SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 10 November 2017 Accepted: 6 March 2018 Published online: 19 March 2018

OPEN Dissection of the Role of VIMP in **Endoplasmic Reticulum-Associated** Degradation of CFTR Δ F508

Xia Hou^{1,2}, Hongguang Wei¹, Carthic Rajagopalan¹, Hong Jiang¹, Qingtian Wu², Khalequz Zaman³, Youming Xie⁴ & Fei Sun¹

Endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-associated protein degradation (ERAD) is an important quality control mechanism that eliminates misfolded proteins from the ER. The Derlin-1/VCP/VIMP protein complex plays an essential role in ERAD. Although the roles of Derlin-1 and VCP are relatively clear, the functional activity of VIMP in ERAD remains to be understood. Here we investigate the role of VIMP in the degradation of CFTR Δ F508, a cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) mutant known to be a substrate of ERAD. Overexpression of VIMP markedly enhances the degradation of CFTR Δ F508, whereas knockdown of VIMP increases its half-life. We demonstrate that VIMP is associated with CFTR Δ F508 and the RNF5 E3 ubiquitin ligase (also known as RMA1). Thus, VIMP not only forms a complex with Derlin-1 and VCP, but may also participate in recruiting substrates and E3 ubiquitin ligases. We further show that blocking CFTR Δ F508 degradation by knockdown of VIMP substantially augments the effect of VX809, a drug that allows a fraction of CFTR Δ F508 to fold properly and mobilize from ER to cell surface for normal functioning. This study provides insight into the role of VIMP in ERAD and presents a potential target for the treatment of cystic fibrosis patients carrying the $CFTR\Delta F508$ mutation.

Elimination of misfolded endoplasmic reticulum (ER) proteins by the ER-associated protein degradation (ERAD) pathway is an important physiological adaptation to ER stress^{1,2}. The process of ERAD can be divided into three major steps: recognition of misfolded or mutated proteins in the ER, retro-translocation of ER proteins into the cytosol, and ubiquitin-dependent degradation by the proteasome. These steps are integrated through the actions of multi-subunit protein machineries in the ER region. One of the key components of the ERAD system is the Derlin-1/VCP/VIMP complex³⁻⁵. Derlin-1, a homologue of yeast Der1, associates with various substrates as they move across the ER membrane. VCP (valosin-containing protein, also known as p97) is a homologue of the yeast Cdc48 ATPase. Assisted by a host of cofactors such as Ufd1-Npl4, p47, and UBXD1, VCP recognizes and processes ubiquitylated substrates⁶⁻⁹. The ATP-driven unfolding activity of VCP provides the force to extract protein substrates out of the ER membrane. VIMP (also named SelS for Selenoprotein S) is a 189-amino acid small protein, which was initially identified as a member of the selenoprotein family characterized by carrying a selenocysteine (Sec, U, Se-Cys) amino acid¹⁰. Subsequent studies showed that VIMP is located at the ER membrane and interacts with both Derlin-1 and VCP, implying that VIMP participates in ERAD by serving as a linker between Derlin-1 and VCP³⁻⁵. Recent studies suggest that VIMP may be implicated in several proteostasis-related diseases, including Hashimoto's thyroiditis, inflammation, fertility, and Alzheimer's disease¹¹⁻¹⁴.

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is one of the most common genetic diseases in the USA. CF is caused by mutations of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) gene¹⁵. The most common and prominent CF mutation is the deletion of a phenylalanine residue at position 508, resulting in a mutant protein CFTR Δ F508, which is misfolded and degraded by the ERAD system during early biogenesis. This results in almost no membrane expression of CFTR and no chloride channel function¹⁶. Yet, CFTR∆F508, once escaping from ERAD, can migrate to the plasma membrane and retain substantial CFTR chloride channel function. Therefore,

¹Department of Physiology, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, MI, 48201, USA. ²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Jiamusi University School of Basic Medicine, Jiamusi, Heilongjiang, 154007, China. ³Department of Pediatrics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA. ⁴Karmanos Cancer Institute and Department of Oncology, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, MI, 48201, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.X. (email: xiey@karmanos.org) or F.S. (email: fsun@med.wayne.edu)



