Walsh, M. C. *et al.* Predominance of a bacteriocin-producing *Lactobacillus salivarius* component of a five-strain probiotic in the porcine ileum and effects on host immune phenotype. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **64**, 317–327 (2008).
- Lopez, F. E., Vincent, P. A., Zenoff, A. M., Salomón, R. A. & Farías, R. N. Efficacy of microcin J25 in biomatrices and in a mouse model of Salmonella infection. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 59, 676–680 (2007).
- 57. Stern, N. J. *et al.* Bacteriocins reduce *Campylobacter jejuni* colonization while bacteria producing bacteriocins are ineffective. *Microb. Ecol. Health Dis.* **20**, 74–79 (2008).
- Batzilla, J., Antonenka, U., Höper, D., Heesemann, J. & Rakin, A. Yersinia enterocolitica palearctica serobiotype O:3/4–a successful group of emerging zoonotic pathogens. BMC Genomics 12, 348, https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-12-348 (2011).
- Micenková, L. *et al.* Human *Escherichia coli* isolates from hemocultures: Septicemia linked to urogenital tract infections is caused by isolates harboring more virulence genes than bacteraemia linked to other conditions. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 307, 182–189 (2017).
- Rossignol, M., Basset, A., Espéli, O. & Boccard, F. NKBOR, a mini-Tn10-based transposon for random insertion in the chromosome of Gram-negative bacteria and the rapid recovery of sequences flanking the insertion sites in Escherichia coli. *Res. Microbiol.* 152, 481–485 (2001).
- 61. Thomson, N. R. *et al.* The complete genome sequence and comparative genome analysis of the high pathogenicity Yersinia *enterocolitica* strain 8081. *Plos Genet.* **2**, e206, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0020206 (2006).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (GA16-21649S) to D.Š. and by funds from the Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University (ROZV/25/LF/2017) to junior researcher J.B. M.F. and E.G. were supported from the National Program of Sustainability LO1218. L.M. thanks the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic (the RECETOX research infrastructure: LM2015051) and the European Structural and Investment Funds (the RECETOX research infrastructure: CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_013/0001761) for additional support. We thank Thomas Secrest (Secrest Editing, Ltd.) for his assistance with the English revision of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

J.B. and D.Š. conceived and designed the study. J.B. performed the experiments together with M.H., K.P., L.M. and M.K.B. J.B. analysed the data with D.Š. and M.K.B. M.F. and E.G. were responsible for animal experiments. J.B. wrote the first draft of the manuscript; M.K.B., D.Š., M.F. and P.K. contributed to the writing of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved of the final manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30729-7.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018