Figure 1. Association of VIMP with CFTR Δ F508 in human airway epithelia cells. (**A**) Co-IP of VIMP with CFTR Δ F508. Cell extracts prepared from CFBE410⁻-CFTR Δ F508 cells were subjected to IP with pre-immune IgG (lane 2), anti-VIMP (lane 3), and anti-CFTR (lane 4) antibodies, followed by immunoblotting with anti-CFTR (upper panel) and anti-VIMP (lower panel) antibodies. (**B**) Co-IP of VIMP and CFTR Δ F508 produced by the TNT T7 coupled reticulocyte lysate system. The TNT T7 coupled reticulocyte lysates containing DNA templates for CFTR Δ F508 alone (lanes 2, 4) or both CFTR Δ F508 and VIMP (lanes 3, 5) were subjected to IP with an anti-CFTR antibody (lanes 4, 5), followed by immunoblotting with anti-CFTR and anti-VIMP antibodies, respectively. The same lysates were also applied to simple Western blotting as input control (lanes 2, 3). Cell lysate of CFBE- Δ F508 cells was used as a control to indicate the positions of Δ F508CFTR and VIMP on the blots (lane 1). Asterisks mark proteins in the TNT T7 coupled reticulocyte lysate cross-reactive with anti-CFTR and anti-VIMP antibodies. (**C**) Colocalization of VIMP with CFTR Δ F508 at ER membrane dilations. CFBE410⁻-CFTR Δ F508 cells were incubated with anti-CFTR and anti-VIMP primary antibodies, followed by staining with Alexa 568 (red)-labeled secondary antibody for CFTR and Alexa 488 (green)-labeled secondary antibody for VIMP. The images were generated by confocal microscopy.

understanding the mechanism underlying CFTR Δ F508 degradation by ERAD may provide crucial information for the development of drugs to treat CF patients. Our previous studies indicated that Derlin-1 and VCP are required for efficient degradation of CFTR, especially CFTR Δ F508¹⁷. Here we investigate the role of VIMP in the degradation of CFTR Δ F508. We demonstrate that VIMP interacts with CFTR Δ F508 and both co-localize in the ER region. Furthermore, VIMP binds the RNF5 ubiquitin E3 ligase. Over-expression of VIMP reduces the steady-state level of CFTR Δ F508 by shortening its half-life. In contrast, silence of VIMP expression increases the stability of CFTR Δ F508. Interestingly, blocking early degradation of CFTR Δ F508 by silence of VIMP significantly enhances the rescue effect of CF corrector VX809. This study reveals the role of VIMP in ERAD of CFTR Δ F508 and presents a potential target for the treatment of CF patients.

Results

VIMP is associated with CFTR Δ F508 in human airway cells. To determine the involvement of VIMP in ERAD of CFTR Δ F508, we examined if VIMP interacts with CFTR Δ F508 by co-immunoprecipitation (IP)/immunoblotting analysis. A CFTR Δ F508 expressing cell line was established from CFBE410⁻ (CFBE), a well-characterized human airway epithelial cell line. CFBE was derived from the bronchial epithelial cells of a CF patient with cftr Δ F508/ Δ F508 genetic background and with no detectable expression of the mutant protein¹⁸. We found that CFTR Δ F508 was co-immunoprecipitated with VIMP by an anti-VIMP antibody but not by a pre-immune normal IgG (Fig. 1A, lanes 2, 3). The association of CFTR Δ F508 with VIMP in CFBE410⁻-CFTR Δ F508 cells was also confirmed by reciprocal co-IP/immunoblotting (Fig. 1A, lane 4). The technical difficulty of purifying CFTR Δ F508 transmembrane protein from bacterial cells prevented us from definitely testing if VIMP directly binds CFTR Δ F508 using *in vitro* binding analysis. To address this problem, we applied the TNT T7



Figure 2. VIMP facilitates the degradation of CFTR Δ F508. (**A**) Overexpression of VIMP enhances the degradation of CFTR Δ F508 and WT CFTR. Plasmid encoding VIMP was co-transfected with vectors expressing WT CFTR or CFTR Δ F508 into HEK293 cells. The steady-state levels of WT CFTR, CFTR Δ F508, VIMP and actin were measure by immunoblotting analysis. (**B**) Quantitation of the steady-state levels of immature (band **B**) and mature (band **C**) forms of WT CFTR and CFTR Δ F508 from (**A**). Data presented were from three independent experiments and shown as the mean \pm SD. (**C**) Degradation of CFTR Δ F508 is mediated by the proteasome. HEK293 cells expressing CFTR Δ F508 with or without overexpression of VIMP were treated with MG132 (10 μ M) or DMSO for 4 h. Cells were harvested and subjected to immunoblotting analysis. (**D**) CHX chase experiment was carried out to measure the turnover kinetics of CFTR Δ F508 with or without VIMP overexpression. (**E**) Decay curves of CFTR Δ F508 in the presence and absence of VIMP overexpression. Data presented were from three independent CHX-chase experiments as (**D**) and shown as the mean \pm SD. (**F**) CFTR Δ F508 and WT CFTR were stabilized by knockdown of VIMP. shVIMP or a scramble vector was co-transfected with CFTR Δ F508 or WT CFTR plasmids into HEK293 cells. The protein expression levels were evaluated by immunoblotting analysis. (**G**) Quantitation of the blots in (**F**). Data shown here are the mean \pm SD from three independent experiments.

coupled reticulocyte lysate system to produce full-length CFTR Δ F508 and VIMP proteins. Co-IP experiments indicated that CFTR Δ F508 and VIMP indeed formed a complex (Fig. 1B). This result suggests that these two proteins likely bind to each other. To further demonstrate the interaction between CFTR Δ F508 and VIMP, we examined the subcellular location of CFTR Δ F508 and VIMP in CFBE410⁻-CFTR Δ F508 cells using immuno-fluorescence microscopy. As shown in Fig. 1C, CFTR Δ F508 and VIMP were co-localized throughout the ER network, mainly to the perinuclear region at ER membrane dilations. Together, these results indicate that VIMP physically binds to CFTR Δ F508.

VIMP facilitates proteasomal degradation of CFTR\DeltaF508. The binding of VIMP to CFTR Δ F508 at ER membrane dilations prompted us to examine the effect of VIMP on the degradation of immature CFTR Δ F508. We co-expressed VIMP with CFTR Δ F508 in HEK293 cells. Immunoblotting analysis showed that overexpression of VIMP reduced the steady-state level of immature CFTR Δ F508 ("band B") by 60% (Fig. 2A,B). VIMP-induced degradation of CFTR Δ F508 was mediated by the proteasome because the proteasome inhibitor MG132 markedly recovered the steady-state level of CFTR Δ F508 (Fig. 2C). We further evaluated the effect of

VIMP overexpression on the turnover kinetics of CFTR Δ F508 using the cycloheximide (CHX)-chase assay. As shown in Fig. 2D,E, overexpression of VIMP significantly shortened the half-life of CFTR Δ F508 from ~50 min to ~25 min. To examine the role of endogenous VIMP in the degradation of CFTR Δ F508, we used RNA interference to reduce VIMP expression. Specifically, a VIMP-targeting shRNA vector was transfected into CFBE410⁻ cells expressing CFTR Δ F508. Immunoblotting analysis showed that the steady-state level of CFTR Δ F508 blots by ImageJ revealed that its steady-state level increased ~5 fold upon knockdown VIMP (Fig. 2G). Thus, VIMP facilitates the degradation of immature CFTR Δ F508. VIMP also enhanced the degradation of wildtype (WT) CFTR. The steady-levels of immature ("band B") and mature ("band C") forms of WT CFTR decreased by 50% and 30%, respectively, when VIMP was overexpressed (Fig. 2A,B). On the other hand, knockdown of VIMP expression increased the expression level of WT CFTR (Fig. 2F).

Our previous study showed that Derlin-1 plays a key role in mediating CFTR Δ F508 degradation¹⁷. To evaluate if VIMP and Derlin-1 act in the same pathway for CFTR Δ F508 degradation, we performed a double knockdown experiment. shRNA vectors targeting VIMP and Derlin-1 were co-transfected with CFTR Δ F508 into HEK293 cells, controlled by single knockdown of VIMP or Derlin-1. We found that the increase of CFTR Δ F508 protein level by knockdown of both VIMP and Derlin-1 was comparable to that caused by single knockdown of VIMP or Derlin-1 (Fig. 3A,B). CHX-chase analysis showed that knockdown of VIMP or Derlin-1 or both similarly extended the half-life of CFTR Δ F508 to ~80 min from 50 min (Fig. 3C,D). These results demonstrated that VIMP and Derlin-1 are involved in same degradation pathway eliminating CFTR Δ F508.

VIMP is required for RNF5-mediated degradation of CFTR Δ F508. An early report showed that two E3 ubiquitin ligase complexes drive the degradation of CFTR Δ F508 sequentially¹⁹. One complex is associated with the ER membrane, consisting of the E3 RNF5 (also known as RMA1), the E2 Ubc6e, and Derlin-1, while the other complex containing the CHIP E3 and Hsc70 is present in the cytosol. The RNF5 E3 detects folding defects of CFTRAF508 concomitant with its synthesis on ER-attached ribosomes, whereas the cytosolic CHIP E3 acts posttranslationally to monitor the folding status of CFTRAF508. It remains unknown whether VIMP is required for the degradation of CFTR Δ F508 driven by RNF5 and CHIP. To address this question, we first examined if these two E3s interact with VIMP. Specifically, we applied reciprocal co-IP experiments to measure the interaction between endogenous VIMP and RNF5 or CHIP. We found that RNF5 was co-precipitated with VIMP by an anti-VIMP antibody but not a control IgG (Fig. 4A, lanes 2, 3). Likewise, VIMP was co-precipitated with RNF5 by an anti-RNF5 antibody (lane 4). However, co-IP experiments did not detect interaction between VIMP and CHIP (data not shown). We then assessed the cooperation of VIMP and RNF5 in ERAD of CFTR Δ F508. VIMP or a control vector was co-overexpressed with RNF5 or RNF5DN, a dominant negative mutant with a C42S substitution in the RING domain, in HEK293 cells expressing CFTRΔF508. Overexpression of RNF5 enhanced the degradation of CFTR Δ F508, whereas RNF5DN markedly stabilized CFTR Δ F508 (Fig. 4B, lanes 1–3). Interestingly, overexpression of RNF5DN significantly counteracted the effect of VIMP on CFTR Δ F508 degradation (Fig. 4B, compare lanes 5 and 6). We next measured the effects of knockdown of RNF5 and/or VIMP on CFTR Δ F508 degradation. Small interfering RNA oligos targeting RNF5 and VIMP were transfected individually or in combination into CFBE410⁻ cells expressing CFTR Δ F508. Immunoblotting analysis showed that the steady-state level of CFTRAF508 was substantially increased by the knockdown of RNF5 (Fig. 4C, compare lanes 1 and 3). Double knockdown of RNF5 and VIMP further increased the stability of CFTR∆F508 (Fig. 4C,D). Together, these results demonstrate that VIMP plays an important role in RNF5-mediated degradation of CFTR Δ F508.

Rescue of the chloride channel function of CFTR\DeltaF508 by downregulation of VIMP and VX809 treatment. While depletion of VIMP helps to prevent CFTR Δ F508 from degradation, it is not sufficient for CFTR Δ F508 to reach the plasma membrane to exhibit its chloride channel function. We wanted to test if reducing the VIMP expression level can enhance the effect of CF corrector VX809, a drug that allows a fraction of CFTR Δ F508 to fold properly and mobilize from ER to cell surface for normal functioning. HEK293 cells were transfected with siVIMP, followed by treatment with or without VX809. Although knockdown of VIMP markedly increased the steady-state level of immature CFTR Δ F508, mature CFTR Δ F508 upon VIMP knockdown and VX809 following VIMP knockdown yielded a substantial fraction of mature CFTR Δ F508 (Fig. 5A,B). We also examined the ion channel activity of CFTR Δ F508 upon VIMP knockdown and VX809 treatment using iodide efflux experiment²⁰. VX809 increased the channel activity of CFTR Δ F508 by ~80%, whereas knockdown of VIMP only had a marginal effect (Fig. 5C,D). Remarkably, the transport capacity of CFTR Δ F508 was dramatically increased (2.8 fold) by VX809 when VIMP expression was also suppressed (Fig. 5C,D). These data demonstrate that lowing VIMP expression can synergize the effect of VX809 through increasing the steady-state level of CFTR Δ F508 by suppressing ERAD.

Discussion

ERAD is a complicated degradation pathway including multiple steps: substrate recognition, retrotranslocation, ubiquitylation, and degradation. CFTR is a well known substrate of ERAD^{21,22}. Although extensive studies have been conducted on CFTR degradation, some details are still missing. Our laboratory previously showed that Derlin-1 preferentially mediates CFTR Δ F508 degradation¹⁷. Derlin-1 is considered as the central molecule that transports misfolded substrates from ER lumen to cytosol during ERAD, and the substrates are then submitted to VCP complex that is recruited to Derlin-1 by VIMP^{3-5,23}. It has been unclear whether and how VIMP contributes to the degradation of CFTR Δ F508. In this study, we demonstrate that VIMP is required for the degradation of CFTR Δ F508, indicating that knockdown of VIMP or Derlin-1 or both exhibited a similar effect on the degradation of CFTR Δ F508, indicating that VIMP and Derlin-1 act in the same pathway. This is in line with the physical interaction between VIMP and Derlin-1. Moreover, we demonstrated that VIMP





interacts with CFTR Δ F508 and RNF5. These observations suggest that, in addition to being a linker between Derlin-1 and VCP, VIMP may participate in recruiting substrate and E3 in ERAD of CFTR Δ F508.

In addition to RNF5 and CHIP, several other E3s have been implicated in CFTR Δ F508 degradation, including gp78, Hrd1, Nedd4-2, and RNF185²⁴⁻²⁸. Among these E3s, RNF5 is likely the major one targeting CFTR Δ F508 for degradation. Our previous study showed that 70–80% of CFTR Δ F508 is degraded cotranslationally¹⁷. RNF5 is responsible for cotranslational degradation of CFTR Δ F508, whereas CHIP acts posttranslationally¹⁹. gp78 appears to function as an E4 downstream of RNF5²⁵. Hrd1 does not directly target CFTR Δ F508. Instead, it inhibits CFTR Δ F508 degradation by acting as an E3 for gp78²⁴. The role of Nedd4–2 in CFTR Δ F508 degradation, the other study indicates no such effect^{26,27}. RNF185 is homologous to RNF5 and, as RNF5, directs CFTR Δ F508 for



Figure 4. VIMP is required for RNF5-mediated degradation of CFTR Δ F508. (**A**) VIMP interacts with RNF5. Cell extracts were subjected to IP with pre-immune IgG (lane 2), anti-VIMP (lane 3), and anti-RNF5 (lane 4) antibodies, followed by immunoblotting with anti-VIMP (upper panel) and anti-RNF5 (lower panel) antibodies. (**B**) Overexpression of RNF5 and VIMP facilitates the degradation of CFTR Δ F508. RNF5 or its dominant negative mutant RNF5DN was co-transfected with VIMP (lanes 4–6) or a control vector (lanes 1–3) into HEK293 cells expressing CFTR Δ F508. The expression levels of CFTR Δ F508, RNF5, RNF5DN, and VIMP were measured by immunoblotting analysis. (**C**) Knockdown of RNF5 stabilizes CFTR Δ F508. siRNA oligos targeting RNF5 and VIMP were transfected individually or in combination into CFBE410[–] cells expressing CFTR Δ F508. (**D**) Quantitation of the blots of CFTR Δ F508 in (**C**). Data shown were presented as the mean \pm SD from three experiments.

cotranslational degradation²⁸. Surprisingly, however, simultaneous deletion of RNF5 and RNF185 drastically inhibits CFTR Δ F508 degradation not only cotranslationally but also posttranslationally. It will be interesting to explore the functional interaction between RNF5 and RNF185 in controlling CFTR Δ F508 degradation. It also remains to be determined if VIMP is required for RNF185-mediated degradation of CFTR Δ F508.

Our data indicate that VIMP utilizes RNF5 as the E3 ligase to direct the bulk of CFTR Δ F508 for proteasomal degradation. Simultaneous overexpression of VIMP and RNF5 promoted more CFTR Δ F508 degradation than separate overexpression of VIMP or RNF5. Consistently, double knockdown of VIMP and RNF5 stabilizes CFTR Δ F508 to a greater extent than single knockdown. An early work suggested that RNF5 and CHIP could regulate CFTR Δ F508 degradation at different stages¹⁹. RNF5 targets misfolded CFTR at early stage during biosynthesis, whereas CHIP recognizes CFTR after its translation. Our finding that VIMP directly binds CFTR Δ F508 is degraded cotranslationally¹⁷. Of note, VIMP may contribute to ERAD in different aspects as well. It has been reported that VIMP regulates rough ER shape, which may affect the distribution of retro-translocon proteins²⁹. It is also possible that VIMP (a selenocysteine-containing protein) acts as a reductase to help form disulfides in substrates and facilitate their retro-translocation from ER to cytosol³⁰.

One interesting result from the current study is that knockdown of VIMP significantly enhances the rescue effect of CF corrector VX809. The combination of VX809 and VIMP knockdown generates much higher CFTR chloride channel activity than VX809 treatment alone. This increase results from the stabilization of immature CFTR Δ F508 by downregulation of VIMP expression and VX809-assisted folding, which leads to 3.5-fold increase of mature CFTR expression. Until now, no effective medicine for CFTR Δ F508-associated CF patients has been found³¹. The strategies for CF medicine discovery are typical one-step rules, which attempt to find a chemical as a corrector for mutated CFTR or as an activator or inhibitor to increase or decrease CFTR-associated protein expression^{12,32-34}. Here we propose a two-step rule: prevent CFTR Δ F508 from ERAD, and then help the rescued CFTR Δ F508 to fold and migrate to cell membrane functioning as a chloride channel. This study presents VIMP as a potential target for blocking early degradation of CFTR Δ F508, which may be crucial in the development of efficient medicines for the treatment of CF patients.





Figure 5. Rescue of the chloride channel function of CFTR Δ F508 by VX809 and knockdown of VIMP. (A) Increase of mature CFTR Δ F508 by combined treatment of VX809 and knockdown of VIMP. HEK293 cells were transfected with siVIMP or a scramble vector, followed by treatment with or without VX809. The steady-state levels of immature (B band) and mature (C band) CFTRAF508 were detected by immunoblotting analysis. Experiments were performed in triplicate. (B) Quantitation of the B and C bands of CFTRAF508 in (A). Data shown were presented as the mean \pm SD. (C) Iodide efflux analysis for the channel activity of CFTR Δ F508. Transfected HEK293 cells as in (A) were applied to I⁻ efflux experiments described previously¹⁷. The time course of forskolin-stimulated CFTR channel-mediated I⁻ efflux was determined. Each point represents the mean \pm SD of 3 to 5 experiments. The time of forskolin (Fsk) addition is indicated by the arrow. (D) Comparison of the maximal CFTR Δ F508 channel signals in different HEK293 transfectants. The stimulation of I^- efflux by forskolin reached a peak at approximately 15 min after drug application. Data shown were presented as the mean \pm SD from 3–5 experiments.

Experimental procedures. General materials. Mouse monoclonal anti-CFTR antibody was obtained from University of North Carolina. Rabbit polyclonal anti-VIMP and anti-RNF5 were purchased from Abcam. The antibodies for FLAG epitope, Myc, Derlin-1, GFP, actin were purchased from Sigma and Cell Signaling. Normal rabbit IgG, HRP-labeled goat anti-mouse IgG, and HRP-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG were purchased from Abcam. The vectors encoding Flag-VIMP, pEGFP, Derlin-1, RNF5, RNF5DN, shVIMP, shDer1, shGFP, WT CFTR and CFTR Δ F508 were described previous¹⁷. All siRNA oligos were purchased from Dharmacon.

Cell culture and transfection. HEK 293 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. CFBE41o⁻ parental cells were cultured in MEM with 10% fetal bovine serum, and CFBE410-ΔF508 cells were grown in the same medium as CFBE410⁻ parental cells except for addition of $0.5 \,\mu$ g/L puromycin. All cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. Cells transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) for plasmid vectors and Lipifectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) for siRNA oligos, following the manufacturer's instruction. Cells were harvested 48 h after transfection.

Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting. Cells were lysed by sonication in lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP40, 10% glycerol and protease inhibitors cocktail). Pre-cleared cell lysates (~500 µg of each) were mixed with appropriate primary antibodies at 4 °C for 1.5 h. Protein A- or G-coupled Dynabeads (25µl) were then added and incubated at room temperature for 1 h with gentle rotation. Immunocomplexes were washed with lysis buffer four times and resuspended in SDS sample buffer and subjected to immunoblotting analysis. Specifically, protein samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes, which were blocked at room temperature for 1 h with 5% (w/v) skim milk powder in TBST (10 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20). The blots were incubated with primary antibodies at room temperature for 1 h. The blots were then washed four times with TBST and incubated with 2µg/ml horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Sigma) in TBST with 10% fetal bovine serum for 1 h, followed by five washes with TBST. The reactive bands were visualized by incubation with enhanced chemiluminescence substrates (PerkinElmer Products) and exposure to X-ray film (Eastman Kodak Co).

*Production of VIMP and CFTR*Δ*F508 by the TNT T7 coupled reticulocyte lysate system.* Each reaction contained 50 μL TNT T7 coupled reticulocyte lysate (Promega) with DNA templates pCDNA3.1-ΔF508CFTR or pCD-NA3.1-ΔF508CFTR plus pCDNA3.1-Flag-VIMP. Reactions were set up at 30 °C for 90 minutes. Three microliter reaction mix was taken out as input control, whereas the remaining was diluted with cell lysis buffer containing protease inhibitors to 500 μL and subjected to IP with anti-CFTR antibody and Protein G Dynabeads at room temperature for 1 h. The immunoprecipitates were washed four times with cell lysis buffer, followed by SDS-PAGE (12.5% gel) and immune blotting with anti-VIMP and anti-CFTR antibodies.

Cycloheximide (CHX) chase analysis. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were digested with trypsin and continued cultured in fresh medium supplemented with CHX ($20 \mu g/ml$). Equivalent volume of cell suspensions was harvested at different time points. Cell extracts were subjected immunoblotting analysis with appropriate antibodies.

Immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy. CFBE41o⁻-CFTR Δ F508 cells were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 2% paraformaldehyde plus 0.1% Triton X-100, then washed three times with buffer B (phosphate-buffered saline with 0.5% bovine serum albumin and 0.15% glycine, pH 7.4). After blocking with 20% goat serum, cells were incubated with the appropriate primary antibodies for 1 h, followed by three washes with buffer B and subsequent incubation with Alexa 488- (green) or Alexa568 (red)-labeled secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes) for 1 h. After washing with buffer B, the coverslips were mounted for confocal microscopy.

Iodide Efflux experiments. HEK293 cells were transfected with CFTR Δ F508 plasmid and shVIMP or a scramble vector. On next day, cells were incubated with10 µmol/L VX809 for 24h before subjected to iodide efflux assay as previously described²⁰. Specifically, cells were washed with 2 ml warmed I⁻-loading buffer (136 mM sodium iodide, 3 mM potassium nitrate, 2 mM calcium nitrate, 11 mM glucose and 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4 with NaOH) twice, and then incubated with 2 ml I⁻-loading buffer at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ for 45 min. Cells were gently rinsed twice with 4 ml warmed I⁻-free efflux buffer (136 mM sodium nitrate, 3 mM potassium nitrate, 2 mM calcium nitrate, 11 mM glucose and 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4). Fresh warmed I⁻-free efflux buffer was slowly dropped on the cell dishes that were placed on 37 °C warm bath plate. I⁻-selective electrode (Orion model 94–53) and a reference electrode (Orion model 90-01) were connected to a pH meter (Accumet 915). After incubated with electrodes for 5 min, 1 µmol /L forskolin was added in the cells, and the numbers on pH meter were recorded every 1 min.

Statistical analyses. Statistical analyses were performed by Student's test using statistical software. All quantitative data presented in this study were from 3-5 independent experiments and shown as the mean \pm SD.

References

- 1. Meusser, B., Hirsch, C., Jarosch, E. & Sommer, T. ERAD: the long road to destruction. Nat Cell Biol 7, 766-772 (2005).
- Vembar, S. S. & Brodsky, J. L. One step at a time: endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 9, 944–957 (2008).
- Ye, Y., Shibata, Y., Ron, D. & Rapoport, T. A. A membrane protein complex mediates retro-translocation from the ER lumen into the cytosol. *Nature* 429, 841–847 (2004).
- Ye, Y. et al. Recruitment of the p97 ATPase and ubiquitin ligases to the site of retrotranslocation at the endoplasmic reticulum membrane. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102, 14132–14138 (2005).
- Lilley, B. N. & Ploegh, H. L. Multiprotein complexes that link dislocation, ubiquitination, and extraction of misfolded proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum membrane. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102, 14296–14301 (2005).
- 6. Buchberger, A., Schindelin, H. & Hänzelmann, P. Control of p97 function by cofactor binding. FEBS Lett 589, 2578–2589 (2015).
- 7. Yeung, H. O. *et al.* Insights into adaptor binding to the AAA protein p97. *Biochem Soc Trans* **36**, 62–67 (2008).
- 8. Ye, Y., Tang, W. K., Zhang, T. & Xia, D. A Mighty "Protein Extractor" of the Cell: Structure and Function of the p97/CDC48 ATPase. *Front. Mol. Biosci* **4**, 39 (2017).
- 9. Stach, L. & Freemont, P. S. The AAA + ATPase p97, a cellular multitool. Biochem J 474, 2953-2976 (2017).
- Hatfield, D. L. & Gladyshev, V. N. How selenium has altered our understanding of the genetic code. *Mol Cell Biol* 22, 3565–3576 (2002).
- 11. Jang, J. K. et al. Selenoprotein S is required for clearance of C99 through endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 486, 444–450 (2017).
- 12. Ceko, M. J. et al. X-Ray fluorescence imaging and other analyses identify selenium and GPX1 as important in female reproductive function. *Metallomics* 7, 71–82 (2015).
- 13. Curran, J. E. et al. Genetic variation in selenoprotein S influences inflammatory response. Nat Genet 37, 1234–1241 (2005).
- Li, M., Liu, B., Li, L., Zhang, C. & Zhou, Q. Association studies of SEPS1 gene polymorphisms with Hashimoto's thyroiditis in Han Chinese. J Hum Genet 60, 427–433 (2015).
- Knapp, E. A. et al. The Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry. Design and Methods of a National Observational Disease Registry. Ann Am Thorac Soc 13, 1173–1179 (2016).
- Zhang, Z., Baksh, M. M., Finn, M. G., Heidary, D. K. & Richards, C. I. Direct Measurement of Trafficking of the Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Conductance Regulator to the Cell Surface and Binding to a Chemical Chaperone. *Biochemistry* 56, 240–249 (2017).
- 17. Sun, F. *et al.* Derlin-1 promotes the efficient degradation of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) and CFTR folding mutants. *J Biol Chem* 281, 36856–36863 (2006).
- Ehrhardt, C. et al. Towards an in vitro model of cystic fibrosis small airway epithelium: characterisation of the human bronchial epithelial cell line CFBE410. Cell Tissue Res 323, 405–415 (2006).
- Younger, J. M. *et al.* Sequential quality-control checkpoints triage misfolded cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator. *Cell* 126, 571–582 (2006).
- Long, K. J. & Walsh, K. B. Iodide efflux measurements with an iodide-selective electrode: A non-radioactive procedure for monitoring cellular chloride transport. *Methods Cell Sci* 19, 207–212 (1997).
- Wang, B. et al. BAP31 interacts with Sec. 61 translocons and promotes retrotranslocation of CFTR∆F508 via the derlin-1 complex. Cell 133, 1080–1092 (2008).

- Ernst, W. L. et al. VAMP-associated Proteins (VAP) as Receptors That Couple Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Conductance Regulator (CFTR) Proteostasis with Lipid Homeostasis. J Biol Chem 291, 5206–5220 (2016).
- Ruggiano, A., Foresti, O. & Carvalho, P. Quality control: ER-associated degradation: protein quality control and beyond. J Cell Biol 204, 869–879 (2014).
- Ballar, P., Ors, A. U., Yang, H. & Fang, S. Differential regulation of CFTR∆F508 degradation by ubiquitin ligases gp78 and Hrd1. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 42, 167–173 (2010).
- Morito, D. *et al.* Gp78 cooperates with RMA1 in endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation of CFTRDeltaF508. *Mol Biol Cell* 19, 1328–1336 (2008).
- Koeppen, K., Chapline, C., Sato, J. D. & Stanton, B. A. Nedd4-2 does not regulate wt-CFTR in human airway epithelial cells. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 303, L720–727 (2012).
- Caohuy, H., Jozwik, C. & Pollard, H. B. Rescue of DeltaF508-CFTR by the SGK1/Nedd4-2 signaling pathway. J Biol Chem 284, 25241–25253 (2009).
- El Khouri, E., Le Pavec, G., Toledano, M. B. & Delaunay-Moisan, A. RNF185 is a novel E3 ligase of endoplasmic reticulumassociated degradation (ERAD) that targets cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR). J Biol Chem 288, 31177-31191 (2013).
- 29. Noda, C. *et al.* Valosin-containing protein-interacting membrane protein (VIMP) links the endoplasmic reticulum with microtubules in concert with cytoskeleton-linking membrane protein (CLIMP)–63. *J Biol Chem* **289**, 24304–24313 (2014).
- Christensen, L. C. et al. The human selenoprotein VCP-interacting membrane protein (VIMP) is non-globular and harbors a reductase function in an intrinsically disordered region. J Biol Chem 287, 26388–26399 (2012).
- Van Goor, F. et al. Rescue of CF airway epithelial cell function in vitro by a CFTR potentiator, VX-770. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106, 18825–18830 (2009).
- 32. Ye, L. *et al.* Δ F508-CFTR correctors: synthesis and evaluation of thiazole-tethered imidazolones, oxazoles, oxadiazoles, and thiadiazoles. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **24**, 5840–5844 (2014).
- 33. Le Henaff, C. et al. Increased NF-kappaB Activity and Decreased Wnt/beta-Catenin Signaling Mediate Reduced Osteoblast Differentiation and Function in ΔF508 Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Conductance Regulator (CFTR) Mice. J Biol Chem 290, 18009–18017 (2015).
- 34. Meng, X. *et al.* Two Small Molecules Restore Stability to a Subpopulation of the Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Conductance Regulator with the Predominant Disease-causing Mutation. *J Biol Chem* **292**, 3706–3719 (2017).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the grants from National Institutes of Health (HL133162 to FS), Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (SUN15XX0 to FS), National Science Foundation (MCB-0816974 to YX), National Natural Science Foundation of China (31400651 to XH), and Heilongjian Provincial Natural Science Fund (LC201416 to XH).

Author Contributions

F.S. designed the study. F.S., H.X., C.R., Q.W., K.Z. and H.W. contributed to the acquisition of data. F.S., H.X. and Y.X. contributed to data analysis and writing manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23284-8.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018